

SITE ADDRESS

## ACCESSIBILITY UNREASONABLE HARDSHIP EXEMPTION FORM

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT • BUILDING DIVISION ALBERT SALVADOR, P.E., C.B.O., BUILDING OFFICIAL 10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255

APN

DATE

CITY	ZIP	BU	LDING PERMIT NUMBER		
DESCRIPTION OF WORK:					
Check one:					
Option A. This project do Division of State Architect		uation threshold រ	oer 2016 CBC 11B-202.4	Exception 8	and as shown at the
☐ <b>Option B.</b> This project do Division of State Architect		n threshold per 20	016 CBC 11B-202.4 Excep	otion 8 and	as shown at the
☐ <b>Option C.</b> This project coaccessibility requirement <i>Documentation must be</i>	ts due to Technical Infe	asibility per 2016			
ANALYSIS					
1. Total Project Construction Cost. What is the cost of construction proposed under this permit?  Exclude the cost of accessibility upgrades as allowed by CBC 11B-202.4					
<b>2. Projects During Last Three Y</b> years at this Project Address, line Path of Travel includes toilet and	st the Permit Number, P	roject Description,	and Project Cost. Per CBC	Chapter 2,	
PERMIT#		PROJECT DESCRIPTION			excluding access features PROJECT COST
					\$
					\$
					\$
2a.	\$				
<b>3.</b> Add lines 1 and 2a. This sum may trigger Option B requirements.  TOTAL:					\$
<b>4.</b> Enter 20% of the total construction cost .20 X Line 3:					\$
<b>5. Accessible Elements of Proje</b> Will the element be altered? W					
ELEMENT ALONG PATH OF TR	AVEL IS ELEMENT A	ACCESSIBLE NOW?	WILL ELEMENT BE ACCESSIBLE		WHAT IS ESTIMATED COST OF IMPROVEMENT?
<b>5a</b> . Parking	□ N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5b</b> . Route from Parking to Entr	ance $\square$ N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5c</b> . Primary Entrance	□N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5d</b> . Restrooms (Male and Fema	le) 🗆 N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5e</b> . Telephones	□N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5f</b> . Drinking Fountains	□N	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
<b>5g</b> . Signage	□и	o □ Yes	□ No □ Y	es	\$
6. Total Cost of Proposed Acce Attach detailed cost estimate.	\$				
7. What is the Total Cost of Im	provements Needed to	Achieve Full Comp	liance? Attach detailed cos	TOTAL:	\$

Continued >

8. Specify existing non-complying access	sibility features for which a hardship is requested:	
FOR OPTION B ONLY		
9. Describe how equivalent facilitation	will be provided for the features identified above in #8: 0	Continue on separate sheet as needed
FOR OPTION C ONLY On a separate sh	eet:	
	ent that meets the 2016 Code definition of "technically	infeasible."
11. Describe why full access complianc	e is technically infeasible for each element.	
12. If applicable, describe the legal cor	straint that would preclude complete access compliance	e.
NOTES TO APPLICANT  Address all of the above	ove-listed criteria for the selected option in your re	quest for an unreasonable hardship.
<ul><li>Place emphasis on t</li></ul>	he elements that provide the greatest improvement	ts to disabled access.
<ul> <li>A disproportionate of</li> </ul>	cost must be established to qualify for a hardship.	
•	reasonable hardship finding will be recorded and keatification through an appeals process.	ept on file by the City
REQUIRED SIGNATURES		
ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER OF RECORD IN	<b>IFORMATION:</b> I certify that the above noted information is	true and correct.
Name (print):	Signature:	Date:
Firm address:	Title:	Phone:
	FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY	
☐ The above named project has be	en denied an unreasonable hardship exemption unde	r 2016 CBC Section 11B-202.4.
<del></del>	en granted an unreasonable hardship exemption from ccommodation of the Disabled) pursuant to 2016 CBC	•
COMMENTS:		

Building Official Designee (print): \_\_\_\_\_\_ Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_