



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



City of Cupertino 2017 Community Survey

May 2017

The City of Cupertino commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of its residents with the following research objectives:

- Learn their overall perceptions of living in the City;
- Gauge resident satisfaction with the City's performance in providing services and programs;
- Gather resident feedback on several local issues including:
 - (a) recreation and community services;
 - (b) transportation choices
 - (c) public works;
 - (d) economic development;
 - (e) crime;
 - (f) ethnic diversity;
 - (g) information sources; and
- Identify any differences in attitudes and behavior due to demographic and/or behavioral characteristics.

- Data Collection Landline, cell phone, and online interviewing from email invitation
- Universe 43,657 adult (18 years or older) residents in the City of Cupertino
- Fielding Dates April 4 through April 15, 2017
- Interview Length 22 minutes
- Sample Size n=582
(landline n=145; cell n=89; online n=348)
- Margin of Error $\pm 4.04\%$



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Executive Summary

- The survey results indicate that Cupertino residents continue to have very positive perceptions about living in the City.
 - A majority of the residents are satisfied with their quality of life in the City (88.3%), with the “very satisfied” (43.6%) and the “somewhat satisfied” (44.7%) evenly split. The total is lower than in 2014 (96.9%).
 - Sixty-six percent feel a strong sense of community in the City, while 32.7 percent feel a weak or no sense of community at all. This is slightly lower than in the 2014 data, but not statistically significant.
- As in previous years, the “school system” emerged as the top reason why residents choose to live in Cupertino.
 - Thirty-five percent of the residents mentioned “school system” as the main reason for living in Cupertino, which is lower than the 39.4 percent mentions in 2014 survey. This response was more common among the residents ages 40 to 64, and Asians.

- Traffic was identified by 56.8 percent of the respondents as one of the two most important issues facing Cupertino. City planning / overdevelopment related issues were seen as the second most important issue (25.6%) followed by affordable housing (19.6%).

- A substantial majority of Cupertino residents continue to be satisfied with the overall job the City is doing to provide services to its residents.
 - 76.5 percent of the residents are satisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services, with 28.6 percent “very satisfied” and 47.9 percent “somewhat satisfied” ratings. This is a decrease from 2014 finding (89.1%).
 - Only 18.5 percent were dissatisfied with the City’s overall job performance, and 4.1 percent did not have an opinion.
- When looking at specific services, respondents indicated they were most satisfied with the following services:
 - Library services
 - Garbage collection
 - Quinlan Community Center facilities and programs
 - Recycling program
 - Blackberry Farms programs
 - Youth sports fields
 - Police services

- A large majority of the residents are satisfied with the services that the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department offers.
 - Seventy-six percent of respondents were satisfied with the overall job the department is doing.
- Seventy-four percent of respondents indicated they visit Cupertino parks at least once a month.
- Twenty-two percent participate in a Recreation Department activity at least once a month and 53 percent participate at least once a year.

Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2. The 2014 survey added a new response category "Don't Use", so some comparisons with previous data might not be suitable.

- An overwhelming majority of the Cupertino residents continue to rely on personal vehicles for their daily commute.
 - 87.3 percent of the residents reported they “drive alone”.
 - 13.8 percent use “carpool, vanpool, or ride with others,” to go to work, school or other places they visit frequently.
 - At the same time, a combined total of 29.9 percent of the residents reported walking, bicycling or using public transit for these trips.
- Currently, 25.1 percent of the residents use alternative transportation at least once a week.
- Thirty-six percent of respondents indicated that inconvenient public transit routes and 28.3 percent inconvenient schedules were the reason they did not use alternative transportation
- The survey indicates that Cupertino residents continue to be enthusiastic about using a network of paths and roads to walk, bike, or roller blade.
 - Almost 8 out of 10 residents are likely to use a network of additional paths and roads, with 47.1 percent being “very likely” and 31.4 percent being “somewhat likely”.

- Forty-seven percent of respondents believe the City is doing a good job preventing pollution in the creeks in the City, while only 9.5 percent disagree. However, 43.1 percent indicated they don't know.
 - 62 percent of respondents indicated they would support a \$12 increase in the annual storm water fee to \$24 dollars per year.
- Thirty-three percent of respondents would pay at least \$6 a month if it would increase recycling by 30 percent.
- Thirty-four percent of respondents are aware of Silicon Valley Clean Energy.

- Fifty-three percent of the residents are satisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino when compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area. Conversely, 45.6 percent indicated their dissatisfaction.
- Nineteen percent of respondents wanted to see more restaurants (including high end restaurants) in Cupertino, 15.3 percent want more department stores, and 14.8 percent want to see discount stores.
- Respondents indicated they visited Safeway (48.2%), Target Express (44.2%), and Sprouts (42.3%), among others at Crossroad, Homestead Square or Main Street in 2016.

- The 2017 survey indicates that 38.6 percent of respondents feel that “crime has stayed about the same”, substantially less than in 2014 (57.2% stayed the same). Nine percent feel that “crime has decreased”, and 41.4 percent felt it has increased.
- Respondents feel most safe walking alone in their neighborhood during the day and at home.
- The survey results suggest that race and ethnic relations have been consistently positive (excellent and good) among Cupertino residents over the last 14 years.
 - 84.9 percent of the residents in the current survey indicated “excellent” or “good” ratings for race and ethnic relations in the City.
 - 34.9 percent of the respondents indicated that Cupertino’s ethnic diversity has made them more sympathetic and open, while 53.6 percent indicated there was no change in how they feel.
- Further, 63.0 percent of the residents indicated they felt that City’s efforts to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City was “about right”. While, 14.6 percent feel that the City is not doing enough.

- Respondents indicated that the Cupertino Courier (27.1%) and the Cupertino Scene (18.1%) were the most used sources to find City information.
- Nineteen percent of respondents indicated social media as a source to find City information with NextDoor (12.6%) being the largest component.
- Sixty-one percent of respondents have visited the City's website.
- Forty-four percent of respondents indicated that direct mail was the most preferred way of being informed about city projects, meetings, events and updates. Another 33.8 percent indicated email and 20 percent indicated the city website was the best source.




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Key Findings: Living in Cupertino

Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life



Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	254 43.6%	127 45.1%	127 42.6%	0 .0%	40 51.7%	56 55.4%	61 43.2%	49 31.9%	43 42.6%	5 56.4%
Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	118 41.8%	141 47.5%	1 34.4%	27 34.9%	41 40.3%	65 45.9%	80 52.4%	44 43.3%	4 43.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	24 8.5%	25 8.5%	1 33.7%	6 7.4%	4 4.3%	13 9.0%	17 11.2%	10 10.3%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	11 3.8%	4 1.2%	1 31.9%	5 6.0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	5 3.6%	2 2.3%	0 .0%
DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .8%	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	2 1.5%	0 .0%

Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	254 43.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	52 27.5%	1 48.8%	45 42.1%	4 24.0%	20 80.4%	7 88.2%	14 100.0%	7 67.6%	81 54.3%	8 35.4%	3 27.8%
Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	117 62.0%	1 51.2%	46 43.6%	9 57.6%	5 19.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 32.4%	49 32.8%	12 52.1%	7 57.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	13 12.2%	3 18.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.0%	1 2.4%	1 11.5%
Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	2 7.3%	0 2.7%
DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	1 2.8%	0 .0%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino



Note: Responses with fewer than 3 percent mentions have not been charted above. For more details, refer to the topline report in Appendix C.

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	17 6.0%	22 7.3%	0 .0%	4 5.7%	12 12.0%	2 1.8%	8 5.2%	10 9.4%	2 24.3%
Close to work	38 6.6%	12 4.4%	26 8.7%	0 .0%	5 6.3%	11 10.6%	6 4.4%	11 7.5%	5 5.1%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 4.0%	6 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	3 2.4%	5 3.5%	3 3.3%	1 7.2%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	39 13.8%	55 18.4%	0 .0%	25 33.0%	19 18.5%	12 8.6%	17 11.3%	20 19.6%	0 .0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	23 8.3%	19 6.5%	2 65.6%	15 20.1%	8 8.1%	9 6.3%	7 4.9%	4 3.6%	1 12.5%
Job	26 4.5%	15 5.5%	11 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	8 5.9%	5 3.3%	8 7.8%	1 12.5%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	7 2.5%	10 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.3%	5 3.8%	4 2.6%	5 5.3%	0 .0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	2 .7%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	7 2.5%	14 4.7%	0 .0%	7 9.7%	2 2.4%	6 4.0%	3 1.9%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	107 38.1%	97 32.6%	1 34.4%	12 15.5%	21 21.1%	76 53.8%	72 47.5%	22 21.6%	2 18.7%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	17 6.2%	19 6.4%	0 .0%	4 4.6%	8 7.9%	5 3.4%	7 4.9%	10 10.3%	2 24.9%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	8 3.0%	7 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	6 4.3%	5 3.2%	2 1.6%	0 .0%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	1 .2%	2 .5%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	9 3.1%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	6 5.6%	0 .0%	4 2.7%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 12.7%	2 7.9%	3 23.7%
Close to work	38 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.4%	5 34.9%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	18 12.0%	6 24.9%	1 9.7%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%	0 .0%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	0 .0%	9 5.8%	1 6.3%	1 5.4%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	42 22.0%	0 .0%	10 9.5%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%	0 .0%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	13 8.5%	1 4.3%	5 41.0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 8.5%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 9.4%	6 24.5%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	12 8.0%	3 12.6%	1 11.0%
Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	0 .0%	5 4.5%	0 .0%	2 6.6%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	0 .0%	4 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	6 3.7%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	80 42.0%	2 100.0%	61 57.2%	7 45.5%	4 15.2%	5 65.6%	1 7.1%	6 56.2%	28 19.1%	5 20.2%	1 6.5%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.2%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%	3 14.7%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.1%	0 .0%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons Continued


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino



Note: Responses with fewer than 3 percent mentions have not been charted above. For more details, refer to the topline report in Appendix C.

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino Continued



Note: Responses with fewer than 3 percent mentions have not been charted above. For more details, refer to the topline report in Appendix C.

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	64 22.9%	50 16.6%	0 .0%	15 19.5%	29 28.8%	30 21.3%	22 14.1%	15 14.8%	3 36.8%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	9 3.3%	12 4.0%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	8 8.1%	4 2.6%	1 .9%	2 2.2%	0 .0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	14 4.9%	17 5.6%	0 .0%	7 8.9%	8 8.0%	3 2.4%	8 5.5%	4 3.9%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	70 24.7%	50 16.9%	1 31.9%	9 11.3%	16 15.5%	30 21.1%	38 24.7%	26 25.8%	3 31.1%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	25 8.9%	42 14.1%	0 .0%	13 17.0%	6 6.2%	24 16.7%	19 12.2%	6 5.6%	0 .0%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	24 8.6%	39 13.2%	0 .0%	11 13.7%	9 9.0%	28 20.0%	13 8.2%	3 3.4%	0 .0%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	10 3.7%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.6%	4 2.8%	5 3.2%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	5 1.8%	2 .7%	0 .0%	4 5.6%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.0%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	1 .2%	5 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	3 1.2%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	1 .8%	2 1.5%	2 1.6%	0 .0%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	9 3.1%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	5 6.6%	0 .0%	3 2.3%	1 .9%	3 3.0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	11 3.9%	10 3.3%	1 34.4%	0 .0%	6 6.3%	4 3.0%	8 5.2%	3 3.3%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Race relations	18 3.0%	7 2.6%	10 3.5%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.5%	5 3.6%	5 3.2%	1 1.3%	1 12.5%
Taxes	27 4.7%	11 4.0%	16 5.4%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	6 6.1%	6 4.5%	7 4.6%	5 4.8%	0 .0%
Traffic	330 56.8%	154 54.7%	174 58.6%	2 65.6%	37 47.7%	56 55.1%	74 52.4%	97 63.8%	62 60.7%	5 56.1%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	14 4.8%	9 3.1%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	2 2.0%	5 3.9%	11 7.2%	2 1.9%	0 .0%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	15 5.2%	11 3.8%	1 34.4%	4 5.0%	6 5.9%	8 5.4%	6 3.9%	3 3.1%	1 7.2%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	10 3.6%	6 2.1%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	6 5.5%	5 3.4%	4 2.5%	0 .1%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	2 .5%	4 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	2 1.1%	0 .4%	0 .0%
Parking	7 1.2%	1 .4%	6 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	2 1.7%	2 1.6%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	12 4.1%	3 1.1%	1 33.7%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	5 3.6%	6 4.0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	13 4.7%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	5 4.8%	6 4.2%	2 1.4%	7 7.1%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	8 2.7%	14 4.8%	0 .0%	10 13.5%	3 3.3%	1 .9%	1 .3%	6 6.3%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino


Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.0%	0 .0%	25 23.9%	5 35.4%	4 15.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	37 25.2%	10 42.2%	1 5.0%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 9.6%	0 .0%	2 6.6%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	2 10.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 8.3%	1 4.3%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	37 19.6%	1 51.2%	17 16.5%	2 14.6%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 26.5%	38 25.6%	8 34.4%	4 36.4%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	25 13.1%	0 .0%	20 18.8%	1 9.4%	2 6.9%	1 11.8%	9 69.4%	1 11.4%	2 1.6%	4 16.1%	0 .0%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	1 51.2%	13 12.6%	0 .0%	5 20.2%	3 40.9%	0 .0%	3 28.2%	12 8.1%	1 5.1%	1 9.2%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.6%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 1.9%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	0 .0%	1 8.0%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	1 10.2%	11 7.2%	1 4.6%	0 2.2%
Race relations	18 3.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	7 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 3.3%	0 2.2%
Taxes	27 4.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%	0 .0%	3 23.5%	0 .0%	6 4.3%	4 15.3%	1 12.2%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Traffic	330 56.8%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	118 62.4%	1 48.8%	45 42.9%	7 43.7%	17 68.7%	5 65.6%	11 81.6%	7 73.5%	83 55.9%	9 39.4%	8 66.0%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	4 4.1%	0 .0%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	2 21.3%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.8%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.8%	2 8.8%	1 9.1%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.3%	1 48.8%	3 3.3%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Parking	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.2%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	4 27.8%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.6%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	5 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q4: Sense of Community



Q4: Sense of Community

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very strong	101 17.4%	55 19.7%	46 15.4%	0 .0%	14 18.5%	24 24.1%	25 17.9%	19 12.5%	18 18.1%	0 .0%
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	123 43.6%	160 53.7%	1 34.4%	38 49.2%	35 34.6%	80 56.7%	73 48.1%	52 51.0%	6 63.9%
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	72 25.7%	57 19.2%	1 33.7%	20 25.6%	35 34.6%	22 15.9%	37 24.2%	14 14.1%	2 23.6%
Very weak	33 5.7%	19 6.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	9 6.3%	11 7.4%	9 9.1%	0 .0%
None at all	26 4.5%	8 3.0%	18 6.0%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	3 2.8%	3 2.3%	8 5.3%	6 5.7%	1 12.5%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	4 2.3%	2 2.1%	0 .0%

Q4: Sense of Community Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very strong	101 17.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	26 24.6%	0 .0%	5 19.9%	1 17.2%	9 65.2%	5 51.3%	24 16.2%	1 4.3%	1 5.5%
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	109 57.7%	1 48.8%	46 43.5%	7 44.0%	19 75.7%	5 65.6%	3 23.1%	4 38.5%	61 41.2%	9 37.4%	6 48.8%
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	43 23.0%	0 .0%	27 25.1%	2 13.8%	1 4.4%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	38 25.8%	10 44.8%	2 15.7%
Very weak	33 5.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	1 51.2%	2 2.1%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 10.8%	1 3.3%	3 22.0%
None at all	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	4 3.6%	4 25.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	8 5.1%	2 7.3%	1 8.0%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	2 1.0%	1 2.8%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Satisfaction with City Services

Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services



Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	166 28.6%	79 28.0%	88 29.5%	0 .0%	29 38.2%	40 39.9%	28 20.1%	30 19.6%	35 34.1%	4 44.6%
Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	133 47.1%	144 48.4%	2 68.1%	28 36.1%	45 44.8%	74 52.9%	73 47.8%	53 52.5%	5 55.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	37 13.1%	34 11.5%	0 .0%	10 13.3%	10 9.4%	21 15.0%	23 14.9%	8 7.4%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	18 6.5%	18 5.9%	1 31.9%	3 3.7%	3 3.2%	9 6.7%	18 11.6%	4 3.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA	29 5.0%	15 5.3%	14 4.8%	0 .0%	7 8.7%	3 2.7%	8 5.4%	9 6.2%	2 2.4%	0 .0%

Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services

Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	166 28.6%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	36 19.0%	0 .0%	23 22.1%	4 26.4%	13 53.8%	6 75.3%	6 44.1%	2 23.4%	57 38.2%	10 43.2%	2 17.1%
Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.8%	0 .0%	51 48.4%	6 38.2%	10 39.8%	2 24.7%	7 48.9%	5 48.7%	68 46.0%	10 41.7%	5 47.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	34 17.8%	1 51.2%	17 15.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.8%	2 7.1%	4 33.2%
Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.1%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	4 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	29 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.2%	1 48.8%	4 3.8%	1 7.1%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	3 27.9%	5 3.5%	2 7.9%	0 2.7%

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services Continued



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.54	2.00	1.73	1.65	1.48	1.49	1.56	1.43
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.37	.39	1.33	1.35	1.20	1.40	1.51	2.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.36	2.00	1.39	1.39	1.31	1.26	1.35	1.58
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.18	1.37	.67	1.32	1.29	1.30	1.19	1.31	1.56
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.11	1.30	1.48	1.22	1.46	.97	1.22	1.29	1.56
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.07	1.17	1.00	1.13	1.30	1.12	.99	1.15	.84
6A. Police services	1.12	1.09	1.16	-.31	1.00	1.46	.99	.87	1.36	1.81
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.10	-.33	1.14	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.13	1.58
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.97	1.07	.	1.03	1.24	.64	1.03	1.18	.93
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.95	1.06	1.51	.84	1.39	.99	.79	1.12	1.62
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.94	1.49	1.07	.89	.91	.90	1.18	.93
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.84	.96	-.33	1.16	.84	.95	.74	.94	.53
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.85	-.29	1.07	.73	.85	.54	1.01	.92
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.74	.78	.04	.64	.87	.81	.46	1.14	.04
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.71	.04	.91	.66	.82	.58	.87	-.04
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.72	.52	1.00	.74	.45	.67	.53	.78	.13
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.40	.36	-2.00	.52	.66	.29	-.02	.70	-.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.11	-.08	-2.00	.21	.02	-.24	-.34	.14	-.64

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
6E. Library services	1.56	2.00	1.00	1.52	2.00	1.39	1.54	1.81	1.86	2.00	1.63	1.65	1.38	1.50
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	2.00	1.00	1.25	.46	1.17	.82	1.70	2.00	1.79	1.09	1.62	1.16	1.48
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	2.00	1.00	1.19	-1.00	1.27	1.15	1.89	1.82	2.00	1.24	1.42	1.28	1.48
6D. Recycling program	1.28	2.00	1.00	1.03	-1.00	1.23	1.15	1.71	1.75	1.64	1.14	1.53	1.38	1.34
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	2.00	.	.98	1.00	.61	1.63	2.00	.	1.38	1.36	1.71	1.42	1.74
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	1.00	.92	2.00	1.08	1.24	1.66	1.00	1.77	.83	1.30	1.30	1.03
6A. Police services	1.12	.00	1.00	.88	2.00	.81	.62	1.53	1.42	1.87	.97	1.52	1.39	1.02
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.50	1.00	1.01	1.49	.98	1.05	1.61	.96	1.63	1.00	1.16	1.47	1.04
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.	.	.95	.	.54	1.40	1.39	1.57	1.21	1.00	1.30	.48	1.08
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.00	.	.82	.	.92	.41	1.39	2.00	2.00	-.79	1.23	1.42	1.13
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	2.00	1.00	.94	1.49	.81	1.15	1.18	1.49	1.40	.45	1.13	.62	1.11
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	2.00	1.00	.84	1.49	.70	.82	1.66	1.07	1.40	.91	1.00	1.46	.28
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	2.00	.	.65	.	.71	.48	1.38	1.54	1.55	1.08	.96	.27	.79
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	2.00	1.00	.67	.	.56	.14	1.46	1.54	1.46	.66	.95	.52	.88
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	2.00	.	.75	1.49	.68	.79	1.50	1.00	1.30	1.03	.79	-.44	.74
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.50	1.00	.67	1.49	.39	.49	1.68	.48	1.30	.50	.66	-.04	.35
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.50	1.00	.23	.	.33	-.55	1.25	1.85	.95	.68	.34	.07	-.16
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.50	1.00	-.06	-1.49	-.39	.00	.63	1.41	.01	.22	-.19	.22	-.31

Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department

Overall job Recreation and Community Services Department is doing



Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	179 30.7%	79 28.1%	99 33.1%	1 34.4%	20 26.7%	40 39.4%	45 31.7%	32 21.0%	38 37.1%	4 43.9%
Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	130 46.3%	132 44.3%	0 .0%	34 44.0%	49 48.8%	65 46.3%	66 43.5%	45 44.0%	3 31.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	18 6.4%	11 3.8%	1 31.9%	2 3.0%	3 2.7%	10 7.0%	14 9.4%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	4 1.5%	8 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.8%	1 .9%	5 4.9%	0 .0%
DK/NA	99 17.0%	50 17.7%	48 16.1%	1 33.7%	20 26.4%	9 9.0%	16 11.1%	39 25.3%	13 12.8%	2 24.9%

Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	179 30.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	39 20.6%	0 .0%	25 24.0%	5 33.4%	12 49.4%	5 58.1%	12 88.3%	4 35.3%	58 38.9%	6 26.5%	3 29.0%
Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	100 52.8%	2 100.0%	50 47.5%	7 42.9%	9 35.4%	2 30.0%	2 11.7%	3 26.5%	54 36.5%	13 56.3%	5 43.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.6%	0 .0%	9 8.9%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA	99 17.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	36 19.2%	0 .0%	16 15.2%	1 6.7%	4 15.3%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	4 38.2%	29 19.5%	2 9.1%	3 27.4%

Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks (n=582)



Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More than once a week	116 19.9%	53 19.0%	61 20.6%	1 33.7%	10 12.9%	25 24.3%	32 22.8%	30 19.9%	18 17.4%	1 11.8%
Once a week	137 23.5%	71 25.2%	64 21.5%	2 66.3%	13 16.6%	30 29.9%	43 30.7%	31 20.0%	17 17.0%	3 32.1%
A few times a month	115 19.7%	59 21.1%	55 18.5%	0 .0%	15 18.9%	21 21.2%	28 19.9%	33 21.6%	17 16.2%	1 12.5%
Once a month	65 11.2%	33 11.8%	32 10.7%	0 .0%	12 15.9%	8 8.1%	13 9.2%	16 10.5%	15 14.4%	1 11.8%
A few times a year	86 14.8%	36 12.7%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	11 14.4%	12 11.6%	14 9.8%	30 19.5%	17 16.9%	3 31.8%
Once a year	20 3.4%	11 4.0%	9 2.9%	0 .0%	8 10.9%	2 2.4%	3 2.4%	3 2.0%	2 2.3%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	13 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	6 3.8%	7 7.0%	0 .0%
Never	22 3.9%	10 3.6%	12 4.2%	0 .0%	8 10.3%	2 2.4%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	9 8.7%	0 .0%
DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .8%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More than once a week	116 19.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	35 18.7%	0 .0%	23 21.4%	1 6.7%	5 19.9%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	39 26.4%	4 16.1%	4 32.3%
Once a week	137 23.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	47 24.9%	0 .0%	32 30.6%	4 27.8%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	7 48.9%	3 28.2%	25 17.1%	5 23.2%	3 25.8%
A few times a month	115 19.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	34 18.1%	1 48.8%	26 24.8%	4 26.0%	7 29.1%	3 40.9%	2 11.3%	1 12.0%	26 17.4%	4 16.3%	0 .0%
Once a month	65 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	27 14.4%	1 51.2%	9 8.3%	1 7.1%	3 13.3%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	14 9.4%	4 19.3%	1 9.8%
A few times a year	86 14.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	32 16.9%	0 .0%	10 9.2%	2 15.0%	1 4.2%	2 29.0%	3 21.0%	1 10.2%	23 15.5%	4 18.1%	3 23.7%
Once a year	20 3.4%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	6 2.9%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 2.4%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	18 3.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	2 .9%	0 .0%	3 3.0%	1 7.1%	2 6.9%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	6 3.7%	0 .0%	1 7.0%
Never	22 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 10.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 39.4%	10 6.9%	1 4.6%	0 1.5%
DK/NA	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities (n=582)



Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More than once a week	23 4.0%	11 3.7%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	4 3.9%	7 4.8%	5 3.0%	6 6.0%	0 .0%
Once a week	33 5.7%	12 4.3%	21 7.2%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	17 12.0%	8 5.5%	4 4.0%	2 19.6%
A few times a month	26 4.5%	11 3.8%	16 5.2%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	3 2.8%	9 6.3%	6 3.7%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Once a month	47 8.0%	25 9.0%	21 6.9%	1 34.4%	5 6.1%	11 10.5%	9 6.5%	7 4.8%	15 14.8%	0 .0%
A few times a year	145 25.0%	64 22.8%	81 27.3%	0 .0%	15 19.8%	35 34.8%	38 27.1%	44 29.2%	10 10.0%	2 24.9%
Once a year	33 5.7%	18 6.5%	15 5.0%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	13 9.4%	11 7.4%	6 5.7%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	76 13.1%	40 14.2%	34 11.4%	2 65.6%	10 13.3%	9 9.0%	14 10.0%	29 18.9%	13 12.6%	1 11.8%
Never	172 29.6%	88 31.3%	84 28.2%	0 .0%	33 43.0%	32 31.4%	25 17.4%	39 25.3%	41 40.1%	3 36.8%
DK/NA	26 4.4%	13 4.4%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	8 7.6%	9 6.7%	3 2.2%	3 3.1%	1 6.8%

Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More than once a week	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.9%	1 4.6%	0 3.8%
Once a week	33 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 9.8%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 28.2%	5 3.4%	1 3.5%	1 8.1%
A few times a month	26 4.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 6.7%	0 .0%	6 6.1%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Once a month	47 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.1%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
A few times a year	145 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	40 21.2%	0 .0%	45 42.7%	1 6.7%	7 26.4%	4 53.8%	10 76.1%	0 .0%	26 17.6%	4 18.3%	3 23.7%
Once a year	33 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%	5 4.7%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	8 5.7%	1 2.4%	1 8.0%
Less than once a year	76 13.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 10.6%	0 .0%	13 12.2%	7 47.6%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	22 15.0%	0 .0%	3 28.0%
Never	172 29.6%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	43 22.5%	0 .0%	19 17.7%	6 36.3%	10 40.6%	4 46.2%	2 11.3%	4 39.4%	57 38.3%	17 71.1%	3 28.4%
DK/NA	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.9%	1 48.8%	6 6.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	8 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Transportation Choices

Q10: Daily Commuting Choices



Q10: Daily Commuting Choices

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Bicycle	39 6.7%	25 8.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%	4 5.6%	6 6.3%	16 11.2%	10 6.4%	2 1.7%	1 12.5%
Bus	38 6.5%	12 4.2%	26 8.7%	0 .0%	10 12.9%	5 5.2%	6 4.6%	2 1.3%	14 13.8%	0 .0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	39 13.7%	41 13.7%	1 33.7%	12 16.0%	20 20.2%	20 14.3%	17 10.9%	9 9.0%	2 19.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	248 88.1%	257 86.3%	3 100.0%	64 82.8%	93 91.7%	127 89.8%	142 93.2%	75 73.9%	8 87.5%
Lightrail	7 1.2%	1 .4%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.8%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Train	14 2.3%	5 1.6%	9 3.0%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.8%	5 3.7%	3 1.7%	0 .1%	0 .0%
Walk	77 13.2%	37 13.1%	38 12.7%	2 65.6%	9 11.3%	13 13.3%	22 15.9%	22 14.3%	10 10.0%	0 .0%
Other	10 1.7%	3 1.0%	7 2.4%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.2%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Q10: Daily Commuting Choices

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Bicycle	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	10 9.6%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%	1 3.5%	1 5.0%
Bus	38 6.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	8 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	3 1.8%	1 4.6%	0 2.7%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 16.1%	1 48.8%	15 14.4%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	18 12.1%	3 12.6%	2 18.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	147 77.8%	2 100.0%	95 90.2%	15 100.0%	25 100.0%	8 100.0%	14 100.0%	7 72.1%	133 89.8%	22 95.4%	12 100.0%
Lightrail	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Train	14 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	1 51.2%	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Walk	77 13.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.6%	1 48.8%	12 11.4%	2 13.8%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	25 16.9%	1 3.5%	1 11.0%
Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation



Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Daily	34 5.8%	13 4.6%	20 6.6%	1 31.9%	6 8.3%	5 5.2%	5 3.9%	8 5.1%	9 8.6%	0 .0%
Few times a week	70 12.0%	38 13.4%	31 10.5%	1 33.7%	14 17.9%	8 8.3%	14 9.9%	22 14.4%	11 10.8%	1 12.5%
Once a week	43 7.3%	20 7.2%	21 7.1%	1 34.4%	10 13.6%	8 7.5%	11 7.8%	7 4.7%	5 5.2%	1 12.5%
Few times a month	71 12.1%	34 11.9%	37 12.4%	0 .0%	7 9.2%	14 13.6%	17 11.9%	19 12.4%	13 12.7%	1 12.5%
Once a month	39 6.7%	14 5.0%	25 8.4%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	2 2.4%	10 7.4%	14 9.0%	9 9.0%	1 11.8%
Few times a year or less	98 16.8%	54 19.2%	44 14.7%	0 .0%	9 12.3%	20 19.9%	29 20.3%	26 17.2%	13 12.6%	1 6.8%
Never	225 38.7%	107 38.1%	118 39.7%	0 .0%	27 35.6%	44 43.1%	53 37.9%	57 37.3%	40 39.6%	4 43.9%
DK/NA	3 .5%	1 .5%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	1 1.5%	0 .0%

Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Daily	34 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	0 .0%	6 5.6%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	8 5.2%	4 17.1%	0 .0%
Few times a week	70 12.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 7.8%	0 .0%	13 12.6%	4 23.2%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	31 21.1%	0 .0%	2 14.2%
Once a week	43 7.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 8.0%	0 .0%	7 7.1%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	1 12.0%	10 7.0%	3 12.6%	1 8.0%
Few times a month	71 12.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 13.7%	1 48.8%	10 9.6%	1 9.4%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	1 10.2%	16 11.0%	3 14.7%	2 14.2%
Once a month	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	21 11.2%	0 .0%	6 5.5%	2 9.9%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	6 3.9%	1 3.3%	0 .0%
Few times a year or less	98 16.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	35 18.2%	0 .0%	24 22.2%	1 7.9%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	3 21.0%	2 22.2%	22 14.9%	4 15.1%	1 9.8%
Never	225 38.7%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	69 36.3%	1 51.2%	38 36.3%	7 42.9%	13 53.5%	8 100.0%	6 44.2%	1 11.4%	54 36.5%	9 37.3%	6 53.9%
DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation



Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	548	268	278	2	70	96	135	145	93	9
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	11 4.2%	26 9.4%	0 .0%	8 11.3%	0 .0%	5 4.1%	3 1.9%	18 19.2%	3 37.4%
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	52 19.4%	47 17.0%	2 100.0%	5 6.7%	17 18.0%	35 25.7%	32 22.4%	12 13.2%	0 .0%
Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	13 4.7%	9 3.2%	1 49.5%	2 3.3%	3 3.4%	4 3.0%	7 4.6%	5 5.8%	1 11.8%
Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	111 41.4%	84 30.3%	1 50.5%	24 34.4%	25 26.3%	56 41.5%	67 46.3%	22 23.6%	2 19.3%
Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	90 33.7%	64 23.0%	1 50.5%	29 41.7%	16 17.1%	38 28.2%	50 34.4%	19 20.1%	3 31.1%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	27 10.1%	22 7.8%	1 50.5%	5 6.6%	7 7.5%	21 15.6%	12 8.5%	4 4.7%	0 .0%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	17 6.3%	14 5.0%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	6 6.7%	11 8.0%	9 6.3%	1 1.2%	1 12.5%
Prefer driving	230 42.0%	108 40.4%	121 43.5%	1 50.5%	24 33.5%	56 58.0%	57 42.4%	53 36.4%	36 39.2%	4 50.8%
Weather	30 5.5%	12 4.6%	18 6.4%	0 .0%	2 3.2%	6 6.2%	12 8.6%	8 5.6%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Other	27 4.9%	15 5.5%	12 4.3%	0 .0%	4 6.2%	7 7.0%	7 4.9%	8 5.3%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	5 1.8%	5 1.9%	0 .0%	2 2.7%	3 3.5%	1 .9%	3 1.9%	1 1.0%	0 .0%

Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	548	3	3	181	2	100	14	25	8	14	7	141	19	12
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.4%	0 .0%	5 5.0%	1 10.1%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 14.0%	1 5.2%	1 6.8%
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	38 21.1%	1 48.8%	20 19.8%	2 15.7%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	5 34.8%	4 53.4%	19 13.4%	5 26.5%	2 16.5%
Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 36.7%	4 2.6%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	71 39.1%	1 51.2%	49 49.1%	4 25.9%	6 24.5%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 32.4%	40 28.7%	8 41.6%	3 30.0%
Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	64 35.2%	0 .0%	39 39.5%	4 25.5%	1 4.2%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 16.6%	29 20.6%	7 35.1%	3 26.2%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.6%	1 48.8%	16 16.5%	2 15.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 6.5%	1 4.3%	1 8.7%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.6%	0 .0%	9 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 14.2%	8 5.5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Prefer driving	230 42.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	61 33.4%	2 100.0%	27 26.8%	8 53.4%	18 73.2%	6 75.3%	9 65.2%	0 .0%	75 53.3%	9 47.0%	6 48.3%
Weather	30 5.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.0%	0 .0%	6 5.7%	1 7.6%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.6%	9 6.3%	1 4.3%	1 6.0%
Other	27 4.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.7%	0 .0%	6 6.2%	0 .0%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.7%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 14.2%	1 .6%	1 3.4%	0 .0%

Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads



Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very likely	274 47.1%	131 46.5%	142 47.9%	1 33.7%	41 53.6%	53 52.5%	71 50.1%	74 48.5%	33 32.7%	2 24.9%
Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	87 31.0%	95 32.1%	0 .0%	23 30.5%	28 27.7%	45 32.0%	48 31.5%	34 33.6%	4 43.9%
Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	19 6.8%	24 8.0%	0 .0%	4 5.7%	10 9.5%	8 5.9%	10 6.2%	11 11.1%	0 .0%
Very unlikely	67 11.5%	39 13.8%	26 8.8%	2 66.3%	8 10.2%	8 7.6%	11 7.8%	19 12.2%	20 19.4%	2 24.3%
DK/NA	15 2.5%	5 1.8%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	6 4.1%	2 1.5%	3 3.2%	1 6.8%

Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very likely	274 47.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	70 37.1%	1 48.8%	65 61.2%	8 49.1%	12 49.1%	3 40.9%	11 80.3%	8 76.6%	64 43.0%	14 60.4%	4 37.9%
Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	71 37.4%	0 .0%	32 30.0%	6 36.7%	7 28.6%	2 30.0%	2 12.6%	1 12.0%	44 29.8%	9 36.8%	4 33.2%
Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	1 51.2%	3 2.5%	0 .0%	4 15.4%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.1%	1 2.8%	2 21.5%
Very unlikely	67 11.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.5%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	2 14.2%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 11.4%	23 15.5%	0 .0%	1 7.5%
DK/NA	15 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Public Works

Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks



Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	276 47.4%	136 48.4%	140 46.9%	0 .0%	37 48.0%	51 50.8%	65 46.4%	53 35.0%	64 62.7%	5 56.4%
No	56 9.5%	19 6.8%	34 11.5%	2 65.6%	8 10.0%	8 8.1%	12 8.8%	19 12.5%	8 8.0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	251 43.1%	126 44.8%	124 41.5%	1 34.4%	32 42.0%	42 41.1%	63 44.9%	80 52.5%	30 29.2%	4 43.6%

Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	276 47.4%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	85 44.8%	0 .0%	34 32.3%	7 43.3%	19 75.7%	6 71.0%	8 60.2%	6 56.2%	85 57.2%	11 48.7%	4 37.1%
No	56 9.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.6%	0 .0%	14 13.1%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	4 32.7%	0 .0%	15 9.8%	1 4.6%	4 33.4%
DK/NA	251 43.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	94 49.7%	2 100.0%	58 54.6%	9 56.7%	5 19.9%	2 29.0%	1 7.1%	4 43.8%	49 33.0%	11 46.8%	3 29.5%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year



2017 Homeowners
Support – 62.0%
Oppose – 30.5%
DK – 7.5%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Strongly support	176 30.2%	76 27.0%	100 33.6%	0 .0%	30 38.6%	44 43.8%	37 26.0%	38 24.6%	27 26.3%	1 12.5%
Somewhat support	185 31.8%	88 31.3%	95 31.9%	2 66.3%	21 27.7%	20 19.5%	57 40.4%	45 29.2%	39 38.5%	3 36.8%
Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	41 14.7%	37 12.5%	0 .0%	11 13.7%	20 19.6%	15 10.8%	22 14.6%	11 10.4%	0 .0%
Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	53 18.8%	45 15.2%	1 33.7%	5 6.6%	10 9.4%	25 18.0%	39 25.4%	18 17.3%	3 31.5%
DK/NA	43 7.5%	23 8.3%	20 6.8%	0 .0%	10 13.4%	8 7.7%	7 4.9%	9 6.1%	8 7.4%	2 19.3%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Strongly support	176 30.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	2 100.0%	30 28.8%	8 50.4%	6 22.3%	5 58.1%	13 92.9%	2 16.3%	50 33.9%	8 33.7%	4 33.8%
Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	80 42.3%	0 .0%	26 24.9%	3 17.4%	11 45.0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	1 12.0%	47 31.8%	4 17.6%	2 15.0%
Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%	17 15.6%	3 18.4%	7 28.0%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	12 7.8%	4 17.3%	3 23.0%
Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	28 15.0%	0 .0%	25 23.8%	2 13.8%	1 4.7%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	30 19.9%	1 2.8%	3 26.0%
DK/NA	43 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	10 6.6%	7 28.6%	0 2.2%

Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30% (n=582)



32.9% Willing to Pay \$6<

Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30%

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	24 8.5%	17 5.7%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	16 16.0%	6 4.1%	11 7.4%	6 5.5%	0 .0%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	17 6.2%	14 4.7%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	13 9.3%	10 6.8%	3 2.7%	0 .0%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	49 17.3%	70 23.6%	0 .0%	30 39.7%	23 22.9%	26 18.5%	25 16.3%	14 14.2%	0 .0%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	71 25.3%	73 24.4%	1 34.4%	16 20.2%	28 27.5%	29 20.9%	35 22.9%	34 33.9%	3 31.1%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	94 33.3%	102 34.3%	2 65.6%	10 13.5%	27 26.5%	56 39.7%	65 42.7%	36 35.1%	4 43.9%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	26 9.4%	22 7.3%	0 .0%	13 17.3%	7 7.2%	11 7.5%	6 3.9%	9 8.6%	2 24.9%


Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30%

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	8 7.9%	1 6.7%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 9.9%	3 12.6%	0 .0%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	9 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	5 3.5%	1 4.3%	0 .0%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	33 17.3%	1 48.8%	25 23.5%	2 15.0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	14 100.0%	3 27.9%	33 22.1%	0 .0%	0 3.8%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	60 31.7%	1 51.2%	10 9.0%	7 42.5%	12 48.1%	6 71.0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	29 19.5%	6 25.4%	7 61.9%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	63 33.1%	0 .0%	43 40.7%	4 28.7%	7 29.4%	2 29.0%	0 .0%	4 43.8%	50 33.7%	9 36.8%	4 32.1%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.4%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 11.2%	5 21.0%	0 2.2%

Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy

(n=582)



Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	195 33.5%	96 34.0%	97 32.6%	2 65.6%	16 21.2%	34 33.2%	52 36.9%	49 32.4%	40 39.0%	4 43.9%
No	338 58.1%	164 58.3%	173 58.1%	1 34.4%	52 67.1%	60 59.3%	73 51.6%	92 60.1%	59 57.7%	3 36.8%
DK/NA	49 8.5%	22 7.7%	28 9.3%	0 .0%	9 11.7%	8 7.4%	16 11.5%	12 7.6%	3 3.3%	2 19.3%

Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	195 33.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	55 29.0%	1 48.8%	39 36.8%	1 6.7%	7 26.4%	1 17.2%	3 23.9%	4 35.3%	61 41.0%	4 18.6%	7 60.8%
No	338 58.1%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	112 58.9%	0 .0%	57 53.5%	12 75.9%	18 73.6%	7 82.8%	10 76.1%	7 64.7%	79 53.1%	17 75.0%	5 39.2%
DK/NA	49 8.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.0%	1 51.2%	10 9.6%	3 17.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.9%	1 6.3%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Economic Development

Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment



Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	95 16.3%	46 16.5%	47 15.6%	2 66.3%	8 9.9%	24 23.7%	18 13.1%	22 14.4%	22 21.6%	1 11.8%
Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	103 36.7%	110 36.9%	0 .0%	29 37.3%	41 40.4%	54 38.4%	46 30.1%	42 41.0%	2 19.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	65 23.2%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%	23 30.2%	21 21.2%	31 21.7%	43 28.4%	17 16.4%	5 56.1%
Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	63 22.4%	62 20.9%	0 .0%	17 22.6%	10 9.5%	38 26.7%	41 26.6%	19 18.7%	1 12.5%
DK/NA	8 1.5%	3 1.2%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 5.2%	0 .0%	1 .5%	2 2.3%	0 .0%

Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	95 16.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	14 7.7%	0 .0%	19 18.1%	1 9.4%	7 28.8%	6 71.0%	5 39.4%	3 27.9%	26 17.4%	3 13.4%	2 21.4%
Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	85 45.1%	0 .0%	35 32.9%	3 19.7%	17 66.8%	0 .0%	3 24.4%	3 33.6%	44 29.6%	7 30.6%	3 28.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	53 28.1%	1 51.2%	20 18.5%	2 15.0%	1 4.4%	1 11.8%	5 36.2%	3 26.5%	44 29.8%	2 9.6%	2 17.5%
Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	36 19.1%	1 48.8%	31 29.7%	9 56.0%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	32 21.7%	8 33.8%	4 32.7%
DK/NA	8 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	3 12.6%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino (n=582)



Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	34 12.0%	52 17.3%	0 .0%	5 6.3%	12 11.4%	17 12.1%	14 9.3%	35 33.9%	3 37.4%
Book stores	36 6.2%	21 7.3%	15 5.1%	0 .0%	6 7.2%	5 5.3%	6 4.0%	10 6.8%	7 6.7%	2 24.3%
Department stores	89 15.3%	28 10.1%	60 20.0%	1 34.4%	17 21.9%	11 10.6%	12 8.2%	23 15.0%	21 20.8%	6 68.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	34 12.2%	52 17.5%	0 .0%	14 18.9%	18 17.8%	22 15.3%	14 9.1%	14 14.2%	4 44.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	25 8.8%	12 4.0%	0 .0%	11 14.6%	10 10.1%	7 5.0%	5 3.6%	3 2.8%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	5 1.7%	34 11.4%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	19 18.8%	6 4.1%	8 5.2%	3 3.0%	0 .0%
Fast food	28 4.8%	14 5.1%	14 4.6%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	7 6.8%	5 3.7%	7 4.7%	3 3.1%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	27 9.6%	23 7.9%	0 .0%	10 13.6%	14 14.2%	10 6.8%	5 3.6%	10 10.2%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	26 9.3%	39 13.0%	0 .0%	14 18.3%	16 15.8%	13 9.5%	11 7.0%	10 9.5%	1 11.8%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	20 7.3%	52 17.5%	0 .0%	15 20.0%	14 13.8%	13 9.5%	18 11.8%	12 11.6%	0 .0%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	52 18.5%	61 20.4%	0 .0%	10 13.0%	24 24.0%	35 25.0%	31 20.6%	11 10.4%	1 12.5%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	34 12.1%	50 16.9%	1 34.4%	9 12.2%	19 19.1%	23 16.5%	22 14.3%	9 9.3%	2 24.9%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	21 7.5%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	5 6.6%	5 4.8%	13 9.4%	10 6.4%	1 1.3%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	4 1.6%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	4 2.4%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	9 3.3%	6 2.0%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	5 4.7%	4 2.7%	4 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	10 3.7%	13 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 6.6%	8 5.4%	7 4.3%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	20 7.1%	11 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	12 8.3%	10 6.6%	4 4.2%	0 .0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	6 2.2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.5%	0 .3%	1 .9%	0 .3%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .1%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	2 .7%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	16 5.7%	19 6.5%	1 33.7%	6 7.3%	8 7.6%	10 7.0%	6 3.7%	7 6.4%	1 12.5%
Nothing	47 8.1%	23 8.2%	23 7.8%	1 31.9%	5 6.7%	3 2.9%	15 10.7%	13 8.6%	11 11.0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	22 7.7%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	7 8.7%	4 4.3%	4 3.1%	6 4.2%	5 5.1%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	33 17.2%	0 .0%	16 15.5%	4 25.9%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 19.0%	2 7.0%	1 7.0%
Book stores	36 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .0%	4 3.5%	3 17.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	2 16.3%	12 8.2%	4 17.5%	0 .0%
Department stores	89 15.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	12 10.9%	4 25.5%	3 11.4%	3 34.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	39 26.0%	4 16.1%	0 .0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.3%	0 .0%	8 7.8%	5 34.9%	3 13.3%	3 40.9%	11 80.3%	0 .0%	24 16.0%	3 10.9%	2 15.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	4 32.3%	0 .0%	10 6.5%	3 12.3%	1 8.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	7 26.5%	3 40.9%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Fast food	28 4.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	5 2.9%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	3 18.4%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	3 23.1%	0 .0%	9 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	13 12.3%	2 9.9%	3 13.1%	0 .0%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	9 5.9%	4 16.9%	4 31.5%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.4%	0 .0%	16 15.1%	3 18.4%	6 24.5%	5 58.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 13.2%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.1%	0 .0%	12 11.3%	1 6.7%	2 6.9%	5 58.1%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	19 12.9%	3 15.0%	0 2.7%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 13.5%	1 48.8%	23 22.0%	6 37.5%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	6 47.6%	2 16.3%	29 19.6%	8 33.9%	3 25.7%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 12.3%	1 48.8%	14 13.1%	3 17.0%	5 20.2%	1 17.2%	3 23.1%	0 .0%	24 16.2%	5 19.6%	2 15.5%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.5%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	1 7.1%	1 4.7%	1 12.8%	3 24.4%	0 .0%	6 4.0%	1 3.5%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Home improvement/ Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	1 48.8%	5 4.4%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.2%	1 51.2%	1 1.0%	2 13.8%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	2 17.1%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.2%	0 .0%	10 9.1%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.3%	1 3.5%	2 19.3%
Ethnic food resturands/ Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%	11 10.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 24.5%	0 .0%	12 7.9%	0 .0%	2 13.7%
Nothing	47 8.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	12 11.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 39.9%	12 7.8%	5 21.4%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	0 .0%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	2 21.6%	8 5.3%	1 2.8%	0 .0%

Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street (n=582)



Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Eureka	82 14.1%	36 12.6%	46 15.6%	0 .0%	15 19.1%	19 18.7%	23 16.6%	19 12.1%	5 5.2%	1 12.5%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	91 32.4%	126 42.4%	1 33.7%	14 18.7%	41 40.0%	70 50.0%	70 46.2%	22 21.3%	1 12.5%
Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	90 31.9%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%	23 29.4%	31 30.8%	50 35.2%	44 29.0%	16 15.4%	2 19.3%
Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	30 10.7%	26 8.6%	0 .0%	17 21.6%	8 8.2%	17 12.1%	11 7.4%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	29 10.2%	13 4.5%	0 .0%	7 9.2%	5 4.7%	17 11.9%	11 7.4%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Safeway	281 48.2%	136 48.4%	144 48.2%	1 33.7%	16 20.5%	49 48.1%	82 57.9%	75 49.5%	54 52.9%	6 63.2%
Sprouts	246 42.3%	121 42.8%	125 41.8%	1 33.7%	21 27.0%	36 35.9%	71 50.2%	82 54.1%	32 31.8%	3 38.3%
Steinmart	69 11.9%	26 9.3%	42 14.2%	1 33.7%	5 6.3%	9 9.2%	16 11.3%	27 17.9%	11 10.7%	1 12.5%
Target Express	257 44.2%	128 45.3%	129 43.3%	1 33.7%	34 44.9%	54 52.9%	71 50.5%	55 36.2%	37 36.3%	6 68.9%
Ulta	63 10.8%	12 4.4%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	15 19.8%	10 9.6%	17 11.8%	19 12.2%	3 2.9%	0 .0%
Other	84 14.5%	29 10.3%	55 18.3%	1 33.7%	5 6.2%	8 7.6%	23 16.7%	30 19.9%	14 14.0%	4 43.6%
Nothing	46 7.9%	33 11.7%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%	9 12.4%	10 9.5%	6 4.5%	13 8.2%	8 7.5%	0 .0%
DK/NA	13 2.2%	4 1.4%	8 2.7%	1 34.4%	3 3.6%	3 3.3%	3 2.2%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	1 12.5%

Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Eureka	82 14.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	25 13.3%	1 48.8%	12 11.7%	4 28.4%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	27 18.4%	4 17.3%	0 2.2%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	65 34.2%	2 100.0%	57 53.5%	3 21.7%	10 40.4%	3 41.9%	1 7.1%	3 34.2%	53 36.0%	9 36.7%	3 29.9%
Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	60 31.7%	1 48.8%	28 26.2%	5 35.5%	4 15.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	4 36.7%	45 30.4%	8 33.9%	2 21.3%
Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	37 19.7%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	5 18.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	7 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.1%	0 .0%	8 7.3%	2 15.0%	4 18.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 6.4%	1 3.3%	0 .0%
Safeway	281 48.2%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	77 40.8%	1 48.8%	56 53.1%	12 80.3%	14 55.4%	4 46.2%	3 18.8%	3 33.6%	74 50.2%	18 75.8%	6 50.8%
Sprouts	246 42.3%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	74 38.9%	1 48.8%	55 51.6%	9 58.0%	8 33.7%	3 41.9%	7 54.6%	5 45.6%	61 40.8%	12 50.8%	4 31.4%
Steinmart	69 11.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 8.0%	0 .0%	12 11.6%	3 21.3%	3 11.4%	2 30.0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	23 15.8%	2 7.1%	2 15.8%
Target Express	257 44.2%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	81 43.0%	1 48.8%	50 47.2%	6 38.2%	16 64.4%	7 87.2%	10 76.9%	4 38.5%	55 37.4%	12 50.7%	5 45.5%
Ulta	63 10.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	7 4.0%	0 .0%	17 16.2%	6 40.5%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	5 33.6%	1 12.0%	15 10.1%	2 10.4%	0 2.7%
Other	84 14.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	31 16.5%	1 51.2%	11 10.7%	2 14.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	25 16.9%	2 10.6%	1 10.3%
Nothing	46 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.6%	0 .0%	9 8.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	15 10.4%	2 8.0%	2 17.1%
DK/NA	13 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Public Safety

Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime



Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Increased	241 41.4%	115 41.0%	124 41.8%	1 34.4%	20 26.3%	22 21.5%	76 53.6%	84 55.2%	32 31.5%	7 80.4%
Decreased	53 9.2%	28 9.8%	25 8.4%	1 31.9%	7 8.6%	14 13.8%	19 13.2%	5 3.4%	9 8.8%	0 .0%
Stayed about same	225 38.6%	112 39.9%	111 37.4%	1 33.7%	35 45.4%	53 52.0%	39 27.5%	45 29.5%	52 50.8%	2 19.6%
DK/NA	63 10.9%	26 9.3%	37 12.5%	0 .0%	15 19.7%	13 12.7%	8 5.7%	18 11.9%	9 8.8%	0 .0%

Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Increased	241 41.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	97 51.1%	1 48.8%	59 55.8%	5 31.1%	9 37.7%	1 11.8%	2 11.3%	6 61.8%	43 29.0%	3 11.6%	3 28.4%
Decreased	53 9.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 10.1%	0 .0%	11 10.7%	1 6.7%	3 13.3%	0 .0%	5 37.1%	0 .0%	9 6.2%	0 .0%	1 8.0%
Stayed about same	225 38.6%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	61 32.0%	1 51.2%	24 23.1%	8 51.9%	11 44.6%	2 30.0%	1 7.1%	3 27.9%	78 52.6%	16 66.7%	6 55.6%
DK/NA	63 10.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	11 10.4%	2 10.3%	1 4.4%	5 58.1%	6 44.5%	1 10.2%	18 12.2%	5 21.7%	1 8.0%

Q22: Feelings of Safety (n=582)



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Safe" = +2, "Somewhat Safe" = +1, "Neither" = 0, "Somewhat Unsafe" = -1, and "Very Unsafe" = -2.

Q22: Feelings of Safety

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.56	2.00	1.67	1.51	1.60	1.48	1.60	1.81
22A. At home	1.45	1.44	1.46	1.32	1.54	1.44	1.43	1.35	1.54	1.88
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.48	1.32	.75	.70	.54	.58	.86	.99
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51	.51	.98	.75	.59	.25	.52	.74	1.01
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.54	.35	.63	.72	.54	.19	.15	.80	1.81

Q22: Feelings of Safety

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	0.5	1	1.43	2	1.4	1.73	1.84	1.88	1.76	1.28	1.77	1.45	1.85
22A. At home	1.45	1	1	1.24	2	1.26	1.84	1.74	1.75	1.93	1.07	1.75	1.4	1.5
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	0.66	0	0	0.68	1.51	0.43	0.64	0.47	0.29	-1.55	0.27	1.02	1.05	0.82
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	0.51	1	0	0.64	0	0.1	0.07	0.79	0.77	0.98	0.06	0.7	0.59	0.83
22E. Leaving your home unattended	0.44	0	1	0.28	2	-0.1	0.61	0.76	-0.29	-1.67	0.33	1.07	0.92	0.91




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Ethnic Diversity

Q23: Ethnic Relations



Q23: Ethnic Relations

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Excellent	122 21.0%	72 25.5%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	16 21.5%	24 23.6%	32 22.5%	31 20.4%	19 18.8%	0 .0%
Good	372 63.9%	162 57.4%	209 70.3%	1 33.7%	52 68.2%	65 64.2%	81 57.7%	102 66.8%	65 63.6%	7 75.7%
Poor	46 7.9%	24 8.4%	21 7.2%	1 34.4%	3 3.7%	12 12.3%	13 9.1%	7 4.7%	9 8.6%	2 24.3%
Very poor	12 2.0%	7 2.6%	3 1.2%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.9%	4 2.8%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	30 5.1%	17 6.0%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	10 6.8%	8 5.3%	7 7.0%	0 .0%

Q23: Ethnic Relations

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Excellent	122 21.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.3%	0 .0%	34 32.2%	2 15.0%	6 24.4%	1 17.2%	7 52.0%	2 23.4%	31 20.8%	3 13.2%	0 .0%
Good	372 63.9%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	134 71.0%	1 48.8%	55 52.3%	12 77.9%	19 75.6%	7 82.8%	6 48.0%	6 60.4%	88 59.1%	15 62.5%	11 94.5%
Poor	46 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	6 5.9%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	18 12.0%	6 24.3%	1 5.5%
Very poor	12 2.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	5 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	30 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%	6 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities



Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	22 8.0%	16 5.5%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	18 12.6%	10 6.7%	6 6.0%	2 19.3%
More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	88 31.2%	116 38.9%	0 .0%	14 18.3%	37 36.8%	49 34.9%	61 40.0%	41 39.9%	1 12.5%
No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	156 55.5%	155 52.0%	1 33.7%	54 69.9%	60 59.3%	67 47.3%	72 47.2%	54 52.8%	6 68.2%
DK/NA	27 4.6%	15 5.3%	11 3.7%	1 34.4%	9 11.8%	0 .0%	7 5.2%	9 6.1%	1 1.2%	0 .0%

Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	17 11.4%	1 6.1%	0 .0%
More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	78 41.2%	1 48.8%	45 42.4%	4 23.2%	13 50.5%	4 52.8%	3 24.4%	3 33.6%	36 24.4%	7 31.2%	2 16.7%
No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.9%	1 51.2%	44 41.3%	9 59.8%	12 49.5%	3 34.4%	10 75.6%	5 50.1%	90 60.9%	15 62.7%	10 83.3%
DK/NA	27 4.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	7 3.8%	0 .0%	7 6.3%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities



Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Too much	34 5.9%	16 5.7%	16 5.5%	2 65.6%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	7 4.8%	16 10.2%	8 7.8%	2 18.7%
About the right amount	367 63.0%	170 60.3%	196 65.9%	1 34.4%	54 69.8%	75 73.7%	83 59.0%	82 53.5%	69 67.7%	5 57.0%
Not enough	85 14.6%	43 15.2%	42 14.2%	0 .0%	10 12.4%	16 16.1%	27 18.9%	22 14.4%	10 10.3%	0 .0%
DK/NA	96 16.5%	53 18.8%	43 14.4%	0 .0%	14 17.8%	8 7.8%	24 17.3%	33 21.9%	14 14.2%	2 24.3%

Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Too much	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	17 11.5%	2 8.5%	1 11.5%
About the right amount	367 63.0%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	125 66.2%	0 .0%	63 59.5%	8 54.5%	21 84.3%	6 71.0%	11 81.2%	4 40.2%	90 60.8%	13 56.1%	7 57.9%
Not enough	85 14.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	19 10.2%	0 .0%	27 25.8%	5 31.3%	1 4.7%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	15 10.2%	8 35.4%	1 4.5%
DK/NA	96 16.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	36 18.9%	2 100.0%	15 13.8%	1 7.1%	3 11.0%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	3 31.9%	26 17.4%	0 .0%	3 26.1%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight




Information Sources

Q26: Preferred Information Sources



Note: Responses in the 2017 survey with fewer than 3 percent mentions have not been charted above. For more details, refer to the topline report in Appendix C.

Q26: Preferred Information Sources Continued



Note: Responses in the 2017 survey with fewer than 3 percent mentions have not been charted above. For more details, refer to the topline report in Appendix C.

Q26: Preferred Information Sources

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	44 15.7%	59 19.9%	1 34.4%	13 16.6%	20 19.8%	29 20.5%	22 14.5%	19 18.9%	2 19.0%
City Website	76 13.0%	33 11.9%	42 14.2%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	13 13.0%	29 20.6%	20 13.0%	10 9.5%	1 12.5%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	87 31.0%	70 23.4%	1 31.9%	14 18.6%	14 14.3%	32 22.4%	58 37.7%	34 33.4%	6 67.9%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	47 16.6%	59 19.7%	0 .0%	10 13.1%	13 13.3%	25 18.0%	39 25.3%	16 15.8%	2 19.6%
Email	36 6.2%	23 8.3%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%	5 6.0%	5 4.7%	12 8.5%	12 7.6%	3 3.1%	0 .0%
Facebook	31 5.3%	15 5.2%	16 5.4%	0 .0%	12 16.0%	11 10.5%	8 5.5%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	8 2.9%	19 6.3%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	5 5.2%	12 8.4%	6 4.0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	1 .4%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	5 5.3%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	38 13.6%	20 6.6%	1 34.4%	15 19.5%	13 12.7%	13 9.3%	13 8.5%	5 5.0%	0 .0%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	36 12.6%	54 18.2%	0 .0%	10 13.5%	5 5.3%	18 12.6%	23 15.0%	31 30.8%	2 24.9%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	18 6.3%	16 5.5%	0 .0%	8 10.9%	8 7.9%	6 4.4%	6 4.0%	5 4.5%	1 11.8%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
NextDoor	73 12.6%	40 14.2%	33 11.2%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	10 10.1%	33 23.5%	21 13.6%	4 4.0%	0 .0%
Non-English media	3 .5%	3 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	1 .3%	8 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	2 1.5%	3 1.9%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	19 6.9%	15 5.0%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	4 4.4%	8 5.7%	11 7.1%	7 7.1%	2 19.3%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	6 2.3%	10 3.5%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	5 4.8%	3 2.0%	3 1.8%	4 4.2%	0 .0%
Twitter	1 .1%	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	7 1.1%	4 1.5%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.7%	4 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	31 11.0%	36 12.2%	0 .0%	12 16.1%	22 22.1%	13 9.1%	15 9.6%	5 5.0%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	3 1.1%	5 1.5%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	1 .9%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Nothing	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	3 1.0%	1 33.7%	2 2.6%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	1 .9%	2 1.7%	0 .0%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	4 1.3%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	2 2.5%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	1 .7%	2 1.5%	0 .0%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources


Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 15.8%	2 100.0%	15 13.8%	7 45.2%	7 28.6%	2 30.0%	5 36.2%	1 10.2%	21 14.5%	5 21.4%	3 23.1%
City Website	76 13.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	18 9.6%	0 .0%	21 19.6%	0 .0%	4 15.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	25 16.7%	1 3.5%	1 8.2%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	41 21.4%	1 48.8%	33 31.5%	2 14.2%	5 19.5%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	56 37.9%	5 22.4%	3 28.3%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	24 12.6%	1 51.2%	30 28.8%	4 28.8%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	31 20.8%	7 29.3%	2 20.6%
Email	36 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.2%	0 .0%	11 10.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Facebook	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.0%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	3 21.0%	2 16.3%	8 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.7%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	2 9.9%	7 28.8%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	12 7.9%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	0 .0%	11 10.4%	1 9.4%	3 13.2%	0 .0%	6 47.4%	1 10.2%	17 11.4%	4 17.1%	2 20.2%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	13 6.6%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	3 11.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 7.7%	0 .0%	2 19.9%
NextDoor	73 12.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	14 7.5%	0 .0%	23 22.0%	3 21.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 35.3%	16 10.9%	8 35.3%	1 7.5%
Non-English media	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	1 8.0%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.5%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	1 6.7%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	13 8.6%	1 4.3%	1 9.2%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.5%	2 9.5%	1 7.0%
Twitter	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	16 8.3%	0 .0%	13 12.7%	4 25.1%	9 36.0%	4 52.8%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	15 9.9%	1 6.1%	0 3.8%
None	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 .4%	2 10.4%	0 .0%

Q27: City Website Visits



Q27: City Website Visits

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	357 61.3%	178 63.4%	175 58.8%	3 100.0%	38 49.2%	53 52.8%	111 79.0%	105 68.9%	42 41.5%	7 75.1%
No	202 34.8%	93 33.0%	110 36.8%	0 .0%	32 41.5%	48 47.2%	23 16.1%	41 26.8%	57 56.0%	2 24.9%
DK/NA	23 4.0%	10 3.6%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	7 9.3%	0 .0%	7 4.9%	7 4.3%	3 2.5%	0 .0%

Q27: City Website Visits

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	357 61.3%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	108 57.3%	2 100.0%	82 77.9%	8 52.6%	10 39.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 60.6%	98 66.3%	13 56.6%	7 59.9%
No	202 34.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	67 35.2%	0 .0%	21 19.4%	7 47.4%	13 53.2%	7 87.2%	14 100.0%	4 39.4%	47 31.6%	10 43.4%	5 40.1%
DK/NA	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.5%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q28: Preferred Information Medium (n=582)



Q28: Preferred Information Medium

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	121 43.0%	133 44.6%	2 66.3%	35 44.9%	58 57.0%	52 37.0%	60 39.5%	46 45.0%	5 62.6%
City Website	116 20.0%	63 22.3%	53 17.6%	1 34.4%	11 14.2%	21 20.3%	38 27.3%	29 19.1%	16 15.9%	1 12.5%
Email	197 33.8%	114 40.6%	82 27.7%	0 .0%	24 31.3%	24 23.2%	60 42.2%	70 45.8%	18 17.7%	2 18.7%
Facebook	39 6.7%	15 5.2%	24 8.2%	0 .0%	17 22.3%	6 5.7%	10 7.4%	4 2.6%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	21 7.5%	44 14.9%	1 31.9%	7 8.9%	7 6.5%	14 10.0%	14 9.2%	25 24.4%	0 .0%
NextDoor	74 12.7%	36 12.8%	37 12.4%	1 33.7%	5 6.7%	12 11.5%	27 19.2%	23 15.2%	6 5.7%	1 12.5%
Text message	16 2.7%	7 2.6%	8 2.8%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	5 3.7%	4 2.4%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Twitter	7 1.2%	3 1.2%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 3.2%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	11 1.8%	5 1.8%	5 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.7%	7 4.4%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	5 1.7%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	3 2.5%	1 12.5%
Other	10 1.7%	2 .7%	8 2.7%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	2 1.2%	3 3.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	24 4.1%	11 3.9%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	13 12.4%	1 .9%	3 1.7%	4 3.8%	1 12.5%

Q28: Preferred Information Medium Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	62 32.7%	1 51.2%	33 31.6%	8 52.3%	14 55.4%	7 88.2%	13 92.9%	1 10.2%	79 53.5%	17 71.1%	8 73.0%
City Website	116 20.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	30 15.7%	1 48.8%	33 31.2%	0 .0%	9 35.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 26.5%	30 20.4%	2 7.9%	1 7.5%
Email	197 33.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	77 40.8%	2 100.0%	41 39.0%	7 45.5%	7 26.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	6 61.5%	40 26.7%	7 31.0%	3 28.5%
Facebook	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	1 7.1%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	3 21.0%	1 12.0%	8 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	34 17.9%	0 .0%	14 12.9%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	9 6.3%	2 9.1%	3 25.7%
NextDoor	74 12.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 10.6%	0 .0%	18 17.1%	4 25.5%	3 10.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	20 13.2%	2 6.8%	1 8.8%
Text message	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Twitter	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	11 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	24 4.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	0 .0%	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	8 5.2%	3 12.6%	1 5.3%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix A: Additional Respondent Information



QB: Years Lived in Cupertino




QB: Years Lived in Cupertino


Year-to-Year Comparison

	2017	2014	2012	2010	2008	2006	2004	2002	2000
Less than one year	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%	2%	2%	9%	5%	10%	10%
One to three years	8.4%	9.5%	8.2%	9%	11%	23%	19%	20%	18%
Four to nine years	16.2%	22.7%	24.5%	21%	23%	22%	26%	22%	26%
Ten to fifteen years	22.6%	27.9%	27.9%	32%	22%	14%	15%	17%	13%
Sixteen to twenty-five years	28.1%	20.8%	19.8%	15%	21%	11%	12%	14%	33%
Twenty-six years or more	22.8%	16.2%	18.4%	21%	21%	22%	24%	17%	-
DK/NA	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	<1%


QC: Homeownership Status




QD: Number of People With Cell Phone in Household




QE: Ethnicity




QF1: Number of People Under Age 18 in Household




QF2: Number of People Over Age 50 in Household




QG: Primary Household Language




QH: Annual Household Income




Q1. Age




QJ: Individual Party




QK: Household Party Type




QL. Registration Date




QM. Voting History




QN: Times Voted in Past Elections




QO: Times Voted Absentee




QP: Permanent Absentee Voter




QQ: Likely Absentee Voter





Interview Type





GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix B: Methodology

Survey Parameters

The respondents for this study were selected using a sample of all registered voters in the City of Cupertino and weighted to U.S. Census, American Community Survey data. Overall, 582 residents in the city completed the survey, representing a total universe of approximately 43,657 adult (18 years or older) residents. The study parameters resulted in a margin of error of plus or minus 4.04 percent. Interviews were conducted from April 4 through April 15, 2017, and the average interview length was approximately 22 minutes.

Sample and Weighting

Once collected, the sample of respondents was compared with the adult population in the City of Cupertino according to the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample of respondents and the actual universe of residents. The data were weighted to correct these differences, and the results presented are representative of the adult resident characteristics in terms of gender, age, and ethnicity.

Questionnaire Methodology

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 6, 12, 20 and 22 were randomized to avoid such position bias.

Questions 3, 10, 12, 19, 20, 26, 28, and G allowed the residents surveyed to mention multiple responses. For this reason, the response percentages may sum to more than 100, and these represent the percent of the respondents that mentioned a particular response, rather than the percent of total responses.

Because a survey typically involves a limited number of people who are part of a larger population group, by mere chance alone there will almost always be some differences between a sample and the population from which it was drawn. These differences are known as “sampling error” and they are expected to occur regardless of how scientifically the sample has been selected. The advantage of a scientific sample is that we are able to calculate the sampling error. Sampling error is determined by four factors: the population size, the sample size, a confidence level, and the dispersion of responses.

The following table shows the possible sampling variation that applies to a percent result reported from a probability type sample. Because a sample of 582 residents was drawn from the estimated population of approximately 43,657 adult residents in the City of Cupertino (based on the 2015 census), one can be 95 percent confident that the margin of error due to sampling will not vary, plus or minus, by more than the indicated number of percent points from the result that would have been obtained if the interviews had been conducted with all persons in the universe. As the table on the following page indicates, the margin of error for all aggregate responses is between 2.42 and 4.04 percent for the survey.

This means that, for a given question with dichotomous response options (e.g., Yes/No) answered by all 582 respondents, one can be 95 percent confident that the difference between the percent breakdowns of the sample and those of the total population is no greater than 4.04 percent. The percent margin of error applies to both sides of the answer, so that for a question in which 50 percent of respondents said yes, one can be 95 percent confident that the actual percent of the population that would say yes is between 46 (50 minus 4.04) percent and 54 (50 plus 4.04) percent.

The margin of error for a given question also depends on the distribution of responses to the question. The 4.04 percent refers to dichotomous questions where opinions are evenly split in the sample with 50 percent of respondents saying yes and 50 percent saying no. If that same question were to receive a response in which 10 percent of the respondents say yes and 90 percent say no, then the margin of error would be no greater than plus or minus 2.42 percent. As the number of respondents in a particular subgroup (e.g., age) is smaller than the number of total respondents, the margin of error associated with estimating a given subgroup’s response will be higher. Due to the high margin of error, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing the results for subgroups that are comprised of 25 or fewer respondents.

Margin of Error II

<i>n</i>	Distribution of Responses				
	90% / 10%	80% / 20%	70% / 30%	60% / 40%	50% / 50%
1000	1.84%	2.45%	2.81%	3.00%	3.06%
900	1.94%	2.59%	2.96%	3.17%	3.23%
800	2.06%	2.75%	3.15%	3.36%	3.43%
600	2.38%	3.18%	3.64%	3.89%	3.97%
582	2.42%	3.23%	3.70%	3.95%	4.04%
400	2.93%	3.90%	4.47%	4.78%	4.88%
300	3.38%	4.51%	5.17%	5.52%	5.64%
200	4.15%	5.53%	6.34%	6.77%	6.91%
150	4.79%	6.39%	7.32%	7.83%	7.99%
100	5.87%	7.83%	8.97%	9.59%	9.79%

Reading Crosstabulation Tables

The questions discussed and analyzed in this report comprise a subset of various crosstabulation tables available for each question. Only those subgroups that are of particular interest or that illustrate particular insights are included in the discussion. Should readers wish to conduct a closer analysis of subgroups for a given question, the complete breakdowns appear in Appendix E. These crosstabulation tables provide detailed information on the responses to each question by demographic and behavioral groups that were assessed in the survey. A typical crosstabulation table is shown here.

A short description of the item appears on the left-hand side of the table. The item sample size (n = 400) is presented in the first column of data under “Total.”

The results to each possible answer choice of all respondents are presented in the first column of data under “Total.” The aggregate number of respondents in each answer category is presented as a whole number, and the percent of the entire sample that this number represents is just below the whole number. In this example, among the total respondents, 150 residents reported they are “very satisfied,” with the resident services in Cupertino and this number of respondents equals 37 percent of the total sample size of 400. Next to the “Total” column are the other columns representing responses from the male and the female voters. The data from these columns are read in exactly the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column, although each group makes up a smaller percent of the entire sample.

EXAMPLE OF CROSSTABULATION TABLE		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Total	400	199	202
	Very satisfied	150	73	76
		37.4%	36.9%	37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	105	89
		48.5%	52.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	10	17
		6.7%	5.0%	8.4%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	2
		1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
	DK/NA	24	7	17
6.1%		3.7%	8.5%	

Subgroup Comparisons

To test whether or not the differences found in percent results among subgroups are likely due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors – rather than the results of chance due to the random nature of the sampling design – a “z-test” was performed. In the headings of each column are labels, “A,” “B,” “C,” etc. along with a description of the variable. The “z-test” is performed by comparing the percent in each cell with all other cells in the same row within a given variable (within Gender in the pictured table, for example).

The results from the “z-test” are displayed in a separate table below the crosstabulation table. If the percent in one cell is statistically different from the percent in another, the column label will be displayed in the cell from which it varies significantly. For instance, in the adjacent table, if a significantly higher percent of the men (53%) report “somewhat satisfied” than the percent of women (44%), then the letter “B,” which stands for “female” residents, would appear under Column “A,” which stands for “male” residents. The letters in the table indicate the differences where one can be 95 percent confident that the results are due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors reported by subgroups of respondents.

It is important to note that the percent difference among subgroups is just one piece in the equation to determine whether or not two percentage figures are significantly different from each other. The variance and sample size associated with each data point is integral to determining significance. Therefore, two calculations may be different from each other, yet the difference may not be statistically significant according to the “z” statistic.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR SUBGROUP COMPARISONS		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Total	400	199	202
	Very satisfied	150	73	76
		37.4%	36.9%	37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	105	89
		48.5%	52.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	10	17
		6.7%	5.0%	8.4%
Very dissatisfied	5	3	2	
	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%	
DK/NA	24	7	17	
	6.1%	3.7%	8.5%	

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR Z-TEST		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A

Understanding a Mean

In addition to the analysis of the percent of the responses, some results are discussed with respect to an average score. To derive the overall satisfaction with Community Services, Q6 for example, a number value was assigned to each response category – in this case, “Very Satisfied” = +2, “Somewhat Satisfied” = +1, “Somewhat Dissatisfied” = -1, and “Very Dissatisfied” = -2. The number values that correspond to respondents’ answers were then averaged to produce a final score that reflects the overall importance of an environmental issue. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier.

In the crosstabulation tables for Question 6 of the survey, the reader will find mean scores. These mean scores represent the average response of each group. The table to the right shows the scales for each corresponding question. Responses of “DK/NA” were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Question	Measure	Scale	Values
Q6	Satisfaction Ratings	+2 to -2	+2.0 = “Very Satisfied” +1.0 = “Somewhat Satisfied” -1.0 = “Somewhat Dissatisfied” -2.0 = “Very Dissatisfied”
Q22	Safety Ratings	+2 to -2	+2.0 = “Very Safe” +1.0 = “Somewhat Safe” 0 = “Neither” -1.0 = “Somewhat Unsafe” -2.0 = “Very Unsafe”

Means Comparisons

Only those subgroups that are of particular interest, or that illustrate a particular insight, are included in the discussion within the report with regard to mean scores. A typical crosstabulation table of mean scores is shown in the adjacent table.

The aggregate mean score for each item in the question series is presented in the first column of the data under “Total.” For example, among all the survey respondents, the service A, “Police services,” earned a mean score of 1.3. Next to the “Total” column are other columns representing the mean scores assigned by the respondents grouped by Gender.

The data from these columns are read in the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column. To test whether two mean scores are statistically different, a “t-test” is performed. As in the case of the “z-test” for percentage figures, a statistically significant result is indicated by the letter representing the data column.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR MEANS COMPARISON	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
A. Police services	1.3	1.2	1.4
B. Quinlan Community Center facilities	1.5	1.5	1.5
C. Garbage collection	1.7	1.6	1.7
D. Recycling program	1.5	1.4	1.6

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR T-TEST	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
A. Police services		
B. Quinlan Community Center facilities		
C. Garbage collection		A
D. Recycling program		A



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix C: Topline Report



CITY OF CUPERTINO

2017 Community Survey

Topline Report
 n=582
 22-minutes
 Voter Sample weighted to 2015 American Community Survey (ACS)

May 29, 2017

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
 1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
 Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
 59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
 Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
 601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
 Bellevue, WA 98004

METHODOLOGY

Sample Universe:

- 43,657 Adults 18+
- The respondents were selected using random sample of voter file numbers, matched to update cell phone numbers and email addresses.

Sample Size:

- n=582

Weighting:

- Data is weighted to the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) for gender, age and ethnicity.

Margin of Error:

- ± 4.04%

Data Collection: Landline, n=145; Cell, n=89; Online, n=348;

Languages: English, n=562; Chinese n=20 based on respondent preference.

Interview Dates: April 4 to April 15, 2017

Phone Interview Length: 22-minutes

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN CUPERTINO

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	43.6%	254
	Somewhat satisfied	44.7%	260
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.6%	50
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	15
	DK/NA	0.5%	3
	Total Satisfied	88.3%	
	Total Dissatisfied	11.2%	
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	7.9	
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	School system	35.3%	205
	Friends / family here	16.1%	93
	Grew up here	7.7%	45
	I could afford a house	6.6%	39
	Close to work	6.6%	38
	Small town atmosphere	6.3%	36
	Job	4.5%	26
	Safety / low crime	3.6%	21
	Enjoy / like the City	3.0%	18
	Quality of life	2.9%	17
	Central location / Close to freeways	2.6%	15
	Retirement	1.2%	7
	Diversity	0.6%	3
	Close to Apple	0.4%	2
	Other Mention	2.4%	14
Not Sure/DK/NA	0.3%	2	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %	
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Traffic	56.8%	330		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	20.7%	121		
	Affordable housing	19.6%	114		
	Crime/Safety	11.6%	67		
	Education/School overcrowding	10.9%	64		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	5.3%	31		
	Taxes	4.7%	27		
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	4.6%	27		
	Over population/Over crowding	3.9%	23		
	Quality of life	3.8%	22		
	City's economic health	3.6%	21		
	Race relations	3.0%	18		
	Cost of living	2.8%	17		
	Environmental health	2.8%	16		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2.7%	16		
	Public transportation	2.2%	13		
	Protection of open space	1.3%	7		
	Neighborhood preservation	1.3%	7		
	Parking	1.2%	7		
	Poor cell coverage	0.9%	5		
	Need more retail/Shopping	0.9%	5		
	Inconvenient library hours	0.0%	0		
	Teen programs	0.0%	0		
	Other Mention	4.0%	23		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	3.8%	22		
	4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	17.4%	101	
		Somewhat strong	48.7%	284	
		Somewhat weak	22.4%	131	
		Very weak	5.7%	33	
		None at all	4.5%	26	
DK/NA		1.2%	7		
Total Strong		66.1%			
Total Weak		28.2%			
Ratio Strong to Weak	2.3				

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	28.6%	166	
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	279	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.2%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	6.3%	37	
	DK/NA	5.0%	29	
	Total Satisfied	76.5%	89.1	
	Total Dissatisfied	18.5%		
Ratio Sat to Dissat	4.1			
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	43.0%	250	79.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.5%	212	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.9%	52	
	Very dissatisfied	4.8%	28	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	6.9%	40	
	Very satisfied	32.5%	189	63.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	180	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.3%	25	
	Very dissatisfied	0.4%	3	
6C. Garbage collection	DK/NA	31.7%	185	
	Very satisfied	54.4%	316	90.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.5%	212	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.4%	26	
6D. Recycling program	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
	DK/NA	1.4%	8	
	Very satisfied	44.2%	257	85.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	41.7%	242	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	31	
6E. Library services	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	15	
	DK/NA	6.3%	37	
	Very satisfied	58.2%	339	88.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	174	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	6	
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	11	
	DK/NA	9.1%	53	
	Very satisfied	24.2%	141	72.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	279	
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.8%	98	
	Very dissatisfied	9.4%	55	
	DK/NA	1.7%	10	
	Very satisfied	35.9%	209	82.2%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	46.2%	269	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.8%	45	
	Very dissatisfied	4.0%	23	
DK/NA	6.1%	35		

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	31.4%	183	77.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45.6%	265	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	78	
	Very dissatisfied	4.9%	28	
	DK/NA	4.8%	28	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	86	54.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	232	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	82	
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	75	
	DK/NA	18.3%	106	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	29.1%	169	72.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	252	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	95	
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	41	
	DK/NA	4.1%	24	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	29.3%	171	75.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	267	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	70	
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	15	
	DK/NA	10.3%	60	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	24.4%	142	61.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	217	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	31	
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	11	
	DK/NA	31.1%	181	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	82	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	127	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	18	
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	13	
	DK/NA	58.7%	342	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	19.9%	116	52.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	187	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	24	
	DK/NA	31.8%	185	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	8.0%	47	39.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	181	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	132	
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	95	
	DK/NA	22.0%	128	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	22.9%	133	59.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	211	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	25	
	DK/NA	24.4%	142	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	126	#####
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	187	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	33	
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
	DK/NA	37.3%	217	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	23.1%	135	46.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	137	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	11	
	DK/NA	48.0%	280	

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
6E. Library services			1.56
6C. Garbage collection			1.36
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			1.33
6D. Recycling program			1.28
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			1.21
6L. Youth sports fields			1.12
6A. Police services			1.12
6G. Street sweeping services			1.09
6M. Senior Center programs			1.03
6Q. Sports Center programs			1.01
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			0.97
6H. Street tree maintenance			0.90
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			0.81
6N. Neighborhood programs			0.76
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			0.74
6F. Street pavement maintenance			0.62
6I. Economic development efforts			0.36
6O. Managing land use			-0.10

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	30.7%	179
	Somewhat satisfied	45.1%	262
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.2%	30
	Very dissatisfied	2.0%	12
	DK/NA	17.0%	99
	Total Satisfied	75.8%	
	Total Dissatisfied	7.3%	
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	10.4	
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	19.9%	116
	Once a week	23.5%	137
	A few times a month	19.7%	115
	Once a month	11.2%	65
	A few times a year	14.8%	86
	Once a year	3.4%	20
	Less than once a year	3.1%	18
	Never	3.9%	22
DK/NA	0.6%	3	
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	4.0%	23
	Once a week	5.7%	33
	A few times a month	4.5%	26
	Once a month	8.0%	47
	A few times a year	25.0%	145
	Once a year	5.7%	33
	Less than once a year	13.1%	76
	Never	29.6%	172
DK/NA	4.4%	26	

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	87.3%	508
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	13.8%	80
	Walk	13.2%	77
	Bicycle	6.7%	39
	Bus	6.5%	38
	Train	2.3%	14
	Lightrail	1.2%	7
	Other	1.7%	10
	DK/NA	0.0%	0
	11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	5.8%
Few times a week		12.0%	70
Once a week		7.3%	43
Few times a month		12.1%	71
Once a month		6.7%	39
Few times a year or less		16.8%	98
Never		38.7%	225
DK/NA		0.5%	3
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Prefer driving	42.0%	230
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	196
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	155
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	18.5%	101
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	50
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	6.8%	37
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	31
	Weather	5.5%	30
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4.1%	23
	Other	4.9%	27
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	DK/NA	1.8%	10
	Very likely	47.1%	274
	Somewhat likely	31.4%	183
	Somewhat unlikely	7.4%	43
	Very unlikely	11.5%	67
	DK/NA	2.5%	15

PUBLIC WORKS

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	47.4%	276	
	No	9.5%	56	
	DK/NA	43.1%	251	
15. You currently pay a storm water fee as part of your property tax bill. This fee helps to protect against storm water pollution in our creeks and bay, and provides the 3rd grade creek field trip and education program at McClellan Ranch. The fee, unchanged since 1992, is \$12 per year for each home. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	30.2%	176	
	Somewhat support	31.8%	185	
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%	78	
	Strongly oppose	17.0%	99	
	DK/NA	7.5%	43	
	Total support	62.0%		
	Total oppose	30.5%		
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	7.0%	41	
	\$11-\$15	5.4%	31	
	\$6-\$10	20.5%	119	
	\$1-\$5	24.9%	145	
	I would not be willing to pay more	34.0%	198	
	DK/NA	8.2%	48	
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	33.5%	195	
	No	58.1%	338	
	DK/NA	8.5%	49	

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	16.3%	95	
	Somewhat satisfied	36.6%	213	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.1%	140	
	Very dissatisfied	21.5%	125	
	DK/NA	1.5%	8	
	Total Satisfied	52.9%		
	Total Dissatisfied	45.6%		
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	1.2		
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Restaurants / High end	19.4%	113	
	Department stores	15.3%	89	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	14.8%	86	
	Shopping / Retail	14.7%	85	
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	14.6%	85	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	12.5%	73	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	11.1%	65	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	8.6%	50	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch 99	6.7%	39	
	Electronics / Software / High tech	6.3%	37	
	Book stores	6.2%	36	
	Sports / Sports facilities	5.9%	34	
	Vallco - General mention	5.3%	31	
	Fast food	4.8%	28	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	4.0%	23	
	Entertainment venues/Night life	2.6%	15	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1.3%	8	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	1.2%	7	
	Medical related	0.5%	3	
	Pet stores	0.3%	2	
	Auto related	0.2%	1	
	Other Mention	6.3%	36	
	Nothing	8.1%	47	
Not Sure/DK/NA	4.7%	27		

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Safeway	48.2%	281
	Target Express	44.2%	257
	Sprouts	42.3%	246
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	37.5%	219
	Lazy Dog	28.3%	165
	Eureka	14.1%	82
	Steinmart	11.9%	69
	Uita	10.8%	63
	Meet Fresh	9.6%	56
	Panino Guisto	7.2%	42
	Other	14.5%	84
	Nothing	7.9%	46
	DK/NA	2.2%	13

CRIME INCREASE OR DECREASE AND FEELINGS OF SAFETY

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ	
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	41.4%	241	
	Decreased	9.2%	53	
	Stayed about same	38.6%	225	
	DK/NA	10.9%	63	
22A. At home	Very safe	59.0%	343	91.7%
	Somewhat safe	32.7%	190	
	Neither	2.8%	16	
	Somewhat unsafe	4.6%	27	
	Very unsafe	0.7%	4	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	0.3%	2	
	Very safe	15.2%	88	49.3%
	Somewhat safe	34.1%	198	
	Neither	10.6%	62	
	Somewhat unsafe	11.9%	70	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	6.3%	36	
	DK/NA	22.0%	128	
	Very safe	64.9%	378	94.7%
	Somewhat safe	29.8%	174	
	Neither	1.5%	9	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	3.0%	17	
	Very unsafe	0.4%	2	
	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
	Very safe	26.5%	154	68.2%
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	243	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	8.4%	49	
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	86	
	Very unsafe	7.2%	42	
	DK/NA	1.5%	9	
	Very safe	27.4%	159	64.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	36.6%	213	
	Neither	4.1%	24	
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	94	
	Very unsafe	15.6%	91	
DK/NA	0.2%	1		

FEELINGS OF SAFETY RANKED BY MEANS SCORE

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			1.56
22A. At home			1.45
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			0.66
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			0.51
22E. Leaving your home unattended			0.44

ETHNIC DIVERSITY

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	21.0%	122	
	Good	63.9%	372	
	Poor	7.9%	46	
	Very poor	2.0%	12	
	DK/NA	5.1%	30	
	Total Excel + Good	84.9%		
	Total Poor + Very Poor	10.0%		
	Ratio (Exc + Gd) to (Pr + VP)	8.5		
24. More than half of Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races? Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	6.8%	40	
	More sympathetic / open	34.9%	203	
	No change in how I feel	53.6%	312	
	DK/NA	4.6%	27	
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	5.9%	34	
	About the right amount	63.0%	367	
	Not enough	14.6%	85	
	DK/NA	16.5%	96	

INFORMATION SOURCES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	27.1%	158	
	Cupertino Scene	18.1%	105	
	Brochures or flyers	18.0%	105	
	Local TV news channels	15.4%	90	
	City Website	13.0%	76	
	NextDoor	12.6%	73	
	Word of mouth	11.6%	67	
	Internet (No specific site)	10.1%	59	
	Email	6.2%	36	
	San Jose Mercury News	5.9%	34	
	Mailers / Postcards	5.9%	34	
	Facebook	5.3%	31	
	Flyers or posters around town	4.6%	27	
	The C - Cupertino news	2.9%	17	
	Interaction with City staff at events	1.9%	11	
	Radio	1.5%	9	
	WeChat	1.1%	7	
	Non-English media	0.5%	3	
	Twitter	0.1%	1	
	None	1.3%	8	
	Nothing	1.2%	7	
	DK/NA	1.2%	7	
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	61.3%	357	
	No	34.8%	202	
	DK/NA	4.0%	23	
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	44.0%	256	
	Email	33.8%	197	
	City Website	20.0%	116	
	NextDoor	12.7%	74	
	Flyers or posters around town	11.4%	66	
	Facebook	6.7%	39	
	Text message	2.7%	16	
	WeChat	1.8%	11	
	Twitter	1.2%	7	
	None	1.3%	8	
	Other	1.7%	10	
	DK/NA	4.1%	24	

DEMOGRAPHICS

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
A. Gender	Male	48.3%	281	
	Female	51.1%	298	
	Other	0.5%	3	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	1.9%	11	
	1 to 3 years	8.4%	49	
	4 to 9 years	16.2%	94	
	10 to 15 years	22.6%	131	
	16 to 25 years	28.1%	163	
	26 years or more	22.8%	133	
	DK/NA	0.1%	1	
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	77.2%	450	
	Rent	20.7%	120	
	DK/NA	2.1%	12	
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	0.4%	2	
	1	7.7%	45	
	2	36.2%	211	
	3	24.2%	141	
	4	19.6%	114	
	5	7.7%	45	
	6	1.9%	11	
	8	0.4%	2	
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	99	2.0%	12	
	African American or Black	0.5%	3	
	Asian - Cambodian	0.5%	3	
	Asian - Chinese	32.5%	189	
	Asian - Filipino	0.4%	2	
	Asian - Indian	18.2%	106	
	Asian - Japanese	2.7%	15	
	Asian - Korean	4.3%	25	
	Asian - Laotian	0.0%	0	
	Asian - Thai	1.4%	8	
	Asian - Vietnamese	2.3%	14	
	Asian - Other	1.7%	10	
	Caucasian or White	25.5%	148	
	Latino or Hispanic	4.0%	23	
	Pacific Islander	0.0%	0	
	Two or more races	2.0%	12	
	Other	1.0%	6	
DK/NA	3.0%	17		

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	54.2%	315	
	1	17.9%	104	
	2	19.3%	113	
	3	5.2%	31	
	4	0.9%	5	
	5	0.7%	4	
	10	0.0%	0	
	20	0.2%	1	
	DK/NA	1.5%	9	
	F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	34.6%	201
1		18.5%	108	
2		38.5%	224	
3		5.8%	34	
4		0.5%	3	
30		0.3%	2	
DK/NA		1.9%	11	
G. Primary Languages in Household	English	77.0%	448	
	Chinese	16.0%	93	
	Mandarin	8.2%	48	
	Hindi	7.5%	44	
	Cantonese	4.5%	26	
	Korean	3.8%	22	
	Vietnamese	2.3%	14	
	Spanish	2.3%	13	
	Thai	2.1%	12	
	French	1.3%	7	
	Japanese	1.1%	7	
	German	0.2%	1	
	Tagalog	0.2%	1	
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Other	9.6%	56	
	DK/NA	1.1%	7	
	Under \$60,000	10.4%	60	
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	8.9%	52	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	5.0%	29	
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	6.3%	37	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	5.5%	32	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	7.1%	41	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	6.6%	38	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	5.8%	34	
\$200,000 or more	22.7%	132		
DK/NA	21.7%	126		

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
I. Age	18-29	13.2%	77	
	30-39	17.4%	101	
	40-49	24.2%	141	
	50-64	26.2%	152	
	65+	17.5%	102	
	Not coded	1.5%	9	
J. Party	Democrat	41.9%	244	
	Republican	13.9%	81	
	Other	2.7%	16	
	DTS	41.5%	242	
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	20.8%	121	
	Dem 2+	11.4%	66	
	Rep 1	5.5%	32	
	Rep 2+	4.9%	28	
	Other 1	23.8%	139	
	Other 2+	11.4%	67	
	Dem & Rep	3.6%	21	
	Dem & Other	14.8%	86	
	Rep & Other	2.9%	17	
L. Registration Date	Dem, Rep & Other	0.8%	4	
	2013 to 2017	45.2%	263	
	2009 to 2012	13.5%	78	
	2005 to 2008	14.0%	81	
	2001 to 2004	8.1%	47	
	1997 to 2000	4.6%	27	
	1993 to 1996	4.0%	23	
	1981 to 1992	6.5%	38	
1980 or before	4.2%	24		
M. Voting History	Not Coded	0.0%	0	
	see detailed crosstabs			

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	20.6%	120	
	1	8.9%	52	
	2	7.1%	41	
	3	5.7%	33	
	4	3.6%	21	
	5	7.0%	41	
	6	4.9%	29	
	7	5.0%	29	
	8	5.1%	30	
	9	3.2%	19	
	10	3.8%	22	
	11	4.0%	23	
	12	4.4%	26	
	13	4.0%	23	
	14	5.1%	30	
	15	7.5%	44	
O. Absentee Voter	16	0.0%	0	
	0	32.9%	191	
	1	11.5%	67	
	2	5.1%	30	
	3	4.7%	27	
	4	4.7%	28	
	5	6.9%	40	
	6	3.5%	21	
	7	3.1%	18	
	8	2.1%	12	
	9	3.1%	18	
	10	4.5%	26	
	11	3.3%	19	
	12	2.9%	17	
	13	4.0%	23	
	14	2.7%	16	
15	4.9%	29		
16	0.0%	0		
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	79.7%	464	
	No	20.3%	118	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	59.6%	347	
	No	40.4%	235	
Interview Language	English	94.7%	551	
	Chinese	5.3%	31	
Interview Type	Landline	28.8%	167	
	Cell	26.2%	153	
	Online	45.0%	262	
	Text	0.0%	0	



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix D: Questionnaire



CITY OF CUPERTINO

2017 Community Survey

Questionnaire
n=400
22-minutes
Voter Sample

May 29, 2017

FINAL

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004

Godbe Research
2017 Cupertino Resident Satisfaction Survey

GENERAL EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Reply to: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Cupertino has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions.

For the individual named above, you can access the survey by simply clicking on the link below. If your email does not support links, cut and paste the entire link into your browser.

<[survey link with unique voter file id](#)>

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before _____, 2016, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Regards,

David Brandt
City Manager
City of Cupertino

Technical Issues: If you have technical issues or questions with the survey link, password or completing the survey form please contact [Technical Assistance \(pwwood@mcguire-research.com\)](mailto:pwwood@mcguire-research.com).

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Cupertino, or the purpose of this survey please visit <http://www.cupertino.org/communitysurvey> or contact City.Manager@cupertino.org.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County and the City of Cupertino. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

EMAIL & AOL OPT-IN EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Reply to: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Cupertino has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses will be entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. We are not selling anything or asking you to donate anything and the data from these surveys will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions on these important community issues.

For the individual named above, if you would like to be included in this email list to receive and be able to participate in important community surveys such as this and future ones, then please click on this link below.

<[survey link with unique voter file id](#)>

If you click on the link above, then an email invite for this specific survey will be sent to you shortly. Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Brandt
City Manager
City of Cupertino

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Cupertino, or the purpose of this survey please visit <http://www.cupertino.org/communitysurvey> or contact City.Manager@cupertino.org.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County and the City of Cupertino. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

CLIENT EMAIL SETUP INFORMATION

Step 1

The City of Cupertino will need to set up an email address for use by Godbe Research to send out email invitations is still operational. Unless already in use, this new email address should be:

City.Manager@cupertino.org.

Step 2

After the email has been verified, confirm with your IT Department that all of the emails sent to the new account are being forwarded to: surveys.gra@gmail.com. We will handle any tech support questions sent to this account and forward any substantive emails that may require a response from a City representative.

Step 3

Provide Godbe Research your community email list for matching with the voter file. The data needs to include separate fields for first name, last name, street address, and email address. However, if cell and landlines phones are available that is also very useful. The format in an excel files should be:

First Name	Last Name	Street Address	City	Zip	Email Address	Home Phone	Cell Phone	Work Phone
Bob	Smith	123 Main Street	Burlingame	94010	bobsmith@gmail.com	650-344-1234	650-344-4321	650-344-8810
Jane	Smith	123 Main Street	Burlingame	94010	ianesmith@gmail.com	650-344-8970	650-344-2345	650-344-3310

Client Check List

- Set-up email address.
- Auto forward all email from new account to surveys.gra@gmail.com.
- Notify Godbe Research on completion of above so the email can be tested.
- Send any updated email lists as discussed to Godbe Research.

SCREENERS

[ONLINE INTRODUCTION]

Thank you for your interest in taking our survey to help understand issues in the City of Cupertino. All of your answers to the survey will be kept strictly anonymous and confidential.

Survey Instructions:

Once you have answered all the questions on a page, click the "Next" button to continue. If you have any technical difficulties with the survey, please email: [Technical Assistance \(pwood@mcquire-research.com\)](mailto:pwood@mcquire-research.com).

[PHONE INTERVIEW]

Hello, May I speak with _____? Hello, my name is _____ and I'm calling on behalf of GRA, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey concerning some important issues in the City of Cupertino, and we would like to hear your opinions, we really appreciate your time. [IF NEEDED]: This is a study about issues of importance in your area. It is a survey only and I am not selling anything.

[IF THE PERSON ASKS WHY YOU ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED ON THE SAMPLE, OR ASKS IF THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE INSTEAD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THEN SAY: "I'm sorry, but for statistical purposes this survey must only be completed by this particular individual." [IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS THEY ARE ON THE NATIONAL DO NOT CALL LIST, RESPOND WITH GUIDELINES FROM THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION. IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS: "There's a law that says you can't call me," RESPOND WITH: "Most types of opinion research studies are exempt under the law that congress passed. That law was passed to regulate the activities of the telemarketing industry. This is a legitimate research call. Your opinions count!"]

Before we get started, I'd like to verify that you are eligible to complete the survey.

- i. But first, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?
 - Yes, cell and can talk safely ----- 1
 - Yes, cell but cannot talk safely ----- 2 [CALL BACK LATER]
 - No, not on cell ----- 3
 - [DON'T READ] DK/NA/REFUSED -----99 [CALL BACK LATER]

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

- ii. Do you work for the City of Cupertino or are you appointed to any City of Cupertino or county board, committee or commission?
 - Yes ----- 1 [CONTINUE TO Qiii TEXT]
 - No ----- 2 [GO TO Q1]
 - [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99
- iii. Thank you for your time, but the focus of this survey is on the general public's opinion of local issues. Due to your response to this question, you are not eligible to complete the survey. Thank you again for your time. [TERMINATE]

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN CUPERTINO

To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino.

- 1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

- 2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

- I could afford a house ----- 1
- Close to work ----- 2
- Enjoy / like the City ----- 3
- Friends / family here ----- 4
- Grew up here ----- 5
- Job ----- 6
- Quality of life ----- 7
- Retirement ----- 8
- Safety / low crime ----- 9
- School system ----- 10
- Small town atmosphere ----- 11
- Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure / [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

- 3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

- Affordable housing ----- 1
- City's economic health ----- 2
- Condition of streets (Roads and Streets) ----- 3
- Controlling growth ----- 4
- Crime ----- 5
- Education ----- 6
- Environmental health ----- 7
- Inconvenient library hours ----- 8
- Neighborhood preservation ----- 9
- Poor cell coverage ----- 10
- Protection of open space ----- 11
- Public transportation ----- 12
- Quality of life ----- 13

- Race relations-----14
- Taxes-----15
- Teen programs-----16
- Traffic-----17
- Other (Please specify: _____)-----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?

- Very strong-----1
- Somewhat strong-----2
- Somewhat weak-----3
- Very weak-----4
- None at all-----5
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES

5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Is that very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

6. Now, here is a list of services provided by the City of Cupertino. For each one, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide the service?

[PHONE: GET RESPONSE AND THEN ASK] Would that be very <satisfied/dissatisfied> or somewhat <satisfied/dissatisfied>?

[RANDOMIZE]

	Very Sat.	Swt. Sat.	Swt. Dissat.	Very Dissat.	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE: DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Police services-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Quinlan (KWIn-lan) community center facilities and programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Garbage collection-----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Recycling program-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Library services-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Street pavement maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Street sweeping services-----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Street tree maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Economic development efforts-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. Sidewalk maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
K. Median strip landscape maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Youth sports fields-----	1	2	3	4	99
M. Senior Center programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
N. Neighborhood programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
O. Managing land use-----	1	2	3	4	99
P. Environmental and sustainability programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
Q. Sports Center programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
R. Blackberry Farms programs-----	1	2	3	4	99

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?

- More than once a week ----- 1
- Once a week ----- 2
- A few times a month ----- 3
- Once a month ----- 4
- A few times a year ----- 5
- Once a year ----- 6
- Less than once a year ----- 7
- Never ----- 8
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?

- More than once a week ----- 1
- Once a week ----- 2
- A few times a month ----- 3
- Once a month ----- 4
- A few times a year ----- 5
- Once a year ----- 6
- Less than once a year ----- 7
- Never ----- 8
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

Moving on, focus on your day-to-day transportation choices.

10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Bicycle ----- 1
- Bus ----- 2
- Carpool / vanpool / ride with others ----- 3
- Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter) ----- 4
- Lightrail ----- 5
- Train ----- 6
- Walk ----- 7
- Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?

- Daily ----- 1 (SKIP TO Q13)
- Few times a week ----- 2 (CONTINUE)
- Once a week ----- 3 (CONTINUE)
- Few times a month ----- 4 (CONTINUE)
- Once a month ----- 5 (CONTINUE)
- Few times a year or less ----- 6 (CONTINUE)
- Never ----- 7 (CONTINUE)
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

12. (ASK ONLY IF Q11 ≠ 1) What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST RANDOMIZE / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

- Elderly, disabled, or health reasons ----- 1
- Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles ----- 2
- Feel unsafe using public transit ----- 3
- Inconvenient public transit routes ----- 4
- Inconvenient public transit schedules ----- 5
- Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths ----- 6
- Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths ----- 7
- Prefer driving ----- 8
- Weather ----- 9
- Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

13. The City of Cupertino is working on a plan to establish a network of paths and roads for people to walk or bike. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be very (likely/unlikely) or somewhat (likely/unlikely)?

- Very likely ----- 1
- Somewhat likely ----- 2
- Somewhat unlikely ----- 3
- Very unlikely ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

PUBLIC WORKS

14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?

- Yes ----- 1
- No ----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

15. You currently pay a storm water fee as part of your property tax bill. This fee helps to protect against storm water pollution in our creeks and bay, and provides the 3rd grade creek field trip and education program at McClellan Ranch. The fee, unchanged since 1992, is \$12 per year for each home. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat(support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?

- \$16-\$20 ----- 1
- \$11-\$15 ----- 2
- \$6-\$10 ----- 3
- \$1-\$5 ----- 4
- I would not be willing to pay more ----- 5
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?

- Yes ----- 1
- No ----- -2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Next, here are some questions about Economic Development issues.

18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?

[PHONE: ASK] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

- Very satisfied ----- 1
- Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
- Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
- Very dissatisfied ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

- Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway ----- 1
- Book stores ----- 2
- Department stores ----- 3
- Discount stores / Walmart / Costco ----- 4
- Electronics / Software / High tech ----- 5
- Ethnic grocery store / Ranch 99 ----- 6
- Fast food ----- 7
- Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied ----- 8
- High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's ----- 9
- Local retail stores -----10
- Pet stores -----11
- Restaurants / High end -----12
- Shopping / Retail -----13
- Sports / Sports facilities -----14
- Other [SPECIFY:] -----97
- [DON'T READ] Nothing -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST RANDOMIZE / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

- Eureka ----- 1
- HomeGoods/TJ Maxx ----- 2
- Lazy Dog ----- 3
- Meet Fresh ----- 4
- Panino Guisto ----- 5
- Safeway ----- 6
- Sprouts ----- 7
- Steinmart ----- 8
- Target Express ----- 9
- Ulta -----10

- Other [SPECIFY:] -----97
- [DON'T READ] Nothing -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

CRIME

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?

- Increased ----- 1
- Decreased ----- 2
- Stayed about same ----- 3
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

22. [ONLINE:] How safe do you feel in each situation below?

[PHONE:] How safe do you feel when _____? Do you feel safe, unsafe, or neither safe nor unsafe? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Is that very or somewhat <safe/unsafe>?

[RANDOMIZE]

	VERY SAFE	SMWHT SAFE	NEITHER	SMWHT UNSAFE	VERY UNSAFE	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. At home -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
B. Your child or children walk alone to school -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
E. Leaving your home unattended -----	1	2	3	4	5	99

ETHNIC DIVERSITY

23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?

- Excellent ----- 1
- Good ----- 2
- Poor ----- 3
- Very poor ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

24. More than half of Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?

Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?

- More resentful / closed ----- 1
- More sympathetic / open ----- 2
- No change in how I feel ----- 3
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?

- Too much----- 1
- About the right amount----- 2
- Not enough----- 3
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

INFORMATION SOURCES

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE READ LIST IS HESITATES / ACCEPT FIRST TWO RESPONSES]

- Brochures or flyers ----- 1
- City Website ----- 2
- Cupertino Courier ----- 3
- Cupertino Scene----- 4
- Email ----- 5
- Facebook----- 6
- Flyers or posters around town----- 7
- Interaction with City staff at events----- 8
- Internet (No specific site) ----- 9
- Local TV news channels-----10
- Mailers / Postcards-----11
- NextDoor -----12
- Non-English media-----13
- Radio -----14
- San Jose Mercury News-----15
- The C -- Cupertino news-----16
- Twitter-----17
- WeChat -----18
- Word of mouth -----19
- None-----20
- Other [SPECIFY:] -----98

[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website – cupertino.org?

- Yes----- 1
- No----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE READ LIST IS HESITATES / ACCEPT FIRST TWO RESPONSES]

- Brochures or flyers in the mail----- 1
- City Website ----- 2
- Email ----- 3
- Facebook----- 4
- Flyers or posters around town----- 5
- NextDoor ----- 6
- Text message ----- 7
- Twitter ----- 8
- WeChat ----- 9
- None-----10
- Other [SPECIFY:] -----98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

DEMOGRAPHICS

And now, just some background questions for comparison purposes

A. Gender? [ONLINE: ASK / PHONE: BY OBSERATION / SAMPLE]

- Male ----- 1
- Female ----- 2
- Other ----- 3

B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?

- Less than 1 year ----- 1
- 1 to 3 years ----- 2
- 4 to 9 years ----- 3
- 10 to 15 years ----- 4
- 16 to 25 years ----- 5
- 26 years or more ----- 6
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

C. Do you own or rent your home?

- Own ----- 1
- Rent ----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?

- (INDICATE NUMBER:) -----
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [SINGLE RESPONSE ONLY. PHONE: IF THE RESPONDENT HESITATES, READ THE LIST; ONLINE SHOW LIST]:

- African American or Black ----- 1
- Asian - Cambodian ----- 2
- Asian - Chinese ----- 3
- Asian - Filipino ----- 4
- Asian - Indian ----- 5
- Asian - Japanese ----- 6
- Asian - Korean ----- 7
- Asian - Laotian ----- 8
- Asian - Thai ----- 9
- Asian - Vietnamese ----- 10

- Asian - Other ----- 11
- Caucasian or White ----- 12
- Latino or Hispanic ----- 13
- Pacific Islander ----- 14
- Two or more races ----- 97
- Other (Please specify: _____) ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

F. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are:

- F1. Under the age of 18 (enter number) _____
- F2. Over the age of 50 (enter number) _____

G. What primary languages are used in your household? [DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES; ONLINE SHOW LIST]

- English ----- 1
- Cantonese ----- 2
- Chinese ----- 3
- French ----- 4
- German ----- 5
- Hindi ----- 6
- Japanese ----- 7
- Korean ----- 8
- Mandarin ----- 9
- Spanish ----- 10
- Tagalog ----- 11
- Thai ----- 12
- Vietnamese ----- 13
- Other (Please specify: _____) ----- 98
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

H. Finally, I am going to read some income categories. Please stop me when I reach the category that best describes your total household income before taxes in 2016.

- Under \$60,000 ----- 1
- \$60,000 to under \$80,000 ----- 2
- \$80,000 to under \$100,000 ----- 3
- \$100,000 to under \$120,000 ----- 4
- \$120,000 to under \$140,000 ----- 5
- \$140,000 to under \$160,000 ----- 6
- \$160,000 to under \$180,000 ----- 7
- \$180,000 to under \$200,000 ----- 8
- \$200,000 or more ----- 9
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

Those are all the questions I have for you. Thank you very much for your participation.

VOTER INFORMATION (FROM VOTER FILE)

I. Age:

- 18 to 29 years ----- 1
- 30 to 39 years ----- 2
- 40 to 49 years ----- 3
- 50 to 64 years ----- 4
- 65 years and older ----- 5
- Not coded ----- 6

J. Party:

- Democrat ----- 1
- Republican ----- 2
- Other ----- 3
- DTS ----- 4

K. Household Party Type:

- Democrat (1) ----- 1
- Democrat (2+) ----- 2
- Republican (1) ----- 3
- Republican (2+) ----- 4
- Other (1) ----- 5
- Other (2+) ----- 6
- Democrat & Republican ----- 7
- Democrat & Other ----- 8
- Republican & Other ----- 9
- Mixed ----- 10

L. Registration Date:

- 2013 to present ----- 1
- 2009 to 2012 ----- 2
- 2005 to 2008 ----- 3
- 2001 to 2004 ----- 4
- 1997 to 2000 ----- 5
- 1993 to 1996 ----- 6
- 1992 and before ----- 7

M. Voting History:

	Poll	Mail	No
Voted November 2005 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2007 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted February 2008	1	2	0
Voted June 2008	1	2	0
Voted November 2008	1	2	0
Voted May 2009	1	2	0
Voted November 2009 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2011 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2013 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2015 (if applicable)	1	2	0
Voted June 2016	1	2	0
Voted November 2016	1	2	0

N. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED IN QK] Times Voted in Past Elections: _____

O. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED BY MAIL IN QK] Absentee Voter: _____

P. Permanent Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

Q. Likely Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

R. Precinct Number (REQUIRED): _____

S. Zip Code (REQUIRED): _____

T. Date of Interview _____

U. Language of Interview:

Chinese----- 1
English----- 2

V. Interview Type:

Landline----- 1
Cell phone----- 2
Email Invite / Online----- 3
Text Invite / Online----- 4



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix E: Crosstabulation Tables

		Total	
		Total	Total
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	254 43.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	260 44.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	50 8.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	15 2.6%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	3 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Total
		Total
		(A)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	127 45.1%	127 42.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	118 41.8%	141 47.5%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	24 8.5%	25 8.5%	1 33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	11 3.8%	4 1.2%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .8%	1 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.
	Very dissatisfied			A B
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	40 51.7%	56 55.4%	61 43.2%	49 31.9%	43 42.6%	5 56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	27 34.9%	41 40.3%	65 45.9%	80 52.4%	44 43.3%	4 43.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	6 7.4%	4 4.3%	13 9.0%	17 11.2%	10 10.3%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	5 6.0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	5 3.6%	2 2.3%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	2 1.5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		D				
	Somewhat satisfied						a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a				a
	Very dissatisfied		a				a
	DK/NA	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	173 40.8%	80 51.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	198 46.6%	62 39.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	40 9.5%	10 6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	11 2.6%	4 2.7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .5%	1 .4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	118 48.5%	38 47.1%	8 51.4%	89 37.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	98 40.4%	29 35.7%	7 44.4%	126 52.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	16 6.7%	9 11.5%	1 4.2%	24 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	9 3.9%	4 4.9%	0 .0%	2 .7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	1 .5%	1 .8%	0 .0%	1 .4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		D	a	
	Very dissatisfied DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	63 51.8%	29 43.9%	17 51.2%	14 48.2%	56 43.7%	75 36.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	46 37.7%	31 46.4%	11 33.7%	10 34.9%	49 38.1%	114 55.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	6 5.3%	5 7.0%	3 10.8%	3 11.2%	19 14.8%	14 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	5 4.2%	2 2.7%	1 2.3%	2 5.8%	4 3.5%	1 .7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	1 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						A E
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied DK/NA		a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	254	119	40	36	15
		43.6%	45.1%	51.0%	44.5%	31.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	118	28	38	26
		44.7%	45.0%	36.0%	46.6%	55.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	19	10	3	3
	8.6%	7.2%	13.0%	4.2%	5.4%	
Very dissatisfied	15	6	0	4	3	
	2.6%	2.4%	.0%	4.8%	5.8%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	0	1	
	.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	10	5	16	13
		38.3%	23.5%	40.8%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	12	13	15	9
		46.9%	56.2%	40.6%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	4	5	2
	14.8%	17.7%	14.4%	7.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	1	
	.0%	2.6%	.8%	5.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a			a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a		a
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	254	1	50	13	29	11	9	20
		43.6%	9.0%	28.8%	30.7%	62.8%	66.8%	63.6%	47.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	2	97	19	16	2	5	15
		44.7%	37.6%	56.0%	46.4%	34.0%	14.7%	36.4%	36.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	3	19	5	1	3	0	3
	8.6%	53.4%	11.0%	13.0%	1.8%	18.4%	.0%	6.5%	
Very dissatisfied	15	0	7	3	0	0	0	3	
	2.6%	.0%	4.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	36	45	40	0	0
		37.7%	60.8%	62.7%	.0%	6.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	27	23	1	4
		49.3%	36.8%	36.4%	43.9%	69.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	2	1	1	1
	11.7%	2.4%	.9%	46.3%	19.1%	
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	5.3%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				B				
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D G I J					a		
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a			a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	B	B	. ^a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			J	
	Very dissatisfied	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a
	DK/NA	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a	. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	183 39.4%	71 60.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	227 48.9%	33 28.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	40 8.6%	10 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	13 2.8%	2 1.7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .3%	1 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	136 39.2%	118 50.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	165 47.6%	95 40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	35 10.0%	15 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	9 2.6%	6 2.6%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .6%	1 .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	5 43.9%	23 46.8%	42 45.1%	51 38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	6 56.1%	19 38.4%	42 44.6%	66 49.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	0 .0%	4 8.8%	5 5.8%	14 10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	2 4.7%	4 4.6%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	75 45.7%	57 43.1%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	77 47.3%	50 38.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10 6.3%	16 12.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 .7%	7 5.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	2 1.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	.b		.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a.,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a.,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a.,b
	Very dissatisfied			a.,b
	DK/NA	.b		a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	253 43.6%	16 36.6%	96 45.7%	52 36.7%	47 41.2%	41 69.9%	1 7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	259 44.8%	24 52.4%	93 44.0%	75 53.6%	48 41.8%	13 22.6%	7 61.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.5%	3 7.2%	17 8.2%	8 5.8%	17 15.2%	1 1.8%	2 20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	1 2.4%	3 1.3%	5 3.8%	2 1.9%	3 5.7%	1 4.3%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	1 1.4%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	E	E	E		A B C D F	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	52 27.5%	1 48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	117 62.0%	1 51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
Total	106	15	25	8
Very satisfied	45	4	20	7
	42.1%	24.0%	80.4%	88.2%
Somewhat satisfied	46	9	5	1
	43.6%	57.6%	19.6%	11.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	13	3	0	0
	12.2%	18.4%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	14	10	148	23
Very satisfied	14	7	81	8
	100.0%	67.6%	54.3%	35.4%
Somewhat satisfied	0	3	49	12
	.0%	32.4%	32.8%	52.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	15	1
	.0%	.0%	10.0%	2.4%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	4	2
	.0%	.0%	2.5%	7.3%
DK/NA	0	0	1	1
	.0%	.0%	.4%	2.8%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	12	6	17
Very satisfied	3	0	11
	27.8%	.0%	63.2%
Somewhat satisfied	7	5	2
	57.9%	78.9%	13.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	3
	11.5%	4.5%	16.6%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	1
	2.7%	16.6%	2.9%
DK/NA	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	G K O	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.	.	C E F	C
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.	.	C	.
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.	.	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.	.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	119	44	52	14	6	4
		44.6%	42.4%	46.1%	44.9%	51.4%	41.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	114	47	44	16	5	2
		42.9%	45.1%	39.0%	52.4%	48.6%	27.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	11	12	1	0	3
		10.1%	10.8%	10.8%	2.8%	.0%	29.5%
Very dissatisfied	6	1	5	0	0	0	
	2.1%	.8%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	172	50	100	20	0	3
		45.2%	46.0%	44.5%	59.9%	.0%	24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	163	51	94	11	3	3
		42.8%	47.5%	41.8%	33.9%	76.7%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	5	21	2	0	5
		8.7%	5.0%	9.3%	6.2%	.0%	43.0%
Very dissatisfied	10	0	8	0	1	0	
	2.6%	.3%	3.7%	.0%	23.3%	1.5%	
DK/NA	3	1	2	0	0	0	
	.7%	1.2%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	A B C
	Very dissatisfied			a	A	
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	254	198	3	25	1	1	18
		43.6%	44.2%	12.9%	27.3%	19.9%	69.2%	42.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	190	19	56	4	0	20
		44.7%	42.4%	73.9%	59.9%	51.0%	6.5%	46.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	44	1	11	1	0	4
		8.6%	9.8%	4.4%	11.8%	15.8%	24.3%	8.7%
Very dissatisfied	15	13	2	0	1	0	1	
	2.6%	2.9%	8.8%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	
	.5%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	18	9	6	0	10
		40.8%	82.9%	19.5%	44.2%	25.0%	80.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	4	34	5	1	2
		16.6%	17.1%	72.1%	35.5%	75.0%	19.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	3	0	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	3	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	20.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	27	1
		100.0%	47.8%	13.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	19	4
		.0%	34.0%	63.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	1
	.0%	12.0%	17.8%	
Very dissatisfied	0	3	0	
	.0%	6.2%	5.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C I				a		
	Somewhat satisfied		H N	A H		a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a		
	Very dissatisfied			b		a,b		b
	DK/NA		b		b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	A B C I O			a	B C I	b	
	Somewhat satisfied		A H N		a		b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b		b	a,b	b	b	
	Very dissatisfied	b	b	A	a,b	b	b	
	DK/NA	b		b	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	254	17	22	19	19	12
		43.6%	29.0%	42.7%	64.7%	50.7%	36.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	38	28	7	14	18
		44.7%	62.6%	54.8%	22.6%	37.3%	56.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	4	0	4	3	2
	8.6%	7.2%	.0%	12.7%	9.1%	4.8%	
Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	0	1	1	
	2.6%	.2%	2.5%	.0%	2.9%	2.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	0	0	0	
	.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	24	22	18	50	51
		57.6%	57.5%	54.1%	37.8%	40.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	8	13	62	58
		32.7%	21.0%	40.0%	47.2%	46.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	6	1	12	14
	9.7%	15.5%	4.4%	9.1%	11.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	2	1	8	1	
	.0%	6.0%	1.6%	5.8%	1.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C G				
	Somewhat satisfied		a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a				
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	254	63	113	78
		43.6%	37.6%	73.7%	29.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	84	38	138
		44.7%	50.3%	24.7%	52.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	16	2	32
	8.6%	9.5%	1.6%	12.2%	
Very dissatisfied	15	3	0	12	
	2.6%	1.8%	.0%	4.6%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	2	
	.5%	.8%	.0%	.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A C	
	Somewhat satisfied	B		B
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		
	Very dissatisfied		a	
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	194	55	48	26	65
		42.1%	43.4%	53.5%	33.7%	38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	56	31	44	81
		45.9%	44.5%	34.2%	56.9%	48.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41	12	7	6	15
		8.8%	9.6%	8.1%	8.0%	9.1%
Very dissatisfied	12	3	4	1	4	
	2.6%	2.0%	4.2%	1.5%	2.6%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	0	2	
	.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	254	60	58	16	22	46
		43.8%	48.7%	48.6%	40.1%	54.0%	36.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	259	53	46	18	11	69
		44.8%	42.7%	38.4%	44.8%	26.7%	53.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	49	9	7	4	5	12
		8.5%	7.3%	6.2%	10.1%	12.9%	9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	14	2	7	1	3	1
	2.4%	1.3%	5.8%	3.4%	6.4%	.5%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	1	0	0	
	.5%	.0%	1.1%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	43 38.5%	5 65.2%	4 40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	56 50.1%	2 32.6%	5 53.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 9.6%	0 2.2%	1 5.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	a			a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	39 6.6%
Close to work	38 6.6%	38 6.6%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	18 3.0%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	93 16.1%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	45 7.7%
Job	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	17 2.9%
Retirement	7 1.2%	7 1.2%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	21 3.6%
School system	205 35.3%	205 35.3%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	36 6.3%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	15 2.6%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 .6%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .4%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	14 2.4%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .3%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	.
	Close to work	.
	Enjoy / like the City	.
	Friends / family here	.
	Grew up here	.
	Job	.
	Quality of life	.
	Retirement	.
	Safety / low crime	.
	School system	.
	Small town atmosphere	.
	Central location / Close to freeways	.
	Diversity	.
	Close to Apple	.
	Other Mention	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	17 6.0%	22 7.3%	0 .0%
Close to work	38 6.6%	12 4.4%	26 8.7%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 4.0%	6 2.1%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	39 13.8%	55 18.4%	0 .0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	23 8.3%	19 6.5%	2 65.6%
Job	26 4.5%	15 5.5%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	7 2.5%	10 3.4%	0 .0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	2 .7%	5 1.7%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	7 2.5%	14 4.7%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	107 38.1%	97 32.6%	1 34.4%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	17 6.2%	19 6.4%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	8 3.0%	7 2.3%	0 .0%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	1 .2%	2 .5%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	9 3.1%	5 1.7%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a
	Close to work		A	a
	Enjoy / like the City			a
	Friends / family here			a
	Grew up here			A B
	Job			a
	Quality of life			a
	Retirement			a
	Safety / low crime			a
	School system			a
	Small town atmosphere			a
	Central location / Close to freeways			a
	Diversity		a	a
	Close to Apple			a
	Other Mention			a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	4 5.7%	12 12.0%	2 1.8%	8 5.2%	10 9.4%	2 24.3%
Close to work	38 6.6%	5 6.3%	11 10.6%	6 4.4%	11 7.5%	5 5.1%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	3 2.4%	5 3.5%	3 3.3%	1 7.2%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	25 33.0%	19 18.5%	12 8.6%	17 11.3%	20 19.6%	0 .0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	15 20.1%	8 8.1%	9 6.3%	7 4.9%	4 3.6%	1 12.5%
Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	8 5.9%	5 3.3%	8 7.8%	1 12.5%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	2 2.3%	5 3.8%	4 2.6%	5 5.3%	0 .0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	7 9.7%	2 2.4%	6 4.0%	3 1.9%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	12 15.5%	21 21.1%	76 53.8%	72 47.5%	22 21.6%	2 18.7%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	4 4.6%	8 7.9%	5 3.4%	7 4.9%	10 10.3%	2 24.9%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	6 4.3%	5 3.2%	2 1.6%	0 .0%
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	2 3.0%	6 5.6%	0 .0%	4 2.7%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		C				C
	Close to work						a
	Enjoy / like the City	a					
	Friends / family here	C D					a
	Grew up here	C D E					
	Job	a					
	Quality of life	a					a
	Retirement	a	a	a	a		a
	Safety / low crime						a
	School system			A B E	A B E		
	Small town atmosphere						C
	Central location / Close to freeways	a					a
	Diversity	a	a			a	a
	Close to Apple		a				a
	Other Mention			a			a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	20 4.7%	19 11.9%
Close to work	38 6.6%	20 4.8%	18 11.3%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 2.7%	6 3.9%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	66 15.6%	27 17.3%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	34 7.9%	11 7.0%
Job	26 4.5%	20 4.7%	6 4.1%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	13 3.1%	4 2.5%
Retirement	7 1.2%	4 1.0%	3 1.7%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	12 2.7%	9 5.9%
School system	205 35.3%	166 39.2%	39 24.8%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	30 7.0%	7 4.3%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	12 2.8%	4 2.2%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 .8%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	1 .1%	2 1.0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	11 2.5%	3 2.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .4%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		A
	Close to work		A
	Enjoy / like the City		
	Friends / family here		
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system	B	
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		
	Diversity		a
	Close to Apple		.
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party				
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	I could afford a house	39 6.6%	21 8.4%	9 11.3%	3 20.3%	6 2.3%
	Close to work	38 6.6%	20 8.1%	4 5.3%	0 .0%	14 6.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	8 3.2%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	8 3.2%
	Friends / family here	93 16.1%	33 13.7%	9 10.9%	4 26.5%	47 19.5%
	Grew up here	45 7.7%	20 8.2%	6 7.2%	0 2.2%	19 7.7%
	Job	26 4.5%	14 5.7%	6 7.5%	0 .0%	6 2.7%
	Quality of life	17 2.9%	3 1.2%	5 6.7%	1 3.7%	8 3.4%
	Retirement	7 1.2%	4 1.8%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	9 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 4.8%
	School system	205 35.3%	73 29.9%	24 29.2%	6 38.6%	103 42.5%
	Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	19 7.9%	6 8.0%	1 7.4%	9 3.9%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	6 2.5%	4 4.6%	0 1.3%	5 2.2%
	Diversity	3 .6%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%
	Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .7%	0 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	14 2.4%	11 4.3%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	D	D	D	
	Close to work			a	
	Enjoy / like the City			a	
	Friends / family here			a	
	Grew up here			a	
	Job			a	
	Quality of life		A	a	
	Retirement		a	a	
	Safety / low crime		a	a	
	School system				A
	Small town atmosphere				
	Central location / Close to freeways				
	Diversity		a	a	
	Close to Apple			a	a
	Other Mention	D		a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
I could afford a house	39	14	5	4	2	5	9
	6.6%	11.9%	8.2%	11.7%	5.7%	3.7%	4.2%
Close to work	38	10	5	3	0	10	9
	6.6%	8.7%	7.7%	10.4%	.5%	8.1%	4.3%
Enjoy / like the City	18	6	2	1	1	0	8
	3.0%	5.0%	2.7%	4.3%	2.3%	.0%	3.7%
Friends / family here	93	11	13	2	7	23	38
	16.1%	8.8%	19.9%	6.2%	24.0%	17.8%	18.5%
Grew up here	45	8	7	3	3	9	14
	7.7%	6.8%	10.5%	10.3%	8.9%	7.2%	7.0%
Job	26	7	4	1	2	6	6
	4.5%	5.9%	5.9%	1.8%	7.3%	5.0%	3.0%
Quality of life	17	1	1	1	3	2	8
	2.9%	.5%	2.1%	4.6%	11.5%	1.6%	4.1%
Retirement	7	3	1	1	1	0	1
	1.2%	2.7%	1.8%	3.8%	2.1%	.1%	.3%
Safety / low crime	21	3	4	0	0	4	10
	3.6%	2.3%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	5.1%
School system	205	39	13	8	9	48	87
	35.3%	32.3%	20.3%	26.0%	32.3%	37.3%	42.4%
Small town atmosphere	36	14	4	5	1	5	8
	6.3%	11.3%	6.0%	14.7%	2.1%	4.0%	4.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15	4	1	2	0	3	5
	2.6%	3.0%	2.0%	6.3%	1.2%	2.3%	2.5%
Diversity	3	1	0	0	0	1	1
	.6%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.5%
Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%
Other Mention	14	0	3	0	1	10	0
	2.4%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	2.3%	8.0%	.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house						
	Close to work					a	
	Enjoy / like the City						
	Friends / family here						
	Grew up here						
	Job						
	Quality of life				A		
	Retirement						
	Safety / low crime			a	a		
	School system						B
	Small town atmosphere						
	Central location / Close to freeways		a	a	a		
	Diversity	a		a	a		a
	Close to Apple	a		a	a		
	Other Mention	a		a		F	
	Not Sure/DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	582	263	78	81	47
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	10 3.6%	11 13.5%	4 5.1%	2 4.0%
Close to work	38 6.6%	19 7.1%	7 9.2%	8 9.8%	0 .2%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	9 3.3%	3 3.6%	3 3.7%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	47 17.8%	6 7.6%	13 15.5%	10 20.9%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	20 7.5%	10 12.8%	6 7.8%	1 1.8%
Job	26 4.5%	12 4.4%	0 .0%	4 5.2%	2 4.6%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	7 2.7%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	3 5.6%
Retirement	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 2.6%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	15 5.6%	2 2.0%	1 1.8%	3 6.9%
School system	205 35.3%	96 36.5%	26 32.7%	31 37.5%	18 38.2%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	11 4.1%	8 10.0%	6 7.2%	2 4.7%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	5 1.8%	4 4.9%	1 .8%	3 7.0%
Diversity	3 .6%	1 .4%	1 1.5%	1 1.3%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .7%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	8 3.0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	1 2.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	27	23	38	24
I could afford a house	0	3	5	4
	.0%	14.1%	12.5%	17.9%
Close to work	2	0	2	1
	5.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.0%
Enjoy / like the City	1	0	1	1
	2.4%	.0%	2.5%	5.8%
Friends / family here	9	5	3	1
	33.0%	23.5%	8.2%	3.6%
Grew up here	1	1	2	4
	5.5%	3.4%	4.4%	15.7%
Job	1	1	3	3
	3.4%	3.4%	8.2%	14.4%
Quality of life	0	0	3	1
	.0%	1.4%	8.3%	5.1%
Retirement	1	0	1	1
	2.4%	.0%	3.4%	2.6%
Safety / low crime	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
School system	10	10	13	2
	35.9%	45.1%	34.0%	8.7%
Small town atmosphere	1	1	3	5
	2.4%	4.0%	8.8%	20.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	0	1	2	0
	.0%	5.2%	4.1%	.4%
Diversity	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	2	0	0	1
	9.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		A		
	Close to work				
	Enjoy / like the City				a
	Friends / family here				
	Grew up here				
	Job		a		
	Quality of life		a		
	Retirement		a		
	Safety / low crime				
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere				
	Central location / Close to freeways				a
	Diversity				
	Close to Apple		a		
	Other Mention			a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a			A
	Close to work	.	a		
	Enjoy / like the City		a		
	Friends / family here	B			
	Grew up here				
	Job				
	Quality of life	a			
	Retirement		a		
	Safety / low crime	a	a	a	a
	School system	.			
	Small town atmosphere				A
	Central location / Close to freeways	a			
	Diversity	a	a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.	a	a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
I could afford a house	39	2	9	4	3	1	0	2
	6.6%	37.6%	5.1%	9.2%	6.7%	8.4%	.0%	5.0%
Close to work	38	0	6	5	3	1	3	3
	6.6%	.0%	3.5%	11.6%	5.5%	7.4%	24.6%	7.4%
Enjoy / like the City	18	0	1	1	3	1	0	4
	3.0%	.0%	.4%	2.2%	7.3%	4.8%	.0%	8.7%
Friends / family here	93	3	12	3	1	0	1	3
	16.1%	43.5%	6.8%	6.5%	1.7%	1.7%	4.8%	7.7%
Grew up here	45	0	11	1	9	0	0	1
	7.7%	.0%	6.3%	3.5%	19.4%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
Job	26	0	5	4	7	0	0	1
	4.5%	.0%	2.9%	8.6%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
Quality of life	17	1	5	0	0	2	2	1
	2.9%	9.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	12.1%	12.6%	3.1%
Retirement	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
	1.2%	.0%	.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Safety / low crime	21	0	8	3	2	0	0	0
	3.6%	.0%	4.9%	6.7%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
School system	205	0	85	19	13	7	7	18
	35.3%	.0%	49.1%	45.4%	27.9%	39.2%	49.1%	42.8%
Small town atmosphere	36	1	12	1	4	3	0	3
	6.3%	9.9%	7.0%	3.6%	8.2%	20.1%	1.1%	8.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	13	1	0	0	0	1
	2.6%	.0%	7.5%	2.8%	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Diversity	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
	.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Other Mention	14	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
	2.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.8%	6.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.3%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Date				
	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5
I could afford a house	7	4	6	0	0
	7.7%	5.3%	8.6%	.0%	.0%
Close to work	6	9	2	0	0
	6.5%	12.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
Enjoy / like the City	3	3	2	0	0
	3.4%	4.2%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
Friends / family here	22	20	27	1	0
	22.9%	27.8%	42.2%	46.3%	5.3%
Grew up here	5	10	7	0	1
	4.7%	13.3%	10.2%	.0%	25.5%
Job	5	3	3	0	0
	4.9%	3.7%	4.5%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	6	1	0	0	0
	6.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Retirement	2	2	0	0	0
	2.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Safety / low crime	7	0	0	0	0
	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
School system	22	16	16	1	2
	22.9%	21.6%	24.3%	53.7%	43.6%
Small town atmosphere	8	3	0	0	0
	8.3%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
Diversity	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	3	2	3	0	1
	3.1%	2.3%	5.1%	.0%	19.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	B					a		
	Close to work	a						B	
	Enjoy / like the City	a							B
	Friends / family here	B D					a		B
	Grew up here	a					a		
	Job	a			B		a		
	Quality of life			a	a		a		
	Retirement	a		a			a		
	Safety / low crime	a					a		a
	School system	a	H I J						
	Small town atmosphere								
	Central location / Close to freeways	a				a	a		a
	Diversity	a		a	a		a		a
	Close to Apple	a							a
	Other Mention	a							a
	Not Sure/DK/NA							B	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a	a
	Close to work			a	a
	Enjoy / like the City			a	a
	Friends / family here	B D	B C D G		
	Grew up here			a	
	Job			a	a
	Quality of life		a	a	a
	Retirement		a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a	a	a
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere		a	a	a
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	a	
	Diversity	a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a			
	Other Mention				B
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	30 6.6%	8 6.9%
Close to work	38 6.6%	34 7.3%	4 3.8%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 2.4%	6 5.4%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	78 16.7%	16 13.5%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	26 5.5%	19 16.1%
Job	26 4.5%	21 4.5%	5 4.5%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	14 2.9%	3 3.0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	6 1.4%	1 .5%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	18 3.9%	3 2.3%
School system	205 35.3%	164 35.4%	41 34.5%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	31 6.6%	6 4.9%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	13 2.9%	2 1.7%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 .7%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .4%	0 .2%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	11 2.3%	3 2.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		
	Close to work		
	Enjoy / like the City		
	Friends / family here		
	Grew up here		A
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		a
	Diversity		.
	Close to Apple		
	Other Mention		
Not Sure/DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	29 8.4%	10 4.1%
Close to work	38 6.6%	27 7.8%	11 4.8%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	10 2.8%	8 3.4%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	40 11.7%	53 22.6%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	23 6.6%	22 9.2%
Job	26 4.5%	18 5.2%	8 3.4%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	11 3.2%	6 2.5%
Retirement	7 1.2%	6 1.8%	1 .3%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	11 3.1%	10 4.4%
School system	205 35.3%	130 37.4%	76 32.1%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	20 5.7%	17 7.1%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	11 3.2%	4 1.8%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 .9%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .1%	2 .8%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	7 2.0%	7 2.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .1%	1 .6%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	B	
	Close to work		
	Enjoy / like the City		
	Friends / family here		A
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		a
	Diversity		
	Close to Apple		
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	I could afford a house	39	0	4	8	6
		6.6%	2.7%	7.2%	8.6%	4.9%
	Close to work	38	3	9	7	10
		6.6%	27.1%	17.4%	7.3%	7.6%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	0	0	0	3
		3.0%	.9%	.5%	.2%	2.5%
	Friends / family here	93	2	4	6	31
		16.1%	14.7%	8.4%	6.5%	23.8%
	Grew up here	45	0	0	1	2
		7.7%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.5%
	Job	26	0	1	5	4
		4.5%	.0%	2.8%	5.4%	2.7%
	Quality of life	17	0	1	0	4
		2.9%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	2.7%
	Retirement	7	0	1	1	2
		1.2%	.0%	2.7%	1.3%	1.4%
	Safety / low crime	21	0	6	1	5
		3.6%	.0%	11.5%	1.3%	3.6%
	School system	205	3	18	55	50
	35.3%	29.0%	36.4%	59.0%	37.8%	
Small town atmosphere	36	0	0	7	5	
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	4.2%	
Central location / Close to freeways	15	3	0	0	6	
	2.6%	25.6%	.2%	.4%	4.4%	
Diversity	3	0	0	1	1	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.8%	
Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	
Other Mention	14	0	3	0	3	
	2.4%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	2.0%	
Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	163	133	1
I could afford a house	6 3.4%	15 11.2%	0 .0%
Close to work	5 3.0%	5 3.9%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	9 5.5%	5 3.6%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	33 20.2%	17 13.1%	0 .0%
Grew up here	19 11.9%	22 16.9%	0 .0%
Job	9 5.8%	7 5.1%	0 .0%
Quality of life	6 3.4%	7 5.1%	0 .0%
Retirement	2 1.2%	1 .5%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	8 5.1%	1 .8%	0 .0%
School system	51 31.1%	28 20.9%	1 100.0%
Small town atmosphere	9 5.5%	15 11.0%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	4 2.3%	3 1.9%	0 .0%
Diversity	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Other Mention	2 1.0%	6 4.8%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino			
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I could afford a house				
Close to work	E F	E F		
Enjoy / like the City				
Friends / family here				C
Grew up here	.b	.b		
Job	.b			
Quality of life	.b		.b	
Retirement	.b			
Safety / low crime	.b	F		
School system			D E F	F
Small town atmosphere	.b	.b		
Central location / Close to freeways	B C E F			
Diversity	.b	.b		
Close to Apple	.b	F	.b	.b
Other Mention	.b		.b	
Not Sure/DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
	(E)	(F)	(G)
I could afford a house			a,b
Close to work			a,b
Enjoy / like the City			a,b
Friends / family here	C		a,b
Grew up here	C D	C D	a,b
Job			a,b
Quality of life			a,b
Retirement			a,b
Safety / low crime			a,b
School system			a,b
Small town atmosphere			a,b
Central location / Close to freeways			a,b
Diversity		.b	a,b
Close to Apple	.b		a,b
Other Mention			a,b
Not Sure/DK/NA	.b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
I could afford a house	39	8	16	9	2	2	1
	6.6%	17.7%	7.8%	6.2%	1.9%	4.2%	5.7%
Close to work	38	3	21	7	6	2	0
	6.6%	6.2%	10.2%	4.8%	4.9%	3.1%	.0%
Enjoy / like the City	18	1	6	4	2	5	0
	3.0%	2.8%	2.9%	2.7%	1.4%	8.4%	.0%
Friends / family here	93	7	38	19	17	11	0
	16.1%	16.4%	18.3%	13.6%	15.1%	19.4%	.0%
Grew up here	45	4	8	7	12	11	3
	7.7%	9.5%	3.7%	5.0%	10.5%	18.6%	25.3%
Job	26	2	13	5	2	4	0
	4.5%	5.1%	6.2%	3.8%	1.5%	6.7%	.0%
Quality of life	17	2	6	6	3	0	0
	3.0%	3.4%	2.8%	4.5%	2.9%	.0%	.0%
Retirement	6	4	1	0	0	0	0
	1.0%	10.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Safety / low crime	21	2	4	4	7	3	0
	3.6%	5.4%	2.0%	3.0%	6.1%	5.2%	.0%
School system	205	4	63	68	51	16	3
	35.4%	9.0%	30.0%	48.4%	44.6%	28.4%	21.7%
Small town atmosphere	36	4	16	1	10	1	4
	6.2%	9.4%	7.5%	.5%	8.8%	1.8%	34.3%
Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	6	4	1	2	1
	2.7%	1.1%	3.0%	3.0%	.5%	4.0%	13.0%
Diversity	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
	.6%	2.6%	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	14	1	7	4	2	0	0
	2.4%	1.4%	3.4%	2.8%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I could afford a house	D					a
Close to work						a
Enjoy / like the City						a
Friends / family here						a
Grew up here					B C	B
Job						a
Quality of life						a
Retirement	B		a	a	a	a
Safety / low crime						a
School system			A B	A		a
Small town atmosphere	C	C		C		B C E
Central location / Close to freeways						D
Diversity				a	a	a
Close to Apple	a		a	a	a	a
Other Mention						a
Not Sure/DK/NA	a			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
Total	582	3	3	189
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%
Close to work	38 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	42 22.0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 8.5%
Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%
School system	205 35.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	80 42.0%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.2%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.1%
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	2	106	15	25
I could afford a house	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to work	0 .0%	6 5.4%	5 34.9%	1 4.4%
Enjoy / like the City	0 .0%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	2 6.9%
Friends / family here	0 .0%	10 9.5%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%
Grew up here	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 9.4%	6 24.5%
Job	0 .0%	5 4.5%	0 .0%	2 6.6%
Quality of life	0 .0%	4 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.4%
School system	2 100.0%	61 57.2%	7 45.5%	4 15.2%
Small town atmosphere	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	3 11.1%
Diversity	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	8	14	10	148
I could afford a house	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 12.7%
Close to work	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	18 12.0%
Enjoy / like the City	0 .0%	2 11.3%	0 .0%	9 5.8%
Friends / family here	0 .0%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	13 8.5%
Grew up here	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	12 8.0%
Job	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 9.0%
Quality of life	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	6 3.7%
Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.7%
Safety / low crime	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%
School system	5 65.6%	1 7.1%	6 56.2%	28 19.1%
Small town atmosphere	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%
Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%
Diversity	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%
Other Mention	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
I could afford a house	2 7.9%	3 23.7%	2 41.6%	3 17.7%
Close to work	6 24.9%	1 9.7%	0 4.5%	0 .9%
Enjoy / like the City	1 6.3%	1 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	1 4.3%	5 41.0%	0 4.5%	4 24.9%
Grew up here	3 12.6%	1 11.0%	1 16.6%	0 .0%
Job	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	0 .0%	0 2.7%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
School system	5 20.2%	1 6.5%	1 22.5%	3 19.3%
Small town atmosphere	3 14.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 5.8%
Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	0 2.5%
Diversity	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.6%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	a	a	a	a
I could afford a house	a	a	a	a
Close to work	a	a	.	a
Enjoy / like the City	a	a	.	a
Friends / family here	a	a	K	a
Grew up here	a	a	.	a
Job	a	a	.	a
Quality of life	a	a	.	a
Retirement	a	a	.	a
Safety / low crime	a	a	.	a
School system	.	a	K	a
Small town atmosphere	C	a	.	a
Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	.	a
Diversity	a	a	a	a
Close to Apple	a	a	.	a
Other Mention	a	a	.	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?
I could afford a house	.	a	.	a
Close to work	.	E	.	a
Enjoy / like the City	.	a	.	a
Friends / family here	.	.	.	a
Grew up here	.	.	E	a
Job	.	a	.	a
Quality of life	.	a	a	a
Retirement	a	a	a	a
Safety / low crime	.	a	.	a
School system	G I K	.	.	.
Small town atmosphere	.	a	.	a
Central location / Close to freeways	.	a	.	a
Diversity	.	a	a	a
Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
Other Mention	.	a	a	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	a	a	E	.	
I could afford a house	a	.	.	.	
Close to work	a	.	.	.	
Enjoy / like the City	C	a	.	.	
Friends / family here	C E K L	a	.	.	
Grew up here	.	a	.	.	
Job	a	a	.	a	
Quality of life	a	.	.	a	
Retirement	a	a	.	a	
Safety / low crime	a	a	.	.	
School system	.	a	.	.	
Small town atmosphere	a	a	.	.	
Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	.	a	
Diversity	a	E	a	a	
Close to Apple	a	a	.	a	
Other Mention	a	a	.	.	
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	a	.	.	
I could afford a house	E	C E	E	
Close to work	.	a	a	
Enjoy / like the City	.	.	.	
Friends / family here	K	.	.	
Grew up here	.	a	a	
Job	a	a	.	
Quality of life	.	a	.	
Retirement	a	a	a	
Safety / low crime	a	a	a	
School system	.	.	.	
Small town atmosphere	a	a	.	
Central location / Close to freeways	a	.	.	
Diversity	a	a	a	
Close to Apple	a	a	a	
Other Mention	a	a	E K	
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
I could afford a house	5	1	4	0	0	0
	2.0%	1.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to work	23	11	5	7	0	0
	8.8%	10.8%	4.7%	22.6%	.0%	.0%
Enjoy / like the City	5	1	2	2	0	0
	1.9%	.9%	1.5%	7.7%	.0%	.0%
Friends / family here	36	14	18	3	0	1
	13.6%	13.5%	15.7%	10.6%	.0%	16.1%
Grew up here	12	2	4	3	1	1
	4.5%	2.2%	4.0%	8.7%	13.4%	11.5%
Job	8	1	4	0	2	0
	2.9%	1.4%	4.0%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
Quality of life	11	9	2	0	0	1
	4.3%	8.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
Retirement	1	0	1	0	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Safety / low crime	5	0	2	2	1	0
	1.8%	.2%	1.4%	5.4%	13.4%	.0%
School system	137	54	60	11	5	6
	51.2%	52.3%	53.0%	37.5%	49.8%	65.1%
Small town atmosphere	10	6	2	1	1	0
	3.7%	5.9%	1.6%	3.4%	7.2%	.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	7	2	3	1	0	0
	2.5%	1.9%	3.0%	4.0%	.9%	.0%
Diversity	1	1	0	0	0	0
	.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0
	.7%	.3%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	4	0	4	0	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I could afford a house			a	a	a
Close to work			B	a	a
Enjoy / like the City				a	a
Friends / family here				a	
Grew up here					
Job			a	A	a
Quality of life			a	a	
Retirement	a		a	a	a
Safety / low crime				A	a
School system					a
Small town atmosphere					a
Central location / Close to freeways					a
Diversity		a	a	a	a
Close to Apple			a	a	a
Other Mention	a			a	a
Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
I could afford a house	30	13	16	0	1	0
	7.8%	12.1%	7.1%	.0%	17.5%	.0%
Close to work	17	8	8	2	0	0
	4.5%	7.2%	3.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
Enjoy / like the City	13	6	2	5	0	0
	3.4%	5.8%	.9%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
Friends / family here	74	18	52	5	0	0
	19.4%	16.5%	23.0%	13.8%	.0%	.0%
Grew up here	34	3	24	4	1	1
	8.8%	3.2%	10.6%	11.9%	32.5%	9.2%
Job	19	7	11	0	0	1
	5.0%	6.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
Quality of life	9	2	6	1	0	0
	2.3%	2.3%	2.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
Retirement	7	5	2	0	0	0
	1.8%	4.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Safety / low crime	14	4	8	2	0	0
	3.7%	3.8%	3.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
School system	120	32	68	14	0	7
	31.4%	29.5%	30.2%	40.4%	.0%	59.5%
Small town atmosphere	24	8	14	2	1	0
	6.3%	7.3%	6.1%	4.7%	23.3%	.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	7	1	4	1	1	0
	1.7%	.6%	1.6%	3.3%	26.7%	.0%
Diversity	2	0	2	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	11	1	7	0	0	2
	2.8%	1.0%	3.1%	.4%	.0%	21.5%
Not Sure/DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I could afford a house			a		a
Close to work				a	a
Enjoy / like the City	B		B	a	a
Friends / family here				a	a
Grew up here				A	a
Job			a		a
Quality of life				a	a
Retirement			a		a
Safety / low crime				a	a
School system				a	a
Small town atmosphere					a
Central location / Close to freeways				A B	a
Diversity	a		a		a
Close to Apple	a		a		a
Other Mention					A B C
Not Sure/DK/NA	a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	36 8.1%	1 4.0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to work	38 6.6%	35 7.8%	1 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 2.5%	0 .0%	3 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	56 12.5%	8 31.5%	16 17.1%	0 .0%	1 69.2%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	37 8.3%	2 8.9%	8 8.8%	1 13.2%	0 24.3%
Job	26 4.5%	18 4.0%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	14 3.2%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	1 15.8%	0 .0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	7 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	12 2.6%	1 5.6%	9 9.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	159 35.4%	9 36.4%	42 44.7%	4 51.1%	0 6.5%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	33 7.4%	3 10.6%	3 3.7%	1 19.8%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	11 2.6%	0 .0%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Diversity	3 .6%	2 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	12 2.8%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
I could afford a house	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 25.0%
Close to work	3 6.4%	4 65.7%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 7.7%	0 .0%	1 4.9%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	6 13.3%	0 .0%	3 14.7%	17 35.5%	1 7.6%	0 .0%
Grew up here	0 .0%	1 11.7%	6 27.6%	0 .0%	3 19.2%	1 75.0%
Job	5 10.8%	0 .0%	2 7.5%	1 2.4%	3 19.8%	0 .0%
Quality of life	4 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 12.8%	0 .0%	1 8.1%	0 .0%
School system	25 56.0%	1 19.1%	4 17.1%	18 37.4%	3 21.1%	0 .0%
Small town atmosphere	1 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 8.1%	3 19.3%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	1 2.1%	0 3.5%	3 12.5%	1 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Diversity	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household			
	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	12	14	56	7
I could afford a house	1	0	5	0
	11.2%	.0%	9.6%	.0%
Close to work	0	0	3	0
	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%
Enjoy / like the City	0	2	1	0
	1.9%	11.3%	1.8%	.0%
Friends / family here	0	9	6	0
	.0%	69.0%	11.0%	.0%
Grew up here	2	2	4	1
	13.8%	12.6%	6.6%	15.4%
Job	1	0	0	0
	11.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
Retirement	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Safety / low crime	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
School system	8	1	27	5
	61.9%	7.1%	48.6%	77.9%
Small town atmosphere	0	0	5	0
	.0%	.0%	8.7%	2.4%
Central location / Close to freeways	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	2.1%	4.3%
Diversity	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Close to Apple	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a	a	a,b	a
	Close to work				a	a,b	
	Enjoy / like the City		a		a	a,b	a
	Friends / family here				a	b	
	Grew up here						a
	Job		a		a	a,b	
	Quality of life		a			a,b	
	Retirement		a		a	a,b	a
	Safety / low crime			A	a	a,b	a
	School system					b	
	Small town atmosphere					a,b	
	Central location / Close to freeways		a		a	a,b	
	Diversity		a		a	a,b	a
	Close to Apple		a		a	a,b	a
	Other Mention		a		a	a,b	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a	a	a	a	b	
	Close to work	A B F I N	a		a	a,b	a
	Enjoy / like the City	a		a		a,b	
	Friends / family here	a		A		a,b	a
	Grew up here			a		b	
	Job	a				a,b	
	Quality of life	a	a		a	a,b	a
	Retirement	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Safety / low crime	a		a		a,b	a
	School system					a,b	
	Small town atmosphere	a	a			a,b	a
	Central location / Close to freeways				a	a,b	a
	Diversity	a			a	a,b	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Other Mention	a	a		a	a,b	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	A	a	a,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a		a
	Close to work	a		a
	Enjoy / like the City			a
	Friends / family here	A C F H J N		a
	Grew up here			.
	Job	a	a	a
	Quality of life	a		a
	Retirement	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a		a
	School system	.		.
	Small town atmosphere	a		
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		
	Diversity	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a		a
	Other Mention	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income				
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
Total	582	60	52	29	37
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	9 14.7%	3 4.9%	3 9.9%	0 .4%
Close to work	38 6.6%	1 1.1%	5 9.2%	1 5.0%	3 7.5%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	2 3.5%	5 8.8%	0 .0%	0 .3%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	20 33.2%	19 36.8%	5 16.4%	3 8.0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	6 10.6%	1 2.6%	6 20.3%	2 5.4%
Job	26 4.5%	2 3.5%	1 2.2%	3 9.6%	2 6.6%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	1 1.2%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	2 4.7%
Retirement	7 1.2%	3 5.2%	1 1.4%	2 6.4%	1 1.7%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	5 8.2%	2 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	9 14.8%	3 5.0%	6 21.9%	14 37.1%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	2 3.4%	8 16.0%	3 8.9%	5 13.1%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 1.6%	3 8.0%
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	4 7.6%	0 .0%	1 2.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Household Income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
I could afford a house	0 .3%	1 2.5%	9 23.5%	2 4.5%	2 1.9%
Close to work	1 2.8%	6 14.7%	6 14.7%	0 1.1%	5 3.5%
Enjoy / like the City	1 2.0%	1 2.5%	2 4.2%	2 7.3%	2 1.7%
Friends / family here	6 17.3%	8 19.0%	10 26.4%	2 5.6%	5 3.9%
Grew up here	3 8.5%	7 17.0%	3 8.6%	4 13.2%	8 5.8%
Job	1 1.8%	2 4.9%	0 .0%	4 11.7%	4 3.3%
Quality of life	1 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%
Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	3 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 8.4%	4 2.9%
School system	10 31.4%	12 29.5%	8 21.4%	16 47.0%	86 65.0%
Small town atmosphere	4 10.9%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.7%
Central location / Close to freeways	2 6.2%	0 .6%	0 1.3%	0 .7%	3 2.1%
Diversity	1 3.2%	1 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%
Other Mention	0 .0%	3 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .5%	2 1.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	1 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
I could afford a house	10 8.0%
Close to work	11 8.8%
Enjoy / like the City	3 2.3%
Friends / family here	16 12.7%
Grew up here	4 3.1%
Job	7 5.4%
Quality of life	10 7.7%
Retirement	1 .5%
Safety / low crime	5 4.2%
School system	41 32.7%
Small town atmosphere	8 6.0%
Central location / Close to freeways	6 4.7%
Diversity	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%
Other Mention	5 3.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .2%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	I				
	Close to work			a		
	Enjoy / like the City					
	Friends / family here	I J	H I J			
	Grew up here			J		
	Job					
	Quality of life			a		a
	Retirement					
	Safety / low crime			a	a	
	School system				B	B
	Small town atmosphere					
	Central location / Close to freeways		a			
	Diversity	a	a	a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	a		a
	Other Mention	a		a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a				J

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		I		
	Close to work				
	Enjoy / like the City				
	Friends / family here		I		
	Grew up here				
	Job		a		
	Quality of life	a	a	a	
	Retirement	a	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a		
	School system			A B	A B C E F G J
	Small town atmosphere		a	a	
	Central location / Close to freeways				
	Diversity		a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	
	Other Mention		a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	
	Close to work	
	Enjoy / like the City	
	Friends / family here	
	Grew up here	
	Job	
	Quality of life	
	Retirement	
	Safety / low crime	
	School system	B
	Small town atmosphere	
	Central location / Close to freeways	
	Diversity	a
	Close to Apple	a
	Other Mention	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	16 9.7%	8 5.4%	14 5.4%
Close to work	38 6.6%	11 6.8%	17 11.0%	10 3.9%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	3 1.6%	10 6.4%	5 1.9%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	45 26.8%	34 22.3%	15 5.6%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	11 6.5%	21 13.8%	13 4.8%
Job	26 4.5%	9 5.3%	10 6.5%	7 2.9%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	8 5.0%	2 1.5%	6 2.4%
Retirement	7 1.2%	5 3.0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	6 3.4%	5 3.5%	10 3.8%
School system	205 35.3%	36 21.3%	34 22.0%	136 51.9%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	13 7.9%	4 2.7%	19 7.3%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 5.9%
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.2%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	3 1.8%	6 3.9%	5 1.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .1%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			
	Close to work		C	
	Enjoy / like the City			
	Friends / family here	C	C	
	Grew up here		C	
	Job			
	Quality of life			
	Retirement	C		
	Safety / low crime			
	School system			A B
	Small town atmosphere			
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	
	Diversity	a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	
	Other Mention	.	.	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
I could afford a house	34 7.4%	4 2.8%	8 8.7%	5 6.7%	18 10.5%
Close to work	34 7.3%	15 12.2%	6 7.0%	3 4.3%	9 5.1%
Enjoy / like the City	13 2.9%	2 1.3%	5 5.0%	3 4.3%	4 2.3%
Friends / family here	61 13.1%	14 10.9%	13 14.7%	11 14.1%	23 13.5%
Grew up here	40 8.6%	18 14.2%	3 2.9%	4 5.0%	16 9.3%
Job	21 4.6%	5 4.3%	1 1.5%	4 4.6%	11 6.5%
Quality of life	13 2.8%	3 2.1%	3 3.2%	3 3.6%	4 2.6%
Retirement	7 1.5%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.8%
Safety / low crime	17 3.7%	11 8.7%	4 4.8%	2 2.0%	0 .1%
School system	160 34.7%	36 28.6%	34 37.2%	34 44.2%	56 33.5%
Small town atmosphere	32 7.0%	8 6.4%	8 9.3%	5 6.0%	11 6.7%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 3.3%	4 3.1%	3 3.2%	1 1.9%	7 4.2%
Diversity	3 .7%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Close to Apple	2 .5%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .3%
Other Mention	7 1.6%	3 2.3%	0 .0%	3 3.4%	2 1.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .4%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I could afford a house				
Close to work				
Enjoy / like the City				
Friends / family here				
Grew up here	B			
Job				
Quality of life		a	a	
Retirement	D	D		
Safety / low crime				
School system				
Small town atmosphere				
Central location / Close to freeways	a		a	
Diversity	.	a	a	
Close to Apple		a		
Other Mention		a	a	
Not Sure/DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender				
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
Total	579	123	119	40	41
I could afford a house	39 6.7%	14 11.3%	7 5.6%	3 7.8%	6 14.8%
Close to work	38 6.6%	13 10.8%	6 5.3%	3 8.6%	1 2.0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	2 1.3%	6 5.2%	1 3.2%	1 1.8%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	15 12.1%	19 15.5%	4 11.0%	4 10.8%
Grew up here	43 7.4%	3 2.7%	16 13.1%	3 7.9%	3 6.6%
Job	26 4.5%	8 6.3%	6 5.0%	3 7.0%	3 7.9%
Quality of life	17 3.0%	1 .6%	2 1.9%	5 11.5%	1 1.9%
Retirement	7 1.2%	4 3.1%	1 .5%	1 1.6%	1 2.9%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	7 5.5%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
School system	204 35.3%	40 32.2%	33 27.6%	9 21.4%	15 36.9%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	11 8.8%	8 7.1%	4 10.5%	2 5.6%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.7%	1 .4%	6 4.7%	3 7.9%	1 1.3%
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 1.2%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .9%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	4 3.5%	6 5.2%	1 1.6%	1 3.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.4%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

	Party by Gender			
	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	128	112	7	9
I could afford a house	1 1.1%	4 3.8%	3 45.9%	0 .0%
Close to work	9 7.2%	5 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	3 2.7%	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Friends / family here	35 27.2%	12 11.0%	1 11.6%	3 38.3%
Grew up here	13 10.0%	5 4.2%	0 .0%	0 4.0%
Job	0 .2%	6 5.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	5 3.6%	4 3.2%	0 .0%	1 6.6%
Retirement	1 .5%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	7 5.7%	4 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
School system	46 36.0%	56 49.8%	3 42.5%	3 35.5%
Small town atmosphere	4 3.1%	5 4.9%	0 .0%	1 13.2%
Central location / Close to freeways	3 2.6%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	0 2.4%
Diversity	0 .0%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	0 .1%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	E			E	
	Close to work					
	Enjoy / like the City					
	Friends / family here					F
	Grew up here					
	Job				E	
	Quality of life			A		
	Retirement					
	Safety / low crime			a	a	
	School system			.	.	
	Small town atmosphere					
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		a	a	a
	Diversity	.		a	.	a
	Close to Apple					a
	Other Mention	a	a	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		B E F	a
	Close to work		a	a
	Enjoy / like the City		a	a
	Friends / family here		.	.
	Grew up here		a	.
	Job		a	a
	Quality of life		a	a
	Retirement		a	a
	Safety / low crime		a	a
	School system	B	.	.
	Small town atmosphere		a	.
	Central location / Close to freeways		a	.
	Diversity		a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
Affordable housing	114	114
	19.6%	19.6%
City's economic health	21	21
	3.6%	3.6%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	31
	5.3%	5.3%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	121
	20.7%	20.7%
Crime/Safety	67	67
	11.6%	11.6%
Education/School overcrowding	64	64
	10.9%	10.9%
Environmental health	16	16
	2.8%	2.8%
Neighborhood preservation	7	7
	1.3%	1.3%
Poor cell coverage	5	5
	.9%	.9%
Protection of open space	7	7
	1.3%	1.3%
Public transportation	13	13
	2.2%	2.2%
Quality of life	22	22
	3.8%	3.8%
Race relations	18	18
	3.0%	3.0%
Taxes	27	27
	4.7%	4.7%
Traffic	330	330
	56.8%	56.8%
Over population/Over crowding	23	23
	3.9%	3.9%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	27
	4.6%	4.6%
Cost of living	17	17
	2.8%	2.8%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	5
	.9%	.9%
Parking	7	7
	1.2%	1.2%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	16
	2.7%	2.7%
Other Mention	23	23
	4.0%	4.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	22
	3.8%	3.8%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
Affordable housing	.
City's economic health	.
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	.
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	.
Crime/Safety	.
Education/School overcrowding	.
Environmental health	.
Neighborhood preservation	.
Poor cell coverage	.
Protection of open space	.
Public transportation	.
Quality of life	.
Race relations	.
Taxes	.
Traffic	.
Over population/Over crowding	.
Valico mall issues - General Mention	.
Cost of living	.
Need more retail/Shopping	.
Parking	.
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.
Other Mention	.
Not Sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
Affordable housing	114	64	50	0
	19.6%	22.9%	16.6%	.0%
City's economic health	21	9	12	0
	3.6%	3.3%	4.0%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	14	17	0
	5.3%	4.9%	5.6%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	70	50	1
	20.7%	24.7%	16.9%	31.9%
Crime/Safety	67	25	42	0
	11.6%	8.9%	14.1%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	64	24	39	0
	10.9%	8.6%	13.2%	.0%
Environmental health	16	10	6	0
	2.8%	3.7%	1.9%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	7	5	2	0
	1.3%	1.8%	.7%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	1	5	0
	.9%	.2%	1.6%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	3	4	0
	1.3%	1.2%	1.4%	.0%
Public transportation	13	9	4	0
	2.2%	3.1%	1.4%	.0%
Quality of life	22	11	10	1
	3.8%	3.9%	3.3%	34.4%
Race relations	18	7	10	0
	3.0%	2.6%	3.5%	.0%
Taxes	27	11	16	0
	4.7%	4.0%	5.4%	.0%
Traffic	330	154	174	2
	56.8%	54.7%	58.6%	65.6%
Over population/Over crowding	23	14	9	0
	3.9%	4.8%	3.1%	.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	15	11	1
	4.6%	5.2%	3.8%	34.4%
Cost of living	17	10	6	0
	2.8%	3.6%	2.1%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	2	4	0
	.9%	.5%	1.3%	.0%
Parking	7	1	6	0
	1.2%	.4%	2.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	12	3	1
	2.7%	4.1%	1.1%	33.7%
Other Mention	23	13	10	0
	4.0%	4.7%	3.3%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	8	14	0
	3.8%	2.7%	4.8%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			a
	City's economic health			a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety			a
	Education/School overcrowding			a
	Environmental health			a
	Neighborhood preservation			a
	Poor cell coverage			a
	Protection of open space			a
	Public transportation			a
	Quality of life	AB		
	Race relations			a
	Taxes			a
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding			a
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention		B	
	Cost of living		a	
	Need more retail/Shopping		a	
	Parking		a	
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			AB	
Other Mention			a	
Not Sure/DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
Affordable housing	114	15	29	30	22	15	3
	19.6%	19.5%	28.8%	21.3%	14.1%	14.8%	36.8%
City's economic health	21	6	8	4	1	2	0
	3.6%	7.3%	8.1%	2.6%	.9%	2.2%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	7	8	3	8	4	0
	5.3%	8.9%	8.0%	2.4%	5.5%	3.9%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	9	16	30	38	26	3
	20.7%	11.3%	15.5%	21.1%	24.7%	25.8%	31.1%
Crime/Safety	67	13	6	24	19	6	0
	11.6%	17.0%	6.2%	16.7%	12.2%	5.6%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	64	11	9	28	13	3	0
	10.9%	13.7%	9.0%	20.0%	8.2%	3.4%	.0%
Environmental health	16	0	6	4	5	2	0
	2.8%	.0%	5.6%	2.8%	3.2%	1.5%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	7	4	0	1	2	1	0
	1.3%	5.6%	.0%	.6%	1.0%	.6%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	2	1	0
	.9%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.0%	.6%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	0	2	1	2	2	0
	1.3%	.0%	2.4%	.8%	1.5%	1.6%	.0%
Public transportation	13	5	0	3	1	3	0
	2.2%	6.6%	.0%	2.3%	.9%	3.0%	.0%
Quality of life	22	0	6	4	8	3	0
	3.8%	.0%	6.3%	3.0%	5.2%	3.3%	.0%
Race relations	18	3	3	5	5	1	1
	3.0%	3.7%	2.5%	3.6%	3.2%	1.3%	12.5%
Taxes	27	3	6	6	7	5	0
	4.7%	3.6%	6.1%	4.5%	4.6%	4.8%	.0%
Traffic	330	37	56	74	97	62	5
	56.8%	47.7%	55.1%	52.4%	63.8%	60.7%	56.1%
Over population/Over crowding	23	2	2	5	11	2	0
	3.9%	3.1%	2.0%	3.9%	7.2%	1.9%	.0%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	27	4	6	8	6	3	1
	4.6%	5.0%	5.9%	5.4%	3.9%	3.1%	7.2%
Cost of living	17	2	6	5	4	0	0
	2.8%	3.0%	5.5%	3.4%	2.5%	.1%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	3	2	0	0
	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	1.1%	.4%	.0%
Parking	7	0	2	2	2	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	2.0%	1.7%	1.6%	.2%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	2	5	6	3	0
	2.7%	.0%	1.9%	3.6%	4.0%	2.7%	.0%
Other Mention	23	3	5	6	2	7	0
	4.0%	3.7%	4.8%	4.2%	1.4%	7.1%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	10	3	1	1	6	0
	3.8%	13.5%	3.3%	.9%	.3%	6.3%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing						a
	City's economic health		D				.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)						a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						.
	Crime/Safety						a
	Education/School overcrowding			DE			a
	Environmental health	a					a
	Neighborhood preservation		a				a
	Poor cell coverage	a		a			a
	Protection of open space	a					a
	Public transportation		a				a
	Quality of life						a
	Race relations						a
	Taxes						.
	Traffic						.
	Over population/Over crowding						a
	Valico mall issues - General Mention						.
	Cost of living						a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a				a
	Parking						a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents						a	
Other Mention						a	
Not Sure/DK/NA	C D				D	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
Affordable housing	114	61	53
	19.6%	14.4%	33.6%
City's economic health	21	17	4
	3.6%	4.0%	2.6%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	24	6
	5.3%	5.7%	4.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	99	22
	20.7%	23.2%	14.1%
Crime/Safety	67	56	11
	11.6%	13.1%	7.3%
Education/School overcrowding	64	51	13
	10.9%	12.0%	8.2%
Environmental health	16	12	4
	2.8%	2.8%	2.6%
Neighborhood preservation	7	3	4
	1.3%	.7%	2.7%
Poor cell coverage	5	2	3
	.9%	.5%	2.1%
Protection of open space	7	7	0
	1.3%	1.7%	.1%
Public transportation	13	10	3
	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%
Quality of life	22	13	8
	3.8%	3.1%	5.4%
Race relations	18	11	7
	3.0%	2.6%	4.2%
Taxes	27	19	8
	4.7%	4.5%	5.3%
Traffic	330	245	85
	56.8%	57.8%	53.8%
Over population/Over crowding	23	21	1
	3.9%	5.1%	.8%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	16	11
	4.6%	3.9%	6.7%
Cost of living	17	10	7
	2.8%	2.3%	4.2%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	4	1
	.9%	1.0%	.6%
Parking	7	6	1
	1.2%	1.4%	.7%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	12	4
	2.7%	2.8%	2.6%
Other Mention	23	14	9
	4.0%	3.4%	5.5%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	18	4
	3.8%	4.1%	2.7%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		A
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	B	
	Crime/Safety	B	
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Poor cell coverage		
	Protection of open space		
	Public transportation		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding	B	
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			
Other Mention			
Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
Affordable housing	114	59	12	5	38
	19.6%	24.3%	14.6%	32.4%	15.6%
City's economic health	21	9	2	1	9
	3.6%	3.7%	2.8%	4.9%	3.7%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	16	2	3	9
	5.3%	6.5%	2.8%	21.3%	3.8%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	62	20	3	36
	20.7%	25.5%	25.1%	17.2%	14.7%
Crime/Safety	67	24	5	1	37
	11.6%	9.9%	5.7%	8.4%	15.4%
Education/School overcrowding	64	28	8	1	26
	10.9%	11.6%	10.2%	7.6%	10.8%
Environmental health	16	7	2	1	6
	2.8%	2.8%	2.6%	7.9%	2.4%
Neighborhood preservation	7	7	0	0	0
	1.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	4	0	0	2
	.9%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Protection of open space	7	5	0	0	3
	1.3%	1.9%	.0%	1.0%	1.1%
Public transportation	13	7	3	0	3
	2.2%	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.1%
Quality of life	22	7	2	0	13
	3.8%	2.9%	2.5%	.0%	5.3%
Race relations	18	6	2	0	9
	3.0%	2.6%	3.0%	.0%	3.7%
Taxes	27	9	7	1	11
	4.7%	3.7%	8.5%	5.1%	4.4%
Traffic	330	126	48	9	148
	56.8%	51.7%	59.1%	54.4%	61.2%
Over population/Over crowding	23	8	4	2	9
	3.9%	3.2%	4.6%	14.8%	3.7%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	14	4	0	9
	4.6%	5.8%	5.3%	.0%	3.5%
Cost of living	17	10	1	0	5
	2.8%	4.3%	1.4%	.0%	2.1%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	1	0	3
	.9%	.3%	1.4%	.0%	1.4%
Parking	7	3	2	0	2
	1.2%	1.1%	2.9%	.0%	.9%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	3	3	0	10
	2.7%	1.2%	4.0%	.0%	4.1%
Other Mention	23	8	8	0	7
	4.0%	3.2%	10.4%	.0%	2.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	7	4	0	10
	3.8%	2.9%	5.1%	2.8%	4.2%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			B D	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	D			
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health		a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	a
	Protection of open space		a	a	a
	Public transportation			a	a
	Quality of life			a	a
	Race relations			a	a
	Taxes			a	a
	Traffic			a	a
	Over population/Over crowding			a	a
	Valco mall issues - General Mention			a	a
	Cost of living			a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping			a	a
	Parking			a	a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a	a	
Other Mention		A D	a	a	
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
Affordable housing	114	33	12	5	5	23	36
	19.6%	27.1%	18.5%	15.6%	17.2%	18.2%	17.3%
City's economic health	21	3	6	1	1	5	6
	3.6%	2.3%	8.7%	1.8%	4.9%	3.7%	2.8%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	8	8	2	0	0	12
	5.3%	6.5%	12.1%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	35	19	4	12	20	31
	20.7%	28.6%	28.1%	13.5%	43.6%	15.5%	15.0%
Crime/Safety	67	8	3	3	0	24	28
	11.6%	6.6%	5.2%	10.5%	.5%	18.7%	13.8%
Education/School overcrowding	64	17	7	5	2	9	23
	10.9%	13.9%	10.9%	15.9%	6.0%	7.2%	11.4%
Environmental health	16	3	4	1	0	2	6
	2.8%	2.4%	6.0%	4.3%	.0%	1.7%	2.7%
Neighborhood preservation	7	7	1	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	5.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	0	0	4	2
	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.8%
Protection of open space	7	5	0	0	0	0	3
	1.3%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	1.3%
Public transportation	13	4	3	1	2	0	3
	2.2%	3.7%	4.3%	2.0%	7.1%	.0%	1.3%
Quality of life	22	4	3	1	0	3	12
	3.8%	3.1%	4.9%	2.0%	.0%	2.0%	5.7%
Race relations	18	2	3	2	1	7	3
	3.0%	1.9%	4.4%	5.2%	2.7%	5.2%	1.6%
Taxes	27	7	0	3	4	6	8
	4.7%	5.6%	.0%	9.3%	13.5%	4.6%	3.8%
Traffic	330	57	35	19	16	76	126
	56.8%	47.2%	53.3%	59.7%	56.2%	59.3%	61.5%
Over population/Over crowding	23	1	3	1	2	9	7
	3.9%	.9%	4.7%	1.6%	6.6%	7.1%	3.4%
Valco mall issues - General Mention	27	9	2	1	1	8	6
	4.6%	7.6%	2.6%	3.1%	3.7%	5.9%	3.1%
Cost of living	17	3	0	1	0	10	3
	2.8%	2.3%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	7.8%	1.3%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	1	0	1	3
	.9%	.3%	.1%	3.1%	.5%	.4%	1.5%
Parking	7	1	0	0	0	3	2
	1.2%	.8%	.6%	.9%	.0%	2.5%	1.1%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	2	1	1	0	3	9
	2.7%	1.4%	1.7%	3.2%	.0%	2.5%	4.3%
Other Mention	23	5	3	3	4	4	4
	4.0%	4.0%	4.4%	8.5%	15.7%	3.2%	1.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	4	3	3	0	4	8
	3.8%	3.1%	5.1%	8.4%	.0%	2.8%	4.1%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Affordable housing						
City's economic health						
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				a	a	
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	F			E F		
Crime/Safety						
Education/School overcrowding				a		
Environmental health			a	a	a	a
Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a	a	a	a
Poor cell coverage		a	a	a		
Protection of open space		a	a	a	a	
Public transportation				a		
Quality of life						
Race relations		a				
Taxes						
Traffic						
Over population/Over crowding						
Valico mall issues - General Mention		a		a	F	
Cost of living						
Need more retail/Shopping						
Parking				a		
Corrupt city government/Development over residents				a		
Other Mention				F		
Not Sure/DK/NA				a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	582	263	78	81	47
Affordable housing	114	62	17	13	8
	19.6%	23.6%	21.3%	16.5%	17.7%
City's economic health	21	9	5	3	3
	3.6%	3.6%	6.2%	3.5%	6.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	16	7	3	1
	5.3%	6.1%	8.5%	3.6%	2.3%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	41	15	17	14
	20.7%	15.7%	19.4%	21.3%	30.6%
Crime/Safety	67	31	11	13	6
	11.6%	11.7%	14.4%	15.9%	11.9%
Education/School overcrowding	64	33	8	13	5
	10.9%	12.7%	10.6%	15.9%	11.2%
Environmental health	16	8	2	0	3
	2.8%	3.2%	3.1%	.0%	7.4%
Neighborhood preservation	7	6	0	0	1
	1.3%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
Poor cell coverage	5	5	0	0	0
	.9%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	4	4	0	0
	1.3%	1.4%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	13	6	0	3	0
	2.2%	2.2%	.2%	4.2%	.0%
Quality of life	22	13	2	0	3
	3.8%	5.0%	2.0%	.0%	6.4%
Race relations	18	7	4	1	1
	3.0%	2.8%	5.1%	1.3%	3.0%
Taxes	27	7	5	8	2
	4.7%	2.6%	6.3%	9.4%	3.4%
Traffic	330	143	42	50	21
	56.8%	54.4%	53.1%	61.3%	44.0%
Over population/Over crowding	23	13	0	1	2
	3.9%	5.1%	.0%	1.6%	4.2%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	13	2	4	2
	4.6%	4.9%	2.9%	4.3%	4.4%
Cost of living	17	10	5	1	0
	2.8%	3.7%	6.9%	1.3%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	2	0	0	1
	.9%	.8%	.2%	.5%	2.9%
Parking	7	1	1	5	0
	1.2%	.3%	1.3%	5.8%	.6%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	8	1	4	2
	2.7%	3.0%	1.6%	4.6%	4.1%
Other Mention	23	6	5	2	1
	4.0%	2.4%	6.7%	2.6%	2.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	18	0	1	0
	3.8%	6.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	27	23	38	24
Affordable housing	2 6.5%	2 6.5%	5 13.2%	5 21.3%
City's economic health	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	1 2.4%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0 .0%	1 3.9%	3 7.9%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	9 34.5%	3 12.3%	13 33.5%	8 31.4%
Crime/Safety	3 9.8%	2 8.7%	1 1.7%	1 6.0%
Education/School overcrowding	0 1.0%	1 6.1%	2 5.2%	0 .0%
Environmental health	1 2.7%	1 3.4%	0 .5%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
Poor cell coverage	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%
Public transportation	2 7.6%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	1 2.6%
Quality of life	0 .0%	3 13.1%	0 1.1%	1 3.0%
Race relations	1 2.4%	0 .0%	2 5.5%	1 4.5%
Taxes	3 12.3%	0 .0%	2 4.9%	1 4.8%
Traffic	18 67.1%	19 82.7%	23 60.3%	15 62.0%
Over population/Over crowding	2 9.1%	3 14.2%	0 .4%	0 1.1%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	4 13.8%	0 .0%	2 4.3%	1 4.4%
Cost of living	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .9%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0 .0%	0 1.3%	1 1.5%	0 1.2%
Parking	0 .0%	0 .5%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0 .0%	1 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	1 3.4%	3 14.7%	3 9.0%	1 2.4%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.5%	2 7.7%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health			a	
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a	
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	a
	Protection of open space			a	a
	Public transportation				a
	Quality of life			a	
	Race relations				
	Taxes				
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding		a		
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention				
	Cost of living				a
	Need more retail/Shopping				
	Parking			A	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents				
	Other Mention				
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a	a		
	City's economic health	a			a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety				a
	Education/School overcrowding				a
	Environmental health	a	a	a	
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	
	Protection of open space	a	a	a	
	Public transportation	a			
	Quality of life		a		
	Race relations		a		
	Taxes		a		
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding				
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention		a		
	Cost of living	a	a		a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a			
	Parking	a			a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a		a	a	
Other Mention					
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
Affordable housing	114	0	31	11	16	5	4	6
	19.6%	.0%	17.8%	26.3%	35.1%	29.7%	27.3%	14.7%
City's economic health	21	3	3	4	1	0	0	0
	3.6%	43.5%	1.6%	9.8%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	0	2	1	1	2	0
	5.3%	.0%	.2%	4.2%	2.2%	4.7%	11.7%	.6%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	2	34	8	9	5	7	11
	20.7%	30.8%	19.4%	18.2%	18.6%	32.8%	53.4%	25.3%
Crime/Safety	67	0	18	5	8	2	3	5
	11.6%	.0%	10.7%	12.5%	16.6%	15.0%	22.4%	11.1%
Education/School overcrowding	64	0	21	2	11	0	3	5
	10.9%	.0%	12.2%	4.8%	22.8%	.0%	24.8%	11.8%
Environmental health	16	0	2	2	3	0	0	3
	2.8%	.0%	1.0%	4.7%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	1.9%	.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	13	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
	2.2%	.0%	1.6%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	2.4%
Quality of life	22	1	8	2	4	2	1	1
	3.8%	9.9%	4.7%	5.3%	8.6%	9.6%	9.0%	3.6%
Race relations	18	3	6	0	2	0	0	1
	3.0%	43.5%	3.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
Taxes	27	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
	4.7%	.0%	.2%	.0%	3.0%	3.5%	.0%	6.3%
Traffic	330	2	105	23	22	8	6	23
	56.8%	27.8%	60.5%	55.6%	46.9%	49.3%	41.9%	55.3%
Over population/Over crowding	23	0	15	2	1	0	0	4
	3.9%	.0%	8.6%	4.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	8.5%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	27	0	19	2	1	0	0	1
	4.6%	.0%	11.0%	5.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Cost of living	17	0	12	0	1	0	0	1
	2.8%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
	.9%	.0%	1.7%	1.4%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	3.0%
Parking	7	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	2.6%	2.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	9	5	0	0	0	1
	2.7%	.0%	5.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
Other Mention	23	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
	4.0%	.0%	.7%	.7%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	4.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	1	2	0	2	1	0	1
	3.8%	9.9%	1.0%	.2%	3.3%	8.4%	.0%	2.1%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Date				
	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5
Affordable housing	16 16.2%	15 20.5%	9 14.6%	0 .0%	1 21.3%
City's economic health	3 3.3%	0 .0%	7 11.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	8 8.2%	8 10.4%	9 14.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	28 28.6%	14 19.0%	4 5.7%	0 .0%	0 5.3%
Crime/Safety	4 3.8%	12 15.8%	8 12.3%	0 .0%	3 46.8%
Education/School overcrowding	7 7.0%	5 6.9%	10 15.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Environmental health	3 3.4%	2 3.3%	0 .0%	1 46.3%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	3 3.1%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	1 .6%	0 .0%	3 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	0 .0%	2 3.3%	1 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Public transportation	5 5.3%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	1 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 21.3%
Race relations	2 2.4%	3 3.4%	0 .0%	1 43.9%	0 .0%
Taxes	9 9.8%	9 12.9%	3 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Traffic	48 49.2%	51 70.0%	41 63.1%	1 46.3%	1 19.1%
Over population/Over crowding	0 .0%	0 .5%	0 .0%	1 43.9%	0 5.3%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	1 1.2%	1 1.2%	1 .9%	0 9.8%	0 6.5%
Cost of living	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 43.6%
Need more retail/Shopping	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Parking	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 4.3%
Other Mention	9 9.6%	4 6.0%	5 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	9 9.6%	1 .9%	6 8.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a						
	City's economic health	B D H				a	a	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a					B	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						J	
	Crime/Safety	a						
	Education/School overcrowding	a				a		
	Environmental health	a				a	a	
	Neighborhood preservation	a				a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a			a	a	a
	Protection of open space	a				a	a	a
	Public transportation					a		
	Quality of life							
	Race relations	B D G H I				a	a	a
	Taxes	a						a
	Traffic							
	Over population/Over crowding	a					a	a
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	a					a	a
	Cost of living	a				a	a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a				a	a	a
	Parking	a						
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a				a	a	a
	Other Mention	a				a	a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA							a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date				
	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Affordable housing	.	a	.	a	.
City's economic health	.	.	B	.	a
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	B	B	B	.	a
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	J	.	.	a	.
Crime/Safety	.	.	.	a	H
Education/School overcrowding	.	.	.	a	a
Environmental health	.	.	a	B	a
Neighborhood preservation	.	a	.	a	a
Poor cell coverage	.	a	.	a	a
Protection of open space	a	.	.	a	a
Public transportation	.	.	a	a	a
Quality of life	.	a	.	a	.
Race relations	.	.	a	H	a
Taxes	B	B	.	a	a
Traffic
Over population/Over crowding	a	.	a	D I	.
Valico mall issues - General Mention
Cost of living	a	a	a	a	B D G
Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	a	a
Parking	a	a	.	a	a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	a	a	.
Other Mention	B	.	B	a	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	88 19.1%	26 21.6%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	17 3.6%	4 3.5%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	24 5.2%	7 5.7%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	97 21.0%	23 19.9%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	51 10.9%	17 14.0%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	49 10.7%	14 12.1%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	12 2.7%	4 3.2%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	3 .7%	4 3.7%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	2 .5%	3 2.8%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	4 .9%	3 2.9%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	13 2.7%	0 .0%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	19 4.2%	2 2.0%
Race relations	18 3.0%	13 2.8%	5 3.9%
Taxes	27 4.7%	22 4.7%	5 4.7%
Traffic	330 56.8%	266 57.3%	65 54.7%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	18 3.8%	5 4.2%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	22 4.8%	5 4.0%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	13 2.7%	4 3.3%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	5 1.0%	1 .5%
Parking	7 1.2%	5 1.1%	2 1.6%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	14 3.1%	1 1.3%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	19 4.1%	4 3.3%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	17 3.6%	5 4.3%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		
	Crime/Safety		
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		A
	Poor cell coverage		A
	Protection of open space		a
	Public transportation		.
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding		
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			
Other Mention			
Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	66 18.9%	48 20.6%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	10 2.8%	11 4.8%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	11 3.1%	20 8.4%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	86 24.7%	35 14.9%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	32 9.4%	35 14.8%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	36 10.5%	27 11.7%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	10 2.8%	7 2.8%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	1 .4%	6 2.5%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	1 .2%	5 2.1%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	3 1.0%	4 1.7%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	7 2.1%	6 2.3%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	15 4.2%	7 3.1%
Race relations	18 3.0%	9 2.7%	8 3.6%
Taxes	27 4.7%	15 4.3%	12 5.2%
Traffic	330 56.8%	200 57.7%	130 55.3%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	13 3.8%	10 4.1%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	19 5.5%	8 3.3%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	11 3.2%	5 2.3%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	3 1.0%	2 .8%
Parking	7 1.2%	6 1.8%	1 .4%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	14 3.9%	2 1.0%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	19 5.3%	4 1.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	7 2.1%	15 6.2%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	B	
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		A
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		
	Crime/Safety		A
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		A
	Poor cell coverage		A
	Protection of open space		
	Public transportation		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding		
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	B		
Other Mention	B		
Not Sure/DK/NA	A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131
Affordable housing	114	7	18	23	23
	19.6%	63.4%	35.6%	25.0%	17.3%
City's economic health	21	0	5	2	7
	3.6%	.0%	9.6%	2.6%	5.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	4	7	4
	5.3%	.0%	7.2%	7.4%	3.4%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	4	10	10	26
	20.7%	33.0%	19.6%	10.5%	20.1%
Crime/Safety	67	2	4	8	18
	11.6%	14.7%	8.8%	8.7%	13.5%
Education/School overcrowding	64	2	3	12	17
	10.9%	18.3%	6.4%	13.1%	13.1%
Environmental health	16	0	2	8	3
	2.8%	.0%	3.4%	8.4%	1.9%
Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	1	2
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.2%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	0
	.9%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	0	0	0	1
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
Public transportation	13	0	3	2	1
	2.2%	.9%	5.1%	2.5%	.5%
Quality of life	22	0	4	5	3
	3.8%	.0%	8.2%	5.5%	2.0%
Race relations	18	0	1	2	8
	3.0%	.0%	1.6%	2.2%	6.3%
Taxes	27	0	2	0	5
	4.7%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	3.5%
Traffic	330	6	14	48	75
	56.8%	55.4%	28.8%	50.8%	57.0%
Over population/Over crowding	23	0	0	7	0
	3.9%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.1%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	0	6	5	5
	4.6%	2.7%	13.2%	5.5%	3.6%
Cost of living	17	0	0	9	2
	2.8%	.0%	.0%	9.6%	1.9%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	1	3
	.9%	.0%	.0%	.7%	2.2%
Parking	7	0	0	0	1
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.8%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	1	5	4
	2.7%	.0%	2.5%	5.7%	3.1%
Other Mention	23	0	5	2	4
	4.0%	.0%	9.3%	2.6%	3.4%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	0	3	4	7
	3.8%	.0%	5.8%	4.1%	5.2%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	163	133	1
Affordable housing	19	24	1
	11.6%	18.0%	100.0%
City's economic health	5	2	0
	3.2%	1.3%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	11	5	0
	6.8%	3.4%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	37	34	0
	22.9%	25.5%	.0%
Crime/Safety	21	14	0
	12.8%	10.9%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	21	8	0
	13.0%	5.9%	.0%
Environmental health	3	1	0
	1.9%	.7%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	3	2	0
	1.7%	1.6%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	2	1	0
	1.0%	.4%	.0%
Protection of open space	6	0	0
	3.5%	.2%	.0%
Public transportation	4	3	0
	2.4%	2.5%	.0%
Quality of life	6	4	0
	3.4%	3.3%	.0%
Race relations	4	2	0
	2.6%	1.8%	.0%
Taxes	8	12	1
	5.0%	9.2%	100.0%
Traffic	109	78	0
	66.9%	59.1%	.0%
Over population/Over crowding	7	8	0
	4.5%	6.3%	.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	4	6	0
	2.4%	4.8%	.0%
Cost of living	1	4	0
	.7%	3.0%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	1	0
	.2%	1.1%	.0%
Parking	5	0	0
	3.4%	.1%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2	3	0
	1.5%	2.2%	.0%
Other Mention	3	9	0
	1.6%	6.7%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	4	4	0
	2.3%	3.4%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	D E F	E		
	City's economic health	.b			
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	.b			
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health	.b		F	
	Neighborhood preservation	.b	.b	.b	.b
	Poor cell coverage	.b	F	.b	
	Protection of open space	.b	.b		
	Public transportation				
	Quality of life	.b			
	Race relations	.b			
	Taxes	.b		.b	
	Traffic				B
	Over population/Over crowding	.b	.b	D	
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		E		
	Cost of living	.b	.b	E	
	Need more retail/Shopping	.b	.b		
	Parking	.b	.b		
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.b				
Other Mention	.b				
Not Sure/DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
	(E)	(F)	(G)
Affordable housing			a,b
City's economic health			a,b
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a,b
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			a,b
Crime/Safety			a,b
Education/School overcrowding			a,b
Environmental health			a,b
Neighborhood preservation			a,b
Poor cell coverage			a,b
Protection of open space			a,b
Public transportation			a,b
Quality of life			a,b
Race relations			a,b
Taxes			a,b
Traffic	B	B	a,b
Over population/Over crowding		D	a,b
Valico mall issues - General Mention			a,b
Cost of living			a,b
Need more retail/Shopping			a,b
Parking			a,b
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a,b
Other Mention			a,b
Not Sure/DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
Affordable housing	113	7	52	16	21	15	1
	19.4%	15.0%	24.8%	11.6%	18.6%	26.7%	7.0%
City's economic health	21	1	7	1	9	2	0
	3.6%	3.0%	3.3%	1.0%	7.8%	4.2%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	3	15	2	9	2	0
	5.3%	6.5%	7.4%	1.3%	7.7%	2.8%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	120	11	49	28	21	5	6
	20.7%	24.8%	23.4%	19.8%	18.5%	8.4%	48.7%
Crime/Safety	67	6	15	7	15	21	3
	11.6%	12.8%	7.0%	4.9%	13.2%	36.7%	29.6%
Education/School overcrowding	64	4	13	26	16	3	1
	11.0%	7.9%	6.3%	18.3%	14.3%	5.7%	13.0%
Environmental health	16	1	8	4	2	0	1
	2.8%	2.4%	3.6%	3.1%	2.1%	.0%	5.7%
Neighborhood preservation	7	0	2	1	2	3	0
	1.3%	.0%	1.1%	.5%	1.4%	4.8%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	2	1	0
	.9%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.4%	1.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	0	0	7	0	0	0
	1.3%	.3%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Public transportation	13	1	3	3	4	2	0
	2.2%	1.8%	1.4%	2.2%	3.5%	3.2%	.0%
Quality of life	21	4	8	2	2	4	2
	3.7%	8.0%	3.9%	1.6%	1.6%	6.1%	14.7%
Race relations	18	2	4	6	6	0	0
	3.1%	5.3%	1.7%	4.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
Taxes	27	1	11	7	5	0	4
	4.7%	2.7%	5.3%	4.8%	4.0%	.0%	30.4%
Traffic	329	25	105	89	72	34	4
	56.8%	54.9%	49.8%	63.4%	63.3%	59.4%	34.8%
Over population/Over crowding	23	1	4	7	8	1	0
	3.9%	3.0%	2.1%	5.3%	7.1%	2.3%	1.4%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	1	15	6	1	3	1
	4.7%	3.1%	7.0%	4.2%	1.0%	4.6%	9.0%
Cost of living	17	0	6	5	3	2	0
	2.9%	.2%	2.9%	3.3%	3.0%	3.9%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	2	2	1	0	0
	.9%	.6%	.8%	1.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
Parking	7	0	1	4	1	0	0
	1.2%	.6%	.7%	2.9%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	8	1	5	2	0
	2.7%	.0%	3.7%	.7%	4.0%	3.6%	2.9%
Other Mention	23	1	9	6	4	2	0
	4.0%	2.1%	4.5%	4.6%	3.4%	4.2%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	4	12	3	1	2	0
	3.8%	8.1%	5.9%	2.1%	.8%	3.3%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		C				a
	City's economic health						a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)						.
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						E
	Crime/Safety					B C D	C
	Education/School overcrowding			B			
	Environmental health					a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a					a
	Poor cell coverage	a		a			.
	Protection of open space		a		a	a	a
	Public transportation						.
	Quality of life						a
	Race relations					a	a
	Taxes					a	A B C D
	Traffic						
	Over population/Over crowding						
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention						a
	Cost of living						a
	Need more retail/Shopping					a	a
	Parking					a	.
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a					a	
Other Mention						.	
Not Sure/DK/NA						a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
Total	582	3	3	189
Affordable housing	114	1	0	23
	19.6%	50.0%	.0%	12.0%
City's economic health	21	0	0	0
	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	0	7
	5.3%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	0	0	37
	20.7%	.0%	.0%	19.6%
Crime/Safety	67	0	0	25
	11.6%	.0%	.0%	13.1%
Education/School overcrowding	64	0	0	22
	10.9%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
Environmental health	16	0	0	7
	2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%
Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	2
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	5
	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
Protection of open space	7	0	0	4
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
Public transportation	13	0	0	7
	2.2%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
Quality of life	22	0	0	4
	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
Race relations	18	1	0	3
	3.0%	50.0%	.0%	1.7%
Taxes	27	0	3	0
	4.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Traffic	330	1	3	118
	56.8%	50.0%	100.0%	62.4%
Over population/Over crowding	23	0	0	10
	3.9%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	0	0	5
	4.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
Cost of living	17	0	0	10
	2.8%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	2
	.9%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
Parking	7	0	0	2
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	0	6
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Other Mention	23	0	0	1
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	0	0	7
	3.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	2	106	15	25
Affordable housing	0	25	5	4
	.0%	23.9%	35.4%	15.5%
City's economic health	0	10	0	2
	.0%	9.6%	.0%	6.6%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	6	2	0
	.0%	5.8%	10.3%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	1	17	2	4
	51.2%	16.5%	14.6%	17.2%
Crime/Safety	0	20	1	2
	.0%	18.8%	9.4%	6.9%
Education/School overcrowding	1	13	0	5
	51.2%	12.6%	.0%	20.2%
Environmental health	0	2	0	0
	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%
Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	0	1	0	0
	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	0	2	0	0
	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	0	2	0	0
	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%
Race relations	0	7	0	0
	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
Taxes	0	3	2	5
	.0%	3.2%	10.3%	19.9%
Traffic	1	45	7	17
	48.8%	42.9%	43.7%	68.7%
Over population/Over crowding	0	4	0	1
	.0%	4.1%	.0%	4.7%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	0	3	1	0
	.0%	3.2%	7.1%	.0%
Cost of living	1	3	1	0
	48.8%	3.3%	7.1%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Parking	0	1	0	0
	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	5	1	0
	.0%	4.3%	6.7%	.0%
Other Mention	0	3	4	0
	.0%	3.1%	27.8%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	3	0	3
	.0%	3.1%	.0%	11.4%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	8	14	10	148
Affordable housing	0	0	3	37
	.0%	.0%	27.9%	25.2%
City's economic health	1	0	0	5
	17.2%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	0	0	12
	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	0	0	3	38
	.0%	.0%	26.5%	25.6%
Crime/Safety	1	9	1	2
	11.8%	69.4%	11.4%	1.6%
Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	12
	40.9%	.0%	28.2%	8.1%
Environmental health	0	0	0	6
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Protection of open space	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
Public transportation	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
Quality of life	0	2	1	11
	.0%	11.3%	10.2%	7.2%
Race relations	0	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
Taxes	0	3	0	6
	.0%	23.5%	.0%	4.3%
Traffic	5	11	7	83
	65.6%	81.6%	73.5%	55.9%
Over population/Over crowding	0	0	1	4
	.0%	.0%	12.0%	2.5%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	1	0	0	12
	12.8%	.0%	.0%	7.8%
Cost of living	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Parking	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
Other Mention	1	0	0	11
	17.2%	.0%	.0%	7.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	1	1	0	5
	17.2%	7.1%	.0%	3.1%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
Affordable housing	42.2%	5.0%	13.7%	21.6%
City's economic health	0	0	0	2
	.0%	2.2%	6.6%	13.9%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	1	0	0	2
	4.3%	.0%	.0%	13.6%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	8	4	2	4
	34.4%	36.4%	26.9%	22.8%
Crime/Safety	4	0	0	1
	16.1%	.0%	6.6%	7.6%
Education/School overcrowding	1	1	1	1
	5.1%	9.2%	11.1%	3.2%
Environmental health	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%
Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%
Public transportation	0	1	0	0
	.0%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	1	0	0	2
	4.6%	2.2%	.0%	9.7%
Race relations	1	0	0	0
	3.3%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
Taxes	4	1	0	0
	15.3%	12.2%	.0%	.0%
Traffic	9	8	5	9
	39.4%	66.0%	81.1%	51.8%
Over population/Over crowding	0	2	0	0
	.0%	21.3%	.0%	.9%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	2	1	0	1
	8.8%	9.1%	.0%	8.5%
Cost of living	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
	.0%	2.2%	6.6%	.0%
Parking	1	0	0	0
	3.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	1	0	1
	.0%	8.8%	.0%	2.9%
Other Mention	0	0	2	0
	.0%	.0%	41.6%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.9%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Affordable housing	a	a		a
City's economic health	.	a	a	a
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a		a
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a	a		
Crime/Safety	a	a	K	a
Education/School overcrowding	a	a		
Environmental health	a	a		a
Neighborhood preservation	a	a		a
Poor cell coverage	a	a		a
Protection of open space	a	a		a
Public transportation	a	a		a
Quality of life	a	a		a
Race relations	C K	a	a	a
Taxes	a	a		a
Traffic	.	a		.
Over population/Over crowding	a	a		a
Valico mall issues - General Mention	a	a		a
Cost of living	a	a		E K
Need more retail/Shopping	a	a		a
Parking	a	a		a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a		a
Other Mention	a	a		a
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a		a

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?				
Affordable housing		a		a
City's economic health		.		.
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a	a
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				a
Crime/Safety	K			
Education/School overcrowding		a		
Environmental health		a	a	a
Neighborhood preservation	a	a	C	a
Poor cell coverage	a	a	.	.
Protection of open space		a	a	a
Public transportation		a	a	a
Quality of life		a	a	a
Race relations		.	a	a
Taxes			E	.
Traffic				
Over population/Over crowding		a		a
Valico mall issues - General Mention			a	.
Cost of living			a	a
Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	a
Parking		a	a	a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a	a
Other Mention		C E	a	C
Not Sure/DK/NA		a	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?				
Affordable housing	a			C
City's economic health	a	a		a
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a		.
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a			.
Crime/Safety	C E F G K O			K
Education/School overcrowding	a			.
Environmental health	a	a		a
Neighborhood preservation	a	a		a
Poor cell coverage	a	a		a
Protection of open space	a	a		a
Public transportation	a	a		a
Quality of life	a	a		.
Race relations		a		.
Taxes	E	a		.
Traffic				
Over population/Over crowding	a			a
Valico mall issues - General Mention	a	a		.
Cost of living	a	a		a
Need more retail/Shopping	a	a		a
Parking	a	a		.
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a		a
Other Mention	a	a	C	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	.	a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?			
Affordable housing			
City's economic health			
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a	
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
Crime/Safety	a		
Education/School overcrowding			
Environmental health	a	a	
Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a
Poor cell coverage	a	a	
Protection of open space	a		C E K
Public transportation		a	
Quality of life		a	
Race relations		a	
Taxes			
Traffic			
Over population/Over crowding	K	a	
Valico mall issues - General Mention		a	
Cost of living	a		a
Need more retail/Shopping	a		a
Parking			
Corrupt city government/Development over residents		a	
Other Mention	a	C E K	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?						
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
Affordable housing	57	30	14	8	2	3
City's economic health	21.3%	28.6%	12.1%	27.5%	22.5%	31.6%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	11	6	6	0	0	0
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	4.2%	5.3%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Crime/Safety	14	2	8	3	0	0
Education/School overcrowding	5.1%	2.3%	7.1%	10.7%	.0%	.0%
Environmental health	50	23	22	5	0	0
Neighborhood preservation	18.7%	21.8%	19.7%	15.6%	.0%	3.7%
Poor cell coverage	35	10	16	5	3	0
Protection of open space	13.2%	10.0%	14.6%	17.3%	28.7%	.0%
Public transportation	34	11	19	4	0	0
Quality of life	12.9%	11.0%	17.2%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
Race relations	6	2	4	0	0	0
Taxes	2.1%	2.1%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Traffic	2	2	0	1	0	0
Over population/Over crowding	.9%	1.5%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	3	0	3	0	0	0
Cost of living	1.2%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	4	0	0	0
Parking	1.7%	1.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2	1	1	0	0	0
Other Mention	.9%	1.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	8	1	3	2	2	2
	3.1%	.5%	2.3%	5.0%	18.1%	18.0%
Affordable housing	13	10	2	0	0	1
City's economic health	4.9%	9.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	13	4	6	2	0	1
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	4.9%	4.3%	5.1%	7.9%	.0%	6.6%
Crime/Safety	152	55	64	21	7	5
Education/School overcrowding	56.9%	52.9%	56.9%	68.8%	66.6%	52.0%
Environmental health	13	3	7	0	1	1
Neighborhood preservation	4.8%	3.2%	5.9%	1.3%	10.5%	13.6%
Poor cell coverage	14	1	9	2	2	0
Protection of open space	5.4%	1.3%	8.3%	5.4%	18.1%	.0%
Public transportation	9	3	4	0	1	0
Quality of life	3.2%	3.3%	3.5%	.0%	10.7%	.0%
Race relations	3	2	1	0	0	0
Taxes	1.1%	1.8%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Traffic	5	2	1	1	0	0
Over population/Over crowding	1.7%	2.1%	1.1%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	10	3	5	0	1	1
Cost of living	3.9%	3.1%	4.1%	.8%	11.3%	11.5%
Need more retail/Shopping	7	4	3	0	0	1
Parking	2.7%	3.9%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	7	3	3	0	0	1
Other Mention	2.7%	3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
Not Sure/DK/NA	7	3	3	0	0	1
	2.7%	3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	B		a	a	a
Affordable housing			a	a	a
City's economic health				a	a
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				a	a
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				a	a
Crime/Safety					a
Education/School overcrowding				a	a
Environmental health			a	a	a
Neighborhood preservation		a		a	a
Poor cell coverage	a		a	a	a
Protection of open space			a	a	a
Public transportation			a	a	a
Quality of life			a	A	A
Race relations				a	a
Taxes				a	a
Traffic					a
Over population/Over crowding					a
Vallco mall issues - General Mention				A	a
Cost of living			a	a	a
Need more retail/Shopping			a	a	a
Parking				a	a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents					a
Other Mention			a	a	a
Not Sure/DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
Affordable housing	63	17	39	3	0	4
City's economic health	15	4	8	2	0	0
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	4.0%	4.1%	3.7%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	17	2	7	7	0	0
Crime/Safety	4.3%	1.9%	3.2%	22.1%	.0%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	83	21	50	9	1	3
Environmental health	21.9%	19.0%	22.5%	26.4%	17.5%	25.8%
Neighborhood preservation	49	16	24	6	2	0
Poor cell coverage	12.8%	14.6%	10.7%	18.7%	55.8%	.0%
Protection of open space	32	9	20	2	1	0
Public transportation	8.3%	8.2%	9.0%	4.7%	24.6%	.0%
Quality of life	11	4	7	0	0	0
Race relations	2.8%	3.8%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Taxes	6	3	3	0	0	0
Traffic	1.7%	2.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Over population/Over crowding	2	2	1	0	0	0
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	.6%	1.5%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Cost of living	6	4	2	0	0	0
Need more retail/Shopping	1.6%	3.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Parking	6	2	4	1	0	0
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	13	7	4	2	0	0
Not Sure/DK/NA	3.4%	6.3%	1.9%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
Affordable housing	12	2	6	1	0	3
City's economic health	3.1%	1.6%	2.8%	3.3%	.0%	24.3%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	12	2	8	3	0	0
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	3.2%	1.4%	3.5%	8.2%	.0%	.0%
Crime/Safety	236	65	147	16	3	5
Education/School overcrowding	62.1%	60.3%	65.7%	48.6%	59.2%	46.9%
Environmental health	18	3	12	1	0	1
Neighborhood preservation	4.6%	2.6%	5.6%	3.3%	2.1%	10.8%
Poor cell coverage	12	2	9	1	0	0
Protection of open space	3.3%	2.0%	4.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	6	0	6	0	0	0
Quality of life	1.6%	.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Race relations	3	2	2	0	0	0
Taxes	.9%	1.6%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Traffic	5	1	2	1	0	0
Over population/Over crowding	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	10	0	6	1	1	2
Cost of living	2.7%	.2%	2.5%	3.1%	23.3%	20.2%
Need more retail/Shopping	16	6	10	0	0	0
Parking	4.2%	5.4%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	11	5	6	0	0	0
Other Mention	2.9%	4.7%	2.6%	.3%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA						

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Affordable housing			a		a
City's economic health				a	a
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			A B	a	a
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development					a
Crime/Safety				B	a
Education/School overcrowding					a
Environmental health			a	a	a
Neighborhood preservation			a	a	a
Poor cell coverage			a	a	a
Protection of open space			a	a	a
Public transportation				a	a
Quality of life				a	a
Race relations				a	A B
Taxes					a
Traffic					
Over population/Over crowding					a
Valico mall issues - General Mention			a		a
Cost of living			a	a	a
Need more retail/Shopping			a	a	a
Parking				a	a
Corrupt city government/Development over residents				A	A B
Other Mention			a	a	a
Not Sure/DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
Affordable housing	114	93	6	9	1	0
	19.6%	20.8%	21.1%	9.5%	19.9%	24.3%
City's economic health	21	16	0	0	0	0
	3.6%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	25	0	6	0	0
	5.3%	5.5%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	106	7	16	3	1
	20.7%	23.6%	28.4%	17.7%	43.1%	69.2%
Crime/Safety	67	46	1	20	1	0
	11.6%	10.3%	4.4%	21.6%	19.8%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	64	53	0	11	1	0
	10.9%	11.8%	.0%	11.7%	19.8%	.0%
Environmental health	16	14	1	2	0	0
	2.8%	3.1%	4.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	7	7	0	2	0	0
	1.3%	1.6%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	4	0	2	0	0
	.9%	.9%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	6	0	3	0	0
	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	13	12	0	0	0	0
	2.2%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	22	18	0	5	0	0
	3.8%	3.9%	.0%	5.7%	3.2%	.0%
Race relations	18	15	0	1	0	0
	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
Taxes	27	18	3	2	0	0
	4.7%	4.1%	10.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
Traffic	330	240	18	61	6	0
	56.8%	53.5%	69.7%	66.1%	77.0%	6.5%
Over population/Over crowding	23	20	0	8	0	0
	3.9%	4.4%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	24.3%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	24	1	1	0	0
	4.6%	5.3%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Cost of living	17	14	2	2	0	0
	2.8%	3.2%	8.8%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	5	2	0	0	0
	.9%	1.2%	9.1%	.0%	1.3%	6.5%
Parking	7	6	1	0	0	0
	1.2%	1.3%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	14	1	5	1	0
	2.7%	3.0%	4.7%	5.3%	15.8%	.0%
Other Mention	23	19	0	0	0	0
	4.0%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	15	0	3	0	0
	3.8%	3.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
Affordable housing	12	4	3	4	5	1
	28.2%	57.8%	12.5%	7.5%	37.4%	75.0%
City's economic health	6	0	2	0	0	0
	13.9%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	6	0	0	1	1	0
	13.9%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	7.6%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	6	0	4	11	5	1
	14.6%	4.9%	19.4%	23.2%	35.2%	75.0%
Crime/Safety	8	0	0	5	0	0
	19.0%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	1.1%	.0%
Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	5	0	0
	6.5%	.0%	15.0%	10.1%	.0%	.0%
Environmental health	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0	0	3	0	2	0
	.0%	.0%	12.6%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	0	0	0	1	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
Quality of life	0	0	0	2	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%
Race relations	2	0	0	0	0	0
	5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
Taxes	0	0	5	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	22.5%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
Traffic	22	3	16	36	6	0
	51.1%	40.4%	72.4%	75.6%	43.6%	.0%
Over population/Over crowding	3	0	1	4	0	0
	7.5%	.0%	5.2%	8.6%	1.1%	.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	2	0	0	2	1	0
	5.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	6.3%	.0%
Cost of living	1	0	0	3	0	0
	2.4%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	25.0%
Parking	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	4	1	0	3	2	0
	8.7%	15.6%	.0%	6.0%	14.9%	.0%
Other Mention	0	4	0	0	0	0
	.0%	64.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	3	1	0	0
	.0%	.0%	12.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household			
	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	12	14	56	7
Affordable housing	0	0	12	3
	.0%	.0%	21.0%	38.9%
City's economic health	1	0	4	0
	11.2%	.0%	7.3%	.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	0	0	0
	1.9%	.0%	.4%	.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	0	0	9	0
	.0%	.0%	15.4%	6.7%
Crime/Safety	3	9	12	1
	21.5%	69.4%	21.1%	7.6%
Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	0
	26.7%	.0%	5.4%	4.3%
Environmental health	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
Public transportation	0	0	2	0
	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%
Quality of life	0	2	4	1
	.0%	11.3%	7.6%	15.7%
Race relations	0	0	4	3
	.0%	.0%	7.1%	40.6%
Taxes	0	3	0	1
	.0%	23.5%	.0%	8.9%
Traffic	10	11	26	1
	77.6%	81.6%	47.2%	20.5%
Over population/Over crowding	0	0	5	1
	.0%	.0%	9.3%	15.7%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	1	0	4	1
	8.4%	.0%	6.8%	15.7%
Cost of living	1	0	3	0
	9.3%	.0%	4.7%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Parking	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1	0	5	1
	9.8%	.0%	8.2%	20.5%
Other Mention	1	0	4	0
	11.2%	.0%	7.3%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	1	1	0	0
	11.2%	7.1%	.7%	.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		b	b	b	a,b	
	City's economic health			.	.	.	A
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		b	.	b	a,b	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development					a	
	Crime/Safety			A	.	a,b	
	Education/School overcrowding		b	.	.	a,b	
	Environmental health				b	a,b	b
	Neighborhood preservation		b	.	b	a,b	b
	Poor cell coverage		b	.	b	a,b	b
	Protection of open space		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Public transportation		b	.	.	a,b	b
	Quality of life		b	.	.	a,b	.
	Race relations		b	.	b	a,b	.
	Taxes		.	.	b	a,b	b
	Traffic		.	.	.	a	.
	Over population/Over crowding		b	.	b	a	.
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		.	.	b	a,b	.
	Cost of living		.	.	b	a,b	.
	Need more retail/Shopping Parking		A	b	b	a	b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		.	b	.	a,b	.
Other Mention		b	b	b	a,b	b	
Not Sure/DK/NA		b	.	b	a,b	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	C I				a	b
	City's economic health	b		.	b	a,b	.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	b	b	.	.	a,b	.
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a	b
	Crime/Safety	b	b	.	.	a,b	.
	Education/School overcrowding	b	.	.	b	a,b	.
	Environmental health	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	A	b	A	a,b	b
	Poor cell coverage	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Protection of open space	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Public transportation	b	b	.	b	a,b	b
	Quality of life	b	b	.	b	a	b
	Race relations	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Taxes	.	A C	b	.	a,b	.
	Traffic	a,b	.
	Over population/Over crowding	b	.	.	.	a,b	b
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	b	b	.	.	a,b	.
	Cost of living	b	b	.	b	a,b	.
	Need more retail/Shopping Parking	b	b	b	.	a	b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
Other Mention	A N	b	b	b	a,b	.	
Not Sure/DK/NA	b	.	.	b	a,b	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	b		b
	City's economic health	.		.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	b		b
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	b		.
	Crime/Safety	A B C F I J N		
	Education/School overcrowding	b		.
	Environmental health	b	b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	b	b
	Poor cell coverage	b	b	.
	Protection of open space	b	b	.
	Public transportation	.	.	b
	Quality of life	.	.	.
	Race relations	b		A C F
	Taxes	A C	b	.
	Traffic	.	.	.
	Over population/Over crowding	b		.
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	b		.
	Cost of living	b		b
	Need more retail/Shopping	b	b	b
	Parking	b	.	b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	b	.	.
	Other Mention	b	.	b
Not Sure/DK/NA	.	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income				
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
Total	582	60	52	29	37
Affordable housing	114	9	10	6	11
	19.6%	15.1%	18.7%	19.5%	29.6%
City's economic health	21	0	0	1	3
	3.6%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	9.0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	1	3	3	5
	5.3%	1.5%	6.2%	10.6%	13.3%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	10	6	8	9
	20.7%	16.8%	11.5%	28.3%	24.1%
Crime/Safety	67	4	0	4	3
	11.6%	7.2%	.0%	13.8%	9.0%
Education/School overcrowding	64	4	1	4	4
	10.9%	6.7%	1.5%	14.2%	10.0%
Environmental health	16	2	3	0	0
	2.8%	4.0%	6.2%	.0%	.4%
Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	3	2
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	4.2%
Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	0
	.9%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	0	1	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.5%	.0%
Public transportation	13	1	1	0	1
	2.2%	2.4%	2.2%	.0%	1.7%
Quality of life	22	5	0	2	1
	3.8%	8.1%	.3%	6.9%	2.9%
Race relations	18	0	4	1	1
	3.0%	.0%	6.9%	2.2%	4.0%
Taxes	27	6	7	3	1
	4.7%	9.6%	14.1%	9.1%	2.2%
Traffic	330	32	37	14	19
	56.8%	53.0%	71.4%	47.5%	51.2%
Over population/Over crowding	23	1	2	0	0
	3.9%	1.9%	4.3%	1.5%	.4%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	1	2	1	2
	4.6%	1.5%	4.0%	2.8%	4.4%
Cost of living	17	3	0	0	0
	2.8%	4.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	0	0
	.9%	.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
Parking	7	1	0	1	0
	1.2%	2.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	1	0	0	1
	2.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Other Mention	23	5	1	0	1
	4.0%	7.9%	2.4%	.0%	4.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	3	0	0	0
	3.8%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Household Income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
Affordable housing	11 33.9%	10 25.1%	5 14.0%	6 17.2%	21 16.0%
City's economic health	0 .0%	0 .4%	3 8.4%	4 12.7%	0 .2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0 .0%	2 3.8%	2 5.3%	2 4.9%	4 2.6%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	6 19.3%	7 16.0%	5 12.0%	8 22.5%	30 23.0%
Crime/Safety	3 10.6%	8 18.6%	5 11.9%	9 25.7%	25 19.1%
Education/School overcrowding	3 10.6%	9 23.0%	0 .8%	2 4.7%	14 10.9%
Environmental health	3 10.1%	1 1.8%	1 1.3%	1 3.6%	3 1.9%
Neighborhood preservation	2 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Poor cell coverage	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%	1 .4%
Protection of open space	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	3 2.4%
Public transportation	0 .0%	1 2.2%	2 6.0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%
Quality of life	1 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 5.4%	8 5.8%
Race relations	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.4%	0 .0%	6 4.2%
Taxes	2 7.3%	2 6.0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Traffic	20 63.8%	32 76.8%	25 66.4%	19 57.5%	71 53.6%
Over population/Over crowding	2 6.9%	1 2.9%	1 2.7%	0 1.1%	10 7.6%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	0 .3%	4 9.8%	2 6.2%	2 7.1%	8 5.8%
Cost of living	1 4.4%	0 .6%	1 3.2%	1 3.6%	9 7.1%
Need more retail/Shopping	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.4%
Parking	1 3.4%	2 4.9%	1 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .3%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0 .0%	0 .6%	0 .0%	3 8.9%	6 4.6%
Other Mention	0 1.2%	0 .0%	2 6.3%	1 4.2%	4 2.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.4%	0 .0%	4 2.8%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
Affordable housing	25 19.8%
City's economic health	9 7.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	10 7.7%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	32 25.4%
Crime/Safety	6 4.7%
Education/School overcrowding	22 17.3%
Environmental health	2 1.6%
Neighborhood preservation	1 .5%
Poor cell coverage	0 .0%
Protection of open space	0 .1%
Public transportation	5 3.8%
Quality of life	3 2.5%
Race relations	4 2.8%
Taxes	4 3.4%
Traffic	61 48.1%
Over population/Over crowding	4 3.0%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	5 3.9%
Cost of living	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	1 1.1%
Parking	0 .1%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	5 3.6%
Other Mention	8 6.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	12 9.5%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a	a	.	.	a
	City's economic health
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	.	a	.	.	.
	Crime/Safety
	Education/School overcrowding
	Environmental health	.	.	a	.	.
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	J	.	.
	Poor cell coverage	a		.	a	a
	Protection of open space	a	.	.	a	a
	Public transportation	.	.	a	.	a
	Quality of life
	Race relations	a	.	.	.	a
	Taxes
	Traffic
	Over population/Over crowding
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	.	.	.	a	.
	Cost of living	.	a	.	a	.
	Need more retail/Shopping	.	a	.	a	a
	Parking	.	a	.	a	.
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	a	.	a	
Other Mention	.	.	a	.	.	
Not Sure/DK/NA	.	a	.	.	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing
	City's economic health	.			.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development
	Crime/Safety	.	.	J	J
	Education/School overcrowding	B	.	.	.
	Environmental health	.	.	a	.
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a	.
	Poor cell coverage	a	.	a	.
	Protection of open space	a	.	a	.
	Public transportation	.	.	a	.
	Quality of life	a	a	.	.
	Race relations	a	.	a	.
	Taxes	.	.	a	a
	Traffic
	Over population/Over crowding
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention
	Cost of living
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	.
	Parking	.	.	a	.
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	.	.	
Other Mention	a	.	.	.	
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	.	a	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(J)
Affordable housing	
City's economic health	
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	
Crime/Safety	
Education/School overcrowding	
Environmental health	
Neighborhood preservation	
Poor cell coverage	a
Protection of open space	
Public transportation	
Quality of life	
Race relations	
Taxes	
Traffic	
Over population/Over crowding	
Valico mall issues - General Mention	
Cost of living	a
Need more retail/Shopping	
Parking	
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	
Other Mention	
Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	23 13.9%	47 30.6%	44 16.8%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	10 5.7%	9 5.7%	3 1.1%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	15 8.8%	14 9.4%	2 .6%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	35 21.0%	29 19.0%	56 21.5%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	12 7.0%	26 17.0%	30 11.3%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	9 5.2%	29 19.2%	26 9.8%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	2 1.2%	7 4.6%	7 2.7%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	1 .4%	5 3.4%	2 .6%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	1 .3%	5 3.2%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	1 .9%	2 1.6%	4 1.4%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	4 2.4%	2 1.0%	7 2.7%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	6 3.5%	6 3.7%	10 4.0%
Race relations	18 3.0%	5 3.1%	4 2.7%	8 3.2%
Taxes	27 4.7%	12 7.0%	14 9.5%	1 .4%
Traffic	330 56.8%	103 61.6%	74 48.7%	153 58.3%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 8.7%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	27 10.3%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 6.3%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
Parking	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 2.7%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 6.1%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	13 7.7%	9 5.7%	1 .5%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	8 4.7%	11 7.2%	3 1.2%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		A C	
	City's economic health	C	C	
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	C	C	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety		A	
	Education/School overcrowding		A C	
	Environmental health			
	Neighborhood preservation			
	Poor cell coverage		A	a
	Protection of open space			
	Public transportation			
	Quality of life			
	Race relations			
	Taxes	C	C	
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding	a	a	
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	a	a	
	Cost of living	a	a	
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	
	Parking	a	a	
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a		
Other Mention	C	C		
Not Sure/DK/NA		C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
Affordable housing	94	35	20	15	25
	20.4%	27.4%	22.3%	19.2%	14.6%
City's economic health	10	1	1	2	5
	2.3%	1.1%	1.3%	3.1%	3.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	21	7	5	2	7
	4.4%	5.3%	5.1%	2.5%	4.3%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	108	21	24	19	44
	23.4%	16.7%	26.9%	23.9%	26.4%
Crime/Safety	46	15	9	13	10
	10.1%	11.7%	10.2%	16.5%	5.8%
Education/School overcrowding	53	15	14	9	16
	11.5%	11.9%	15.3%	11.2%	9.3%
Environmental health	12	4	2	4	2
	2.7%	3.0%	2.7%	5.5%	1.1%
Neighborhood preservation	3	0	2	0	1
	.7%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.9%
Poor cell coverage	2	2	0	0	1
	.5%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Protection of open space	6	0	4	1	1
	1.3%	.0%	4.0%	1.3%	.7%
Public transportation	8	0	2	1	5
	1.8%	.0%	2.6%	.8%	3.2%
Quality of life	18	5	5	3	6
	4.0%	3.6%	5.1%	3.5%	3.8%
Race relations	14	5	3	1	5
	3.0%	4.1%	2.8%	1.5%	2.9%
Taxes	23	5	6	4	8
	4.9%	3.6%	7.0%	5.1%	4.7%
Traffic	268	79	45	44	100
	57.9%	62.7%	49.4%	56.2%	59.8%
Over population/Over crowding	19	5	2	2	11
	4.1%	3.9%	2.0%	1.9%	6.3%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	22	2	5	5	11
	4.9%	1.7%	5.4%	6.2%	6.3%
Cost of living	13	4	4	3	3
	2.7%	2.8%	4.1%	3.6%	1.5%
Need more retail/Shopping	4	0	0	0	3
	.8%	.0%	.3%	.4%	1.9%
Parking	7	0	2	2	3
	1.5%	.0%	2.1%	3.1%	1.7%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	4	4	4	5
	3.4%	3.3%	3.9%	4.6%	2.8%
Other Mention	20	9	0	2	9
	4.4%	6.8%	.0%	3.2%	5.6%
Not Sure/DK/NA	9	4	1	1	3
	1.9%	2.8%	1.3%	.8%	2.0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	D			
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety			D	
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health				
	Neighborhood preservation	a		a	
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	
	Protection of open space	a			
	Public transportation	a			
	Quality of life				
	Race relations				
	Taxes				
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding				
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention				
	Cost of living	a			
	Need more retail/Shopping	a			
	Parking	a			
Corrupt city government/Development over residents					
Other Mention		a			
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender				
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
Total	579	123	119	40	41
Affordable housing	114	26	33	8	4
	19.7%	21.1%	27.8%	19.7%	9.7%
City's economic health	21	4	5	0	2
	3.6%	3.0%	4.5%	.0%	5.5%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	8	8	1	2
	5.3%	6.8%	6.3%	1.6%	4.0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	120	25	36	10	10
	20.7%	20.0%	30.5%	25.7%	24.5%
Crime/Safety	67	14	10	3	2
	11.6%	11.7%	8.2%	6.5%	4.9%
Education/School overcrowding	64	15	13	5	4
	11.0%	12.0%	11.3%	11.6%	8.8%
Environmental health	16	2	5	1	1
	2.8%	1.9%	3.9%	1.6%	3.6%
Neighborhood preservation	7	2	5	0	0
	1.3%	1.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
Poor cell coverage	5	3	1	0	0
	.9%	2.7%	.5%	.0%	.0%
Protection of open space	7	3	2	0	0
	1.3%	2.1%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
Public transportation	13	1	6	3	0
	2.2%	1.0%	5.1%	6.7%	.0%
Quality of life	21	6	1	1	1
	3.6%	4.5%	1.2%	3.6%	1.5%
Race relations	18	4	2	1	2
	3.1%	3.5%	1.7%	1.6%	4.4%
Taxes	27	6	3	1	6
	4.7%	5.0%	2.4%	3.0%	13.9%
Traffic	328	70	55	24	24
	56.7%	56.5%	46.4%	59.2%	59.0%
Over population/Over crowding	23	4	4	2	1
	3.9%	3.3%	3.1%	5.6%	3.6%
Vallico mall issues - General Mention	26	6	8	2	2
	4.5%	4.9%	6.9%	4.9%	5.7%
Cost of living	17	4	6	0	1
	2.9%	3.2%	5.4%	.0%	2.8%
Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	0	1	0
	.9%	.5%	.1%	2.9%	.0%
Parking	7	3	0	2	0
	1.2%	2.1%	.0%	5.8%	.0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	15	2	1	0	3
	2.6%	1.3%	1.0%	.7%	7.3%
Other Mention	23	3	5	2	6
	4.0%	2.4%	4.0%	5.9%	14.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22	3	4	2	2
	3.8%	2.8%	3.0%	4.8%	5.3%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

	Party by Gender			
	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	128	112	7	9
Affordable housing	14 11.1%	24 21.1%	2 22.7%	4 40.1%
City's economic health	7 5.8%	2 1.5%	1 11.2%	0 .0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	5 4.2%	4 3.3%	2 33.9%	1 11.4%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	13 10.3%	22 20.0%	2 30.4%	1 6.6%
Crime/Safety	25 19.7%	12 10.7%	0 .0%	1 15.0%
Education/School overcrowding	19 14.8%	7 6.5%	1 17.1%	0 .0%
Environmental health	2 1.2%	4 3.7%	1 17.8%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	1 1.1%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 1.8%
Public transportation	0 .1%	3 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	3 2.2%	9 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Race relations	5 4.2%	4 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Taxes	8 6.1%	3 2.5%	1 11.6%	0 .0%
Traffic	79 62.1%	68 60.4%	2 27.1%	7 76.2%
Over population/Over crowding	3 2.3%	6 5.4%	0 .0%	2 26.5%
Valico mall issues - General Mention	3 2.6%	4 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Cost of living	2 1.9%	3 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	2 1.5%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Parking	1 .9%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2 1.2%	7 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	4 3.4%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	8 6.5%	2 1.7%	0 6.3%	0 .0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		E			
	City's economic health			a		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			.		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		E			
	Crime/Safety					
	Education/School overcrowding					
	Environmental health			a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation			.	a	.
	Poor cell coverage			.	.	.
	Protection of open space			.	.	.
	Public transportation			E	.	.
	Quality of life					
	Race relations					
	Taxes					
	Traffic					
	Over population/Over crowding					
	Valico mall issues - General Mention			a		
	Cost of living			.		
	Need more retail/Shopping		a		a	
	Parking		.		.	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents					
	Other Mention				A	F
	Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			a
	City's economic health			.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		C E F	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety		a	.
	Education/School overcrowding		.	a
	Environmental health		.	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	.	a
	Protection of open space	.	a	.
	Public transportation		a	a
	Quality of life		a	a
	Race relations		.	a
	Taxes		.	a
	Traffic		.	.
	Over population/Over crowding	.	a	A B E
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	.	a	a
	Cost of living	.	a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping Parking	.	a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	a
Other Mention		a	a	
Not Sure/DK/NA		.	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
Very strong	101 17.4%	101 17.4%
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	284 48.7%
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	131 22.4%
Very weak	33 5.7%	33 5.7%
None at all	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	7 1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	.
	Somewhat strong	.
	Somewhat weak	.
	Very weak	.
	None at all	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3	
Very strong	101 17.4%	55 19.7%	46 15.4%	0 .0%	
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	123 43.6%	160 53.7%	1 34.4%	
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	72 25.7%	57 19.2%	1 33.7%	
Very weak	33 5.7%	19 6.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%	
None at all	26 4.5%	8 3.0%	18 6.0%	0 .0%	
DK/NA	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong			a
	Somewhat strong		A	
	Somewhat weak			
	Very weak			a
	None at all			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very strong	101	14	24	25	19	18	0
	Somewhat strong	284	38	35	80	73	52	6
	Somewhat weak	131	20	35	22	37	14	2
	Very weak	33	0	4	9	11	9	0
	None at all	26	5	3	3	8	6	1
	DK/NA	7	0	0	1	4	2	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						a
	Somewhat strong			B			
	Somewhat weak		C E				a
	Very weak	a					a
	None at all	a	a				a
	DK/NA	a					a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	424	158
	Very strong	101	70	31
		17.4%	16.6%	19.6%
	Somewhat strong	284	212	72
		48.7%	49.8%	45.7%
	Somewhat weak	131	98	33
		22.4%	23.0%	20.9%
Very weak	33	23	10	
	5.7%	5.5%	6.4%	
None at all	26	17	10	
	4.5%	3.9%	6.1%	
DK/NA	7	5	2	
	1.2%	1.1%	1.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very strong	101	46	12	1	42
		17.4%	18.9%	15.1%	6.3%	17.4%
	Somewhat strong	284	110	35	10	128
		48.7%	45.1%	43.9%	62.6%	53.1%
	Somewhat weak	131	63	16	3	49
		22.4%	25.7%	19.5%	17.6%	20.4%
	Very weak	33	11	11	2	9
		5.7%	4.6%	13.6%	13.5%	3.8%
	None at all	26	11	5	0	10
	4.5%	4.7%	6.4%	.0%	4.0%	
DK/NA	7	2	1	0	3	
	1.2%	1.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				
	Somewhat strong				
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak		A D	a	
	None at all			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very strong	101	20	9	4	4	31	33
	Somewhat strong	284	56	31	19	9	49	119
	Somewhat weak	131	29	20	3	7	37	35
	Very weak	33	6	4	4	4	7	8
	None at all	26	9	1	2	3	4	7
	DK/NA	7	1	2	1	0	1	3
		1.2%	.7%	2.4%	2.0%	.0%	5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						
	Somewhat strong						E
	Somewhat weak						
	Very weak						
	None at all				a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very strong	101	49	12	18	5
	Somewhat strong	284	125	36	43	28
	Somewhat weak	131	67	20	12	10
	Very weak	33	11	4	4	4
	None at all	26	7	7	4	1
	DK/NA	7	4	0	0	0
		1.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very strong	7	2	5	4
	Somewhat strong	9	13	18	12
	Somewhat weak	6	6	6	4
	Very weak	3	3	4	1
	None at all	2	0	3	3
	DK/NA	0	0	2	1
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak					
	Very weak					
	None at all		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong			
	Somewhat strong			
	Somewhat weak			
	Very weak	a		
	None at all	a		
	DK/NA	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	
	Very strong	101	0	20	5	13	6	1	9	19	
		17.4%	.0%	11.3%	12.8%	29.1%	34.3%	6.1%	21.2%	19.8%	
	Somewhat strong	284	2	75	16	22	6	9	21	53	
		48.7%	30.8%	43.6%	39.0%	47.7%	37.3%	65.3%	50.2%	54.8%	
	Somewhat weak	131	4	57	10	6	3	3	4	15	
		22.4%	59.3%	32.9%	24.1%	13.5%	15.5%	23.8%	9.8%	15.1%	
Very weak	33	1	14	7	2	1	0	3	4		
	5.7%	9.9%	8.2%	15.8%	5.3%	3.9%	.0%	7.5%	4.0%		
None at all	26	0	5	3	1	1	1	3	6		
	4.5%	.0%	2.9%	8.3%	3.0%	5.3%	4.8%	6.3%	6.2%		
DK/NA	7	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	0		
	1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	1.4%	3.9%	.0%	5.0%	.0%		

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very strong	13	15	0	1
		17.2%	22.6%	.0%	23.3%
	Somewhat strong	45	33	1	0
		60.9%	50.8%	53.7%	.0%
	Somewhat weak	13	12	1	3
		17.4%	19.3%	46.3%	49.0%
	Very weak	2	0	0	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
None at all	2	3	0	2	
	2.1%	4.9%	.0%	27.6%	
DK/NA	0	2	0	0	
	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a									
	Somewhat strong										
	Somewhat weak						a				
	Very weak	a									
	None at all	a		a			a		a	a	
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		a	
	Somewhat strong		.	a
	Somewhat weak	a	.	a
	Very weak	.	a	.
	None at all	.	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	464	118
	Very strong	101	72	29
		17.4%	15.6%	24.6%
	Somewhat strong	284	236	48
		48.7%	50.8%	40.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	104	27
		22.4%	22.4%	22.7%
Very weak	33	24	10	
	5.7%	5.1%	8.3%	
None at all	26	23	4	
	4.5%	4.9%	3.0%	
DK/NA	7	6	1	
	1.2%	1.2%	1.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	B	A
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	347	235
	Very strong	101	59	43
		17.4%	16.9%	18.1%
	Somewhat strong	284	171	112
		48.7%	49.3%	47.8%
	Somewhat weak	131	70	61
		22.4%	20.2%	25.8%
Very weak	33	25	9	
	5.7%	7.1%	3.7%	
None at all	26	17	10	
	4.5%	4.8%	4.1%	
DK/NA	7	6	1	
	1.2%	1.6%	0.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very strong	101	0	7	15	11
		17.4%	.0%	14.9%	16.3%	8.1%
	Somewhat strong	284	7	14	47	86
		48.7%	69.4%	29.0%	50.3%	65.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	3	20	18	27
		22.4%	28.0%	39.8%	19.3%	20.8%
	Very weak	33	0	4	9	3
		5.7%	2.7%	7.4%	9.1%	2.6%
None at all	26	0	3	5	4	
	4.5%	.0%	6.4%	5.1%	3.2%	
DK/NA	7	0	1	0	0	
	1.2%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	163	133	1
	Very strong	35	33	0
		21.7%	24.6%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	75	53	0
		46.1%	40.2%	.0%
	Somewhat weak	36	25	1
		22.3%	19.2%	100.0%
	Very weak	8	10	0
		4.8%	7.4%	.0%
None at all	6	8	0	
	3.6%	6.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	
	1.6%	2.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a				D
	Somewhat strong	.			B E F	
	Somewhat weak	.				
	Very weak	a				
	None at all	.		a	a	
	DK/NA	.		.	.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	D	a,b
	Somewhat strong		a,b
	Somewhat weak		a,b
	Very weak		a,b
	None at all		a,b
	DK/NA		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very strong	101	6	29	18	21	25	0
		17.4%	14.3%	13.9%	12.7%	18.8%	43.7%	2.4%
	Somewhat strong	283	24	101	85	44	23	6
		48.8%	53.8%	48.2%	60.2%	38.5%	39.2%	49.7%
	Somewhat weak	131	7	57	27	31	4	5
		22.5%	15.4%	27.0%	18.9%	26.9%	7.2%	46.5%
	Very weak	33	4	12	5	11	2	0
	5.6%	8.7%	5.6%	3.3%	9.2%	3.2%	.0%	
None at all	26	3	8	6	6	4	0	
	4.5%	5.9%	3.8%	3.9%	5.2%	6.7%	1.4%	
DK/NA	7	1	3	1	2	0	0	
	1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	.9%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					A B C D	
	Somewhat strong						
	Somewhat weak	E		D			
	Very weak				E		
	None at all					a	
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very strong	101	1	0	22	0
		17.4%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	284	0	3	109	1
		48.7%	.0%	100.0%	57.7%	48.8%
	Somewhat weak	131	0	0	43	0
		22.4%	.0%	.0%	23.0%	.0%
	Very weak	33	1	0	5	1
	5.7%	50.0%	.0%	2.7%	51.2%	
None at all	26	0	0	7	0	
	4.5%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	0	0	2	0	
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very strong	26	0	5	1	9
		24.6%	.0%	19.9%	17.2%	65.2%
	Somewhat strong	46	7	19	5	3
		43.5%	44.0%	75.7%	65.6%	23.1%
	Somewhat weak	27	2	1	1	0
		25.1%	13.8%	4.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Very weak	2	3	0	0	0
	2.1%	17.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
None at all	4	4	0	0	2	
	3.6%	25.1%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very strong	51.3%	16.2%	4.3%	5.5%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	4	61	9	6	1
	Somewhat weak	0	38	10	2	3
	Very weak	0	16	1	3	1
	None at all	0	8	2	1	0
	DK/NA	1	2	1	0	0
		10.2%	1.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	17
	Very strong	5
	Somewhat strong	10
	Somewhat weak	2
	Very weak	0
	None at all	0
	DK/NA	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong
	Somewhat strong
	Somewhat weak
	Very weak	C E	.	.	C E
	None at all

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	C K L
	Somewhat strong
	Somewhat weak
	Very weak
	None at all	C E

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	C
	Somewhat strong
	Somewhat weak	.	.	G	.	G
	Very weak	.	.	.	C E	.
	None at all

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	.
	Somewhat strong	.
	Somewhat weak	.
	Very weak	.
	None at all	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very strong	47	20	23	0	2	2
		17.7%	19.1%	20.6%	.8%	15.3%	26.0%
	Somewhat strong	133	52	55	19	5	3
		50.0%	49.7%	49.1%	61.5%	44.8%	32.2%
	Somewhat weak	60	24	23	6	4	3
		22.5%	22.6%	20.6%	20.8%	39.0%	32.7%
	Very weak	14	4	9	0	0	0
	5.2%	4.1%	8.1%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	
None at all	9	3	2	3	0	1	
	3.5%	3.3%	1.7%	10.4%	.0%	9.1%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	2	0	0	
	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak					a
	Very weak				a	a
	None at all		a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very strong	70	16	41	10	0	4
		18.4%	14.4%	18.3%	28.8%	.0%	36.4%
	Somewhat strong	191	68	101	17	1	3
		50.1%	63.5%	45.2%	49.9%	32.5%	26.3%
	Somewhat weak	76	15	53	4	1	3
		19.9%	13.8%	23.7%	11.3%	24.6%	26.1%
	Very weak	24	7	16	0	1	0
	6.3%	6.3%	7.1%	.4%	25.4%	.0%	
None at all	15	2	9	2	1	1	
	3.8%	1.7%	4.0%	4.7%	17.5%	11.3%	
DK/NA	6	0	4	2	0	0	
	1.5%	.2%	1.7%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				a	
	Somewhat strong	B			a	
	Somewhat weak				C	a
	Very weak				a	a
	None at all					a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very strong	101	73	1	13	3	0	11
		17.4%	16.4%	4.4%	14.5%	35.7%	.0%	24.6%
	Somewhat strong	284	201	15	56	2	1	15
		48.7%	44.7%	59.0%	60.3%	21.1%	100.0%	35.1%
	Somewhat weak	131	116	10	16	2	0	13
		22.4%	25.9%	36.6%	16.7%	26.8%	.0%	29.0%
	Very weak	33	33	0	3	1	0	1
	5.7%	7.5%	.0%	3.1%	16.4%	.0%	2.6%	
None at all	26	19	0	2	0	0	3	
	4.5%	4.2%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	
DK/NA	7	6	0	4	0	0	1	
	1.2%	1.3%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very strong	0	5	4	3	0	3	9
		.0%	22.5%	9.2%	24.2%	.0%	25.0%	65.2%
	Somewhat strong	2	17	29	5	1	6	3
		34.9%	77.5%	60.1%	39.5%	100.0%	52.1%	23.1%
	Somewhat weak	1	0	10	0	0	3	0
		19.1%	.0%	21.5%	1.1%	.0%	22.9%	.0%
	Very weak	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
	3.5%	.0%	7.3%	22.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
None at all	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	
	42.6%	.0%	.0%	13.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	56	7
	Very strong	9	0
	Somewhat strong	19	4
	Somewhat weak	20	2
	Very weak	6	0
	None at all	2	0
	DK/NA	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English (A)	Cantonese (B)	Chinese (C)	French (D)	German (E)	Hindi (F)	Japanese (G)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					a,b		b
	Somewhat strong					a,b		
	Somewhat weak					a,b		
	Very weak		b			a,b		
	None at all		b		b	a,b		A C N

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean (H)	Mandarin (I)	Spanish (J)	Tagalog (K)	Thai (L)	Vietnamese (M)	Other (N)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				a,b		A B C I N	
	Somewhat strong				a,b			
	Somewhat weak				a,b		b	
	Very weak				a,b	b		
	None at all		b		a,b	b		b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA (O)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	
	Somewhat strong	
	Somewhat weak	b
	Very weak	b
	None at all	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very strong	101	3	13	1	4	4
	Somewhat strong	284	42	27	20	20	21
	Somewhat weak	131	7	6	6	8	5
	Very weak	33	4	2	2	3	1
	None at all	26	4	3	0	1	1
	DK/NA	7	1	1	0	0	1

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very strong	13	6	12	20	25
	Somewhat strong	19	12	11	53	58
	Somewhat weak	8	13	8	41	30
	Very weak	0	0	1	14	6
	None at all	1	7	2	3	6
	DK/NA	0	0	0	2	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k (A)	\$60-\$80k (B)	\$80-\$100k (C)	\$100-\$120k (D)	\$120-\$140k (E)	\$140-\$160k (F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						A
	Somewhat strong	G H I					
	Somewhat weak						
	Very weak			a			
	None at all			a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		A		
	Somewhat strong				
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak				
	None at all	I	a		
	DK/NA	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very strong	101	27	43	32
	Somewhat strong	284	98	74	111
	Somewhat weak	131	26	23	81
	Very weak	33	6	5	23
	None at all	26	9	6	11
	DK/NA	7	1	2	4

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		A C	
	Somewhat strong	C		
	Somewhat weak			A B
	Very weak			
	None at all			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very strong	74	20	15	18	21
	Somewhat strong	233	68	49	31	86
	Somewhat weak	96	25	18	18	36
	Very weak	32	9	7	4	13
	None at all	21	5	1	8	7
	DK/NA	6	0	0	0	5
		1.2%	.0%	.3%	.0%	3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				
	Somewhat strong				
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak				
	None at all	a		a	
	DK/NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very strong	101	17	30	3	9	26
	Somewhat strong	283	64	45	22	13	68
	Somewhat weak	130	27	36	6	10	23
	Very weak	32	7	3	3	7	2
	None at all	26	7	5	4	1	7
	DK/NA	7	2	1	1	0	1
		1.2%	1.3%	.7%	3.2%	.0%	1.0%
		17.5%	13.4%	24.8%	8.1%	21.9%	20.5%
		48.8%	52.3%	38.0%	55.3%	32.7%	53.1%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	112	7	9
	Very strong	16	0	1
		14.2%	.0%	11.4%
	Somewhat strong	60	6	4
		53.1%	80.0%	48.8%
	Somewhat weak	26	1	2
		22.9%	17.9%	17.3%
	Very weak	7	0	2
	6.1%	2.2%	22.5%	
None at all	2	0	0	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						
	Somewhat strong						
	Somewhat weak						
	Very weak				B E		
	None at all						
	DK/NA					a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a	
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak	a	E a
	None at all	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	166	166
		28.6%	28.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	279
		47.9%	47.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.2%	12.2%
Very dissatisfied	37	37	
	6.3%	6.3%	
DK/NA	29	29	
	5.0%	5.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	166	79	88	0
		28.6%	28.0%	29.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	133	144	2
		47.9%	47.1%	48.4%	68.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	37	34	0
		12.2%	13.1%	11.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	37	18	18	1
	6.3%	6.5%	5.9%	31.9%	
DK/NA	29	15	14	0	
	5.0%	5.3%	4.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	166	29	40	28	30	35	4
	Somewhat satisfied	279	28	45	74	73	53	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	10	10	21	23	8	0
	Very dissatisfied	37	3	3	9	18	4	0
	DK/NA	29	7	3	8	9	2	0
		5.0%	8.7%	2.7%	5.4%	6.2%	2.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	D	C D				
	Somewhat satisfied						a
	Somewhat dissatisfied						a
	Very dissatisfied						a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	166	118	48
	Somewhat satisfied	279	196	82
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	62	9
	Very dissatisfied	37	33	4
	DK/NA	29	15	14
		5.0%	3.5%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	166	90	21	7	48
	Somewhat satisfied	279	94	41	5	139
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	30	8	3	30
	Very dissatisfied	37	16	9	0	12
	DK/NA	29	14	2	1	12
			5.0%	5.6%	2.8%	7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	D			A
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	166	51	23	8	7	34	43
	Somewhat satisfied	279	45	26	15	14	57	122
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	14	11	5	2	20	20
	Very dissatisfied	37	8	5	3	3	10	8
	DK/NA	29	4	2	1	2	9	12
		5.0%	3.6%	2.6%	1.9%	5.7%	7.1%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	F					AB
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	166	82	25	24	3
	Somewhat satisfied	279	129	29	39	28
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	31	13	11	7
	Very dissatisfied	37	7	6	7	8
	DK/NA	29	14	6	1	2
		5.0%	5.4%	7.5%	1.4%	4.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	6	3	13	11
	Somewhat satisfied	17	11	14	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	4	4	1
	Very dissatisfied	1	4	3	2
	DK/NA	1	2	3	0
		4.1%	7.1%	8.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	D	D			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		D	D
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	A		a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	166	0	29	6	23	7	1	19
		28.6%	.0%	16.5%	15.1%	49.5%	41.0%	10.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	4	81	18	15	4	11	16
		47.9%	56.5%	46.8%	42.9%	33.1%	22.9%	80.3%	37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	3	37	7	5	2	1	3
	12.2%	43.5%	21.5%	17.6%	11.3%	9.3%	8.8%	7.3%	
Very dissatisfied	37	0	16	5	0	3	0	1	
	6.3%	.0%	9.5%	12.4%	.0%	17.5%	.0%	3.5%	
DK/NA	29	0	10	5	3	2	0	3	
	5.0%	.0%	5.7%	12.0%	6.1%	9.2%	.0%	7.8%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	21	25	34	0	2
		22.2%	34.3%	52.3%	.0%	27.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	59	43	26	1	1
		60.9%	58.0%	40.9%	56.1%	26.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	2	3	1	2
	4.6%	2.6%	4.3%	43.9%	45.7%	
Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	0	0	
	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	4	2	0	0	
	1.3%	5.1%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	a			B C H			B	
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied	H I J	H I						
	Very dissatisfied	a			a			a	
	DK/NA	a						a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		B C H	a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				H I J
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	166	119	47
		28.6%	25.7%	39.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	225	54
		47.9%	48.5%	45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	63	8
	12.2%	13.6%	6.8%	
Very dissatisfied	37	31	6	
	6.3%	6.7%	4.7%	
DK/NA	29	25	4	
	5.0%	5.5%	3.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	166	95	72
		28.6%	27.2%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	155	124
		47.9%	44.7%	52.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	46	25
	12.2%	13.2%	10.7%	
Very dissatisfied	37	32	5	
	6.3%	9.3%	1.9%	
DK/NA	29	19	10	
	5.0%	5.6%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	166 28.6%	6 52.7%	18 37.1%	25 26.2%	24 18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	4 35.7%	21 42.6%	42 44.5%	75 56.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	1 10.7%	5 10.8%	9 9.6%	16 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	9 9.5%	11 8.6%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	0 .9%	3 7.0%	10 10.2%	5 4.1%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	49 30.0%	45 33.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	76 46.8%	60 45.6%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28 17.0%	12 8.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	6 3.6%	9 7.1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	4 2.6%	6 4.8%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	166 28.6%	12 27.7%	70 33.3%	42 29.7%	17 15.2%	24 41.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	277 47.8%	28 61.3%	102 48.6%	70 49.8%	51 44.8%	20 34.9%	6 52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.3%	1 3.1%	21 10.0%	13 9.2%	24 21.1%	7 12.8%	4 35.9%
	Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	2 4.8%	11 5.0%	7 5.2%	14 12.5%	1 1.8%	1 11.9%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	1 3.2%	6 3.1%	8 6.0%	7 6.4%	5 9.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		D			D	^a
	Somewhat satisfied						A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						^a
DK/NA							^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	166	3	0	36	0
		28.6%	100.0%	.0%	19.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	0	3	96	0
		47.9%	.0%	100.0%	50.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	0	34	1
		12.2%	.0%	.0%	17.8%	51.2%
Very dissatisfied	37	0	0	13	0	
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	29	0	0	10	1	
	5.0%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	48.8%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	23	4	13	6
		22.1%	26.4%	53.8%	75.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	51	6	10	2
		48.4%	38.2%	39.8%	24.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17	0	0	0
		15.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	11	4	0	0	
	10.0%	28.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	4	1	2	0	
	3.8%	7.1%	6.4%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	6	2	57	10
		44.1%	23.4%	38.2%	43.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	5	68	10
		48.9%	48.7%	46.0%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	12	2
		.0%	.0%	7.8%	7.1%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	7	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	3	5	2	
	7.1%	27.9%	3.5%	7.9%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	0	4
		17.1%	.0%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	4	12
		47.0%	60.6%	69.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	1
		33.2%	22.8%	6.3%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	
	.0%	16.6%	3.6%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	2.7%	.0%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	^a	^a		^a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			C	C E
	Somewhat satisfied		.	.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.	.	.
	Very dissatisfied		K	.	.
	DK/NA			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	.			.
			K		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	67	30	29	6	1	1
		25.0%	29.0%	26.2%	18.2%	7.2%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	131	57	47	16	8	4
		49.0%	54.3%	41.7%	52.1%	72.5%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	38	7	22	8	0	1
		14.4%	7.1%	19.3%	25.7%	.0%	15.6%
Very dissatisfied	17	6	8	1	0	2	
	6.4%	5.8%	7.4%	4.0%	.0%	18.0%	
DK/NA	14	4	6	0	2	2	
	5.2%	3.8%	5.5%	.0%	20.4%	18.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	96	26	58	11	0	1
		25.3%	23.9%	26.0%	33.4%	.0%	9.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	64	107	16	2	6
		51.1%	59.3%	47.9%	48.0%	34.6%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	44	9	27	6	0	1
		11.5%	8.2%	12.2%	18.6%	.0%	12.4%
Very dissatisfied	27	4	19	0	3	1	
	7.1%	4.0%	8.3%	.0%	65.4%	11.0%	
DK/NA	19	5	13	0	0	2	
	5.0%	4.5%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	A B	
				a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	166	128	5	17	2	1	11
		28.6%	28.5%	17.3%	18.8%	21.2%	75.7%	25.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	200	13	49	3	0	24
		47.9%	44.5%	51.4%	52.3%	46.6%	24.3%	54.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	62	7	20	0	0	4
	12.2%	13.7%	26.9%	21.6%	3.2%	.0%	8.9%	
Very dissatisfied	37	33	0	5	2	0	4	
	6.3%	7.3%	.0%	5.0%	29.1%	.0%	8.7%	
DK/NA	29	26	1	2	0	0	1	
	5.0%	5.9%	4.4%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	4	13	3	5	0	6
		52.5%	60.7%	5.3%	39.8%	.0%	49.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	7	31	7	1	5
		4.9%	32.2%	64.6%	51.8%	100.0%	39.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	14.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	3	0	2	1	0	0	
	42.6%	.0%	5.2%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	2	5	0	0	1	
	.0%	7.2%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	6	7	0
		44.1%	13.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	32	5
		48.9%	58.5%	71.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	9	2
	.0%	16.6%	23.3%	
Very dissatisfied	0	5	0	
	.0%	9.6%	5.1%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	
	7.1%	2.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	I				a		I
	Somewhat satisfied					a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b		.b
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b		A C
DK/NA				.b	a,b		.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	C I N			a,b	I	I		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			a,b		.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a,b		.b	.b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b			a,b	.b	.b	.b	
DK/NA			.b						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	.b
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	.b
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	166	11	23	13	13	7
		28.6%	17.9%	44.7%	43.3%	35.7%	21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	45	24	11	15	12
		47.9%	74.2%	47.3%	38.5%	40.1%	36.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	2	2	3	6	9
	12.2%	2.8%	3.8%	10.9%	16.7%	26.7%	
Very dissatisfied	37	2	2	1	1	3	
	6.3%	2.9%	4.2%	3.2%	3.2%	10.1%	
DK/NA	29	1	0	1	2	2	
	5.0%	2.1%	.0%	4.2%	4.3%	5.2%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	19	16	13	25	26
		45.9%	41.7%	39.9%	19.1%	20.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	16	12	17	64	63
		39.1%	31.2%	49.4%	48.1%	50.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	8	2	17	17
		12.5%	21.1%	6.8%	12.5%	13.8%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	16	10	
	.0%	.0%	3.3%	12.2%	8.2%	
DK/NA	1	2	0	11	9	
	2.5%	6.0%	.7%	8.1%	7.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		I			
	Somewhat satisfied	DEFGI				A
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a			
	DK/NA		.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	I				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a	a			
	DK/NA	.	.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	166	42	76	49
		28.6%	24.9%	49.9%	18.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	95	60	123
		47.9%	56.9%	39.4%	47.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	15	5	51
		12.2%	9.2%	3.3%	19.4%
Very dissatisfied	37	9	6	21	
	6.3%	5.5%	4.3%	8.0%	
DK/NA	29	6	5	18	
	5.0%	3.6%	3.2%	6.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A C	
	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A B
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	126	39	25	20	43
		27.3%	30.6%	27.6%	25.4%	25.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	224	57	45	35	87
		48.4%	45.3%	49.5%	44.9%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	57	19	8	8	22
		12.4%	15.4%	8.5%	10.1%	13.2%
Very dissatisfied	36	8	8	12	9	
	7.8%	6.4%	8.5%	14.8%	5.3%	
DK/NA	19	3	5	4	7	
	4.2%	2.3%	5.9%	4.8%	4.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	166	47	44	11	10	26
		28.7%	37.9%	36.6%	28.3%	23.7%	20.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	277	54	40	18	23	71
		47.8%	43.8%	33.2%	44.9%	55.9%	55.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	13	17	5	2	15
	12.3%	10.6%	14.4%	13.7%	5.9%	11.6%	
Very dissatisfied	36	6	9	5	4	7	
	6.2%	4.6%	7.5%	12.4%	9.8%	5.4%	
DK/NA	29	4	10	0	2	9	
	5.0%	3.1%	8.3%	.7%	4.8%	6.9%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	22	4	3
		19.9%	51.7%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	67	1	3
		59.3%	19.6%	39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	1	2
	13.3%	11.6%	26.7%	
Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	
	4.8%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	
	2.7%	17.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					B	B
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
6A. Police services	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	250	250
		43.0%	43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	212
		36.5%	36.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	52
		8.9%	8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	28	28
		4.8%	4.8%
	DK/NA	40	40
		6.9%	6.9%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	189	189
		32.5%	32.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	180
		31.0%	31.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	25
		4.3%	4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3	3
		.4%	.4%
	DK/NA	185	185
		31.7%	31.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	316	316
		54.4%	54.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	212
		36.5%	36.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	26
		4.4%	4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	19	19
		3.3%	3.3%
	DK/NA	8	8
		1.4%	1.4%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	257	257
		44.2%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	242
		41.7%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15	15
		2.5%	2.5%
	DK/NA	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
6E. Library services	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	339	339

		Total	
		Total	Total
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	58.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	174
		30.0%	30.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	6
		1.0%	1.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11
		1.8%	1.8%
	DK/NA	53	53
		9.1%	9.1%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	141	141
		24.2%	24.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	279
		47.9%	47.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	98
		16.8%	16.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55	55
		9.4%	9.4%
	DK/NA	10	10
		1.7%	1.7%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	209	209
		35.9%	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	269
		46.2%	46.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	45
		7.8%	7.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	23
		4.0%	4.0%
	DK/NA	35	35
		6.1%	6.1%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	183	183
		31.4%	31.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	265
		45.6%	45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	78
		13.3%	13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	28	28
		4.9%	4.9%
	DK/NA	28	28
		4.8%	4.8%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	86	86

		Total	
		Total	Total
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	14.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	232
		39.9%	39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	82
		14.1%	14.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75	75
	12.9%	12.9%	
	DK/NA	106	106
		18.3%	18.3%
	Total	582	582
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	169
		29.1%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	252
		43.3%	43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	95
		16.4%	16.4%
Very dissatisfied	41	41	
	7.1%	7.1%	
	DK/NA	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%
	Total	582	582
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	171
		29.3%	29.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	267
		45.8%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	70
		12.0%	12.0%
Very dissatisfied	15	15	
	2.6%	2.6%	
	DK/NA	60	60
		10.3%	10.3%
	Total	582	582
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	142
		24.4%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	217
		37.3%	37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
Very dissatisfied	11	11	
	1.9%	1.9%	
	DK/NA	181	181
		31.1%	31.1%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	82	82

		Total	
		Total	Total
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	127
		21.8%	21.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	18
		3.1%	3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	13	13
	2.2%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	342	342
		58.7%	58.7%
	Total	582	582
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	116
		19.9%	19.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	187
		32.1%	32.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
Very dissatisfied	24	24	
	4.1%	4.1%	
	DK/NA	185	185
		31.8%	31.8%
	Total	582	582
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	47
		8.0%	8.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	181
		31.0%	31.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	132
		22.7%	22.7%
Very dissatisfied	95	95	
	16.3%	16.3%	
	DK/NA	128	128
		22.0%	22.0%
	Total	582	582
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	133
		22.9%	22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	211
		36.2%	36.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
Very dissatisfied	25	25	
	4.4%	4.4%	
	DK/NA	142	142
		24.4%	24.4%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	126	126

		Total	
		Total	Total
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	187 32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	33 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	217 37.3%
Total		582	582
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	135 23.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	137 23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	11 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	280 48.0%

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	113 40.2%	136 45.8%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	112 39.9%	100 33.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	25 8.9%	26 8.6%	1 34.4%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	13 4.6%	14 4.7%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	18 6.4%	22 7.4%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	74 26.4%	114 38.2%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	79 28.2%	101 33.9%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	11 4.0%	14 4.7%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	2 .7%	1 .2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	115 40.7%	68 22.9%	2 65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	148 52.7%	167 56.2%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	106 37.6%	105 35.4%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	12 4.4%	13 4.5%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	8 3.0%	10 3.3%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	7 2.3%	2 .6%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	117 41.6%	139 46.8%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	115 40.7%	127 42.6%	1 31.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	20 7.0%	10 3.4%	1 33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	10 3.5%	5 1.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	20 7.1%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	339	164	172	2

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	58.3%	57.9%	68.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	83 29.5%	91 30.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	2 .8%	3 1.1%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	5 1.7%	6 2.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	27 9.7%	25 8.3%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	71 25.3%	70 23.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	142 50.4%	134 45.0%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	37 13.0%	61 20.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	25 9.0%	29 9.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.4%	3 1.1%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	98 34.8%	111 37.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	137 48.6%	131 44.1%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	24 8.6%	19 6.3%	2 66.3%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	8 2.7%	16 5.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	15 5.2%	21 6.9%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	89 31.7%	94 31.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	123 43.8%	141 47.3%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	41 14.6%	34 11.5%	2 66.3%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	17 5.9%	12 3.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	11 3.9%	17 5.8%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	86	44	42	0

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	15.7%	14.2%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	108 38.3%	124 41.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	28 9.9%	54 18.2%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	40 14.2%	32 10.7%	3 100.0%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	62 21.9%	45 15.0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	90 31.8%	80 26.8%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	117 41.6%	134 45.0%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	45 16.1%	49 16.6%	1 31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	21 7.3%	21 7.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	9 3.2%	14 4.7%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	83 29.6%	86 29.1%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	128 45.3%	138 46.4%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	31 10.9%	39 13.1%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	7 2.4%	8 2.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	33 11.8%	26 8.6%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	75 26.5%	68 22.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	97 34.5%	119 40.0%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	22 7.9%	9 3.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	6 2.0%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	82 29.1%	97 32.6%	2 65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	82	26	56	0

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	9.3%	18.9%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	65 23.2%	61 20.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	7 2.7%	11 3.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	5 1.7%	8 2.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	178 63.2%	161 54.1%	3 100.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	56 20.1%	59 20.0%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	92 32.7%	94 31.5%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	41 14.4%	29 9.7%	1 31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	9 3.2%	15 4.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	83 29.6%	101 33.9%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	20 7.0%	27 9.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	95 33.8%	86 28.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	54 19.1%	79 26.4%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	52 18.5%	39 13.3%	3 100.0%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	61 21.6%	67 22.5%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	68 24.2%	64 21.5%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	97 34.6%	113 38.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	38 13.6%	31 10.5%	1 33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	13 4.6%	11 3.9%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	65 23.1%	77 26.0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	59	66	1

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.1%	22.1%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	88 31.3%	97 32.7%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	22 7.7%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	8 2.9%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	104 37.0%	112 37.8%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	58 20.6%	76 25.5%	1 31.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	70 25.1%	66 22.2%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	13 4.7%	6 2.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	5 1.9%	6 1.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	135 47.8%	144 48.4%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	250	32	58	53	52	48	7
		43.0%	41.6%	56.8%	37.6%	34.4%	47.4%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	29	26	53	60	42	2
		36.5%	37.7%	25.9%	37.8%	39.7%	40.8%	18.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	16	8	13	14	1	0
	8.9%	20.7%	7.4%	9.2%	9.1%	1.3%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	0	10	14	4	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	9.4%	3.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	40	0	10	12	11	7	0
		6.9%	.0%	9.8%	8.6%	7.4%	6.5%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	189	25	31	52	49	29	3
		32.5%	32.0%	31.0%	36.8%	32.4%	28.6%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	21	25	44	47	41	2
		31.0%	26.9%	24.9%	31.5%	31.0%	40.0%	23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	3	4	8	10	0	0
	4.3%	3.7%	3.9%	5.7%	6.7%	.2%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	1	0	1	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.1%	1.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	29	41	36	45	30	4
		31.7%	37.4%	40.1%	25.2%	29.7%	29.9%	44.3%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	316	39	55	73	81	59	9
		54.4%	50.5%	54.3%	52.1%	53.4%	58.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	33	34	48	60	37	0
		36.5%	42.7%	33.5%	34.4%	39.3%	36.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	2	2	11	7	3	0
	4.4%	3.1%	1.9%	8.2%	4.8%	2.6%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	19	3	6	8	2	1	0
		3.3%	3.7%	5.6%	5.4%	1.4%	.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	0	5	0	2	2	0
		1.4%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.1%	2.0%	.0%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	257	29	46	65	63	49	5
		44.2%	38.0%	45.4%	46.3%	41.2%	48.2%	56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	45	37	52	64	39	4
		41.7%	59.0%	36.8%	37.1%	42.2%	38.8%	43.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	2	5	7	9	7	0
	5.3%	3.1%	4.7%	5.2%	6.1%	7.1%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	3	4	6	2	0
		2.5%	.0%	3.2%	2.8%	3.9%	1.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	37	0	10	12	10	5	0
		6.3%	.0%	10.0%	8.6%	6.7%	4.5%	.0%
6E. Library services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	339	53	57	89	79	58	3

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	68.5%	55.9%	63.4%	52.0%	56.8%	32.1%
		174	19	31	39	49	32	4
		30.0%	24.9%	30.7%	27.7%	32.3%	31.5%	43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	6	0	0	1	4	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	2.5%	.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	0	7	2	2	0
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	1.2%	1.8%	.0%	
	DK/NA	53	5	14	4	18	10	2
		9.1%	6.7%	13.4%	2.9%	11.9%	9.7%	24.9%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	141	17	28	41	27	28	1
		24.2%	21.7%	27.3%	28.9%	17.8%	27.1%	12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	44	37	63	79	52	4
		47.9%	57.6%	36.3%	44.4%	51.9%	51.2%	43.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	11	21	20	28	16	3
	16.8%	14.0%	20.3%	14.4%	18.3%	15.4%	31.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	55	5	13	15	13	6	1
		9.4%	6.7%	13.3%	10.9%	8.8%	6.1%	11.8%
	DK/NA	10	0	3	2	5	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	2.7%	1.4%	3.3%	.2%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	209	25	36	57	47	37	7
		35.9%	33.0%	35.7%	40.1%	31.1%	36.0%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	45	38	58	80	48	1
		46.2%	58.9%	37.7%	41.0%	52.3%	46.7%	6.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	11	12	13	4	1
	7.8%	5.1%	10.5%	8.5%	8.9%	4.1%	11.8%	
	Very dissatisfied	23	2	3	9	3	5	0
		4.0%	3.1%	3.3%	6.4%	2.2%	5.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	0	13	6	9	8	0
		6.1%	.0%	12.8%	4.0%	5.6%	8.1%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	183	27	37	50	33	32	3
		31.4%	35.2%	36.2%	35.6%	21.8%	31.9%	37.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	40	36	60	81	46	2
		45.6%	51.5%	35.9%	42.3%	53.2%	45.5%	26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	7	16	18	19	16	2
	13.3%	9.7%	15.5%	12.7%	12.4%	15.2%	23.6%	
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	7	7	11	2	1
		4.9%	.0%	6.8%	5.0%	7.2%	2.2%	12.5%
	DK/NA	28	3	6	6	8	5	0
		4.8%	3.6%	5.6%	4.4%	5.4%	5.2%	.0%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	86	18	13	20	15	20	0

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	23.9%	12.4%	14.4%	9.8%	19.9%	.0%
		232	29	47	52	54	47	3
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	37.9%	46.8%	36.6%	35.3%	46.6%	31.5%
		82	8	13	20	28	12	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	9.8%	12.4%	14.3%	18.4%	12.0%	19.3%
		75	12	5	20	29	7	2
	12.9%	15.3%	4.7%	14.2%	19.0%	7.2%	24.3%	
	106	10	24	29	27	15	2	
	18.3%	13.2%	23.8%	20.5%	17.4%	14.3%	24.9%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	24	31	46	34	32	2
		29.1%	31.3%	30.5%	33.0%	22.4%	31.4%	19.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	36	37	62	70	46	2
		43.3%	46.7%	36.2%	43.7%	45.9%	44.8%	24.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	12	20	21	29	10	4
		16.4%	15.3%	20.0%	14.7%	18.8%	10.1%	43.0%
	41	2	8	10	12	8	1	
	7.1%	3.1%	7.7%	7.1%	8.1%	7.7%	12.5%	
	24	3	6	2	7	6	0	
	4.1%	3.6%	5.6%	1.5%	4.8%	6.0%	.0%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	25	39	41	34	30	3
		29.3%	32.4%	38.1%	28.8%	22.3%	29.3%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	35	34	57	81	58	3
		45.8%	45.3%	33.1%	40.6%	53.0%	56.5%	31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	10	21	17	17	5	0
		12.0%	13.0%	20.8%	12.4%	11.0%	4.5%	.0%
	15	0	2	5	5	1	1	
	2.6%	.0%	2.4%	3.8%	3.1%	1.4%	12.5%	
	60	7	6	20	16	9	2	
	10.3%	9.2%	5.6%	14.4%	10.6%	8.4%	24.3%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	18	31	42	29	20	2
		24.4%	23.7%	31.0%	29.8%	18.7%	20.0%	19.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	31	32	55	58	40	1
		37.3%	39.9%	31.9%	39.2%	38.3%	39.2%	6.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	6	2	7	11	4	1
		5.3%	7.2%	1.9%	4.9%	7.4%	4.0%	12.5%
	11	0	2	5	2	1	0	
	1.9%	.0%	2.4%	3.7%	1.6%	.8%	.0%	
	181	22	33	32	52	37	5	
	31.1%	29.2%	32.7%	22.4%	34.0%	36.0%	61.7%	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	82	11	7	12	21	29	3

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.3%	6.7%	8.3%	13.6%	28.8%	32.1%
		127	8	22	21	34	39	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	10.0%	21.5%	14.6%	22.4%	38.5%	36.1%
		18	5	0	4	6	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	6.7%	.0%	2.6%	3.8%	3.4%	.0%
		13	0	0	7	2	3	1
	2.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.5%	3.0%	12.5%	
	342	53	73	98	89	27	2	
	58.7%	69.0%	71.7%	69.8%	58.6%	26.4%	19.3%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	13	27	31	21	24	0
		19.9%	17.0%	26.4%	22.3%	13.7%	23.4%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	19	19	55	52	40	2
		32.1%	24.3%	19.2%	39.0%	33.8%	39.2%	26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	10	17	12	25	6	0
		12.1%	13.3%	17.2%	8.4%	16.6%	5.6%	.0%
	24	3	0	9	10	1	1	
	4.1%	3.7%	.0%	6.7%	6.3%	.8%	12.5%	
	185	32	38	33	45	32	5	
	31.8%	41.6%	37.1%	23.6%	29.7%	31.0%	61.1%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	9	10	6	8	14	0
		8.0%	11.4%	10.0%	4.1%	5.5%	13.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	28	26	44	46	34	3
		31.0%	36.4%	25.5%	31.0%	30.5%	33.2%	31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	10	30	33	37	20	2
		22.7%	12.7%	29.9%	23.5%	24.2%	19.7%	24.3%
	95	12	7	23	35	15	3	
	16.3%	15.3%	7.1%	16.6%	22.7%	14.7%	31.8%	
	128	19	28	35	26	19	1	
	22.0%	24.2%	27.5%	24.8%	17.2%	18.9%	12.5%	
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	23	18	30	31	28	3
		22.9%	30.3%	18.2%	21.2%	20.3%	27.3%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	34	36	47	52	43	0
		36.2%	43.8%	35.1%	33.3%	34.2%	41.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	5	19	17	23	7	0
		12.1%	6.7%	18.8%	11.8%	15.0%	6.7%	.0%
	25	3	0	4	13	4	1	
	4.4%	3.7%	.0%	2.7%	8.7%	4.3%	11.8%	
	142	12	28	43	33	20	5	
	24.4%	15.6%	27.9%	30.9%	21.9%	19.9%	56.1%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	126	17	24	31	31	23	2

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.7%	23.4%	21.7%	20.3%	22.2%	19.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	21 27.5%	37 36.3%	48 33.8%	48 31.5%	32 31.3%	1 11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	8 10.3%	0 .0%	6 4.4%	15 9.8%	4 3.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	6 4.5%	7 4.8%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	28 36.8%	41 40.2%	50 35.6%	51 33.6%	41 40.2%	6 68.5%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	21 27.1%	27 26.4%	27 19.1%	32 20.9%	25 25.0%	3 31.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	8 10.2%	14 14.1%	43 30.3%	36 23.4%	35 34.2%	2 24.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	2 3.1%	3 3.2%	4 3.1%	6 3.9%	3 3.2%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	7 4.9%	1 .9%	0 .1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	43 56.0%	57 56.3%	60 42.6%	78 51.0%	38 37.5%	4 43.6%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6A. Police services	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	167 39.3%	83 52.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	156 36.7%	56 35.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	46 10.9%	6 3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	23 5.5%	4 2.8%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	32 7.6%	8 5.0%
	Total	582	424	158
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	132 31.1%	57 36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	142 33.5%	38 24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	18 4.3%	7 4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	2 .4%	1 .5%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	130 30.7%	54 34.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	225 53.1%	91 57.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	161 38.0%	51 32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	23 5.3%	3 2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	13 3.1%	6 3.9%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	2 .6%	6 3.8%
	Total	582	424	158
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	180 42.3%	78 49.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	187 44.1%	55 35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	25 5.8%	6 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	14 3.3%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	19 4.5%	18 11.2%
6E. Library services	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	339	255	83

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	60.1%	52.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	128 30.3%	46 29.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	4 .9%	2 1.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	7 1.8%	3 2.0%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	29 6.9%	24 15.0%
	Total	582	424	158
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	93 21.9%	48 30.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	210 49.4%	69 43.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	77 18.3%	20 13.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	39 9.2%	16 9.9%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	5 1.2%	5 3.0%
	Total	582	424	158
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	154 36.2%	56 35.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	199 46.9%	70 44.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	34 8.0%	11 7.2%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	21 4.9%	2 1.6%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	17 4.0%	18 11.6%
	Total	582	424	158
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	120 28.4%	62 39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	194 45.6%	72 45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	70 16.4%	8 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	22 5.1%	7 4.2%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	19 4.5%	9 5.6%
	Total	582	424	158
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	54	33
	Total	582	424	158

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.7%	20.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	174 40.9%	59 37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	65 15.3%	17 11.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	59 14.0%	16 9.9%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	73 17.2%	33 21.1%
	Total	582	424	158
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	110 26.0%	59 37.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	190 44.8%	62 39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	78 18.5%	17 10.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	31 7.3%	10 6.5%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	14 3.4%	10 6.1%
	Total	582	424	158
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	117 27.5%	54 34.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	207 48.7%	60 38.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	48 11.4%	22 13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	13 3.1%	2 1.3%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	40 9.4%	20 12.7%
	Total	582	424	158
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	85 20.1%	57 35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	174 40.9%	44 27.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	27 6.4%	4 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	10 2.3%	1 .7%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	129 30.3%	52 33.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	66	17
	Total	582	424	158

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	15.5%	10.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	90 21.2%	36 23.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	16 3.8%	2 1.3%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	8 2.0%	5 2.9%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	244 57.5%	98 62.1%
	Total	582	424	158
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	68 15.9%	48 30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	149 35.0%	38 24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	51 12.0%	19 12.4%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	21 4.9%	3 1.9%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	136 32.2%	49 30.8%
	Total	582	424	158
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	30 7.2%	16 10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	131 31.0%	49 31.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	107 25.2%	25 16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	75 17.8%	19 12.2%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	80 18.9%	48 30.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	101 23.7%	32 20.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	149 35.2%	61 39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	54 12.7%	17 10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	21 5.0%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	99 23.4%	43 27.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	81	45

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.1%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	137 32.2%	50 31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	29 6.8%	4 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	11 2.6%	8 5.2%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	167 39.3%	51 32.2%
	Total	582	424	158
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	86 20.4%	48 30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	109 25.8%	28 17.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	15 3.6%	4 2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	8 1.9%	3 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	205 48.3%	74 47.2%
	Total	582	424	158

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	250	114	39	7	91
	Somewhat satisfied	212	83	31	5	93
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	18	2	1	30
	Very dissatisfied	28	13	4	0	10
	DK/NA	40	16	5	2	18
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	189	92	17	6	75
	Somewhat satisfied	180	59	22	4	96
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	8	4	0	13
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	1	0	1
	DK/NA	185	84	37	6	57
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	316	135	51	10	120
	Somewhat satisfied	212	82	24	5	101
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	9	3	1	12
	Very dissatisfied	19	12	1	0	6
	DK/NA	8	5	1	0	3
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	257	110	35	11	101
	Somewhat satisfied	242	99	33	5	106
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	12	2	0	16
	Very dissatisfied	15	10	2	0	2
	DK/NA	37	12	8	0	16
6E. Library services	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	339	149	41	8	141

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	61.0%	50.8%	48.2%	58.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	70	24	5	75
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30.0%	28.6%	30.0%	33.2%	31.2%
	Very dissatisfied	6	3	1	1	1
	DK/NA	53	18	10	2	23
		9.1%	7.4%	12.2%	11.0%	9.6%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	141	65	19	4	53
	Somewhat satisfied	279	98	35	9	136
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	42	19	1	36
	Very dissatisfied	55	30	6	2	17
	DK/NA	10	9	1	0	0
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	209	99	32	9	70
	Somewhat satisfied	269	101	29	6	133
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	13	10	1	22
	Very dissatisfied	23	11	5	0	7
	DK/NA	35	20	5	0	11
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	183	91	24	5	62
	Somewhat satisfied	265	98	36	7	125
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	29	13	3	33
	Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	1	13
	DK/NA	28	13	6	0	9
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	86	48	15	1	23

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	19.6%	18.0%	4.9%	9.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	68 28.0%	35 43.2%	9 58.2%	120 49.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	39 16.2%	8 10.5%	2 11.8%	33 13.4%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	37 15.3%	14 16.9%	0 2.3%	24 9.7%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	51 21.0%	9 11.4%	4 22.7%	42 17.5%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	88 36.1%	18 22.8%	7 47.2%	56 23.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	85 34.8%	34 42.5%	6 34.8%	127 52.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	40 16.4%	17 20.6%	2 10.6%	37 15.4%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	23 9.3%	7 8.3%	1 7.3%	11 4.6%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	8 3.4%	5 5.9%	0 .0%	11 4.5%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	88 36.0%	18 22.4%	4 26.3%	61 25.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	87 35.7%	47 58.2%	8 50.5%	125 51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	32 12.9%	6 8.0%	0 1.0%	32 13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	9 3.8%	2 2.7%	0 1.0%	3 1.4%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	28 11.6%	7 8.7%	3 21.2%	21 8.7%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	65 26.7%	18 22.4%	2 14.2%	57 23.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	76 31.3%	24 30.0%	8 48.4%	109 45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	11 4.4%	7 8.2%	2 12.4%	12 4.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	5 2.0%	4 5.5%	0 .0%	2 .7%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	87 35.6%	27 33.9%	4 24.9%	63 26.0%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	41	17	5	19

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	16.9%	21.5%	31.7%	7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	42 17.3%	16 20.2%	4 22.3%	65 26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	4 1.6%	4 4.6%	2 11.5%	9 3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	5 2.0%	6 7.2%	0 .0%	2 1.0%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	151 62.1%	38 46.5%	5 34.6%	147 61.0%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	46 19.0%	15 18.2%	3 18.2%	52 21.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	75 30.8%	28 34.7%	5 31.7%	79 32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	30 12.2%	6 7.9%	0 .0%	34 14.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	10 4.0%	5 5.7%	2 10.0%	8 3.2%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	83 34.0%	27 33.6%	6 40.0%	69 28.4%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	26 10.9%	7 9.1%	0 .0%	13 5.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	56 23.0%	25 30.4%	6 35.7%	94 39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	59 24.4%	16 19.4%	5 33.2%	52 21.5%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	46 19.0%	18 22.5%	1 3.3%	30 12.2%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	56 22.8%	15 18.6%	4 27.8%	53 21.9%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	63 25.6%	19 24.1%	3 17.4%	48 20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	84 34.3%	25 31.4%	6 39.7%	96 39.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	35 14.2%	4 5.1%	1 8.3%	31 12.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	9 3.8%	5 6.7%	1 8.2%	9 3.9%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	54 22.1%	26 32.6%	4 26.4%	58 23.9%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	57	15	2	53

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	23.4%	18.1%	13.3%	21.7%
		187	65	21	8	93
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	26.8%	25.6%	48.6%	38.4%
		33	11	3	0	19
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	4.4%	3.2%	.0%	8.0%
		19	6	5	0	8
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	2.5%	6.3%	.0%	3.2%
		217	104	38	6	69
	DK/NA	37.3%	42.8%	46.9%	38.2%	28.6%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135	76	13	6	39
		23.1%	31.3%	16.7%	35.8%	16.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	41	15	2	80
		23.6%	16.8%	18.0%	13.0%	33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	9	5	0	5
		3.3%	3.7%	6.5%	.0%	2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	7	0	0	4
	1.9%	2.8%	.2%	.0%	1.7%	
	DK/NA	280	111	47	8	114
		48.0%	45.4%	58.6%	51.2%	47.0%

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	250	60	23	17	13	57	81
		43.0%	49.5%	34.0%	53.9%	44.5%	44.0%	39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	39	26	10	14	42	81
		36.5%	31.9%	39.7%	32.0%	49.9%	32.4%	39.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	7	6	2	0	16	22
		8.9%	5.4%	8.9%	5.9%	.0%	12.3%	10.5%
Very dissatisfied	28	8	5	1	1	6	7	
	4.8%	6.5%	6.9%	3.8%	3.7%	4.9%	3.3%	
DK/NA	40	8	7	1	1	8	15	
	6.9%	6.8%	10.6%	4.4%	2.0%	6.3%	7.2%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	189	45	20	8	4	48	64
		32.5%	36.8%	29.8%	24.0%	15.6%	37.6%	31.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	31	15	6	8	36	84
		31.0%	25.2%	22.9%	20.0%	27.7%	28.3%	40.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	7	0	1	1	8	8
		4.3%	5.6%	.0%	3.5%	3.7%	6.5%	3.9%
Very dissatisfied	3	1	0	0	1	0	1	
	.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.4%	
DK/NA	185	38	31	17	14	35	48	
	31.7%	31.4%	47.4%	52.6%	50.8%	27.6%	23.5%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	316	71	36	21	16	66	108
		54.4%	58.6%	53.7%	64.9%	55.6%	51.0%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	34	23	8	11	53	83
		36.5%	28.3%	35.0%	24.2%	38.0%	41.2%	40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	5	3	2	1	4	10
		4.4%	4.4%	4.9%	7.4%	3.7%	3.3%	4.7%
Very dissatisfied	19	7	3	0	1	6	3	
	3.3%	5.9%	4.9%	.0%	2.8%	4.3%	1.2%	
DK/NA	8	3	1	1	0	0	3	
	1.4%	2.8%	1.5%	3.5%	.0%	.2%	1.3%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	257	56	28	13	13	60	86
		44.2%	46.5%	42.5%	40.2%	45.4%	47.1%	42.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	42	30	12	13	57	88
		41.7%	34.8%	45.7%	38.6%	44.8%	44.4%	42.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	9	2	1	0	7	13
		5.3%	7.4%	2.4%	2.3%	.0%	5.3%	6.2%
Very dissatisfied	15	6	4	0	2	0	2	
	2.5%	5.2%	5.5%	1.4%	6.4%	.0%	1.2%	
DK/NA	37	8	3	6	1	4	16	
	6.3%	6.2%	3.8%	17.4%	3.4%	3.2%	7.8%	
6E. Library services	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	339	70	42	13	17	81	115

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	57.9%	63.1%	40.6%	59.3%	63.4%	56.0%
		174	33	20	12	6	39	65
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	27.3%	30.1%	36.7%	20.3%	30.0%	31.7%
		6	2	0	0	1	0	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	2.0%	.4%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.9%
		11	3	2	2	2	1	1
	1.8%	2.2%	2.4%	5.3%	8.1%	1.0%	.6%	
	DK/NA	53	13	3	6	2	7	22
		9.1%	10.6%	4.0%	17.5%	8.6%	5.6%	10.8%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	141	37	14	11	3	28	48
		24.2%	30.4%	20.4%	33.6%	9.7%	22.1%	23.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	44	24	12	15	72	112
		47.9%	36.1%	36.3%	37.2%	51.5%	56.1%	54.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	18	18	8	8	17	29
	16.8%	15.3%	26.5%	24.2%	26.5%	13.4%	14.3%	
Very dissatisfied	55	18	9	1	3	8	15	
	9.4%	14.8%	13.9%	1.8%	12.3%	6.3%	7.4%	
	DK/NA	10	4	2	1	0	3	0
		1.7%	3.4%	2.8%	3.2%	.0%	2.1%	.1%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	209	54	25	13	9	45	63
		35.9%	44.6%	37.1%	41.6%	32.4%	35.1%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	44	29	11	11	63	110
		46.2%	36.5%	43.9%	34.9%	39.8%	49.0%	53.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	6	3	4	11	16
	7.8%	3.5%	9.4%	9.4%	13.8%	8.9%	7.9%	
Very dissatisfied	23	5	2	2	1	6	7	
	4.0%	4.4%	2.7%	6.0%	5.0%	4.8%	3.2%	
	DK/NA	35	13	5	3	3	3	9
		6.1%	11.0%	7.0%	8.2%	9.0%	2.2%	4.6%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	183	45	22	13	6	44	52
		31.4%	37.5%	32.5%	39.5%	22.1%	34.6%	25.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	49	28	13	15	57	105
		45.6%	40.1%	41.6%	40.2%	52.3%	44.1%	50.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	12	8	3	4	22	28
	13.3%	10.3%	12.2%	9.0%	15.6%	16.8%	13.7%	
Very dissatisfied	28	9	3	0	1	4	12	
	4.9%	7.5%	4.3%	.0%	3.7%	2.9%	5.6%	
	DK/NA	28	6	6	4	2	2	9
		4.8%	4.6%	9.5%	11.3%	6.4%	1.6%	4.3%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	86	34	4	7	4	15	22

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	27.8%	5.8%	21.5%	15.8%	12.1%	10.8%
		232	21	27	15	12	52	105
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	17.7%	41.0%	45.0%	42.0%	40.3%	51.3%
		82	22	9	2	4	21	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	17.8%	13.5%	7.3%	13.3%	16.3%	12.0%
		75	20	13	5	5	15	16
	12.9%	16.7%	20.0%	14.3%	18.8%	11.7%	8.0%	
	DK/NA	106	24	13	4	3	25	37
		18.3%	20.1%	19.8%	11.9%	10.1%	19.7%	18.0%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	169	47	17	9	4	40	53
		29.1%	39.1%	25.2%	27.7%	12.6%	31.0%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	36	25	12	16	58	105
		43.3%	29.8%	37.6%	36.9%	56.7%	45.1%	51.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	18	19	7	4	15	32
	16.4%	14.7%	29.2%	20.8%	14.0%	11.9%	15.7%	
Very dissatisfied	41	14	4	1	4	10	8	
	7.1%	11.8%	5.5%	4.2%	14.6%	7.4%	4.1%	
	DK/NA	24	5	2	3	1	6	7
		4.1%	4.4%	2.5%	10.4%	2.1%	4.6%	3.5%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	171	46	19	10	3	43	50
		29.3%	37.8%	29.3%	31.6%	9.0%	33.3%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	38	30	16	20	55	107
		45.8%	31.2%	44.7%	50.0%	72.0%	43.0%	52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	20	8	2	4	10	26
	12.0%	16.6%	12.5%	6.7%	13.2%	7.7%	12.5%	
Very dissatisfied	15	5	1	0	1	4	3	
	2.6%	4.5%	1.4%	.0%	3.7%	3.2%	1.7%	
	DK/NA	60	12	8	4	1	16	19
		10.3%	9.9%	12.1%	11.8%	2.1%	12.8%	9.2%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	142	38	12	10	5	31	47
		24.4%	31.2%	17.5%	31.6%	16.3%	24.1%	22.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	37	24	8	13	47	89
		37.3%	30.4%	35.8%	25.5%	44.5%	36.7%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	5	4	3	3	5	11
	5.3%	3.8%	5.8%	9.3%	10.7%	4.0%	5.5%	
Very dissatisfied	11	5	0	0	3	2	2	
	1.9%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	1.3%	.8%	
	DK/NA	181	37	27	11	5	43	57
		31.1%	30.6%	41.0%	33.6%	18.8%	33.8%	27.7%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	82	22	11	6	5	19	18

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	18.4%	16.5%	19.7%	19.1%	14.9%	8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	19	10	4	10	26	58
		21.8%	16.0%	14.6%	12.5%	34.0%	20.0%	28.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	3	0	2	2	7	4
		3.1%	2.8%	.1%	4.9%	6.8%	5.3%	2.1%
	Very dissatisfied	13	5	0	3	2	3	1
	2.2%	4.0%	.0%	7.9%	6.0%	2.0%	.7%	
DK/NA	342	71	46	18	10	74	123	
	58.7%	58.9%	68.8%	55.1%	34.1%	57.8%	60.0%	
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	28	4	7	5	24	48
		19.9%	22.7%	6.2%	22.7%	16.2%	18.7%	23.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	36	16	10	9	48	68
		32.1%	30.1%	24.0%	30.4%	31.1%	37.3%	33.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	16	10	1	2	16	25
		12.1%	13.6%	14.9%	3.7%	7.3%	12.5%	12.1%
Very dissatisfied	24	4	4	2	1	6	6	
	4.1%	3.7%	5.8%	7.7%	3.7%	4.4%	3.0%	
DK/NA	185	36	33	11	12	35	58	
	31.8%	29.9%	49.1%	35.4%	41.7%	27.0%	28.4%	
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	16	4	3	2	9	12
		8.0%	13.1%	6.8%	9.7%	6.0%	7.2%	6.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	25	9	9	10	41	87
		31.0%	20.7%	13.3%	27.0%	34.7%	32.3%	42.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	28	21	8	4	25	46
		22.7%	23.2%	31.4%	24.5%	14.5%	19.8%	22.3%
Very dissatisfied	95	25	15	7	6	21	21	
	16.3%	20.2%	22.5%	21.9%	19.7%	16.4%	10.4%	
DK/NA	128	28	17	5	7	31	39	
	22.0%	22.8%	26.0%	16.8%	25.0%	24.3%	19.1%	
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	31	12	9	4	39	38
		22.9%	25.9%	18.4%	28.2%	14.1%	30.0%	18.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	40	23	10	7	44	85
		36.2%	33.1%	35.3%	31.6%	25.6%	34.6%	41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	18	12	0	4	10	27
		12.1%	14.8%	17.3%	.7%	12.6%	7.8%	13.3%
Very dissatisfied	25	5	4	0	2	9	5	
	4.4%	4.0%	6.5%	.3%	8.7%	7.1%	2.2%	
DK/NA	142	27	15	13	11	26	50	
	24.4%	22.2%	22.6%	39.2%	39.0%	20.6%	24.4%	
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	35	10	9	3	30	40

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	28.7%	15.1%	27.2%	9.0%	23.4%	19.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	29	20	8	9	37	84
		32.0%	24.1%	29.6%	24.6%	33.4%	28.6%	40.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	8	2	0	1	8	13
		5.6%	6.6%	2.9%	1.2%	3.7%	6.2%	6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19	5	2	2	1	3	7
	3.3%	3.8%	2.4%	7.3%	2.8%	2.4%	3.3%	
DK/NA	217	45	33	13	15	50	62	
	37.3%	36.9%	50.0%	39.7%	51.2%	39.3%	30.0%	
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135	44	12	6	5	33	35
		23.1%	36.1%	18.7%	17.2%	17.2%	26.0%	16.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	21	10	5	5	20	75
		23.6%	17.3%	15.8%	16.7%	19.3%	15.6%	36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	5	3	1	2	6	1
		3.3%	4.0%	4.9%	4.4%	6.7%	5.0%	.7%
Very dissatisfied	11	5	0	0	0	5	1	
	1.9%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.5%	3.5%	.7%	
DK/NA	280	47	40	20	16	64	93	
	48.0%	38.5%	60.7%	61.7%	56.2%	49.9%	45.2%	

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6A. Police services	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	125 47.5%	31 38.9%	26 31.3%	14 30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	90 34.2%	26 32.7%	34 41.6%	18 38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	29 10.9%	12 15.1%	7 8.2%	1 1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	5 1.7%	1 1.8%	12 14.6%	6 13.1%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	15 5.6%	9 11.5%	3 4.2%	8 16.6%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	92 34.9%	26 33.0%	26 31.9%	14 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	71 26.8%	23 29.7%	34 41.9%	16 33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	12 4.7%	5 6.1%	3 4.1%	2 4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	1 .2%	0 .3%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	88 33.4%	24 31.0%	17 20.7%	16 33.1%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	143 54.4%	45 57.4%	38 47.0%
Somewhat satisfied		212 36.5%	99 37.6%	25 32.3%	33 40.3%	14 28.7%
Somewhat dissatisfied		26 4.4%	9 3.4%	4 4.6%	6 7.3%	4 9.0%
Very dissatisfied		19 3.3%	5 1.8%	4 5.7%	4 4.7%	4 9.4%
DK/NA		8 1.4%	7 2.8%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%
Total		582	263	78	81	47
6D. Recycling program		Very satisfied	257 44.2%	112 42.5%	37 47.8%	34 41.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	114 43.4%	32 40.3%	37 45.3%	16 34.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	17 6.6%	1 1.6%	4 4.5%	5 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	1 .4%	2 2.1%	2 2.7%	7 15.9%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	19 7.1%	7 8.3%	5 5.7%	2 5.1%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	339	157	58	43	23

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	12 44.4%	7 28.8%	18 47.0%	19 77.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	13 50.5%	12 53.2%	13 34.7%	6 23.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 4.1%	1 5.2%	1 3.4%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 1.0%	1 5.2%	2 6.3%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	2 7.7%	3 8.5%	0 .0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	5 20.2%	4 15.3%	13 33.7%	10 42.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	9 32.5%	11 48.4%	12 31.5%	5 19.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 4.1%	1 6.1%	0 .4%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	11 43.1%	7 30.2%	13 34.3%	9 35.4%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	11 40.9%	12 50.3%	22 57.1%
Somewhat satisfied		14 53.3%	11 46.5%	14 37.1%	3 11.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied		1 2.1%	1 3.1%	1 2.7%	1 2.6%
Very dissatisfied		1 3.6%	0 .0%	1 2.1%	0 .0%
DK/NA		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.0%	0 .0%
Total		27	23	38	24
6D. Recycling program		Very satisfied	9 33.6%	10 44.6%	23 60.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	14 52.2%	11 46.2%	11 29.1%	8 32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 11.7%	0 .0%	1 1.9%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 5.8%	1 2.5%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 2.4%	1 3.4%	2 6.4%	1 3.0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	13	9	22	13

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	59.8%	73.6%	52.7%	48.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	71 27.0%	14 18.4%	31 37.8%	19 40.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	3 1.0%	2 1.9%	0 .3%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	4 1.6%	0 .0%	3 3.5%	2 3.4%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	28 10.6%	5 6.1%	5 5.7%	4 7.7%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	79 30.1%	12 15.8%	21 26.3%	6 12.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	118 45.0%	41 52.6%	37 44.9%	23 48.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	36 13.8%	13 16.2%	16 19.5%	9 19.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	22 8.5%	9 11.7%	8 9.2%	9 19.6%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.6%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	101 38.5%	24 31.0%	27 33.5%	19 39.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	113 43.0%	41 52.2%	47 57.4%	16 33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	20 7.8%	6 7.8%	2 2.7%	8 17.3%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	10 3.8%	2 2.1%	4 4.5%	2 5.2%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	18 7.0%	5 6.9%	2 2.0%	2 4.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	92 35.1%	23 29.9%	26 32.5%	12 26.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	122 46.4%	32 41.0%	41 50.8%	20 42.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	27 10.4%	12 15.9%	8 10.1%	10 20.2%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	10 3.9%	5 6.8%	5 5.6%	4 7.5%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	11 4.2%	5 6.5%	1 .9%	2 3.6%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	86	49	10	15	2

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	50.0%	38.7%	58.7%	53.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 44.9%	9 38.9%	12 30.7%	7 27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 4.5%	0 .8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 2.8%	1 1.5%	1 2.4%
	DK/NA	1 5.2%	3 15.1%	3 8.3%	4 16.8%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	3 11.9%	4 15.7%	7 18.5%	8 33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	18 67.4%	10 42.2%	21 56.2%	10 42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 16.2%	8 34.7%	8 19.8%	4 16.9%
	Very dissatisfied	1 4.5%	2 7.4%	2 4.6%	2 6.9%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.0%	0 .0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	4 15.9%	4 19.5%	13 34.8%	16 65.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	17 63.9%	13 58.6%	17 43.6%	6 23.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 14.4%	2 9.5%	1 1.7%	2 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	1 3.4%	1 4.0%	3 8.4%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	1 2.4%	2 8.4%	4 11.5%	1 2.4%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	3 10.9%	7 28.9%	9 23.1%	10 39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 44.7%	10 45.0%	18 46.8%	10 39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 25.7%	3 14.0%	7 17.6%	3 13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	2 6.3%	1 2.6%	1 3.6%	1 4.5%
	DK/NA	3 12.4%	2 9.4%	3 8.9%	1 2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	0	0	6	5

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	18.6%	12.4%	18.2%	3.9%
		232	100	25	42	19
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	38.1%	31.9%	51.8%	40.8%
		82	31	14	6	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	11.7%	18.5%	7.9%	24.1%
		75	28	11	11	10
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	10.5%	13.7%	13.7%	20.3%
		106	55	18	7	5
	DK/NA	18.3%	21.1%	23.5%	8.5%	11.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	90	26	23	7
		29.1%	34.1%	33.4%	28.7%	15.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	102	34	34	28
		43.3%	38.9%	42.7%	42.1%	59.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	44	10	14	6
		16.4%	16.6%	13.0%	17.2%	13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	41	17	5	5	5
		7.1%	6.6%	7.0%	6.2%	10.9%
	DK/NA	24	10	3	5	1
		4.1%	3.8%	3.9%	5.7%	1.2%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	86	26	22	10
		29.3%	32.6%	33.7%	27.5%	22.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	116	27	33	28
		45.8%	43.9%	34.8%	40.8%	60.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	34	13	9	2
		12.0%	12.8%	17.2%	11.3%	4.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	4	3	4	3
		2.6%	1.4%	3.4%	4.5%	6.1%
	DK/NA	60	25	9	13	3
		10.3%	9.4%	11.0%	15.9%	6.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	70	18	19	10
		24.4%	26.8%	22.4%	23.9%	21.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	90	29	33	20
		37.3%	34.1%	37.1%	40.9%	42.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	19	3	2	1
		5.3%	7.0%	3.5%	2.5%	2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	2	1	4
		1.9%	1.1%	3.1%	1.4%	7.5%
	DK/NA	181	82	27	25	12
		31.1%	31.1%	33.8%	31.3%	25.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	36	2	9	9

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	1.1%	.0%	16.2%	19.1%
		14	12	11	9
	Somewhat satisfied	51.2%	53.2%	28.3%	36.9%
		5	2	9	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.5%	10.0%	22.7%	12.6%
		4	5	4	4
	Very dissatisfied	13.2%	19.6%	9.5%	16.7%
		4	4	9	4
	DK/NA	15.0%	17.1%	23.3%	14.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	3	3	10	7
		10.5%	11.3%	26.6%	29.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	18	8	19	9
		68.4%	35.4%	49.2%	36.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	9	3	6
		10.8%	38.4%	8.6%	25.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1	1	4	1
		4.3%	6.4%	11.6%	5.5%
	DK/NA	2	2	2	1
		6.0%	8.6%	4.0%	2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	5	4	7	11
		18.2%	15.3%	17.3%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	17	14	24	7
		64.6%	59.0%	63.4%	29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	5	3	1
		9.1%	20.8%	7.7%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	1
		4.4%	.0%	2%	4.5%
	DK/NA	1	1	4	4
		3.6%	4.9%	11.3%	16.2%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	7	4	8	5
		27.7%	15.7%	21.9%	21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	16	14	11	5
		58.7%	60.1%	27.9%	21.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	1	3	2
		.0%	2.6%	8.9%	9.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	0
		.0%	2.8%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	4	16	12
		13.6%	18.8%	40.8%	47.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	2	2	11	11

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	13.8%	3.0%	11.4%	18.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	46	8	22	12
		21.8%	17.6%	10.3%	26.7%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	6	4	3	2
		3.1%	2.3%	5.1%	3.9%	5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	13	5	0	4	1
	2.2%	2.1%	.3%	4.6%	2.3%	
DK/NA	342	169	64	43	23	
	58.7%	64.2%	81.4%	53.3%	48.4%	
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	63	17	16	1
		19.9%	23.9%	21.3%	19.4%	3.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	69	17	37	22
		32.1%	26.3%	21.9%	45.8%	46.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	40	8	6	7
		12.1%	15.2%	9.7%	7.4%	14.4%
Very dissatisfied	24	6	6	4	6	
	4.1%	2.2%	7.1%	4.6%	12.7%	
DK/NA	185	85	31	19	11	
	31.8%	32.5%	40.1%	22.8%	23.2%	
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	28	4	4	2
		8.0%	10.7%	4.5%	5.3%	3.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	76	30	27	10
		31.0%	28.9%	38.4%	33.2%	21.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	58	14	19	20
		22.7%	21.9%	18.5%	22.7%	41.4%
Very dissatisfied	95	30	15	16	9	
	16.3%	11.4%	19.6%	19.8%	19.4%	
DK/NA	128	71	15	15	7	
	22.0%	27.0%	19.0%	19.0%	14.8%	
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	59	17	18	14
		22.9%	22.4%	22.0%	21.5%	29.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	94	26	34	19
		36.2%	35.6%	32.7%	41.2%	39.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	30	10	7	9
		12.1%	11.3%	12.9%	9.2%	18.8%
Very dissatisfied	25	10	4	4	3	
	4.4%	3.7%	5.4%	4.6%	7.2%	
DK/NA	142	71	21	19	2	
	24.4%	27.0%	27.1%	23.6%	5.3%	
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	58	18	21	8

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	6.6%	9.7%	29.8%	43.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	8	11	6
		49.3%	36.0%	28.7%	24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	1	0
		4.2%	.5%	3.0%	.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1
	.0%	2.8%	1.9%	4.5%	
DK/NA	11	12	14	7	
	39.9%	51.0%	36.6%	27.1%	
	Total	27	23	38	24
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	3	2	8	5
		12.1%	10.6%	22.2%	21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	11	11	6
		49.2%	48.7%	29.0%	23.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	1	4	1
		17.1%	5.2%	9.7%	2.6%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1	
	.0%	2.4%	2.5%	5.1%	
DK/NA	6	8	14	11	
	21.6%	33.1%	36.6%	47.2%	
	Total	27	23	38	24
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	1	0	4	4
		2.2%	.0%	11.5%	17.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	10	10	4
		47.8%	45.6%	26.4%	16.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	4	9	5
		19.1%	15.8%	23.4%	18.7%
Very dissatisfied	3	6	7	8	
	12.6%	24.4%	19.0%	31.4%	
DK/NA	5	3	8	4	
	18.3%	14.3%	19.7%	15.7%	
	Total	27	23	38	24
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	2	4	11	9
		8.1%	17.9%	28.8%	35.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	10	13	3
		50.8%	43.6%	33.1%	13.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	3	5	1
		19.1%	12.0%	13.8%	5.4%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	3	
	.0%	5.3%	.2%	12.2%	
DK/NA	6	5	9	8	
	22.0%	21.2%	24.0%	33.8%	
	Total	27	23	38	24
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	4	2	9	4

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	22.1%	23.4%	25.8%	18.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	89	21	24	17
		32.0%	33.7%	26.6%	29.8%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	17	3	3	3
		5.6%	6.3%	4.3%	4.2%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	19	7	2	5	4
	3.3%	2.6%	2.0%	5.7%	7.9%	
	DK/NA	217	93	34	28	15
		37.3%	35.3%	43.7%	34.4%	31.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	135	65	11	20	10
		23.1%	24.8%	14.6%	24.5%	22.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	54	21	26	9
		23.6%	20.3%	27.4%	31.9%	18.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	8	1	2	7
	3.3%	3.0%	1.6%	2.7%	14.3%	
Very dissatisfied	11	1	3	5	2	
	1.9%	.4%	3.9%	5.5%	5.1%	
	DK/NA	280	135	41	29	19
		48.0%	51.4%	52.6%	35.5%	39.8%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	15.6%	10.6%	24.8%	17.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	8	8	5
		52.4%	36.6%	21.7%	20.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	1	1
		14.2%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1
	.0%	4.0%	2.1%	2.6%	
	DK/NA	5	10	19	14
		17.7%	44.0%	49.1%	56.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	4	4	11	9
		14.9%	18.5%	28.4%	35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	9	6	5
		29.1%	39.8%	16.7%	18.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0	0
	2.4%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	14	9	21	11
		53.5%	40.4%	54.8%	45.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			A	A	a
	DK/NA					.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					.
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					H
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		a		a	a
	DK/NA		.		.	.
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA					.
6E. Library services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied		a			a
	DK/NA		.		.	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			B C D F
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			C E
	Somewhat satisfied			
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA			a
	Very satisfied			
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			E F
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a		
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					a
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	G H	C D E G H			
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	D		A B		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied				A	a
6O. Managing land use	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	D				
	Somewhat satisfied					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	A
	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.		
	DK/NA			
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			.
	DK/NA			
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied		B	A B C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			D
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	
	Very dissatisfied	a	.	a
	DK/NA	.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6A. Police services	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	3 46.6%	45 25.8%	16 37.9%	23 50.9%	5 30.2%	6 42.4%	21 49.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	0 .0%	72 41.7%	9 21.5%	18 38.6%	4 24.4%	5 37.4%	13 29.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	3 43.5%	24 14.0%	6 15.1%	1 2.6%	1 5.8%	1 7.7%	4 9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	0 .0%	13 7.5%	7 16.6%	1 2.3%	2 14.6%	0 1.6%	2 4.3%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	1 9.9%	19 11.0%	4 8.9%	3 5.7%	4 25.0%	1 10.9%	3 6.5%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	1 9.9%	45 25.9%	13 30.0%	26 55.5%	3 17.5%	3 22.9%	18 43.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	2 27.8%	53 30.5%	9 21.0%	10 21.1%	6 34.6%	4 31.3%	14 32.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	3 43.5%	18 10.5%	1 2.0%	1 2.6%	0 .0%	0 1.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.4%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	1 18.8%	56 32.3%	20 47.1%	10 20.8%	8 47.9%	6 44.2%	10 22.6%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	2 34.7%	85 49.0%	22 51.5%	23 49.2%	13 78.4%	9 66.4%
Somewhat satisfied		212 36.5%	4 65.3%	61 35.1%	13 30.5%	21 44.6%	3 16.9%	4 28.9%	13 30.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied		26 4.4%	0 .0%	14 8.2%	4 9.0%	2 4.4%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	1 2.5%
Very dissatisfied		19 3.3%	0 .0%	9 5.3%	2 4.1%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA		8 1.4%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	2 4.9%	1 1.4%	0 .0%	1 4.8%	0 .9%
Total		582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6D. Recycling program		Very satisfied	257 44.2%	3 46.6%	68 39.2%	18 42.1%	17 37.8%	11 64.5%	8 57.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	3 43.5%	67 38.9%	18 43.0%	23 50.3%	4 23.6%	3 22.8%	13 31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	0 .0%	17 9.6%	3 6.9%	1 3.0%	1 3.5%	1 7.7%	3 8.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .6%	0 .5%	1 8.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	1 9.9%	14 8.0%	3 7.4%	4 8.4%	0 .0%	2 12.2%	3 7.3%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	6E. Library services	Very satisfied	339	5	106	20	31	9	7

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6A. Police services	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	48 49.4%	34 45.7%	46 71.6%	1 46.3%	3 55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	38 39.7%	36 49.1%	16 24.1%	0 9.8%	1 25.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 3.8%	4 5.0%	3 4.3%	0 .0%	1 19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	2 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	4 4.6%	0 .2%	0 .0%	1 43.9%	0 .0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	24 24.3%	24 32.1%	30 46.2%	1 56.1%	3 62.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	41 42.4%	24 32.1%	18 27.2%	0 .0%	2 27.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 .0%	2 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	32 32.6%	24 33.2%	17 26.6%	1 43.9%	1 9.6%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	49 50.3%	46 62.9%	35 53.7%	2 90.2%
Somewhat satisfied		36 37.6%	27 37.1%	29 45.4%	0 .0%	2 30.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied		3 3.2%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 9.8%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied		8 8.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA		1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Total		97	73	64	2	5
6D. Recycling program		Very satisfied	37 38.5%	35 48.2%	32 49.9%	2 90.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	51 52.2%	28 38.4%	30 46.8%	0 9.8%	2 32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 2.8%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	5 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 1.0%	10 13.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	6E. Library services	Very satisfied	42	41	42	2

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	74.3%	61.5%	47.5%	67.8%	53.3%	53.3%	65.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	1	43	11	10	3	4	9
		30.0%	15.8%	24.7%	26.9%	21.1%	19.6%	28.5%	21.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	4	2	0	0	2	1
	1.8%	.0%	2.2%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	2.9%	
DK/NA	53	1	17	9	4	5	0	4	
	9.1%	9.9%	10.1%	21.8%	8.5%	27.1%	2.8%	10.4%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141	1	32	11	13	2	4	13
		24.2%	9.0%	18.6%	25.5%	28.0%	14.6%	29.0%	31.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	6	84	12	24	7	7	19
		47.9%	91.0%	48.7%	28.8%	52.7%	39.4%	49.7%	46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	0	35	10	6	5	3	5
		16.8%	.0%	20.5%	24.2%	13.9%	28.4%	21.3%	12.4%
Very dissatisfied	55	0	15	9	3	1	0	4	
	9.4%	.0%	8.4%	21.5%	5.5%	8.4%	.0%	9.6%	
DK/NA	10	0	7	0	0	2	0	0	
	1.7%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%	.7%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209	2	50	12	14	6	4	24
		35.9%	34.7%	28.8%	27.9%	31.3%	36.9%	26.0%	56.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	4	80	16	26	5	9	15
		46.2%	55.5%	46.2%	38.9%	56.8%	28.5%	63.1%	36.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	1	22	4	3	1	0	2
		7.8%	9.9%	12.9%	8.8%	6.9%	5.8%	.0%	4.4%
Very dissatisfied	23	0	5	4	0	4	1	1	
	4.0%	.0%	2.6%	9.7%	.5%	21.5%	6.1%	1.8%	
DK/NA	35	0	17	6	2	1	1	0	
	6.1%	.0%	9.6%	14.8%	4.5%	7.4%	4.8%	.6%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183	1	40	17	16	6	6	14
		31.4%	9.9%	23.4%	40.1%	35.6%	36.3%	46.2%	33.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	1	80	8	22	2	6	20
		45.6%	12.0%	46.2%	19.1%	48.7%	12.8%	46.6%	48.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	4	29	11	2	7	0	2
		13.3%	68.3%	16.6%	27.1%	4.1%	43.5%	.0%	5.1%
Very dissatisfied	28	0	13	3	4	0	0	4	
	4.9%	.0%	7.6%	8.3%	8.4%	.0%	1.1%	8.9%	
DK/NA	28	1	11	2	1	1	1	2	
	4.8%	9.9%	6.2%	5.4%	3.1%	7.4%	6.1%	4.2%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	0	11	8	20	3	2	8

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	43.8%	55.5%	65.3%	90.2%	94.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	28	21	0	0
		46.3%	37.5%	32.5%	9.8%	5.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	0
	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	5	1	0	0	
	6.3%	6.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	17	20	27	0	1
		17.9%	26.8%	41.7%	.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	56	37	23	2	2
		57.5%	49.8%	35.8%	100.0%	32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	5	8	0	1
		19.0%	6.8%	13.1%	.0%	24.4%
Very dissatisfied	5	12	4	0	1	
	5.5%	16.5%	7.0%	.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	2	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	37	23	34	0	4
		37.8%	31.8%	52.7%	.0%	71.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	39	25	1	1
		49.2%	52.7%	39.6%	56.1%	23.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	4	0	1	0
		7.6%	5.7%	.0%	43.9%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	3	1	5	0	0	
	3.6%	.9%	7.7%	.0%	5.3%	
DK/NA	2	7	0	0	0	
	1.9%	8.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	30	20	31	0	1
		30.7%	27.2%	48.6%	.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	57	42	22	0	4
		58.8%	57.7%	33.5%	9.8%	77.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	3	8	2	0
		9.0%	3.7%	12.6%	90.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	3	1	0	0	
	.0%	4.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	5	3	0	0	
	1.5%	6.9%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	17	7	11	0	0

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	.0%	6.1%	19.7%	43.9%	19.6%	17.4%	19.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	1	53	10	13	2	6	14
		39.9%	9.9%	30.8%	23.8%	28.6%	9.5%	42.8%	33.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	1	41	6	3	3	0	3
		14.1%	21.0%	23.9%	14.5%	6.0%	15.1%	1.1%	6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	75	4	33	12	0	4	0	7
	12.9%	59.3%	19.1%	28.0%	.2%	24.2%	1.6%	16.1%	
DK/NA	106	1	35	6	10	5	5	10	
	18.3%	9.9%	20.1%	14.0%	21.3%	31.6%	37.1%	24.7%	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	1	35	11	23	6	5	15
		29.1%	9.0%	20.3%	26.9%	49.0%	34.1%	36.8%	35.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	5	79	7	17	3	5	16
		43.3%	75.2%	45.7%	15.6%	37.5%	19.2%	39.9%	38.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	1	37	14	4	5	1	4
		16.4%	15.8%	21.2%	32.8%	8.5%	28.4%	7.7%	10.6%
Very dissatisfied	41	0	14	10	1	3	1	6	
	7.1%	.0%	7.9%	23.8%	1.9%	17.3%	10.8%	14.6%	
DK/NA	24	0	8	0	1	0	1	0	
	4.1%	.0%	4.8%	.9%	3.1%	.9%	4.8%	.2%	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	1	44	11	18	6	4	13
		29.3%	9.0%	25.7%	27.4%	38.4%	33.3%	30.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	2	69	17	22	6	6	20
		45.8%	31.7%	40.0%	40.7%	46.6%	34.9%	47.4%	47.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	3	31	8	3	2	0	2
		12.0%	43.5%	18.0%	20.0%	5.8%	9.6%	1.1%	5.6%
Very dissatisfied	15	0	3	3	0	1	2	2	
	2.6%	.0%	1.5%	6.9%	.0%	4.7%	12.2%	4.3%	
DK/NA	60	1	26	2	4	3	1	5	
	10.3%	15.8%	14.9%	4.9%	9.1%	17.6%	8.6%	12.2%	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	0	30	11	18	4	5	9
		24.4%	.0%	17.5%	26.0%	39.2%	23.8%	36.0%	20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	3	65	18	16	5	4	11
		37.3%	53.4%	37.3%	41.8%	34.1%	31.4%	29.3%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	16	0	1	0	1	3
		5.3%	.0%	9.0%	.4%	1.7%	.0%	8.6%	6.9%
Very dissatisfied	11	0	5	1	0	0	2	1	
	1.9%	.0%	2.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	1.5%	
DK/NA	181	3	58	12	12	7	2	18	
	31.1%	46.6%	33.5%	29.3%	25.0%	44.7%	13.5%	43.9%	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	1	18	7	10	6	1	9

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	17.2%	8.9%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	49	42	39	1	2
		50.9%	57.1%	61.2%	56.1%	33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	6	6	0	1
		13.1%	8.1%	8.6%	.0%	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	6	5	3	0	1
	6.4%	6.8%	4.3%	.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	12	14	6	1	1	
	12.5%	19.2%	9.3%	43.9%	26.8%	
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	29	15	28	1	1
		29.6%	20.8%	43.1%	46.3%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	52	37	27	1	2
		53.5%	50.0%	42.4%	53.7%	37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	9	9	0	1
		10.6%	12.6%	14.5%	.0%	19.1%
Very dissatisfied	2	3	0	0	1	
	1.9%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	4	9	0	0	0	
	4.3%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	23	20	27	0	4
		24.0%	26.9%	42.0%	.0%	71.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	55	36	30	2	2
		57.1%	48.8%	46.2%	100.0%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	5	7	0	0
		8.9%	7.0%	10.9%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	3	1	0	0	
	1.5%	4.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	8	9	0	0	0	
	8.5%	12.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	26	19	20	0	0
		26.5%	26.5%	31.3%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	21	24	1	3
		46.7%	28.9%	38.0%	56.1%	61.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	5	3	0	0
		2.7%	6.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	2	0	0	0	
	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	
DK/NA	23	25	17	1	2	
	24.1%	34.5%	26.4%	43.9%	33.1%	
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18	9	4	1	0

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	9.9%	10.1%	15.9%	22.5%	35.7%	5.9%	22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	2	25	4	9	4	1	7
		21.8%	27.8%	14.4%	10.6%	19.3%	22.0%	6.4%	17.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	3	9	0	1	1	1	1
		3.1%	43.5%	5.0%	.0%	2.2%	8.4%	8.8%	1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	4	2	0	0	2	2
	2.2%	.0%	2.1%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	4.3%	
DK/NA	342	1	118	29	26	6	9	23	
	58.7%	18.8%	68.3%	69.5%	56.0%	33.9%	66.3%	53.7%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	0	23	5	19	3	4	11
		19.9%	.0%	13.4%	11.3%	40.1%	19.6%	29.0%	26.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	1	50	9	19	4	2	10
		32.1%	12.0%	29.0%	22.5%	40.7%	27.1%	18.4%	23.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	25	12	3	1	2	5
		12.1%	.0%	14.5%	29.8%	6.9%	6.3%	13.8%	11.2%
Very dissatisfied	24	3	11	4	1	0	0	1	
	4.1%	43.5%	6.1%	9.6%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
DK/NA	185	3	64	11	5	8	5	15	
	31.8%	44.5%	37.0%	26.9%	9.9%	47.1%	38.8%	36.1%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	0	5	4	7	4	2	3
		8.0%	.0%	3.0%	9.1%	15.6%	21.5%	12.6%	6.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	39	11	8	7	6	13
		31.0%	.0%	22.5%	26.7%	17.7%	40.5%	47.2%	30.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	3	57	7	17	2	2	5
		22.7%	46.6%	33.2%	17.6%	35.8%	11.1%	12.1%	12.8%
Very dissatisfied	95	3	41	8	4	4	0	10	
	16.3%	43.5%	23.8%	18.0%	9.3%	23.4%	.0%	23.9%	
DK/NA	128	1	30	12	10	1	4	11	
	22.0%	9.9%	17.6%	28.6%	21.6%	3.5%	28.0%	26.4%	
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	1	37	11	20	6	1	13
		22.9%	9.9%	21.3%	27.1%	44.2%	39.0%	4.8%	30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	4	53	12	16	1	5	12
		36.2%	64.5%	30.4%	27.6%	34.4%	3.6%	39.3%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	29	6	5	3	4	2
		12.1%	.0%	16.6%	15.4%	10.4%	18.8%	28.5%	4.3%
Very dissatisfied	25	1	11	2	0	0	0	3	
	4.4%	15.8%	6.2%	5.7%	.5%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	
DK/NA	142	1	44	10	5	6	4	13	
	24.4%	9.9%	25.5%	24.1%	10.5%	38.6%	27.5%	30.0%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	126	0	24	5	22	4	6	6

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.2%	11.8%	5.7%	46.3%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	36	20	19	0	0
		37.4%	26.7%	29.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	1	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	1
	2.4%	.9%	.0%	.0%	19.1%	
DK/NA	39	44	41	1	4	
	40.2%	60.7%	64.2%	53.7%	80.9%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	20	14	17	0	1
		20.3%	18.4%	26.0%	.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	24	21	1	1
		44.9%	32.1%	33.1%	53.7%	19.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	7	5	1	2
		7.5%	9.1%	7.4%	46.3%	42.6%
Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	0	0	
	1.9%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	25	27	22	0	1	
	25.4%	37.1%	33.5%	.0%	16.0%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	14	6	3	0	0
		14.2%	7.9%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	37	31	26	1	1
		38.3%	41.9%	41.0%	46.3%	21.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	14	12	0	1
		13.6%	18.6%	17.9%	9.8%	10.7%
Very dissatisfied	15	5	3	1	1	
	15.7%	6.2%	4.3%	43.9%	24.4%	
DK/NA	18	19	21	0	2	
	18.1%	25.5%	32.1%	.0%	43.6%	
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	20	9	15	0	1
		20.2%	11.8%	22.9%	.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	34	28	2	3
		44.3%	45.9%	42.8%	100.0%	49.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	2	5	0	0
		15.2%	2.9%	7.7%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	4	1	2	0	1	
	4.3%	1.1%	2.5%	.0%	19.1%	
DK/NA	15	28	16	0	1	
	16.0%	38.3%	24.2%	.0%	9.6%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	23	13	22	1	1

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	.0%	13.9%	11.4%	46.7%	21.4%	42.4%	15.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	55	14	15	1	0	13
		32.0%	.0%	31.6%	33.3%	32.5%	4.4%	.0%	31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	3	13	4	0	0	3	2
		5.6%	43.5%	7.6%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	21.5%	4.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	19	0	5	5	0	0	0	1
		3.3%	.0%	2.7%	12.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	DK/NA	217	4	76	14	10	12	5	20
		37.3%	56.5%	44.2%	33.8%	20.9%	74.2%	36.0%	46.9%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	135	0	27	7	10	2	4	11
		23.1%	.0%	15.7%	17.7%	21.6%	13.6%	32.4%	25.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	0	43	10	16	3	3	6
		23.6%	.0%	24.6%	23.9%	35.7%	15.1%	19.9%	13.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	8	3	1	2	0	1
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	.0%	4.7%	8.2%	1.4%	9.3%	1.6%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	5	2	2	0	0	0
		1.9%	43.5%	2.9%	4.1%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	280	4	90	19	17	10	6	25
		48.0%	56.5%	52.1%	46.1%	37.8%	62.0%	46.1%	58.4%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	23.7%	17.7%	34.6%	46.3%	19.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	38	25	26	0	0
		39.5%	33.8%	40.0%	9.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	6	0	0	1
		1.3%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	3	4	0	0	1
		3.2%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	DK/NA	31	26	16	1	2
		32.3%	35.2%	25.4%	43.9%	38.4%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	30	24	17	1	1
		30.6%	32.8%	25.7%	46.3%	21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	24	18	14	0	1
		24.4%	25.0%	21.8%	9.8%	21.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	0	0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	39	31	34	1	3
		40.4%	42.2%	52.5%	43.9%	57.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	a						
	Somewhat satisfied	D H I J						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a						
	Very dissatisfied	a		H				
	DK/NA	.				I		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				B H			
	Somewhat satisfied					a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C D I				a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a	a	a		a
	DK/NA
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a					a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a				a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a				a	a	a
	DK/NA
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a					a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	a
	Very dissatisfied	.					.	.
	DK/NA	.				a	.	.
6E. Library services	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a		a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a		a
	DK/NA	.		J		J		.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a					a	a
	DK/NA	.		a	a	.	.	.
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied							B
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied						a	
	Very dissatisfied	a				B I		
	DK/NA
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B D G H I J					D G H I	a
	Very dissatisfied	a			I		a	a
	DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					B H I		
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	D H I J		D H J		D		
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	B		BC		
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a		a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied	a		a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied		a			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		a		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		H			
6E. Library services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	B	a	a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	a
	DK/NA	a	a			a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a		a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a
	DK/NA					a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	CE	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				D G H I	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	a
	DK/NA					a
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		B C E	B C D E		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied				B			
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C					
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	a			H			
	DK/NA	a						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	a				a		
	DK/NA							
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	
	Very dissatisfied	a				a	a	
	DK/NA							
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied							J
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B D G H J			a		a	
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B D G H I					a	a
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	J						
	DK/NA							
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a						I
	Very dissatisfied							a
	DK/NA							a
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied					B C I		
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B H					a	a
	Very dissatisfied						a	a
	DK/NA							D J
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	B C D						
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a	a	
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					a
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied					a
	Somewhat satisfied	B	a		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA				a	a
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	B				a
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA				a	a
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			B		a
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	198 42.7%	52 44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	172 37.1%	40 33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	40 8.7%	11 9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	23 4.9%	5 4.3%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	30 6.6%	10 8.1%
	6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	464
Very satisfied		189 32.5%	146 31.5%	43 36.3%
Somewhat satisfied		180 31.0%	150 32.4%	30 25.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied		25 4.3%	19 4.2%	6 5.1%
Very dissatisfied		3 .4%	3 .6%	0 .0%
DK/NA		185 31.7%	146 31.4%	39 33.0%
6C. Garbage collection		Total	582	464
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	246 53.1%	70 59.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	175 37.8%	37 31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	20 4.2%	6 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	15 3.3%	4 3.3%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	7 1.6%	1 .8%
	6D. Recycling program	Total	582	464
Very satisfied		257 44.2%	199 42.9%	58 49.0%
Somewhat satisfied		242 41.7%	199 42.8%	44 37.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied		31 5.3%	25 5.4%	6 5.1%
Very dissatisfied		15 2.5%	14 2.9%	1 .9%
DK/NA		37 6.3%	27 5.9%	9 8.0%
6E. Library services		Total	582	464
	Very satisfied	339	258	80

		Permanent Absentee Voter			
		Total	Yes	No	
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	55.7%	68.0%	
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	146 31.4%	29 24.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	4 .9%	1 1.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	11 2.3%	0 .0%	
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	45 9.7%	8 6.8%	
	Total	582	464	118	
	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	105 22.7%	35 30.0%	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	230 49.6%	49 41.2%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	83 17.8%	15 13.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	37 7.9%	18 15.3%	
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	9 2.0%	1 .6%	
	Total	582	464	118	
	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	158 34.0%	51 43.5%	
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	216 46.6%	53 44.8%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	38 8.2%	7 6.1%	
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	19 4.0%	5 4.0%	
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	33 7.2%	2 1.6%	
	Total	582	464	118	
	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	136 29.4%	46 39.2%	
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	218 47.0%	47 40.1%	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	66 14.3%	11 9.5%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	19 4.2%	9 7.5%	
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	24 5.1%	4 3.7%	
	Total	582	464	118	
	Very satisfied	86	59	27	
	6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	464	118
		Very satisfied	86	59	27

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.8%	22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	192	40
		39.9%	41.4%	33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	61	21
		14.1%	13.2%	17.7%
	Very dissatisfied	75	62	12
	12.9%	13.5%	10.5%	
	DK/NA	106	89	18
		18.3%	19.1%	15.0%
	Total	582	464	118
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	127	43
		29.1%	27.3%	36.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	206	46
		43.3%	44.5%	38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	79	16
		16.4%	17.1%	13.8%
Very dissatisfied	41	30	12	
	7.1%	6.4%	10.1%	
	DK/NA	24	22	2
		4.1%	4.8%	1.4%
	Total	582	464	118
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	133	38
		29.3%	28.7%	32.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	216	51
		45.8%	46.6%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	56	14
		12.0%	12.0%	12.1%
Very dissatisfied	15	7	8	
	2.6%	1.4%	7.2%	
	DK/NA	60	53	7
		10.3%	11.4%	6.0%
	Total	582	464	118
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	103	39
		24.4%	22.1%	33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	186	32
		37.3%	40.0%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	22	9
		5.3%	4.7%	7.8%
Very dissatisfied	11	7	3	
	1.9%	1.6%	2.9%	
	DK/NA	181	146	35
		31.1%	31.6%	29.2%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	82	67	15

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.5%	12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	105	22
		21.8%	22.6%	18.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	13	5
		3.1%	2.7%	4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13	10	3
	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	342	269	73
		58.7%	57.9%	62.0%
	Total	582	464	118
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	93	23
		19.9%	20.0%	19.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	149	38
		32.1%	32.0%	32.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	56	14
		12.1%	12.1%	12.2%
Very dissatisfied	24	20	4	
	4.1%	4.3%	3.1%	
	DK/NA	185	146	39
		31.8%	31.6%	32.7%
	Total	582	464	118
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	36	10
		8.0%	7.8%	8.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	146	34
		31.0%	31.5%	29.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	102	30
		22.7%	22.0%	25.6%
Very dissatisfied	95	81	14	
	16.3%	17.4%	11.6%	
	DK/NA	128	98	29
		22.0%	21.2%	25.0%
	Total	582	464	118
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	104	29
		22.9%	22.4%	24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	162	49
		36.2%	34.9%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	58	12
		12.1%	12.6%	10.2%
Very dissatisfied	25	22	4	
	4.4%	4.7%	3.1%	
	DK/NA	142	118	24
		24.4%	25.4%	20.7%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	126	92	35

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	29.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	154 33.2%	32 27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	26 5.5%	7 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	16 3.4%	3 2.7%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	177 38.1%	41 34.5%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	100 21.7%	34 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	123 26.5%	15 12.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	15 3.1%	5 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	8 1.6%	4 3.0%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	218 47.1%	61 51.9%

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	149 43.0%	101 43.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	119 34.4%	93 39.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	28 8.2%	23 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	23 6.6%	5 2.1%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	27 7.8%	13 5.5%
	Total	582	347	235
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	103 29.8%	86 36.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	114 32.8%	67 28.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	14 4.0%	12 4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	2 .5%	1 .3%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	114 33.0%	70 29.8%
	Total	582	347	235
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	198 56.9%	119 50.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	115 33.0%	98 41.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	16 4.6%	10 4.2%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	14 4.0%	5 2.2%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	5 1.4%	3 1.5%
	Total	582	347	235
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	168 48.4%	89 37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	131 37.9%	111 47.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	15 4.4%	16 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	13 3.8%	2 .7%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	19 5.6%	18 7.5%
	Total	582	347	235
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	339	191	147

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	55.2%	62.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	104 30.0%	70 29.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	6 1.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	9 2.6%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	37 10.6%	16 6.9%
	Total	582	347	235
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	70 20.1%	71 30.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	165 47.5%	114 48.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	70 20.3%	28 11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	35 10.2%	19 8.2%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.0%	3 1.3%
	Total	582	347	235
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	123 35.5%	86 36.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	157 45.1%	112 47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	31 8.9%	14 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	15 4.4%	8 3.4%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	21 6.1%	14 6.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	96 27.7%	86 36.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	160 46.2%	105 44.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	57 16.4%	21 8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	16 4.6%	12 5.2%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	17 5.0%	11 4.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	43	43

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.4%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	133 38.4%	99 42.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	61 17.5%	21 9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	49 14.2%	26 10.9%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	60 17.4%	46 19.5%
	Total	582	347	235
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	93 26.8%	76 32.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	150 43.3%	102 43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	63 18.2%	32 13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	25 7.3%	16 6.8%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	15 4.4%	9 3.8%
	Total	582	347	235
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	107 30.8%	64 27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	159 45.7%	108 45.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	35 10.1%	35 14.8%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	8 2.3%	7 3.0%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	39 11.1%	21 9.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	72 20.7%	70 29.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	128 36.9%	89 37.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	16 4.5%	15 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	6 1.7%	5 2.1%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	125 36.1%	56 23.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	52	30

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	15.0%	12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	79 22.9%	47 20.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	9 2.7%	9 3.8%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	11 3.2%	2 .9%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	195 56.3%	147 62.4%
	Total	582	347	235
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	63 18.3%	53 22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	108 31.2%	79 33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	42 12.0%	29 12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	16 4.6%	8 3.3%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	118 34.0%	67 28.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	24 7.0%	22 9.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	98 28.3%	82 35.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	94 27.0%	38 16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	66 18.9%	29 12.3%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	65 18.7%	63 26.8%
	Total	582	347	235
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	83 23.9%	50 21.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	116 33.5%	94 40.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	46 13.3%	24 10.3%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	19 5.5%	6 2.7%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	83 23.8%	60 25.3%
	Total	582	347	235
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	66	60

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.0%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	107 30.7%	80 34.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	17 4.9%	16 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	18 5.1%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	140 40.3%	78 33.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	79 22.8%	56 23.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	88 25.4%	49 20.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	11 3.3%	8 3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	7 2.0%	4 1.8%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	161 46.5%	118 50.3%
	Total	582	347	235

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6A. Police services	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	250	3	26	38	43
		43.0%	27.1%	52.0%	40.8%	32.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	4	16	33	54
		36.5%	35.7%	33.3%	34.7%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	0	2	13	14
		8.9%	.0%	4.6%	13.6%	10.7%
Very dissatisfied	28	0	1	4	11	
	4.8%	.0%	2.7%	4.4%	8.3%	
DK/NA	40	4	4	6	10	
	6.9%	37.2%	7.5%	6.6%	7.3%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	189	2	16	24	37
		32.5%	18.3%	32.4%	25.8%	28.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	0	12	27	47
		31.0%	.9%	24.5%	28.5%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	0	3	4	10
		4.3%	.0%	6.7%	4.0%	7.6%
Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	1	
	.4%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.0%	
DK/NA	185	9	17	39	36	
	31.7%	80.8%	35.2%	41.8%	27.2%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	316	7	28	59	53
		54.4%	62.8%	56.5%	62.4%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	0	18	19	66
		36.5%	.0%	36.0%	20.2%	50.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	1	7	5
		4.4%	.0%	2.5%	7.8%	4.2%
Very dissatisfied	19	1	1	7	7	
	3.3%	10.7%	2.5%	7.5%	5.4%	
DK/NA	8	3	1	2	0	
	1.4%	26.5%	2.6%	2.1%	.0%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	257	4	25	46	44
		44.2%	33.9%	50.4%	48.8%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	3	16	34	68
		41.7%	27.1%	32.3%	36.0%	51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	3	3	9
		5.3%	.0%	5.3%	3.3%	6.7%
Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	5	3	
	2.5%	.0%	2.5%	4.9%	2.3%	
DK/NA	37	4	5	6	8	
	6.3%	39.0%	9.5%	6.9%	5.8%	
6E. Library services	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	339	7	22	62	73

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	66	75	1
		40.1%	56.4%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	65	40	0
		40.0%	30.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	3	0
		12.0%	2.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	6	5	0	
	4.0%	3.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	10	0	
	3.9%	7.7%	.0%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	61	49	0
		37.5%	36.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	59	35	0
		36.3%	26.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	0	0
		4.8%	.4%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	
	.0%	.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	35	48	1	
	21.4%	36.1%	100.0%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	88	82	1
		53.9%	61.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	63	46	0
		38.8%	34.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	2	0
		5.9%	1.6%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	2	0	
	.6%	1.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	
	.8%	.7%	.0%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	68	70	1
		41.6%	52.8%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	75	47	0
		46.1%	35.2%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	6	0
		6.5%	4.3%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	3	3	0	
	2.0%	1.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	8	0	
	3.8%	5.8%	.0%	
6E. Library services	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	96	78	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	63.4%	45.7%	65.4%	55.9%
		174	4	15	17	48
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	33.0%	30.5%	17.7%	36.9%
		6	0	1	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	.0%	2.5%	.2%	1.1%
		11	0	3	0	2
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	9.1%	3.6%	15.5%	16.7%	4.4%
		53	0	8	16	6
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	24.2%	10.7%	37.5%	27.1%	20.4%
		279	4	21	44	69
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	35.7%	42.5%	47.1%	52.8%
		98	0	7	14	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.8%	.9%	14.1%	15.1%	18.8%
		55	3	3	8	9
	Very dissatisfied	9.4%	27.1%	5.9%	9.0%	6.5%
	DK/NA	10	3	0	2	2
		1.7%	25.6%	.0%	1.7%	1.5%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	35.9%	25.5%	47.3%	38.3%	24.7%
		269	2	16	40	76
	Somewhat satisfied	46.2%	21.9%	32.3%	42.1%	58.1%
		45	0	2	8	13
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.8%	.0%	5.0%	8.5%	10.0%
		23	0	6	0	7
	Very dissatisfied	4.0%	.0%	11.5%	.2%	5.0%
	DK/NA	35	6	2	10	3
		6.1%	52.7%	4.0%	10.8%	2.2%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	31.4%	52.5%	40.6%	36.9%	31.9%
		183	6	20	35	42
	Somewhat satisfied	45.6%	21.0%	32.1%	40.2%	49.4%
		265	2	16	38	65
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	.0%	13.9%	15.8%	12.8%
		78	0	7	15	17
	Very dissatisfied	4.9%	.0%	7.3%	5.8%	3.1%
	DK/NA	28	3	3	1	4
		4.8%	26.5%	6.1%	1.4%	2.8%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	1	7	15	18

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.8%	58.5%	100.0%
		55	36	0
	Somewhat satisfied	33.7%	26.9%	.0%
		2	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.9%	.9%	.0%
		3	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.0%	1.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	16	0
		4.5%	12.1%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	25.3%	20.4%	100.0%
		41	27	1
	Somewhat satisfied	45.1%	50.2%	.0%
		74	67	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.5%	18.9%	.0%
		27	25	0
	Very dissatisfied	11.3%	10.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	1	0
		1.8%	.6%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	37.0%	40.4%	100.0%
		60	54	1
	Somewhat satisfied	50.2%	39.8%	.0%
		82	53	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.0%	7.7%	.0%
		11	10	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.7%	6.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	8	0
		1.7%	6.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	8	0
		4.1%	6.1%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	28.2%	25.6%	100.0%
		46	34	1
	Somewhat satisfied	52.6%	44.1%	.0%
		86	59	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.3%	20.4%	.0%
		12	27	0
	Very dissatisfied	7.0%	2.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	4	0
		7.0%	2.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	9	0
		4.8%	7.0%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	29	16	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.6%	14.0%	15.5%	13.7%
		232	4	16	32	55
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	33.0%	33.0%	34.4%	42.2%
		82	3	6	13	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	27.1%	11.3%	14.2%	15.8%
		75	0	4	11	21
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	.0%	9.0%	12.1%	16.0%
		106	3	16	22	16
	DK/NA	18.3%	28.3%	32.8%	23.8%	12.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	1	18	39	30
		29.1%	10.7%	37.3%	41.7%	23.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	4	15	37	63
		43.3%	33.0%	30.9%	39.1%	48.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	10	11	28
		16.4%	.0%	20.1%	11.5%	21.1%
	Very dissatisfied	41	3	5	7	5
		7.1%	27.1%	9.2%	7.0%	3.5%
	DK/NA	24	3	1	1	6
		4.1%	29.2%	2.6%	.7%	4.4%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	1	16	32	36
		29.3%	10.7%	31.8%	34.5%	27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	2	18	31	68
		45.8%	15.6%	36.0%	32.7%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	3	7	20	13
		12.0%	27.1%	14.9%	21.2%	10.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	2	5
		2.6%	.0%	1.8%	1.9%	3.6%
	DK/NA	60	5	8	9	10
		10.3%	46.6%	15.5%	9.7%	7.5%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	6	14	24	29
		24.4%	52.5%	28.1%	26.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	2	14	26	58
		37.3%	18.3%	27.7%	27.9%	44.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	3	3	8
		5.3%	.0%	6.3%	3.4%	6.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	2	2
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	1.8%
	DK/NA	181	3	19	38	34
		31.1%	29.2%	37.9%	40.4%	25.6%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	82	2	5	8	13

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	17.8%	12.1%	100.0%
		75	49	0
	Somewhat satisfied	45.9%	37.3%	.0%
		21	19	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.7%	14.3%	.0%
		20	18	0
	Very dissatisfied	12.4%	13.4%	.0%
		18	30	0
	DK/NA	11.1%	22.9%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	50	30	1
		30.3%	22.8%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	72	61	0
		44.3%	45.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	24	0
		14.0%	18.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	10	0
		7.9%	7.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	7	0
		3.5%	5.5%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	46	39	1
		28.4%	29.3%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	82	67	0
		50.0%	50.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	9	0
		11.0%	6.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	0
		3.1%	2.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	12	16	0
		7.5%	12.0%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	38	30	1
		23.5%	22.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	71	46	0
		43.5%	34.7%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	7	0
		6.0%	5.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	1	0
		3.3%	.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	39	49	0
		23.7%	36.8%	.0%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	21	34	0

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.7%	9.8%	8.3%	9.6%
		127	0	8	7	24
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	.9%	15.8%	7.8%	18.2%
		18	0	1	1	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	.0%	2.4%	1.4%	3.3%
		13	0	2	1	3
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	.0%	3.5%	.7%	2.5%
		342	9	34	77	87
	DK/NA	58.7%	84.4%	68.5%	81.7%	66.4%
		582	11	49	94	131
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	116	2	16	23	14
		19.9%	18.3%	32.2%	24.4%	10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	9	30	47
		32.1%	.0%	18.8%	31.8%	36.0%
		71	3	5	7	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	27.1%	9.2%	7.9%	17.8%
		24	0	2	5	8
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	.0%	4.8%	5.4%	6.4%
		185	6	17	29	39
	DK/NA	31.8%	54.6%	35.0%	30.5%	29.3%
		582	11	49	94	131
6O. Managing land use	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	47	0	4	13	11
		8.0%	.0%	7.7%	13.8%	8.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	11	31	48
		31.0%	.0%	22.7%	33.1%	36.6%
		132	3	11	21	33
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	27.1%	21.7%	22.4%	25.3%
		95	0	7	16	17
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	.0%	14.8%	17.4%	12.8%
		128	8	16	13	23
	DK/NA	22.0%	72.9%	33.1%	13.3%	17.3%
		582	11	49	94	131
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	133	1	12	20	26
		22.9%	10.7%	23.4%	21.0%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	4	13	33	53
		36.2%	33.0%	25.4%	35.4%	40.6%
		71	3	7	14	13
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	27.1%	15.0%	14.8%	9.6%
		25	0	1	4	6
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	.0%	1.2%	3.8%	4.2%
		142	3	17	23	33
	DK/NA	24.4%	29.2%	34.9%	25.0%	25.4%
		582	11	49	94	131
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	126	3	5	19	24

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	13.1%	25.8%	.0%
		48	39	1
	Somewhat satisfied	29.4%	29.2%	100.0%
		6	5	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.8%	3.9%	.0%
		5	3	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.9%	1.9%	.0%
		83	52	0
	DK/NA	50.8%	39.2%	.0%
		163	133	1
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	29	32	0
		18.0%	24.1%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	62	39	0
		37.7%	29.2%	.0%
		22	10	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	7.9%	.0%
		6	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.4%	1.6%	.0%
		45	49	1
	DK/NA	27.5%	37.1%	100.0%
		163	133	1
6O. Managing land use	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	6	13	0
		3.8%	9.9%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	56	33	1
		34.6%	25.0%	100.0%
		42	22	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25.9%	16.6%	.0%
		26	28	0
	Very dissatisfied	16.1%	21.0%	.0%
		32	37	0
	DK/NA	19.5%	27.6%	.0%
		163	133	1
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	34	40	0
		20.7%	30.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	65	42	1
		39.9%	31.8%	100.0%
		22	12	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.5%	8.8%	.0%
		10	6	0
	Very dissatisfied	6.2%	4.2%	.0%
		32	33	0
	DK/NA	19.7%	24.7%	.0%
		163	133	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	43	31	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	25.5%	10.1%	20.6%	18.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	2	16	29	51
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.0%	19.2%	32.6%	30.4%	38.5%
	Very dissatisfied	33	0	4	1	12
	DK/NA	5.6%	.0%	7.6%	1.5%	9.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	135	3	13	21	25
	Somewhat satisfied	137	0	10	17	36
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	3	7	0
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	3	7
	DK/NA	280	8	23	46	63
		48.0%	72.0%	46.7%	49.4%	48.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	26.4%	23.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	58	31	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	35.5%	23.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12	4	0
	DK/NA	7.2%	2.8%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	37	35	0
	Somewhat satisfied	49	26	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	3	0
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
	DK/NA	70	69	1
		42.7%	52.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		F	F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D E F			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b		D	
	DK/NA	D E		E	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	E		E	
	DK/NA	C E F			.b
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D E F			
6E. Library services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b		D E	
	DK/NA				
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied		.b		
	DK/NA	C D E F			
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			B F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b	C E		
	DK/NA	B C D E F			
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D			
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA		D E		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		D	a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	F		a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA			a,,b
	Very satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied	.b		a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b
	Very satisfied		D	a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied	C		a,,b
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b
	Very satisfied		D	a,,b
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA			a,,b
	Very satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b
	Very satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied		E	a,,b
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b
				a,,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			DF	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	D			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA	B C D E			
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D E F			
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA		F	E F	F
	Very satisfied		D		
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA			E	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	C D E F			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA				
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	.b			
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b		E
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	C	C D	a,b
	Somewhat satisfied		C	a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied		D	a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,b
	DK/NA		D E	a,b
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,b
	Very dissatisfied		b	a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6A. Police services	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	250	1	20	94	60	33	38
		43.0%	70.0%	44.2%	44.8%	42.4%	29.2%	64.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	1	17	84	58	35	16
		36.5%	30.0%	36.9%	39.8%	41.5%	30.6%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	0	4	12	7	24	0
		8.9%	.0%	9.7%	5.7%	4.9%	21.4%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	28	0	1	4	4	13	3	
	4.8%	.0%	1.4%	2.1%	3.1%	11.5%	5.9%	
DK/NA	40	0	4	16	11	8	1	
	6.9%	.0%	7.8%	7.6%	8.1%	7.2%	1.6%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	189	1	10	58	54	39	26
		32.5%	38.8%	22.2%	27.5%	38.1%	34.6%	44.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	1	16	58	47	37	14
		31.0%	30.0%	35.3%	27.6%	33.7%	32.5%	24.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	0	0	9	6	7	2
		4.3%	.0%	.5%	4.1%	4.0%	6.5%	3.6%
Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	
	.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	185	1	18	86	34	29	16	
	31.7%	31.1%	39.3%	40.8%	24.2%	25.2%	27.5%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	316	2	24	110	79	63	36
		54.4%	96.2%	52.8%	52.1%	56.0%	55.5%	61.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	0	18	75	51	39	21
		36.5%	3.8%	40.1%	35.8%	36.4%	33.9%	35.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	2	10	9	3	2
		4.4%	.0%	4.3%	4.7%	6.7%	2.4%	2.7%
Very dissatisfied	19	0	0	10	1	8	0	
	3.3%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.7%	7.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	8	0	1	6	0	1	0	
	1.4%	.0%	2.7%	2.7%	.3%	.9%	.0%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	257	1	21	93	69	43	27
		44.2%	42.6%	47.7%	44.1%	49.3%	37.5%	46.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	0	17	91	59	49	26
		41.7%	.0%	37.8%	43.2%	41.7%	42.9%	45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	1	2	4	6	12	3
		5.3%	30.0%	3.5%	2.1%	4.0%	10.4%	4.5%
Very dissatisfied	15	0	0	7	1	6	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.9%	5.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	37	1	5	15	6	4	2	
	6.3%	27.4%	11.1%	7.2%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%	
6E. Library services	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	339	1	22	117	85	71	37

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6A. Police services	Total	12
	Very satisfied	4
		34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	2
		14.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		34.3%
Very dissatisfied	2	
	16.8%	
DK/NA	0	
	.0%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	2
		14.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	7
		61.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.9%
Very dissatisfied	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	2	
	13.3%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	12
	Very satisfied	3
		28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	8
		70.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		1.4%
Very dissatisfied	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	0	
	.0%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	12
	Very satisfied	3
		22.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
		6.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		35.7%
Very dissatisfied	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	4	
	35.8%	
6E. Library services	Total	12
	Very satisfied	5

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	61.8%	49.6%	55.4%	60.4%	62.6%	64.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	0 4.4%	12 27.2%	64 30.3%	44 31.6%	35 30.5%	13 22.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	0 .0%	1 3.0%	2 .8%	1 .8%	0 .0%	1 1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	3 1.5%	2 1.2%	3 3.1%	2 2.7%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	1 33.8%	9 18.9%	25 11.9%	8 6.0%	4 3.9%	5 8.5%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	1 36.2%	9 20.5%	55 25.9%	40 28.5%	22 19.0%	14 23.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	1 60.1%	22 49.4%	88 41.7%	74 52.3%	55 47.9%	33 56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	0 .0%	11 23.9%	44 20.7%	14 9.9%	19 17.0%	9 15.6%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	21 10.0%	13 9.1%	14 12.5%	2 4.1%
DK/NA	10 1.7%	0 3.8%	2 3.4%	3 1.7%	0 .2%	4 3.6%	0 .7%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	0 8.8%	17 37.0%	76 36.2%	62 43.9%	30 26.5%	23 39.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	0 .0%	17 38.1%	84 39.9%	69 48.8%	64 56.4%	31 53.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	1 30.0%	4 9.5%	22 10.4%	6 4.2%	10 8.8%	0 .6%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	0 3.8%	3 6.3%	9 4.4%	1 .9%	6 5.2%	4 6.9%
DK/NA	35 6.1%	1 57.4%	4 9.1%	19 9.2%	3 2.1%	4 3.2%	0 .0%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	2 91.8%	12 27.1%	69 33.0%	45 32.1%	30 26.7%	22 38.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	0 4.4%	20 44.6%	94 44.6%	64 45.4%	54 47.2%	28 48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	0 .0%	5 11.7%	33 15.5%	14 9.8%	18 16.0%	6 9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	0 .0%	4 8.2%	5 2.5%	10 7.3%	7 6.5%	2 2.7%
DK/NA	28 4.8%	0 3.8%	4 8.4%	9 4.4%	8 5.4%	4 3.6%	1 .9%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	86	0	7	22	19	11	26

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	41.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 49.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 7.1%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1 8.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	6 56.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 10.5%
	Very dissatisfied	3 25.3%
DK/NA	0 .0%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1 10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	4 35.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%
DK/NA	4 35.8%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1 9.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 47.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%
DK/NA	3 25.3%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	.0%	15.7%	10.6%	13.8%	9.7%	44.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	1	18	94	67	35	18
		39.9%	30.0%	39.2%	44.5%	47.3%	30.8%	31.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	0	5	36	16	20	5
		14.1%	4.4%	11.7%	17.2%	11.1%	17.2%	9.3%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	75	0	5	13	16	27	6
		12.9%	3.8%	12.2%	6.2%	11.2%	23.9%	11.1%
	DK/NA	106	1	10	45	23	21	2
		18.3%	61.8%	21.1%	21.4%	16.5%	18.4%	3.8%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	1	14	63	45	25	21
		29.1%	36.2%	30.5%	29.7%	32.3%	22.4%	35.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	1	19	88	63	50	24
		43.3%	60.1%	42.0%	41.8%	45.1%	43.8%	40.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	6	34	17	25	11
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		16.4%	.0%	14.4%	16.3%	12.3%	22.3%	19.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41	0	2	16	10	10	2
		7.1%	.0%	5.3%	7.5%	7.0%	8.8%	3.7%
	DK/NA	24	0	4	10	5	3	0
		4.1%	3.8%	7.8%	4.6%	3.4%	2.6%	.0%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	171	1	11	66	36	32	24
		29.3%	36.2%	23.5%	31.5%	25.6%	28.4%	41.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	1	22	84	79	52	25
		45.8%	60.1%	49.8%	39.7%	55.9%	45.6%	42.8%
6L. Youth sports fields	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	0	6	31	9	17	3
		12.0%	3.8%	13.0%	14.8%	6.1%	14.8%	5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	2	5	3	3	2
		2.6%	.0%	4.2%	2.5%	2.2%	2.6%	2.8%
	DK/NA	60	0	4	24	14	10	4
6L. Youth sports fields		10.3%	.0%	9.6%	11.5%	10.2%	8.5%	7.2%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	142	1	5	54	30	26	27
		24.4%	27.4%	10.0%	25.5%	21.0%	22.4%	46.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	1	14	69	55	51	18
6M. Senior Center programs		37.3%	60.1%	31.1%	32.6%	39.4%	44.8%	30.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	2	11	5	11	1
		5.3%	.0%	4.4%	5.0%	3.7%	9.6%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	2	4	3	1
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.9%	3.1%	3.1%	1.8%
6M. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	181	0	25	76	46	23	11
		31.1%	12.6%	54.5%	36.0%	32.7%	20.2%	18.8%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	82	1	15	26	21	14	4

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0
		2.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		.0%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	7
		58.7%
	DK/NA	4
		33.8%
	Total	12
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	1
		5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	6
		56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1
		9.0%
	DK/NA	3
		25.3%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	4
		32.5%
6L. Youth sports fields	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		34.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		1.4%
	DK/NA	3
6L. Youth sports fields		26.7%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		8.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9
6M. Senior Center programs		79.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
6M. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	0
		2.4%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	64.5%	33.5%	12.4%	15.0%	12.7%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	0	14	47	31	13	17
		21.8%	.0%	31.5%	22.5%	22.1%	11.0%	29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	0	0	4	2	12	0
		3.1%	3.8%	.7%	1.7%	1.4%	10.7%	.0%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	13	0	2	4	3	4	0
		2.2%	.0%	5.5%	2.0%	2.0%	3.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	342	1	13	129	84	71	37
		58.7%	31.8%	28.7%	61.4%	59.6%	62.5%	63.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	116	0	9	42	27	23	15
		19.9%	.0%	19.8%	19.8%	19.5%	20.3%	25.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	1	13	70	58	23	21
		32.1%	30.0%	29.2%	33.2%	40.9%	20.5%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	4	20	15	23	5
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		12.1%	.0%	8.3%	9.3%	10.4%	20.5%	8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24	0	2	6	4	8	3
		4.1%	.0%	3.8%	3.0%	2.8%	6.9%	4.5%
	DK/NA	185	1	17	73	37	36	15
		31.8%	70.0%	38.8%	34.7%	26.5%	31.8%	26.3%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	47	1	5	17	10	8	6
		8.0%	30.0%	11.2%	8.0%	7.0%	7.2%	10.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	16	71	53	23	17
		31.0%	.0%	35.4%	33.5%	37.8%	20.1%	28.8%
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	1	11	50	27	32	11
		22.7%	34.4%	25.4%	23.8%	19.2%	28.1%	18.3%
	Very dissatisfied	95	0	7	23	23	27	8
		16.3%	3.8%	16.6%	10.7%	16.2%	24.0%	13.9%
	DK/NA	128	1	5	51	28	23	17
6N. Neighborhood programs		22.0%	31.8%	11.4%	24.0%	19.8%	20.6%	28.6%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	133	1	11	43	34	20	23
		22.9%	38.8%	24.1%	20.5%	24.1%	17.3%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	1	17	70	56	46	20
6O. Managing land use		36.2%	30.0%	37.7%	33.1%	40.1%	40.3%	34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	6	25	14	16	6
		12.1%	.0%	12.8%	11.7%	10.1%	13.7%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25	0	1	6	6	10	2
		4.4%	3.8%	2.7%	2.8%	4.4%	8.6%	3.6%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	142	1	10	67	30	23	7
		24.4%	27.4%	22.7%	32.0%	21.4%	20.1%	11.4%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	126	1	8	33	33	20	29

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	4
		36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		.0%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	7
		63.4%
	Total	12
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	0
		.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
		12.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		39.2%
	Very dissatisfied	1
		10.5%
	DK/NA	4
		37.4%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0
		.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
		10.4%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	6
		55.3%
	DK/NA	4
6O. Managing land use		31.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		12.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		35.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		1.4%
6Q. Sports Center programs	DK/NA	4
		38.6%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	2

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	30.0%	18.1%	15.7%	23.6%	17.5%	50.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	13	67	45	43	11
		32.0%	.0%	29.3%	31.8%	31.8%	37.3%	18.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	0	0	9	8	12	2
		5.6%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	6.0%	10.9%	3.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	1	3	5	5	5
	3.3%	.0%	1.4%	1.4%	3.6%	4.3%	9.4%	
	DK/NA	217	1	23	98	49	34	10
		37.3%	70.0%	51.2%	46.5%	35.0%	30.0%	17.7%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	135	0	8	54	33	25	14
		23.1%	.0%	18.8%	25.4%	23.7%	22.2%	23.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	0	11	42	34	26	18
		23.6%	.0%	23.6%	20.0%	24.1%	22.6%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	1	7	2	7	1
	3.3%	.0%	2.9%	3.1%	1.4%	6.3%	1.8%	
Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	1	7	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.8%	5.7%	.0%	
	DK/NA	280	2	25	105	70	49	25
		48.0%	100.0%	54.7%	49.8%	50.0%	43.2%	43.9%

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	8
		70.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0
	.0%	
	DK/NA	1
		10.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0
		2.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	7
		62.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
	10.9%	
Very dissatisfied	0	
	.0%	
	DK/NA	3
		24.1%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6A. Police services	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	49 25.7%	1 48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	103 54.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 9.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	1 51.2%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	43 22.5%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	83 44.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.6%	1 51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	55 28.9%	1 48.8%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	79 41.9%
Somewhat satisfied		212 36.5%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.6%	0 .0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	1 51.2%
Very dissatisfied		19 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.8%	0 .0%
DK/NA		8 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%
Total		582	3	3	189	2
6D. Recycling program		Very satisfied	257 44.2%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	59 31.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	101 53.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	1 48.8%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	6E. Library services	Very satisfied	339	3	0	104

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6A. Police services	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	40	4	14	5
		37.5%	26.7%	55.7%	58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	28	6	7	1
		26.5%	41.1%	28.8%	12.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	5	1	1
		13.7%	32.2%	4.4%	11.8%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	37	6	13	4
		34.6%	40.5%	54.0%	53.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	41	4	2	1
		38.9%	28.7%	6.4%	11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	2	0	0
		4.8%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	50	8	16	8
		47.4%	50.4%	62.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	4	7	0
		40.7%	24.5%	26.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	1	0	0
		5.9%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
6D. Recycling program	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	45	5	15	6
		42.1%	33.8%	58.4%	75.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	47	8	6	2
		43.9%	49.2%	23.6%	24.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6E. Library services	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	60	8	19	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	0	0
		2.2%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	2	4	0
		4.8%	10.3%	18.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6A. Police services	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	12	4	92	14
		87.4%	39.9%	62.1%	62.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	4	42	6
		12.6%	38.5%	28.4%	26.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	5	3
		.0%	21.6%	3.1%	11.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	14	1	55	5
		100.0%	12.0%	37.0%	21.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	4	31	6
		.0%	38.5%	20.9%	27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	1
		.0%	.0%	4.1%	3.5%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	11	6	103	12
		79.0%	56.2%	69.5%	52.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	2	35	8
		21.0%	20.5%	23.3%	34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	5	1
		.0%	23.4%	3.5%	2.4%
6D. Recycling program	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	9	1	89	10
		64.2%	11.4%	60.3%	43.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	7	38	9
		35.8%	72.3%	25.7%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	1
		.0%	.0%	3.9%	5.2%
6E. Library services	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	14	5	89	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	13	3
		.0%	16.3%	8.7%	12.6%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3	3	8
	Somewhat satisfied	5	1	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	1	1	1
	DK/NA	2	0	3
		17.1%	6.6%	18.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3	0	7
	Somewhat satisfied	2	3	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	DK/NA	7	2	10
		59.5%	39.4%	56.1%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	7	4	9
	Somewhat satisfied	4	1	8
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
6D. Recycling program	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	6	3	6
	Somewhat satisfied	5	2	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
6E. Library services	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	5	4	11

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	100.0%	.0%	55.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	0	3	73	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30.0%	.0%	100.0%	38.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	6	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%
		11	0	0	3	0
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	141	1	0	32	1
	Somewhat satisfied	279	1	3	113	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	0	0	26	0
	Very dissatisfied	55	0	0	14	0
	DK/NA	10	0	0	4	0
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	209	1	0	47	1
	Somewhat satisfied	269	1	3	117	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	0	0	14	0
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	35	0	0	6	0
		6.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	183	3	0	45	1
	Somewhat satisfied	265	0	3	104	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	0	0	29	0
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	28	0	0	6	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	86	1	0	15	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	57.0%	50.4%	75.7%	71.0%
		32	7	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	30.1%	42.5%	17.6%	11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	6	0	0	0
	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	6	1	2	1
		5.5%	7.1%	6.6%	17.2%
	Total	106	15	25	8
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	24	4	15	3
		22.5%	26.7%	60.9%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	7	7	1
		43.4%	42.9%	28.0%	12.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	2	0	4
		19.3%	13.5%	.0%	46.2%
Very dissatisfied	16	3	0	0	
	14.9%	17.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	42	4	13	6
		39.2%	24.0%	51.8%	71.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	9	8	0
		42.3%	55.8%	32.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	2	0	1
		8.5%	9.9%	.0%	11.8%
Very dissatisfied	9	0	0	1	
	8.1%	.0%	.0%	17.2%	
	DK/NA	2	2	4	0
		1.9%	10.3%	15.3%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	31	5	14	3
		28.9%	33.4%	56.2%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	44	8	7	3
		41.6%	49.6%	28.5%	41.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	0	0	1
		19.1%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
Very dissatisfied	7	3	0	0	
	6.7%	17.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	4	0	4	0
		3.8%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	20	1	7	6

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	100.0%	45.6%	59.7%	43.3%
		0	3	33	9
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	26.5%	21.9%	37.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.4%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0
	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	
	DK/NA	0	3	25	3
		.0%	27.9%	16.6%	14.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	4	0	48	2
		30.1%	.0%	32.4%	9.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	7	56	11
		69.9%	66.4%	37.9%	48.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	30	3
		.0%	22.2%	20.1%	14.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	13	7	
	.0%	.0%	8.7%	28.4%	
	DK/NA	0	1	1	0
		.0%	11.4%	.9%	.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	9	0	68	8
		62.9%	.0%	45.5%	34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	9	50	5
		37.1%	88.6%	33.6%	20.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	13	1
		.0%	.0%	8.6%	3.5%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	7	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	
	DK/NA	0	1	11	10
		.0%	11.4%	7.7%	41.9%
	Total	14	10	148	23
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	5	1	55	11
		39.8%	11.4%	37.2%	46.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	8	60	8
		60.2%	78.4%	40.2%	35.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	1	15	0
		.0%	10.2%	10.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	9	1	
	.0%	.0%	5.7%	2.4%	
	DK/NA	0	0	10	4
		.0%	.0%	6.6%	16.1%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	2	3	20	2

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	43.8%	65.1%	61.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	1	5
		43.9%	10.2%	29.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	1	1	1
		12.2%	24.7%	6.1%
	Total	12	6	17
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	2	0	4
		13.0%	6.6%	22.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	5	6
		45.7%	78.2%	31.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	5
		37.6%	10.7%	28.5%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	3	
	.0%	4.5%	17.2%	
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		3.8%	.0%	.5%
	Total	12	6	17
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	2	3	6
		18.4%	52.8%	34.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	2	6
		74.7%	27.0%	37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	4
		7.0%	16.6%	22.8%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	
	.0%	3.6%	5.2%	
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	12	6	17
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	2	3	3
		18.7%	48.2%	18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	1	5
		42.1%	21.3%	27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	6
		27.3%	22.3%	35.1%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	2	
	11.9%	3.6%	13.9%	
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	4.5%	5.2%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	0	0	7

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	50.0%	.0%	7.9%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	0	3	90	0
		39.9%	.0%	100.0%	47.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	1	0	30	0
		14.1%	50.0%	.0%	15.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	75	0	0	26	0
	12.9%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	106	0	0	28	2
		18.3%	.0%	.0%	15.0%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	3	0	40	1
		29.1%	100.0%	.0%	21.1%	48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	0	0	107	1
		43.3%	.0%	.0%	56.7%	51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	0	32	0
		16.4%	.0%	.0%	16.9%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	41	0	0	8	0	
	7.1%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	
	DK/NA	24	0	3	2	0
		4.1%	.0%	100.0%	1.1%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	3	0	39	1
		29.3%	100.0%	.0%	20.7%	48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	0	3	109	1
		45.8%	.0%	100.0%	57.3%	51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	0	0	23	0
		12.0%	.0%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	15	0	0	1	0	
	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	
	DK/NA	60	0	0	17	0
		10.3%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	0	0	20	1
		24.4%	.0%	.0%	10.4%	48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	1	3	101	0
		37.3%	50.0%	100.0%	53.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	0	9	0
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	
	DK/NA	181	1	0	55	1
		31.1%	50.0%	.0%	29.2%	51.2%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	82	0	0	19	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	19.3%	9.4%	29.2%	75.3%	
		41	2	12	1	
	Somewhat satisfied	38.3%	16.1%	49.2%	12.8%	
		13	5	1	0	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.8%	32.6%	4.2%	.0%	
		19	4	0	0	
	Very dissatisfied	17.9%	23.7%	.0%	.0%	
		13	3	4	1	
	DK/NA	12.7%	18.2%	17.5%	11.8%	
		106	15	25	8	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	37	4	10	5	
		Very satisfied	35.2%	25.5%	40.7%	58.1%
			38	7	10	1
	Somewhat satisfied	35.7%	47.2%	41.3%	12.8%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	17.7%	7.1%	.0%	29.0%
			19	1	0	2
	Very dissatisfied	10.5%	9.9%	.0%	.0%	
		11	2	0	0	
	DK/NA	9.9%	10.3%	18.0%	.0%	
		1	2	4	0	
		106	15	25	8	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	36	4	7	3	
		Very satisfied	34.5%	26.7%	29.1%	40.9%
			30	8	13	3
	Somewhat satisfied	28.6%	49.2%	53.2%	41.9%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	20.1%	7.1%	6.6%	.0%
			21	1	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
		4	0	0	0	
	DK/NA	13.2%	17.0%	11.1%	17.2%	
		14	3	3	1	
		106	15	25	8	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	31	5	11	0	
		Very satisfied	29.0%	33.9%	42.5%	.0%
			38	6	6	3
	Somewhat satisfied	35.8%	41.6%	22.1%	40.9%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	6.7%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
			7	1	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
		3	0	0	0	
	DK/NA	25.3%	17.4%	35.4%	59.1%	
		27	3	9	5	
		106	15	25	8	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	11	1	3	1	
	Very satisfied					

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.4%	27.9%	13.3%	8.9%	
		9	4	53	6	
	Somewhat satisfied	69.9%	38.5%	35.8%	24.5%	
		2	1	22	5	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.7%	10.2%	15.1%	21.8%	
		0	1	16	2	
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	12.0%	11.0%	8.1%	
		0	1	37	9	
	DK/NA	.0%	11.4%	24.8%	36.7%	
		14	10	148	23	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	4	2	50	4	
		Very satisfied	30.1%	23.4%	33.6%	19.1%
			9	7	56	3
	Somewhat satisfied	69.9%	66.4%	37.6%	12.5%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	10.2%	16.8%	22.2%
			0	1	25	5
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	6.8%	33.6%	
		0	0	10	8	
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	5.3%	12.6%	
		0	0	8	3	
		14	10	148	23	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	5	0	55	7	
		Very satisfied	39.8%	.0%	37.3%	29.3%
			8	7	62	6
	Somewhat satisfied	60.2%	72.3%	42.1%	23.6%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	7.4%	26.5%
			0	0	11	6
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	7.4%	26.5%	
		0	2	5	1	
	DK/NA	.0%	16.3%	3.4%	2.4%	
		0	1	14	4	
		14	10	148	23	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	10	1	48	7	
		Very satisfied	76.9%	12.0%	32.2%	31.7%
			3	3	34	5
	Somewhat satisfied	23.1%	26.5%	22.8%	20.6%	
		Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	10.2%	5.9%	6.8%
			0	1	9	2
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	
		0	0	1	0	
	DK/NA	.0%	51.3%	38.5%	40.8%	
		0	5	57	9	
		14	10	148	23	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	3	0	35	2	
	Very satisfied					

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	2.7%	.0%	42.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	40.3%	48.2%	21.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.0%	18.3%	1.6%
	Very dissatisfied	25.9%	26.9%	10.5%
	DK/NA	25.1%	6.6%	24.0%
	Total	12	6	17
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	18.4%	48.2%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	53.0%	10.2%	29.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.2%	30.4%	36.5%
	Very dissatisfied	2.7%	4.5%	13.9%
	DK/NA	8.8%	6.6%	.5%
	Total	12	6	17
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	50.5%	.0%	16.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	22.6%	31.6%	66.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.9%	48.2%	1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	3.6%	14.9%
	DK/NA	7.0%	16.6%	.9%
	Total	12	6	17
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	22.1%	.0%	31.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	46.7%	13.7%	45.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.7%	.0%	5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	DK/NA	21.6%	86.3%	3.7%
	Total	12	6	17
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	3	0	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	.0%	.0%	31.1%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	58.7%	100.0%	100.0%	54.1%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	19.9%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	.0%	100.0%	41.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	31.8%	50.0%	.0%	32.3%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	8.0%	50.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	.0%	100.0%	41.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	50.0%	.0%	19.2%	51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	48.8%
	DK/NA	22.0%	.0%	.0%	18.6%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	22.9%	50.0%	.0%	13.3%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	.0%	.0%	48.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	.0%	.0%	13.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	24.4%	50.0%	100.0%	19.5%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	0	0	22	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	10.5%	9.4%	11.4%	17.2%
		14	2	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	13.6%	14.2%	17.5%	12.8%
		6	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		5	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		69	12	18	6
	DK/NA	65.2%	76.4%	71.1%	70.0%
		106	15	25	8
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	24	3	7	4
	Very satisfied	22.8%	18.8%	29.4%	47.2%
		36	2	9	3
	Somewhat satisfied	33.9%	10.3%	35.1%	40.9%
		17	4	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.5%	23.7%	.0%	.0%
		9	1	0	0
Very dissatisfied	8.5%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	
		19	6	9	1
	DK/NA	18.2%	39.3%	35.4%	11.8%
		106	15	25	8
6O. Managing land use	Total	8	3	3	1
	Very satisfied	8.0%	18.8%	11.4%	17.2%
		25	4	9	2
	Somewhat satisfied	23.4%	25.1%	35.1%	24.7%
		32	4	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30.1%	28.8%	17.5%	.0%
		22	3	0	0
Very dissatisfied	20.5%	17.0%	.0%	.0%	
		19	2	9	5
	DK/NA	18.0%	10.3%	36.0%	58.1%
		106	15	25	8
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	28	6	6	4
	Very satisfied	26.4%	36.6%	22.6%	47.2%
		34	0	9	3
	Somewhat satisfied	32.4%	.0%	37.2%	40.9%
		17	1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.7%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
		7	3	0	0
Very dissatisfied	6.2%	18.4%	.0%	.0%	
		21	6	10	1
	DK/NA	19.4%	38.3%	40.3%	11.8%
		106	15	25	8
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	27	3	6	5
	Very satisfied				

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.8%	.0%	23.5%	9.1%
		9	1	24	2
	Somewhat satisfied	69.4%	10.2%	16.2%	9.5%
		0	0	3	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	2.0%	6.7%
		0	0	3	1
Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	2.2%	3.5%	
		2	9	83	17
	DK/NA	11.7%	89.8%	56.2%	71.2%
		14	10	148	23
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	3	1	40	4
	Very satisfied	19.7%	12.0%	26.9%	15.6%
		3	3	37	3
	Somewhat satisfied	23.1%	32.4%	25.2%	11.6%
		0	2	16	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	16.3%	10.6%	18.9%
		0	0	5	0
Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	
		8	4	51	13
	DK/NA	57.2%	39.4%	34.2%	53.9%
		14	10	148	23
6O. Managing land use	Total	2	0	17	1
	Very satisfied	18.4%	.0%	11.7%	4.6%
		0	5	36	9
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	50.1%	24.5%	40.4%
		5	1	34	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36.2%	10.2%	22.8%	27.3%
		0	1	30	1
Very dissatisfied	.0%	12.0%	20.0%	2.8%	
		6	3	31	6
	DK/NA	45.4%	27.7%	21.1%	25.0%
		14	10	148	23
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	6	4	41	2
	Very satisfied	41.9%	39.9%	27.8%	8.9%
		5	3	47	4
	Somewhat satisfied	33.6%	32.4%	31.9%	16.8%
		0	2	15	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	16.3%	10.1%	21.8%
		0	0	5	0
Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	
		3	1	40	12
	DK/NA	24.5%	11.4%	26.7%	52.5%
		14	10	148	23
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	14	0	36	5
	Very satisfied				

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	22.6%	.0%	27.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.5%	48.2%	22.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.2%	6.7%	.5%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	46.8%	41.5%	49.8%
	Total	12	6	17
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	5.5%	.0%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	47.8%	13.7%	23.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.2%	61.8%	20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	2.7%	.0%	1.6%
	DK/NA	41.8%	24.5%	20.7%
	Total	12	6	17
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	2.7%	.0%	13.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%	48.2%	11.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.0%	24.9%	19.6%
	Very dissatisfied	20.7%	22.3%	8.3%
	DK/NA	33.5%	4.5%	47.0%
	Total	12	6	17
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	.0%	50.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	50.9%	3.6%	35.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.7%	49.7%	4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	26.8%	1.4%
	DK/NA	19.3%	19.9%	8.2%
	Total	12	6	17
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	2	2	6

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	50.0%	.0%	40.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	37.3%	50.0%	100.0%	37.2%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	23.1%	50.0%	.0%	12.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	.0%	.0%	39.6%	51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	48.0%	50.0%	100.0%	41.2%	48.8%
	Total	280	1	3	78	1

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	25.5%	17.3%	22.6%	58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.0%	27.8%	35.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.8%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7.3%	9.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	26.4%	30.8%	42.0%	41.9%
	Total	106	15	25	8
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	16.4%	33.8%	35.8%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.7%	20.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	47.6%	46.0%	64.2%	100.0%
	Total	50	7	16	8

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	100.0%	.0%	24.4%	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	2	36	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	22.2%	24.6%	28.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	3	4	0
	DK/NA	0	2	68	12
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	7.1%	27.9%	40.6%	27.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	11.3%	50.4%	12.0%	18.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	1
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	1.0%	3.3%
	DK/NA	81.6%	21.6%	46.3%	50.4%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	18.3%	41.6%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	1	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	42.6%	13.7%	32.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	1	1
	DK/NA	0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	4	1	5
	Very satisfied	34.1%	25.4%	30.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	12	6	17
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	3
	Very dissatisfied	27.8%	23.2%	15.2%
	DK/NA	9.9%	6.6%	27.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
	DK/NA	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	4	10
	DK/NA	62.2%	66.6%	56.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	E K	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	C K	.		.
	DK/NA	a	.		.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	G K	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	a	.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	K	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		K a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	K	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		K a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		C a
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	K	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	K L	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	.	.		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		K	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	.	.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA		.		
	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied		a	.	.
	DK/NA		.	.	.
	Very satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
6D. Recycling program	Very dissatisfied		C K	.	.
	DK/NA	a	a	C	.
	Very satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a
6E. Library services	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA			.	.
	Very satisfied			C E L	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	K	.
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied				a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	.	.
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA			.	.
	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied			.	.
	DK/NA			.	.
	Very satisfied				C E K L M
	Somewhat satisfied				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C E		C E	C
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	.	a		a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied	a			
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a		.
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied	.	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied			C E	
	Somewhat satisfied				
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied			C	
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied		a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		a
	DK/NA	.	.	C	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		a		
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		C
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied		a	C	
	Somewhat satisfied		K L		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied				C E K
	Somewhat satisfied				a
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied		K	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
6D. Recycling program	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	a	K a	a
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
6E. Library services	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		
	DK/NA		a	
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	a		
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
	Very satisfied			C
	Somewhat satisfied			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	E K L	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	E	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	K	a
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a		a
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	C	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	K	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very satisfied	a	a	K	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			a	
	Somewhat satisfied			.	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			.	a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA			C E	.
	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied			.	.
	DK/NA				.
	Very satisfied	C		C	a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA	I	I	I	.
	Very satisfied				.
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			.	.
	DK/NA				.
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied				a
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	.
	Very dissatisfied			.	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA				.
	Very satisfied		a		.
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied			.	.
	DK/NA				.
	Very satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied	C K	a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	a
					.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	L			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	.	a		C K
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA	.	.		C
	Very satisfied		.	C	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	C		
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied	C E K		C	
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		
	DK/NA	E K L	.		I
	Very satisfied	.	I	C	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		E
	DK/NA	.	a		.
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	a			
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	.	.		C
	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a		.
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.
	Very satisfied	a			a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	K		a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	C K		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.	C E	.
	Very satisfied	.	K		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		K	
	Very dissatisfied	a		C
	DK/NA	.		
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	C K
	DK/NA	.	O	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	.		
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		C K	
	Very dissatisfied		a	
	DK/NA			
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied		a	C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		
	DK/NA	.		
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.	
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	250	132	46	46	15	7	4
		43.0%	42.0%	44.3%	40.6%	48.7%	65.7%	46.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	123	35	39	11	2	3
		36.5%	38.9%	33.3%	34.9%	34.4%	23.1%	30.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	33	4	11	3	0	0
		8.9%	10.5%	4.2%	9.9%	9.1%	.0%	1.8%
Very dissatisfied	28	12	3	9	2	1	0	
	4.8%	3.8%	3.3%	8.1%	6.4%	11.3%	2.5%	
DK/NA	40	15	16	7	0	0	2	
	6.9%	4.8%	14.9%	6.6%	1.3%	.0%	19.0%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	189	85	41	45	13	3	2
		32.5%	27.0%	39.8%	39.7%	42.3%	24.7%	26.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	108	31	27	9	3	2
		31.0%	34.2%	29.9%	24.2%	29.0%	28.7%	26.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	7	8	8	1	2	1
		4.3%	2.1%	7.3%	6.9%	2.7%	18.1%	6.3%
Very dissatisfied	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	
	.4%	.3%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	185	115	24	31	8	3	4	
	31.7%	36.5%	23.0%	27.5%	26.0%	28.4%	40.9%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	316	168	50	64	23	6	6
		54.4%	53.2%	48.5%	57.0%	74.7%	53.1%	64.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	117	46	37	7	3	2
		36.5%	37.0%	43.9%	33.2%	22.6%	28.7%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	12	5	6	1	2	0
		4.4%	3.8%	4.7%	5.2%	2.7%	18.1%	1.8%
Very dissatisfied	19	12	2	4	0	0	0	
	3.3%	3.9%	2.3%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	8	7	1	1	0	0	1	
	1.4%	2.1%	.6%	.6%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	257	133	51	50	15	3	6
		44.2%	42.1%	48.7%	44.2%	47.6%	31.1%	69.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	132	44	47	15	3	2
		41.7%	42.0%	42.4%	41.3%	48.6%	28.7%	17.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	18	3	6	1	2	1
		5.3%	5.6%	3.0%	5.0%	3.8%	18.1%	13.4%
Very dissatisfied	15	8	1	5	0	0	0	
	2.5%	2.7%	1.4%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	37	24	5	6	0	2	0	
	6.3%	7.7%	4.4%	5.1%	.0%	22.0%	.0%	
6E. Library services	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	339	166	68	73	18	8	6

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	52.7%	65.2%	64.6%	58.3%	76.9%	65.0%
		174	105	27	32	9	1	1
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	33.2%	25.5%	28.3%	28.7%	13.4%	12.7%
		6	3	2	0	0	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	.8%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	9.6%	2.5%
		11	4	3	2	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	1.2%	2.8%	2.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
	53	38	5	6	2	0	2	
DK/NA	9.1%	12.1%	4.9%	5.0%	7.4%	.0%	19.8%	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	141	73	20	30	12	3	3
		24.2%	23.2%	19.1%	26.3%	39.4%	31.4%	32.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	148	58	49	15	6	3
		47.9%	47.0%	55.7%	43.4%	48.6%	53.3%	33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	55	16	21	2	2	3
		16.8%	17.3%	15.1%	19.0%	5.4%	15.3%	34.1%
Very dissatisfied	55	34	8	13	0	0	0	
	9.4%	10.7%	7.7%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	10	5	3	0	2	0	0	
	1.7%	1.7%	2.4%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	209	108	41	44	10	3	3
		35.9%	34.2%	39.4%	39.3%	31.7%	31.4%	36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	138	51	51	18	6	4
		46.2%	43.9%	49.1%	45.6%	60.2%	56.5%	44.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	26	10	7	2	0	1
		7.8%	8.2%	9.3%	6.1%	5.4%	.9%	11.8%
Very dissatisfied	23	14	2	6	0	1	0	
	4.0%	4.5%	1.9%	5.3%	.0%	11.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	35	29	0	4	1	0	1	
	6.1%	9.3%	.3%	3.8%	2.7%	.0%	7.3%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	183	96	30	35	14	3	4
		31.4%	30.4%	28.9%	31.2%	45.3%	31.4%	50.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	152	49	42	12	6	3
		45.6%	48.2%	47.6%	37.3%	40.2%	56.5%	37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	35	19	20	2	1	1
		13.3%	11.0%	18.4%	17.6%	5.2%	12.1%	11.8%
Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	13	1	0	0	
	4.9%	4.0%	2.2%	11.3%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	28	20	3	3	2	0	0	
	4.8%	6.4%	3.0%	2.6%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	86	49	13	13	4	4	3

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	15.6%	12.7%	11.3%	14.4%	35.9%	32.6%
		232	126	47	42	14	1	2
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	40.1%	44.8%	37.7%	44.3%	13.4%	20.0%
		82	46	15	17	5	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	14.4%	14.3%	14.8%	16.9%	.0%	.0%
		75	36	11	19	3	3	3
		12.9%	11.4%	10.5%	17.0%	9.3%	29.4%	32.0%
	DK/NA	18.3%	18.4%	17.6%	19.1%	15.1%	21.2%	15.4%
		106	58	18	22	5	2	1
		18.3%	18.4%	17.6%	19.1%	15.1%	21.2%	15.4%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		169	88	34	32	8	5	3
	Very satisfied	29.1%	27.9%	32.3%	28.2%	26.6%	46.7%	32.6%
		252	140	46	42	18	4	2
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	44.6%	43.9%	37.4%	57.4%	41.2%	17.9%
		95	44	19	25	4	1	3
Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	13.8%	18.4%	22.1%	13.3%	11.3%	30.7%	
		41	25	2	13	1	0	0
		7.1%	8.0%	2.1%	11.7%	2.8%	.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	4.1%	5.8%	3.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	18.8%
		24	18	3	1	0	0	2
		4.1%	5.8%	3.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	18.8%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		171	84	33	39	9	3	2
	Very satisfied	29.3%	26.7%	32.0%	34.5%	29.1%	31.4%	25.5%
		267	156	46	37	19	5	3
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	49.4%	44.4%	33.2%	61.6%	50.5%	34.2%
		70	35	11	20	1	2	2
Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	11.2%	10.2%	17.3%	2.7%	18.1%	19.8%	
		15	8	1	7	0	0	0
		2.6%	2.4%	.5%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	6.0%	3.3%	13	10	2	0	2
		10.3%	10.4%	12.8%	8.9%	6.6%	.0%	20.5%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		142	63	31	36	9	3	1
	Very satisfied	24.4%	19.9%	29.5%	32.1%	28.2%	26.0%	13.9%
		217	110	42	43	15	5	3
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	34.9%	40.7%	37.9%	48.7%	43.7%	31.1%
		31	15	5	6	3	2	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	4.6%	5.2%	5.2%	8.8%	18.1%	5.7%	
		11	3	3	5	0	0	0
		1.9%	.9%	3.0%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	18.1%	12.5%	22	23	4	1	4
		31.1%	39.8%	21.5%	20.6%	14.3%	12.1%	49.3%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	82	54	17	7	1	1	2

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	17.0%	16.2%	6.1%	4.0%	13.4%	25.3%
		127	86	18	11	7	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	27.4%	17.6%	9.7%	22.6%	13.4%	29.1%
		18	11	4	2	1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	3.4%	4.0%	2.1%	2.7%	.9%	.0%
		13	5	3	2	3	0	0
		2.2%	1.7%	2.8%	2.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	58.7%	50.4%	59.5%	80.2%	62.5%	72.3%	45.7%
		342	159	62	90	19	8	4
		58.7%	50.4%	59.5%	80.2%	62.5%	72.3%	45.7%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		116	54	22	26	8	4	2
	Very satisfied	19.9%	17.1%	21.1%	23.3%	25.2%	35.9%	26.0%
		187	105	32	32	14	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	33.2%	30.5%	28.2%	45.3%	13.4%	38.6%
		71	38	17	11	2	2	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	12.0%	16.1%	10.2%	6.1%	18.1%	8.1%	
		24	7	7	9	0	0	0
		4.1%	2.4%	7.1%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	18.5%	11.2%	26	34	7	4	2
		31.8%	35.4%	25.2%	30.5%	23.3%	32.5%	27.3%
6O. Managing land use	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		47	23	14	6	2	3	0
	Very satisfied	8.0%	7.2%	13.4%	5.0%	5.0%	28.7%	.0%
		181	106	30	21	18	2	3
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	33.8%	28.7%	18.8%	57.9%	20.6%	35.7%
		132	68	30	29	3	0	1
Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	21.7%	29.0%	26.1%	10.1%	.9%	13.2%	
		95	50	12	22	4	4	2
		16.3%	15.8%	11.7%	19.7%	12.2%	40.1%	25.1%
	DK/NA	12.8%	6.8%	18	34	4	1	2
		22.0%	21.5%	17.2%	30.4%	14.7%	9.6%	26.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		133	73	23	25	8	2	2
	Very satisfied	22.9%	23.1%	22.6%	21.9%	25.0%	20.6%	26.0%
		211	117	40	32	14	4	3
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	37.2%	38.0%	28.4%	47.2%	41.2%	33.6%
		71	39	9	15	3	2	3
Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	12.4%	8.6%	13.7%	8.8%	15.3%	29.5%	
		25	13	4	6	2	0	0
		4.4%	4.2%	3.6%	5.7%	5.2%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	14.2%	7.2%	28	34	4	2	1
		24.4%	23.0%	27.2%	30.2%	13.9%	22.9%	9.1%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	126	62	32	21	8	0	3

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	30.7%	18.3%	27.5%	.0%	32.6%
		187	97	29	38	13	7	2
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	30.9%	28.3%	33.9%	43.5%	60.3%	20.4%
		33	14	9	9	0	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	4.5%	8.9%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	8.1%
		19	12	1	5	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	3.7%	1.1%	4.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	217	130	32	41	7	4	3
		37.3%	41.1%	31.0%	36.2%	23.4%	39.7%	38.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
		135	67	24	31	10	1	1
	Very satisfied	23.1%	21.4%	23.0%	27.3%	34.3%	13.4%	7.3%
		137	72	19	31	9	5	1
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	22.9%	18.1%	27.4%	30.7%	49.6%	12.7%
		19	12	4	3	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	3.7%	3.5%	3.0%	.0%	.9%	2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	6	3	0	0	0
		1.9%	.7%	5.4%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	280	162	52	44	11	4	7
		48.0%	51.4%	50.0%	39.3%	35.0%	36.0%	77.6%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	91 45.4%	41 38.1%	91 40.5%	17 51.2%	2 52.1%	8 69.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	63 31.3%	47 44.0%	90 40.2%	9 25.8%	1 24.6%	2 18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	26 12.8%	6 5.8%	14 6.4%	5 14.8%	0 .0%	0 1.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	6 3.2%	5 4.2%	14 6.3%	2 4.7%	1 23.3%	0 2.0%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	15 7.3%	8 7.8%	15 6.6%	1 3.4%	0 .0%	1 9.4%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	66 32.8%	33 30.8%	69 30.9%	15 46.0%	2 50.0%	3 27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	64 31.9%	35 32.5%	67 30.1%	13 38.1%	1 24.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	12 6.0%	3 3.1%	8 3.7%	1 3.1%	0 .0%	1 5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	1 .5%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	59 29.3%	36 33.0%	77 34.4%	4 12.8%	1 25.4%	7 67.7%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	105 52.5%	59 55.0%	127 56.8%	18 52.8%	3 57.9%	4 37.5%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	70 34.8%	45 41.5%	79 35.3%	12 35.2%	1 24.6%	6 50.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	10 5.1%	3 2.9%	8 3.7%	4 11.7%	0 .0%	0 1.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	11 5.3%	0 .0%	7 2.9%	0 .0%	1 17.5%	1 11.0%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	5 2.3%	1 .6%	3 1.3%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	89 44.1%	46 43.1%	100 44.6%	18 52.9%	2 34.6%	3 25.7%
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	76 37.6%	54 49.7%	95 42.3%	12 34.4%	1 24.6%	6 52.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	12 6.0%	5 4.4%	9 4.0%	4 11.7%	0 .0%	1 10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	4 2.0%	0 .2%	9 3.8%	0 .0%	1 17.5%	1 11.0%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	21 10.4%	3 2.6%	12 5.3%	0 1.1%	1 23.3%	0 .0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	339	122	57	133	20	2	4

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	60.5%	53.1%	59.4%	59.8%	52.1%	36.3%
		174	53	37	69	11	1	4
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	26.3%	34.0%	30.7%	31.8%	23.3%	39.4%
		6	1	0	2	0	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	.7%	.3%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	13.0%
		11	4	3	2	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	2.0%	2.5%	1.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9.1%	10.4%	10.2%	7.8%	3.7%	24.6%	11.3%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	24.2%	31.1%	24.1%	18.5%	19.6%	32.5%	25.9%
		141	63	26	41	7	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	37.8%	49.6%	56.6%	36.4%	42.9%	74.1%
		279	76	53	127	12	2	8
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.8%	19.2%	16.9%	15.4%	19.7%	.0%	.0%
		98	39	18	35	7	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	9.4%	10.0%	8.3%	8.0%	19.5%	24.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	1.7%	2.0%	1.1%	1.4%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	35.9%	40.7%	40.5%	28.0%	42.3%	55.8%	40.1%
		209	82	44	63	14	2	4
	Somewhat satisfied	46.2%	36.8%	49.0%	55.7%	35.5%	.0%	50.5%
		269	74	53	125	12	0	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.8%	9.3%	4.4%	7.6%	10.1%	2.1%	9.4%
		45	19	5	17	3	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	4.0%	3.8%	2.0%	3.9%	11.9%	17.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	6.1%	9.4%	4.1%	4.8%	.3%	24.6%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	31.4%	36.1%	33.9%	24.7%	35.5%	55.8%	35.7%
		183	73	37	55	12	2	4
	Somewhat satisfied	45.6%	41.6%	46.7%	49.9%	44.5%	17.5%	33.4%
		265	84	50	112	15	1	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	11.7%	9.2%	15.7%	16.6%	2.1%	30.9%
		78	23	10	35	6	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	4.9%	5.6%	4.8%	4.3%	3.1%	24.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.5%	.3%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	23	22	26	12	1	2

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.6%	20.4%	11.7%	35.2%	32.5%	14.9%
		232	73	43	103	12	0	2
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	36.1%	39.6%	45.9%	35.4%	.0%	18.0%
		82	30	17	31	3	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	14.9%	15.7%	14.0%	8.2%	24.6%	1.4%
		75	24	10	33	4	2	2
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	11.7%	9.5%	14.8%	10.9%	40.8%	22.2%
	DK/NA	18.3%	25.7%	14.8%	13.6%	10.2%	2.1%	43.6%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	29.1%	34.2%	27.7%	24.9%	24.1%	50.0%	42.2%
		169	69	30	56	8	2	5
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	35.1%	48.5%	49.2%	37.3%	23.3%	45.6%
		252	71	52	110	13	1	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	17.6%	16.0%	15.5%	23.1%	.0%	3.1%
		95	35	17	35	8	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	7.9%	4.8%	6.3%	15.2%	26.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%	4.1%	.3%	.0%	9.2%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	29.3%	33.1%	29.4%	23.8%	45.5%	32.5%	21.5%
		171	67	32	53	15	1	2
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	33.8%	53.1%	54.3%	39.0%	26.7%	50.8%
		267	68	57	122	13	1	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	16.6%	6.4%	10.5%	15.2%	17.5%	1.5%
		70	33	7	24	5	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	2.2%	3.3%	2.7%	.0%	23.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	10.3%	14.4%	7.9%	8.7%	.3%	.0%	26.2%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	24.4%	31.1%	16.8%	22.8%	30.6%	.0%	1.4%
		142	62	18	51	10	0	0
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	32.6%	39.2%	37.7%	50.7%	50.0%	50.8%
		217	65	42	85	17	2	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	5.3%	6.5%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
		31	11	7	13	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	1.1%	1.2%	4.4%	1.2%	.0%	23.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	31.1%	29.9%	33.1%	32.5%	18.7%	26.7%	43.3%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	17	20	35	9	1	0

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	8.4%	18.4%	15.7%	26.0%	32.5%	1.4%
		127	20	26	66	10	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	10.0%	24.3%	29.7%	29.2%	24.6%	26.5%
		18	2	2	9	3	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	1.2%	2.2%	4.1%	10.0%	19.6%	.0%
		13	3	7	3	0	0	0
		2.2%	1.4%	6.3%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	342	159	53	110	12	1	8
		58.7%	79.0%	48.8%	49.0%	34.9%	23.3%	72.1%
	DK/NA							
		582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	116	50	19	36	8	1	2
		19.9%	24.8%	17.9%	15.9%	23.3%	32.5%	16.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	51	41	79	10	0	5
		32.1%	25.6%	37.8%	35.3%	31.0%	.0%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	26	9	26	7	1	2
	12.1%	12.8%	8.7%	11.6%	20.1%	17.5%	17.5%	
Very dissatisfied	24	7	5	7	3	1	0	
	4.1%	3.7%	4.2%	3.3%	9.9%	23.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	185	67	34	76	5	1	2	
	31.8%	33.1%	31.3%	33.9%	15.7%	26.7%	20.5%	
6O. Managing land use	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	47	17	13	13	3	1	0
		8.0%	8.3%	11.9%	5.6%	9.2%	32.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	56	42	69	12	0	2
		31.0%	27.7%	39.4%	30.7%	34.8%	.0%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	47	25	51	8	0	2
	22.7%	23.3%	23.0%	22.8%	22.6%	2.1%	15.8%	
Very dissatisfied	95	28	17	41	5	2	2	
	16.3%	13.7%	15.9%	18.4%	13.9%	40.8%	20.0%	
DK/NA	128	54	11	50	7	1	5	
	22.0%	27.0%	9.8%	22.4%	19.5%	24.6%	46.2%	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	133	43	28	50	8	1	2
		22.9%	21.6%	26.3%	22.4%	23.6%	32.5%	16.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	61	47	86	12	0	6
		36.2%	30.1%	43.5%	38.4%	34.9%	.0%	50.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	25	9	27	5	2	2
	12.1%	12.7%	8.2%	12.0%	15.5%	42.1%	20.2%	
Very dissatisfied	25	6	3	11	4	1	0	
	4.4%	2.9%	3.2%	5.0%	10.9%	23.3%	1.5%	
DK/NA	142	66	20	49	5	0	1	
	24.4%	32.8%	18.9%	22.1%	15.1%	2.1%	11.3%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	126	40	20	52	12	0	3

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	18.7%	23.1%	35.1%	.0%	26.1%
		187	62	40	61	15	3	6
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	30.8%	37.2%	27.3%	43.2%	57.1%	56.6%
		33	9	6	15	2	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	4.6%	5.2%	6.7%	7.0%	.0%	6.5%
		19	5	5	5	2	2	0
		3.3%	2.6%	4.8%	2.4%	4.7%	40.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	217	85	37	91	3	0	1
		37.3%	42.2%	34.2%	40.6%	10.0%	2.1%	10.8%
	DK/NA							
		582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	135	54	31	35	12	2	0
		23.1%	27.0%	28.8%	15.6%	35.7%	50.0%	1.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	43	33	51	8	0	3
		23.6%	21.5%	30.3%	22.8%	22.4%	.0%	27.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	4	1	9	2	1	1
	3.3%	2.1%	.6%	4.2%	7.0%	25.4%	13.0%	
Very dissatisfied	11	6	1	4	0	0	0	
	1.9%	2.8%	1.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	280	94	42	124	12	1	6	
	48.0%	46.7%	39.2%	55.5%	35.0%	24.6%	57.9%	

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6A. Police services	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	250	201	9	19	4	1	19
		43.0%	44.9%	35.2%	20.7%	47.9%	100.0%	43.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	150	11	44	3	0	14
		36.5%	33.5%	42.1%	47.2%	38.8%	.0%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	45	4	15	0	0	4
		8.9%	10.0%	15.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	10.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	189	141	4	23	3	1	16
		32.5%	31.4%	16.6%	24.3%	46.7%	69.2%	37.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	121	16	49	1	0	16
		31.0%	27.0%	61.7%	52.6%	19.8%	.0%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	24	0	3	1	0	1
		4.3%	5.3%	.0%	3.4%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	316	258	7	39	5	1	16
		54.4%	57.6%	28.7%	42.2%	67.8%	100.0%	35.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	145	17	50	0	0	25
		36.5%	32.4%	66.8%	54.1%	.0%	.0%	56.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	20	1	2	0	0	1
		4.4%	4.5%	4.4%	2.6%	3.2%	.0%	2.6%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	257	206	9	22	4	1	15
		44.2%	45.9%	34.2%	24.2%	47.9%	100.0%	35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	173	12	57	2	0	26
		41.7%	38.5%	46.1%	61.7%	33.0%	.0%	59.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	24	4	5	1	0	1
		5.3%	5.3%	15.0%	5.2%	15.8%	.0%	3.3%
6E. Library services	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	339	269	14	57	5	1	23
		58.2%	60.0%	53.8%	61.3%	71.4%	100.0%	52.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	189	141	4	23	3	1	16
		32.5%	31.4%	16.6%	24.3%	46.7%	69.2%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	24	0	3	1	0	1
		4.3%	5.3%	.0%	3.4%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6A. Police services	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	14	9	9	1	6
		23.2%	62.8%	18.5%	65.8%	100.0%	47.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	5	29	0	0	3
		7.0%	24.7%	60.2%	1.1%	.0%	24.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	0	4	3	0	1
		58.2%	.0%	8.2%	19.8%	.0%	7.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	11	7	8	0	7
		33.5%	48.2%	15.3%	57.0%	25.0%	58.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	2	23	2	1	1
		42.6%	7.2%	47.6%	14.0%	75.0%	7.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	14	20	7	1	12
		40.8%	65.6%	41.4%	54.0%	100.0%	98.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	5	25	2	0	0
		13.1%	21.9%	53.3%	18.9%	.0%	1.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	5.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
6D. Recycling program	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	13	18	8	1	8
		26.6%	60.9%	37.0%	60.7%	100.0%	62.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	22	2	0	2
		42.6%	26.6%	46.8%	13.6%	.0%	16.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	1	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	14.1%	4.9%	.0%	1.9%
6E. Library services	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	19	14	7	1	10
		28.6%	86.4%	29.2%	53.8%	100.0%	83.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	5	25	2	0	0
		14.3%	22.7%	52.1%	15.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	12	24	2
		87.4%	42.3%	31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	12	2
		12.6%	22.1%	30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	14.0%	15.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	20	1
		100.0%	35.2%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	16	0
		.0%	28.7%	2.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	0
		.0%	13.5%	5.1%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	11	29	3
		79.0%	52.3%	52.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	18	3
		21.0%	33.2%	45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	8.2%	2.4%
6D. Recycling program	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	9	29	3
		64.2%	52.4%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	15	2
		35.8%	26.2%	35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	13.9%	20.3%
6E. Library services	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	38	4
		100.0%	67.9%	57.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	59.9%	53.6%	61.8%	70.9%	75.7%	51.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	116	12	29	0	0	18
		30.0%	25.9%	46.4%	31.0%	.0%	24.3%	40.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	6	0	1	0	0	1
		1.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11	0	2	1	0	1
		1.8%	2.4%	.0%	1.8%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	48	0	4	1	0	1
		9.1%	10.6%	.0%	4.3%	13.2%	.0%	2.5%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	141	111	2	15	5	1	9
		24.2%	24.8%	7.9%	15.7%	66.5%	69.2%	20.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	204	23	51	1	0	17
		47.9%	45.6%	87.7%	55.0%	14.5%	6.5%	37.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	98	78	0	19	0	0	13	
	16.8%	17.3%	.0%	20.1%	3.2%	.0%	28.6%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Very dissatisfied	55	49	1	6	1	0	6
		9.4%	10.9%	4.4%	6.3%	15.8%	24.3%	12.8%
	DK/NA	10	6	0	3	0	0	0
		1.7%	1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	209	176	5	22	3	1	14
		35.9%	39.2%	21.0%	24.2%	41.0%	100.0%	30.9%
Somewhat satisfied	269	187	19	57	2	0	21	
	46.2%	41.7%	74.4%	61.8%	29.9%	.0%	48.8%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	45	41	0	4	2	0	2	
	7.8%	9.1%	.0%	4.8%	29.1%	.0%	5.3%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	23	15	1	5	0	0	6
		4.0%	3.4%	4.7%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	12.9%
	DK/NA	35	29	0	3	0	0	1
		6.1%	6.5%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	183	153	2	21	4	1	11
		31.4%	34.0%	7.8%	23.0%	47.9%	75.7%	25.4%
Somewhat satisfied	265	181	21	50	2	0	15	
	45.6%	40.4%	78.7%	53.5%	23.0%	.0%	35.3%	
Somewhat dissatisfied	78	63	4	18	2	0	9	
	13.3%	14.1%	13.5%	19.1%	29.1%	24.3%	21.6%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied	28	27	0	1	0	0	4
		4.9%	6.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	8.6%
	DK/NA	28	25	0	3	0	0	4
		4.8%	5.5%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	9.1%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	86	62	1	10	1	1	13
		14.8%	13.8%	3.8%	10.8%	14.3%	14.3%	29.5%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	37.3%	85.3%	30.2%	55.8%	100.0%	81.1%
		4	2	30	2	0	1
	Somewhat satisfied	55.7%	7.2%	62.9%	15.8%	.0%	7.7%
		0	0	0	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0	0	2	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0	2	2	4	0	1
	DK/NA	7.0%	7.5%	3.3%	28.5%	.0%	11.2%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	2	13	6	5	1	4
		25.2%	60.9%	12.7%	38.2%	100.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	32	7	0	4
		47.5%	26.6%	66.6%	55.8%	.0%	33.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	5	1	0	4
		27.3%	.0%	11.5%	5.9%	.0%	30.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	3	3	0	0	0
		.0%	12.5%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	1	12	8	7	1	7
		19.1%	53.4%	16.2%	54.0%	100.0%	55.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	6	38	2	0	2
		67.8%	29.4%	79.0%	17.9%	.0%	15.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	7.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	2	3	0	0
		11.7%	17.2%	4.9%	20.8%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	3	12	17	5	1	4
		40.8%	55.6%	36.3%	41.1%	75.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	24	4	0	5
		47.5%	27.2%	50.0%	30.8%	25.0%	41.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	1	0	3
		.0%	.0%	13.8%	7.3%	.0%	21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	0	1	0	0
		11.7%	17.2%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	1.9%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	0	7	4	2	0	6

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	100.0%	68.5%	67.5%
		0	11	2
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	20.2%	30.1%
		0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	2.6%	.0%
		0	5	0
	DK/NA	.0%	8.7%	2.4%
	Total	14	56	7
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	4	16	1
		30.1%	28.4%	14.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	26	6
		69.9%	45.9%	86.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	9	0
		.0%	16.1%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	9.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	9	23	2
		62.9%	41.2%	23.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	21	3
		37.1%	38.5%	45.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	2
		.0%	13.1%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	6.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	5	20	1
		39.8%	35.5%	16.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	19	3
		60.2%	34.0%	52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	15	2
		.0%	27.4%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
		.0%	2.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.6%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	2	10	1

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	13.9%	4.4%	11.1%	19.9%	69.2%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	153	16	41	1	0	12
		39.9%	34.1%	62.5%	44.5%	19.8%	.0%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	75	2	14	0	0	6
		14.1%	16.7%	5.9%	15.5%	3.2%	24.3%	12.8%
	Very dissatisfied	75	64	6	12	2	0	8
	12.9%	14.3%	22.8%	12.9%	29.1%	.0%	17.7%	
DK/NA	106	94	1	15	2	0	6	
	18.3%	21.0%	4.4%	16.0%	28.0%	6.5%	12.7%	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	169	133	6	22	3	1	12
		29.1%	29.7%	21.8%	24.0%	39.7%	69.2%	27.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	183	15	43	3	0	16
		43.3%	40.8%	58.4%	46.2%	43.9%	6.5%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	79	1	23	1	0	14
	16.4%	17.6%	4.7%	25.0%	16.4%	24.3%	32.7%	
Very dissatisfied	41	37	1	3	0	0	1	
	7.1%	8.3%	4.4%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	24	17	3	1	0	0	1	
	4.1%	3.7%	10.7%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	171	145	5	17	2	1	13
		29.3%	32.3%	17.5%	18.7%	21.2%	75.7%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	180	14	63	2	0	15
		45.8%	40.2%	54.3%	67.5%	29.9%	24.3%	35.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	58	4	8	3	0	11
	12.0%	12.8%	15.0%	9.0%	35.7%	.0%	25.7%	
Very dissatisfied	15	15	0	0	0	0	0	
	2.6%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	60	51	3	4	1	0	4	
	10.3%	11.3%	13.2%	4.8%	13.2%	.0%	10.3%	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	142	110	2	13	2	1	13
		24.4%	24.5%	8.4%	14.0%	26.8%	69.2%	30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	146	18	51	2	0	17
		37.3%	32.5%	67.9%	54.6%	23.1%	24.3%	38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	30	0	7	0	0	5
	5.3%	6.6%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	
Very dissatisfied	11	11	0	2	1	0	1	
	1.9%	2.4%	.0%	1.8%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	181	152	6	20	3	0	8	
	31.1%	34.0%	23.7%	21.9%	34.3%	6.5%	17.5%	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	82	60	2	9	0	0	9

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	.0%	32.9%	8.3%	15.7%	.0%	49.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	9	25	2	0	3
		44.3%	42.7%	52.7%	17.4%	.0%	22.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	4	0	0	0
		44.0%	4.7%	9.2%	1.1%	25.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	7	4	1	1
	.0%	.0%	14.6%	33.0%	75.0%	9.8%	
DK/NA	1	4	7	4	0	2	
	11.7%	19.7%	15.2%	32.7%	.0%	18.9%	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	10	10	5	0	6
		40.8%	45.9%	20.6%	36.4%	25.0%	47.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	9	31	4	1	3
		47.5%	41.6%	64.2%	32.5%	75.0%	24.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	2	0	4
	.0%	.0%	11.9%	17.2%	.0%	28.7%	
Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	1	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	3.4%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	3	0	1	0	0	
	11.7%	12.5%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	6	10	7	0	4
		25.2%	25.0%	21.1%	55.3%	25.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	12	26	3	1	6
		47.5%	55.0%	53.5%	26.5%	75.0%	50.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	7	0	0	0
	.0%	7.5%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	3	5	2	0	2	
	27.3%	12.5%	10.6%	18.2%	.0%	13.1%	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	11	4	6	0	3
		37.3%	47.9%	7.7%	42.4%	.0%	23.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	4	31	1	1	3
		46.1%	20.0%	65.3%	10.8%	75.0%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0	1	0	0
	11.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	7	11	5	0	6	
	4.9%	32.1%	23.5%	40.8%	25.0%	50.2%	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	0	3	4	4	0	1

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.4%	17.8%	8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	20	2
		69.9%	35.6%	34.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	8	0
		11.7%	14.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	12	3
	.0%	21.8%	38.7%	
DK/NA	0	6	1	
	.0%	10.4%	18.1%	
Total		14	56	7
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	4	22	2
		30.1%	39.3%	33.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	22	3
		69.9%	40.2%	38.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	13.8%	12.7%
Very dissatisfied	0	4	0	
	.0%	6.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	15.4%	
Total		14	56	7
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	5	24	2
		39.8%	42.5%	24.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	8	5
		60.2%	14.5%	70.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	20	0
		.0%	36.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	
	.0%	2.2%	2.4%	
DK/NA	0	3	0	
	.0%	4.5%	2.4%	
Total		14	56	7
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	10	15	1
		76.9%	26.4%	14.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	20	3
		23.1%	35.4%	45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.4%	5.1%
Very dissatisfied	0	2	0	
	.0%	4.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	15	2	
	.0%	26.8%	35.4%	
Total		14	56	7
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	3	3	0

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	13.4%	7.5%	10.2%	.0%	24.3%	20.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	76	9	28	0	1	5
		21.8%	17.0%	36.1%	30.6%	.0%	69.2%	12.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	15	0	4	0	0	0
		3.1%	3.4%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	13	0	3	1	0	1
	2.2%	2.9%	.0%	2.8%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	342	284	15	49	6	0	28	
	58.7%	63.3%	56.3%	52.6%	84.2%	6.5%	65.0%	
Total		582	448	26	93	7	1	44
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	86	2	12	3	1	11
		19.9%	19.1%	8.4%	12.6%	46.7%	69.2%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	119	11	45	0	0	11
		32.1%	26.4%	43.7%	48.4%	.0%	24.3%	25.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	61	5	12	2	0	9
		12.1%	13.6%	20.9%	12.9%	29.1%	.0%	19.5%
Very dissatisfied	24	21	1	4	0	0	3	
	4.1%	4.7%	4.4%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	
DK/NA	185	162	6	20	2	0	11	
	31.8%	36.2%	22.5%	22.0%	24.3%	6.5%	24.2%	
Total		582	448	26	93	7	1	44
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	32	0	6	1	0	4
		8.0%	7.2%	.0%	6.6%	19.9%	.0%	9.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	120	13	33	2	0	7
		31.0%	26.8%	51.5%	35.4%	28.0%	30.8%	16.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	104	1	23	2	0	17
		22.7%	23.2%	3.5%	25.3%	23.0%	.0%	38.7%
Very dissatisfied	95	84	9	11	2	0	10	
	16.3%	18.8%	36.0%	11.7%	29.1%	.0%	22.5%	
DK/NA	128	108	2	19	0	1	6	
	22.0%	24.1%	9.1%	21.0%	.0%	69.2%	13.5%	
Total		582	448	26	93	7	1	44
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	100	2	16	2	1	11
		22.9%	22.4%	7.4%	16.8%	24.4%	75.7%	25.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	148	12	39	1	0	12
		36.2%	33.0%	45.6%	42.1%	19.8%	24.3%	28.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	59	5	12	0	0	10
		12.1%	13.2%	18.1%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	22.8%
Very dissatisfied	25	24	1	6	2	0	1	
	4.4%	5.4%	4.4%	6.2%	29.1%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	142	117	6	20	2	0	9	
	24.4%	26.0%	24.4%	21.6%	26.8%	.0%	21.2%	
Total		582	448	26	93	7	1	44
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	94	0	14	0	1	12

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	.0%	12.8%	8.1%	32.7%	25.0%	11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	3	25	2	1	3
		1.4%	14.7%	52.5%	13.6%	75.0%	22.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	7	16	17	6	0	8
		98.6%	72.4%	35.9%	46.1%	.0%	66.6%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	7	4	5	0	6
		23.2%	33.2%	7.8%	39.9%	.0%	44.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	8	22	2	1	3
		11.7%	34.6%	46.1%	18.1%	100.0%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	2	0	0
	.0%	.0%	12.4%	12.3%	.0%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	7	16	4	0	4
		65.1%	32.2%	33.8%	29.7%	.0%	28.7%
6O. Managing land use	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	3	3	3	0	1
		21.7%	12.8%	6.8%	19.7%	.0%	11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	8	21	2	0	2
		46.1%	34.6%	44.3%	13.3%	25.0%	16.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	4	5	2	1	0
	17.0%	19.7%	11.3%	15.8%	75.0%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	8	1	0	2
		.0%	.0%	15.9%	7.3%	.0%	19.1%
	DK/NA	1	7	10	6	0	7
		15.2%	32.8%	21.7%	43.9%	.0%	53.5%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	6	7	4	0	4
		33.5%	25.4%	13.7%	27.2%	25.0%	30.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	8	24	3	0	5
		8.4%	36.9%	49.5%	24.2%	.0%	40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	4	1	1	0
	15.6%	.0%	9.1%	5.9%	75.0%	.0%	
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	1	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	2.4%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	8	12	5	0	4
		.0%	37.7%	25.2%	35.3%	.0%	28.7%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	6	2	5	0	6

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.8%	5.1%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	8	1
		69.4%	14.7%	14.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	6	0
		.0%	10.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
	.0%	4.5%	.0%	
	DK/NA	2	36	6
		11.7%	64.7%	86.0%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	3	11	0
		19.7%	20.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	15	4
		23.1%	26.3%	63.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	17	1
	.0%	30.1%	10.2%	
	Very dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	9.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	8	2
		57.2%	13.9%	26.7%
6O. Managing land use	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	2	6	0
		18.4%	10.6%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	17	3
		.0%	29.8%	48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	9	0
	36.2%	16.5%	2.4%	
	Very dissatisfied	0	14	3
		.0%	25.1%	49.3%
	DK/NA	6	10	0
		45.4%	18.0%	.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	6	18	1
		41.9%	32.2%	20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	18	4
		33.6%	32.3%	54.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	10	1
	.0%	17.7%	20.5%	
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	6	0
		24.5%	10.2%	4.9%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	14	2

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	20.9%	.0%	15.0%	.0%	69.2%	28.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	114	14	38	2	0	20
		32.0%	25.5%	54.0%	40.5%	23.1%	24.3%	44.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	32	1	8	0	0	1
		5.6%	7.2%	4.4%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	18	1	1	1	0	1
	3.3%	4.0%	4.4%	1.2%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	
	DK/NA	217	190	10	32	4	0	9
		37.3%	42.4%	37.2%	34.5%	61.1%	6.5%	21.6%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135	115	1	12	6	0	5
		23.1%	25.7%	3.1%	13.0%	79.7%	.0%	11.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	77	16	40	0	0	15
		23.6%	17.2%	59.4%	43.4%	.0%	24.3%	33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	17	0	5	0	0	1
		3.3%	3.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
Very dissatisfied	11	9	0	0	1	0	3	
	1.9%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	6.4%	
	DK/NA	280	229	10	36	0	1	20
		48.0%	51.1%	37.5%	38.7%	4.5%	75.7%	46.2%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	25.4%	4.3%	35.0%	25.0%	51.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	8	25	2	1	0
		42.6%	35.0%	53.1%	12.2%	75.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	2	9	20	7	0	6
		35.7%	39.6%	42.6%	52.8%	.0%	48.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	9	3	5	1	0
		21.7%	40.3%	7.0%	38.9%	75.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	0	23	1	0	1
		.0%	.0%	48.2%	9.8%	.0%	9.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	5	13	21	7	0	11
		78.3%	59.7%	44.8%	51.3%	25.0%	90.2%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	100.0%	24.6%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	20	3
		.0%	36.3%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	3	0
		.0%	6.1%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
	.0%	4.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	0	16	2
		.0%	29.0%	23.3%
	Total	14	56	7
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	1	11	0
		7.1%	19.8%	4.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	8	4
		11.3%	14.8%	53.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	6	0
		.0%	10.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	4	0	
	.0%	7.6%	.0%	
	DK/NA	11	27	3
		81.6%	47.8%	42.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C I				a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	DK/NA		.b			a	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied		A H	A H		a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied		A L	A L	.b	a,b	A L
	Somewhat satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b	.b	A	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	.b
	DK/NA					a,b	
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	C		A N		a,b	N
	Somewhat satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	.b
	DK/NA					a	
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	I		I	.b	a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		A D F H L N		B	a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	.b
	DK/NA					a,b	.b
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied		L	A		a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	A
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied		A F H N			a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a	
	DK/NA					a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		C I		C	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A J N		a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	A I	.b			a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied					a,b	B C F I
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	A F N	.b	.b		a,b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	A C	.b	A C	a,b	.b
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6E. Library services	Very satisfied		I			a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A C H L N	.b	a,b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		A B C I			a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b		.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b		.b	a,b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	A		.b	a,b	.b
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A H J L N	.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	A
	DK/NA				N	a	.b
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied		B			a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b		a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b		a,b	
	DK/NA	.b		.b		a	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					a,b	A B C I
	Somewhat satisfied					a	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a	
	DK/NA					a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C I		
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		C
	DK/NA	.b		
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied		C	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	.b	I	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	I		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	DK/NA				.b	a,b	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	N	N	A F N		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	DK/NA					a,b	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied		A	A		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied				.b	a	
	Somewhat satisfied			A	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA	I M				a	M
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied			A	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a	
	DK/NA	N	.b			a,b	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA		.b			a,b	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied		.b		.b	a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied				B C F I M N	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied		A N	A N	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	DK/NA			.b		a,b	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	N	N	.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied		C I			a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b	A H J		a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	.b	.b	A F N		a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA	M	M			a,b	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	A C F I	.b			a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	A	.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	.b	I			a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	A N	.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,b	
	DK/NA					a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	N		N
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	A C	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	A B C I N		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	A F N		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	A	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA		M	.b
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	A	
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	N		.b
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA			.b
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA			
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6A. Police services	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	250	25	24	16	19	11
		43.0%	41.0%	45.5%	54.1%	51.7%	33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	32	25	10	5	11
		36.5%	52.2%	48.0%	33.9%	13.5%	33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	2	0	0	4	5
		8.9%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	14.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	189	13	23	11	9	13
		32.5%	22.0%	44.5%	37.9%	23.7%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	32	21	7	14	10
		31.0%	53.7%	41.2%	23.5%	39.3%	30.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	1	0	0	1	0
		4.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	316	25	30	17	20	14
		54.4%	42.2%	57.6%	59.3%	54.6%	44.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	34	16	11	12	14
		36.5%	56.7%	31.8%	38.1%	32.3%	44.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	1	1	1	0
		4.4%	.0%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	.0%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	257	22	29	19	16	10
		44.2%	36.3%	56.7%	63.5%	42.5%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	29	16	6	12	15
		41.7%	48.8%	31.1%	19.2%	32.1%	45.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	1	3	0	5	2
		5.3%	1.9%	5.8%	.3%	13.8%	6.4%
6E. Library services	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	339	20	28	20	21	21
		58.2%	33.3%	53.8%	68.9%	56.8%	65.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	34	16	11	12	14
		36.5%	56.7%	31.8%	38.1%	32.3%	44.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	1	1	1	0
		4.4%	.0%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	25	16	16	41	59
	Somewhat satisfied	11	19	9	48	43
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	3	1	19	14
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	5	12	2
	DK/NA	1	1	3	13	8
		2.8%	1.9%	7.7%	9.7%	6.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	22	14	15	34	36
	Somewhat satisfied	7	6	8	46	29
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	3	0	10	8
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	11	16	11	41	54
		26.2%	41.4%	32.8%	30.8%	42.6%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	33	26	14	68	70
	Somewhat satisfied	6	12	18	44	44
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	2	14	5
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	6	4
	DK/NA	0	0	0	1	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	2.2%
6D. Recycling program	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	27	22	15	49	49
	Somewhat satisfied	13	15	13	62	61
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	11	6
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	5	2
	DK/NA	1	0	1	6	8
		2.8%	.0%	4.0%	4.3%	6.7%
6E. Library services	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	32	29	16	86	67

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	33.6%	53.5%	67.3%	58.2%	64.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	31	19	6	13	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30.0%	51.8%	37.2%	21.2%	36.2%	30.8%
	Very dissatisfied	6	1	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	1.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		11	1	0	0	1	0
		1.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	141	9	16	12	8	8
	Somewhat satisfied	279	41	30	13	14	14
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	7	5	2	5	6
	Very dissatisfied	55	4	1	2	6	3
	DK/NA	10	0	0	0	3	0
		1.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.9%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	209	15	22	13	11	12
	Somewhat satisfied	269	35	20	12	15	15
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	5	3	1	2	4
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	1	2	6	0
	DK/NA	35	6	6	1	4	2
		6.1%	9.3%	11.4%	3.5%	9.5%	5.2%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	183	13	19	10	15	6
	Somewhat satisfied	265	35	28	15	12	19
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	4	2	3	7	4
	Very dissatisfied	28	2	2	0	1	0
	DK/NA	28	6	1	1	3	2
		4.8%	10.2%	1.2%	3.0%	7.8%	5.8%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	86	7	4	7	5	1

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	77.2%	75.4%	46.2%	64.9%	52.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	18.0%	12.9%	43.6%	23.4%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.6%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.1%	4.6%
	DK/NA	2.3%	11.7%	5.5%	9.7%	12.2%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	37.8%	22.8%	18.6%	15.1%	30.1%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%	55.0%	37.5%	55.4%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	14.8%	31.9%	17.5%	21.8%
	Very dissatisfied	18.3%	7.3%	11.9%	8.2%	10.5%
	DK/NA	2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.2%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	39.5%	58.9%	38.6%	29.0%	36.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	43.4%	38.1%	45.0%	55.5%	40.6%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.2%	.9%	.0%	9.7%	11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.4%	12.8%	4.0%	4.0%
	DK/NA	9.9%	1.7%	3.6%	1.8%	7.4%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	42.0%	44.3%	42.8%	26.3%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	43.0%	35.6%	29.6%	46.2%	42.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	13.4%	18.1%	15.2%	16.8%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	6.4%	9.3%	8.8%	3.8%
	DK/NA	.4%	.4%	.3%	3.5%	8.4%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	42.0%	44.3%	42.8%	26.3%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	43.0%	35.6%	29.6%	46.2%	42.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	13.4%	18.1%	15.2%	16.8%
	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	6.4%	9.3%	8.8%	3.8%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	8	7	14	9	25

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.1%	8.6%	24.0%	13.4%	1.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	59.9%	63.3%	39.2%	29.6%	48.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	9.0%	2.6%	15.7%	10.5%	18.1%
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	1.5%	5.0%	2.2%	20.8%	18.7%
	DK/NA	18.3%	18.5%	20.6%	18.9%	25.7%	12.7%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	29.1%	19.4%	33.2%	43.5%	36.2%	12.6%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	64.3%	46.7%	36.8%	34.3%	58.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	8.1%	.2%	10.6%	13.9%	21.8%
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	.0%	8.9%	.3%	7.7%	1.8%
	DK/NA	4.1%	8.2%	10.9%	8.8%	7.8%	5.2%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	29.3%	21.6%	36.3%	43.6%	37.1%	18.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	52.9%	49.1%	35.9%	32.5%	57.6%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	9.4%	6.7%	11.7%	19.7%	12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	.2%	3.5%	2.7%	.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	10.3%	16.0%	4.5%	6.2%	10.8%	10.9%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	24.4%	10.8%	20.4%	32.3%	21.4%	11.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	63.9%	40.0%	27.7%	33.5%	49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	1.1%	3.2%	2.2%	2.5%	5.7%
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	31.1%	23.9%	36.4%	37.7%	39.4%	33.1%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	24.4%	10.8%	20.4%	32.3%	21.4%	11.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	63.9%	40.0%	27.7%	33.5%	49.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	1.1%	3.2%	2.2%	2.5%	5.7%
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	82	12	7	7	4	5

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.7%	17.3%	42.3%	7.0%	19.7%
		12	20	11	45	37
	Somewhat satisfied	30.2%	51.4%	32.6%	34.3%	29.1%
		12	4	3	20	22
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28.2%	11.0%	9.3%	15.4%	17.3%
		5	5	3	29	16
	Very dissatisfied	11.5%	13.8%	8.0%	21.6%	12.4%
	5	2	3	29	27	
DK/NA	11.5%	6.5%	7.8%	21.6%	21.4%	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	14	12	14	37	33
		34.4%	30.9%	42.6%	27.8%	26.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	15	10	6	54	61
		36.5%	26.4%	19.3%	40.6%	48.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	14	10	24	21
		17.3%	36.4%	29.4%	18.0%	16.3%
Very dissatisfied	5	2	3	14	10	
	11.8%	6.4%	7.8%	10.4%	7.6%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	4	2	
	.0%	.0%	.9%	3.2%	1.4%	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	11	15	15	37	29
		25.7%	39.7%	43.3%	28.1%	23.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	24	14	11	55	65
		58.0%	35.3%	33.5%	41.6%	51.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	6	4	18	14
		10.6%	15.8%	11.8%	13.3%	11.2%
Very dissatisfied	0	2	1	6	3	
	.4%	6.4%	1.7%	4.9%	2.1%	
DK/NA	2	1	3	16	16	
	5.3%	2.7%	9.6%	12.2%	12.6%	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	20	8	12	36	28
		48.7%	20.2%	35.4%	27.5%	22.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	10	15	13	42	41
		24.5%	38.3%	39.5%	31.9%	32.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	15	10
		.4%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	8.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	2	0	4	2	
	.0%	6.4%	.9%	3.3%	1.9%	
DK/NA	11	13	8	34	45	
	26.5%	35.1%	24.2%	26.0%	35.3%	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	6	0	5	11	25

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	20.4%	13.3%	25.5%	10.8%	14.4%
		127	29	16	4	8	11
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	48.3%	30.9%	14.3%	20.6%	34.9%
		18	0	2	0	2	0
		3.1%	.5%	3.4%	.3%	6.2%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	1	1	0	2	0
		2.2%	1.4%	2.1%	.0%	4.9%	.9%
Very dissatisfied	342	18	26	17	21	16	
	58.7%	29.5%	50.2%	59.9%	57.5%	49.8%	
DK/NA	582	60	52	29	37	32	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	116	13	16	13	6	3
		19.9%	21.3%	31.4%	43.0%	15.5%	8.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	27	19	6	12	20
		32.1%	44.9%	37.4%	20.6%	31.5%	61.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	5	4	0	4	1
		12.1%	7.9%	7.6%	.8%	11.1%	2.7%
Very dissatisfied	24	0	2	1	2	0	
	4.1%	.0%	3.8%	2.2%	5.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	185	16	10	10	14	9	
	31.8%	25.8%	19.8%	33.4%	36.7%	27.3%	
6O. Managing land use	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	47	8	2	6	2	2
		8.0%	13.2%	2.9%	20.1%	4.2%	5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	27	27	5	9	9
		31.0%	45.0%	52.3%	18.3%	23.7%	29.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	8	5	6	9	13
		22.7%	13.2%	9.3%	21.3%	24.9%	40.6%
Very dissatisfied	95	3	5	3	6	1	
	16.3%	5.1%	8.8%	11.2%	15.8%	4.3%	
DK/NA	128	14	14	8	12	7	
	22.0%	23.4%	26.6%	29.0%	31.4%	20.9%	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	133	11	14	8	10	4
		22.9%	17.7%	27.9%	28.9%	28.5%	13.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	35	19	11	8	10
		36.2%	58.4%	36.7%	38.2%	20.9%	30.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	5	1	2	5	10
		12.1%	8.3%	1.8%	7.6%	12.9%	29.8%
Very dissatisfied	25	1	1	0	1	0	
	4.4%	1.3%	1.7%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	142	9	16	7	13	8	
	24.4%	14.4%	31.8%	25.2%	34.1%	26.0%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	126	10	13	8	6	3

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	15.6%	.4%	14.5%	8.2%	19.6%
		10	11	6	12	20
	Somewhat satisfied	24.1%	28.9%	17.6%	8.9%	15.7%
		0	3	1	7	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	7.4%	1.7%	5.4%	2.5%
		1	0	2	1	5
	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	.0%	6.0%	.9%	3.7%
	24	24	20	101	74	
DK/NA	57.8%	63.2%	60.2%	76.6%	58.5%	
	41	38	34	132	126	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	7	7	6	17	28
	Very satisfied	17.7%	18.5%	16.6%	13.1%	22.5%
		13	6	16	37	31
	Somewhat satisfied	30.6%	14.9%	48.5%	28.3%	24.5%
		5	3	4	26	18
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.9%	7.4%	13.3%	20.0%	14.3%
		0	3	3	7	7
Very dissatisfied	.0%	7.4%	8.0%	5.4%	5.2%	
	16	20	5	44	42	
DK/NA	39.8%	51.8%	13.6%	33.3%	33.5%	
	41	38	34	132	126	
6O. Managing land use	Total	0	2	3	11	12
	Very satisfied	.2%	6.1%	8.0%	8.2%	9.7%
		4	13	8	37	40
	Somewhat satisfied	10.3%	34.8%	24.4%	28.3%	31.4%
		21	4	8	35	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50.9%	9.7%	25.2%	26.2%	18.3%
		4	8	5	33	26
Very dissatisfied	9.1%	22.0%	14.9%	24.9%	20.9%	
	12	10	9	16	25	
DK/NA	29.4%	27.4%	27.6%	12.4%	19.6%	
	41	38	34	132	126	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	8	6	10	21	40
	Very satisfied	19.4%	15.4%	30.1%	15.8%	31.5%
		18	15	10	47	37
	Somewhat satisfied	44.1%	40.3%	30.4%	35.3%	29.5%
		6	4	4	20	14
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.9%	10.1%	13.3%	15.1%	11.1%
		3	0	3	11	5
Very dissatisfied	7.5%	1.0%	9.4%	8.0%	4.1%	
	6	13	6	34	30	
DK/NA	15.1%	33.2%	16.7%	25.7%	23.9%	
	41	38	34	132	126	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	18	12	14	17	27

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	16.1%	24.4%	25.8%	15.6%	8.9%
		187	33	15	7	13	15
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	54.0%	28.9%	23.1%	35.2%	46.9%
		33	0	1	0	0	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	6.6%
		19	1	1	0	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	2.1%	1.5%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
	217	17	23	15	16	12	
DK/NA	37.3%	27.8%	43.8%	51.1%	44.3%	37.6%	
	582	60	52	29	37	32	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	135	11	15	10	9	10
	Very satisfied	23.1%	17.7%	28.0%	34.7%	24.5%	32.0%
		137	28	15	5	10	9
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	46.3%	28.1%	15.7%	25.9%	29.3%
		19	0	0	1	1	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	.4%	.0%	3.0%	3.8%	11.0%
		11	0	0	0	1	0
Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.5%	3.2%	.6%	
	280	21	23	13	16	9	
DK/NA	48.0%	35.6%	43.8%	46.1%	42.6%	27.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	43.0%	30.5%	42.0%	13.0%	21.4%
		10	8	9	40	37
	Somewhat satisfied	25.1%	20.9%	25.7%	30.2%	29.5%
		1	5	2	12	9
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.5%	13.4%	7.0%	9.4%	7.0%
		0	0	3	5	8
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	8.0%	3.5%	6.2%
	12	13	6	58	45	
DK/NA	29.4%	35.2%	17.3%	43.8%	35.8%	
	41	38	34	132	126	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	10	6	6	28	30
	Very satisfied	24.9%	15.0%	17.7%	21.0%	24.0%
		4	2	4	37	24
	Somewhat satisfied	9.8%	5.2%	11.5%	28.3%	19.1%
		0	1	2	6	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	2.7%	6.3%	4.8%	2.9%
		2	3	1	4	0
Very dissatisfied	4.0%	7.4%	3.3%	3.0%	.0%	
	25	27	21	57	68	
DK/NA	61.2%	69.7%	61.1%	43.0%	54.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	D	D ^a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
	Very dissatisfied					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F G J				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		^a	^a		^a
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied			^a		
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F ^a				^a
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	F G I				
	Very dissatisfied		^a	^a	^a	^a
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F J				
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		^a	^a		
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	I J	I J			
	Somewhat satisfied					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	I				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		D			
	Very dissatisfied					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	^a	^a			
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a	^a		^a
	DK/NA					B
	Very satisfied	A H				
	Somewhat satisfied			F		
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied		^a	^a		
	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a	^a		
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	A	A		A	
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		^a	^a		
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA		A I			
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	^a				
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very satisfied			A B E I		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	B			A	
6I. Economic development efforts	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	GH				H
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B
	Very dissatisfied	a				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	F I J				
	Somewhat satisfied					
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	I J	I			I
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F	F			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B
	Very dissatisfied					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	D J				
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	a			a	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	F G H J				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		AB	B		
	Very dissatisfied					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA	a	a			
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	A E J				
	Somewhat satisfied					
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	a				
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6A. Police services	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	71 42.5%	98 64.2%	81 31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	71 42.2%	45 29.3%	97 37.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	14 8.2%	4 2.5%	34 13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	6 3.6%	0 .0%	22 8.3%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	6 3.4%	6 4.1%	28 10.7%
	6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	167	153
Very satisfied		189 32.5%	42 25.2%	69 45.4%	78 29.7%
Somewhat satisfied		180 31.0%	80 47.5%	28 18.1%	73 27.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied		25 4.3%	3 1.7%	1 .6%	22 8.2%
Very dissatisfied		3 .4%	1 .4%	1 .4%	1 .5%
DK/NA		185 31.7%	42 25.3%	54 35.5%	88 33.6%
6C. Garbage collection		Total	582	167	153
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	84 50.2%	101 66.1%	131 50.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	73 43.8%	44 28.7%	95 36.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	6 3.5%	2 1.1%	18 6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	4 2.2%	5 3.3%	11 4.1%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	1 .3%	1 .8%	7 2.5%
	6D. Recycling program	Total	582	167	153
Very satisfied		257 44.2%	65 39.1%	84 55.3%	107 40.9%
Somewhat satisfied		242 41.7%	86 51.4%	52 34.2%	104 39.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied		31 5.3%	8 5.0%	0 .0%	22 8.6%
Very dissatisfied		15 2.5%	2 1.3%	3 2.1%	9 3.5%
DK/NA		37 6.3%	5 3.2%	13 8.4%	19 7.1%
6E. Library services		Total	582	167	153
	Very satisfied	339	80	100	159

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	47.6%	65.2%	60.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	71 42.6%	39 25.4%	64 24.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	4 1.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	3 2.0%	3 2.2%	4 1.4%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	13 7.8%	10 6.4%	30 11.5%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	28 16.6%	58 37.9%	55 21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	94 56.1%	56 36.9%	128 49.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	31 18.2%	17 11.3%	50 19.2%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	14 8.2%	20 12.9%	21 8.1%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	2 .9%	2 1.0%	7 2.6%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	44 26.5%	79 51.9%	86 32.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	99 59.3%	50 32.8%	120 45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	7 4.1%	10 6.4%	29 10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	11 6.7%	4 2.7%	8 3.0%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	6 3.4%	9 6.1%	20 7.8%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	42 25.1%	77 50.2%	64 24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	91 54.4%	54 35.2%	120 46.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	23 13.5%	9 6.0%	46 17.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	3 1.6%	9 5.7%	17 6.5%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	9 5.4%	4 2.9%	15 5.6%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	19	48	19

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.5%	31.4%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	94 56.4%	61 39.9%	77 29.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	15 8.7%	12 7.6%	56 21.4%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	20 11.6%	7 4.7%	48 18.4%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	20 11.8%	25 16.3%	62 23.6%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	39 23.4%	68 44.5%	62 23.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	88 52.6%	51 33.1%	113 43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	23 13.7%	16 10.7%	56 21.5%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	9 5.7%	10 6.8%	22 8.2%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	8 4.5%	8 4.9%	9 3.4%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	36 21.7%	60 39.3%	74 28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	93 55.5%	62 40.5%	112 42.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	19 11.3%	11 7.3%	40 15.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	4 2.4%	7 4.4%	4 1.7%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	15 9.1%	13 8.5%	31 12.0%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	22 13.2%	70 45.9%	50 19.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	83 49.5%	35 23.1%	99 37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	12 7.1%	0 .0%	19 7.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	1 .4%	4 2.7%	6 2.3%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	50 29.8%	43 28.3%	88 33.6%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	36	15	32

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	21.4%	9.8%	12.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	61 36.6%	28 18.3%	38 14.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	7 4.0%	1 .7%	10 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	2 1.4%	4 2.7%	7 2.5%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	61 36.6%	105 68.6%	176 67.2%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	31 18.2%	44 28.6%	42 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	70 41.9%	47 31.1%	69 26.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	10 6.3%	18 11.9%	42 16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	8 5.1%	1 .6%	14 5.5%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	48 28.5%	42 27.8%	95 36.2%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	19 11.5%	16 10.3%	12 4.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	75 44.7%	39 25.7%	66 25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	25 14.6%	31 20.5%	76 29.2%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	25 14.8%	12 7.9%	58 22.0%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	24 14.4%	54 35.6%	49 18.9%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	35 20.7%	43 28.2%	55 21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	83 49.6%	46 29.9%	82 31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	12 7.4%	20 12.9%	38 14.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	6 3.8%	2 1.4%	17 6.4%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	31 18.4%	42 27.6%	69 26.4%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	23	68	35

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	14.0%	44.5%	13.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	71 42.2%	35 22.8%	81 30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	8 4.9%	2 1.1%	23 8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	4 2.6%	7 4.4%	8 3.1%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	61 36.3%	41 27.2%	115 43.9%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	40 23.6%	49 32.4%	46 17.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	50 30.0%	24 15.5%	64 24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	2 1.2%	3 2.1%	14 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	3 1.7%	3 2.2%	5 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	73 43.5%	73 47.8%	134 51.1%
	Total	582	167	153	262

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	64 53.4%	49 38.5%	27 29.7%	33 42.6%	78 46.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	35 29.2%	50 40.1%	40 44.6%	30 38.9%	56 33.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	16 13.7%	15 11.9%	8 9.2%	3 3.3%	9 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	1 .7%	3 2.1%	6 7.2%	9 12.2%	8 5.0%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	4 3.0%	9 7.4%	8 9.4%	2 3.0%	16 9.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	51 42.5%	35 27.4%	26 29.0%	26 33.5%	52 30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	27 22.8%	48 38.4%	24 27.0%	28 36.5%	52 30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	7 6.0%	6 4.7%	3 2.9%	6 7.1%	4 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	34 28.7%	37 29.3%	37 41.1%	16 20.7%	60 35.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	65 53.9%	62 49.6%	45 50.4%	40 51.8%	103 61.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	51 42.4%	48 38.0%	38 41.8%	23 29.0%	53 31.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	4 3.7%	3 2.5%	4 4.5%	8 9.7%	7 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	0 .0%	8 6.2%	2 2.6%	7 9.4%	2 1.0%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	0 .0%	5 3.7%	1 .6%	0 .0%	3 1.8%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	52 43.3%	46 36.3%	44 48.6%	33 42.4%	83 49.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	57 47.6%	57 44.9%	31 34.0%	31 39.4%	67 40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	9 7.1%	7 5.8%	4 4.6%	6 7.9%	5 2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .2%	2 2.8%	7 8.6%	5 3.2%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	2 2.0%	16 12.8%	9 10.0%	1 1.8%	8 4.8%
6E. Library services	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	339	76	75	54	39	95

		Total	Voting Propensity				
			0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	63.6%	59.7%	59.3%	49.8%	56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	35 29.4%	36 28.6%	24 26.7%	32 40.6%	47 28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	4 2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	1 1.0%	1 1.1%	2 1.9%	3 3.5%	4 2.1%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	7 6.0%	13 10.4%	11 12.1%	3 4.3%	18 11.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	42 35.0%	23 18.3%	19 21.3%	21 27.5%	35 20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	51 42.8%	74 58.9%	44 48.9%	27 34.3%	82 49.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	16 13.4%	15 12.1%	17 18.7%	15 19.7%	34 20.5%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	11 8.8%	10 8.2%	9 9.9%	13 16.2%	12 7.3%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	1 1.3%	2 2.3%	4 2.3%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	53 44.0%	26 20.3%	33 36.8%	30 38.1%	68 40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	54 44.7%	71 56.1%	39 42.7%	36 46.6%	70 41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	4 3.0%	15 12.3%	10 11.0%	7 8.8%	9 5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	6 5.1%	1 .8%	3 3.2%	4 5.6%	9 5.2%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	4 3.1%	13 10.5%	6 6.3%	1 .8%	12 7.2%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	55 45.6%	28 21.9%	28 31.2%	25 32.7%	47 27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	43 35.8%	68 54.2%	39 43.7%	37 47.3%	78 46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	16 12.9%	16 12.7%	13 14.4%	8 10.0%	25 15.0%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	3 2.3%	7 5.2%	8 8.4%	5 6.3%	6 3.8%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	4 3.3%	8 6.1%	2 2.2%	3 3.7%	12 6.9%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
Very satisfied	86	30	14	15	12	16	

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	24.6%	11.2%	16.5%	15.8%	9.4%
		232	49	52	31	30	69
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	40.8%	41.2%	34.9%	39.1%	41.3%
		82	9	19	13	8	34
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	7.3%	15.4%	14.3%	9.8%	20.0%
		75	15	10	13	15	21
	12.9%	12.8%	8.2%	14.2%	19.9%	12.4%	
	106	17	30	18	12	28	
	18.3%	14.5%	24.1%	20.1%	15.4%	17.0%	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	169	44	41	24	20	40
		29.1%	36.4%	32.5%	26.5%	26.2%	24.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	49	51	45	35	72
		43.3%	41.2%	40.7%	49.5%	44.4%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	17	20	13	16	30
	16.4%	14.0%	16.1%	13.9%	20.1%	17.9%	
Very dissatisfied	41	7	7	6	7	14	
	7.1%	5.7%	5.9%	7.0%	9.0%	8.2%	
DK/NA	24	3	6	3	0	12	
	4.1%	2.6%	4.7%	3.1%	.4%	7.0%	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	171	35	33	33	23	47
		29.3%	28.8%	26.6%	36.9%	29.0%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	52	54	38	40	83
		45.8%	43.2%	42.9%	42.3%	50.8%	49.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	23	19	8	5	15
	12.0%	18.9%	15.3%	8.7%	7.0%	8.7%	
Very dissatisfied	15	3	0	3	4	4	
	2.6%	2.9%	.2%	3.9%	5.0%	2.4%	
DK/NA	60	8	19	7	6	20	
	10.3%	6.3%	15.0%	8.2%	8.2%	11.6%	
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	142	44	26	23	16	33
		24.4%	36.7%	20.5%	25.8%	20.9%	19.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	42	53	33	35	55
		37.3%	34.7%	42.0%	36.6%	44.6%	32.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	11	3	3	4	11
	5.3%	8.9%	2.8%	2.9%	4.7%	6.3%	
Very dissatisfied	11	0	1	6	2	1	
	1.9%	.0%	.9%	7.0%	3.2%	.5%	
DK/NA	181	24	43	25	21	69	
	31.1%	19.7%	33.9%	27.7%	26.6%	41.0%	
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	82	20	6	12	9	36

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	16.7%	4.7%	12.8%	11.7%	21.3%
		127	20	22	16	27	41
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	16.8%	17.6%	17.9%	34.7%	24.5%
		18	5	3	3	2	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	4.3%	2.7%	3.5%	2.2%	2.9%
		13	1	1	3	1	7
	2.2%	.9%	1.2%	2.8%	1.5%	4.1%	
DK/NA	342	74	93	57	39	80	
	58.7%	61.3%	73.9%	63.0%	49.9%	47.3%	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	116	31	22	20	12	31
		19.9%	26.0%	17.2%	22.3%	15.3%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	32	39	33	34	48
		32.1%	26.6%	31.2%	37.1%	43.4%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	20	16	9	7	19
	12.1%	16.3%	12.5%	10.0%	9.4%	11.2%	
Very dissatisfied	24	6	4	3	4	7	
	4.1%	4.7%	3.3%	3.8%	4.9%	4.0%	
DK/NA	185	32	45	24	21	63	
	31.8%	26.4%	35.7%	26.8%	26.9%	37.7%	
6O. Managing land use	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	47	12	6	12	8	9
		8.0%	10.4%	4.9%	12.8%	10.0%	5.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	35	47	35	18	46
		31.0%	28.8%	37.4%	38.3%	23.4%	27.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	17	28	17	27	43
	22.7%	13.8%	22.1%	19.1%	35.2%	25.7%	
Very dissatisfied	95	17	16	14	12	36	
	16.3%	14.3%	12.6%	15.1%	16.0%	21.2%	
DK/NA	128	39	29	13	12	34	
	22.0%	32.8%	23.0%	14.7%	15.5%	20.4%	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	133	32	19	22	16	45
		22.9%	26.6%	14.9%	24.6%	20.3%	26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	38	54	31	30	58
		36.2%	31.3%	42.8%	34.4%	38.5%	34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	16	14	7	11	22
	12.1%	13.1%	11.2%	8.2%	14.8%	13.1%	
Very dissatisfied	25	2	6	4	4	9	
	4.4%	1.9%	4.9%	4.3%	4.9%	5.5%	
DK/NA	142	33	33	26	17	34	
	24.4%	27.3%	26.2%	28.6%	21.5%	20.2%	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	126	38	22	19	15	32

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	31.4%	17.4%	21.5%	19.3%	19.2%
		187	32	55	26	28	46
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	26.7%	43.5%	29.1%	35.9%	27.1%
		33	12	2	6	3	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	10.1%	1.3%	6.4%	4.3%	5.9%
		19	0	6	1	3	9
	3.3%	.0%	4.4%	1.5%	4.4%	5.3%	
	217	38	42	38	28	72	
	37.3%	31.8%	33.4%	41.6%	36.0%	42.6%	
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135	31	21	17	25	41
		23.1%	25.9%	16.5%	18.7%	31.7%	24.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	21	36	20	20	42
		23.6%	17.1%	28.3%	21.9%	25.1%	25.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	1	6	3	6	4
		3.3%	1.0%	4.8%	2.8%	7.4%	2.2%
	11	3	1	3	3	1	
	1.9%	2.4%	1.1%	3.1%	3.7%	.7%	
	280	64	62	48	25	80	
	48.0%	53.6%	49.4%	53.4%	32.1%	47.5%	

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6A. Police services	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	249 43.1%	61 49.3%	53 44.4%	22 56.4%	16 40.0%	50 39.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.6%	37 29.7%	46 38.4%	15 37.7%	16 39.0%	46 35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	51 8.7%	8 6.3%	10 8.6%	0 .0%	2 4.7%	16 12.9%
	Very dissatisfied	27 4.6%	7 5.9%	5 4.2%	2 3.8%	3 7.3%	5 3.9%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	11 8.7%	5 4.4%	1 2.1%	4 9.0%	10 8.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	188 32.5%	61 49.2%	31 26.2%	9 22.4%	8 19.2%	41 32.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.2%	27 22.0%	31 26.4%	12 29.9%	10 24.8%	61 47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.4%	5 4.1%	3 2.3%	2 5.0%	2 5.3%	7 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	183 31.5%	30 24.7%	53 44.2%	16 41.0%	21 50.7%	18 14.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	315 54.5%	75 60.5%	61 51.0%	26 64.1%	25 62.1%	63 49.5%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.5%	36 28.9%	47 39.0%	12 30.9%	12 29.8%	55 43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.5%	7 5.3%	3 2.3%	1 3.0%	2 5.4%	5 3.7%
	Very dissatisfied	18 3.2%	5 4.3%	6 4.9%	1 2.0%	0 .0%	4 3.0%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	1 1.0%	3 2.9%	0 .0%	1 2.8%	1 .5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	256 44.2%	60 49.0%	50 41.6%	20 50.8%	15 35.8%	54 42.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	241 41.7%	50 40.6%	48 40.2%	15 37.1%	18 44.5%	60 47.0%
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.1%	5 3.8%	8 6.4%	0 .0%	2 5.5%	5 4.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	3 2.2%	7 6.0%	1 2.0%	2 3.7%	1 1.0%
	DK/NA	37 6.4%	5 4.4%	7 5.7%	4 10.1%	4 10.6%	7 5.8%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	336	81	67	21	20	67
	6E. Library services						

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6A. Police services	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	40	3	4
		35.4%	45.0%	44.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	2	3
		42.5%	35.7%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	1	0
		11.4%	19.3%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	
	4.4%	.0%	1.8%	
DK/NA	7	0	2	
	6.3%	.0%	22.5%	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	32	3	3
		28.7%	40.5%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	35	1	3
		31.2%	15.3%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0
		5.6%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	38	3	3	
	33.8%	44.2%	35.5%	
6C. Garbage collection	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	56	4	6
		49.7%	58.0%	68.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	2	3
		39.8%	30.4%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	1	0
		6.5%	11.6%	2.4%
Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	
6D. Recycling program	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	47	5	6
		41.6%	69.1%	66.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	2	3
		40.7%	28.7%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	0	0
		8.7%	2.2%	1.8%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	
	7.9%	.0%	.0%	
6E. Library services	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	73	4	4

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.1%	65.9%	56.3%	51.5%	50.1%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	28	41	12	12	51
		30.1%	23.1%	34.5%	29.9%	30.0%	39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	2	1	0	1	0
		1.0%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	2.5%	.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	3	4	1	0
		1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	10.2%	1.4%	.0%
DK/NA	52	10	7	3	7	10	
	9.0%	8.2%	5.9%	8.4%	16.0%	7.5%	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	141	36	29	10	9	22
		24.3%	29.5%	24.4%	24.8%	22.1%	17.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	276	42	55	17	19	72
		47.6%	34.1%	46.0%	42.1%	45.6%	56.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	27	15	11	8	23
		16.9%	22.0%	12.4%	26.5%	20.7%	17.9%
Very dissatisfied	55	15	15	3	4	10	
	9.4%	12.0%	12.4%	6.6%	9.1%	8.2%	
DK/NA	10	3	6	0	1	0	
	1.7%	2.4%	4.8%	.0%	2.5%	.2%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	209	54	45	17	15	36
		36.1%	44.1%	37.3%	42.6%	36.6%	28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	268	47	55	14	15	68
		46.3%	37.9%	45.7%	34.2%	36.5%	53.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	43	3	9	5	5	11
		7.4%	2.2%	7.4%	11.5%	12.4%	8.4%
Very dissatisfied	23	7	4	2	3	6	
	4.0%	5.8%	3.5%	5.9%	7.5%	4.7%	
DK/NA	35	12	7	2	3	6	
	6.1%	10.0%	6.1%	5.8%	7.1%	4.7%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	183	49	42	15	9	27
		31.6%	40.1%	35.0%	37.6%	23.0%	21.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	264	46	52	19	17	72
		45.6%	37.5%	43.3%	46.9%	42.3%	56.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	76	15	13	2	11	17
		13.1%	11.9%	10.9%	5.1%	25.8%	13.7%
Very dissatisfied	28	7	6	0	1	4	
	4.9%	5.7%	4.9%	.7%	3.1%	2.8%	
DK/NA	28	6	7	4	2	7	
	4.9%	4.8%	5.9%	9.7%	5.9%	5.8%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	86	24	23	8	6	9

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	64.6%	55.8%	42.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	24 21.8%	0 2.2%	5 57.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 .3%	1 17.1%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	14 12.3%	2 24.9%	0 .0%
	Total	112	7	9
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	30 27.0%	1 17.5%	3 29.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	62 55.6%	3 48.3%	6 64.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13 11.7%	1 11.6%	0 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	6 5.7%	2 22.7%	0 4.0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	112	7	9
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	33 29.8%	4 51.7%	5 57.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	63 56.5%	3 36.7%	4 42.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10 9.2%	1 11.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	4 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	112	7	9
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	35 31.0%	2 26.8%	3 35.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	52 46.2%	4 59.4%	3 28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15 13.0%	0 2.2%	3 35.5%
	Very dissatisfied	9 8.4%	1 11.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	112	7	9
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	15	1	0

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	19.8%	19.6%	21.3%	14.8%	6.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 40.1%	33 26.6%	35 29.6%	16 39.7%	19 46.6%	71 55.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.2%	27 22.3%	12 10.0%	4 9.4%	5 11.5%	22 17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	72 12.4%	15 12.0%	22 18.0%	8 20.8%	5 13.1%	9 6.9%
	DK/NA	106 18.4%	24 19.3%	27 22.9%	4 8.9%	6 14.0%	17 13.4%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.2%	44 36.1%	43 36.3%	8 20.9%	10 24.7%	23 18.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	251 43.3%	40 32.1%	45 37.9%	19 48.8%	15 36.4%	73 57.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.3%	21 17.0%	18 15.2%	8 19.6%	9 21.5%	20 15.3%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.2%	14 11.1%	9 7.4%	2 5.0%	5 11.4%	5 4.0%
	DK/NA	23 4.0%	5 3.7%	4 3.1%	2 5.7%	2 6.0%	7 5.6%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	170 29.3%	43 35.1%	44 37.2%	9 21.8%	9 23.0%	33 25.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	266 45.9%	47 38.0%	40 33.5%	24 59.4%	23 57.1%	64 50.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.1%	16 13.4%	15 12.6%	4 9.7%	3 6.3%	19 14.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	8 6.1%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	2 5.3%	1 .7%
	DK/NA	59 10.2%	9 7.5%	18 15.3%	4 9.2%	3 8.2%	11 8.3%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.5%	28 22.7%	37 31.1%	10 24.1%	8 20.7%	29 22.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	216 37.3%	43 34.8%	33 28.0%	13 31.4%	12 28.6%	62 48.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.4%	4 3.4%	7 5.6%	3 8.1%	3 8.3%	1 .5%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	2 2.0%	2 1.9%	2 5.9%	2 5.1%	0 .3%
	DK/NA	179 30.9%	46 37.2%	40 33.4%	12 30.5%	15 37.2%	36 28.0%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	31	10	12	6	11

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	13.1%	11.2%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	49	5	4
		43.8%	69.2%	49.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1	1
		9.3%	13.3%	10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	0
	11.3%	.0%	4.2%	
	DK/NA	25	0	3
		22.6%	6.3%	35.6%
	Total	112	7	9
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	32	4	4
		28.9%	55.8%	40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	53	2	3
		47.6%	30.4%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	1
		15.7%	13.7%	8.2%
Very dissatisfied	6	0	1	
	5.3%	.0%	13.1%	
	DK/NA	3	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	27	2	3
		23.9%	22.7%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	59	3	5
		52.8%	43.8%	55.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	0	0
		11.7%	2.2%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	
	2.3%	.0%	1.8%	
	DK/NA	10	2	1
		9.3%	31.4%	13.1%
	Total	112	7	9
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	28	1	1
		24.9%	17.8%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	2	6
		41.0%	22.7%	68.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	1	1
		9.7%	11.6%	13.1%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	26	3	1
		23.4%	47.9%	6.6%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	8	3	2

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.2%	25.0%	8.7%	29.1%	14.1%	8.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	12	30	6	10	41
		21.9%	9.9%	25.2%	16.3%	24.0%	31.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	3	1	3	8
		3.1%	.9%	2.4%	3.0%	6.3%	5.9%
	Very dissatisfied	13	4	1	5	1	0
	2.2%	3.0%	1.0%	11.8%	2.7%	.0%	
	DK/NA	339	75	75	16	22	68
		58.5%	61.2%	62.8%	39.9%	53.0%	53.5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	25	22	7	8	26
		20.0%	20.0%	18.0%	17.7%	18.7%	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	186	34	41	15	13	44
		32.1%	27.7%	34.2%	36.9%	32.5%	34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	18	11	2	4	9
		12.0%	14.5%	9.1%	5.7%	10.0%	6.9%
Very dissatisfied	24	6	3	1	3	6	
	4.1%	5.2%	2.9%	3.0%	8.2%	4.4%	
	DK/NA	184	40	43	15	13	43
		31.8%	32.5%	35.8%	36.6%	30.6%	34.1%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	17	10	5	3	6
		8.1%	13.7%	8.0%	11.5%	6.7%	4.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	26	30	9	15	49
		31.2%	21.3%	25.0%	23.4%	37.2%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	34	25	8	7	32
		22.8%	27.6%	21.2%	20.5%	18.3%	24.8%
Very dissatisfied	92	17	29	11	7	11	
	15.8%	13.7%	23.9%	27.8%	17.4%	8.9%	
	DK/NA	128	29	26	7	8	30
		22.1%	23.7%	22.0%	16.8%	20.4%	23.4%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	132	33	29	9	11	21
		22.8%	27.1%	24.3%	22.0%	26.2%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	44	39	11	14	55
		36.4%	36.0%	32.8%	27.8%	34.9%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	15	20	2	2	14
		12.0%	11.8%	16.7%	5.5%	4.7%	11.2%
Very dissatisfied	24	4	5	2	3	4	
	4.2%	3.0%	3.8%	5.9%	7.5%	3.4%	
	DK/NA	142	27	27	15	11	33
		24.6%	22.0%	22.4%	38.7%	26.7%	25.7%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	125	34	23	5	10	26

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	6.9%	38.4%	26.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	24	2	1
		21.3%	30.4%	15.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	1
		.9%	11.6%	11.4%
	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	77	1	4
		68.8%	19.6%	46.5%
Total		112	7	9
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	26	2	1
		23.5%	26.8%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	34	1	4
		30.2%	13.3%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	0
		22.8%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	
	1.9%	22.7%	.0%	
	DK/NA	24	3	4
		21.7%	37.1%	42.3%
Total		112	7	9
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	7	0	0
		6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	1	5
		40.3%	13.3%	53.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	5	1
		18.2%	66.6%	6.6%
Very dissatisfied	16	0	0	
	14.4%	2.2%	4.2%	
	DK/NA	23	1	3
		20.5%	17.9%	35.6%
Total		112	7	9
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	26	1	2
		23.4%	11.2%	22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	41	3	3
		36.2%	42.0%	37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	0	1
		13.6%	2.2%	13.1%
Very dissatisfied	5	1	0	
	4.6%	15.7%	2.4%	
	DK/NA	25	2	2
		22.3%	29.0%	24.3%
Total		112	7	9
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	26	1	1

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.6%	27.8%	19.1%	12.2%	23.8%	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	185	28	38	7	13	59
		32.0%	22.6%	31.5%	18.5%	32.4%	46.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	5	6	0	3	6
		5.7%	3.8%	5.1%	.0%	6.3%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19	4	2	4	1	3
	3.3%	3.2%	1.8%	10.2%	2.5%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	216	52	51	24	14	34
		37.4%	42.6%	42.5%	59.1%	34.9%	26.7%
Total		579	123	119	40	41	128
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	134	51	24	6	7	16
		23.1%	41.3%	20.4%	15.4%	18.0%	12.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	136	15	26	7	8	44
		23.6%	11.8%	22.1%	17.1%	18.8%	34.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	2	7	0	5	4
		3.3%	1.6%	5.9%	.7%	12.2%	3.0%
Very dissatisfied	11	3	4	0	0	3	
	1.9%	2.3%	3.3%	.4%	.0%	2.2%	
	DK/NA	279	53	58	26	21	60
		48.1%	43.0%	48.2%	66.4%	51.0%	47.4%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	23.0%	15.7%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	33	4	4
		29.5%	51.4%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	0	0
		11.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
	4.5%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	35	2	4
		31.4%	32.9%	42.3%
Total		112	7	9
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	23	3	3
		20.6%	38.4%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	34	0	2
		30.7%	2.2%	21.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	
	DK/NA	52	4	4
		46.5%	59.5%	44.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
	Very dissatisfied						
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	B D F					
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					A B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			a	a	
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA		A E	E	E		E
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied				a		
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a		
	Very dissatisfied					a	
	DK/NA						
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA				a		a
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied					A B	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	a	
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B E F	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		a
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	a	a
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	E	E				
	Somewhat satisfied					A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied			a			
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied					B	
	Somewhat satisfied						E
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	B E F		B E F			
	Very satisfied		A			A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			B		a	
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA						C
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						E
6O. Managing land use	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						A
	Somewhat satisfied		E				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					A	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			E			
	Very satisfied	B E F					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied					A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied				F		
	DK/NA				a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA	a	a
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
6O. Managing land use	Very dissatisfied	F	a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	a	a
	Somewhat satisfied		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	179	179
		30.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	262
		45.1%	45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	30
	5.2%	5.2%	
Very dissatisfied	12	12	
	2.0%	2.0%	
DK/NA	99	99	
	17.0%	17.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	179	79	99	1
		30.7%	28.1%	33.1%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	130	132	0
		45.1%	46.3%	44.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	18	11	1
	5.2%	6.4%	3.8%	31.9%	
Very dissatisfied	12	4	8	0	
	2.0%	1.5%	2.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	99	50	48	1	
	17.0%	17.7%	16.1%	33.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			B
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			.
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	179	20	40	45	32	38	4
		30.7%	26.7%	39.4%	31.7%	21.0%	37.1%	43.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	34	49	65	66	45	3
		45.1%	44.0%	48.8%	46.3%	43.5%	44.0%	31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	2	3	10	14	1	0
	5.2%	3.0%	2.7%	7.0%	9.4%	1.2%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	12	0	0	5	1	5	0	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.9%	4.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	99	20	9	16	39	13	2	
	17.0%	26.4%	9.0%	11.1%	25.3%	12.8%	24.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		D				
	Somewhat satisfied	a					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.					.
	Very dissatisfied	a	a				a
	DK/NA	B			B C		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	179 30.7%	116 27.3%	63 40.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	203 47.9%	59 37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	23 5.4%	8 4.8%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	8 1.9%	4 2.4%
	DK/NA	99 17.0%	75 17.6%	24 15.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	179 30.7%	82 33.7%	26 31.7%	3 21.9%	68 27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	98 40.2%	32 40.1%	7 42.2%	125 51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	15 6.0%	4 4.8%	1 7.3%	11 4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	7 3.0%	4 4.6%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	DK/NA	99 17.0%	42 17.1%	15 18.7%	5 28.5%	38 15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		D	a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	179 30.7%	44 36.2%	20 30.3%	11 35.1%	7 24.3%	37 29.0%	59 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	49 40.4%	22 32.7%	8 25.9%	16 57.1%	65 51.0%	102 49.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	12 9.7%	3 4.0%	1 3.2%	1 3.3%	3 2.6%	11 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	4 3.7%	1 1.3%	2 5.3%	1 3.7%	3 2.3%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	99 17.0%	12 10.0%	21 31.8%	10 30.5%	3 11.6%	19 15.1%	33 16.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		A	A			
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	179	87	17	23	13
		30.7%	33.2%	22.0%	28.1%	27.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	109	49	41	18
		45.1%	41.3%	62.2%	50.4%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	17	3	2	5
	5.2%	6.3%	4.0%	2.8%	10.7%	
Very dissatisfied	12	1	1	6	1	
	2.0%	.5%	1.1%	7.7%	3.0%	
DK/NA	99	49	8	9	10	
	17.0%	18.6%	10.7%	11.0%	20.2%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	10	3	15	10
		39.1%	14.1%	38.4%	40.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	12	12	15	7
		43.6%	52.3%	40.2%	27.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	2	0
	5.1%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	0	
	.0%	4.0%	2.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	7	5	8	
	12.2%	29.5%	13.6%	32.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		A			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			A		a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	179	1	39	10	22	4	7	12
		30.7%	9.9%	22.3%	23.0%	46.7%	22.1%	49.7%	28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	5	76	15	22	6	6	18
		45.1%	78.2%	44.1%	35.8%	47.8%	35.9%	41.7%	43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	17	8	0	0	1	1
	5.2%	.0%	9.6%	19.5%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.9%	
Very dissatisfied	12	0	2	3	0	1	0	2	
	2.0%	.0%	1.0%	6.1%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	5.8%	
DK/NA	99	1	40	7	3	6	0	8	
	17.0%	12.0%	22.9%	15.6%	5.6%	33.7%	.0%	19.2%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	38	18	29	0	1
		39.2%	24.1%	44.8%	9.8%	21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	42	26	1	2
		44.4%	56.6%	40.6%	46.3%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	0
	2.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	
Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	0	
	2.4%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	12	9	1	2	
	11.9%	16.3%	14.7%	43.9%	31.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied								
	Somewhat satisfied	a		H I	a	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			a		a		
	Very dissatisfied						a		
	DK/NA						a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		B		
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	179	138	41
		30.7%	29.8%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	220	42
		45.1%	47.4%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	22	8
	5.2%	4.8%	7.1%	
Very dissatisfied	12	9	3	
	2.0%	1.9%	2.3%	
DK/NA	99	75	24	
	17.0%	16.1%	20.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	179	103	76
		30.7%	29.7%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	156	107
		45.1%	44.9%	45.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	15	15
	5.2%	4.4%	6.4%	
Very dissatisfied	12	11	1	
	2.0%	3.1%	.4%	
DK/NA	99	62	37	
	17.0%	17.8%	15.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	179	4	15	31	22
		30.7%	33.0%	30.8%	32.5%	16.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	3	22	42	77
		45.1%	28.0%	44.0%	44.6%	58.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	7	4	7
	5.2%	.0%	14.3%	4.4%	5.3%	
Very dissatisfied	12	0	2	2	3	
	2.0%	.0%	3.5%	2.4%	2.2%	
DK/NA	99	4	4	15	23	
	17.0%	39.0%	7.5%	16.2%	17.3%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	53	54	1
		32.7%	40.4%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	73	46	0
		44.8%	34.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	4	0
	5.4%	2.7%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	1	4	0	
	.6%	3.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	27	26	0	
	16.6%	19.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied		F		F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. ^b			
	Very dissatisfied	. ^b			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	D	D	. ^{a,b}
	Somewhat satisfied			. ^{a,b}
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. ^{a,b}
	Very dissatisfied			. ^{a,b}
	DK/NA			. ^{a,b}

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	177	15	61	47	26	26	2
		30.5%	32.7%	29.1%	33.3%	23.1%	44.5%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	20	99	65	48	24	6
		45.2%	44.7%	47.1%	45.8%	42.5%	40.8%	53.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	7	9	8	4	3
	5.3%	.5%	3.2%	6.2%	7.3%	6.3%	23.9%	
Very dissatisfied	12	2	6	0	4	0	0	
	2.0%	5.2%	2.8%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	99	8	37	21	27	5	1	
	17.0%	16.8%	17.8%	14.7%	24.0%	8.4%	5.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.
	Very dissatisfied			.		.	.
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	179	1	0	39	0
		30.7%	50.0%	.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	0	3	100	2
		45.1%	.0%	100.0%	52.8%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	0	13	0
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	12	0	0	1	0	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	99	1	0	36	0	
	17.0%	50.0%	.0%	19.2%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	25	5	12	5
		24.0%	33.4%	49.4%	58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	50	7	9	2
		47.5%	42.9%	35.4%	30.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	3	0	0
		8.9%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	0	
	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	16	1	4	1	
	15.2%	6.7%	15.3%	11.8%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	88.3%	35.3%	38.9%	26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	3	54	13
		11.7%	26.5%	36.5%	56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	5	1
	.0%	.0%	3.2%	4.6%	
DK/NA	0	4	29	2	
	.0%	38.2%	19.5%	9.1%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3	1	7
		29.0%	10.2%	41.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	3	9
		43.6%	50.9%	53.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	0
		.0%	34.3%	.9%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	
	27.4%	4.5%	4.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied		K	.	.
	Very dissatisfied		.	.	.
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	C	E	K	L
	Somewhat satisfied
	Somewhat dissatisfied
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	.	.	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	K	.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	82	24	42	9	3	4
		30.7%	22.9%	37.6%	28.6%	31.9%	39.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	62	47	15	7	2
		49.9%	59.6%	41.9%	48.9%	67.2%	18.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	5	8	1	0	0
		5.5%	5.2%	7.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	5	0	2	3	0	0	
	1.8%	.3%	1.7%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	33	12	13	3	0	4	
	12.2%	12.0%	11.4%	11.4%	.9%	41.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				a	a
	Somewhat satisfied				a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A	a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	117	35	64	11	1	4
		30.7%	32.8%	28.8%	33.6%	32.5%	37.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	163	46	100	14	0	3
		42.8%	42.8%	44.8%	41.4%	.0%	22.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	10	3	3	1
		4.6%	1.1%	4.3%	7.8%	65.4%	11.0%
Very dissatisfied	7	2	6	0	0	0	
	2.0%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	76	23	44	6	0	3	
	20.0%	21.4%	19.7%	17.2%	2.1%	28.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	A B C	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	179	135	4	18	5	1	10
		30.7%	30.2%	15.6%	19.0%	67.8%	75.7%	22.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	191	17	55	0	0	22
		45.1%	42.6%	65.1%	59.1%	.0%	24.3%	49.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	29	4	4	2	0	4
		5.2%	6.5%	15.2%	4.4%	29.1%	.0%	8.1%
Very dissatisfied	12	11	0	1	0	0	0	
	2.0%	2.4%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	99	82	1	15	0	0	9	
	17.0%	18.3%	4.0%	16.1%	3.2%	.0%	20.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	12	7	8	0	8
		49.0%	55.6%	15.1%	57.7%	25.0%	61.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	28	1	1	4
		42.6%	27.2%	58.3%	5.9%	75.0%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.8%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	4	10	3	0	1	
	8.4%	17.2%	20.8%	20.9%	.0%	9.6%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	12	17	2
		88.3%	31.4%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	26	3
		11.7%	46.6%	40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.8%	.0%
Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	8	2	
	.0%	14.2%	31.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied		J	J M	b	a		b
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b		b
	Very dissatisfied		b		b	a,b	b	
	DK/NA					a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	C			a		A B C F I N	
	Somewhat satisfied	b			a	b	b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a,b		b	
	Very dissatisfied	b	b		a,b	b	b	b
	DK/NA				a,b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b
	Very dissatisfied	b
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	179	15	21	14	8	9
	Somewhat satisfied	262	38	25	11	13	19
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	1	1	0	4	0
	Very dissatisfied	12	1	1	1	3	0
	DK/NA	99	6	4	4	9	4
			17.0%	10.0%	8.6%	12.2%	24.9%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	23	8	15	27	39
	Somewhat satisfied	14	21	14	61	47
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	3	3	11	7
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	4	3
	DK/NA	4	7	2	29	30
			9.4%	17.3%	5.4%	22.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	I				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	179	47	69	63
		30.7%	28.0%	45.1%	24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	86	61	116
		45.1%	51.2%	39.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	2	2	26
	5.2%	1.4%	1.6%	9.8%	
Very dissatisfied	12	5	5	2	
	2.0%	3.0%	3.2%	.7%	
DK/NA	99	27	16	56	
	17.0%	16.3%	10.2%	21.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		A C	
	Somewhat satisfied			A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	135	28	25	34	48
		29.3%	22.1%	27.7%	43.6%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	69	46	25	73
		46.1%	55.1%	51.3%	31.5%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21	4	5	4	7
	4.5%	3.0%	6.0%	5.6%	4.3%	
Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	7	
	2.4%	.0%	.3%	4.8%	4.2%	
DK/NA	82	25	13	11	33	
	17.8%	19.9%	14.7%	14.5%	19.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			A	
	Somewhat satisfied	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a			
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	178	48	34	12	14	37
		30.7%	38.9%	28.6%	28.9%	34.4%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	52	46	14	18	64
		45.3%	42.0%	38.7%	35.8%	44.3%	50.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	5	9	1	3	6
	5.1%	3.8%	7.5%	2.3%	7.3%	4.6%	
Very dissatisfied	12	4	3	4	0	0	
	2.0%	3.2%	2.8%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	98	15	27	9	6	21	
	16.9%	12.1%	22.4%	23.6%	13.9%	16.7%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	30	2	1
		26.6%	35.3%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	61	2	5
		54.7%	28.7%	53.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	0	1
	4.4%	.0%	13.1%	
Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	15	3	2	
	13.7%	36.0%	22.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F	a	a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	582
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	116 19.9%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	137 23.5%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	115 19.7%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	65 11.2%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	86 14.8%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	20 3.4%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	Never	22 3.9%	22 3.9%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	3 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total (A)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	.
	Once a week	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	53 19.0%	61 20.6%	1 33.7%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	71 25.2%	64 21.5%	2 66.3%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	59 21.1%	55 18.5%	0 .0%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	33 11.8%	32 10.7%	0 .0%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	36 12.7%	51 17.0%	0 .0%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	11 4.0%	9 2.9%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	13 4.2%	0 .0%
	Never	22 3.9%	10 3.6%	12 4.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .8%	1 .4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year			a
	Less than once a year			a
	Never			a
DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More than once a week	116	10	25	32	30	18	1
	Once a week	137	13	30	43	31	17	3
	A few times a month	115	15	21	28	33	17	1
	Once a month	65	12	8	13	16	15	1
	A few times a year	86	11	12	14	30	17	3
	Once a year	20	8	2	3	3	2	0
	Less than once a year	18	0	0	5	6	7	0
	Never	22	8	2	1	2	9	0
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
			.6%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						a
	Once a year						a
	Less than once a year						a
	Never	C D	a			C D	a
DK/NA	a	a			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	424	158
	More than once a week	116	78	37
	Once a week	137	99	38
	A few times a month	115	84	31
	Once a month	65	49	16
	A few times a year	86	71	15
	Once a year	20	15	5
	Less than once a year	18	16	2
	Never	22	11	12
	DK/NA	3	2	1
			.6%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	B	A
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More than once a week	116	48	11	2	54
		19.9%	19.7%	14.2%	13.5%	22.4%
	Once a week	137	59	15	3	59
		23.5%	24.2%	18.9%	21.6%	24.6%
	A few times a month	115	59	16	4	36
		19.7%	24.0%	20.3%	22.7%	14.9%
	Once a month	65	25	4	5	31
		11.2%	10.3%	4.6%	31.1%	13.0%
	A few times a year	86	28	19	1	38
		14.8%	11.4%	23.8%	6.0%	15.8%
Once a year	20	6	6	0	7	
	3.4%	2.6%	7.4%	.0%	3.1%	
Less than once a year	18	7	4	1	6	
	3.1%	2.9%	4.7%	5.1%	2.6%	
Never	22	12	4	0	7	
	3.9%	4.9%	4.7%	.0%	2.8%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	0	2	
	.6%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	A	B	a	a
	Once a week				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year				
	Never				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More than once a week	116	18	14	3	4	29	48
		19.9%	14.9%	20.8%	8.0%	15.4%	22.4%	23.4%
	Once a week	137	34	11	6	4	35	47
		23.5%	28.3%	16.0%	18.4%	14.8%	26.9%	23.1%
	A few times a month	115	31	16	6	7	24	32
		19.7%	25.4%	23.6%	17.8%	24.7%	18.5%	15.4%
	Once a month	65	12	9	2	1	9	31
		11.2%	10.2%	14.2%	6.3%	3.3%	7.2%	15.1%
	A few times a year	86	10	9	7	7	23	30
		14.8%	8.3%	14.2%	21.6%	24.1%	18.2%	14.4%
Once a year	20	3	1	5	1	6	4	
	3.4%	2.5%	1.6%	14.9%	4.1%	4.7%	1.7%	
Less than once a year	18	4	3	1	3	2	5	
	3.1%	3.4%	4.4%	3.6%	9.2%	1.5%	2.5%	
Never	22	8	3	2	1	1	7	
	3.9%	7.0%	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%	.5%	3.3%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year			F			
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
DK/NA	a	a		a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More than once a week	116	60	19	8	8
		19.9%	22.8%	24.6%	10.4%	17.5%
	Once a week	137	59	25	19	11
		23.5%	22.5%	31.9%	22.7%	24.0%
	A few times a month	115	51	16	21	5
		19.7%	19.3%	20.1%	26.3%	11.6%
	Once a month	65	33	7	7	7
		11.2%	12.4%	8.7%	9.1%	14.2%
	A few times a year	86	33	10	12	11
		14.8%	12.6%	13.1%	14.4%	24.3%
	Once a year	20	10	0	2	2
		3.4%	3.9%	.0%	2.1%	3.6%
Less than once a year	18	5	0	5	0	
	3.1%	1.9%	.2%	5.9%	.5%	
Never	22	10	1	7	1	
	3.9%	3.8%	1.5%	9.0%	1.9%	
DK/NA	3	2	0	0	1	
	.6%	.8%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More than once a week	3	4	9	3
		12.0%	18.2%	24.3%	13.7%
	Once a week	8	2	6	7
		28.6%	8.3%	16.5%	29.0%
	A few times a month	9	4	4	5
		33.4%	16.2%	10.4%	18.6%
	Once a month	2	5	3	2
		8.0%	20.5%	7.6%	7.7%
	A few times a year	2	3	10	4
		9.3%	11.8%	27.3%	17.3%
	Once a year	1	4	1	1
		2.1%	18.3%	1.5%	2.6%
Less than once a year	2	1	4	1	
	6.6%	3.9%	10.1%	5.1%	
Never	0	1	1	1	
	.0%	2.8%	2.3%	6.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year		a			
	Less than once a year					
	Never					a
DK/NA		a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More than once a week	116	0	41	13	4	4	0	6
		19.9%	.0%	23.7%	31.9%	9.3%	22.7%	.0%	14.5%
	Once a week	137	3	42	7	12	4	8	6
		23.5%	43.5%	24.2%	16.5%	25.7%	26.6%	58.4%	14.2%
	A few times a month	115	1	32	11	10	2	0	14
		19.7%	21.8%	18.4%	25.5%	22.0%	9.8%	1.1%	33.2%
	Once a month	65	1	11	0	7	0	3	5
		11.2%	15.8%	6.3%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	20.3%	11.6%
	A few times a year	86	1	34	8	6	5	2	7
	14.8%	9.0%	19.4%	17.9%	12.3%	32.6%	15.5%	15.5%	
Once a year	20	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	
	3.4%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	6.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	18	0	6	0	2	1	0	2	
	3.1%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	3.8%	4.8%	.0%	4.9%	
Never	22	1	2	2	3	0	1	3	
	3.9%	9.9%	1.3%	5.5%	6.6%	.0%	4.8%	6.1%	
DK/NA	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	.6%	.0%	1.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More than once a week	21	12	12	0	2
		21.9%	16.5%	18.2%	.0%	41.4%
	Once a week	20	24	8	1	1
		21.2%	32.6%	12.6%	56.1%	25.5%
	A few times a month	20	10	14	0	1
		20.6%	13.0%	21.8%	.0%	21.3%
	Once a month	14	10	16	0	0
		14.2%	13.0%	24.2%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	11	6	6	1	1
	11.7%	8.2%	9.2%	43.9%	11.8%	
Once a year	3	3	8	0	0	
	2.9%	4.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	3	2	1	0	0	
	3.4%	3.2%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	
Never	4	7	0	0	0	
	4.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a					a		
	Once a week						J		
	A few times a month								
	Once a month			a		a			
	A few times a year							a	a
	Once a year	a		a					
	Less than once a year	a		a					
	Never								
DK/NA	a			a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			a	
	Once a week				
	A few times a month			a	
	Once a month		B	a	a
	A few times a year				
	Once a year		B	a	a
	Less than once a year			a	a
	Never			a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	464	118
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	89 19.2%	27 22.7%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	113 24.3%	24 20.4%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	90 19.3%	25 21.0%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	47 10.1%	18 15.3%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	73 15.7%	14 11.5%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	12 2.6%	8 6.4%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	15 3.3%	3 2.2%
	Never	22 3.9%	22 4.7%	1 .5%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	3 .7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		A
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never	B	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	347	235
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	73 21.0%	43 18.3%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	76 22.0%	60 25.7%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	62 17.8%	53 22.4%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	30 8.6%	35 15.0%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	66 18.9%	21 8.8%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	10 2.8%	10 4.3%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	14 4.0%	4 1.8%
	Never	22 3.9%	15 4.3%	8 3.2%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .6%	1 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year	B	A
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More than once a week	116	5	11	24	24
		19.9%	41.8%	22.3%	25.1%	18.4%
	Once a week	137	6	9	23	34
		23.5%	54.7%	17.7%	24.7%	25.7%
	A few times a month	115	0	13	22	27
		19.7%	.0%	26.8%	22.9%	20.3%
	Once a month	65	0	4	11	13
		11.2%	.0%	8.5%	11.4%	9.9%
	A few times a year	86	0	3	6	22
		14.8%	.9%	6.7%	6.1%	16.8%
	Once a year	20	0	0	0	8
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%
	Less than once a year	18	0	2	3	3
	3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	3.0%	2.4%	
Never	22	0	6	5	1	
	3.9%	.0%	12.1%	5.6%	.5%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	1	0	
	.6%	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	163	133	1
	More than once a week	22	30	1
		13.5%	22.6%	100.0%
	Once a week	45	20	0
		27.7%	15.1%	.0%
	A few times a month	30	23	0
		18.2%	17.6%	.0%
	Once a month	28	9	0
		17.3%	6.8%	.0%
	A few times a year	20	35	0
		12.3%	26.4%	.0%
	Once a year	7	5	0
		4.2%	3.7%	.0%
	Less than once a year	5	5	0
	3.1%	3.6%	.0%	
Never	5	6	0	
	3.1%	4.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	F			
	Once a week	.b			
	A few times a month	.,b			
	Once a month	.,b			
	A few times a year	.,b	.b	.b	
	Once a year	.,b	.b	.b	
	Less than once a year	.,b			
	Never	.,b	D		.b
	DK/NA	.,b			.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			a,,b
	Once a week			a,,b
	A few times a month			a,,b
	Once a month			a,,b
	A few times a year		C E	a,,b
	Once a year			a,,b
	Less than once a year			a,,b
	Never		.b	a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More than once a week	116	4	52	27	24	8	2
	Once a week	137	12	47	24	29	19	6
	A few times a month	114	3	41	40	19	11	1
	Once a month	65	5	19	18	18	5	0
	A few times a year	86	8	32	18	17	7	3
	Once a year	20	1	9	5	0	5	0
	Less than once a year	18	3	6	5	4	0	0
	Never	21	8	5	3	3	3	0
	DK/NA	3	0	1	1	1	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month			A			
	Once a month						
	A few times a year					a	a
	Once a year					a	a
	Less than once a year					a	a
	Never	B C D					a
DK/NA	a					a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	More than once a week	116	0	0	35	0
	Once a week	137	0	0	47	0
	A few times a month	115	1	0	34	1
	Once a month	65	0	0	27	1
	A few times a year	86	0	0	32	0
	Once a year	20	0	3	6	0
	Less than once a year	18	1	0	2	0
	Never	22	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	106	15	25	8
	More than once a week	23	1	5	1
	Once a week	32	4	3	0
	A few times a month	26	4	7	3
	Once a month	9	1	3	0
	A few times a year	10	2	1	2
	Once a year	3	0	3	0
	Less than once a year	3	1	2	1
	Never	0	2	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	14	10	148	23
	More than once a week	0	0	39	4
	Once a week	48.9%	28.2%	17.1%	23.2%
	A few times a month	2	1	26	4
	Once a month	11.3%	12.0%	17.4%	16.3%
	A few times a year	2	0	14	4
	Once a year	11.7%	.0%	9.4%	19.3%
	Less than once a year	3	1	23	4
	Never	21.0%	10.2%	15.5%	18.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	5	1
		.0%	.0%	3.5%	2.4%
		1	0	6	0
		7.1%	.0%	3.7%	.0%
		0	4	10	1
	.0%	39.4%	6.9%	4.6%	
	0	1	0	0	
	.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	12	6	17
	More than once a week	4	3	1
	Once a week	32.3%	50.4%	6.8%
	A few times a month	3	2	6
	Once a month	25.8%	26.8%	31.9%
	A few times a year	0	1	4
	Once a year	.0%	11.1%	21.9%
	Less than once a year	1	0	2
	Never	9.8%	3.6%	11.8%
	DK/NA	3	0	5
		23.7%	3.6%	27.6%
		0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
		1	0	0
	7.0%	.0%	.0%	
	0	0	0	
	1.5%	4.5%	.0%	
	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a	a		a
	Once a week	a	a		a
	A few times a month	.	a		.
	Once a month	a	a		.
	A few times a year	a	a		a
	Once a year	a	a		a
	Less than once a year	C E K	a		a
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a		a
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week				a
	Once a week				.
	A few times a month				a
	Once a month				.
	A few times a year		a		a
	Once a year		.		.
	Less than once a year			a	C
	Never	a			a
DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a	a		
	Once a week	.	.		
	A few times a month	.	a		
	Once a month	.	.		
	A few times a year	a	a		a
	Once a year	.	a		.
	Less than once a year	.	C K		.
	Never	a	.	a	a
	DK/NA	a	C	.	a
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month	a		
	Once a month			
	A few times a year		a	a
	Once a year	a	a	a
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never			a
DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More than once a week	67	16	39	6	2	3
		25.0%	15.4%	34.6%	21.2%	19.3%	36.2%
	Once a week	71	26	33	8	1	2
		26.6%	25.4%	29.2%	27.1%	13.4%	21.2%
	A few times a month	55	23	18	8	4	1
		20.5%	22.5%	15.9%	25.6%	38.5%	14.5%
	Once a month	29	9	12	4	3	0
		10.9%	8.9%	10.8%	14.6%	28.7%	1.8%
	A few times a year	33	23	7	2	0	2
		12.4%	22.2%	5.9%	5.2%	.0%	19.0%
	Once a year	6	4	2	0	0	0
	2.2%	3.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	4	2	1	1	0	0	
	1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
Never	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	1	0	0	
	.8%	.0%	1.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		A			
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month				a	
	A few times a year	B			a	a
	Once a year			a	a	a
	Less than once a year				a	a
	Never	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More than once a week	68	15	41	9	1	1
		17.8%	14.3%	18.3%	27.6%	19.6%	10.6%
	Once a week	78	18	44	9	2	4
		20.5%	17.0%	19.5%	28.2%	55.8%	38.4%
	A few times a month	72	19	40	9	0	4
		19.0%	17.7%	17.7%	27.0%	.0%	40.2%
	Once a month	49	16	29	4	0	0
		12.8%	14.7%	12.8%	12.4%	.0%	1.5%
	A few times a year	71	21	47	1	0	1
		18.6%	19.8%	21.0%	4.0%	.0%	9.4%
	Once a year	15	6	10	0	0	0
	4.0%	5.1%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	16	5	10	0	1	0	
	4.1%	4.4%	4.3%	.0%	24.6%	.0%	
Never	12	8	4	0	0	0	
	3.0%	7.0%	1.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month				a	
	Once a month				a	
	A few times a year				a	
	Once a year			a	a	a
	Less than once a year			a	a	a
	Never	B			a	a
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More than once a week	116	93	4	23	2	0	9
		19.9%	20.8%	13.5%	25.0%	26.8%	.0%	19.7%
	Once a week	137	101	8	23	4	0	10
		23.5%	22.4%	30.2%	25.2%	48.9%	.0%	23.4%
	A few times a month	115	89	2	19	2	1	11
		19.7%	19.9%	8.9%	19.9%	23.1%	93.5%	24.2%
	Once a month	65	37	4	10	0	0	9
		11.2%	8.4%	16.1%	11.3%	1.3%	6.5%	20.4%
	A few times a year	86	73	5	16	0	0	3
		14.8%	16.3%	20.6%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	7.8%
	Once a year	20	17	3	0	0	0	1
	3.4%	3.8%	10.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	
Less than once a year	18	15	0	0	0	0	1	
	3.1%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
Never	22	20	0	0	0	0	0	
	3.9%	4.5%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	
	.6%	.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	More than once a week	1	5	11	2	0	2
		15.6%	22.4%	22.7%	11.7%	.0%	18.2%
	Once a week	3	3	9	2	0	2
		42.6%	12.5%	19.4%	17.2%	.0%	13.8%
	A few times a month	2	7	7	5	0	4
		36.9%	32.8%	14.8%	35.8%	.0%	36.0%
	Once a month	0	3	6	3	1	0
		3.5%	15.0%	12.4%	22.3%	100.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	0	1	13	1	0	3
		1.4%	4.7%	28.3%	4.9%	.0%	20.8%
	Once a year	0	3	0	0	0	0
	.0%	12.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	
Never	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	2.4%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	14	56	7
	More than once a week	0	16	2
		.0%	28.7%	29.4%
	Once a week	7	18	1
		48.9%	32.8%	15.7%
	A few times a month	2	14	2
		11.3%	24.5%	36.8%
	Once a month	2	0	0
		11.7%	.4%	2.4%
	A few times a year	3	6	1
		21.0%	11.0%	15.7%
	Once a year	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	1	1	0	
	7.1%	2.1%	.0%	
Never	0	0	0	
	.0%	.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					a,,b	
	Once a week					a,,b	
	A few times a month					a	
	Once a month					a	N
	A few times a year				.b	a,,b	
	Once a year			.b	.b	a,,b	
	Less than once a year		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	
	Never		.b		.b	a,,b	.b
DK/NA		.b			a,,b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					a,,b	
	Once a week					a,,b	
	A few times a month					a,,b	
	Once a month				N	a,,b	.b
	A few times a year					a,,b	
	Once a year	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Less than once a year	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Never	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	.b		
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year	.b	.b	.b
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year	.b		.b
	Never	.b		.b
DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
More than once a week	116	7	12	2	6	5
	19.9%	12.0%	22.9%	7.6%	17.6%	16.3%
Once a week	137	17	11	6	12	7
	23.5%	27.6%	21.7%	20.0%	31.5%	20.7%
A few times a month	115	11	6	4	8	7
	19.7%	17.5%	11.9%	13.5%	22.8%	20.5%
Once a month	65	6	13	5	1	5
	11.2%	10.3%	25.3%	18.7%	2.9%	14.8%
A few times a year	86	9	3	5	4	5
	14.8%	15.7%	5.7%	16.2%	9.8%	16.3%
Once a year	20	3	3	3	2	2
	3.4%	5.5%	5.4%	11.5%	4.6%	5.3%
Less than once a year	18	1	1	3	2	1
	3.1%	2.0%	1.5%	10.3%	6.2%	2.8%
Never	22	6	3	1	2	0
	3.9%	9.3%	5.6%	2.2%	4.6%	.0%
DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	1
	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%

	Total	Household Income				Not sure/DK/NA
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
Total	41	38	34	132	126	
More than once a week	8	9	8	34	24	
	20.0%	22.3%	23.8%	25.8%	18.9%	
Once a week	12	9	10	33	22	
	28.7%	22.9%	28.6%	24.6%	17.7%	
A few times a month	5	8	11	27	28	
	13.0%	20.7%	31.5%	20.6%	22.0%	
Once a month	9	2	0	14	10	
	21.3%	4.2%	.0%	10.7%	7.9%	
A few times a year	6	6	3	18	28	
	14.2%	16.5%	8.7%	13.3%	21.8%	
Once a year	0	3	1	2	2	
	.0%	7.4%	1.7%	1.4%	1.3%	
Less than once a year	0	0	2	5	3	
	.0%	.0%	5.7%	3.7%	2.3%	
Never	1	2	0	0	8	
	2.8%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month			a		
	Once a month					
	A few times a year	a				
	Once a year	a	a			
	Less than once a year					
	Never			a	a	
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More than once a week	116	32	25	58
		19.9%	19.4%	16.6%	22.2%
	Once a week	137	36	44	56
		23.5%	21.8%	29.0%	21.5%
	A few times a month	115	23	33	58
		19.7%	13.5%	21.9%	22.3%
	Once a month	65	32	17	16
		11.2%	19.4%	10.8%	6.2%
	A few times a year	86	20	11	55
		14.8%	11.9%	7.5%	21.0%
	Once a year	20	9	7	3
	3.4%	5.7%	4.8%	1.1%	
Less than once a year	18	5	6	8	
	3.1%	2.7%	3.7%	2.9%	
Never	22	10	9	4	
	3.9%	5.7%	5.7%	1.6%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	3	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month	C		
	A few times a year			A B
	Once a year	C		
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
DK/NA	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more	
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	462	126	90	78	168	
	More than once a week	89	27	14	16	31	
	Once a week	113	39	27	19	28	
	A few times a month	90	19	24	20	27	
	Once a month	47	14	9	8	16	
	A few times a year	75	18	10	12	35	
	Once a year	11	2	0	2	8	
	Less than once a year	16	2	2	0	11	
	Never	20	6	4	0	11	
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	
			.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week				
	Once a week	D			
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year		a		
	Once a year		.		
	Less than once a year			a	
	Never			.	
DK/NA		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	
	More than once a week	115	31	17	4	8	27	
	Once a week	135	21	37	7	8	34	
	A few times a month	115	30	28	8	8	14	
	Once a month	65	10	15	2	2	19	
	A few times a year	86	18	10	12	7	21	
	Once a year	20	3	3	2	4	3	
	Less than once a year	18	5	2	2	2	5	
	Never	22	5	7	3	1	4	
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	1	1	
			.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.9%

		Party by Gender			
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth	
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	112	7	9	
	More than once a week	27	0	2	
	Once a week	24	2	1	
	A few times a month	22	3	1	
	Once a month	13	1	4	
	A few times a year	17	0	1	
	Once a year	5	0	0	
	Less than once a year	1	1	0	
	Never	2	0	0	
	DK/NA	1	0	0	
			.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year			B		
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never	a	a	a		
DK/NA	.	.	.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			A C D
	A few times a year		a	a
	Once a year		.	.
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never		a	a
DK/NA		.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	582
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	33 5.7%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	47 8.0%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	145 25.0%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	33 5.7%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	76 13.1%
	Never	172 29.6%	172 29.6%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	26 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.
	Once a week	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	11 3.7%	13 4.3%	0 .0%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	12 4.3%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	11 3.8%	16 5.2%	0 .0%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	25 9.0%	21 6.9%	1 34.4%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	64 22.8%	81 27.3%	0 .0%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	18 6.5%	15 5.0%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	40 14.2%	34 11.4%	2 65.6%
	Never	172 29.6%	88 31.3%	84 28.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	13 4.4%	13 4.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a
	Once a week			a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year			a
	Less than once a year			A B
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Age					Not coded
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	2 2.6%	4 3.9%	7 4.8%	5 3.0%	6 6.0%	0 .0%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	17 12.0%	8 5.5%	4 4.0%	2 19.6%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	5 6.7%	3 2.8%	9 6.3%	6 3.7%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	5 6.1%	11 10.5%	9 6.5%	7 4.8%	15 14.8%	0 .0%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	15 19.8%	35 34.8%	38 27.1%	44 29.2%	10 10.0%	2 24.9%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	13 9.4%	11 7.4%	6 5.7%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	10 13.3%	9 9.0%	14 10.0%	29 18.9%	13 12.6%	1 11.8%
	Never	172 29.6%	33 43.0%	32 31.4%	25 17.4%	39 25.3%	41 40.1%	3 36.8%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	2 2.0%	8 7.6%	9 6.7%	3 2.2%	3 3.1%	1 6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week						a
	Once a week		a				
	A few times a month						a
	Once a month						a
	A few times a year		E	E	E		
	Once a year		a				a
	Less than once a year						
	Never	C				C	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	424	158
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	18 4.3%	5 3.4%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	21 4.9%	13 7.9%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	15 3.5%	12 7.3%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	28 6.6%	19 11.9%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	118 27.9%	27 17.3%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	28 6.7%	5 3.1%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	66 15.4%	10 6.7%
	Never	172 29.6%	113 26.5%	59 37.7%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	18 4.3%	7 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		A
	Once a month		A
	A few times a year	B	
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	B	
	Never		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Party			DTS
			Democrat	Republican	Other	
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	15 6.1%	4 4.6%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	10 4.3%	6 7.4%	2 9.8%	15 6.4%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	11 4.6%	2 2.6%	0 .0%	13 5.4%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	13 5.2%	3 4.2%	0 .0%	31 12.7%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	53 21.8%	12 14.3%	3 19.1%	78 32.1%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	14 5.5%	5 6.3%	2 11.7%	13 5.3%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	25 10.3%	18 22.3%	7 47.0%	25 10.5%
	Never	172 29.6%	89 36.4%	26 32.2%	2 12.4%	55 22.9%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	14 5.7%	5 6.2%	0 .0%	7 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a	
	Once a week				
	A few times a month			a	
	Once a month			a	A
	A few times a year				B
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year		A D	A D	
	Never	D			
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More than once a week	23	5	7	2	0	4	5
		4.0%	3.9%	10.6%	7.6%	.0%	3.4%	2.4%
	Once a week	33	9	1	5	0	1	17
		5.7%	7.6%	1.9%	14.0%	.5%	1.0%	8.3%
	A few times a month	26	5	3	2	0	5	11
		4.5%	3.8%	4.6%	5.9%	.5%	3.9%	5.6%
	Once a month	47	5	3	0	2	10	26
		8.0%	4.0%	4.9%	.3%	7.7%	7.8%	12.8%
	A few times a year	145	31	12	3	7	35	59
		25.0%	25.2%	17.6%	9.8%	24.3%	26.9%	28.5%
Once a year	33	6	5	1	2	5	13	
	5.7%	5.0%	7.8%	4.2%	8.6%	4.1%	6.2%	
Less than once a year	76	14	5	5	6	25	21	
	13.1%	11.9%	7.0%	14.1%	22.9%	19.1%	10.4%	
Never	172	41	28	13	8	34	49	
	29.6%	33.5%	42.3%	39.5%	27.3%	26.6%	23.7%	
DK/NA	26	6	2	1	2	9	4	
	4.4%	5.0%	3.3%	4.5%	8.1%	7.1%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		F		a		
	Once a week						
	A few times a month			E			
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More than once a week	23	8	5	2	3
		4.0%	3.0%	6.6%	2.4%	7.2%
	Once a week	33	16	4	5	3
		5.7%	6.1%	5.3%	5.8%	5.4%
	A few times a month	26	12	4	6	2
		4.5%	4.4%	5.1%	7.6%	5.1%
	Once a month	47	21	3	6	5
		8.0%	8.1%	4.4%	7.5%	10.5%
	A few times a year	145	71	25	16	12
		25.0%	27.0%	31.6%	19.5%	24.5%
Once a year	33	10	6	4	4	
	5.7%	3.6%	8.1%	5.4%	8.9%	
Less than once a year	76	30	8	10	8	
	13.1%	11.2%	10.5%	12.3%	17.5%	
Never	172	81	17	29	10	
	29.6%	30.9%	21.9%	36.2%	20.8%	
DK/NA	26	15	5	3	0	
	4.4%	5.6%	6.4%	3.4%	.2%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More than once a week	0	0	3	3
		.0%	.0%	6.7%	10.4%
	Once a week	0	2	2	2
		.0%	7.6%	6.3%	7.1%
	A few times a month	1	1	0	0
		4.1%	2.8%	.4%	.0%
	Once a month	5	3	2	1
		19.7%	13.2%	5.8%	2.6%
	A few times a year	10	2	7	4
		36.3%	9.8%	17.3%	15.3%
Once a year	4	2	2	1	
	14.6%	9.2%	5.2%	2.8%	
Less than once a year	3	4	9	4	
	13.2%	19.0%	22.5%	14.5%	
Never	2	9	14	10	
	7.6%	38.5%	35.5%	40.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	2	
	4.5%	.0%	.2%	7.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a
	Once a week					a
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a		
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More than once a week	23	0	8	3	0	0	1	3
		4.0%	.0%	4.5%	7.9%	.0%	.9%	7.8%	6.5%
	Once a week	33	0	11	5	5	0	3	0
		5.7%	.0%	6.3%	11.1%	10.0%	.9%	24.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	1	10	0	1	0	0	4
		4.5%	9.9%	6.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	9.5%
	Once a month	47	0	8	0	1	0	3	1
		8.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	19.7%	1.6%
	A few times a year	145	3	57	7	6	1	3	10
		25.0%	43.5%	33.0%	17.6%	12.2%	5.4%	22.1%	23.7%
	Once a year	33	1	10	4	6	0	0	3
	5.7%	12.0%	6.0%	9.8%	14.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	
Less than once a year	76	1	29	5	4	5	0	5	
	13.1%	9.0%	16.7%	11.8%	9.2%	31.4%	1.1%	11.9%	
Never	172	2	31	16	21	10	2	15	
	29.6%	25.7%	18.1%	38.4%	45.3%	61.3%	12.6%	36.2%	
DK/NA	26	0	8	1	2	0	2	2	
	4.4%	.0%	4.6%	3.5%	4.8%	.0%	12.6%	4.5%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More than once a week	2	5	2	0	0
		1.9%	6.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	5	3	2	0	0
		4.9%	4.4%	2.6%	9.8%	.0%
	A few times a month	7	2	0	0	1
		7.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	Once a month	16	10	9	0	0
		16.2%	13.6%	13.8%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	22	11	23	1	2
		23.0%	14.4%	35.0%	46.3%	40.4%
	Once a year	1	7	1	0	0
	1.4%	9.0%	.9%	.0%	4.3%	
Less than once a year	17	6	4	1	0	
	17.2%	7.5%	5.5%	43.9%	5.3%	
Never	25	25	25	0	0	
	25.7%	33.6%	39.0%	.0%	6.5%	
DK/NA	2	7	0	0	1	
	2.5%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a			a				
	Once a week	a						a	
	A few times a month			a			a	a	
	Once a month	a		a		a			B
	A few times a year					a	a		
	Once a year								
	Less than once a year				B				
	Never	a				B			
DK/NA									

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a	a
	Once a week			a	a
	A few times a month		a	a	
	Once a month			a	a
	A few times a year			a	
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year			a	
	Never		B	a	
DK/NA		a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		582	464	118
More than once a week		23	19	4
		4.0%	4.2%	3.5%
Once a week		33	27	6
		5.7%	5.8%	5.3%
A few times a month		26	21	5
		4.5%	4.5%	4.6%
Once a month		47	44	3
		8.0%	9.5%	2.5%
A few times a year		145	113	33
		25.0%	24.3%	27.7%
Once a year		33	23	10
		5.7%	5.0%	8.6%
Less than once a year		76	68	8
		13.1%	14.6%	7.2%
Never		172	132	40
		29.6%	28.4%	34.1%
DK/NA		26	18	8
		4.4%	3.8%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month	B	
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	B	
	Never		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	347	235
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	15 4.2%	9 3.8%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	19 5.4%	15 6.2%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	16 4.5%	11 4.5%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	24 6.9%	23 9.7%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	84 24.1%	62 26.2%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	22 6.4%	11 4.7%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	58 16.7%	18 7.7%
	Never	172 29.6%	99 28.5%	73 31.1%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	11 3.2%	14 6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	B	
	Never		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	0 .0%	5 9.3%	7 7.6%	3 2.0%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	0 .0%	7 14.0%	7 7.1%	9 7.0%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	2 14.7%	3 5.8%	6 6.9%	3 2.4%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	10 11.0%	16 12.4%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	0 .0%	10 21.0%	19 19.8%	36 27.6%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	0 .0%	4 8.1%	4 3.8%	5 4.0%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	0 2.7%	2 3.4%	9 9.4%	19 14.3%
	Never	172 29.6%	8 71.9%	15 30.0%	22 23.3%	39 29.6%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	1 10.7%	3 5.6%	10 11.1%	1 .8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	163	133	1
	More than once a week	4 2.5%	5 3.8%	0 .0%
	Once a week	6 3.7%	5 3.5%	0 .0%
	A few times a month	8 5.0%	4 3.0%	0 .0%
	Once a month	14 8.7%	5 3.4%	0 .0%
	A few times a year	50 30.5%	30 22.9%	0 .0%
	Once a year	12 7.3%	8 6.3%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	20 12.1%	27 20.1%	0 .0%
	Never	41 25.3%	47 35.2%	1 100.0%
	DK/NA	8 5.0%	2 1.6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.			
	Once a week	a			
	A few times a month	.			
	Once a month	a			
	A few times a year	a			
	Once a year	a			
	Less than once a year				
	Never	C E			
DK/NA			D F		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a, b
	Once a week			a, b
	A few times a month			a, b
	Once a month			a, b
	A few times a year			a, b
	Once a year			a, b
	Less than once a year			a, b
	Never			a, b
DK/NA			a, b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More than once a week	23	3	12	5	4	0	0
		4.0%	6.5%	5.5%	3.7%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	33	3	10	12	6	3	0
		5.8%	6.9%	4.6%	8.2%	4.9%	5.8%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	0	9	10	6	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	4.3%	7.3%	5.5%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	47	6	13	21	4	2	1
		8.1%	12.6%	6.1%	14.8%	3.8%	3.3%	10.9%
	A few times a year	145	3	51	34	30	23	5
		25.1%	6.8%	24.1%	24.0%	26.3%	39.6%	42.6%
	Once a year	33	3	12	6	7	4	2
		5.7%	6.8%	5.5%	4.1%	6.1%	7.1%	14.7%
Less than once a year	76	5	24	23	17	8	0	
	13.1%	10.2%	11.3%	16.4%	14.6%	13.8%	.0%	
Never	171	21	70	23	34	17	4	
	29.4%	47.6%	33.4%	16.7%	30.0%	30.0%	31.8%	
DK/NA	26	1	11	7	6	0	0	
	4.4%	2.6%	5.3%	4.8%	5.6%	.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a	a
	Once a week					.	a
	A few times a month	a				a	a
	Once a month	.		D		.	.
	A few times a year					A	A
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						a
	Never	C	C				
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	582	3	3	189	2
More than once a week	23	0	0	7	0
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	.0%
Once a week	33	0	0	19	0
	5.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
A few times a month	26	1	0	13	0
	4.5%	50.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%
Once a month	47	0	0	29	0
	8.0%	.0%	.0%	15.1%	.0%
A few times a year	145	0	0	40	0
	25.0%	.0%	.0%	21.2%	.0%
Once a year	33	0	0	14	1
	5.7%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	51.2%
Less than once a year	76	0	0	20	0
	13.1%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%
Never	172	1	3	43	0
	29.6%	50.0%	100.0%	22.5%	.0%
DK/NA	26	0	0	5	1
	4.4%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	48.8%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
Total	106	15	25	8
More than once a week	1	1	0	0
	1.0%	9.4%	.0%	.0%
Once a week	5	0	0	0
	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
A few times a month	6	0	3	0
	6.1%	.0%	11.4%	.0%
Once a month	5	0	0	0
	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
A few times a year	45	1	7	4
	42.7%	6.7%	26.4%	53.8%
Once a year	5	0	1	0
	4.7%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
Less than once a year	13	7	4	0
	12.2%	47.6%	17.2%	.0%
Never	19	6	10	4
	17.7%	36.3%	40.6%	46.2%
DK/NA	6	0	0	0
	6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	14	10	148	23
More than once a week	0	0	12	1
	.0%	.0%	7.9%	4.6%
Once a week	0	3	5	1
	.0%	28.2%	3.4%	3.5%
A few times a month	0	0	3	0
	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
Once a month	0	0	7	0
	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
A few times a year	10	0	26	4
	76.1%	.0%	17.6%	18.3%
Once a year	2	0	8	1
	12.6%	.0%	5.7%	2.4%
Less than once a year	0	1	22	0
	.0%	10.2%	15.0%	.0%
Never	2	4	57	17
	11.3%	39.4%	38.3%	71.1%
DK/NA	0	2	8	0
	.0%	22.2%	5.3%	.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	12	6	17
More than once a week	0	0	0
	3.8%	6.6%	.0%
Once a week	1	0	0
	8.1%	.0%	.0%
A few times a month	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%
Once a month	0	2	3
	.0%	41.6%	18.1%
A few times a year	3	1	4
	23.7%	10.2%	23.2%
Once a year	1	0	1
	8.0%	.0%	3.7%
Less than once a year	3	2	3
	28.0%	37.0%	15.6%
Never	3	0	4
	28.4%	4.5%	25.0%
DK/NA	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	14.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a		a
	Once a week	a	a		a
	A few times a month	C E K	a		a
	Once a month	a	a	K	a
	A few times a year	a	a		a
	Once a year	a	a		a
	Less than once a year	a	a		a
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		C

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a	a
	Once a week		a	a	a
	A few times a month		a	a	a
	Once a month		a	a	a
	A few times a year	C K	a	a	a
	Once a year		a	a	a
	Less than once a year		C E	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a		
	Once a week	a	K		
	A few times a month	a	a		a
	Once a month	a	a		a
	A few times a year	C F K L	a		
	Once a year	a	a		a
	Less than once a year	a			a
	Never	a		E	C E I
	DK/NA	a	C		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a
	Once a week		a	a
	A few times a month	a	a	a
	Once a month	a	E K	a
	A few times a year		a	
	Once a year		a	
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More than once a week	17 6.3%	1 1.4%	9 8.1%	5 15.7%	1 13.4%	0 .0%
	Once a week	19 7.3%	3 3.0%	11 9.6%	4 13.9%	1 11.3%	0 .0%
	A few times a month	14 5.1%	4 3.7%	8 7.2%	2 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Once a month	20 7.5%	8 8.1%	7 6.6%	4 12.8%	0 .0%	0 2.5%
	A few times a year	75 28.1%	32 30.7%	32 28.8%	7 23.4%	3 31.6%	0 1.8%
	Once a year	16 6.0%	5 5.0%	8 7.4%	3 8.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	38 14.1%	20 18.9%	11 10.2%	3 9.9%	1 9.6%	2 27.1%
	Never	49 18.2%	26 24.9%	11 9.7%	3 10.6%	3 23.3%	6 68.5%
	DK/NA	20 7.3%	4 4.2%	14 12.4%	0 .0%	1 10.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			A		a
	Once a week					a
	A few times a month				a	a
	Once a month				a	a
	A few times a year				a	a
	Once a year				a	a
	Less than once a year					
	Never	B				B C
	DK/NA			a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More than once a week	11	4	3	3	1	0
		2.9%	4.0%	1.3%	7.8%	32.5%	.0%
	Once a week	19	9	7	3	0	0
		5.0%	8.2%	3.1%	9.6%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	15	6	7	2	0	0
		3.9%	5.4%	3.1%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	35	9	20	5	0	2
		9.3%	8.5%	8.7%	14.3%	.0%	16.9%
	A few times a year	92	17	57	13	1	4
		24.1%	15.9%	25.2%	39.7%	24.6%	34.1%
Once a year	23	7	16	0	0	0	
	5.9%	6.5%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Less than once a year	53	11	36	2	1	2	
	13.8%	10.6%	16.2%	5.0%	17.5%	21.6%	
Never	121	39	73	5	1	2	
	31.7%	36.3%	32.7%	16.1%	25.4%	16.4%	
DK/NA	12	5	6	0	0	1	
	3.3%	4.7%	2.7%	.4%	.0%	11.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				B	a
	Once a week				a	a
	A few times a month				a	a
	Once a month				a	a
	A few times a year			A	a	a
	Once a year			a	a	a
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More than once a week	23	22	1	4	2	0	0
		4.0%	5.0%	4.4%	4.2%	26.8%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	33	24	4	9	0	0	1
		5.7%	5.3%	13.8%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	A few times a month	26	17	0	8	3	0	5
		4.5%	3.8%	.0%	8.7%	35.7%	.0%	12.1%
	Once a month	47	22	3	11	0	0	0
		8.0%	4.9%	12.1%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	145	113	7	18	0	0	20
		25.0%	25.2%	28.1%	19.8%	.0%	24.3%	46.0%
Once a year	33	24	1	5	0	0	4	
	5.7%	5.4%	4.4%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	8.9%	
Less than once a year	76	61	6	10	1	0	3	
	13.1%	13.6%	22.5%	10.4%	14.5%	6.5%	7.2%	
Never	172	141	4	25	2	1	8	
	29.6%	31.4%	14.6%	26.4%	23.0%	69.2%	18.3%	
DK/NA	26	24	0	3	0	0	2	
	4.4%	5.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
More than once a week	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Once a week	0	0	3	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
A few times a month	0	3	0	0	0	0
	.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Once a month	0	0	10	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
A few times a year	2	7	10	3	0	4
	27.3%	29.7%	21.0%	22.0%	25.0%	35.1%
Once a year	0	0	0	1	1	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	75.0%	13.8%
Less than once a year	3	4	8	3	0	0
	42.6%	19.4%	16.6%	19.8%	.0%	1.9%
Never	2	8	10	7	0	4
	28.7%	38.1%	20.8%	52.3%	.0%	30.2%
DK/NA	0	0	4	0	0	1
	1.4%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	.0%	9.3%

	Primary Languages in Household		
	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	14	56	7
More than once a week	0	0	0
	.0%	.5%	.0%
Once a week	0	1	0
	.0%	2.2%	.0%
A few times a month	0	4	0
	.0%	6.3%	.0%
Once a month	0	7	3
	.0%	12.9%	40.7%
A few times a year	10	24	1
	76.1%	42.3%	11.8%
Once a year	2	2	0
	12.6%	3.5%	.0%
Less than once a year	0	6	2
	.0%	10.5%	36.2%
Never	2	9	1
	11.3%	16.7%	11.3%
DK/NA	0	3	0
	.0%	5.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				N .b	a,,b	.b
	Once a week					a,,b	
	A few times a month		.b		A	a,,b	
	Once a month				.b	a,,b	.b
	A few times a year				.b	a	
	Once a year				.b	a,,b	
	Less than once a year					a	
	Never					a	
DK/NA		.b		.b	a,,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.b	.b		.b	a,,b	.b
	Once a week	.b	.b		.b	a,,b	
	A few times a month	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Once a month	.b	.b	A	.b	a,,b	.b
	A few times a year	.b		.b		a	
	Once a year	.b	.b	.b		a	
	Less than once a year					a,,b	
	Never					a,,b	
DK/NA		.b		.b	a,,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.b		.b
	Once a week	.b		.b
	A few times a month	.b		.b
	Once a month	.b		A
	A few times a year	A C I		.b
	Once a year			.b
	Less than once a year	.b		
	Never			.b
DK/NA	.b		.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	More than once a week	23	3	1	0	1	0
		4.0%	4.4%	1.4%	.5%	3.1%	.0%
	Once a week	33	5	3	0	1	2
		5.7%	8.4%	4.9%	.0%	1.6%	5.3%
	A few times a month	26	3	2	1	1	3
		4.5%	5.8%	3.2%	4.7%	3.6%	8.8%
	Once a month	47	14	12	1	0	3
		8.0%	22.9%	23.5%	2.2%	.0%	10.4%
	A few times a year	145	12	5	6	11	5
		25.0%	19.1%	9.4%	19.6%	29.7%	15.2%
	Once a year	33	1	0	6	0	1
		5.7%	2.4%	.6%	19.0%	.4%	4.3%
Less than once a year	76	2	5	3	6	8	
	13.1%	2.9%	9.5%	11.2%	15.8%	24.0%	
Never	172	20	24	9	15	8	
	29.6%	33.0%	46.1%	31.4%	41.4%	23.5%	
DK/NA	26	1	1	3	2	3	
	4.4%	1.1%	1.4%	11.4%	4.4%	8.6%	

		Household Income				Not sure/DK/NA
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	More than once a week	3	2	1	8	5
		7.6%	5.3%	3.5%	5.8%	3.7%
	Once a week	2	2	4	9	6
		3.8%	6.0%	13.0%	7.2%	4.6%
	A few times a month	0	0	3	5	8
		.0%	.0%	9.1%	3.7%	6.1%
	Once a month	2	2	1	6	5
		5.9%	6.3%	3.6%	4.5%	3.8%
	A few times a year	18	15	13	34	27
		44.7%	39.6%	37.4%	25.8%	21.5%
	Once a year	3	4	1	10	5
		6.8%	11.6%	4.4%	7.9%	4.0%
Less than once a year	3	0	3	21	25	
	7.4%	1.3%	9.0%	16.2%	19.5%	
Never	9	8	7	31	42	
	20.9%	20.3%	19.4%	23.4%	33.6%	
DK/NA	1	4	0	7	4	
	2.8%	9.5%	.7%	5.6%	3.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a		a
	Once a week			a		
	A few times a month					
	Once a month	I J	I J		a	
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				Not sure/DK/NA
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					
	Once a week	a	a			
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year	B	B			
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More than once a week	23 4.0%	4 2.3%	6 4.0%	13 5.1%
	Once a week	33 5.7%	6 3.7%	10 6.5%	17 6.6%
	A few times a month	26 4.5%	5 2.7%	6 3.8%	16 6.0%
	Once a month	47 8.0%	24 14.3%	14 9.3%	9 3.3%
	A few times a year	145 25.0%	31 18.6%	31 20.1%	84 31.9%
	Once a year	33 5.7%	8 4.5%	8 5.2%	18 6.8%
	Less than once a year	76 13.1%	22 13.3%	6 4.2%	47 18.1%
	Never	172 29.6%	66 39.4%	63 41.1%	43 16.5%
	DK/NA	26 4.4%	2 1.1%	9 5.8%	15 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month	C	C	
	A few times a year			A B
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year	B		B
	Never	C	C	
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	More than once a week	21 4.6%	3 2.8%	3 3.7%	6 7.4%	9 5.1%
	Once a week	24 5.2%	5 4.3%	5 5.9%	1 1.9%	12 7.0%
	A few times a month	19 4.2%	5 4.0%	4 4.5%	4 5.3%	6 3.6%
	Once a month	39 8.5%	17 13.7%	6 6.5%	7 9.5%	9 5.3%
	A few times a year	106 22.9%	21 16.8%	22 24.7%	25 32.2%	37 22.0%
	Once a year	29 6.4%	7 5.7%	5 5.5%	5 6.5%	12 7.2%
	Less than once a year	67 14.5%	16 12.5%	13 14.1%	13 16.8%	26 15.3%
	Never	135 29.2%	48 37.9%	23 25.1%	11 14.3%	54 31.9%
	DK/NA	21 4.5%	3 2.3%	9 10.0%	5 6.1%	4 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				
	Once a week				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year				
	Never	C			C
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	More than once a week	23	12	3	1	2	0
		4.0%	9.4%	2.6%	3.2%	5.9%	.0%
	Once a week	33	7	3	2	4	12
		5.8%	5.8%	2.8%	4.7%	10.0%	9.6%
	A few times a month	26	9	3	1	1	6
		4.5%	6.9%	2.2%	2.0%	3.1%	4.8%
	Once a month	46	1	12	2	1	18
		7.9%	.8%	9.8%	5.2%	3.2%	13.7%
	A few times a year	145	28	25	4	8	48
		25.1%	23.0%	20.8%	9.2%	19.3%	37.7%
	Once a year	33	5	9	3	2	5
		5.7%	4.0%	7.2%	8.1%	4.5%	3.9%
Less than once a year	74	13	11	10	8	8	
	12.8%	10.4%	9.6%	26.3%	18.5%	6.3%	
Never	172	42	47	13	13	28	
	29.7%	34.1%	39.1%	31.8%	32.6%	22.1%	
DK/NA	26	7	7	4	1	2	
	4.4%	5.6%	5.9%	9.5%	2.9%	1.9%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	112	7	9
	More than once a week	5	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	3	0	1
		2.9%	2.2%	15.8%
	A few times a month	7	0	0
		6.0%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	12	0	0
		10.8%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	30	1	2
		26.4%	19.3%	19.0%
	Once a year	8	2	0
		6.9%	26.5%	.0%
Less than once a year	16	3	5	
	14.5%	38.4%	53.9%	
Never	27	1	1	
	24.2%	13.7%	11.4%	
DK/NA	4	0	0	
	3.8%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a	
	Once a week					.	
	A few times a month						
	Once a month		A			A	A
	A few times a year					C	
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year			E			
	Never						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a
	Once a week	.	.
	A few times a month	a	a
	Once a month	a	a
	A few times a year	.	a
	Once a year	.	.
	Less than once a year	.	A B E
	Never	.	.
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	582
	Bicycle	39 6.7%	39 6.7%
	Bus	38 6.5%	38 6.5%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	80 13.8%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	508 87.3%
	Lightrail	7 1.2%	7 1.2%
	Train	14 2.3%	14 2.3%
	Walk	77 13.2%	77 13.2%
	Other	10 1.7%	10 1.7%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	.
	Bus	.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.
	Lightrail	.
	Train	.
	Walk	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Bicycle	39 6.7%	25 8.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%
	Bus	38 6.5%	12 4.2%	26 8.7%	0 .0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	39 13.7%	41 13.7%	1 33.7%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	248 88.1%	257 86.3%	3 100.0%
	Lightrail	7 1.2%	1 .4%	6 1.9%	0 .0%
	Train	14 2.3%	5 1.6%	9 3.0%	0 .0%
	Walk	77 13.2%	37 13.1%	38 12.7%	2 65.6%
	Other	10 1.7%	3 1.0%	7 2.4%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a
	Bus		A	.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			a
	Lightrail			a
	Train			.
	Walk			A B
	Other			a
	DK/NA		a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Bicycle	39	4	6	16	10	2	1
	Bus	38	10	5	6	2	14	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	12	20	20	17	9	2
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	64	93	127	142	75	8
	Lightrail	7	3	3	1	0	0	0
	Train	14	3	3	5	3	0	0
	Walk	77	9	13	22	22	10	0
	Other	10	6	0	1	2	1	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						
	Bus	D				D	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		E	E	E	a	a
	Lightrail				a	a	a
	Train						a
	Walk		a				a
	Other	C	a				a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	424	158
	Bicycle	39	25	14
	Bus	38	19	19
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	58	23
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	377	131
	Lightrail	7	4	3
	Train	14	9	4
	Walk	77	49	27
	Other	10	10	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		A
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		
DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Bicycle	39	18	3	0	18
	Bus	38	16	2	1	19
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	31	9	2	39
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	212	72	14	210
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	4
	Train	14	6	2	0	5
	Walk	77	33	8	1	34
	Other	10	7	0	0	3
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	
	Lightrail		.	a	
	Train			.	
	Walk			a	
	Other			a	
DK/NA	a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Bicycle	39	10	5	0	1	6	17
	Bus	38	6	5	0	1	7	18
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	9	9	5	2	20	36
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	109	54	29	26	119	172
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	0	3	1
	Train	14	6	0	1	1	3	2
	Walk	77	16	8	5	0	15	33
	Other	10	2	5	0	0	3	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						
	Bus						
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	a		
	Lightrail		.	.	.		
	Train						
	Walk				a		a
	Other				.	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	.	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	
	Bicycle	39	21	3	7	2	
	Bus	38	11	10	9	4	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	39	14	5	11	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	243	68	65	35	
	Lightrail	7	1	6	0	0	
	Train	14	4	7	2	1	
	Walk	77	37	10	10	11	
	Other	10	0	3	3	2	
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
			87.3%	92.5%	87.0%	79.9%	73.4%

		Registration Date				
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before	
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	27	23	38	24	
	Bicycle	2	1	2	1	
	Bus	1	0	1	0	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	4	3	3	3	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	20	22	32	22	
	Lightrail	0	0	0	0	
	Train	0	0	0	0	
	Walk	2	1	4	1	
	Other	0	0	2	0	
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
			77.1%	95.4%	84.3%	91.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	C D	A	a	a
	Lightrail		A		
	Train				
	Walk				
	Other		A	A	
DK/NA		a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)	1980 or before (H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus		a		a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				
	Lightrail	a	a	a	a
	Train	a	a	a	a
	Walk				
	Other	a	a	A	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
Bicycle	39	0	19	3	0	1	0	0
	6.7%	.0%	11.1%	6.4%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	1.0%
Bus	38	3	9	4	0	0	0	2
	6.5%	53.4%	5.0%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	1	34	7	2	2	2	5
	13.8%	18.8%	19.4%	17.7%	3.3%	12.1%	17.4%	12.7%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	5	161	39	44	14	13	38
	87.3%	81.2%	93.3%	93.3%	95.7%	86.2%	98.9%	90.6%
Lightrail	7	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
	1.2%	43.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Train	14	3	8	0	1	0	0	0
	2.3%	43.5%	4.4%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Walk	77	0	40	8	2	2	1	4
	13.2%	.0%	22.9%	18.4%	4.1%	14.7%	10.2%	9.2%
Other	10	3	0	2	0	0	0	1
	1.7%	43.5%	.2%	3.8%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Total	Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
Bicycle	7	4	3	1	1	
	6.9%	5.6%	4.3%	46.3%	21.3%	
Bus	8	12	0	0	0	
	8.6%	15.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	13	11	0	0	2	
	13.9%	15.1%	.0%	.0%	45.7%	
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	69	57	62	2	3	
	70.8%	77.5%	95.6%	100.0%	54.3%	
Lightrail	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Train	2	0	0	0	0	
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Walk	6	9	5	0	0	
	6.6%	11.6%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	
Other	3	1	0	0	0	
	3.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a			a		a	
	Bus	B C G H			a	a	a	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others							
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		H I		H	a	a	a
	Lightrail	B		a	a	a	a	a
	Train	B D G H		a		a	a	a
	Walk	a			H		a	a
	Other	B C D G H I				a	a	a
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a	G	a
	Bus			a	a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a	a	D
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			H L	a	
	Lightrail	a	a	a	a	a
	Train		a	a	a	a
	Walk			a	a	a
	Other			a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	464	118
	Bicycle	39 6.7%	35 7.5%	4 3.7%
	Bus	38 6.5%	32 6.9%	5 4.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	73 15.8%	7 6.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	397 85.6%	111 93.7%
	Lightrail	7 1.2%	7 1.5%	0 .0%
	Train	14 2.3%	11 2.5%	2 1.9%
	Walk	77 13.2%	64 13.8%	13 10.8%
	Other	10 1.7%	10 2.1%	0 .1%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	B	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A
	Lightrail		.
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA		.
			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	347	235
	Bicycle	39 6.7%	27 7.7%	12 5.3%
	Bus	38 6.5%	17 4.8%	21 9.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	55 15.8%	26 11.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	295 85.1%	213 90.5%
	Lightrail	7 1.2%	3 .8%	4 1.7%
	Train	14 2.3%	5 1.6%	8 3.5%
	Walk	77 13.2%	52 15.1%	24 10.3%
	Other	10 1.7%	7 1.9%	3 1.3%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		A
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA		.
			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Bicycle	39	0	4	5	9
		6.7%	.9%	7.4%	5.0%	6.9%
	Bus	38	0	3	4	15
		6.5%	.0%	6.0%	4.2%	11.3%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	0	7	21	24
		13.8%	.0%	14.4%	22.1%	18.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	11	45	82	117
		87.3%	100.0%	91.1%	87.1%	89.3%
	Lightrail	7	0	0	4	3
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	2.2%
	Train	14	0	1	8	3
		2.3%	.0%	2.5%	8.4%	2.2%
	Walk	77	0	11	17	19
	13.2%	.0%	21.6%	18.2%	14.1%	
Other	10	0	0	0	3	
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	163	133	1
	Bicycle	12	9	0
		7.6%	6.9%	.0%
	Bus	12	4	0
		7.0%	3.3%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	10	19	0
		6.1%	14.1%	.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	137	115	1
		84.0%	87.0%	100.0%
	Lightrail	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	1	0	0
		.8%	.3%	.0%
	Walk	20	10	0
	12.3%	7.7%	.0%	
Other	5	2	0	
	3.1%	1.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	.b			
	Bus	.b			
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.b		E	E
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.b			
	Lightrail	.b	.b		
	Train	.b		E F	
	Walk	.b			
	Other	.b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a,b
	Bus			a,b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a,b
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			a,b
	Lightrail	.b	.b	a,b
	Train			a,b
	Walk			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA	.b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure	
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12	
	Bicycle	39	0	13	13	9	3	2	
	Bus	38	5	10	11	10	2	0	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	79	3	31	12	19	9	4	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	507	34	185	127	102	49	12	
	Lightrail	7	0	4	0	3	0	0	
	Train	14	0	7	2	5	0	0	
	Walk	76	4	30	18	13	6	6	
	Other	10	2	0	0	6	2	0	
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						a
	Bus						.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						C
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)						a
	Lightrail	a		a		a	a
	Train	.		.		.	a
	Walk						A B C D E
	Other	B		a		B	a
DK/NA	a	a		a	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Bicycle	39	0	0	9
	Bus	38	0	0	22
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	0	0	30
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	3	3	147
	Lightrail	7	0	0	4
	Train	14	0	0	8
	Walk	77	0	0	28
	Other	10	0	0	3
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Bicycle	0	10	1	0
	Bus	0	8	0	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1	15	0	1
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	2	95	15	25
	Lightrail	0	3	0	0
	Train	1	4	0	0
	Walk	1	12	2	1
	Other	0	4	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Bicycle	0	0	0	15
	Bus	0	0	1	3
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1	0	3	18
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	11.8%	.0%	27.9%	12.1%
	Lightrail	8	14	7	133
	Train	100.0%	100.0%	72.1%	89.8%
	Walk	0	0	0	0
	Other	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
		0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Bicycle	1	1	1	1
	Bus	3.5%	5.0%	23.2%	4.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1	0	0	2
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	4.6%	2.7%	.0%	13.9%
	Lightrail	3	2	0	5
	Train	12.6%	18.3%	4.5%	31.1%
	Walk	22	12	6	15
	Other	95.4%	100.0%	100.0%	84.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle
	Bus
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.	.	K	.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)
	Lightrail
	Train	.	.	.	C E K
	Walk
	Other
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle
	Bus
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)
	Lightrail
	Train
	Walk
	Other
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle
	Bus
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)
	Lightrail
	Train
	Walk
	Other
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
Bicycle		a	
Bus		.	
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		.	
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	a	
Lightrail	a	a	a
Train	.	a	a
Walk	.	.	.
Other	a	a	a
DK/NA	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
Bicycle	22	11	8	2	0	0
	8.2%	10.8%	7.5%	6.4%	.0%	3.8%
Bus	13	9	1	1	1	0
	4.9%	8.5%	1.1%	4.8%	13.4%	.0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	33	10	13	6	3	1
	12.5%	10.1%	11.4%	19.6%	28.9%	11.5%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	248	97	109	24	9	9
	93.0%	92.7%	96.9%	80.3%	85.7%	100.0%
Lightrail	3	3	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Train	8	6	2	0	0	0
	3.0%	5.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Walk	42	14	17	7	3	2
	15.9%	13.0%	15.4%	22.3%	28.9%	17.9%
Other	3	3	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Bicycle				a	
Bus				B	a
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				.	.
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		C			a
Lightrail	a	a	a	a	a
Train	.	.	a	a	a
Walk
Other	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Bicycle	25	8	13	2	0	2
		6.5%	7.3%	5.6%	7.3%	.0%	18.0%
	Bus	31	11	16	5	0	0
		8.2%	9.8%	6.9%	15.3%	.0%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	51	19	23	5	0	5
		13.5%	17.9%	10.2%	14.0%	.0%	41.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	321	87	198	25	4	8
		84.3%	80.7%	88.2%	73.5%	97.9%	68.7%
	Lightrail	4	1	3	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	8	3	5	0	0	0
		2.2%	3.1%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Walk	40	15	19	5	0	2	
	10.6%	13.7%	8.3%	15.4%	.0%	15.6%	
Other	7	2	3	2	0	0	
	1.9%	2.3%	1.3%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				a	a
	Bus				a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				a	B
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)					
	Lightrail			a	a	a
	Train			a	a	a
	Walk				a	a
	Other				a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Bicycle	39	36	4	3	4	0
	Bus	38	20	5	7	1	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	71	1	16	2	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	401	19	79	6	1
	Lightrail	7	7	0	0	0	0
	Train	14	14	0	1	0	0
	Walk	77	62	5	14	4	0
	Other	10	10	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Bicycle	6	0	0	1	4	0
	Bus	1	0	0	7	1	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	7	0	0	7	1	1
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	41	7	22	40	11	0
	Lightrail	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Train	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Walk	10	1	1	4	5	0
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		12.6%	1.4%	.0%	2.4%	29.2%	.0%
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	8.1%	.0%
		15.9%	.0%	.0%	14.7%	5.9%	75.0%
	93.7%	100.0%	100.0%	83.9%	85.3%	25.0%	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	
	23.0%	16.6%	4.7%	8.2%	35.6%	.0%	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Bicycle	0	0	6	2
	Bus	0	0	6	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	2	0	10	1
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	12	14	51	7
	Lightrail	0	0	3	0
	Train	0	0	4	0
	Walk	1	2	8	2
	Other	0	0	3	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%
	.0%	.0%	0.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				A C I N	a	
	Bus					a,b	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					a,b	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)					a	
	Lightrail		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Train		b		b	a,b	b
	Walk					a,b	
	Other		b	b	b	a,b	b
DK/NA		b	b	b	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				C	a,b	b
	Bus	b	b			a,b	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	b	b			a	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	b	b			a	b
	Lightrail	b	b		b	a,b	b
	Train	b	b		b	a,b	b
	Walk	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Other	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
DK/NA	b	b	b	b	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	b		C I
	Bus	b		b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	b		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	b		b
	Lightrail	b		b
	Train	b		b
	Walk	b		b
	Other	b		b
DK/NA	b	b	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Bicycle	39	1	2	1	2
	Bus	6.7%	2.3%	4.8%	3.2%	5.9%
	Bus	38	18	1	3	0
	Bus	6.5%	30.5%	2.2%	9.5%	.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	9	1	1	1
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	13.8%	15.7%	2.7%	4.6%	2.6%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	37	49	25	34
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	87.3%	61.4%	94.0%	85.7%	93.6%
	Lightrail	7	3	1	0	0
	Lightrail	1.2%	4.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	3	1	0	0
Train	2.3%	4.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	
Walk	77	7	5	2	8	
Walk	13.2%	11.9%	9.4%	7.9%	20.5%	
Other	10	1	0	1	0	
Other	1.7%	1.5%	.0%	4.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Bicycle	1	1	0	3	10
	Bicycle	3.6%	2.7%	1.0%	10.4%	7.2%
	Bus	1	0	3	0	2
	Bus	3.4%	.0%	8.2%	.7%	1.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	8	2	1	5	23
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	23.4%	5.3%	1.3%	14.3%	17.6%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	28	39	38	30	126
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	86.7%	95.1%	100.0%	90.5%	95.1%
	Lightrail	0	0	3	0	0
	Lightrail	.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	.0%
	Train	0	0	3	0	7
Train	.0%	.4%	7.4%	.0%	5.0%	
Walk	1	3	4	3	20	
Walk	3.2%	7.4%	11.5%	10.1%	14.9%	
Other	0	0	3	2	0	
Other	.0%	.0%	7.4%	4.7%	.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	126
	Bicycle	16 13.0%
	Bus	8 6.5%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	29 22.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	101 80.1%
	Lightrail	0 .0%
	Train	0 .0%
	Walk	23 18.3%
	Other	3 2.2%
	DK/NA	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	B D H I J					
	Bus						
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A		a	a	a
	Lightrail				a	a	a
	Train						
	Walk			a		a	a
	Other						
	DK/NA			a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a			
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	A	a		A J
	Lightrail	a		a	a
	Train			a	
	Walk				
	Other	a			
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	
	Bus	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a
	Lightrail	a
	Train	
	Walk	
	Other	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Bicycle	39	6	4	29
		6.7%	3.3%	2.7%	11.2%
	Bus	38	23	2	12
		6.5%	13.9%	1.6%	4.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	15	13	52
		13.8%	9.1%	8.6%	19.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	122	144	243
		87.3%	72.8%	94.1%	92.6%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	4
		1.2%	1.7%	.0%	1.5%
	Train	14	3	2	9
		2.3%	1.7%	1.1%	3.5%
Walk	77	12	6	58	
	13.2%	7.4%	4.1%	22.2%	
Other	10	9	0	0	
	1.7%	5.6%	.0%	.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			A B
	Bus	B C		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			A B
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A a	A
	Lightrail		.	
	Train			
	Walk		a	A B
	Other	C a	a a	
DK/NA	.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Bicycle	30	8	4	4	15
		6.6%	6.3%	4.1%	4.5%	9.1%
	Bus	29	10	5	6	8
		6.3%	8.1%	5.4%	7.4%	4.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	64	18	9	11	26
		13.8%	14.5%	9.5%	14.7%	15.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	394	105	85	61	143
		85.2%	83.0%	94.5%	78.9%	84.9%
	Lightrail	4	0	1	3	0
		.9%	.0%	1.3%	3.6%	.0%
	Train	10	1	3	5	0
		2.1%	1.1%	3.2%	6.8%	.1%
Walk	65	14	10	12	28	
	14.0%	11.0%	11.3%	15.6%	16.9%	
Other	7	0	0	2	5	
	1.5%	.2%	.0%	2.0%	3.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	C		a
	Lightrail	.		D	.
	Train				
	Walk		a		
	Other	a	.	a	a
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Bicycle	38	5	12	2	1
		6.6%	4.2%	9.9%	4.7%	3.1%
	Bus	38	8	8	1	0
		6.5%	6.5%	6.4%	3.6%	.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	79	13	17	6	3
		13.7%	10.8%	14.6%	15.9%	7.3%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	505	111	100	34	39
		87.2%	90.3%	83.6%	84.4%	94.9%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	0
		1.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	6	0	0	2
		2.4%	5.0%	.2%	.0%	5.1%
Walk	75	22	11	3	4	
	12.9%	17.5%	9.1%	8.7%	10.4%	
Other	10	4	3	0	0	
	1.7%	3.3%	2.3%	.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Bicycle	6	12	0	0
	Bus	16	3	0	1
		12.9%	2.3%	.0%	15.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	21	16	0	2
		16.6%	14.7%	.0%	19.8%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	105	103	7	7
		82.4%	91.4%	100.0%	77.6%
	Lightrail	3	1	0	0
		2.2%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	3	2	0	0
	2.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	
Walk	13	20	0	1	
	10.0%	18.1%	2.2%	15.0%	
Other	3	0	0	0	
	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle					
	Bus					F
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	a	
	Lightrail		.	a	.	
	Train		.	.	.	
	Walk		.	.	a	
	Other		.	.	.	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	.	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		.	
	Bus		.	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		.	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		.	a
	Lightrail		.	a
	Train		.	.
	Walk	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	582
	Daily	34	34
		5.8%	5.8%
	Few times a week	70	70
		12.0%	12.0%
	Once a week	43	43
		7.3%	7.3%
	Few times a month	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
	Once a month	39	39
		6.7%	6.7%
Few times a year or less	98	98	
	16.8%	16.8%	
Never	225	225	
	38.7%	38.7%	
DK/NA	3	3	
	.5%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Total
		Total
		(A)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	.
	Few times a week	.
	Once a week	.
	Few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	Few times a year or less	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Daily	34	13	20	1
		5.8%	4.6%	6.6%	31.9%
	Few times a week	70	38	31	1
		12.0%	13.4%	10.5%	33.7%
	Once a week	43	20	21	1
		7.3%	7.2%	7.1%	34.4%
	Few times a month	71	34	37	0
		12.1%	11.9%	12.4%	.0%
	Once a month	39	14	25	0
	6.7%	5.0%	8.4%	.0%	
Few times a year or less	98	54	44	0	
	16.8%	19.2%	14.7%	.0%	
Never	225	107	118	0	
	38.7%	38.1%	39.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	1	0	
	.5%	.5%	.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			a
	Few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	Few times a year or less			a
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Daily	34	6	5	5	8	9	0
		5.8%	8.3%	5.2%	3.9%	5.1%	8.6%	.0%
	Few times a week	70	14	8	14	22	11	1
		12.0%	17.9%	8.3%	9.9%	14.4%	10.8%	12.5%
	Once a week	43	10	8	11	7	5	1
		7.3%	13.6%	7.5%	7.8%	4.7%	5.2%	12.5%
	Few times a month	71	7	14	17	19	13	1
		12.1%	9.2%	13.6%	11.9%	12.4%	12.7%	12.5%
	Once a month	39	2	2	10	14	9	1
	6.7%	3.1%	2.4%	7.4%	9.0%	9.0%	11.8%	
Few times a year or less	98	9	20	29	26	13	1	
	16.8%	12.3%	19.9%	20.3%	17.2%	12.6%	6.8%	
Never	225	27	44	53	57	40	4	
	38.7%	35.6%	43.1%	37.9%	37.3%	39.6%	43.9%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0	1	0	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						a
	Few times a week						
	Once a week						
	Few times a month						
	Once a month						
	Few times a year or less						
	Never						
	DK/NA	a	a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	424	158
	Daily	34	16	17
		5.8%	3.8%	11.0%
	Few times a week	70	45	25
		12.0%	10.6%	16.0%
	Once a week	43	32	10
		7.3%	7.6%	6.6%
	Few times a month	71	49	22
		12.1%	11.5%	13.8%
	Once a month	39	30	9
	6.7%	7.0%	6.0%	
Few times a year or less	98	81	17	
	16.8%	19.1%	10.6%	
Never	225	169	57	
	38.7%	39.7%	36.1%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	
	.5%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		A
	Few times a week		
	Once a week		
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less	B	
	Never		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Daily	34	18	3	0	13
		5.8%	7.5%	3.5%	.0%	5.2%
	Few times a week	70	38	9	1	22
		12.0%	15.8%	10.7%	4.9%	9.2%
	Once a week	43	12	3	1	26
		7.3%	4.9%	4.0%	9.3%	10.8%
	Few times a month	71	31	8	5	28
		12.1%	12.5%	9.5%	31.1%	11.4%
	Once a month	39	7	5	2	26
	6.7%	2.8%	5.7%	11.4%	10.7%	
Few times a year or less	98	39	14	0	45	
	16.8%	16.0%	17.2%	1.8%	18.5%	
Never	225	99	40	7	80	
	38.7%	40.4%	49.4%	41.5%	33.2%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	0	2	
	.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				A
	Few times a year or less				
	Never				
	DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Daily	34	11	1	1	1	8	12
	Few times a week	70	14	15	4	2	16	19
	Once a week	43	6	3	0	3	8	23
	Few times a month	71	13	10	2	5	16	25
	Once a month	39	3	1	1	2	5	27
	Few times a year or less	98	21	8	3	4	27	34
	Never	225	53	29	21	12	48	62
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
			.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						
	Few times a week						
	Once a week						
	Few times a month						
	Once a month						
	Few times a year or less			E F	a		A
	Never						
DK/NA	a	a					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Daily	34	14	2	6	2
	Few times a week	70	36	7	7	7
	Once a week	43	21	9	3	3
	Few times a month	71	25	13	12	7
	Once a month	39	15	3	7	5
	Few times a year or less	98	44	16	13	9
	Never	225	108	26	32	13
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	1
			.5%	.0%	1.6%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Daily	5	0	2	1
	Few times a week	3	1	5	4
	Once a week	1	0	3	2
	Few times a month	4	2	6	2
	Once a month	3	4	1	1
	Few times a year or less	4	3	6	3
	Never	6	13	15	11
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less				
	Never	a		a	
DK/NA	.		.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a		
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less				
	Never	a	a		a
DK/NA	.	.		.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Daily	34	0	7	6	2	2	0	4
		5.8%	.0%	3.9%	13.5%	4.3%	13.8%	.0%	9.2%
	Few times a week	70	1	25	7	3	2	0	6
		12.0%	12.0%	14.3%	17.0%	6.7%	13.6%	1.6%	13.1%
	Once a week	43	3	11	2	2	1	1	3
		7.3%	43.5%	6.4%	3.7%	4.3%	5.6%	8.6%	7.8%
	Few times a month	71	0	21	5	8	2	1	4
		12.1%	.0%	11.9%	11.6%	16.9%	9.2%	6.5%	8.9%
	Once a month	39	1	8	5	0	0	5	2
		6.7%	15.8%	4.7%	12.1%	.0%	.0%	38.0%	3.7%
Few times a year or less	98	0	44	7	6	4	0	6	
	16.8%	.0%	25.4%	15.7%	12.4%	24.4%	1.1%	15.1%	
Never	225	2	57	11	26	6	6	16	
	38.7%	28.7%	32.8%	26.5%	55.4%	33.4%	44.2%	38.6%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.5%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Daily	8	3	0	1	1
		8.3%	3.9%	.0%	46.3%	21.3%
	Few times a week	15	8	4	0	0
		15.7%	10.4%	5.7%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	3	12	4	0	0
		3.2%	17.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a month	17	6	7	0	1
		17.1%	8.4%	10.5%	9.8%	26.6%
	Once a month	3	3	10	0	1
		3.6%	4.7%	15.8%	.0%	22.3%
Few times a year or less	7	15	8	1	0	
	7.4%	20.8%	11.9%	43.9%	.0%	
Never	43	26	32	0	2	
	44.6%	34.9%	49.6%	.0%	29.8%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a					a		
	Few times a week								
	Once a week	B C D H							
	Few times a month	a			a	a	B G H I		
	Once a month								
	Few times a year or less	a	H						
	Never								
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a		a
	Few times a week			a	a
	Once a week			a	a
	Few times a month			a	
	Once a month				a
	Few times a year or less			a	
	Never	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	464	118
	Daily	34	28	5
		5.8%	6.1%	4.6%
	Few times a week	70	55	15
		12.0%	11.9%	12.6%
	Once a week	43	41	1
		7.3%	8.9%	1.1%
	Few times a month	71	53	18
		12.1%	11.4%	15.1%
	Once a month	39	34	5
	6.7%	7.4%	4.0%	
Few times a year or less	98	73	25	
	16.8%	15.8%	21.0%	
Never	225	176	49	
	38.7%	38.0%	41.6%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	
	.5%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		
	Few times a week		
	Once a week	B	
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less		
	Never		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	347	235
	Daily	34	24	9
		5.8%	7.0%	4.0%
	Few times a week	70	40	30
		12.0%	11.6%	12.7%
	Once a week	43	22	21
		7.3%	6.2%	8.9%
	Few times a month	71	45	25
		12.1%	13.0%	10.8%
	Once a month	39	20	19
	6.7%	5.8%	8.0%	
Few times a year or less	98	61	37	
	16.8%	17.7%	15.5%	
Never	225	131	94	
	38.7%	37.8%	40.1%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	
	.5%	.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		
	Few times a week		
	Once a week		
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less		
	Never		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	
	Daily	34	5	2	4	3	
	Few times a week	70	2	12	9	12	
	Once a week	43	0	6	4	13	
	Few times a month	71	0	1	13	15	
	Once a month	39	0	2	6	15	
	Few times a year or less	98	1	9	24	22	
	Never	225	3	18	33	50	
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	1	
			.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
			5.8%	45.4%	4.2%	4.1%	2.7%
			12.0%	14.7%	23.8%	9.9%	9.4%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	163	133	1
	Daily	7	12	0
	Few times a week	13	22	0
	Once a week	12	8	0
	Few times a month	28	13	0
	Once a month	10	5	1
	Few times a year or less	21	22	0
	Never	72	49	0
	DK/NA	0	1	0
			.0%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	B C D E F			
	Few times a week		E		
	Once a week				
	Few times a month	.b			
	Once a month	.b			
	Few times a year or less	.b			
Never					
DK/NA	.b	.b	.b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a,b
	Few times a week			a,b
	Once a week			a,b
	Few times a month			a,b
	Once a month			a,b
	Few times a year or less			a,b
	Never			a,b
DK/NA	.b		.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Daily	34	2	13	9	6	3	1
	Few times a week	70	8	22	16	17	5	2
	Once a week	43	3	14	5	11	3	7
	Few times a month	71	3	24	18	17	9	0
	Once a month	39	4	14	11	6	4	1
	Few times a year or less	98	7	36	27	17	9	2
	Never	223	18	86	54	40	26	0
	DK/NA	3	0	1	1	0	0	0
			.5%	.0%	.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						A B C D E
	Few times a week						a
	Once a week						.
	Few times a month						.
	Once a month						.
	Few times a year or less						a
	Never	a			a	a	a
DK/NA	.			.	.	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Daily	34	0	0	8	0
	Few times a week	70	0	0	15	0
	Once a week	43	0	0	15	0
	Few times a month	71	0	0	26	1
	Once a month	39	0	0	21	0
	Few times a year or less	98	1	0	35	0
	Never	225	1	3	69	1
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Daily	6	1	0	0
	Few times a week	13	4	3	0
	Once a week	7	0	1	0
	Few times a month	10	1	4	0
	Once a month	6	2	2	0
	Few times a year or less	24	1	2	0
	Never	38	7	13	8
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Daily	0	3	8	4
	Few times a week	2	0	31	0
	Once a week	2	1	10	3
	Few times a month	2	1	16	3
	Once a month	0	2	6	1
	Few times a year or less	3	2	22	4
	Never	6	1	54	9
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	12	6	17
	Daily	0	1	3
	Few times a week	2	0	1
	Once a week	1	1	1
	Few times a month	2	0	3
	Once a month	0	1	0
	Few times a year or less	1	0	3
	Never	6	3	6
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
		14.2%	11.1%	6.9%
	8.0%	11.1%	6.9%	
	14.2%	2.2%	20.0%	
	0	1	0	
	.0%	10.2%	.0%	
	9.8%	8.1%	18.7%	
	53.9%	51.8%	31.8%	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a	a		a
	Few times a week	a	a		a
	Once a week	a	a		a
	Few times a month	a	a		a
	Once a month	a	a		a
	Few times a year or less	a	a		a
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	a
	Few times a week				a
	Once a week		a		a
	Few times a month				a
	Once a month				a
	Few times a year or less				a
	Never				a
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a	C		
	Few times a week	a	a	C	a
	Once a week				
	Few times a month	a			
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less				
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a		
	Few times a week		a	
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			a
	Once a month	a		
	Few times a year or less			
	Never	a	a	a
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Daily	9	6	3	0	0	0
	Few times a week	31	10	12	5	1	3
	Once a week	20	9	7	5	0	0
	Few times a month	31	9	13	5	5	0
	Once a month	18	7	6	5	0	1
	Few times a year or less	56	22	28	2	2	2
	Never	100	40	44	9	3	4
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
			.8%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	.	.
	Few times a week			.	.	.
	Once a week			.	a	.
	Few times a month			A B	a	.
	Once a month			a	.	.
	Few times a year or less			.	.	.
	Never			.	.	.
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Daily	23	7	12	2	0	2
	Few times a week	43	8	24	5	2	3
	Once a week	28	9	15	4	0	0
	Few times a month	53	10	34	6	0	3
	Once a month	26	5	14	6	0	0
	Few times a year or less	57	25	29	0	1	2
	Never	148	41	95	10	1	1
	DK/NA	3	1	1	0	0	0
			.7%	1.1%	.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				.	.
	Few times a week				A	.
	Once a week				a	.
	Few times a month				.	a
	Once a month			A B	a	.
	Few times a year or less	C			.	.
	Never			.	a	.
	DK/NA			.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Daily	34	31	1	5	3	0	3
		5.8%	6.8%	4.4%	5.9%	34.3%	6.5%	6.6%
	Few times a week	70	60	0	6	0	0	7
		12.0%	13.3%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	15.3%
	Once a week	43	31	3	6	3	0	3
		7.3%	6.9%	10.6%	6.3%	42.6%	.0%	7.9%
	Few times a month	71	53	7	16	0	0	5
		12.1%	11.9%	25.4%	16.9%	3.2%	24.3%	11.5%
	Once a month	39	22	3	11	0	0	3
	6.7%	4.9%	12.1%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	
Few times a year or less	98	74	6	14	0	0	9	
	16.8%	16.6%	22.1%	15.5%	.0%	.0%	20.4%	
Never	225	175	7	34	1	1	12	
	38.7%	39.0%	25.4%	36.5%	19.9%	69.2%	27.6%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	1	
	.5%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Daily	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.0%	17.3%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a week	1	3	1	2	0	0
		19.1%	12.8%	2.9%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	0	0	9	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	19.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a month	2	4	5	2	0	2
		34.9%	19.4%	10.1%	12.2%	25.0%	19.1%
	Once a month	0	0	5	1	1	0
	.0%	.0%	11.3%	5.9%	75.0%	.0%	
Few times a year or less	0	2	11	3	0	0	
	.0%	7.5%	22.4%	21.5%	.0%	1.9%	
Never	3	13	14	4	0	10	
	46.1%	60.2%	28.6%	28.2%	.0%	79.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	14	56	7
	Daily	0	3	1
		.0%	4.6%	9.4%
	Few times a week	2	7	1
		11.7%	11.8%	15.4%
	Once a week	2	5	1
		11.7%	8.4%	18.2%
	Few times a month	2	6	2
		11.3%	10.3%	24.9%
	Once a month	0	6	1
	.0%	10.8%	8.9%	
Few times a year or less	3	16	2	
	21.0%	28.1%	23.3%	
Never	6	14	0	
	44.2%	26.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						
	Few times a week		b		b	a,b	
	Once a week				A C	a,b	
	Few times a month				b	a	
	Once a month				b	a,b	
	Few times a year or less				b	a,b	
	Never				b	a	
DK/NA		b		b	a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	b	b			a,b	b
	Few times a week	.	.			a,b	b
	Once a week	b	b	A	b	a,b	b
	Few times a month	.	.			a	.
	Once a month	b	b			a	b
	Few times a year or less	b	.			a,b	.
	Never	.	.			a,b	N
DK/NA	b	b		b	a,b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	b		
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			
	Once a month	b		
	Few times a year or less			b
	Never	b	b	b
DK/NA	.	.	b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Daily	34	6	1	0	3	0
	Few times a week	70	8	4	5	3	2
	Once a week	43	7	5	1	6	1
	Few times a month	71	15	2	2	1	11
	Once a month	39	4	7	2	2	2
	Few times a year or less	98	5	3	7	6	6
	Never	225	15	30	13	15	10
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Daily	4	0	1	8	11
	Few times a week	5	5	5	12	21
	Once a week	3	6	0	6	8
	Few times a month	0	0	6	16	17
	Once a month	3	3	2	7	6
	Few times a year or less	5	10	6	33	17
	Never	22	14	14	49	44
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a		
	Few times a week					
	Once a week					
	Few times a month	B F G				B D F G
	Once a month					
	Few times a year or less					
	Never	a	A a	a	a	a
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a			
	Few times a week					
	Once a week			a		
	Few times a month					
	Once a month					
	Few times a year or less					
	Never	a	a	a	a	
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Daily	34	11	9	13
		5.8%	6.7%	6.2%	5.0%
	Few times a week	70	20	16	34
		12.0%	11.7%	10.5%	13.2%
	Once a week	43	14	11	18
		7.3%	8.4%	7.1%	6.8%
	Few times a month	71	28	12	30
		12.1%	16.7%	8.0%	11.6%
	Once a month	39	14	9	16
	6.7%	8.6%	5.8%	6.0%	
Few times a year or less	98	19	14	65	
	16.8%	11.3%	9.0%	24.9%	
Never	225	61	82	83	
	38.7%	36.3%	53.4%	31.7%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	2	
	.5%	.3%	.0%	.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			
	Once a month			
	Few times a year or less			A B
	Never		A C	
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Daily	27	6	4	7	10
		5.9%	4.6%	4.2%	9.6%	6.1%
	Few times a week	58	20	2	12	23
		12.5%	15.6%	2.5%	15.7%	14.0%
	Once a week	26	9	6	3	8
		5.5%	7.0%	7.0%	3.6%	4.5%
	Few times a month	62	16	9	15	22
		13.4%	12.8%	10.1%	18.7%	13.2%
	Once a month	33	9	12	3	10
	7.2%	6.8%	13.8%	3.3%	5.7%	
Few times a year or less	77	12	25	11	29	
	16.7%	9.3%	27.9%	14.0%	17.5%	
Never	176	54	31	27	64	
	38.2%	42.9%	34.5%	35.0%	38.1%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	0	1	
	.6%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				
	Few times a week	B		B	B
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less		A		
	Never		a	a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Daily	33	11	6	0	3	8
		5.6%	9.2%	4.9%	.4%	6.5%	6.4%
	Few times a week	69	19	19	5	3	6
		11.9%	15.5%	16.1%	13.5%	7.9%	4.7%
	Once a week	42	2	10	3	0	16
		7.2%	1.8%	8.0%	8.1%	.0%	12.2%
	Few times a month	71	17	13	2	6	17
		12.2%	14.1%	11.0%	4.7%	14.1%	13.0%
	Once a month	39	5	2	2	3	17
		6.8%	3.7%	1.8%	5.0%	6.3%	13.3%
Few times a year or less	98	19	20	9	5	15	
	16.9%	15.7%	16.3%	23.2%	11.4%	11.7%	
Never	225	49	49	18	22	48	
	38.9%	39.9%	41.3%	45.0%	53.7%	37.8%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	0	0	1	
	.5%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	112	7	9
	Daily	5	0	0
		4.1%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a week	15	1	0
		13.6%	11.2%	.0%
	Once a week	9	0	1
		8.4%	2.2%	15.0%
	Few times a month	11	1	4
		9.8%	17.1%	42.2%
	Once a month	9	2	0
		8.1%	22.7%	2.4%
Few times a year or less	30	0	0	
	26.7%	4.1%	.0%	
Never	32	3	4	
	28.7%	42.7%	40.5%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	
	.8%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily					
	Few times a week				a	A
	Once a week				.	
	Few times a month					
	Once a month					B
	Few times a year or less					
	Never					
DK/NA	a		a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a	a
	Few times a week		.	a
	Once a week			.
	Few times a month			C
	Once a month		B	
	Few times a year or less			a
	Never		a	a
	DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	548
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	37
		6.8%	6.8%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	101
		18.5%	18.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	23
		4.1%	4.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	196
		35.8%	35.8%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	155
		28.3%	28.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	50
		9.1%	9.1%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	31
	5.6%	5.6%	
Prefer driving	230	230	
	42.0%	42.0%	
Weather	30	30	
	5.5%	5.5%	
Other	27	27	
	4.9%	4.9%	
DK/NA	10	10	
	1.8%	1.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a, b}

		Total
		(A)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	.
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.
	Inconvenient public transit routes	.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	.
	Prefer driving	.
	Weather	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	268	278	2
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	11 4.2%	26 9.4%	0 .0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	52 19.4%	47 17.0%	2 100.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	13 4.7%	9 3.2%	1 49.5%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	111 41.4%	84 30.3%	1 50.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	90 33.7%	64 23.0%	1 50.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	27 10.1%	22 7.8%	1 50.5%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	17 6.3%	14 5.0%	0 .0%
	Prefer driving	230 42.0%	108 40.4%	121 43.5%	1 50.5%
	Weather	30 5.5%	12 4.6%	18 6.4%	0 .0%
	Other	27 4.9%	15 5.5%	12 4.3%	0 .0%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	5 1.8%	5 1.9%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		A	a
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			a
	Feel unsafe using public transit			A B
	Inconvenient public transit routes	B		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	B		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a
	Prefer driving			a
	Weather			a
	Other			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	70	96	135	145	93	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	8 11.3%	0 .0%	5 4.1%	3 1.9%	18 19.2%	3 37.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	5 6.7%	17 18.0%	35 25.7%	32 22.4%	12 13.2%	0 .0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	2 3.3%	3 3.4%	4 3.0%	7 4.6%	5 5.8%	1 11.8%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	24 34.4%	25 26.3%	56 41.5%	67 46.3%	22 23.6%	2 19.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	29 41.7%	16 17.1%	38 28.2%	50 34.4%	19 20.1%	3 31.1%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	5 6.6%	7 7.5%	21 15.6%	12 8.5%	4 4.7%	0 .0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	2 3.3%	6 6.7%	11 8.0%	9 6.3%	1 1.2%	1 12.5%
	Prefer driving	230 42.0%	24 33.5%	56 58.0%	57 42.4%	53 36.4%	36 39.2%	4 50.8%
	Weather	30 5.5%	2 3.2%	6 6.2%	12 8.6%	8 5.6%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
	Other	27 4.9%	4 6.2%	7 7.0%	7 4.9%	8 5.3%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	2 2.7%	3 3.5%	1 .9%	3 1.9%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	D	a			C D	C D
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			A	A		a
	Feel unsafe using public transit						
	Inconvenient public transit routes				B E		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	B E			B		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths						a
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths						.
	Prefer driving		A D				
	Weather						a
	Other						a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	408	140
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	29	8
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	6.8%	7.2%	5.7%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	101	81	20
	Feel unsafe using public transit	18.5%	19.9%	14.3%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	23	18	5
	Inconvenient public transit routes	4.1%	4.4%	3.4%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	162	35
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	39.6%	24.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	123	32
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	30.2%	22.9%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	44	6
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	10.7%	4.2%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	27	4	
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	6.5%	3.2%	
Prefer driving	230	163	67	
Prefer driving	42.0%	40.0%	48.0%	
Weather	30	20	10	
Weather	5.5%	4.9%	7.0%	
Other	27	22	5	
Other	4.9%	5.3%	3.5%	
DK/NA	10	9	1	
DK/NA	1.8%	2.1%	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles		
	Feel unsafe using public transit		
	Inconvenient public transit routes	B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Prefer driving		
	Weather		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	226	78	16	229
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	20	7	1	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	6.8%	9.1%	8.8%	8.3%	3.8%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	43	11	2	46
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	18.5%	19.2%	13.6%	10.6%	20.1%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	11	3	0	9
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4.1%	5.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.9%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	81	26	5	85
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	35.8%	32.8%	33.6%	36.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	72	17	4	63
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	31.9%	21.6%	24.2%	27.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	21	7	1	20
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	9.5%	8.7%	8.3%	8.9%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	11	5	2	13
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	4.8%	6.8%	9.6%	5.8%
Prefer driving	230	95	39	7	89	
Prefer driving	42.0%	42.1%	49.9%	45.9%	39.0%	
Weather	30	7	4	0	18	
Weather	5.5%	3.3%	5.6%	1.3%	7.8%	
Other	27	13	2	1	11	
Other	4.9%	5.7%	2.2%	3.7%	5.0%	
DK/NA	10	1	0	0	9	
DK/NA	1.8%	.3%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other		a	a	A
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	110	65	31	28	121	193
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	8	6	1	5	6
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	6.8%	9.9%	12.9%	20.0%	1.9%	4.1%	3.3%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	101	21	9	2	2	29	38
	Feel unsafe using public transit	18.5%	19.3%	13.0%	8.0%	6.5%	24.2%	19.7%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	23	3	4	1	2	4	9
	Inconvenient public transit routes	4.1%	2.9%	6.6%	1.7%	7.2%	3.1%	4.6%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	40	14	7	9	60	67
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	35.8%	21.0%	23.7%	33.8%	49.5%	34.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	35	21	7	5	36	52
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	31.3%	31.9%	22.6%	18.8%	29.6%	26.9%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	12	3	2	1	14	17
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	10.9%	5.1%	7.2%	5.2%	11.5%	8.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	9	2	2	1	6	11
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	8.2%	2.3%	7.6%	2.5%	5.0%	5.8%
Prefer driving	230	48	24	15	16	53	75	
Prefer driving	42.0%	43.0%	36.4%	47.9%	57.3%	44.1%	38.9%	
Weather	30	3	3	1	2	5	16	
Weather	5.5%	2.3%	3.9%	3.9%	8.3%	4.3%	8.4%	
Other	27	4	4	0	0	10	8	
Other	4.9%	4.0%	6.5%	.7%	.0%	8.2%	4.1%	
DK/NA	10	1	0	0	0	3	6	
DK/NA	1.8%	.6%	.1%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	3.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			E F			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles						
	Feel unsafe using public transit						
	Inconvenient public transit routes					B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules						
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths						
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths						
	Prefer driving						
	Weather						
	Other			a	a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	249	77	75	45
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	8	2	8	4
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	6.8%	3.4%	2.9%	10.0%	9.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	101	45	17	15	11
	Feel unsafe using public transit	18.5%	18.0%	22.3%	20.0%	25.3%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	23	10	1	2	5
	Inconvenient public transit routes	4.1%	4.2%	1.4%	2.8%	12.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	93	26	31	14
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	37.5%	33.9%	41.0%	32.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	82	18	19	11
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	32.9%	23.8%	25.1%	24.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	19	11	10	4
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	7.5%	14.1%	13.6%	8.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	13	5	4	2
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	5.2%	7.0%	5.9%	3.6%
Prefer driving	230	110	31	25	17	
Prefer driving	42.0%	44.3%	40.9%	33.8%	39.1%	
Weather	30	14	4	5	1	
Weather	5.5%	5.6%	5.4%	6.6%	3.3%	
Other	27	17	3	1	2	
Other	4.9%	6.6%	4.4%	1.7%	5.6%	
DK/NA	10	9	0	0	0	
DK/NA	1.8%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	22	23	36	23
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	1	0	4	11
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	3.0%	.0%	10.9%	46.9%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	3	6	4	0
	Inconvenient public transit routes	14.0%	24.7%	12.0%	1.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	0	1	1	1
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	1.3%	4.2%	4.1%	4.5%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	3	11	13	5
	Prefer driving	16.2%	45.9%	37.2%	20.1%
	Weather	2	11	8	4
	Other	11.3%	46.8%	21.7%	19.6%
	DK/NA	1	2	3	0
		2.4%	9.9%	9.4%	.0%
		2	1	2	1
		9.7%	4.8%	6.2%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other		a	a	a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		a		A B C D E G
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				a
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
	DK/NA	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	7	166	36	44	14	14	38
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	1	5	3	3	0	1	3
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	6.8%	9.9%	2.8%	9.1%	7.6%	1.1%	7.0%	7.2%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	101	0	51	5	8	1	3	9
	Inconvenient public transit routes	18.5%	.0%	30.5%	14.9%	17.7%	6.5%	21.9%	24.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	23	1	10	0	1	1	2	0
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	4.1%	15.8%	5.9%	.8%	2.4%	6.7%	12.2%	1.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	196	0	90	13	5	8	9	20
	Prefer driving	35.8%	.0%	54.3%	35.5%	12.1%	52.5%	66.1%	51.3%
	Weather	155	0	77	10	4	2	3	13
	Other	28.3%	.0%	46.3%	27.0%	9.4%	17.0%	21.9%	34.0%
	DK/NA	50	0	27	4	1	3	1	3
		9.1%	.0%	16.1%	12.4%	2.0%	19.3%	8.6%	7.1%
		31	0	14	4	1	0	1	3
		5.6%	.0%	8.4%	11.8%	2.4%	.0%	9.7%	7.7%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	89	70	64	1	4
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	15	6	0	0	1
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	14.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	24.2%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	1	3	0	0
	Inconvenient public transit routes	22.4%	26.9%	12.8%	81.7%	87.8%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	12	19	14	0	0
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.5%	5.9%	.6%	.0%	35.2%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	.7%	5.8%	2.5%	.0%	27.0%
	Prefer driving	35.2%	41.6%	62.2%	100.0%	13.6%
	Weather	3	2	0	0	0
	Other	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	0	0	0	0
		5.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons								B
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	a	J						
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.							
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a	D H I J			J	D H J	D J	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a	D H J						
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a							
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a				a			
	Prefer driving	.				B			
	Weather	a						a	
	Other	B G			a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a		a	a	a		a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		a	a,b	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	.		a,b	
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a,b	a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			.b	D J
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			a,b	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b	J
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b	H
	Prefer driving		B	a,b	
	Weather	a	a	a,b	a
	Other	.	a	a,b	a
DK/NA	.	a	a,b	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	436	113
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	33	5
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	82	19
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	17	6
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	162	34
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	118	37
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	38	12
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	21	10
	Prefer driving	230	181	49
	Weather	30	25	5
	Other	27	19	7
	DK/NA	10	10	0
		1.8%	2.3%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles		
	Feel unsafe using public transit		
	Inconvenient public transit routes		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Prefer driving		
	Weather		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	323	226
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	23	14
		6.8%	7.1%	6.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	66	35
		18.5%	20.6%	15.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	17	5
		4.1%	5.4%	2.3%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	125	72
		35.8%	38.7%	31.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	94	62
		28.3%	29.0%	27.3%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	40	10	
	9.1%	12.3%	4.4%	
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	23	8	
	5.6%	7.1%	3.6%	
Prefer driving	230	131	99	
	42.0%	40.6%	44.1%	
Weather	30	21	9	
	5.5%	6.4%	4.1%	
Other	27	14	13	
	4.9%	4.3%	5.7%	
DK/NA	10	7	3	
	1.8%	2.2%	1.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles		
	Feel unsafe using public transit		
	Inconvenient public transit routes		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Prefer driving		
	Weather		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	6	47	90	128
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	0	3	1	4
		6.8%	.0%	6.4%	1.5%	3.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	0	10	19	17
		18.5%	.0%	20.3%	21.3%	12.9%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	0	0	5	3
		4.1%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	2.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	0	9	40	48
		35.8%	4.9%	18.6%	44.7%	37.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	1	12	24	42
		28.3%	19.7%	24.4%	26.7%	32.7%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	4	10	11	
	9.1%	.0%	8.1%	11.2%	8.6%	
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	1	6	6	
	5.6%	.0%	2.5%	6.5%	4.9%	
Prefer driving	230	4	23	38	49	
	42.0%	75.4%	49.4%	41.6%	38.1%	
Weather	30	0	3	6	8	
	5.5%	.0%	6.8%	7.1%	6.6%	
Other	27	0	2	7	11	
	4.9%	.0%	4.5%	8.0%	8.3%	
DK/NA	10	0	2	3	1	
	1.8%	.0%	3.6%	3.7%	.9%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	156	121	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	12	17	0
		7.9%	13.9%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	42	14	0
		26.9%	11.8%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	9	6	0
		6.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	50	49	0
		32.3%	40.5%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	37	39	0
		23.9%	32.6%	.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	19	6	0
		12.2%	4.7%	.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	15	3	0
	9.5%	2.4%	.0%	
Prefer driving	55	61	1	
	35.1%	50.6%	100.0%	
Weather	7	5	0	
	4.2%	4.3%	.0%	
Other	3	4	0	
	1.9%	3.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	
	1.9%	.7%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	a			
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	a		
	Inconvenient public transit routes			B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a			
	Prefer driving				
	Weather	a			
	Other	a			
	DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		C D	a,b
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	D F		a,b
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a,b
	Inconvenient public transit routes			a,b
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			a,b
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b
	Prefer driving			a,b
	Weather			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	546	43	197	132	108	55	11
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	13	4	8	2	0
		6.7%	25.5%	6.4%	2.8%	7.0%	2.9%	1.5%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	3	32	29	22	11	3
		18.6%	7.9%	16.2%	21.8%	20.8%	20.9%	31.6%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	4	11	3	4	1	0
		4.1%	8.1%	5.8%	2.3%	3.4%	2.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	12	57	59	48	12	8
		35.9%	28.0%	29.1%	45.0%	44.0%	21.2%	74.6%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	8	50	39	40	13	6
		28.4%	18.4%	25.1%	29.3%	36.9%	23.2%	58.9%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	14	15	12	7	2
		9.1%	.0%	7.0%	11.3%	11.1%	12.2%	22.1%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	1	7	15	6	0	1
		5.7%	2.5%	3.7%	11.4%	5.9%	.0%	11.1%
	Prefer driving	229	14	103	46	32	31	3
	41.9%	33.5%	52.1%	35.0%	29.7%	55.8%	27.3%	
Weather	30	0	12	7	9	0	1	
	5.5%	.0%	6.2%	5.1%	8.6%	.0%	13.1%	
Other	27	1	11	5	8	2	0	
	4.9%	1.4%	5.4%	4.0%	7.3%	4.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	10	1	5	0	2	2	0	
	1.8%	1.5%	2.7%	.0%	2.1%	3.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	B	C	D	E		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles						
	Feel unsafe using public transit						a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			B	E		B E
	Inconvenient public transit schedules						
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a					
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					a	
	Prefer driving	a	C	D		D	a
	Weather						
	Other						a
	DK/NA			a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	3	3	181
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	0	0	6
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	0	0	38
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	0	0	13
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	0	3	71
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	0	0	64
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	0	17
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	0	10
	Prefer driving	230	1	0	61
	Weather	30	0	0	9
	Other	27	1	0	8
	DK/NA	10	0	0	2

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	2	100	14	25
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	5	1	3
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	1	20	2	1
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	1	0	0
	Inconvenient public transit routes	1	49	4	6
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	0	39	4	1
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	16	2	0
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	9	0	0
	Prefer driving	2	27	8	18
	Weather	0	6	1	1
	Other	0	6	0	1
	DK/NA	0	3	0	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	8	14	7	141
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	0	0	20
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	0	5	4	19
	Feel unsafe using public transit	1	0	3	4
	Inconvenient public transit routes	2	0	2	40
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	1	0	1	29
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	0	9
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	1	8
	Prefer driving	6	9	0	75
	Weather	0	0	1	9
	Other	0	0	0	8
	DK/NA	0	0	1	1

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	19	12	5	14
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	5.2%	6.8%	7.9%	1.1%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	26.5%	16.5%	14.8%	25.2%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.0%	8.8%	13.4%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	41.6%	30.0%	71.8%	18.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	35.1%	26.2%	67.6%	21.1%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	4.3%	8.7%	2.6%	8.3%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	.0%	2.2%	5.4%	16.8%
	Prefer driving	47.0%	48.3%	12.3%	55.6%
	Weather	4.3%	6.0%	4.3%	6.9%
	Other	.0%	2.2%	17.6%	2.9%
	DK/NA	3.4%	.0%	.0%	13.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles
	Feel unsafe using public transit
	Inconvenient public transit routes
	Inconvenient public transit schedules
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths
	Prefer driving
	Weather
	Other	C	.	.	.
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				.
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	.	.
	Inconvenient public transit routes	G	.	.	.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		.	.	.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths		.	a	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		a	.	.
	Prefer driving		.	C E	.
	Weather		a	.	.
	Other		a	.	.
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	.	.	C	.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.	E K	.	.
	Inconvenient public transit routes
	Inconvenient public transit schedules
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	.	a	.	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths
	Prefer driving	.	.	C E	.
	Weather
	Other	.	a	.	.
	DK/NA	.	K	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			a
	Feel unsafe using public transit			.
	Inconvenient public transit routes		G	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Prefer driving			
	Weather			
	Other	a	a	C K
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	258	99	109	31	11	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	4	0	0	2	1	0
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	64	22	30	8	3	1
	Feel unsafe using public transit	7	2	3	1	0	1
	Inconvenient public transit routes	90	38	35	9	3	5
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	65	26	29	4	5	1
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	30	11	14	2	2	0
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	18	5	13	0	0	0
	Prefer driving	111	38	48	15	6	4
	Weather	18	4	10	1	3	0
	Other	16	6	8	1	1	0
	DK/NA	8	4	3	0	0	1
		3.1%	4.4%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				A B	a
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					.
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a	a	a
	Prefer driving					
	Weather				A	
	Other					
	DK/NA			a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	358	100	212	32	4	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	31	12	12	5	1	1
		8.7%	12.1%	5.4%	15.5%	32.5%	12.5%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	58	17	27	11	1	2
		16.3%	16.6%	12.9%	33.3%	24.6%	27.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	19	4	13	1	0	1
		5.2%	3.7%	5.9%	4.3%	.0%	11.7%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	126	32	73	15	1	5
		35.3%	32.0%	34.6%	46.8%	24.6%	54.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	104	27	66	10	1	1
		29.2%	26.5%	31.2%	30.4%	24.6%	8.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	27	4	15	5	2	1
		7.6%	3.9%	7.0%	15.5%	47.9%	15.8%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	15	7	4	2	1	0	
	4.2%	7.0%	2.0%	7.8%	24.6%	.0%	
Prefer driving	148	36	100	7	1	3	
	41.3%	36.3%	47.1%	22.3%	19.6%	37.2%	
Weather	14	3	8	1	1	0	
	3.8%	3.0%	3.9%	3.4%	24.6%	2.5%	
Other	15	3	11	0	0	0	
	4.1%	2.8%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	
DK/NA	4	2	2	0	0	0	
	1.0%	1.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons					
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			B		
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				A B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				B	a
	Prefer driving					
	Weather			a	a	
	Other			a	a	a
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	418	25	87	5	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	30	2	2	0	0
		6.8%	7.2%	6.8%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	81	3	22	0	0
		18.5%	19.4%	13.1%	25.1%	.0%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	17	0	9	0	0
		4.1%	4.1%	.0%	9.7%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	154	13	31	3	1
		35.8%	36.9%	52.2%	35.2%	69.7%	100.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	129	9	28	1	1
		28.3%	30.8%	37.6%	32.1%	29.0%	100.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	40	5	7	0	0
		9.1%	9.7%	19.9%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	27	1	4	1	0	
	5.6%	6.5%	4.6%	4.5%	24.1%	26.0%	
Prefer driving	230	178	10	29	3	0	
	42.0%	42.6%	38.5%	33.6%	71.0%	26.0%	
Weather	30	26	0	7	0	0	
	5.5%	6.3%	.0%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	
Other	27	26	2	3	0	0	
	4.9%	6.3%	9.5%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	10	9	0	3	0	0	
	1.8%	2.2%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	41	7	22	46	11	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	0	3	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	9.2%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	10	1	1	14	3	0
		24.0%	15.6%	5.2%	30.9%	23.9%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	18	1	4	27	5	0
		43.7%	20.5%	19.9%	58.0%	44.9%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	15	1	1	17	4	1
		37.2%	20.5%	4.7%	36.2%	36.5%	75.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	5	1	0	3	0	0
		12.2%	15.6%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	3	0	0	2	2	0	
	8.5%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	18.0%	.0%	
Prefer driving	15	6	18	10	3	0	
	37.5%	83.0%	82.5%	22.3%	26.7%	25.0%	
Weather	5	0	0	0	3	0	
	11.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	25.5%	25.0%	
Other	1	0	1	3	0	0	
	2.8%	.0%	4.7%	6.0%	.0%	25.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Primary Languages in Household			
	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	12	14	53	6
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 6.4%	0 2.7%
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	2 19.1%	5 34.8%	9 16.4%	2 37.0%
Feel unsafe using public transit	1 7.7%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 17.0%
Inconvenient public transit routes	2 18.0%	0 .0%	36 67.6%	2 40.3%
Inconvenient public transit schedules	1 9.6%	0 .0%	29 55.1%	1 23.0%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	2 19.1%	0 .0%	8 14.7%	1 17.3%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 8.0%	0 .0%
Prefer driving	10 83.9%	9 65.2%	15 28.7%	5 77.4%
Weather	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.7%	0 .0%
Other	1 9.8%	0 .0%	8 16.0%	0 5.6%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				a	a,b	a
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				a	a,b	
Feel unsafe using public transit		a		a	a,b	a
Inconvenient public transit routes					a,b	
Inconvenient public transit schedules					a,b	
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				a	a,b	
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					b	
Prefer driving					b	
Weather		a		a	a,b	
Other				a	a,b	
DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a		a		a,b	a
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					a,b	
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	a		a	a,b	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					a,b	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					b	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths		a		a	a,b	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a	a			a,b	a
	Prefer driving		A C I N	a		b	I N
	Weather		a		a	b	a
	Other	a			a	b	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a		
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a	A C H	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a	A H	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a		a
	Prefer driving			
	Weather	a		a
	Other	a		
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	55	51	29	33
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	6	2	1
		6.8%	19.8%	11.5%	7.3%	4.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	6	7	1	1
		18.5%	10.2%	14.0%	4.5%	4.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	3	1	0	0
		4.1%	5.8%	2.8%	1.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	11	13	7	12
		35.8%	20.4%	25.6%	23.9%	34.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	9	11	9	6
		28.3%	16.2%	21.2%	29.5%	18.4%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	3	1	0	2
		9.1%	5.2%	2.7%	.0%	4.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	3	0	1
	5.6%	.0%	5.1%	1.2%	3.5%	
Prefer driving	230	20	21	17	18	
	42.0%	37.0%	41.8%	57.1%	55.0%	
Weather	30	0	1	1	0	
	5.5%	.4%	2.0%	2.8%	1.0%	
Other	27	1	0	2	4	
	4.9%	1.2%	.0%	6.9%	10.7%	
DK/NA	10	0	1	0	0	
	1.8%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.5%	

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	32	38	38	33	124
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	1	0	2	3
		.3%	2.8%	1.0%	4.8%	2.1%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	5	6	10	8	40
		16.0%	15.1%	27.1%	23.4%	31.8%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	1	0	0	8
		13.2%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	10	16	11	6	67
		31.0%	41.6%	28.0%	18.1%	54.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	10	7	14	8	45
		32.5%	19.0%	37.3%	23.6%	36.4%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	2	2	1	9	21
		6.3%	5.8%	3.9%	25.9%	16.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	2	4	0	12
	1.8%	5.4%	9.4%	.5%	9.8%	
Prefer driving	12	21	17	14	41	
	36.7%	56.4%	43.7%	43.1%	33.1%	
Weather	3	2	0	2	6	
	10.2%	5.4%	.6%	7.5%	5.1%	
Other	1	2	4	0	8	
	4.6%	5.2%	10.1%	1.4%	6.6%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	4	
	3.3%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	3.5%	

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	115
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	11
		9.9%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	18
		15.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4
		3.7%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	44
		38.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	36
		31.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9
		7.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	8
	7.2%	
Prefer driving	49	
	42.4%	
Weather	13	
	11.5%	
Other	4	
	3.9%	
DK/NA	3	
	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	1				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a				
	Prefer driving					
	Weather		a			
	Other					
	DK/NA	a		a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes				A B H
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
DK/NA	a	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	
	Feel unsafe using public transit	
	Inconvenient public transit routes	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	
	Prefer driving	
	Weather	
	Other	
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	156	143	249
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	25 15.9%	6 4.4%	6 2.5%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	10 6.6%	18 12.6%	73 29.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	5 2.9%	6 4.0%	12 5.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	38 24.6%	26 18.0%	132 53.1%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	26 16.5%	26 18.2%	103 41.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	3 1.8%	2 1.7%	44 17.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	3 2.1%	4 2.8%	24 9.5%
	Prefer driving	230 42.0%	60 38.4%	78 54.6%	92 37.0%
	Weather	30 5.5%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	28 11.4%
	Other	27 4.9%	3 2.2%	1 .4%	23 9.1%
	DK/NA	10 1.8%	3 2.1%	3 1.8%	4 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	B C		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			A B
	Feel unsafe using public transit			
	Inconvenient public transit routes			A B
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			A B
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			A B
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			A B
	Prefer driving		A C a	
	Weather			A
	Other			A B
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	435	120	86	70	158
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	33	5	2	5	21
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	88	23	19	17	29
	Feel unsafe using public transit	21	7	0	5	9
	Inconvenient public transit routes	156	41	34	25	56
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	125	35	30	17	42
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	46	6	13	13	14
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	28	8	4	5	11
	Prefer driving	178	51	34	28	65
	Weather	27	8	5	5	10
	Other	19	3	4	5	6
	DK/NA	7	5	0	0	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				B
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			A	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
DK/NA		a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	546	112	114	40	38
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	15	5	5	2
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	99	19	24	6	4
	Feel unsafe using public transit	22	4	7	1	1
	Inconvenient public transit routes	195	35	46	15	11
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	154	23	49	10	7
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	49	9	12	5	2
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	7	4	3	2
	Prefer driving	229	53	42	14	25
	Weather	30	4	4	4	0
	Other	27	6	7	2	0
	DK/NA	10	0	1	0	0

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	119	108	7	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	6	3	0	1
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	21	23	0	2
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	4	0	0
	Inconvenient public transit routes	33	50	1	4
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	30	32	1	2
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	8	12	0	1
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	4	9	0	2
	Prefer driving	49	39	5	3
	Weather	10	8	0	0
	Other	5	7	0	1
	DK/NA	5	4	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons					
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					
	Feel unsafe using public transit					
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		A			
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Prefer driving					
	Weather					
	Other					
DK/NA	a		a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		a	
	Prefer driving			
	Weather		a	
	Other		a	
DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	582
	Very likely	274 47.1%	274 47.1%
	Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	183 31.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	43 7.4%
	Very unlikely	67 11.5%	67 11.5%
	DK/NA	15 2.5%	15 2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	.
	Somewhat likely	.
	Somewhat unlikely	.
	Very unlikely	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very likely	274 47.1%	131 46.5%	142 47.9%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	87 31.0%	95 32.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	19 6.8%	24 8.0%	0 .0%
	Very unlikely	67 11.5%	39 13.8%	26 8.8%	2 66.3%
	DK/NA	15 2.5%	5 1.8%	10 3.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely			a
	Somewhat likely			a
	Somewhat unlikely			.
	Very unlikely			A B
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very likely	274	41	53	71	74	33	2
		47.1%	53.6%	52.5%	50.1%	48.5%	32.7%	24.9%
	Somewhat likely	183	23	28	45	48	34	4
		31.4%	30.5%	27.7%	32.0%	31.5%	33.6%	43.9%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	4	10	8	10	11	0
		7.4%	5.7%	9.5%	5.9%	6.2%	11.1%	.0%
Very unlikely	67	8	8	11	19	20	2	
	11.5%	10.2%	7.6%	7.8%	12.2%	19.4%	24.3%	
DK/NA	15	0	3	6	2	3	1	
	2.5%	.0%	2.7%	4.1%	1.5%	3.2%	6.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely						
	Somewhat likely						a
	Somewhat unlikely						.
	Very unlikely						
	DK/NA	a					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	424	158
	Very likely	274	189	85
		47.1%	44.5%	54.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	135	48
		31.4%	31.8%	30.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	31	12
		7.4%	7.3%	7.6%
Very unlikely	67	55	12	
	11.5%	12.9%	7.9%	
DK/NA	15	15	0	
	2.5%	3.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		
	Somewhat likely		A
	Somewhat unlikely		.
	Very unlikely		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very likely	274	121	26	8	119
		47.1%	49.7%	32.8%	49.7%	49.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	63	27	6	87
		31.4%	25.8%	34.0%	36.4%	35.8%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	24	7	0	12
		7.4%	9.7%	9.0%	1.3%	5.0%
	Very unlikely	67	30	16	2	19
	11.5%	12.4%	19.8%	12.5%	7.9%	
DK/NA	15	6	4	0	5	
	2.5%	2.4%	4.4%	.0%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	B			
	Somewhat likely				
	Somewhat unlikely		D		
	Very unlikely			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very likely	274	70	26	10	10	60	99
	Somewhat likely	183	27	18	7	13	42	77
	Somewhat unlikely	43	12	9	5	2	10	6
	Very unlikely	67	13	12	9	3	11	19
	DK/NA	15	0	2	2	1	5	4
		2.5%	.0%	2.4%	7.3%	4.4%	4.0%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely						
	Somewhat likely		F				
	Somewhat unlikely			E F			
	Very unlikely	a					
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very likely	274	130	48	36	23
	Somewhat likely	183	87	18	31	8
	Somewhat unlikely	43	20	3	3	8
	Very unlikely	67	20	10	9	7
	DK/NA	15	7	0	3	2
		2.5%	2.6%	.0%	3.6%	3.4%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very likely	8	7	15	8
	Somewhat likely	10	10	11	8
	Somewhat unlikely	2	1	6	1
	Very unlikely	5	5	5	6
	DK/NA	1	0	1	1
		4.4%	.0%	2.5%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely					
	Somewhat unlikely					
	Very unlikely					
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely			
	Somewhat likely			
	Somewhat unlikely			
	Very unlikely			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very likely	274	4	83	22	21	10	9	20	38
		47.1%	55.5%	48.0%	52.9%	44.5%	58.9%	67.9%	47.3%	39.3%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	52	10	19	2	3	12	34
		31.4%	.0%	30.1%	24.5%	41.5%	13.1%	21.4%	27.7%	35.1%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	1	11	7	2	1	1	3	11
	7.4%	9.9%	6.5%	15.7%	4.2%	3.9%	4.8%	6.5%	11.4%	
Very unlikely	67	2	22	1	4	4	1	6	12	
	11.5%	34.7%	12.9%	2.4%	7.7%	24.2%	4.8%	15.3%	12.9%	
DK/NA	15	0	4	2	1	0	0	1	1	
	2.5%	.0%	2.5%	4.5%	2.1%	.0%	1.1%	3.1%	1.3%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very likely	36	28	1	2
		49.6%	43.8%	56.1%	42.6%
	Somewhat likely	25	23	0	3
		34.3%	35.0%	.0%	52.1%
	Somewhat unlikely	2	5	0	0
	3.0%	7.8%	.0%	5.3%	
Very unlikely	6	7	1	0	
	8.7%	10.8%	43.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	2	0	0	
	4.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	a								
	Somewhat likely	.								
	Somewhat unlikely									
	Very unlikely	a				a				
	DK/NA	.								

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		a	
	Somewhat likely		a	
	Somewhat unlikely			a
	Very unlikely		a	a
	DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	464	118
	Very likely	274	214	60
		47.1%	46.1%	51.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	150	33
		31.4%	32.3%	28.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	36	7
	7.4%	7.8%	5.8%	
Very unlikely	67	53	14	
	11.5%	11.5%	11.8%	
DK/NA	15	11	4	
	2.5%	2.4%	3.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		
	Somewhat likely		
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	347	235
	Very likely	274	162	113
		47.1%	46.6%	47.9%
	Somewhat likely	183	98	85
		31.4%	28.1%	36.2%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	26	17
		7.4%	7.5%	7.3%
Very unlikely	67	52	15	
	11.5%	15.0%	6.4%	
DK/NA	15	10	5	
	2.5%	2.8%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		A
	Somewhat likely		
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very likely	274	9	22	42	69
		47.1%	85.3%	44.4%	44.4%	52.6%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	18	32	33
		31.4%	.0%	35.9%	33.9%	25.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	0	8	7	13
		7.4%	.0%	16.9%	7.9%	9.9%
Very unlikely	67	2	1	10	12	
	11.5%	14.7%	2.9%	10.7%	9.0%	
DK/NA	15	0	0	3	5	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.5%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	163	133	1
	Very likely	86	47	0
		52.6%	35.2%	.0%
	Somewhat likely	56	44	1
		34.1%	33.2%	100.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	1	13	0
		.9%	9.7%	.0%
Very unlikely	15	27	0	
	9.4%	20.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	5	2	0	
	3.0%	1.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	F				F
	Somewhat likely	.b				
	Somewhat unlikely	.b	E	E	E	
	Very unlikely					
	DK/NA	.b	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		a,.b
	Somewhat likely		a,.b
	Somewhat unlikely	E	a,.b
	Very unlikely		a,.b
	DK/NA		a,.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very likely	274	10	92	62	70	35	5
		47.2%	21.2%	43.6%	44.2%	61.4%	60.5%	41.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	20	64	55	23	16	5
		31.5%	44.9%	30.2%	39.2%	20.4%	27.6%	39.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	42	4	21	8	7	1	1
		7.3%	9.7%	10.2%	5.4%	6.3%	1.0%	10.5%
Very unlikely	66	10	25	15	10	5	1	
	11.5%	22.4%	12.0%	10.9%	8.7%	8.2%	9.0%	
DK/NA	15	1	8	0	4	2	0	
	2.5%	1.8%	4.0%	.2%	3.2%	2.7%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				AB	A	
	Somewhat likely	D		D			
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely						
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very likely	274	1	0	70	1
		47.1%	50.0%	.0%	37.1%	48.8%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	3	71	0
		31.4%	.0%	100.0%	37.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	1	0	11	1
		7.4%	50.0%	.0%	5.9%	51.2%
Very unlikely	67	0	0	29	0	
	11.5%	.0%	.0%	15.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	15	0	0	8	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very likely	65	8	12	3	11
		61.2%	49.1%	49.1%	40.9%	80.3%
	Somewhat likely	32	6	7	2	2
		30.0%	36.7%	28.6%	30.0%	12.6%
	Somewhat unlikely	3	0	4	1	0
		2.5%	.0%	15.4%	17.2%	.0%
Very unlikely	5	2	0	1	0	
	4.7%	14.2%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	1	
	1.5%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	7.1%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very likely	8	64	14	4	3
		76.6%	43.0%	60.4%	37.9%	58.4%
	Somewhat likely	1	44	9	4	1
		12.0%	29.8%	36.8%	33.2%	12.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	0	15	1	2	0
		.0%	10.1%	2.8%	21.5%	8.1%
Very unlikely	1	23	0	1	1	
	11.4%	15.5%	.0%	7.5%	16.6%	
DK/NA	0	2	0	0	0	
	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	17
	Very likely	9
		52.7%
	Somewhat likely	2
		10.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	3
	15.6%	
Very unlikely	4	
	20.5%	
DK/NA	0	
	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	a	a		a
	Somewhat likely	.	.		.
	Somewhat unlikely	E	a		E
	Very unlikely	a	.		a
	DK/NA	a	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	C				
	Somewhat likely		a			a
	Somewhat unlikely			a		a
	Very unlikely		a		a	
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely	a				
	Somewhat unlikely			a		
	Very unlikely			a	a	
	DK/NA	a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	
	Somewhat likely	
	Somewhat unlikely	
	Very unlikely	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very likely	148	59	64	15	5	4
	Somewhat likely	78	30	30	11	5	2
	Somewhat unlikely	29.3%	28.7%	26.5%	37.6%	48.4%	19.8%
	Very unlikely	16	7	6	3	0	0
	DK/NA	5	1	4	0	0	0
		2.0%	1.4%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely				a	
	Somewhat unlikely					
	Very unlikely			a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very likely	172	46	94	20	4	7
	Somewhat likely	45.0%	42.5%	42.1%	59.4%	97.9%	62.7%
	Somewhat unlikely	120	34	75	10	0	0
	Very unlikely	31.5%	31.6%	33.6%	30.9%	.0%	3.4%
	DK/NA	26	6	19	0	0	0
		6.8%	5.7%	8.5%	.8%	.0%	3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				a	
	Somewhat likely				a	
	Somewhat unlikely					
	Very unlikely					C
	DK/NA					a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very likely	274	219	8	40	6	1	24
	Somewhat likely	183	123	12	32	0	0	16
	Somewhat unlikely	43	37	0	5	0	0	1
	Very unlikely	67	56	5	16	1	0	3
	DK/NA	15	14	1	0	0	0	0
		2.5%	3.1%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very likely	5	12	20	10	1	6	11
	Somewhat likely	2	7	17	2	0	4	2
	Somewhat unlikely	0	3	1	1	0	1	0
	Very unlikely	0	0	6	1	0	1	0
	DK/NA	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	56	7
	Very likely	35	3
	Somewhat likely	15	1
	Somewhat unlikely	2	0
	Very unlikely	3	2
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				b	a		
	Somewhat likely		b		b	a,b		b
	Somewhat unlikely					a,b		
	Very unlikely			b	b		b	b
	DK/NA					a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				a				
	Somewhat likely				a				
	Somewhat unlikely				a,b		b		
	Very unlikely	b			a,b		b		
	DK/NA	b		b	a,b	b		b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	
	Somewhat likely	
	Somewhat unlikely	
	Very unlikely	
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very likely	274	16	21	13	12	20
	Somewhat likely	183	32	27	8	11	8
	Somewhat unlikely	43	3	1	3	7	4
	Very unlikely	67	9	3	3	4	0
	DK/NA	15	0	0	3	2	0
			2.5%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	4.6%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very likely	23	23	17	73	57
	Somewhat likely	15	9	9	29	36
	Somewhat unlikely	0	4	2	10	9
	Very unlikely	4	2	4	17	21
	DK/NA	0	0	2	4	4
			.0%	.0%	7.4%	3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					A	
	Somewhat likely	I	I				
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely						
	DK/NA	a	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	A		A	
	Somewhat likely				
	Somewhat unlikely				
	Very unlikely				
	DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very likely	274	51	95	129
	Somewhat likely	183	70	39	74
	Somewhat unlikely	43	14	7	22
	Very unlikely	67	28	10	29
	DK/NA	15	5	2	8
			2.5%	2.7%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		A C	A
	Somewhat likely	B C		
	Somewhat unlikely			
	Very unlikely	B		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very likely	213	62	45	38	68
	Somewhat likely	152	51	35	20	46
	Somewhat unlikely	30	2	5	9	14
	Very unlikely	57	9	5	8	34
	DK/NA	10	2	0	2	6
			2.1%	1.4%	.2%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				
	Somewhat likely			A	
	Somewhat unlikely				
	Very unlikely				AB
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very likely	273	62	60	15	12	63
		47.2%	50.0%	49.9%	36.9%	28.8%	49.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	34	29	11	16	48
		31.6%	27.6%	24.3%	28.7%	39.2%	37.6%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	14	10	5	3	5
		7.4%	11.1%	8.2%	11.7%	6.3%	4.3%
Very unlikely	65	11	18	5	11	8	
	11.2%	8.8%	15.3%	13.7%	25.7%	6.6%	
DK/NA	15	3	3	4	0	3	
	2.5%	2.5%	2.3%	9.0%	.0%	2.4%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	112	7	9
	Very likely	55	4	4
		49.2%	51.0%	48.7%
	Somewhat likely	39	2	4
		34.4%	29.0%	42.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	7	0	0
	5.9%	.0%	2.4%	
Very unlikely	10	1	1	
	8.5%	20.0%	6.6%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely						
	Somewhat likely						
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely				E		
	DK/NA				a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		
	Somewhat likely	a	
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely		a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	582
	Yes	276	276
		47.4%	47.4%
	No	56	56
		9.5%	9.5%
DK/NA	251	251	
	43.1%	43.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender				
		Total	Male	Female	Other	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?		Total	582	281	298	3
Yes		276	136	140	0	
		47.4%	48.4%	46.9%	.0%	
No		56	19	34	2	
		9.5%	6.8%	11.5%	65.6%	
DK/NA		251	126	124	1	
		43.1%	44.8%	41.5%	34.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			a
	No			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age							
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?		Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes		276	37	51	65	53	64	5	
		47.4%	48.0%	50.8%	46.4%	35.0%	62.7%	56.4%	
No		56	8	8	12	19	8	0	
		9.5%	10.0%	8.1%	8.8%	12.5%	8.0%	.0%	
DK/NA		251	32	42	63	80	30	4	
		43.1%	42.0%	41.1%	44.9%	52.5%	29.2%	43.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age						
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes						D	a
	No							
	DK/NA				E			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Owner	Renter	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?		Total	582	424	158
Yes		276	187	88	
		47.4%	44.1%	56.1%	
No		56	41	15	
		9.5%	9.6%	9.4%	
DK/NA		251	196	54	
		43.1%	46.3%	34.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		A
	No		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party					
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?		Total	582	244	81	16	242
Yes		276	116	42	7	111	
		47.4%	47.6%	51.5%	44.2%	46.1%	
No		56	24	11	1	20	
		9.5%	9.9%	13.1%	5.1%	8.3%	
DK/NA		251	104	29	8	110	
		43.1%	42.5%	35.4%	50.7%	45.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total		582	121	66	32	28	128	205
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	276	65	23	15	19	52	101
		47.4%	53.8%	34.7%	47.1%	67.9%	40.7%	49.1%
	No	56	11	11	5	2	9	16
		9.5%	9.1%	16.7%	16.6%	8.5%	7.3%	7.9%
	DK/NA	251	45	32	12	7	67	88
		43.1%	37.1%	48.6%	36.3%	23.6%	52.0%	43.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				B		
	No						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total		582	263	78	81	47	27
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	276	124	29	47	18	14
		47.4%	47.3%	36.5%	57.3%	38.3%	51.3%
	No	56	23	4	6	13	3
		9.5%	8.6%	5.0%	8.0%	27.6%	12.2%
	DK/NA	251	116	46	28	16	10
		43.1%	44.1%	58.5%	34.7%	34.1%	36.5%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		23	38	24
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	11	17	17
		46.7%	44.8%	68.6%
	No	2	3	1
		10.6%	7.0%	4.6%
	DK/NA	10	18	7
		42.7%	48.3%	26.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes						
	No				A B		
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
Total		582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	276	4	45	17	30	8	8	24	55	43
		47.4%	56.5%	26.3%	41.2%	65.8%	46.9%	55.6%	56.2%	56.6%	58.8%
	No	56	0	14	5	2	0	2	2	10	7
		9.5%	.0%	8.2%	11.5%	4.9%	2.6%	15.5%	5.4%	10.2%	9.0%
	DK/NA	251	3	113	20	14	8	4	16	32	24
		43.1%	43.5%	65.5%	47.3%	29.4%	50.4%	28.9%	38.4%	33.1%	32.2%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total		64	2	5
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	41	0	1
		63.2%	.0%	25.5%
	No	13	0	0
		19.6%	.0%	5.3%
	DK/NA	11	2	4
		17.1%	100.0%	69.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				B				B	B	B
	No	a									
	DK/NA		D H I J	J							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	a	
	No	a	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	276 47.4%	217 46.9%	58 49.4%
	No	56 9.5%	43 9.3%	12 10.4%
	DK/NA	251 43.1%	203 43.8%	47 40.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	276 47.4%	153 44.0%	123 52.4%
	No	56 9.5%	31 9.0%	24 10.4%
	DK/NA	251 43.1%	163 47.1%	87 37.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		A
	No		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	276 47.4%	6 58.8%	24 49.8%	36 38.3%	69 52.5%	74 45.0%
	No	56 9.5%	0 .0%	9 18.0%	3 3.7%	11 8.2%	17 10.3%
	DK/NA	251 43.1%	4 41.2%	16 32.2%	55 58.0%	52 39.3%	73 44.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	133	1
	Yes	66 49.6%	1 100.0%
	No	16 11.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	51 38.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year (A)	1 to 3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10 to 15 years (D)	16 to 25 years (E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					
	No	.b	C			
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	a,b	a,b
	No	a,b	a,b
	DK/NA	a,b	a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	274	26	106	69	37	36	1
	No	47.3%	58.8%	50.3%	49.0%	32.3%	61.4%	6.5%
	DK/NA	56	3	15	10	18	7	1
		9.6%	7.8%	7.2%	7.2%	16.0%	12.0%	11.9%
		250	15	90	62	59	15	9
		43.1%	33.5%	42.5%	43.8%	51.7%	26.6%	81.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	D F	D F			D F	
	No				E		
	DK/NA						A E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	276	1	3	85	0	34
	No	47.4%	50.0%	100.0%	44.8%	.0%	32.3%
	DK/NA	56	0	0	11	0	14
		9.5%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	13.1%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	7	19	6	8	6
	No	43.3%	75.7%	71.0%	60.2%	56.2%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	4	0
		.0%	4.4%	.0%	32.7%	.0%
		9	5	2	1	4
		56.7%	19.9%	29.0%	7.1%	43.8%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	85	11	4	1	6
	No	57.2%	48.7%	37.1%	14.7%	34.6%
	DK/NA	15	1	4	1	5
		9.8%	4.6%	33.4%	16.6%	29.7%
		49	11	3	4	6
		33.0%	46.8%	29.5%	68.7%	35.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		a		a	
	No	a	a		a	
	DK/NA		a		a	K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		E			
	No	a		a	C	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	E				
	No			C		C
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	118	44	47	18	6	3
		44.3%	42.1%	41.7%	59.7%	57.7%	34.2%
	No	34	14	16	2	1	1
	12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	6.2%	13.4%	13.4%	
DK/NA	115	47	50	10	3	5	
	43.1%	44.9%	44.5%	34.1%	28.9%	52.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	185	53	112	15	2	3
		48.6%	49.6%	50.0%	44.3%	34.6%	31.2%
	No	43	13	25	2	2	1
	11.2%	12.4%	11.0%	4.9%	40.8%	10.7%	
DK/NA	153	41	87	17	1	6	
	40.1%	38.0%	39.0%	50.7%	24.6%	58.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	276	186	13	46	3	1	14	3
		47.4%	41.5%	48.5%	49.7%	39.7%	93.5%	32.3%	49.0%
	No	56	47	1	7	2	0	9	0
	9.5%	10.4%	5.6%	7.0%	29.1%	.0%	20.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	251	216	12	40	2	0	21	3	
	43.1%	48.1%	46.0%	43.3%	31.2%	6.5%	47.5%	51.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	17	23	8	1	9	8	20	2
		77.5%	48.4%	59.6%	100.0%	69.8%	60.2%	35.5%	36.2%
	No	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	1
	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	1.9%	32.7%	5.7%	15.4%	
DK/NA	5	25	4	0	4	1	33	3	
	22.5%	51.6%	33.1%	.0%	28.3%	7.1%	58.8%	48.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					a			F
	No					a,b		b	b
	DK/NA					a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA	
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			a,b					
	No	b		a,b					
	DK/NA			a,b			M		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	276	39	38	12	16	18	32
		47.4%	64.4%	73.5%	42.5%	44.7%	55.2%	76.3%
	No	56	5	1	2	7	0	3
		9.5%	8.6%	2.0%	7.6%	20.0%	.0%	7.8%
	DK/NA	251	16	13	15	13	14	7
		43.1%	27.0%	24.5%	49.9%	35.3%	44.8%	15.9%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	12	14	44	51
		30.4%	43.0%	33.1%	40.3%
	No	6	3	11	16
		15.1%	10.3%	8.6%	12.6%
	DK/NA	21	16	77	59
		54.5%	46.7%	58.3%	47.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	G I	G I J				G I J	
	No					a		
	DK/NA							F

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			
	No			
	DK/NA		A B F	F

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	276	103	102	71
		47.4%	61.5%	67.0%	27.0%
	No	56	21	17	18
		9.5%	12.4%	10.9%	6.9%
	DK/NA	251	44	34	173
		43.1%	26.2%	22.1%	66.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	C	C	
	No			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	217	66	37	39	76
		47.1%	52.3%	40.5%	50.2%	45.2%
	No	40	5	9	5	20
		8.6%	4.2%	9.9%	6.8%	12.0%
	DK/NA	205	55	45	33	72
		44.3%	43.5%	49.6%	43.0%	42.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	276	58	58	22	20	58	54
		47.6%	46.7%	48.8%	54.6%	48.4%	45.3%	47.7%
	No	54	15	9	4	7	15	4
		9.2%	11.8%	7.1%	10.0%	16.2%	11.7%	3.6%
DK/NA	250	51	53	14	14	55	55	
		43.1%	41.4%	44.1%	35.4%	35.4%	43.0%	48.6%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	7	9
	Yes	3	4
		36.0%	50.6%
	No	1	0
		11.6%	.0%
DK/NA	4	4	
		52.4%	49.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes							
	No							
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	
	No	a
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	582
	Strongly support	176	176
		30.2%	30.2%
	Somewhat support	185	185
		31.8%	31.8%
	Somewhat oppose	78	78
	13.5%	13.5%	
Strongly oppose	99	99	
	17.0%	17.0%	
DK/NA	43	43	
	7.5%	7.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Strongly support	176	76	100	0
		30.2%	27.0%	33.6%	.0%
	Somewhat support	185	88	95	2
		31.8%	31.3%	31.9%	66.3%
	Somewhat oppose	78	41	37	0
		13.5%	14.7%	12.5%	.0%
	Strongly oppose	99	53	45	1
	17.0%	18.8%	15.2%	33.7%	
DK/NA	43	23	20	0	
	7.5%	8.3%	6.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			a
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			a
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Strongly support	176	30	44	37	38	27	1
		30.2%	38.6%	43.8%	26.0%	24.6%	26.3%	12.5%
	Somewhat support	185	21	20	57	45	39	3
		31.8%	27.7%	19.5%	40.4%	29.2%	38.5%	36.8%
	Somewhat oppose	78	11	20	15	22	11	0
		13.5%	13.7%	19.6%	10.8%	14.6%	10.4%	.0%
Strongly oppose	99	5	10	25	39	18	3	
	17.0%	6.6%	9.4%	18.0%	25.4%	17.3%	31.5%	
DK/NA	43	10	8	7	9	8	2	
	7.5%	13.4%	7.7%	4.9%	6.1%	7.4%	19.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		D				
	Somewhat support					B	
	Somewhat oppose			B			a
	Strongly oppose				A B		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	424	158
	Strongly support	176	123	53
		30.2%	29.1%	33.4%
	Somewhat support	185	132	53
		31.8%	31.1%	33.7%
	Somewhat oppose	78	67	11
	13.5%	15.8%	7.2%	
Strongly oppose	99	81	18	
	17.0%	19.1%	11.5%	
DK/NA	43	21	22	
	7.5%	5.0%	14.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Strongly support	176	97	16	4	59
		30.2%	39.8%	19.9%	26.0%	24.4%
	Somewhat support	185	58	23	5	99
		31.8%	23.9%	28.6%	29.3%	41.0%
	Somewhat oppose	78	30	15	1	31
		13.5%	12.4%	19.1%	8.6%	13.0%
Strongly oppose	99	35	25	4	34	
	17.0%	14.4%	31.5%	27.4%	14.2%	
DK/NA	43	23	1	1	18	
	7.5%	9.5%	.9%	8.6%	7.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	B D			
	Somewhat support				A
	Somewhat oppose		A D		
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Strongly support	176	54	22	6	5	43	45
		30.2%	44.9%	32.9%	19.7%	16.6%	33.9%	22.0%
	Somewhat support	185	33	11	11	9	26	95
		31.8%	27.0%	16.7%	33.6%	32.4%	20.5%	46.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78	9	15	2	7	23	22
	13.5%	7.0%	22.6%	7.1%	25.0%	18.0%	10.9%	
Strongly oppose	99	17	8	13	7	26	28	
	17.0%	14.4%	12.7%	39.3%	23.9%	20.4%	13.5%	
DK/NA	43	8	10	0	1	9	15	
	7.5%	6.7%	15.1%	.3%	2.1%	7.2%	7.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	F					
	Somewhat support						A B E
	Somewhat oppose		A				
	Strongly oppose			A B F			
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Strongly support	176	88	32	20	13
		30.2%	33.5%	41.2%	25.0%	27.1%
	Somewhat support	185	84	18	35	6
		31.8%	32.0%	23.5%	42.6%	13.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78	34	12	6	11
	13.5%	12.9%	15.6%	7.1%	22.8%	
Strongly oppose	99	39	7	15	14	
	17.0%	14.8%	8.6%	18.2%	29.9%	
DK/NA	43	18	9	6	3	
	7.5%	6.8%	11.0%	7.1%	6.6%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Strongly support	3	1	12	6
		12.0%	2.8%	32.3%	25.6%
	Somewhat support	11	12	12	7
		40.3%	49.9%	30.3%	30.3%
	Somewhat oppose	5	4	6	1
	18.0%	15.7%	15.8%	5.4%	
Strongly oppose	7	4	6	7	
	26.3%	18.9%	16.3%	28.9%	
DK/NA	1	3	2	2	
	3.4%	12.6%	5.2%	9.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		F			
	Somewhat support				D	
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support	D		
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 11
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	
	Strongly support	176	1	50	16	15	10	9	10	15	
		30.2%	9.9%	28.8%	37.7%	32.4%	60.9%	66.7%	23.8%	15.6%	
	Somewhat support	185	0	50	12	18	2	1	10	34	
		31.8%	.0%	29.0%	28.1%	39.5%	14.0%	4.5%	23.3%	35.2%	
	Somewhat oppose	78	3	30	4	3	1	2	5	15	
	13.5%	43.5%	17.2%	10.0%	7.3%	5.8%	16.3%	12.8%	15.8%		
Strongly oppose	99	2	34	8	7	2	2	16	19		
	17.0%	36.8%	19.9%	19.1%	14.3%	10.1%	12.6%	37.0%	19.3%		
DK/NA	43	1	9	2	3	2	0	1	14		
	7.5%	9.9%	5.1%	5.0%	6.4%	9.2%	.0%	3.1%	14.2%		

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Strongly support	24	26	0	1
		32.7%	39.6%	.0%	19.1%
	Somewhat support	30	25	1	2
		41.1%	38.8%	46.3%	32.0%
	Somewhat oppose	2	10	1	1
	3.3%	15.1%	43.9%	21.3%	
Strongly oppose	7	1	0	2	
	9.7%	2.2%	9.8%	27.6%	
DK/NA	10	3	0	0	
	13.2%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					H	H				
	Somewhat support	a									
	Somewhat oppose	I									
	Strongly oppose	J	J					I J			
	DK/NA						a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	H	a	
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose		a	a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	464	118
	Strongly support	176	138	38
		30.2%	29.7%	32.2%
	Somewhat support	185	139	46
		31.8%	30.1%	38.5%
	Somewhat oppose	78	66	13
	13.5%	14.1%	10.9%	
Strongly oppose	99	87	13	
	17.0%	18.7%	10.6%	
DK/NA	43	34	9	
	7.5%	7.4%	7.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	347	235
	Strongly support	176	97	79
		30.2%	27.9%	33.7%
	Somewhat support	185	102	83
		31.8%	29.4%	35.3%
	Somewhat oppose	78	44	34
	13.5%	12.7%	14.6%	
Strongly oppose	99	74	26	
	17.0%	21.2%	10.9%	
DK/NA	43	30	13	
	7.5%	8.7%	5.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Strongly support	176 30.2%	6 53.6%	19 38.5%	27 28.9%	42 32.0%
	Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 31.7%	13 27.3%	24 25.7%	39 29.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	9 17.5%	19 20.4%	14 10.3%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	8 16.2%	12 13.1%	28 21.0%
	DK/NA	43 7.5%	2 14.7%	0 .4%	11 12.0%	9 7.1%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	163	133	1
	Strongly support	47 28.6%	35 26.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat support	61 37.2%	44 32.9%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	19 11.7%	18 13.6%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	27 16.2%	25 18.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	10 6.2%	11 8.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	.b .b .b				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		a,.b
	Somewhat support		a,.b
	Somewhat oppose		a,.b
	Strongly oppose		a,.b
	DK/NA		a,.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Strongly support	175 30.1%	11 23.5%	66 31.3%	33 23.7%	33 28.7%	31 54.2%	1 5.7%
	Somewhat support	185 31.9%	14 30.2%	67 31.6%	58 41.3%	33 28.7%	11 19.8%	2 21.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	4 9.1%	33 15.7%	18 12.7%	20 17.6%	3 5.6%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.1%	14 31.1%	33 15.4%	21 14.9%	21 18.5%	6 9.5%	5 43.5%
	DK/NA	43 7.4%	3 6.1%	13 5.9%	10 7.4%	7 6.5%	6 10.9%	3 29.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					A B C D F	
	Somewhat support						a
	Somewhat oppose						E
	Strongly oppose						B
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Strongly support	176 30.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	80 42.3%	0 .0%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	28 15.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	43 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Strongly support	30 28.8%	8 50.4%	6 22.3%	5 58.1%	13 92.9%
	Somewhat support	26 24.9%	3 17.4%	11 45.0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%
	Somewhat oppose	17 15.6%	3 18.4%	7 28.0%	2 24.7%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	25 23.8%	2 13.8%	1 4.7%	1 17.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Strongly support	2 16.3%	50 33.9%	8 33.7%	4 33.8%	1 10.2%
	Somewhat support	1 12.0%	47 31.8%	4 17.6%	2 15.0%	2 26.8%
	Somewhat oppose	2 22.2%	12 7.8%	4 17.3%	3 23.0%	1 19.3%
	Strongly oppose	2 21.6%	30 19.9%	1 2.8%	3 26.0%	0 2.2%
	DK/NA	3 27.9%	10 6.6%	7 28.6%	0 2.2%	2 41.6%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	17
	Strongly support	6 36.4%
	Somewhat support	5 28.7%
	Somewhat oppose	1 3.2%
	Strongly oppose	3 14.5%
	DK/NA	3 17.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	a	a		a
	Somewhat support	a	a		a
	Somewhat oppose	a	a		a
	Strongly oppose	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				a
	Somewhat support				a
	Somewhat oppose				a
	Strongly oppose				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	C E G J K L N			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose	a			
	Strongly oppose	a			
	DK/NA	a			C K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose		C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Strongly support	99	37	44	13	4	1
		37.1%	35.9%	38.8%	42.2%	39.5%	7.3%
	Somewhat support	79	29	32	10	5	3
		29.6%	27.4%	28.5%	33.6%	50.0%	30.8%
	Somewhat oppose	34	13	16	3	1	2
		12.7%	12.3%	13.8%	9.2%	10.5%	19.0%
Strongly oppose	39	21	13	4	0	2	
	14.7%	20.2%	11.3%	12.2%	.0%	20.4%	
DK/NA	16	4	8	1	0	2	
	5.8%	4.1%	7.5%	2.8%	.0%	22.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				a	
	Strongly oppose				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Strongly support	114	33	67	12	1	0
		29.8%	31.0%	29.9%	34.8%	32.5%	1.4%
	Somewhat support	125	34	76	11	1	2
		32.9%	32.0%	34.1%	32.7%	24.6%	19.8%
	Somewhat oppose	46	10	32	2	0	1
		12.0%	9.6%	14.2%	7.0%	2.1%	9.4%
Strongly oppose	74	24	36	7	2	5	
	19.4%	22.6%	16.3%	19.5%	40.8%	41.7%	
DK/NA	23	5	12	2	0	3	
	6.0%	4.8%	5.5%	5.9%	.0%	27.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				a	
	Strongly oppose				a	
	DK/NA					A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Strongly support	176	142	3	15	2	1	14
		30.2%	31.6%	13.2%	15.8%	26.8%	69.2%	32.1%
	Somewhat support	185	125	10	42	3	0	6
		31.8%	27.8%	39.6%	45.1%	37.6%	30.8%	14.1%
	Somewhat oppose	78	62	3	17	0	0	12
	13.5%	13.9%	12.3%	18.3%	.0%	.0%	26.5%	
Strongly oppose	99	81	8	16	3	0	8	
	17.0%	18.0%	30.2%	16.9%	35.7%	.0%	19.2%	
DK/NA	43	39	1	4	0	0	4	
	7.5%	8.7%	4.7%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	8.2%	

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Strongly support	3	6	7	3	0	8	13
		40.8%	25.1%	14.6%	24.2%	.0%	62.9%	92.9%
	Somewhat support	0	10	21	3	0	1	1
		4.9%	43.0%	44.9%	23.7%	.0%	9.8%	7.1%
	Somewhat oppose	3	6	7	0	0	2	0
	42.6%	26.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	16.1%	.0%	
Strongly oppose	0	1	10	4	1	1	0	
	.0%	5.2%	21.3%	26.9%	75.0%	11.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	
	11.7%	.0%	5.1%	25.2%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	56	7
	Strongly support	22	0
		39.4%	.0%
	Somewhat support	14	4
		25.7%	56.2%
	Somewhat oppose	4	1
	7.9%	15.7%	
Strongly oppose	10	1	
	18.8%	15.4%	
DK/NA	5	1	
	8.1%	12.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	C						
	Somewhat support			A F				
	Somewhat oppose				.b	a		
	Strongly oppose				.b	a,b		.b
	DK/NA				.b	a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				a,b	C I	A B C F H I ...	
	Somewhat support				a,b			
	Somewhat oppose			.b	a,b		.b	
	Strongly oppose	.b			a		.b	
	DK/NA				a	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	.b
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Strongly support	176	10	13	12	9	5
		30.2%	16.4%	24.9%	41.1%	24.3%	15.0%
	Somewhat support	185	31	29	6	11	9
		31.8%	51.8%	56.1%	19.4%	30.4%	27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	78	3	4	1	5	9
	13.5%	5.7%	8.4%	3.8%	13.7%	27.6%	
Strongly oppose	99	9	5	6	11	7	
	17.0%	15.4%	10.4%	20.3%	29.7%	21.9%	
DK/NA	43	6	0	4	1	3	
	7.5%	10.7%	.2%	15.4%	1.9%	8.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Strongly support	21	20	16	41	29
		50.4%	51.8%	47.8%	31.3%	23.2%
	Somewhat support	10	10	8	41	30
		24.7%	26.5%	22.5%	30.9%	24.0%
	Somewhat oppose	7	5	1	20	22
		17.8%	12.2%	2.7%	15.4%	17.7%
Strongly oppose	3	1	5	25	26	
	7.1%	3.2%	16.1%	18.6%	20.9%	
DK/NA	0	2	4	5	18	
	.0%	6.3%	10.9%	3.8%	14.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support						A J
	Somewhat support	J	J				
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	A J	A		
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Strongly support	176	28	73	75
		30.2%	16.6%	47.8%	28.7%
	Somewhat support	185	65	42	77
		31.8%	38.9%	27.8%	29.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78	26	9	44
		13.5%	15.5%	5.8%	16.6%
Strongly oppose	99	37	9	53	
	17.0%	22.2%	6.2%	20.0%	
DK/NA	43	11	19	13	
	7.5%	6.8%	12.4%	5.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		A C	A
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose	B		B
	Strongly oppose	B		B
	DK/NA		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Strongly support	133	37	31	24	41
		28.7%	29.3%	34.2%	31.2%	24.2%
	Somewhat support	149	42	31	20	56
		32.3%	33.2%	34.5%	26.1%	33.2%
	Somewhat oppose	59	15	9	16	18
		12.7%	11.9%	10.5%	20.3%	11.0%
Strongly oppose	88	23	12	15	38	
	19.1%	18.2%	13.8%	19.0%	22.6%	
DK/NA	33	9	6	3	15	
	7.2%	7.3%	7.1%	3.4%	9.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Strongly support	176 30.4%	59 47.5%	38 32.1%	8 20.1%	8 19.8%	33 26.1%
	Somewhat support	183 31.6%	28 22.9%	29 24.3%	12 30.7%	11 26.5%	53 41.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	13 10.7%	17 14.2%	5 12.5%	10 25.7%	19 14.9%
	Strongly oppose	98 16.9%	14 11.4%	21 17.7%	14 35.2%	11 27.8%	13 10.5%
	DK/NA	43 7.5%	9 7.5%	14 11.7%	1 1.5%	0 .2%	9 7.3%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	112	7	9
	Strongly support	26 22.8%	0 2.2%	4 44.9%
	Somewhat support	45 40.5%	2 27.1%	3 31.1%
	Somewhat oppose	12 11.0%	0 .0%	1 15.5%
	Strongly oppose	20 17.6%	4 53.7%	1 6.6%
	DK/NA	9 8.0%	1 17.1%	0 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	D E F					
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose			A E			
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support	a	
	Somewhat oppose	A E	
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	582
	\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	41 7.0%
	\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	31 5.4%
	\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	119 20.5%
	\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	145 24.9%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	198 34.0%
	DK/NA	48 8.2%	48 8.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	.
	\$11-\$15	.
	\$6-\$10	.
	\$1-\$5	.
	I would not be willing to pay more	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	281	298	3
	\$16-\$20	41	24	17	0
		7.0%	8.5%	5.7%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	17	14	0
		5.4%	6.2%	4.7%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	119	49	70	0
		20.5%	17.3%	23.6%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	71	73	1
	24.9%	25.3%	24.4%	34.4%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	94	102	2	
	34.0%	33.3%	34.3%	65.6%	
DK/NA	48	26	22	0	
	8.2%	9.4%	7.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a
	\$11-\$15			a
	\$6-\$10			a
	\$1-\$5			
	I would not be willing to pay more			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	\$16-\$20	41	2	16	6	11	6	0
		7.0%	2.6%	16.0%	4.1%	7.4%	5.5%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	5	0	13	10	3	0
		5.4%	6.7%	.0%	9.3%	6.8%	2.7%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	119	30	23	26	25	14	0
		20.5%	39.7%	22.9%	18.5%	16.3%	14.2%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	16	28	29	35	34	3
	24.9%	20.2%	27.5%	20.9%	22.9%	33.9%	31.1%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	10	27	56	65	36	4	
	34.0%	13.5%	26.5%	39.7%	42.7%	35.1%	43.9%	
DK/NA	48	13	7	11	6	9	2	
	8.2%	17.3%	7.2%	7.5%	3.9%	8.6%	24.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		A C				a
	\$11-\$15	C D E	a				a
	\$6-\$10						a
	\$1-\$5						
	I would not be willing to pay more			A	A	A	
	DK/NA	D					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	424	158
	\$16-\$20	41	23	18
		7.0%	5.4%	11.4%
	\$11-\$15	31	28	4
		5.4%	6.5%	2.4%
	\$6-\$10	119	77	42
		20.5%	18.2%	26.6%
	\$1-\$5	145	108	37
	24.9%	25.5%	23.2%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	159	38	
	34.0%	37.6%	24.3%	
DK/NA	48	29	19	
	8.2%	6.8%	12.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		A
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		A
	\$1-\$5		
	I would not be willing to pay more	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	24 10.0%	4 5.6%	0 .0%	12 4.9%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	11 4.6%	4 5.4%	2 14.7%	14 5.6%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	63 26.0%	4 4.6%	1 7.2%	51 21.0%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	56 23.0%	22 26.9%	6 35.6%	62 25.5%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	66 27.0%	42 52.2%	6 35.0%	84 34.8%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	23 9.4%	4 5.4%	1 7.6%	20 8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

	Party			
	Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
\$16-\$20			a	
\$11-\$15			.	
\$6-\$10	B			B
\$1-\$5				
I would not be willing to pay more		A D		
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	21 17.7%	3 4.0%	3 10.3%	1 2.1%	3 2.2%	10 4.9%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	6 4.9%	1 .9%	0 .9%	0 .0%	10 7.9%	14 7.0%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	30 25.1%	16 24.7%	3 9.1%	1 2.3%	27 21.3%	41 20.1%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	25 20.9%	20 30.7%	4 12.7%	11 38.1%	23 18.2%	61 29.7%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	26 21.8%	22 33.4%	21 64.6%	14 50.3%	48 37.6%	66 32.0%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	12 9.5%	4 6.3%	1 2.5%	2 7.2%	16 12.8%	13 6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Party				
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)
\$16-\$20	E F					
\$11-\$15				a		
\$6-\$10						
\$1-\$5						
I would not be willing to pay more			A F	A		
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total		582	263	78	81	47
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	14 5.4%	7 8.9%	7 8.3%	1 2.5%	
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	19 7.3%	5 6.6%	2 2.9%	2 4.4%	
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	61 23.3%	23 29.8%	13 16.0%	9 19.8%	
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	63 24.0%	13 16.8%	22 27.5%	10 21.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	79 29.9%	24 30.5%	32 39.7%	23 48.7%	
DK/NA	48 8.2%	26 10.1%	6 7.5%	5 5.6%	2 3.6%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total		27	23	38	24
\$16-\$20	2 6.6%	2 10.6%	5 14.2%	2 8.5%	
\$11-\$15	0 .0%	1 3.4%	2 4.2%	0 .4%	
\$6-\$10	2 7.8%	1 5.3%	7 19.5%	1 5.4%	
\$1-\$5	11 39.8%	6 25.3%	12 32.8%	8 31.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	11 40.6%	11 47.4%	7 19.4%	11 44.4%	
DK/NA	1 5.2%	2 8.0%	4 9.8%	2 10.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a			
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	\$16-\$20	41	0	11	4	6	4	2	3
		7.0%	.0%	6.3%	9.2%	12.4%	22.0%	11.7%	8.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	15	4	2	0	0	2
		5.4%	9.0%	8.5%	8.5%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	5.2%
	\$6-\$10	119	0	38	4	14	3	1	6
		20.5%	.0%	22.0%	9.5%	29.3%	17.8%	6.5%	13.2%
	\$1-\$5	145	1	32	12	10	3	6	6
		24.9%	15.8%	18.5%	28.1%	20.7%	19.6%	45.4%	13.5%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	1	67	13	11	5	4	22
	34.0%	21.8%	38.6%	31.5%	23.7%	31.3%	30.5%	51.6%	
DK/NA	48	3	11	6	4	2	1	4	
	8.2%	53.4%	6.1%	13.2%	8.6%	9.3%	5.9%	8.4%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	\$16-\$20	9	0	2	0	0
		9.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	2	4	2	0	0
		2.0%	6.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	23	17	12	0	2
		23.9%	22.8%	18.8%	.0%	40.4%
	\$1-\$5	23	22	28	2	1
	23.5%	30.2%	43.1%	90.2%	10.7%	
I would not be willing to pay more	34	21	17	0	1	
	35.4%	28.9%	26.7%	9.8%	26.6%	
DK/NA	5	9	3	0	1	
	5.5%	12.1%	5.0%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a					a	a	
	\$11-\$15								
	\$6-\$10	a							
	\$1-\$5								
	I would not be willing to pay more								
	DK/NA	B H J							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a		a	a
	\$11-\$15			a	a
	\$6-\$10			a	a
	\$1-\$5		B		
	I would not be willing to pay more			a	
		DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	464	118
	\$16-\$20	41	35	6
		7.0%	7.5%	5.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	25	7
		5.4%	5.3%	5.7%
	\$6-\$10	119	87	32
		20.5%	18.8%	27.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	113	32
	24.9%	24.3%	27.4%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	166	32	
	34.0%	35.7%	27.2%	
DK/NA	48	39	9	
	8.2%	8.5%	7.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		A
	\$1-\$5		
	I would not be willing to pay more		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	347	235
	\$16-\$20	41	27	13
		7.0%	7.9%	5.7%
	\$11-\$15	31	18	13
		5.4%	5.3%	5.6%
	\$6-\$10	119	64	55
		20.5%	18.5%	23.3%
	\$1-\$5	145	80	65
	24.9%	23.2%	27.5%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	131	67	
	34.0%	37.6%	28.6%	
DK/NA	48	26	22	
	8.2%	7.5%	9.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		
	\$1-\$5		B
	I would not be willing to pay more		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	\$16-\$20	41	6	1	9	6
		7.0%	52.7%	2.6%	10.1%	4.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	0	4	14
		5.4%	13.4%	.9%	4.4%	10.5%
	\$6-\$10	119	0	14	26	16
		20.5%	.9%	29.3%	27.2%	12.4%
	\$1-\$5	145	0	8	17	40
	24.9%	.0%	15.7%	17.9%	30.4%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	2	20	29	48	
	34.0%	14.7%	40.5%	30.4%	36.3%	
DK/NA	48	2	5	9	8	
	8.2%	18.3%	11.0%	10.0%	6.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	163	133	1
	\$16-\$20	11	7	0
		7.0%	5.5%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	6	5	0
		3.9%	3.9%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	41	22	0
		25.0%	16.5%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	48	32	1
	29.1%	24.3%	100.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	50	50	0	
	30.7%	37.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	16	0	
	4.3%	12.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	B C D E F			
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a,b
	\$11-\$15			a,b
	\$6-\$10			a,b
	\$1-\$5			a,b
	I would not be willing to pay more			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	\$16-\$20	41	2	20	8	4	7	1
		7.0%	4.2%	9.4%	5.6%	3.7%	11.3%	5.7%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	7	9	8	7	0
		5.4%	1.8%	3.1%	6.6%	7.1%	11.7%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	118	6	37	25	27	22	0
		20.4%	14.3%	17.7%	18.0%	23.9%	38.0%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	12	57	39	21	11	5
	25.0%	27.5%	27.1%	28.0%	18.4%	18.2%	39.4%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	20	74	48	41	9	6	
	34.1%	44.2%	35.0%	34.4%	35.8%	14.8%	52.5%	
DK/NA	47	4	16	10	13	3	0	
	8.0%	8.1%	7.7%	7.4%	11.1%	5.9%	2.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20						a
	\$11-\$15					B C	a
	\$6-\$10						
	\$1-\$5						
	I would not be willing to pay more	E	E				
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	3	3	189
	\$16-\$20	41	1	0	9
		7.0%	50.0%	.0%	4.7%
	\$11-\$15	31	0	0	13
		5.4%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	\$6-\$10	119	0	0	33
		20.5%	.0%	.0%	17.3%
	\$1-\$5	145	1	0	60
	24.9%	50.0%	.0%	31.7%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	0	3	63	
	34.0%	.0%	100.0%	33.1%	
DK/NA	48	0	0	12	
	8.2%	.0%	.0%	6.4%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	2	106	15	25
	\$16-\$20	0	8	1	3
		.0%	7.9%	6.7%	11.1%
	\$11-\$15	0	9	0	0
		.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	1	25	2	3
		48.8%	23.5%	15.0%	11.4%
	\$1-\$5	1	10	7	12
	51.2%	9.0%	42.5%	48.1%	
I would not be willing to pay more	0	43	4	7	
	.0%	40.7%	28.7%	29.4%	
DK/NA	0	11	1	0	
	.0%	10.0%	7.1%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	8	14	10	148
	\$16-\$20	0	0	0	15
	\$11-\$15	0	0	1	5
	\$6-\$10	0	14	3	33
	\$1-\$5	6	0	2	29
	I would not be willing to pay more	71.0%	.0%	16.3%	19.5%
	DK/NA	2	0	4	50
		29.0%	.0%	43.8%	33.7%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	23	12	6	17
	\$16-\$20	3	0	0	1
	\$11-\$15	1	0	1	1
	\$6-\$10	0	0	3	2
	\$1-\$5	6	7	0	4
	I would not be willing to pay more	25.4%	61.9%	4.5%	25.3%
	DK/NA	9	4	2	7
		36.8%	32.1%	26.9%	38.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	C	a		a
	\$11-\$15	a	a		a
	\$6-\$10	a	a		.
	\$1-\$5	.	a	E	.
	I would not be willing to pay more	a	a		a
DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				a
	\$11-\$15		a	a	a
	\$6-\$10				a
	\$1-\$5		E	E	E
	I would not be willing to pay more				a
DK/NA				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		a		
	\$11-\$15	a			
	\$6-\$10	a			a
	\$1-\$5	a			
	I would not be willing to pay more	a			
	DK/NA	a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a	a	
	\$11-\$15	a		
	\$6-\$10	a		
	\$1-\$5	E		
	I would not be willing to pay more		a	
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	\$16-\$20	16	11	5	0	0	0
		5.9%	10.3%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	15	4	4	4	2	0
		5.5%	4.0%	3.9%	12.0%	22.5%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	65	29	25	6	3	1
		24.3%	28.3%	22.5%	20.2%	28.9%	8.8%
	\$1-\$5	51	17	16	13	3	2
	18.9%	16.1%	14.5%	41.6%	26.9%	20.2%	
I would not be willing to pay more	99	33	54	6	2	4	
	37.0%	31.3%	48.0%	18.8%	21.8%	44.9%	
DK/NA	23	10	8	2	0	2	
	8.5%	10.1%	6.7%	7.5%	.0%	26.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a	a	a
	\$11-\$15					a
	\$6-\$10					a
	\$1-\$5			A B		
	I would not be willing to pay more		C			
DK/NA				a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	\$16-\$20	25	8	12	5	0	0
		6.6%	7.2%	5.4%	15.7%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	24	9	10	5	0	0
		6.3%	8.5%	4.5%	13.7%	.0%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	76	21	45	7	1	2
		19.8%	19.9%	20.0%	19.7%	24.6%	14.9%
	\$1-\$5	109	27	71	8	2	1
	28.6%	24.8%	31.7%	23.6%	50.0%	10.8%	
I would not be willing to pay more	121	37	69	8	1	5	
	31.8%	34.2%	31.0%	24.5%	25.4%	48.2%	
DK/NA	26	6	17	1	0	3	
	6.9%	5.3%	7.5%	2.7%	.0%	26.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				a	a
	\$11-\$15				a	a
	\$6-\$10					a
	\$1-\$5					a
	I would not be willing to pay more				a	
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	\$16-\$20	41	34	0	2	1	0
		7.0%	7.5%	.0%	2.1%	19.9%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	21	0	9	0	1
		5.4%	4.8%	.0%	10.1%	.0%	69.2%
	\$6-\$10	119	88	3	22	0	0
		20.5%	19.7%	13.2%	23.1%	.0%	24.3%
	\$1-\$5	145	96	12	23	2	0
	24.9%	21.3%	44.2%	24.4%	26.8%	.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	164	10	29	4	0	
	34.0%	36.5%	39.1%	31.5%	52.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	48	46	1	8	0	0	
	8.2%	10.2%	3.5%	8.7%	1.3%	6.5%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	\$16-\$20	4	1	3	0	0	0
		8.9%	15.6%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	5	0	0	1	1	0
		11.5%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	7.6%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	8	0	3	5	2	0
		19.4%	1.4%	12.8%	9.8%	11.7%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	5	2	12	21	2	0
	10.3%	28.7%	54.2%	43.7%	12.5%	.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	20	3	5	19	6	1	
	46.6%	42.6%	20.4%	40.3%	46.7%	75.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	2	3	0	
	3.2%	11.7%	.0%	3.8%	21.5%	25.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	12	14	56	7
	\$16-\$20	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	3	14	11	2
		25.0%	100.0%	20.4%	24.9%
	\$1-\$5	6	0	12	3
	46.3%	.0%	21.1%	40.3%	
I would not be willing to pay more	4	0	17	2	
	28.7%	.0%	31.0%	30.5%	
DK/NA	0	0	6	0	
	.0%	.0%	10.8%	4.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		a			a,b	
	\$11-\$15		a			a	
	\$6-\$10					a	
	\$1-\$5					a,b	
	I would not be willing to pay more					a,b	
	DK/NA					b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20					a,b	a
	\$11-\$15	a	a			a,b	a
	\$6-\$10					a,b	
	\$1-\$5		A F	A F		a,b	
	I would not be willing to pay more					b	
	DK/NA		a			b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a		a
	\$11-\$15	a		a
	\$6-\$10	a		
	\$1-\$5	a		
	I would not be willing to pay more	a		
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	\$16-\$20	41	9	2	3	5
		7.0%	14.4%	3.1%	10.1%	12.9%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	3	0	2
		5.4%	1.2%	5.9%	.0%	5.9%
	\$6-\$10	119	4	3	4	5
		20.5%	7.3%	6.1%	13.1%	14.2%
	\$1-\$5	145	21	22	9	8
	24.9%	34.3%	43.2%	29.3%	23.0%	
I would not be willing to pay more	198	19	19	9	14	
	34.0%	31.2%	36.5%	32.2%	39.0%	
DK/NA	48	7	3	4	2	
	8.2%	11.6%	5.3%	15.3%	5.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	\$16-\$20	0	7	0	4	10
		.3%	16.8%	.0%	11.6%	7.4%
	\$11-\$15	0	1	2	4	13
		.0%	2.8%	5.2%	11.8%	10.2%
	\$6-\$10	7	13	18	8	32
		22.0%	32.4%	48.0%	23.7%	24.0%
	\$1-\$5	8	13	7	5	22
		24.3%	32.4%	18.6%	15.6%	16.9%
	I would not be willing to pay more	14	6	3	13	50
	42.7%	15.6%	8.9%	37.4%	37.7%	
DK/NA	3	0	7	0	5	
	10.7%	.0%	19.4%	.0%	3.8%	

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
\$16-\$20	2 1.7%
\$11-\$15	5 3.9%
\$6-\$10	24 18.9%
\$1-\$5	29 23.0%
I would not be willing to pay more	50 39.8%
DK/NA	16 12.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20 \$11-\$15 \$6-\$10 \$1-\$5 I would not be willing to pay more DK/NA	J	I	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20 \$11-\$15 \$6-\$10 \$1-\$5 I would not be willing to pay more DK/NA	J	a		
		B	A B J		
		a	I	a	G

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	(J)
\$16-\$20	
\$11-\$15	
\$6-\$10	
\$1-\$5	
I would not be willing to pay more	G
DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type	Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	167	153	262
	\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	9 5.1%	14 9.4%	18 6.8%
	\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	8 4.8%	4 2.7%	19 7.3%
	\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	20 12.1%	46 30.0%	53 20.2%
	\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	59 35.4%	30 19.4%	56 21.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	61 36.2%	41 27.2%	96 36.6%
	DK/NA	48 8.2%	11 6.4%	17 11.3%	20 7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Interview Type	Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20 \$11-\$15 \$6-\$10 \$1-\$5 I would not be willing to pay more DK/NA	B C	A	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	\$16-\$20	35	7	5	9	15
		7.6%	5.6%	5.1%	11.5%	8.7%
	\$11-\$15	27	8	9	3	7
		5.8%	6.3%	10.2%	3.7%	4.0%
	\$6-\$10	93	36	18	16	24
		20.2%	28.2%	20.0%	20.2%	14.3%
	\$1-\$5	114	32	20	22	41
	24.7%	25.2%	22.1%	27.7%	24.3%	
I would not be willing to pay more	158	36	28	24	70	
	34.3%	28.6%	31.0%	31.1%	41.8%	
DK/NA	34	8	10	4	12	
	7.4%	6.2%	11.5%	5.8%	6.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10	D			
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	\$16-\$20	41	12	12	0	4
		7.1%	10.1%	10.0%	.7%	10.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	4	8	2	2
		5.4%	2.9%	6.4%	5.7%	5.1%
	\$6-\$10	119	33	30	2	1
		20.6%	26.9%	25.3%	5.6%	3.6%
	\$1-\$5	144	32	24	10	12
	24.9%	25.9%	20.1%	24.4%	29.2%	
I would not be willing to pay more	196	34	31	22	20	
	33.8%	27.6%	25.9%	55.7%	48.7%	
DK/NA	48	8	15	3	1	
	8.3%	6.5%	12.4%	7.8%	3.1%	

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	128	112	7	9
	\$16-\$20	4	8	0	0
		3.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	6	7	2	1
		5.1%	6.4%	24.9%	6.6%
	\$6-\$10	34	17	1	0
		26.8%	14.9%	11.2%	4.0%
	\$1-\$5	30	31	1	4
	23.3%	27.5%	17.9%	49.7%	
I would not be willing to pay more	44	39	2	4	
	34.3%	35.1%	29.0%	39.7%	
DK/NA	9	10	1	0	
	7.2%	9.2%	17.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20					
	\$11-\$15					
	\$6-\$10	D				D
	\$1-\$5					
	I would not be willing to pay more			A B		
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		a	a
	\$11-\$15			
	\$6-\$10			
	\$1-\$5			
	I would not be willing to pay more			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Total		582	582
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	195	195
		33.5%	33.5%
	No	338	338
		58.1%	58.1%
DK/NA	49	49	
		8.5%	8.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?		.
Yes		.
No		.
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Total		582	281	298	3
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	195	96	97	2
		33.5%	34.0%	32.6%	65.6%
	No	338	164	173	1
		58.1%	58.3%	58.1%	34.4%
DK/NA	49	22	28	0	
		8.5%	7.7%	9.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total		582	77	101	141	152	102	9
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	195	16	34	52	49	40	4
		33.5%	21.2%	33.2%	36.9%	32.4%	39.0%	43.9%
	No	338	52	60	73	92	59	3
		58.1%	67.1%	59.3%	51.6%	60.1%	57.7%	36.8%
DK/NA	49	9	8	16	12	3	2	
		8.5%	11.7%	7.4%	11.5%	7.6%	3.3%	19.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
Total		582	424	158
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	195	151	44
		33.5%	35.5%	27.9%
	No	338	237	101
		58.1%	55.9%	64.0%
DK/NA	49	37	13	
		8.5%	8.6%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	195	85	33	4	72
	No	338	129	42	9	157
	DK/NA	49	29	6	2	12
		8.5%	12.1%	6.9%	12.9%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes				A
	No				
	DK/NA	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	195	40	24	12	15	43	61
	No	338	69	40	16	13	66	133
	DK/NA	49	12	2	3	1	20	11
		8.5%	10.0%	2.5%	10.8%	2.1%	15.6%	5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No					F	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	195	76	23	28	19	13
	No	338	160	44	47	28	13
	DK/NA	49	28	12	6	0	1
		8.5%	10.6%	15.0%	7.3%	.6%	4.1%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	4	20	13
	No	19	18	10
	DK/NA	0	0	2
		.7%	1.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	195	4	60	17	18	7	10	19	30	17
		33.5%	68.3%	34.7%	40.5%	39.3%	41.3%	70.5%	45.8%	30.6%	23.2%
	No	338	2	89	21	24	10	4	14	65	50
	58.1%	31.7%	51.3%	50.9%	52.1%	58.7%	29.5%	34.0%	67.6%	67.7%	
	DK/NA	49	0	24	4	4	0	0	9	2	7
		8.5%	.0%	14.0%	8.6%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	20.2%	1.8%	9.1%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	10	1	2
		15.1%	46.3%	40.4%
	No	55	1	3
	84.9%	53.7%	50.1%	
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	9.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						I J	J			
	No								G	G	B C D F G
	DK/NA	a	H			a	a	H			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	195	154	41
		33.5%	33.3%	34.3%
	No	338	270	68
	58.1%	58.2%	57.5%	
	DK/NA	49	40	10
		8.5%	8.5%	8.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	195	127	68
		33.5%	36.6%	28.8%
	No	338	191	147
	58.1%	55.1%	62.4%	
	DK/NA	49	29	20
		8.5%	8.3%	8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	195	3	8	31	45	50
		33.5%	27.1%	16.8%	32.5%	34.5%	30.7%
	No	338	8	34	58	78	94
		58.1%	72.9%	69.5%	61.2%	59.2%	57.7%
	DK/NA	49	0	7	6	8	19
		8.5%	.0%	13.7%	6.3%	6.3%	11.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	133	1
	Yes	58	0
		43.4%	.0%
	No	66	0
		50.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	1
		6.6%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA	. ^b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	B	^{a,b}
	No		^{a,b}
	DK/NA		^{a,b}

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	194	16	75	48	36	17	2
		33.5%	35.1%	35.7%	34.3%	31.5%	29.6%	14.7%
	No	337	28	120	79	72	32	5
		58.1%	62.2%	57.0%	56.4%	63.2%	55.3%	44.4%
	DK/NA	49	1	16	13	6	9	5
		8.5%	2.6%	7.4%	9.3%	5.3%	15.1%	40.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						A B C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	195	0	0	55	1	39
		33.5%	.0%	.0%	29.0%	48.8%	36.8%
	No	338	1	3	112	0	57
		58.1%	50.0%	100.0%	58.9%	.0%	53.5%
	DK/NA	49	1	0	23	1	10
		8.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.0%	51.2%	9.6%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	1	7	1	3	4
		6.7%	26.4%	17.2%	23.9%	35.3%
	No	12	18	7	10	7
		75.9%	73.6%	82.8%	76.1%	64.7%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0
		17.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		148	23	12	6	17
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	61	4	7	2	9
		41.0%	18.6%	60.8%	40.1%	52.7%
	No	79	17	5	3	8
		53.1%	75.0%	39.2%	49.7%	46.4%
	DK/NA	9	1	0	1	0
		5.9%	6.3%	.0%	10.2%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	a	a			
	No		a		a	
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No			a		
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		267	104	113	31	11	9
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	91	34	34	11	6	6
		34.0%	32.3%	30.0%	36.7%	57.9%	66.6%
	No	150	60	64	18	5	2
		56.2%	58.1%	57.1%	59.9%	42.1%	26.8%
	DK/NA	26	10	14	1	0	1
		9.8%	9.6%	12.9%	3.5%	.0%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		381	108	224	34	4	11
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	137	38	76	15	2	7
		36.0%	35.5%	33.8%	43.7%	40.8%	61.0%
	No	215	64	131	13	3	4
		56.5%	59.7%	58.7%	37.9%	59.2%	39.0%
	DK/NA	28	5	17	6	0	0
		7.4%	4.9%	7.5%	18.5%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			A	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	195	156	7	32	2	0	22	0
	No	338	252	14	52	3	1	16	6
	DK/NA	49	40	5	9	1	0	6	0
		8.5%	9.0%	19.4%	9.6%	19.9%	0.0%	13.6%	3.5%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	7	9	2	1	5	3	20	1
	No	16	30	10	0	7	10	30	5
	DK/NA	0	8	1	0	0	0	5	1
		0.0%	17.1%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.2%	11.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes								
	No					a		b	
	DK/NA					a		a,b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes							
	No			a				
	DK/NA			a		b		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	195	17	14	6	8	8	19
	No	338	38	35	22	24	21	20
	DK/NA	49	5	3	1	4	4	2
		8.5%	8.7%	5.2%	5.0%	12.1%	11.7%	5.4%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	13	9	52	50
	No	25	19	66	68
	DK/NA	0	6	15	9
		0.0%	17.5%	11.2%	7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes							
	No							
	DK/NA						a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	195	65	40	90
	No	338	98	105	135
	DK/NA	49	5	8	37
		33.5%	38.6%	26.4%	34.3%
		58.1%	58.6%	68.6%	51.6%
		8.5%	2.8%	5.1%	14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No		C	
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	159	32	31	31	65
	No	263	83	47	38	95
	DK/NA	40	11	12	9	8
		57.0%	65.6%	52.6%	49.0%	56.5%
		8.6%	8.8%	13.3%	11.2%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	193	42	43	16	17	38	34
	No	337	67	62	22	20	81	75
	DK/NA	49	15	15	2	4	9	3
		33.3%	33.8%	35.6%	39.2%	42.7%	29.5%	30.0%
		58.2%	54.2%	52.2%	56.3%	48.1%	63.3%	67.3%
		8.5%	12.0%	12.2%	4.5%	9.2%	7.2%	2.7%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	7	9
	Yes	2	2
	No	3	7
	DK/NA	2	0
		31.6%	23.8%
		41.6%	74.4%
		26.8%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes							
	No							
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	
	No	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	95 16.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	213 36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	140 24.1%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	125 21.5%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	8 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Total
		Total
		(A)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	46 16.5%	47 15.6%	2 66.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	103 36.7%	110 36.9%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	65 23.2%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	63 22.4%	62 20.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	3 1.2%	5 1.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	8 9.9%	24 23.7%	18 13.1%	22 14.4%	22 21.6%	1 11.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	29 37.3%	41 40.4%	54 38.4%	46 30.1%	42 41.0%	2 19.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	23 30.2%	21 21.2%	31 21.7%	43 28.4%	17 16.4%	5 56.1%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	17 22.6%	10 9.5%	38 26.7%	41 26.6%	19 18.7%	1 12.5%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	0 .0%	5 5.2%	0 .0%	1 .5%	2 2.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied	a	D	B	B		a
	DK/NA	.		a			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	63 14.7%	32 20.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	145 34.1%	68 43.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	108 25.5%	32 20.2%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	104 24.5%	21 13.6%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	5 1.1%	4 2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		A
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	34 14.1%	18 22.8%	4 23.9%	38 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	91 37.3%	21 25.7%	6 40.7%	95 39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	52 21.5%	23 28.4%	2 13.3%	63 26.0%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	61 25.2%	19 23.1%	3 22.1%	42 17.3%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	5 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	23 19.0%	5 7.7%	8 24.5%	8 27.1%	19 14.8%	32 15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	48 39.4%	23 35.1%	12 37.5%	4 13.1%	38 29.7%	88 42.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	17 13.8%	19 29.1%	5 14.5%	10 33.6%	38 29.5%	52 25.4%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	33 27.3%	18 26.7%	8 23.4%	7 26.2%	30 23.5%	29 14.3%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	1 .6%	1 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	4 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied					A	D
	Very dissatisfied			a	a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	95	44	10	19	5
		16.3%	16.9%	12.7%	23.1%	10.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	102	29	35	17
		36.6%	38.8%	37.0%	42.4%	36.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	64	21	10	11
	24.1%	24.4%	26.7%	12.1%	23.3%	
Very dissatisfied	125	52	16	18	13	
	21.5%	19.8%	19.9%	21.7%	28.2%	
DK/NA	8	0	3	1	1	
	1.5%	.1%	3.7%	.8%	1.4%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	3	4	4	5
		13.2%	17.9%	11.2%	19.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	5	9	8
		31.6%	21.3%	23.8%	33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	7	14	7
	21.5%	31.8%	36.7%	29.6%	
Very dissatisfied	7	6	10	4	
	24.7%	25.0%	26.3%	17.7%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	
	9.0%	3.9%	2.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		C	
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	95	0	19	3	11	4	6	11
		16.3%	.0%	11.2%	7.6%	23.7%	26.9%	44.7%	26.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	1	45	18	14	5	1	9
		36.6%	21.8%	25.8%	44.0%	29.7%	29.6%	7.6%	22.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	2	64	5	14	2	6	3
	24.1%	34.7%	36.8%	11.6%	31.1%	9.3%	41.8%	8.3%	
Very dissatisfied	125	3	44	15	6	6	1	18	
	21.5%	43.5%	25.2%	36.6%	13.8%	34.2%	5.9%	42.5%	
DK/NA	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.9%	.2%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	20	8	12	0	0
		20.9%	10.8%	18.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	39	44	34	1	2
		39.8%	59.5%	53.4%	56.1%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21	11	10	1	1
	21.5%	14.6%	16.1%	43.9%	25.5%	
Very dissatisfied	14	8	8	0	3	
	14.6%	11.1%	12.5%	.0%	46.8%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	0	0	
	3.1%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	a					BC		
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied		GI						
	Very dissatisfied	a				a		H I J	
	DK/NA					a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat satisfied	B F G	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	95	73	21
		16.3%	15.8%	18.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	168	45
		36.6%	36.2%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	111	29
	24.1%	23.9%	24.9%	
Very dissatisfied	125	104	21	
	21.5%	22.4%	18.0%	
DK/NA	8	8	1	
	1.5%	1.7%	.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	95	58	37
		16.3%	16.7%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	104	109
		36.6%	29.9%	46.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	92	48
	24.1%	26.5%	20.6%	
Very dissatisfied	125	85	40	
	21.5%	24.5%	17.1%	
DK/NA	8	8	0	
	1.5%	2.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	B B B	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	95	4	4	23	11
		16.3%	36.4%	7.1%	24.3%	8.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	0	24	38	58
		36.6%	.9%	48.1%	40.3%	44.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	4	12	19	34
	24.1%	35.7%	23.6%	19.9%	26.2%	
Very dissatisfied	125	3	10	15	27	
	21.5%	27.1%	21.0%	15.5%	20.9%	
DK/NA	8	0	0	0	1	
	1.5%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.5%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	24	29	1
		14.8%	21.7%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	62	31	0
		38.1%	23.7%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	31	0
	24.7%	23.5%	.0%	
Very dissatisfied	36	34	0	
	21.8%	26.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	7	0	
	.6%	5.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		F	D	
	Somewhat satisfied				F
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		D	a.,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a.,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a.,b
	Very dissatisfied			a.,b
	DK/NA			a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	94	9	36	21	9	18	2
		16.3%	19.5%	17.0%	14.8%	8.0%	31.3%	14.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	17	81	58	37	18	1
		36.7%	38.2%	38.5%	41.5%	32.5%	31.5%	8.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	139	10	57	30	27	14	2
		24.1%	21.4%	27.0%	21.5%	23.4%	25.0%	13.8%
Very dissatisfied	125	9	33	30	41	7	4	
	21.6%	20.6%	15.7%	21.6%	36.1%	12.2%	38.7%	
DK/NA	8	0	4	1	0	0	3	
	1.3%	.2%	1.9%	.6%	.0%	.0%	25.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					D	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied				B E a	a	A B C
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	95	1	0	14	0
		16.3%	50.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	0	3	85	0
		36.6%	.0%	100.0%	45.1%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	1	0	53	1
		24.1%	50.0%	.0%	28.1%	51.2%
Very dissatisfied	125	0	0	36	1	
	21.5%	.0%	.0%	19.1%	48.8%	
DK/NA	8	0	0	0	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	19	1	7	6
		18.1%	9.4%	28.8%	71.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	35	3	17	0
		32.9%	19.7%	66.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	2	1	1
		18.5%	15.0%	4.4%	11.8%
Very dissatisfied	31	9	0	1	
	29.7%	56.0%	.0%	17.2%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	
	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	39.4%	27.9%	17.4%	13.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	3	44	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.4%	33.6%	29.6%	30.6%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	44	2
	DK/NA	0	1	32	8
		.0%	12.0%	21.7%	33.8%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	1	5
	Somewhat satisfied	21.4%	23.2%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	9
	Very dissatisfied	28.4%	11.7%	50.4%
	DK/NA	2	3	1
		17.5%	56.4%	5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				CEK
	Somewhat satisfied			K	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.	.
	Very dissatisfied		C	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	.		EK

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	
	DK/NA	.	.	EK

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	40	21	11	7	1	1
	Somewhat satisfied	15.2%	19.9%	9.8%	23.9%	7.2%	6.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	101	38	41	14	6	2
	Very dissatisfied	37.7%	36.2%	36.8%	44.6%	54.3%	22.5%
	DK/NA	70	27	32	5	3	3

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	63	23	34	6	0	0
		16.5%	21.4%	15.3%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	142	43	78	15	3	2
		37.2%	40.1%	35.0%	46.0%	57.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	90	19	59	7	0	5
	23.7%	17.3%	26.4%	22.2%	.0%	46.8%	
Very dissatisfied	80	22	50	5	2	2	
	21.1%	20.4%	22.4%	14.7%	42.1%	13.8%	
DK/NA	6	1	2	0	0	2	
	1.5%	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	21.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	95	70	1	13	4	1	7
		16.3%	15.6%	3.1%	13.9%	52.9%	69.2%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	140	13	31	2	0	16
		36.6%	31.1%	51.2%	32.8%	26.8%	24.3%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	121	6	34	0	0	6
	24.1%	27.0%	21.6%	36.8%	.0%	.0%	13.6%	
Very dissatisfied	125	110	6	15	1	0	14	
	21.5%	24.6%	24.1%	16.4%	20.3%	6.5%	32.1%	
DK/NA	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	
	1.5%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	7	4	5	0	6
		21.7%	32.5%	8.7%	37.9%	25.0%	46.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	15	27	1	0	3
		3.5%	67.5%	57.0%	7.6%	.0%	23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	5	5	1	1
	4.9%	.0%	10.0%	34.9%	75.0%	9.6%	
Very dissatisfied	4	0	12	2	0	3	
	58.2%	.0%	24.3%	13.7%	.0%	20.6%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	0	
	11.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	5	14	2
		39.4%	25.9%	24.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	12	1
		24.4%	21.9%	9.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	14	4
	36.2%	25.9%	58.5%	
Very dissatisfied	0	15	1	
	.0%	26.3%	7.6%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied							
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				a			
	Somewhat satisfied	A J N	A N		a,b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			a			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			a,b		.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b		a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	.b
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	95	11	4	5	12	3
	Somewhat satisfied	213	32	35	16	13	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	10	5	6	3	15
	Very dissatisfied	125	4	7	2	10	2
	DK/NA	8	4	1	0	0	2
		1.5%	6.1%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	5.2%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	9	5	6	15	25
	Somewhat satisfied	10	13	9	35	40
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	12	11	39	25
	Very dissatisfied	8	8	8	43	34
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	I	F H I J			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B D
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	A a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	95 16.3%	34 20.4%	31 20.1%	30 11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	77 46.0%	62 40.7%	74 28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	17 10.2%	36 23.6%	87 33.3%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	35 20.7%	21 13.7%	70 26.6%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	4 2.7%	3 1.9%	1 .4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C		
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	A
	Very dissatisfied			B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	80 17.2%	22 17.7%	16 17.7%	18 23.1%	23 13.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	159 34.5%	46 36.9%	38 42.4%	28 36.2%	46 27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	118 25.6%	37 29.0%	18 19.8%	11 14.1%	53 31.6%
	Very dissatisfied	96 20.9%	18 14.0%	18 20.1%	18 23.6%	42 25.1%
	DK/NA	8 1.8%	3 2.4%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	3 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3 (A)	4-6 (B)	7-9 (C)	10 or more (D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				C
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied DK/NA		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	93 16.0%	17 13.6%	17 13.8%	6 15.0%	12 30.4%	20 15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213 36.8%	47 37.9%	44 37.0%	11 28.7%	9 22.8%	50 39.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	139 24.0%	30 24.7%	22 18.3%	12 29.6%	11 27.2%	32 24.8%
	Very dissatisfied	125 21.6%	28 22.6%	34 28.0%	11 26.7%	8 19.7%	22 17.5%
	DK/NA	8 1.5%	1 1.2%	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.8%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	17 15.5%	4 54.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45 40.1%	2 24.5%	5 53.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30 27.0%	0 2.2%	2 22.1%
	Very dissatisfied	19 17.3%	1 19.3%	2 24.3%
	DK/NA	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			a	a		
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		a
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	85 14.6%
Book stores	36 6.2%	36 6.2%
Department stores	89 15.3%	89 15.3%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	86 14.8%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	37 6.3%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	39 6.7%
Fast food	28 4.8%	28 4.8%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	50 8.6%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	65 11.1%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	73 12.5%
Pet stores	2 .3%	2 .3%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	113 19.4%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	85 14.7%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	34 5.9%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	7 1.2%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	15 2.6%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	31 5.3%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	8 1.3%
Auto related	1 .2%	1 .2%
Medical related	3 .5%	3 .5%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	36 6.3%
Nothing	47 8.1%	47 8.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	27 4.7%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a, b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	.
	Book stores	.
	Department stores	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	.
	Electronics / Software / High tech	.
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.
	Fast food	.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	.
	Pet stores	.
	Restaurants / High end	.
	Shopping / Retail	.
	Sports / Sports facilities	.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.
	Vallco - General mention	.
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.
	Auto related	.
Medical related	.	
Other Mention	.	
Nothing	.	
Not Sure/DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	34	52	0
	14.6%	12.0%	17.3%	.0%
Book stores	36	21	15	0
	6.2%	7.3%	5.1%	.0%
Department stores	89	28	60	1
	15.3%	10.1%	20.0%	34.4%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	34	52	0
	14.8%	12.2%	17.5%	.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	25	12	0
	6.3%	8.8%	4.0%	.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	5	34	0
	6.7%	1.7%	11.4%	.0%
Fast food	28	14	14	0
	4.8%	5.1%	4.6%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	27	23	0
	8.6%	9.6%	7.9%	.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	26	39	0
	11.1%	9.3%	13.0%	.0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	20	52	0
	12.5%	7.3%	17.5%	.0%
Pet stores	2	0	2	0
	.3%	.0%	.7%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	52	61	0
	19.4%	18.5%	20.4%	.0%
Shopping / Retail	85	34	50	1
	14.7%	12.1%	16.9%	34.4%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	21	13	0
	5.9%	7.5%	4.4%	.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	4	2	0
	1.2%	1.6%	.8%	.0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	9	6	0
	2.6%	3.3%	2.0%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	10	13	0
	4.0%	3.7%	4.2%	.0%
Vallco - General mention	31	20	11	0
	5.3%	7.1%	3.6%	.0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	6	1	0
	1.3%	2.2%	.5%	.0%
Auto related	1	0	1	0
	.2%	.1%	.4%	.0%
Medical related	3	2	1	0
	.5%	.7%	.4%	.0%
Other Mention	36	16	19	1
	6.3%	5.7%	6.5%	33.7%
Nothing	47	23	23	1
	8.1%	8.2%	7.8%	31.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	22	6	0
	4.7%	7.7%	1.9%	.0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender			
		Male	Female	Other	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			a	
	Book stores			a	
	Department stores		A		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco			a	
	Electronics / Software / High tech	B		a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A	a	
	Fast food			a	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			a	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			a	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	A	a	
	Pet stores			a	
	Restaurants / High end			a	
	Shopping / Retail			a	
	Sports / Sports facilities			a	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			a	
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a	
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a	
	Vallco - General mention			a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods			a	
	Auto related			a	
Medical related			a		
Other Mention			a		
Nothing			a		
Not Sure/DK/NA	B		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	5	12	17	14	35	3
	14.6%	6.3%	11.4%	12.1%	9.3%	33.9%	37.4%
Book stores	36	6	5	6	10	7	2
	6.2%	7.2%	5.3%	4.0%	6.8%	6.7%	24.3%
Department stores	89	17	11	12	23	21	6
	15.3%	21.9%	10.6%	8.2%	15.0%	20.8%	68.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	14	18	22	14	14	4
	14.8%	18.9%	17.8%	15.3%	9.1%	14.2%	44.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	11	10	7	5	3	0
	6.3%	14.6%	10.1%	5.0%	3.6%	2.8%	.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	3	19	6	8	3	0
	6.7%	3.7%	18.8%	4.1%	5.2%	3.0%	.0%
Fast food	28	6	7	5	7	3	0
	4.8%	7.3%	6.8%	3.7%	4.7%	3.1%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	10	14	10	5	10	0
	8.6%	13.6%	14.2%	6.8%	3.6%	10.2%	.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	14	16	13	11	10	1
	11.1%	18.3%	15.8%	9.5%	7.0%	9.5%	11.8%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	15	14	13	18	12	0
	12.5%	20.0%	13.8%	9.5%	11.8%	11.6%	.0%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.3%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	10	24	35	31	11	1
	19.4%	13.0%	24.0%	25.0%	20.6%	10.4%	12.5%
Shopping / Retail	85	9	19	23	22	9	2
	14.7%	12.2%	19.1%	16.5%	14.3%	9.3%	24.9%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	5	5	13	10	1	0
	5.9%	6.6%	4.8%	9.4%	6.4%	1.3%	.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	3	4	1	0
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	2.4%	.6%	.0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	2	5	4	4	0	0
	2.6%	3.1%	4.7%	2.7%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	7	8	7	2	0
	4.0%	.0%	6.6%	5.4%	4.3%	2.1%	.0%
Vallco - General mention	31	0	5	12	10	4	0
	5.3%	.0%	4.7%	8.3%	6.6%	4.2%	.0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	6	0	1	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	5.5%	.3%	.9%	.3%	.0%
Auto related	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	36	6	8	10	6	7	1
	6.3%	7.3%	7.6%	7.0%	3.7%	6.4%	12.5%
Nothing	47	5	3	15	13	11	0
	8.1%	6.7%	2.9%	10.7%	8.6%	11.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	7	4	4	6	5	0
	4.7%	8.7%	4.3%	3.1%	4.2%	5.1%	.0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					A B C D	A
	Book stores						A B C D E
	Department stores						D
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco						a
	Electronics / Software / High tech	D E					a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A C D E				a
	Fast food						a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	D	D				a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's						a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a			a	a
	Pet stores						a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail						a
	Sports / Sports facilities						a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a				a
	Entertainment venues/Night life					a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a					a
	Vallco - General mention	a					a
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a					a
	Auto related	a	a			a	a
	Medical related	a	a	a		a	a
Other Mention						a	
Nothing						a	
Not Sure/DK/NA						a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	54 12.7%	31 19.8%
Book stores	36 6.2%	27 6.5%	8 5.3%
Department stores	89 15.3%	65 15.2%	24 15.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	68 15.9%	19 11.8%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	26 6.2%	10 6.6%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	25 5.8%	14 8.8%
Fast food	28 4.8%	24 5.6%	4 2.6%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	32 7.6%	18 11.5%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	51 12.1%	14 8.6%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	55 12.9%	18 11.3%
Pet stores	2 .3%	2 .4%	0 .3%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	76 18.0%	36 23.0%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	68 16.0%	18 11.1%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	22 5.2%	12 7.7%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	6 1.4%	1 .7%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	12 2.9%	3 1.8%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	19 4.4%	4 2.8%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	25 6.0%	5 3.3%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	4 1.0%	3 2.1%
Auto related	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	3 .7%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	26 6.1%	11 6.8%
Nothing	47 8.1%	35 8.2%	13 8.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	22 5.2%	5 3.3%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		A
	Book stores		
	Department stores		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		
	Electronics / Software / High tech		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		
	Fast food		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		
	Pet stores		
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail		
	Sports / Sports facilities		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		
	Mall/Outdoor mall		
	Vallco - General mention		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		
	Auto related		a
	Medical related		a
Other Mention			
Nothing			
Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	41 16.7%	12 14.5%	1 8.8%	32 13.0%
Book stores	36 6.2%	20 8.1%	6 6.8%	1 7.6%	9 3.9%
Department stores	89 15.3%	38 15.7%	17 20.8%	4 24.1%	30 12.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	35 14.3%	6 7.8%	1 7.2%	44 18.2%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	19 7.7%	5 6.4%	0 .0%	13 5.3%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	13 5.4%	3 4.1%	0 .0%	22 9.3%
Fast food	28 4.8%	14 5.9%	3 3.3%	1 3.7%	10 4.3%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	23 9.6%	6 7.2%	2 11.5%	19 8.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	34 14.1%	9 11.0%	1 4.9%	21 8.6%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	41 16.8%	8 10.1%	1 6.8%	22 9.3%
Pet stores	2 .3%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	51 20.9%	13 16.0%	6 35.6%	43 17.9%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	36 14.7%	15 18.9%	2 15.0%	32 13.2%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	13 5.2%	4 5.6%	0 .0%	17 7.1%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	1 .5%	0 .4%	0 2.2%	5 2.1%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	7 2.7%	1 1.6%	1 7.3%	6 2.5%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	8 3.1%	4 4.4%	2 12.5%	10 4.1%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	7 2.8%	6 6.9%	2 12.5%	16 6.8%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	6 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Medical related	3 .5%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	16 6.5%	4 5.2%	1 5.0%	16 6.5%
Nothing	47 8.1%	20 8.3%	7 9.2%	0 .0%	20 8.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	9 3.5%	2 3.1%	3 16.3%	13 5.6%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
Book stores				
Department stores				
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
Electronics / Software / High tech			a	
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a	
Fast food				
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a	a	a
Pet stores				
Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail			a	
Sports / Sports facilities				
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				
Entertainment venues/Night life				
Mall/Outdoor mall				
Vallco - General mention				
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a	a	
Auto related	a	a	a	
Medical related				
Other Mention				
Nothing			a	
Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	14	10	6	4	18	32
	14.6%	11.7%	14.8%	19.4%	15.5%	14.1%	15.8%
Book stores	36	9	6	2	3	8	7
	6.2%	7.0%	9.6%	6.4%	11.8%	6.6%	3.4%
Department stores	89	16	18	5	6	19	25
	15.3%	12.9%	26.6%	15.7%	20.3%	15.2%	12.4%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	12	14	3	2	21	35
	14.8%	9.6%	20.6%	9.4%	8.3%	16.1%	17.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	9	6	2	2	11	7
	6.3%	7.5%	9.6%	5.4%	5.3%	8.5%	3.5%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	8	0	2	1	10	17
	6.7%	6.7%	.0%	6.9%	3.7%	8.0%	8.3%
Fast food	28	3	6	0	1	10	8
	4.8%	2.6%	9.1%	.0%	2.3%	7.8%	4.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	12	7	2	4	13	12
	8.6%	9.6%	11.0%	5.3%	14.4%	10.4%	5.9%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	17	13	4	2	18	11
	11.1%	13.6%	19.0%	12.6%	8.4%	14.1%	5.4%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	18	10	3	2	19	20
	12.5%	14.9%	14.6%	9.6%	8.3%	14.8%	9.9%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	25	14	4	7	24	38
	19.4%	20.7%	21.6%	12.7%	24.9%	19.0%	18.4%
Shopping / Retail	85	14	6	7	6	26	27
	14.7%	11.5%	9.2%	21.2%	21.5%	20.0%	13.0%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	7	1	3	1	5	17
	5.9%	5.6%	2.0%	9.6%	3.7%	4.0%	8.2%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	1	0	0	0	1	4
	1.2%	1.0%	.1%	.3%	.0%	1.1%	2.1%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	5	0	1	0	4	5
	2.6%	4.3%	.3%	3.9%	.0%	2.7%	2.4%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	6	1	1	2	4	8
	4.0%	5.2%	2.0%	3.8%	7.0%	3.5%	3.8%
Vallco - General mention	31	4	2	4	0	10	11
	5.3%	3.2%	2.6%	12.0%	.0%	8.1%	5.3%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	3	0	0	0	4	0
	1.3%	2.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.1%
Auto related	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
Medical related	3	1	0	0	0	1	1
	.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.5%
Other Mention	36	12	4	1	2	4	13
	6.3%	9.8%	5.9%	3.6%	8.1%	3.2%	6.4%
Nothing	47	10	5	4	1	10	18
	8.1%	8.1%	7.0%	12.8%	3.3%	8.0%	8.6%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	2	4	1	0	10	9
	4.7%	1.9%	5.9%	4.0%	.3%	8.1%	4.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway						
	Book stores						
	Department stores						
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco						
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a			
	Fast food						
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied						
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		F				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop						
	Pet stores		a	a	a		a
	Restaurants / High end						
	Shopping / Retail						
	Sports / Sports facilities						
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a		
	Entertainment venues/Night life				a		
	Mall/Outdoor mall				a		
	Vallco - General mention				a		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods			a	a		
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	a	
Medical related		a	a	a			
Other Mention							
Nothing							
Not Sure/DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	582	263	78	81	47
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	33 12.4%	6 7.2%	15 18.1%	4 7.9%
Book stores	36 6.2%	16 5.9%	6 7.1%	3 4.1%	2 5.1%
Department stores	89 15.3%	36 13.8%	14 18.4%	5 5.9%	7 13.9%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	38 14.6%	14 18.3%	12 14.7%	8 16.2%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	19 7.2%	7 8.7%	3 4.1%	4 8.2%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	27 10.2%	2 2.0%	7 8.0%	2 3.4%
Fast food	28 4.8%	11 4.1%	6 7.2%	4 5.4%	2 5.2%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	22 8.3%	6 8.1%	12 15.0%	2 3.4%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	28 10.6%	12 14.7%	5 6.6%	8 17.5%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	38 14.3%	3 3.9%	5 5.9%	9 18.8%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	51 19.3%	22 28.7%	13 15.7%	11 23.5%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	42 15.8%	6 8.1%	13 16.5%	6 13.3%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	14 5.5%	13 16.0%	3 3.3%	2 4.6%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	3 1.2%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	1 2.5%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	10 3.7%	4 5.1%	1 1.3%	0 .5%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	11 4.0%	2 3.1%	2 2.8%	3 5.7%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	12 4.6%	7 8.7%	2 2.4%	5 9.8%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	6 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	2 .8%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	19 7.2%	6 7.0%	4 5.4%	1 2.1%
Nothing	47 8.1%	15 5.8%	8 10.1%	11 13.3%	7 14.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	16 6.0%	2 3.0%	3 3.4%	0 .6%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	27	23	38	24
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	5 19.0%	7 31.6%	8 21.2%	8 32.9%
Book stores	0 .0%	1 2.8%	2 5.4%	6 25.8%
Department stores	2 7.1%	5 22.5%	9 22.5%	11 46.2%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	2 5.9%	1 6.2%	5 12.1%	6 26.1%
Electronics / Software / High tech	1 3.5%	0 1.3%	1 3.3%	1 5.1%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	1 2.4%
Fast food	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 9.1%	1 5.3%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	5 18.1%	0 .0%	3 8.5%	0 1.4%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	0 .0%	2 9.9%	6 16.0%	3 14.2%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	4 14.0%	4 18.0%	9 24.8%	1 3.7%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	5 19.9%	1 2.3%	6 16.9%	3 13.6%
Shopping / Retail	4 16.5%	5 20.3%	6 15.4%	3 11.8%
Sports / Sports facilities	1 5.6%	0 1.3%	1 1.5%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	1 4.5%	0 .7%	0 .8%
Entertainment venues/Night life	0 .0%	0 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1 4.1%	2 8.7%	2 5.2%	0 .4%
Vallco - General mention	1 3.4%	2 10.2%	2 4.3%	0 1.2%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1 4.1%	0 .0%	0 .4%	0 .0%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	2 6.2%	1 3.4%	3 7.0%	2 6.9%
Nothing	1 4.6%	2 7.0%	2 4.3%	2 7.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	4 13.5%	1 3.9%	1 3.5%	0 .4%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch				
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a		a	a
	Pet stores				
	Restaurants / High end				
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			a	
	Entertainment venues/Night life				
	Mall/Outdoor mall				
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a		a
	Auto related		a		a
	Medical related		a		a
Other Mention					
Nothing					
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				B
Book stores	a			A C
Department stores				A C E
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
Electronics / Software / High tech	a	a		
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a		
Fast food		a		
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a			
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			B	
Pet stores	a	a		a
Restaurants / High end				
Shopping / Retail				a
Sports / Sports facilities				
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			
Entertainment venues/Night life	a		a	a
Mall/Outdoor mall				
Vallco - General mention				
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a		a
Auto related	a	a	a	a
Medical related	a	a	a	a
Other Mention				
Nothing				
Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	1	10	4	10	3	3	4
	14.6%	9.9%	5.7%	10.7%	22.1%	16.3%	19.1%	10.6%
Book stores	36	1	2	3	7	1	2	3
	6.2%	9.9%	1.1%	7.8%	14.9%	6.3%	12.2%	6.2%
Department stores	89	2	16	7	8	4	1	9
	15.3%	37.6%	9.1%	16.0%	16.6%	24.1%	8.6%	20.4%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	1	10	2	14	2	0	5
	14.8%	9.9%	5.7%	5.8%	30.8%	9.3%	1.1%	11.7%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	6	3	7	1	1	1
	6.3%	.0%	3.2%	8.3%	15.4%	3.5%	4.5%	2.9%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
Fast food	28	1	4	5	11	0	0	0
	4.8%	9.0%	2.1%	12.5%	24.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	0	3	7	4	3	3	1
	8.6%	.0%	1.5%	16.7%	8.8%	19.4%	24.2%	3.4%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	5	14	4	8	4	0	1
	11.1%	71.3%	8.3%	10.3%	18.0%	24.7%	1.1%	2.2%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	1	18	9	4	5	3	3
	12.5%	12.0%	10.1%	22.6%	7.9%	30.2%	24.4%	7.7%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	3	24	21	16	2	5	5
	19.4%	52.5%	13.7%	50.1%	33.8%	12.1%	35.1%	11.1%
Shopping / Retail	85	1	17	7	6	4	0	10
	14.7%	12.0%	9.7%	16.2%	12.9%	26.2%	1.6%	23.2%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	9	3	6	0	0	6
	5.9%	.0%	5.0%	8.1%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	4	1	0	0	0	2
	1.2%	.0%	2.4%	2.6%	.0%	.9%	1.1%	3.6%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	11	1	0	0	1	2
	2.6%	.0%	6.2%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	3.7%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	18	1	1	1	0	1
	4.0%	.0%	10.7%	2.5%	2.6%	5.8%	.0%	3.4%
Vallco - General mention	31	0	19	0	0	4	0	2
	5.3%	.0%	10.8%	.9%	.4%	24.1%	.0%	5.8%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.2%
Auto related	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	.5%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
Other Mention	36	3	8	0	6	0	2	0
	6.3%	52.5%	4.6%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	17.4%	.6%
Nothing	47	0	16	4	2	0	1	5
	8.1%	.0%	9.5%	9.8%	4.8%	.0%	7.8%	12.6%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	1	10	3	2	0	0	2
	4.7%	9.9%	5.5%	7.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	3.7%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Date				
	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	16 16.9%	13 18.2%	20 31.6%	0 .0%	0 5.3%
Book stores	4 4.4%	12 16.6%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Department stores	20 20.7%	12 16.8%	10 15.8%	0 .0%	0 4.3%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	20 20.7%	12 16.0%	21 32.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	1 .6%	10 14.2%	7 11.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	1 1.5%	6 7.8%	29 45.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Fast food	4 4.3%	0 .0%	3 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	21 21.3%	3 4.4%	5 7.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	4 4.4%	13 17.1%	11 17.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	9 9.7%	4 4.8%	14 22.1%	0 .0%	2 43.6%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	14 14.8%	12 16.1%	10 15.6%	0 .0%	2 27.7%
Shopping / Retail	6 6.3%	16 22.4%	17 25.8%	0 .0%	2 32.0%
Sports / Sports facilities	2 2.5%	6 8.6%	2 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	0 .0%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	0 .0%	2 2.7%	0 .6%	0 .0%	3 47.9%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 9.8%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 19.1%
Other Mention	5 5.5%	8 10.6%	3 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	5 5.5%	11 14.8%	0 .0%	2 90.2%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	5 5.5%	0 .0%	5 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				B			
	Book stores				B			
	Department stores							
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				B			
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a		a	H	a	a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a		B H J	a	a	a
	Fast food			B				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	a		B		B	B	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	B C F G H I						
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Pet stores			B G H I J				
	Restaurants / High end							
	Shopping / Retail	a				a	a	
	Sports / Sports facilities	a						
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			a			
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a			a	a		
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a						
	Vallco - General mention	a				D I J	a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a		a	a	a		
	Auto related	a		a	a	a	a	a
Medical related	a		a	a			a	
Other Mention	B G H J		a		a			
Nothing	a				a	a		
Not Sure/DK/NA								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			B	a	
	Book stores		B		a	a
	Department stores				a	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	B		B	a	a
	Electronics / Software / High tech		BH		a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			D G H I	a	a
	Fast food		a		a	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	B			a	a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				a	a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				a	
	Pet stores	a	a		a	a
	Restaurants / High end				a	
	Shopping / Retail			H	a	a
	Sports / Sports facilities				a	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a	a	a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a		a	a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a	a	a	a	a
	Vallco - General mention					C D I J
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods		a	a	a	a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	a
Medical related	a	a	a	a	B	
Other Mention				a	a	
Nothing			a	B C D H	a	
Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	67	19
	14.6%	14.4%	15.8%
Book stores	36	22	14
	6.2%	4.7%	12.1%
Department stores	89	70	19
	15.3%	15.1%	16.2%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	66	20
	14.8%	14.3%	16.9%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	24	13
	6.3%	5.1%	11.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	28	11
	6.7%	6.1%	9.0%
Fast food	28	16	12
	4.8%	3.5%	9.9%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	41	9
	8.6%	8.9%	7.7%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	49	16
	11.1%	10.5%	13.6%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	59	13
	12.5%	12.8%	11.2%
Pet stores	2	2	0
	.3%	.3%	.3%
Restaurants / High end	113	94	19
	19.4%	20.3%	15.9%
Shopping / Retail	85	67	18
	14.7%	14.5%	15.4%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	24	10
	5.9%	5.2%	8.4%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	7	0
	1.2%	1.4%	.3%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	11	4
	2.6%	2.3%	3.6%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	20	3
	4.0%	4.3%	2.8%
Vallco - General mention	31	28	3
	5.3%	6.0%	2.3%
Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	7	0
	1.3%	1.6%	.2%
Auto related	1	1	0
	.2%	.3%	.0%
Medical related	3	3	0
	.5%	.7%	.0%
Other Mention	36	25	12
	6.3%	5.3%	10.1%
Nothing	47	42	5
	8.1%	9.1%	4.3%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	22	5
	4.7%	4.7%	4.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		
	Book stores		A
	Department stores		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		
	Electronics / Software / High tech		A
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		
	Fast food		A
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		
	Pet stores		
	Restaurants / High end		
	Shopping / Retail		
	Sports / Sports facilities		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		
	Mall/Outdoor mall		
	Vallco - General mention		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a
	Auto related		a
Medical related		.	
Other Mention			
Nothing			
Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	46	40
	14.6%	13.2%	16.8%
Book stores	36	19	17
	6.2%	5.3%	7.4%
Department stores	89	58	31
	15.3%	16.7%	13.2%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	46	40
	14.8%	13.4%	17.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	20	17
	6.3%	5.7%	7.2%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	11	28
	6.7%	3.1%	11.9%
Fast food	28	15	13
	4.8%	4.4%	5.5%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	30	20
	8.6%	8.8%	8.4%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	38	27
	11.1%	11.0%	11.4%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	40	32
	12.5%	11.6%	13.8%
Pet stores	2	2	0
	.3%	.6%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	65	48
	19.4%	18.7%	20.3%
Shopping / Retail	85	57	29
	14.7%	16.3%	12.2%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	20	15
	5.9%	5.6%	6.2%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	3	4
	1.2%	.9%	1.6%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	11	4
	2.6%	3.3%	1.6%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	14	9
	4.0%	4.1%	3.8%
Vallco - General mention	31	25	6
	5.3%	7.1%	2.6%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	2	6
	1.3%	.6%	2.4%
Auto related	1	1	0
	.2%	.4%	.0%
Medical related	3	2	1
	.5%	.6%	.4%
Other Mention	36	14	23
	6.3%	4.0%	9.6%
Nothing	47	35	12
	8.1%	10.2%	5.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	17	10
	4.7%	5.0%	4.2%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		
	Book stores		
	Department stores		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		
	Electronics / Software / High tech		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A
	Fast food		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a
	Pet stores		
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail		
	Sports / Sports facilities		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		
	Mall/Outdoor mall	B	
	Vallco - General mention		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a
	Auto related		
	Medical related		
Other Mention		A	
Nothing	B		
Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	0	11	4	15
	14.6%	.0%	22.6%	4.5%	11.5%
Book stores	36	2	4	1	10
	6.2%	14.7%	7.2%	1.2%	7.3%
Department stores	89	6	7	15	21
	15.3%	60.1%	13.6%	15.5%	15.7%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	0	2	11	19
	14.8%	.0%	3.5%	12.2%	14.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	3	2	10
	6.3%	.0%	5.8%	2.4%	8.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	8	0	7
	6.7%	.0%	16.4%	.0%	5.6%
Fast food	28	0	3	2	10
	4.8%	.0%	6.3%	1.8%	7.5%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	3	4	7	10
	8.6%	27.1%	8.5%	7.0%	7.8%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	0	0	7	26
	11.1%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	20.0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	5	9	4	16
	12.5%	45.4%	18.5%	4.3%	12.5%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Restaurants / High end	113	3	7	20	33
	19.4%	27.1%	14.7%	21.0%	25.3%
Shopping / Retail	85	0	8	7	24
	14.7%	2.7%	17.2%	7.4%	18.3%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	1	3	8	6
	5.9%	11.6%	6.0%	8.0%	4.8%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	1	1	1
	1.2%	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	.9%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	1	5	1
	2.6%	.0%	2.4%	5.7%	1.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	2	2	6
	4.0%	.0%	3.2%	2.3%	4.5%
Vallco - General mention	31	0	0	8	9
	5.3%	.0%	.2%	8.0%	6.5%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	3	0	3	0
	1.3%	25.6%	.7%	2.9%	.0%
Auto related	1	0	0	0	1
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.9%
Medical related	3	0	0	0	1
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Other Mention	36	0	3	4	7
	6.3%	.0%	5.8%	4.3%	5.6%
Nothing	47	0	3	13	10
	8.1%	.0%	5.4%	13.8%	7.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	0	2	5	5
	4.7%	.0%	3.5%	5.1%	3.8%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	163	133	1
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	26 15.9%	29 21.7%	0 .0%
Book stores	9 5.8%	11 8.0%	0 .0%
Department stores	14 8.5%	27 20.2%	0 .0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	30 18.3%	24 18.2%	0 .0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	12 7.5%	9 6.8%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	13 8.1%	10 7.6%	0 .0%
Fast food	10 6.1%	3 2.6%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	11 6.7%	15 11.7%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	20 12.3%	11 8.5%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	16 9.9%	21 16.1%	1 100.0%
Pet stores	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	32 19.8%	17 13.0%	0 .0%
Shopping / Retail	24 14.6%	22 16.3%	0 .0%
Sports / Sports facilities	9 5.3%	8 5.8%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	2 1.3%	1 1.1%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	5 3.3%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	7 4.4%	6 4.7%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	8 5.1%	6 4.7%	0 .0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1 .8%	0 .3%	0 .0%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	17 10.4%	5 4.0%	0 .0%
Nothing	10 6.1%	11 8.6%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	8 5.2%	7 5.4%	0 .0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino			
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?				
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	C		
Book stores				
Department stores	B C D E F			
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	a			
Electronics / Software / High tech	a			
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a		a	
Fast food	a			
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	a		F
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	C E			
Pet stores	a	a	a	
Restaurants / High end				
Shopping / Retail				
Sports / Sports facilities				
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			
Entertainment venues/Night life	a			
Mall/Outdoor mall	a			
Vallco - General mention	a			
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	B C E F			a
Auto related	a	a		
Medical related	a	a	a	
Other Mention	a			
Nothing	a			
Not Sure/DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		C	a,,b
	Book stores			a,,b
	Department stores			a,,b
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco			a,,b
	Electronics / Software / High tech			a,,b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a,,b
	Fast food			a,,b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			a,,b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			a,,b
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			a,,b
	Pet stores	a		a,,b
	Restaurants / High end			a,,b
	Shopping / Retail			a,,b
	Sports / Sports facilities			a,,b
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			a,,b
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a,,b
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a,,b
	Vallco - General mention			a,,b
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods			a,,b
	Auto related	a	a	a,,b
Medical related		a	a,,b	
Other Mention			a,,b	
Nothing			a,,b	
Not Sure/DK/NA			a,,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	16	27	24	8	10	0
	14.6%	35.4%	12.8%	17.1%	6.8%	16.9%	.0%
Book stores	35	5	17	4	5	5	1
	6.1%	10.0%	8.0%	2.6%	4.3%	7.9%	5.7%
Department stores	88	9	37	17	22	1	2
	15.2%	20.8%	17.7%	12.1%	19.0%	2.4%	14.7%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	85	7	23	15	19	20	0
	14.7%	14.7%	11.0%	11.0%	17.0%	35.0%	.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	11	2	10	14	0
	6.3%	.7%	5.1%	1.2%	9.1%	23.4%	1.9%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	2	11	11	6	9	0
	6.7%	3.7%	5.1%	8.1%	4.9%	16.2%	.0%
Fast food	28	3	5	5	9	6	0
	4.8%	6.9%	2.4%	3.4%	8.0%	10.3%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	3	28	5	7	7	0
	8.7%	6.9%	13.3%	3.8%	6.0%	12.0%	.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	4	19	12	20	9	1
	11.2%	8.7%	9.2%	8.3%	17.7%	15.1%	9.0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	7	24	18	16	6	1
	12.5%	14.9%	11.6%	13.0%	14.3%	9.6%	10.7%
Pet stores	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	4	46	24	21	17	0
	19.4%	8.7%	21.9%	17.3%	18.6%	29.6%	.0%
Shopping / Retail	85	4	35	19	18	7	3
	14.7%	9.0%	16.5%	13.3%	16.1%	11.8%	22.3%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	7	12	7	8	0
	5.9%	.0%	3.3%	8.6%	6.5%	13.5%	.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	2	0	3	1	0
	1.2%	.3%	.9%	.3%	3.0%	1.9%	.0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	9	2	5	0	0
	2.6%	.0%	4.1%	1.1%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	1	8	5	3	2	4
	4.0%	2.3%	3.9%	3.4%	2.7%	3.6%	34.5%
Vallco - General mention	31	2	10	6	7	4	3
	5.3%	3.6%	4.7%	4.0%	6.3%	6.3%	23.9%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	6	1	0	0	0
	1.3%	.8%	2.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
Auto related	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	36	2	6	9	12	6	0
	6.3%	5.3%	3.0%	6.6%	10.5%	11.1%	.0%
Nothing	47	5	12	17	4	6	3
	8.1%	11.4%	5.5%	12.4%	3.3%	9.9%	26.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	2	11	6	5	3	0
	4.7%	5.3%	5.2%	4.0%	4.6%	4.8%	1.4%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	B D					a
	Book stores						
	Department stores	E	E		E		a
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					B C	a
	Electronics / Software / High tech				C	A B C	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch					B	a
	Fast food						a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		C				a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's						
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop						
	Pet stores	a			a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end						a
	Shopping / Retail						
	Sports / Sports facilities	a				B	a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot						a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a				a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall						A B C D E
	Vallco - General mention						
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods				a	a	
	Auto related	a	a			a	a
Medical related	a	a			a	a	
Other Mention						a	
Nothing						D	
Not Sure/DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
Total	582	3	3	189
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	0	0	33
	14.6%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
Book stores	36	0	0	8
	6.2%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
Department stores	89	1	0	22
	15.3%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	1	0	23
	14.8%	50.0%	.0%	12.3%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	0	8
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	0	11
	6.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
Fast food	28	1	0	5
	4.8%	50.0%	.0%	2.9%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	0	3	10
	8.6%	.0%	100.0%	5.1%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	0	0	12
	11.1%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	1	0	23
	12.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.1%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	0	0	26
	19.4%	.0%	.0%	13.5%
Shopping / Retail	85	0	0	23
	14.7%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	0	12
	5.9%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	4
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	0	4
	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	0	12
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	6.2%
Vallco - General mention	31	0	0	12
	5.3%	.0%	.0%	6.2%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	0	3
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Auto related	1	0	0	1
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Medical related	3	0	0	1
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Other Mention	36	0	0	6
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
Nothing	47	0	0	13
	8.1%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	0	0	7
	4.7%	.0%	.0%	3.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	2	106	15	25
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0	16	4	2
	.0%	15.5%	25.9%	6.9%
Book stores	0	4	3	0
	.0%	3.5%	17.3%	.0%
Department stores	0	12	4	3
	.0%	10.9%	25.5%	11.4%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	0	8	5	3
	.0%	7.8%	34.9%	13.3%
Electronics / Software / High tech	0	5	0	3
	.0%	4.7%	.0%	11.2%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0	3	0	7
	.0%	3.1%	.0%	26.5%
Fast food	0	2	3	2
	.0%	1.6%	18.4%	6.6%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	13	2	3
	.0%	12.3%	9.9%	13.1%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	0	16	3	6
	.0%	15.1%	18.4%	24.5%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	0	12	1	2
	.0%	11.3%	6.7%	6.9%
Pet stores	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
Restaurants / High end	1	23	6	2
	48.8%	22.0%	37.5%	6.6%
Shopping / Retail	1	14	3	5
	48.8%	13.1%	17.0%	20.2%
Sports / Sports facilities	0	5	1	1
	.0%	4.3%	7.1%	4.7%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
Entertainment venues/Night life	1	5	1	0
	48.8%	4.4%	6.7%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1	1	2	0
	51.2%	1.0%	13.8%	.0%
Vallco - General mention	0	10	1	0
	.0%	9.1%	7.1%	.0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	1	3
	.0%	.0%	7.1%	11.1%
Auto related	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	0	2	0	0
	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	0	11	0	0
	.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	0	12	0	0
	.0%	11.6%	.0%	.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	3	0	1
	.0%	3.2%	.0%	4.2%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	8	14	10	148
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0	0	0	28
	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.0%
Book stores	0	2	2	12
	.0%	11.3%	16.3%	8.2%
Department stores	3	0	0	39
	34.4%	.0%	.0%	26.0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	3	11	0	24
	40.9%	80.3%	.0%	16.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	0	4	0	10
	.0%	32.3%	.0%	6.5%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3	9	0	3
	40.9%	69.0%	.0%	2.1%
Fast food	0	3	0	9
	.0%	23.1%	.0%	5.8%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	3	0	9
	.0%	21.0%	.0%	5.9%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	5	0	0	20
	58.1%	.0%	.0%	13.2%
Local retail stores/Mom and Pop	5	3	0	19
	58.1%	21.0%	.0%	12.9%
Pet stores	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
Restaurants / High end	0	6	2	29
	.0%	47.6%	16.3%	19.6%
Shopping / Retail	1	3	0	24
	17.2%	23.1%	.0%	16.2%
Sports / Sports facilities	1	3	0	6
	12.8%	24.4%	.0%	4.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
Entertainment venues/Night life	0	0	1	3
	.0%	.0%	10.2%	1.8%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1	0	1	3
	11.8%	.0%	12.0%	1.7%
Vallco - General mention	1	0	0	3
	11.8%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Auto related	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
Medical related	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	0	3	0	12
	.0%	24.5%	.0%	7.9%
Nothing	0	0	4	12
	.0%	.0%	39.9%	7.8%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0	1	2	8
	.0%	7.1%	21.6%	5.3%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	2 7.0%	1 7.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Book stores	4 17.5%	0 .0%	0 6.6%	1 8.2%
Department stores	4 16.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 9.7%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	3 10.9%	2 15.6%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	3 12.3%	1 8.0%	0 .0%	3 16.1%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.4%
Fast food	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 18.4%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	4 16.9%	4 31.5%	0 .0%	1 4.8%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	1 3.5%	0 .0%	0 6.6%	2 13.9%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	3 15.0%	0 2.7%	0 .0%	3 17.7%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	8 33.9%	3 25.7%	2 41.6%	5 28.0%
Shopping / Retail	5 19.6%	2 15.5%	0 6.6%	4 23.7%
Sports / Sports facilities	1 3.5%	0 .0%	4 63.0%	0 1.9%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	0 .0%	0 2.7%	0 6.6%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	0 .0%	2 17.1%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	1 3.5%	2 19.3%	0 .0%	1 5.4%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.3%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	0 .0%	2 13.7%	1 10.2%	3 15.6%
Nothing	5 21.4%	0 .0%	1 23.3%	0 .9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	1 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 25.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a		a
	Book stores	.	.		a
	Department stores	.	.		a
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	.	.		a
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a	a		a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.	.		a
	Fast food	C E	.		a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	.	.		a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	.	.		a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	.	.		a
	Pet stores	.	a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end
	Shopping / Retail
	Sports / Sports facilities	.	.	.	a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.	.	.	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.	.	.	C K
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.	.	.	E K
	Vallco - General mention	.	.	.	a
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.	.	.	a
	Auto related	.	.	.	a
	Medical related	.	.	.	a
	Other Mention	.	.	.	a
	Nothing	.	.	.	a
Not Sure/DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			a	a
	Book stores			a	a
	Department stores			.	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a		a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a	CEK	CEK
	Fast food		E		a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				CKL
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				CEK
	Pet stores	a	a	K	a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail				a
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a		a
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a	
	Vallco - General mention			a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a		CK	a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a
	Medical related		a	a	a
	Other Mention		a	a	a
	Nothing		a	.	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a		
	Book stores	.	.		
	Department stores	a	a	C	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	CEGKLO	a		
	Electronics / Software / High tech	CEK	a		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	CEKLO	a		
	Fast food	CE	a		a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		a		.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	a		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a		
	Pet stores	a	a		a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail	C	a		
	Sports / Sports facilities		a		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a		a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a			a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a			a
	Vallco - General mention	a	a		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a		a
	Auto related	a	a		a
	Medical related	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention	C	a	.	a
	Nothing	a	CK		
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a	a
Book stores	a	.	.
Department stores	.	a	.
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	.	a	.
Electronics / Software / High tech	.	a	.
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a	.
Fast food	a	a	E
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	C	a	.
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	.	.
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	.	a	.
Pet stores	a	a	a
Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail	.	.	.
Sports / Sports facilities	a	CEGKLO	.
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.	a	a
Entertainment venues/Night life	.	.	a
Mall/Outdoor mall	E	.	.
Vallco - General mention	.	a	.
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	.
Auto related	a	a	a
Medical related	a	a	a
Other Mention	.	.	.
Nothing	a	.	.
Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	CE

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	30 11.3%	9 8.6%	17 15.3%	1 2.7%	3 28.7%	0 .0%
Book stores	17 6.4%	9 9.1%	4 3.5%	2 5.0%	2 20.6%	0 .0%
Department stores	35 13.0%	14 13.4%	17 14.8%	3 8.7%	0 .0%	1 16.1%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	45 16.7%	14 13.8%	20 17.7%	7 23.8%	3 28.7%	0 .0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	15 5.7%	11 10.4%	3 2.3%	2 5.0%	0 .0%	0 2.5%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	26 9.6%	7 6.7%	13 11.8%	5 15.2%	1 7.2%	0 .0%
Fast food	14 5.1%	3 3.1%	5 4.2%	3 10.2%	2 22.5%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	19 7.0%	13 12.4%	0 .0%	6 19.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	26 9.6%	9 8.8%	12 10.6%	1 2.7%	4 33.4%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	32 12.2%	20 19.1%	10 9.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 24.6%
Restaurants / High end	62 23.4%	24 23.5%	26 22.7%	8 25.1%	5 44.2%	0 .0%
Shopping / Retail	44 16.6%	15 14.9%	20 17.7%	4 13.8%	3 26.0%	2 20.5%
Sports / Sports facilities	16 6.1%	6 5.5%	7 5.8%	4 12.3%	0 .0%	0 3.8%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	3 1.3%	1 1.1%	1 1.0%	1 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	7 2.5%	2 1.6%	4 3.4%	0 .0%	1 10.7%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	14 5.2%	2 1.6%	9 8.1%	0 .0%	3 27.8%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	23 8.6%	10 9.8%	9 7.9%	4 12.2%	0 .0%	0 1.8%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	3 1.1%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 2.5%
Auto related	1 .5%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.3%	0 .0%
Other Mention	27 9.9%	11 10.2%	12 10.9%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	2 18.8%
Nothing	22 8.2%	12 11.6%	7 6.1%	0 .0%	0 .9%	3 32.3%
Not Sure/DK/NA	7 2.5%	2 1.7%	5 4.2%	0 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a
	Book stores					a
	Department stores				a	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					a
	Electronics / Software / High tech				a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch					a
	Fast food				A	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		a			a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				C	a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			a		a
	Restaurants / High end					a
	Shopping / Retail				a	
	Sports / Sports facilities					a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot					a
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a		a
	Mall/Outdoor mall				A	a
	Vallco - General mention					a
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a		a		a
	Auto related		a		A	a
	Other Mention					a
Nothing			a		B	
Not Sure/DK/NA				a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	66	22	33	7	3	1
	17.3%	20.2%	14.9%	21.3%	57.1%	9.8%
Book stores	23	4	15	2	1	0
	6.0%	4.0%	6.8%	5.3%	32.5%	.0%
Department stores	56	18	33	3	0	1
	14.6%	16.9%	14.9%	8.4%	.0%	11.2%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	65	12	47	6	0	1
	17.1%	10.8%	20.8%	16.9%	.0%	9.8%
Electronics / Software / High tech	29	7	18	3	1	0
	7.5%	6.2%	7.9%	8.7%	23.3%	2.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	26	0	22	5	0	0
	6.9%	.0%	9.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%
Fast food	18	5	11	2	0	0
	4.7%	5.1%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	27	2	21	3	0	0
	7.0%	1.6%	9.6%	10.3%	.0%	.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	46	10	33	3	1	0
	12.1%	9.1%	14.7%	8.0%	17.5%	.0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	54	18	29	5	0	1
	14.2%	16.8%	13.1%	15.4%	.0%	12.3%
Pet stores	2	0	2	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	65	16	43	4	0	1
	17.0%	15.2%	19.1%	13.1%	.0%	12.3%
Shopping / Retail	53	19	28	6	0	1
	13.9%	17.2%	12.4%	16.4%	.0%	11.8%
Sports / Sports facilities	21	4	15	2	0	0
	5.6%	3.9%	6.5%	6.9%	.0%	3.1%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	5	2	2	1	0	0
	1.2%	1.5%	.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	5	0	3	2	0	0
	1.4%	.4%	1.2%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	13	3	9	1	0	0
	3.4%	3.2%	3.8%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
Vallco - General mention	15	5	9	1	0	0
	4.1%	5.0%	3.9%	3.1%	.0%	1.5%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	2	0	1	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.3%	.0%	2.0%
Auto related	1	0	1	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	3	1	2	0	0	0
	.8%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	28	11	15	0	0	3
	7.4%	10.1%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	24.1%
Nothing	33	15	14	2	0	3
	8.8%	13.7%	6.1%	5.8%	2.1%	25.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	16	3	10	0	0	2
	4.2%	3.1%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	21.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a
	Book stores				a	a
	Department stores				a	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				a	
	Electronics / Software / High tech					
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a			a	a
	Fast food				a	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		A		a	a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's					a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				a	
	Pet stores	a		a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end				a	
	Shopping / Retail				a	
	Sports / Sports facilities				a	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life				a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall				a	a
	Vallco - General mention				a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a			a	
	Auto related	a		a	a	a
Medical related			a	a	a	
Other Mention			a	a		
Nothing						
Not Sure/DK/NA			a	a	A B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	50	4	12	1	0
	14.6%	11.2%	15.2%	12.5%	19.8%	.0%
Book stores	36	33	1	4	0	0
	6.2%	7.4%	4.4%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
Department stores	89	75	4	13	0	0
	15.3%	16.7%	14.7%	13.7%	.0%	.0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	59	3	16	0	0
	14.8%	13.2%	10.0%	17.1%	.0%	24.3%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37	35	0	7	0	0
	6.3%	7.9%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	18	0	6	0	0
	6.7%	4.1%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	.0%
Fast food	28	23	0	6	0	0
	4.8%	5.2%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	.0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	43	3	4	1	0
	8.6%	9.5%	10.7%	4.6%	19.8%	.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	55	0	1	0	0
	11.1%	12.2%	.0%	1.5%	1.3%	6.5%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	63	2	9	1	0
	12.5%	14.0%	8.9%	10.1%	19.9%	.0%
Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0	0
	.3%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	113	89	2	18	0	0
	19.4%	19.8%	8.4%	18.9%	3.2%	.0%
Shopping / Retail	85	59	2	9	0	0
	14.7%	13.2%	8.9%	10.1%	3.2%	.0%
Sports / Sports facilities	34	25	0	9	0	0
	5.9%	5.5%	.0%	10.0%	.0%	.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	7	1	0	0	0
	1.2%	1.6%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	24.3%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15	14	0	1	0	0
	2.6%	3.1%	.0%	1.1%	3.2%	.0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23	20	4	6	0	0
	4.0%	4.4%	15.2%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
Vallco - General mention	31	26	0	9	1	0
	5.3%	5.8%	.0%	10.1%	15.8%	.0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	5	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	6.5%
Auto related	1	1	1	1	0	0
	.2%	.3%	4.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
Medical related	3	3	0	1	0	0
	.5%	.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
Other Mention	36	28	0	6	2	0
	6.3%	6.2%	.0%	6.1%	26.8%	.0%
Nothing	47	40	3	4	1	1
	8.1%	9.0%	13.2%	4.8%	13.2%	69.2%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27	23	1	6	0	0
	4.7%	5.0%	3.5%	6.0%	.0%	.0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	10 23.3%	1 21.7%	2 7.7%	13 28.1%	2 12.9%	0 .0%
Book stores	2 3.6%	0 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Department stores	6 14.7%	3 42.6%	3 12.8%	2 5.2%	1 7.4%	0 .0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	7 16.1%	4 64.3%	3 15.0%	2 3.6%	3 20.3%	1 75.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	3 7.0%	0 .0%	3 12.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3 7.6%	0 .0%	7 29.9%	0 .0%	1 8.1%	0 .0%
Fast food	2 3.8%	4 54.3%	2 7.5%	0 .0%	1 5.9%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	2 3.8%	0 .0%	3 14.7%	2 5.1%	1 7.6%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	6 13.1%	3 42.6%	6 27.6%	1 2.3%	0 1.9%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	11 24.5%	2 30.8%	0 .0%	6 12.4%	2 17.6%	0 .0%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	8 19.3%	2 34.9%	2 7.5%	3 6.0%	1 5.9%	0 .0%
Shopping / Retail	4 8.9%	1 11.7%	5 22.7%	3 5.5%	2 18.9%	0 .0%
Sports / Sports facilities	1 2.6%	0 .0%	1 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	2 5.3%	1 15.6%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 14.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	3 7.7%	0 4.9%	0 .0%	5 9.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .2%	0 .0%	3 12.5%	3 5.8%	0 .7%	0 .0%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	4 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 5.3%	0 .0%	0 25.0%
Nothing	7 16.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 7.8%	2 15.0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 5.4%	0 .0%	1 4.7%	4 8.4%	3 19.8%	0 .0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Primary Languages in Household			
	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	12	14	56	7
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 10.2%	0 .0%
Book stores	0 .0%	2 11.3%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Department stores	3 22.4%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 15.7%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	4 28.6%	11 80.3%	0 .6%	0 .0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	0 .0%	4 32.3%	1 2.2%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3 26.7%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Fast food	0 .0%	3 23.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0 .0%	3 21.0%	4 7.0%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	5 37.9%	0 .0%	9 15.5%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	5 37.9%	3 21.0%	5 9.4%	1 8.9%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .7%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	1 9.3%	6 47.6%	15 26.2%	0 .0%
Shopping / Retail	3 20.6%	3 23.1%	6 10.1%	1 20.0%
Sports / Sports facilities	3 22.1%	3 24.4%	5 9.9%	0 5.1%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	1 9.3%	0 .0%	3 5.7%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1 7.7%	0 .0%	4 7.1%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	1 7.7%	0 .0%	8 13.8%	1 7.6%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 9.8%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.9%	0 .0%
Other Mention	0 .0%	3 24.5%	5 9.3%	3 40.3%
Nothing	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 6.6%	1 15.7%
Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	1 7.1%	2 4.4%	0 2.4%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a,,b	
	Book stores				.b	a,,b	
	Department stores	N			.b	a,,b	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				.b	a	
	Electronics / Software / High tech		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Fast food		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					a,,b	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	C	.b			a	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop					a,,b	
	Pet stores		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail					a,,b	
	Sports / Sports facilities		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			.b	.b	a	.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life		.b			a,,b	
	Mall/Outdoor mall				.b	a,,b	
	Vallco - General mention		.b			a,,b	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		.b	.b		a	
	Auto related		A		.b	a,,b	.b
	Medical related		.b		.b	a,,b	.b
Other Mention		.b			a,,b		
Nothing					a		
Not Sure/DK/NA				.b	a,,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			A		a,,b	.b
	Book stores		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Department stores	N				a,,b	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	A I N				a	N
	Electronics / Software / High tech	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.b	A C	.b		a,,b	A
	Fast food	A C F		.b		a,,b	.b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	.b				a,,b	.b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	C I	C			a,,b	C I
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		.b			a,,b	
	Pet stores	.b	A	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail					a,,b	
	Sports / Sports facilities	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.b	.b		.b	a,,b	.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.b	.b	A	.b	a,,b	
	Vallco - General mention		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.b	A			a,,b	.b
	Auto related	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	A
	Medical related	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
Other Mention	.b	.b		.b	a	.b	
Nothing	.b	.b			a,,b	.b	
Not Sure/DK/NA	.b				a,,b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	.b		.b
	Book stores			.b
	Department stores	.b		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	A B C F H I N		.b
	Electronics / Software / High tech	A N		.b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	A C F J	.b	.b
	Fast food		.b	.b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			.b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	.b	C	.b
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			
	Pet stores	.b		.b
	Restaurants / High end	I		.b
	Shopping / Retail			
	Sports / Sports facilities			
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.b		.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.b		.b
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.b		.b
	Vallco - General mention	.b		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.b		.b
	Auto related	.b		.b
Medical related	.b		.b	
Other Mention			A C	
Nothing	.b			
Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income				
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
Total	582	60	52	29	37
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	23 38.5%	18 33.9%	1 4.4%	6 16.6%
Book stores	36 6.2%	5 7.7%	5 10.0%	5 17.2%	1 1.6%
Department stores	89 15.3%	8 12.8%	10 18.5%	9 29.9%	3 7.7%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	7 11.3%	8 16.1%	3 10.7%	5 14.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	1 1.4%	8 15.1%	3 10.3%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	1 2.4%	10 19.2%	1 2.0%	6 16.2%
Fast food	28 4.8%	3 5.1%	1 2.7%	0 .0%	4 9.7%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	4 7.0%	4 8.6%	2 7.5%	2 6.5%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	0 .5%	4 8.0%	10 33.3%	4 10.0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	7 11.5%	6 11.9%	5 16.6%	4 10.8%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.3%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	3 5.5%	10 19.3%	3 10.4%	5 13.3%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	4 5.9%	13 25.9%	2 5.4%	8 21.0%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	1 2.3%	5 9.3%	0 .0%	0 .8%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	0 .2%	0 .2%	0 1.2%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	3 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	1 2.0%	2 3.8%	0 .0%	3 7.8%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	0 .5%	2 3.8%	0 1.0%	1 3.4%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.5%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	0 .0%	3 4.9%	1 4.9%	1 1.6%
Nothing	47 8.1%	8 13.4%	2 3.2%	3 10.7%	3 7.9%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	1 2.3%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	0 1.0%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Household Income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	2 7.1%	1 1.8%	2 5.3%	4 12.9%	12 9.3%
Book stores	0 .0%	1 3.1%	3 8.5%	5 14.9%	5 3.4%
Department stores	7 23.0%	6 14.6%	5 13.5%	3 8.9%	13 9.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	5 16.0%	15 36.0%	9 24.1%	7 21.0%	11 8.5%
Electronics / Software / High tech	0 .0%	1 2.2%	6 14.9%	5 14.4%	6 4.5%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0 .0%	12 27.9%	6 15.7%	2 4.9%	2 1.2%
Fast food	1 3.2%	3 6.4%	3 8.9%	3 8.3%	3 2.4%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0 .0%	7 17.5%	3 7.4%	3 10.0%	10 7.5%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	3 8.1%	8 20.1%	6 15.2%	2 6.7%	12 9.4%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	5 14.3%	10 24.2%	4 11.6%	5 15.5%	12 8.9%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	2 7.8%	11 25.5%	15 39.5%	10 30.9%	28 21.0%
Shopping / Retail	7 20.3%	5 11.4%	7 19.1%	5 16.4%	18 13.5%
Sports / Sports facilities	3 9.4%	3 6.6%	8 21.9%	6 17.5%	7 5.3%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .7%	3 1.9%
Entertainment venues/Night life	1 3.2%	2 4.7%	0 .0%	3 9.7%	6 4.3%
Mall/Outdoor mall	1 3.0%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 8.7%
Vallco - General mention	0 .9%	1 1.5%	2 5.0%	1 3.0%	12 9.3%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%	4 3.3%
Auto related	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .7%	1 .9%
Medical related	0 .0%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.6%
Other Mention	1 3.7%	4 9.8%	7 17.3%	4 12.1%	7 5.5%
Nothing	1 2.1%	3 7.7%	1 3.8%	2 7.4%	13 10.1%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 6.4%	1 2.8%	1 2.7%	1 2.2%	6 4.4%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	15 12.1%
Book stores	6 5.0%
Department stores	26 20.6%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	15 12.2%
Electronics / Software / High tech	8 6.1%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0 .0%
Fast food	7 5.5%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	14 10.9%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	16 12.3%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	15 11.6%
Pet stores	0 .3%
Restaurants / High end	25 19.9%
Shopping / Retail	17 13.7%
Sports / Sports facilities	1 .6%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	4 2.8%
Entertainment venues/Night life	0 .3%
Mall/Outdoor mall	4 2.8%
Vallco - General mention	11 8.6%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0 .2%
Auto related	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%
Other Mention	9 7.0%
Nothing	11 8.4%
Not Sure/DK/NA	13 10.5%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	C F G I J	F I J			
	Book stores					a
	Department stores					.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					
	Electronics / Software / High tech				a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		I		I	a
	Fast food			a		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			A I		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	a	a		a
	Pet stores
	Restaurants / High end					
	Shopping / Retail			a		
	Sports / Sports facilities			.		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	a	a	
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a		
	Vallco - General mention					
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	a	J	a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	a
Medical related	a	
Other Mention	a	
Nothing						
Not Sure/DK/NA			a		.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	I J			
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	A I	I		
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	A			
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	a	a	a
	Pet stores
	Restaurants / High end		A	A	
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities		J	J	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		a		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	J	
	Mall/Outdoor mall		a	a	
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a		
	Auto related	a	a		
Medical related	.	.	.		
Other Mention			a		
Nothing					
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
	(J)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a
Book stores	
Department stores	
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	
Electronics / Software / High tech	
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a
Fast food	
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	
Pet stores	
Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail	
Sports / Sports facilities	
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	
Entertainment venues/Night life	
Mall/Outdoor mall	
Vallco - General mention	
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a
Auto related	a
Medical related	a
Other Mention	
Nothing	
Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	48 28.6%	24 16.0%	13 4.9%
Book stores	36 6.2%	13 8.0%	16 10.3%	7 2.5%
Department stores	89 15.3%	34 20.4%	30 19.5%	25 9.6%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	25 14.7%	44 28.7%	18 6.8%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	5 3.1%	24 16.0%	7 2.7%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	9 5.2%	30 19.6%	0 .0%
Fast food	28 4.8%	6 3.7%	17 11.1%	5 1.9%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	24 14.0%	22 14.6%	5 1.7%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	21 12.8%	27 17.6%	17 6.3%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	20 12.1%	22 14.3%	30 11.6%
Pet stores	2 .3%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .2%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	22 13.2%	45 29.3%	46 17.5%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	19 11.3%	39 25.7%	27 10.4%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	1 .8%	16 10.8%	16 6.3%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 2.7%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 5.8%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 8.8%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	31 11.7%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 2.9%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Medical related	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.2%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	12 7.3%	14 9.1%	10 3.9%
Nothing	47 8.1%	14 8.5%	12 8.1%	21 8.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	6 3.5%	5 3.1%	17 6.3%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	B C	C	
	Book stores	C	C	
	Department stores	C	C	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	C	A C	
	Electronics / Software / High tech		A C	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A	
	Fast food		A C	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	C	C	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		C	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		.	
	Pet stores		a	
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail		A C	
	Sports / Sports facilities		A	A
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	.	
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a	a	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a	a	
	Vallco - General mention	a	a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	.	
	Auto related	a	a	
	Medical related	a	.	
Other Mention		.		
Nothing				
Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	65	14	12	11	28
	14.1%	11.4%	12.8%	14.4%	16.6%
Book stores	29	9	5	3	12
	6.2%	7.0%	5.5%	4.2%	7.1%
Department stores	71	20	9	5	38
	15.4%	16.0%	9.5%	6.0%	22.3%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	65	25	10	12	19
	14.2%	19.7%	11.0%	15.3%	11.2%
Electronics / Software / High tech	24	5	9	3	7
	5.2%	4.3%	10.5%	3.5%	3.9%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	18	9	2	4	3
	3.8%	7.0%	1.8%	5.1%	1.9%
Fast food	22	10	0	5	7
	4.7%	7.8%	.0%	6.4%	4.1%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	40	12	3	9	15
	8.6%	9.9%	3.6%	11.5%	9.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	48	18	8	5	18
	10.4%	14.2%	8.7%	6.1%	10.6%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	56	7	13	6	30
	12.2%	5.4%	14.8%	7.4%	18.0%
Pet stores	2	0	0	2	0
	.4%	.0%	.5%	2.0%	.0%
Restaurants / High end	82	22	15	14	31
	17.7%	17.2%	17.0%	17.6%	18.5%
Shopping / Retail	69	18	15	10	26
	15.0%	14.7%	16.5%	12.9%	15.3%
Sports / Sports facilities	28	10	8	4	7
	6.1%	7.8%	8.5%	4.7%	4.1%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	4	1	1	0	2
	.9%	.9%	1.5%	.1%	1.1%
Entertainment venues/Night life	14	3	3	5	3
	3.0%	2.0%	3.7%	6.1%	1.7%
Mall/Outdoor mall	17	5	2	4	6
	3.7%	4.2%	2.7%	4.6%	3.5%
Vallco - General mention	28	8	7	4	9
	6.2%	6.6%	7.8%	5.1%	5.4%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	5	3	0	0	2
	1.0%	2.2%	.0%	.5%	1.0%
Auto related	1	0	0	1	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.1%
Medical related	2	0	1	0	1
	.5%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.7%
Other Mention	23	5	6	4	8
	5.0%	3.9%	7.2%	4.8%	4.9%
Nothing	42	14	6	5	17
	9.2%	11.5%	6.9%	6.4%	10.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	18	5	4	6	3
	3.9%	4.0%	4.9%	7.2%	1.8%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				C
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a		
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a			A
	Pet stores				a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				
	Entertainment venues/Night life				
	Mall/Outdoor mall				
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a		
	Auto related	a	a		
	Medical related	a			
Other Mention			a		
Nothing					
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender				
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
Total	579	123	119	40	41
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.7%	26 21.2%	15 12.2%	5 13.5%	6 15.5%
Book stores	36 6.2%	8 6.7%	12 9.6%	3 7.6%	2 6.1%
Department stores	88 15.2%	26 21.0%	12 10.4%	10 26.1%	6 15.6%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.9%	20 16.5%	14 12.1%	4 9.5%	2 6.1%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.4%	4 3.0%	15 12.7%	1 3.1%	4 9.7%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	11 9.2%	2 1.4%	1 2.7%	2 5.5%
Fast food	28 4.8%	8 6.6%	6 5.2%	3 6.7%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.7%	11 8.7%	13 10.6%	3 6.3%	3 8.0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.2%	14 11.1%	21 17.4%	7 16.9%	2 5.3%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	32 25.9%	9 7.5%	6 15.4%	2 4.8%
Pet stores	2 .3%	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.5%	29 23.4%	22 18.5%	9 23.0%	4 9.2%
Shopping / Retail	84 14.6%	19 15.4%	17 14.1%	8 20.4%	7 17.5%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	3 2.4%	10 8.1%	1 3.0%	3 8.0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .9%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	3 2.6%	3 2.8%	0 .0%	1 3.1%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	4 2.9%	4 3.4%	2 5.4%	1 3.4%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	4 3.3%	3 2.3%	3 8.3%	2 5.5%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	0 .1%	6 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	35 6.1%	9 7.2%	7 5.8%	2 6.2%	2 4.3%
Nothing	46 8.0%	10 7.7%	10 8.1%	3 7.4%	4 11.0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	1 .8%	8 6.4%	0 .4%	2 5.7%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

	Party by Gender			
	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	128	112	7	9
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	20 15.7%	11 10.2%	0 .0%	1 15.8%
Book stores	3 2.2%	7 5.9%	1 17.1%	0 .0%
Department stores	21 16.1%	9 7.6%	3 38.0%	1 13.1%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	27 21.3%	17 15.1%	1 11.2%	0 4.0%
Electronics / Software / High tech	7 5.5%	6 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	22 16.9%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Fast food	3 2.2%	8 6.7%	0 .0%	1 6.6%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	9 7.3%	10 8.9%	1 11.6%	1 11.4%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	18 13.7%	3 2.9%	1 11.2%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	13 10.1%	10 8.5%	1 15.5%	0 .0%
Pet stores	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	19 14.7%	24 21.8%	4 55.5%	2 19.8%
Shopping / Retail	21 16.2%	10 9.0%	2 33.9%	0 .0%
Sports / Sports facilities	9 7.0%	8 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	2 2.0%	3 2.2%	0 .0%	0 4.0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	3 2.1%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	1 13.1%
Mall/Outdoor mall	7 5.4%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	2 22.5%
Vallco - General mention	3 2.7%	13 11.5%	0 .0%	2 22.5%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 1.0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	0 .0%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	8 6.3%	7 5.9%	0 .0%	1 9.0%
Nothing	11 8.5%	9 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	4 3.2%	9 8.4%	0 6.3%	2 24.2%

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?					
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					
Book stores					
Department stores					
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					
Electronics / Software / High tech					
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	F			a	B F
Fast food					
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		F	F		
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	B E F			a	a
Pet stores		a	a	a	a
Restaurants / High end					
Shopping / Retail					
Sports / Sports facilities					
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a		a	a	
Entertainment venues/Night life			a		
Mall/Outdoor mall					
Vallco - General mention					
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		A	a	a	
Auto related	a	a	a	a	a
Medical related		a	a	a	
Other Mention					
Nothing					
Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		a	.
	Book stores		.	a
	Department stores		.	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		.	.
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a	a
	Fast food		.	.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		.	.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		.	a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		.	a
	Pet stores	a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail		.	a
	Sports / Sports facilities		a	a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		a	.
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall		a	.
	Vallco - General mention		a	B
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	a
	Auto related		a	a
	Medical related		a	a
	Other Mention		a	.
	Nothing		a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total		
	Total	Total	
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	582
	Eureka	82	82
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	14.1%	14.1%
		219	219
		37.5%	37.5%
	Lazy Dog	165	165
		28.3%	28.3%
	Meet Fresh	56	56
		9.6%	9.6%
	Panino Guisto	42	42
		7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	281
		48.2%	48.2%
	Sprouts	246	246
		42.3%	42.3%
	Steinmart	69	69
	11.9%	11.9%	
Target Express	257	257	
	44.2%	44.2%	
Ulta	63	63	
	10.8%	10.8%	
Other	84	84	
	14.5%	14.5%	
Nothing	46	46	
	7.9%	7.9%	
DK/NA	13	13	
	2.2%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	.
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.
	Lazy Dog	.
	Meet Fresh	.
	Panino Guisto	.
	Safeway	.
	Sprouts	.
	Steinmart	.
	Target Express	.
	Ulta	.
	Other	.
	Nothing	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Eureka	82 14.1%	36 12.6%	46 15.6%	0 .0%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	91 32.4%	126 42.4%	1 33.7%
	Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	90 31.9%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%
	Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	30 10.7%	26 8.6%	0 .0%
	Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	29 10.2%	13 4.5%	0 .0%
	Safeway	281 48.2%	136 48.4%	144 48.2%	1 33.7%
	Sprouts	246 42.3%	121 42.8%	125 41.8%	1 33.7%
	Steinmart	69 11.9%	26 9.3%	42 14.2%	1 33.7%
	Target Express	257 44.2%	128 45.3%	129 43.3%	1 33.7%
	Ulta	63 10.8%	12 4.4%	51 17.0%	0 .0%
	Other	84 14.5%	29 10.3%	55 18.3%	1 33.7%
	Nothing	46 7.9%	33 11.7%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	13 2.2%	4 1.4%	8 2.7%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		A	.
	Lazy Dog			.
	Meet Fresh			a
	Panino Guisto	B		a
	Safeway			.
	Sprouts			.
	Steinmart			.
	Target Express			.
	Ulta		A	a
	Other		A	.
	Nothing	B		.
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Eureka	82	15	19	23	19	5	1
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	14	41	70	70	22	1
	Lazy Dog	165	23	31	50	44	16	2
	Meet Fresh	56	17	8	17	11	3	0
	Panino Guisto	42	7	5	17	11	2	0
	Safeway	281	16	49	82	75	54	6
	Sprouts	246	21	36	71	82	32	3
	Steinmart	69	5	9	16	27	11	1
	Target Express	257	34	54	71	55	37	6
	Ulta	63	15	10	17	19	3	0
	Other	84	5	8	23	30	14	4
	Nothing	46	9	10	6	13	8	0
	DK/NA	13	3	3	3	0	3	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		E				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		A	A E	A E		
	Lazy Dog			E			a
	Meet Fresh	D E					a
	Panino Guisto			E			.
	Safeway		A	A	A	A	.
	Sprouts			A	A E		.
	Steinmart						.
	Target Express						.
	Ulta	E					a
	Other						A B
	Nothing						a
	DK/NA				a		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	424	158
	Eureka	82	62	20
		14.1%	14.6%	12.7%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	157	61
		37.5%	37.0%	39.0%
	Lazy Dog	165	130	35
		28.3%	30.6%	22.2%
	Meet Fresh	56	48	8
		9.6%	11.4%	4.8%
	Panino Guisto	42	31	11
		7.2%	7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	189	92
		48.2%	44.5%	58.4%
	Sprouts	246	175	71
		42.3%	41.2%	45.2%
Steinmart	69	50	19	
	11.9%	11.8%	12.2%	
Target Express	257	174	83	
	44.2%	41.1%	52.7%	
Ulta	63	48	15	
	10.8%	11.3%	9.5%	
Other	84	69	15	
	14.5%	16.3%	9.6%	
Nothing	46	31	14	
	7.9%	7.4%	9.0%	
DK/NA	13	12	1	
	2.2%	2.9%	.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog	B	
	Meet Fresh	B	
	Panino Guisto		A
	Safeway		A
	Sprouts		
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		A
	Ulta		
	Other	B	
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Eureka	82	44	11	1	26
		14.1%	17.9%	13.9%	8.6%	10.6%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	106	28	3	81
		37.5%	43.5%	35.3%	21.9%	33.4%
	Lazy Dog	165	83	21	5	55
		28.3%	34.1%	26.6%	32.8%	22.8%
	Meet Fresh	56	26	5	1	24
		9.6%	10.6%	5.7%	4.9%	10.1%
	Panino Guisto	42	26	4	1	11
		7.2%	10.5%	4.8%	8.6%	4.6%
	Safeway	281	116	45	9	111
		48.2%	47.5%	55.3%	57.4%	46.0%
	Sprouts	246	111	35	7	93
		42.3%	45.4%	43.6%	45.0%	38.5%
Steinmart	69	34	12	1	22	
	11.9%	13.8%	15.3%	8.1%	9.2%	
Target Express	257	126	31	7	94	
	44.2%	51.9%	38.0%	41.2%	38.8%	
Ulta	63	27	8	2	27	
	10.8%	10.9%	9.3%	13.7%	11.1%	
Other	84	35	17	5	28	
	14.5%	14.5%	20.5%	30.0%	11.4%	
Nothing	46	17	6	2	20	
	7.9%	6.8%	8.1%	13.6%	8.5%	
DK/NA	13	8	1	0	5	
	2.2%	3.1%	.8%	.0%	2.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party	Party				
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	D				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
	Lazy Dog					
	Meet Fresh					
	Panino Guisto					
	Safeway					
	Sprouts					
	Steinmart					
	Target Express					
	Ulta					D
	Other					
	Nothing					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party	Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Eureka	82	22	13	5	3	20	19
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	50	30	12	6	59	62
	Lazy Dog	165	37	20	8	6	47	47
	Meet Fresh	56	8	9	1	0	17	21
	Panino Guisto	42	17	4	3	1	8	9
	Safeway	281	63	30	12	17	63	96
	Sprouts	246	63	24	13	9	61	76
	Steinmart	69	16	13	2	6	14	19
	Target Express	257	65	30	14	9	58	81
	Ulta	63	14	6	2	1	27	13
	Other	84	20	10	7	5	16	25
	Nothing	46	8	6	5	1	10	15
	DK/NA	13	4	3	0	1	1	4

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party	Household Party						
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	F						
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx							
	Lazy Dog							
	Meet Fresh							
	Panino Guisto							
	Safeway							
	Sprouts							
	Steinmart							
	Target Express							
	Ulta							F
	Other							
	Nothing							
	DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date				
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	582	263	78	81	47
Eureka	82	46	12	8	7
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	111	25	30	24
	37.5%	42.2%	31.4%	37.4%	49.9%
Lazy Dog	165	89	20	14	15
	28.3%	33.7%	24.9%	17.7%	32.4%
Meet Fresh	56	30	15	5	4
	9.6%	11.5%	18.8%	6.0%	7.5%
Panino Guisto	42	25	6	1	5
	7.2%	9.5%	7.9%	1.3%	11.6%
Safeway	281	123	41	38	21
	48.2%	46.9%	52.6%	46.6%	44.0%
Sprouts	246	119	33	34	21
	42.3%	45.4%	42.1%	42.1%	44.7%
Steinmart	69	24	14	11	8
	11.9%	9.1%	17.6%	13.7%	16.3%
Target Express	257	136	30	33	20
	44.2%	51.9%	37.7%	40.3%	43.1%
Ulta	63	22	15	13	7
	10.8%	8.3%	19.0%	15.6%	14.3%
Other	84	31	16	10	10
	14.5%	11.7%	20.3%	11.8%	21.1%
Nothing	46	20	3	7	2
	7.9%	7.6%	4.3%	9.0%	5.3%
DK/NA	13	6	1	3	1
	2.2%	2.3%	1.3%	3.2%	1.4%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	27	23	38	24
Eureka	2	0	5	3
	8.0%	1.3%	12.2%	10.5%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	4	8	13	4
	15.8%	33.1%	35.0%	15.9%
Lazy Dog	7	2	13	6
	25.8%	7.7%	33.0%	23.6%
Meet Fresh	1	0	1	1
	3.6%	.0%	2.7%	2.4%
Panino Guisto	0	0	4	1
	.0%	1.0%	9.3%	2.4%
Safeway	20	8	18	12
	75.0%	34.2%	47.8%	47.7%
Sprouts	7	7	13	11
	28.2%	30.6%	33.2%	45.5%
Steinmart	4	1	4	4
	16.7%	4.0%	10.3%	14.5%
Target Express	9	8	10	12
	34.3%	33.6%	25.6%	48.6%
Ulta	1	1	3	1
	4.1%	6.3%	9.2%	2.8%
Other	4	1	5	8
	14.9%	6.0%	13.9%	31.0%
Nothing	1	6	4	1
	5.5%	25.0%	10.6%	5.4%
DK/NA	0	0	1	1
	.0%	.0%	3.7%	4.5%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date				
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Eureka					
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
Lazy Dog					
Meet Fresh					
Panino Guisto					
Safeway					
Sprouts					
Steinmart					
Target Express					
Ulta					
Other					
Nothing					
DK/NA					

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			
	Lazy Dog			
	Meet Fresh	a		
	Panino Guisto			
	Safeway			
	Sprouts			
	Steinmart			
	Target Express			
	Ulta			
	Other			
	Nothing			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Eureka	82	1	44	2	2	1	3	5	7
		14.1%	9.0%	25.6%	3.9%	3.9%	7.2%	19.2%	11.5%	7.1%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	1	93	20	12	7	1	21	23
		37.5%	9.0%	53.7%	48.2%	25.3%	44.8%	10.4%	51.0%	23.8%
	Lazy Dog	165	1	89	10	7	3	3	17	18
		28.3%	21.0%	51.3%	23.6%	14.2%	19.2%	21.1%	39.5%	19.1%
	Meet Fresh	56	0	31	4	3	0	1	1	6
		9.6%	.0%	17.7%	8.6%	6.1%	.0%	6.1%	2.8%	6.2%
	Panino Guisto	42	1	15	2	6	0	0	5	6
		7.2%	9.0%	8.6%	5.2%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	12.8%	6.0%
	Safeway	281	1	117	25	9	8	6	23	44
		48.2%	18.8%	67.5%	59.4%	19.3%	47.5%	43.1%	55.0%	45.0%
	Sprouts	246	1	104	33	10	8	5	21	20
		42.3%	15.8%	60.2%	79.4%	22.1%	50.7%	38.8%	50.0%	20.6%
	Steinmart	69	0	28	4	1	4	0	8	10
		11.9%	.0%	16.2%	9.2%	2.7%	23.8%	1.1%	19.8%	10.2%
	Target Express	257	1	81	20	20	7	8	15	22
		44.2%	9.9%	46.8%	47.0%	43.2%	39.7%	62.2%	36.5%	22.5%
	Ulta	63	3	27	6	1	1	0	5	8
		10.8%	52.5%	15.8%	15.4%	2.2%	6.3%	.0%	10.9%	7.9%
	Other	84	2	34	0	6	0	3	13	11
		14.5%	36.8%	19.7%	.0%	12.3%	1.7%	23.5%	31.0%	11.1%
	Nothing	46	0	14	2	6	3	2	2	9
		7.9%	.0%	8.1%	4.2%	13.2%	20.1%	11.7%	4.8%	9.3%
	DK/NA	13	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	7
		2.2%	9.9%	1.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	6.9%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Eureka	8	9	0	1
		11.1%	13.6%	.0%	21.3%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	20	15	2	3
		26.7%	23.8%	90.2%	52.1%
	Lazy Dog	14	1	0	2
		19.5%	2.2%	.0%	27.7%
	Meet Fresh	9	1	0	1
		11.8%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
	Panino Guisto	5	1	0	1
		6.6%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
	Safeway	30	12	2	4
		41.0%	18.6%	100.0%	76.7%
	Sprouts	24	11	2	5
		33.1%	17.6%	100.0%	89.3%
	Steinmart	11	2	0	0
		15.3%	3.8%	.0%	5.3%
Target Express	37	42	1	4	
	49.9%	66.0%	46.3%	73.4%	
Ulta	4	7	0	0	
	5.6%	11.2%	.0%	5.3%	
Other	7	8	0	0	
	8.9%	12.6%	.0%	5.3%	
Nothing	5	3	0	0	
	6.3%	4.7%	.0%	4.3%	
DK/NA	0	3	0	0	
	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		H						
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		DH IJ						
	Lazy Dog		DH IJ	J				J	
	Meet Fresh	a	J			a			
	Panino Guisto					a	a		
	Safeway		DH IJ	DJ				DJ	J
	Sprouts		DH IJ	ADH IJ				HJ	
	Steinmart	a							
	Target Express		H						
	Ulta	DH I							
	Other			a					
	Nothing	a							
	DK/NA				a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog			a	
	Meet Fresh			a	
	Panino Guisto			a	
	Safeway			a	
	Sprouts			a	
	Steinmart			a	
	Target Express	H	H		H J
	Ulta			a	
	Other			a	
	Nothing			a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	464	118
	Eureka	82	67	15
		14.1%	14.4%	12.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	173	46
		37.5%	37.2%	39.0%
	Lazy Dog	165	139	26
		28.3%	30.0%	21.7%
	Meet Fresh	56	46	10
		9.6%	9.9%	8.5%
	Panino Guisto	42	34	8
		7.2%	7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	236	45
		48.2%	50.9%	37.7%
	Sprouts	246	207	39
		42.3%	44.6%	33.3%
Steinmart	69	50	19	
	11.9%	10.9%	16.1%	
Target Express	257	203	54	
	44.2%	43.8%	46.0%	
Ulta	63	57	6	
	10.8%	12.3%	5.2%	
Other	84	63	22	
	14.5%	13.5%	18.4%	
Nothing	46	40	6	
	7.9%	8.5%	5.1%	
DK/NA	13	9	4	
	2.2%	2.0%	3.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog		
	Meet Fresh		
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway	B	
	Sprouts	B	
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		
	Ulta		
	Other	B	
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	347	235
	Eureka	82	43	38
		14.1%	12.5%	16.4%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	145	73
		37.5%	41.8%	31.2%
	Lazy Dog	165	110	55
		28.3%	31.6%	23.5%
	Meet Fresh	56	30	26
		9.6%	8.6%	11.1%
	Panino Guisto	42	23	19
		7.2%	6.6%	8.1%
	Safeway	281	195	86
		48.2%	56.1%	36.7%
	Sprouts	246	162	84
	42.3%	46.8%	35.7%	
Steinmart	69	49	21	
	11.9%	14.1%	8.8%	
Target Express	257	132	126	
	44.2%	37.9%	53.6%	
Ulta	63	40	23	
	10.8%	11.6%	9.7%	
Other	84	58	26	
	14.5%	16.8%	11.1%	
Nothing	46	31	15	
	7.9%	8.9%	6.3%	
DK/NA	13	8	5	
	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	B	
	Lazy Dog	B	
	Meet Fresh		
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway	B	
	Sprouts	B	
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		A
	Ulta		
	Other		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Eureka	82	0	7	18	20
		14.1%	.0%	14.2%	18.8%	14.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	2	23	40	55
		37.5%	14.7%	46.0%	43.1%	42.1%
	Lazy Dog	165	0	16	32	43
		28.3%	.0%	32.1%	34.4%	32.5%
	Meet Fresh	56	0	5	16	16
		9.6%	.0%	10.8%	17.1%	12.2%
	Panino Guisto	42	0	6	9	11
		7.2%	.0%	11.9%	9.2%	8.6%
	Safeway	281	7	27	49	60
		48.2%	63.4%	54.6%	52.6%	45.5%
	Sprouts	246	4	22	47	58
	42.3%	37.8%	45.6%	50.2%	44.2%	
Steinmart	69	1	5	7	17	
	11.9%	10.7%	10.2%	7.7%	12.9%	
Target Express	257	7	27	47	66	
	44.2%	63.4%	55.8%	50.4%	50.3%	
Ulta	63	0	6	7	27	
	10.8%	.0%	11.4%	7.0%	20.5%	
Other	84	0	3	12	19	
	14.5%	.0%	7.0%	13.2%	14.7%	
Nothing	46	2	5	8	4	
	7.9%	21.9%	9.6%	8.3%	3.2%	
DK/NA	13	0	0	6	3	
	2.2%	.0%	.0%	6.4%	2.6%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	163	133	1
	Eureka	27	11	0
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	16.4%	8.2%	.0%
	Lazy Dog	59	40	0
	Meet Fresh	36.1%	29.8%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	37	37	0
	Safeway	22.7%	27.7%	.0%
	Sprouts	12	7	0
	Steinmart	7.2%	5.0%	.0%
	Target Express	8	8	0
	Ulta	5.0%	6.2%	.0%
	Other	70	68	0
	Nothing	42.8%	51.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	64	51	0
		39.0%	38.2%	.0%
		24	15	0
		14.4%	11.6%	.0%
	63	47	0	
	38.6%	35.3%	.0%	
	12	12	0	
	7.5%	8.8%	.0%	
	29	20	0	
	17.6%	15.4%	.0%	
	13	13	1	
	7.9%	9.9%	100.0%	
	1	3	0	
	.5%	1.9%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	a			
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.			
	Lazy Dog	a			
	Meet Fresh	a		F	
	Panino Guisto	a			
	Safeway	.			
	Sprouts	.			
	Steinmart	.			
	Target Express	.			
	Ulta	a			E
	Other	a			
	Nothing	.			
	DK/NA	a	a	E	
		.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a,b
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			a,b
	Lazy Dog			a,b
	Meet Fresh			a,b
	Panino Guisto			a,b
	Safeway			a,b
	Sprouts			a,b
	Steinmart			a,b
	Target Express			a,b
	Ulta			a,b
	Other			a,b
	Nothing			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b
				.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Eureka	82	3	31	23	20	1	4
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	218	9	73	61	52	19	4
	Lazy Dog	165	10	66	42	37	6	4
	Meet Fresh	56	1	16	13	17	6	3
	Panino Guisto	42	2	10	16	8	3	3
	Safeway	279	24	105	67	57	18	8
	Sprouts	246	20	86	71	47	16	6
	Steinmart	69	4	18	24	15	8	0
	Target Express	257	19	94	61	48	31	4
	Ulta	63	2	14	24	10	13	0
	Other	84	8	23	36	13	4	0
	Nothing	46	3	15	6	10	10	1
	DK/NA	12	1	9	2	0	0	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				E		E
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				A		
	Lazy Dog		E		E		
	Meet Fresh						
	Panino Guisto						
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						
	Steinmart						a
	Target Express						.
	Ulta			B		B	
	Other			B E			a
Nothing						.	
DK/NA				a	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Eureka	82	0	0	25	1
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	3	0	65	2
	Lazy Dog	165	0	0	60	1
	Meet Fresh	56	0	0	37	0
	Panino Guisto	42	0	0	17	0
	Safeway	281	3	0	77	1
	Sprouts	246	1	3	74	1
	Steinmart	69	0	0	15	0
	Target Express	257	1	3	81	1
	Ulta	63	1	0	7	0
	Other	84	1	0	31	1
	Nothing	46	0	0	11	0
	DK/NA	13	0	0	4	0

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
Total	106	15	25	8
Eureka	12	4	3	3
	11.7%	28.4%	11.4%	40.9%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	57	3	10	3
	53.5%	21.7%	40.4%	41.9%
Lazy Dog	28	5	4	1
	26.2%	35.5%	15.6%	11.8%
Meet Fresh	2	0	5	0
	2.2%	.0%	18.3%	.0%
Panino Guisto	8	2	4	0
	7.3%	15.0%	18.0%	.0%
Safeway	56	12	14	4
	53.1%	80.3%	55.4%	46.2%
Sprouts	55	9	8	3
	51.6%	58.0%	33.7%	41.9%
Steinmart	12	3	3	2
	11.6%	21.3%	11.4%	30.0%
Target Express	50	6	16	7
	47.2%	38.2%	64.4%	87.2%
Ulta	17	6	3	3
	16.2%	40.5%	11.4%	40.9%
Other	11	2	0	0
	10.7%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	9	0	0	0
	8.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	3	0	0	0
	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	14	10	148	23
Eureka	0	0	27	4
	.0%	.0%	18.4%	17.3%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1	3	53	9
	7.1%	34.2%	36.0%	36.7%
Lazy Dog	0	4	45	8
	.0%	36.7%	30.4%	33.9%
Meet Fresh	0	2	7	0
	.0%	22.2%	4.9%	.0%
Panino Guisto	0	0	10	1
	.0%	.0%	6.4%	3.3%
Safeway	3	3	74	18
	18.8%	33.6%	50.2%	75.8%
Sprouts	7	5	61	12
	54.6%	45.6%	40.8%	50.8%
Steinmart	0	1	23	2
	.0%	12.0%	15.8%	7.1%
Target Express	10	4	55	12
	76.9%	38.5%	37.4%	50.7%
Ulta	5	1	15	2
	33.6%	12.0%	10.1%	10.4%
Other	0	1	25	2
	.0%	10.2%	16.9%	10.6%
Nothing	0	3	15	2
	.0%	27.9%	10.4%	8.0%
DK/NA	0	0	4	0
	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	12	6	17
Eureka	0	1	0
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	3	2	4
Lazy Dog	2	2	5
Meet Fresh	0	0	2
Panino Guisto	0	0	0
Safeway	6	2	8
Sprouts	4	2	2
Steinmart	2	0	5
Target Express	5	1	4
Ulta	0	1	1
Other	1	3	4
Nothing	2	1	3
DK/NA	0	0	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Eureka	.	.		.
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.	.		.
Lazy Dog	.	.		.
Meet Fresh	.	.	E K	.
Panino Guisto	.	.		.
Safeway	.	.		.
Sprouts	.	.		.
Steinmart	.	.		.
Target Express	.	.		.
Ulta	C	.		.
Other	.	.		.
Nothing	.	.		.
DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Eureka				
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
Lazy Dog		.		.
Meet Fresh		.	E	.
Panino Guisto		.		.
Safeway				
Sprouts				
Steinmart				
Target Express				
Ulta	C	C	.	C
Other		.	.	.
Nothing		.	.	.
DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
Eureka	.	.		
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.	.		
Lazy Dog	.	.		.
Meet Fresh	.	E		.
Panino Guisto	.	.		.
Safeway	.	.		.
Sprouts	.	.		.
Steinmart	.	.		.
Target Express	.	.		.
Ulta	C	.		.
Other	.	.		.
Nothing	.	.		.
DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?			
Eureka			a
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			
Lazy Dog			
Meet Fresh	a		
Panino Guisto	a	a	a
Safeway			
Sprouts			
Steinmart			
Target Express			
Ulta			
Other		E	
Nothing			
DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?						
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
Eureka	35	8	16	6	4	0
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	121	45	50	12	6	7
	45.3%	43.7%	44.2%	39.5%	55.4%	84.2%
Lazy Dog	79	32	34	6	5	1
	29.5%	30.6%	30.6%	19.7%	47.3%	15.9%
Meet Fresh	28	5	20	1	1	0
	10.5%	5.3%	18.1%	4.7%	7.2%	.0%
Panino Guisto	23	15	6	2	0	0
	8.6%	14.6%	5.2%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
Safeway	137	60	43	23	7	4
	51.3%	57.4%	38.6%	76.5%	63.2%	40.6%
Sprouts	124	48	49	15	7	5
	46.5%	46.2%	43.1%	50.7%	63.2%	57.2%
Steinmart	36	18	13	4	0	1
	13.4%	17.0%	11.7%	12.8%	.0%	11.5%
Target Express	127	43	65	12	3	4
	47.7%	41.4%	57.6%	40.0%	26.0%	48.8%
Ulta	32	20	10	1	1	1
	12.1%	18.9%	8.7%	4.0%	9.6%	5.7%
Other	41	18	15	4	1	3
	15.3%	17.6%	13.2%	12.0%	11.3%	29.9%
Nothing	17	5	10	1	0	1
	6.4%	5.3%	8.6%	3.7%	.9%	6.6%
DK/NA	6	5	1	0	0	0
	2.4%	4.8%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Eureka				A	a
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
Lazy Dog					
Meet Fresh		A			a
Panino Guisto				a	a
Safeway			B		
Sprouts				a	
Steinmart					
Target Express					
Ulta					
Other					
Nothing					
DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
Eureka	39	8	27	5	0	0
	10.3%	7.0%	11.9%	15.0%	.0%	.0%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	126	31	71	16	1	6
	33.0%	29.1%	31.9%	47.4%	24.6%	52.3%
Lazy Dog	94	25	55	8	2	4
	24.6%	23.3%	24.6%	22.7%	42.1%	35.5%
Meet Fresh	30	6	21	3	0	0
	7.9%	5.2%	9.4%	10.0%	.0%	.0%
Panino Guisto	19	5	13	0	1	0
	5.0%	4.5%	5.8%	.3%	24.6%	.0%
Safeway	165	41	94	19	1	8
	43.2%	38.5%	42.1%	58.0%	24.6%	75.3%
Sprouts	143	32	88	15	2	6
	37.5%	29.7%	39.2%	44.2%	55.8%	50.9%
Steinmart	50	16	25	7	0	1
	13.2%	15.1%	11.3%	21.8%	.0%	10.6%
Target Express	149	36	99	11	1	3
	39.2%	33.4%	44.0%	32.1%	23.3%	26.1%
Ulta	39	10	24	3	1	1
	10.2%	9.3%	10.6%	10.2%	24.6%	4.5%
Other	66	28	33	4	0	2
	17.3%	25.6%	14.9%	10.5%	.0%	13.6%
Nothing	29	11	14	3	0	0
	7.6%	10.5%	6.4%	10.2%	2.1%	.0%
DK/NA	5	3	1	0	0	1
	1.4%	2.8%	.6%	.3%	.0%	9.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				a	a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
	Lazy Dog				a	a
	Meet Fresh					a
	Panino Guisto				C	
	Safeway					
	Sprouts				a	
	Steinmart					
	Target Express					
	Ulta				a	
	Other					
	Nothing					a
	DK/NA					B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Eureka	82	76	5	10	0	0	6
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	174	11	29	3	0	27
		37.5%	38.8%	40.4%	30.9%	35.7%	24.3%	60.5%
	Lazy Dog	165	148	10	29	0	1	13
		28.3%	33.0%	36.9%	31.7%	4.5%	75.7%	28.7%
	Meet Fresh	56	45	7	17	0	0	2
		9.6%	10.0%	27.8%	17.8%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
	Panino Guisto	42	33	4	6	0	0	5
		7.2%	7.4%	14.6%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	11.4%
	Safeway	281	225	15	42	6	0	21
		48.2%	50.1%	56.0%	45.3%	85.5%	24.3%	48.2%
	Sprouts	246	200	15	38	3	0	17
		42.3%	44.7%	55.7%	41.1%	35.7%	24.3%	39.2%
	Steinmart	69	57	1	7	1	0	5
		11.9%	12.8%	4.0%	7.0%	19.8%	24.3%	12.5%
Target Express	257	184	16	36	4	0	25	
	44.2%	41.1%	62.0%	39.0%	55.6%	24.3%	56.4%	
Ulta	63	54	1	4	1	0	5	
	10.8%	12.1%	4.0%	4.6%	19.9%	24.3%	10.8%	
Other	84	73	4	14	1	1	5	
	14.5%	16.4%	15.0%	15.4%	19.9%	69.2%	12.5%	
Nothing	46	41	0	2	1	0	2	
	7.9%	9.2%	.0%	2.4%	13.2%	.0%	5.1%	
DK/NA	13	12	0	3	0	0	0	
	2.2%	2.6%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
Eureka	2 32.2%	3 12.8%	4 9.0%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	6 45.8%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1 15.2%	10 45.5%	16 32.6%	3 19.3%	1 100.0%	6 46.5%
Lazy Dog	2 32.2%	4 17.5%	11 22.3%	3 26.0%	0 25.0%	3 26.9%
Meet Fresh	1 11.7%	3 12.8%	10 21.8%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	1 13.1%	4 20.3%	4 9.0%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safeway	6 96.5%	13 57.5%	19 40.6%	6 42.7%	0 .0%	6 49.3%
Sprouts	2 34.3%	6 25.3%	17 36.6%	3 25.7%	0 25.0%	6 48.3%
Steinmart	1 11.7%	3 12.8%	6 12.9%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	2 19.6%
Target Express	2 36.9%	16 72.6%	23 49.2%	4 33.8%	0 25.0%	10 80.0%
Ulta	4 54.3%	3 12.8%	2 4.2%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	5 40.5%
Other	0 1.4%	0 .0%	9 18.6%	2 13.7%	0 .0%	1 9.8%
Nothing	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 10.7%	2 12.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

	Primary Languages in Household		
	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	14	56	7
Eureka	0 .0%	15 27.4%	0 .0%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1 7.1%	25 45.3%	2 36.2%
Lazy Dog	0 .0%	19 33.3%	1 17.8%
Meet Fresh	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Safeway	3 18.8%	35 63.6%	4 63.6%
Sprouts	7 54.6%	33 58.7%	2 36.2%
Steinmart	0 .0%	6 10.9%	1 15.4%
Target Express	10 76.9%	26 47.5%	1 15.4%
Ulta	5 33.6%	9 16.4%	0 5.1%
Other	0 .0%	11 20.0%	1 20.5%
Nothing	0 .0%	6 11.3%	1 16.4%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 20.0%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household						
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Eureka				a	a,b		
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					b	M	
Lazy Dog					a,b		
Meet Fresh				a	a,b		
Panino Guisto				a	a,b		
Safeway					b		
Sprouts					b		
Steinmart					b		
Target Express					b		
Ulta					b		
Other					b		C I
Nothing		a			a,b		a
DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a	a

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				a,b	C	a	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				a,b			
	Lazy Dog				b		a	
	Meet Fresh				a,b	a	a	a
	Panino Guisto	N			a,b	a	a	
	Safeway				a,b			
	Sprouts				b			
	Steinmart				a,b		a	
	Target Express				b			
	Ulta				a,b	C I	C	
	Other	a			a,b		a	
	Nothing	a			a,b	a	a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a,b	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	
	Lazy Dog	
	Meet Fresh	a
	Panino Guisto	a
	Safeway	
	Sprouts	
	Steinmart	
	Target Express	
	Ulta	
	Other	
	Nothing	
	DK/NA	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Eureka	82	2	4	5	5	2
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	12	18	10	17	8
	Lazy Dog	165	4	8	8	8	16
	Meet Fresh	28.3%	7.0%	16.1%	27.0%	20.8%	48.8%
	Panino Guisto	42	2	1	0	5	3
	Safeway	281	33	17	11	19	9
	Sprouts	246	19	16	16	13	11
	Steinmart	69	1	4	3	2	3
	Target Express	257	23	30	11	22	9
	Ulta	63	2	2	4	3	1
	Other	84	10	5	4	5	6
	Nothing	46	8	3	0	0	3
	DK/NA	13	1	0	0	1	1
		42.3%	31.5%	31.7%	55.8%	36.5%	32.9%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Eureka	13	4	2	35	10
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	31.9%	11.7%	5.5%	26.6%	7.9%
	Lazy Dog	15	8	16	67	47
	Meet Fresh	36.9%	20.9%	48.3%	50.7%	36.9%
	Panino Guisto	11	10	4	65	31
	Safeway	27.3%	26.4%	11.7%	48.8%	24.7%
	Sprouts	3	3	1	24	10
	Steinmart	6.6%	8.0%	3.6%	18.2%	8.1%
	Target Express	3	1	2	14	11
	Ulta	8.4%	2.7%	4.9%	10.6%	8.8%
	Other	19	13	15	74	72
	Nothing	45.0%	33.9%	45.0%	55.9%	56.9%
	DK/NA	25	16	10	70	50
		59.9%	42.1%	28.5%	52.8%	39.7%
		6	6	7	14	22
		13.9%	16.6%	20.9%	10.8%	17.8%
	26	15	16	58	47	
	61.7%	39.7%	47.4%	44.0%	37.6%	
	6	11	4	11	18	
	15.4%	28.9%	12.3%	8.4%	14.6%	
	2	7	4	21	22	
	3.8%	17.6%	10.9%	15.7%	17.6%	
	2	2	3	9	15	
	4.4%	5.4%	10.3%	7.1%	12.0%	
	0	0	0	5	6	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	4.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka						A J
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx						
	Lazy Dog					A H	
	Meet Fresh			a			
	Panino Guisto			.			
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						
	Steinmart						
	Target Express						
	Ulta						
	Other				a		
	Nothing		a	a	.		a
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			A J	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			A	
	Lazy Dog			A B H J	
	Meet Fresh				
	Panino Guisto				
	Safeway				
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta	A I			
	Other				
	Nothing	a	a		
	DK/NA	.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Eureka	82	9	16	57
		14.1%	5.4%	10.6%	21.6%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	29	43	146
		37.5%	17.5%	28.4%	55.7%
	Lazy Dog	165	22	19	124
		28.3%	13.4%	12.1%	47.3%
	Meet Fresh	56	7	10	39
		9.6%	4.2%	6.4%	14.9%
	Panino Guisto	42	3	14	26
		7.2%	1.6%	9.0%	9.8%
	Safeway	281	60	46	175
		48.2%	36.1%	29.8%	66.8%
	Sprouts	246	34	44	168
		42.3%	20.3%	29.0%	64.1%
Steinmart	69	10	17	43	
	11.9%	6.2%	10.8%	16.3%	
Target Express	257	61	76	120	
	44.2%	36.3%	50.1%	45.9%	
Ulta	63	8	13	41	
	10.8%	5.0%	8.6%	15.8%	
Other	84	25	13	46	
	14.5%	15.0%	8.5%	17.7%	
Nothing	46	12	13	20	
	7.9%	7.2%	8.7%	7.8%	
DK/NA	13	6	5	2	
	2.2%	3.7%	3.4%	.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			A B
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			A B
	Lazy Dog			A B
	Meet Fresh			A B
	Panino Guisto		A	A
	Safeway			A B
	Sprouts			A B
	Steinmart			A
	Target Express		A	
	Ulta			A
	Other			B
	Nothing			
	DK/NA	C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Eureka	64	18	14	14	18
		13.8%	14.3%	15.3%	17.8%	10.9%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	177	41	38	38	61
		38.3%	32.4%	41.8%	48.7%	36.1%
	Lazy Dog	132	32	25	29	46
		28.6%	25.6%	27.4%	37.3%	27.6%
	Meet Fresh	42	22	6	6	9
		9.1%	17.2%	6.5%	7.2%	5.3%
	Panino Guisto	32	10	6	7	10
		7.0%	8.1%	6.2%	9.0%	5.8%
	Safeway	242	64	42	50	86
		52.4%	50.8%	46.8%	64.6%	51.0%
	Sprouts	202	46	42	38	75
		43.8%	36.6%	46.9%	49.3%	44.9%
Steinmart	63	13	14	13	23	
	13.7%	10.0%	15.4%	17.3%	13.8%	
Target Express	187	54	41	37	55	
	40.5%	42.6%	45.6%	48.0%	32.8%	
Ulta	48	12	13	9	15	
	10.4%	9.2%	14.6%	11.1%	8.8%	
Other	72	11	16	14	32	
	15.7%	8.6%	17.2%	17.5%	19.3%	
Nothing	40	13	5	3	19	
	8.6%	10.1%	5.1%	3.9%	11.5%	
DK/NA	10	3	3	0	3	
	2.2%	2.6%	3.8%	4%	1.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog				
	Meet Fresh				
	Panino Guisto	D			
	Safeway				
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta				
	Other				
	Nothing				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
Eureka	82	25	18	9	2	11
	14.1%	20.7%	15.3%	22.2%	5.8%	8.8%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	218	59	47	18	10	47
	37.6%	47.5%	39.7%	45.4%	25.4%	37.1%
Lazy Dog	164	33	50	12	9	24
	28.3%	27.0%	41.7%	30.6%	22.7%	18.9%
Meet Fresh	56	11	15	2	2	12
	9.6%	8.6%	12.9%	6.1%	5.4%	9.3%
Panino Guisto	42	8	18	2	2	3
	7.3%	6.4%	14.8%	4.7%	5.0%	2.2%
Safeway	280	59	57	22	22	57
	48.3%	47.5%	47.9%	56.2%	54.4%	44.5%
Sprouts	245	60	51	14	21	46
	42.3%	48.3%	42.7%	34.7%	52.3%	35.9%
Steinmart	68	23	11	7	5	11
	11.8%	18.7%	8.9%	18.3%	12.3%	8.7%
Target Express	256	60	66	16	14	49
	44.3%	48.9%	55.4%	40.9%	35.1%	38.3%
Ulta	63	25	2	4	3	20
	10.9%	20.0%	1.6%	10.9%	7.7%	16.0%
Other	83	26	10	10	7	17
	14.4%	20.8%	8.2%	25.0%	16.1%	13.1%
Nothing	45	6	10	3	4	3
	7.7%	4.8%	8.1%	6.3%	9.7%	2.7%
DK/NA	12	5	3	0	1	3
	2.1%	3.8%	2.3%	.0%	1.7%	2.6%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	112	7	9
Eureka	14	1	1
	12.8%	11.2%	6.6%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	32	2	1
	28.8%	33.1%	13.0%
Lazy Dog	30	4	1
	26.7%	62.9%	9.0%
Meet Fresh	13	1	0
	11.2%	11.2%	.0%
Panino Guisto	8	1	1
	7.4%	11.2%	6.6%
Safeway	54	6	3
	47.7%	82.5%	37.5%
Sprouts	46	5	2
	41.4%	77.3%	19.5%
Steinmart	10	1	0
	9.0%	13.3%	4.0%
Target Express	44	4	3
	39.3%	51.7%	32.9%
Ulta	6	1	1
	5.7%	17.5%	10.6%
Other	10	2	3
	8.8%	31.2%	29.0%
Nothing	17	0	2
	15.2%	.0%	24.3%
DK/NA	0	0	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Party by Gender					
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka					
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
	Lazy Dog		E			
	Meet Fresh					
	Panino Guisto		E			
	Safeway					
	Sprouts					
	Steinmart					
	Target Express					
	Ulta	B F				B
	Other					
	Nothing					
	DK/NA			a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog		
	Meet Fresh		a
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway		
	Sprouts		
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		
	Ulta		
	Other		
	Nothing	a	E a
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	582
	Increased	241	241
		41.4%	41.4%
	Decreased	53	53
		9.2%	9.2%
Stayed about same	225	225	
	38.6%	38.6%	
DK/NA	63	63	
	10.9%	10.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	.
	Decreased	.
	Stayed about same	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Increased	241	115	124	1
		41.4%	41.0%	41.8%	34.4%
	Decreased	53	28	25	1
		9.2%	9.8%	8.4%	31.9%
Stayed about same	225	112	111	1	
	38.6%	39.9%	37.4%	33.7%	
DK/NA	63	26	37	0	
	10.9%	9.3%	12.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Increased	241	20	22	76	84	32	7
		41.4%	26.3%	21.5%	53.6%	55.2%	31.5%	80.4%
	Decreased	53	7	14	19	5	9	0
		9.2%	8.6%	13.8%	13.2%	3.4%	8.8%	.0%
	Stayed about same	225	35	53	39	45	52	2
	38.6%	45.4%	52.0%	27.5%	29.5%	50.8%	19.6%	
DK/NA	63	15	13	8	18	9	0	
	10.9%	19.7%	12.7%	5.7%	11.9%	8.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			A B E	A B E		A B E
	Decreased		D	D			a
	Stayed about same		C D			C D	.
	DK/NA	C					a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	424	158
	Increased	241	210	30
	Decreased	53	25	28
	Stayed about same	225	151	74
	DK/NA	63	38	25
		10.9%	8.9%	16.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	
	Decreased		A
	Stayed about same		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Increased	241	98	36	5	102
	Decreased	53	25	4	2	22
	Stayed about same	225	88	36	8	93
	DK/NA	63	33	5	2	24
		41.4%	40.1%	44.4%	30.4%	42.4%
		9.2%	10.4%	5.3%	11.0%	9.1%
		38.6%	36.2%	44.4%	48.9%	38.5%
		10.9%	13.4%	5.9%	9.7%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased				
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Increased	241	44	31	14	12	53	88
	Decreased	53	15	3	3	1	10	21
	Stayed about same	225	44	24	12	14	53	78
	DK/NA	63	19	9	3	2	13	19
			41.4%	35.9%	46.2%	44.0%	41.2%	41.2%
		9.2%	12.1%	4.6%	10.4%	3.3%	8.1%	10.2%
		38.6%	36.6%	36.2%	36.0%	50.0%	40.9%	38.0%
		10.9%	15.4%	13.0%	9.5%	5.5%	9.8%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased						
	Decreased						
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Increased	241	93	38	31	25
		41.4%	35.5%	48.6%	37.9%	52.9%
	Decreased	53	26	6	14	5
		9.2%	9.8%	7.0%	16.9%	11.0%
	Stayed about same	225	97	31	32	15
	38.6%	36.8%	38.9%	39.7%	31.7%	
DK/NA	63	47	4	4	2	
	10.9%	17.9%	5.4%	5.4%	4.5%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Increased	10	9	20	14
		38.7%	40.2%	53.5%	55.9%
	Decreased	1	0	1	1
		3.5%	.0%	2.2%	5.4%
	Stayed about same	15	13	14	8
	57.8%	54.7%	35.9%	34.5%	
DK/NA	0	1	3	1	
	.0%	5.1%	8.5%	4.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same					a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased	a		
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Increased	241	2	95	17	14	10	8	21	37
		41.4%	27.8%	54.8%	40.7%	29.5%	63.0%	60.7%	51.1%	38.7%
	Decreased	53	0	7	4	10	0	0	1	14
		9.2%	.0%	4.1%	8.7%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	14.0%
	Stayed about same	225	2	54	13	20	6	4	15	43
	38.6%	28.7%	31.2%	31.1%	42.8%	37.0%	28.7%	36.5%	44.4%	
DK/NA	63	3	17	8	3	0	1	4	3	
	10.9%	43.5%	9.9%	19.5%	6.6%	.0%	10.6%	10.2%	2.9%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Increased	22	9	2	3
		29.7%	14.4%	90.2%	52.1%
	Decreased	7	11	0	0
		9.7%	17.5%	.0%	.0%
	Stayed about same	37	29	0	1
	50.5%	45.1%	9.8%	25.5%	
DK/NA	7	15	0	1	
	10.1%	23.1%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		I J			J	J	J			
	Decreased	a			B	a	a				
	Stayed about same										
	DK/NA	H		H		a					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased	B	a	a
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA	H	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	464	118
	Increased	241	195	46
	Decreased	53	40	14
	Stayed about same	225	178	46
	DK/NA	63	51	12
		10.9%	11.1%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	347	235
	Increased	241	160	81
	Decreased	53	27	27
	Stayed about same	225	141	83
	DK/NA	63	19	44
		10.9%	5.5%	18.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Increased	241	3	11	51	56
	Decreased	53	0	5	4	11
	Stayed about same	225	2	19	29	49
	DK/NA	63	6	14	10	15
		10.9%	56.1%	27.9%	10.2%	11.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	163	133	1
	Increased	67	52	0
	Decreased	21	13	0
	Stayed about same	64	60	1
	DK/NA	11	8	0
		6.6%	5.8%	0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased	.b		B		
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA	C D E F	E F			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		a.,b
	Decreased		a.,b
	Stayed about same		a.,b
	DK/NA		a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Increased	241	18	73	68	61	14	7
	Decreased	53	3	14	9	6	22	0
	Stayed about same	223	19	91	54	37	18	4
	DK/NA	63	5	32	10	11	4	1
		10.8%	10.5%	15.3%	7.3%	9.4%	6.8%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			E	B E		
	Decreased					A B C D	a
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Increased	241	1	0	97	1
	Decreased	53	0	0	19	0
	Stayed about same	225	1	3	61	1
	DK/NA	63	0	0	13	0
		10.9%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Increased	59	5	9	1
	Decreased	11	1	3	0
	Stayed about same	24	8	11	2
	DK/NA	11	2	1	5
		10.4%	10.3%	4.4%	58.1%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Increased	2	6	43	3
	Decreased	5	0	9	0
	Stayed about same	1	3	78	16
	DK/NA	6	1	18	5
		44.5%	10.2%	12.2%	21.7%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	12	6	17
	Increased	3	4	7
	Decreased	1	1	2
	Stayed about same	6	1	7
	DK/NA	1	0	1
		8.0%	2.2%	3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	a	a	K L	
	Decreased	.	a		a
	Stayed about same	.	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	K L			
	Decreased				a
	Stayed about same				C E G K
DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		a		a
	Decreased	K		CE	EI
	Stayed about same DK/NA	CE			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Increased	124	48	53	12	6	5
		46.5%	46.5%	47.2%	40.5%	52.3%	52.1%
	Decreased	33	12	13	6	2	0
		12.2%	11.3%	11.4%	21.0%	15.3%	.0%
	Stayed about same	81	34	37	6	2	4
	30.4%	32.3%	32.7%	18.4%	14.3%	40.6%	
DK/NA	29	10	10	6	2	1	
	10.8%	9.9%	8.7%	20.1%	18.1%	7.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					a
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Increased	160	44	88	18	4	5
		42.0%	40.9%	39.4%	53.4%	97.9%	49.4%
	Decreased	41	10	23	6	0	2
		10.8%	9.2%	10.5%	18.3%	.0%	14.9%
	Stayed about same	141	41	90	7	0	4
	37.1%	38.0%	40.0%	19.7%	2.1%	35.7%	
DK/NA	39	13	23	3	0	0	
	10.1%	11.9%	10.1%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased				a	
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Increased	241	187	11	58	1	0	25
		41.4%	41.8%	41.7%	62.8%	17.1%	6.5%	57.7%
	Decreased	53	25	3	14	1	0	6
		9.2%	5.6%	13.2%	15.4%	13.2%	24.3%	14.5%
Stayed about same	225	181	11	15	3	1	9	
	38.6%	40.4%	40.7%	16.0%	42.9%	69.2%	21.5%	
DK/NA	63	55	1	5	2	0	3	
	10.9%	12.2%	4.4%	5.8%	26.8%	.0%	6.3%	

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Increased	0	9	32	3	0	3	2
		3.5%	42.5%	67.5%	21.1%	.0%	26.9%	11.3%
	Decreased	1	3	3	3	0	2	5
		15.6%	15.0%	5.5%	19.0%	.0%	13.8%	37.1%
Stayed about same	5	9	9	6	1	2	1	
	69.2%	42.5%	18.9%	49.0%	100.0%	19.6%	7.1%	
DK/NA	1	0	4	1	0	5	6	
	11.7%	.0%	8.1%	10.9%	.0%	39.8%	44.5%	

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	56	7
	Increased	25	3
		44.4%	41.4%
	Decreased	3	2
		5.3%	24.9%
Stayed about same	18	2	
	32.6%	33.6%	
DK/NA	10	0	
	17.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			A M		a		
	Decreased			A		a		
	Stayed about same	C				a		
	DK/NA					a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		A M		a,b				
	Decreased				a,b			A	
	Stayed about same				a,b				
	DK/NA	.b			a,b	C	A C F		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household	
		DK/NA	(O)
		21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased
Decreased			
Stayed about same			
DK/NA	.b		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Increased	241	22	9	12	22	15
		41.4%	36.4%	17.1%	39.8%	58.6%	47.5%
	Decreased	53	5	6	1	0	2
		9.2%	8.0%	10.8%	2.0%	.0%	6.6%
Stayed about same	225	28	35	17	13	11	
	38.6%	46.7%	67.7%	57.2%	34.9%	35.1%	
DK/NA	63	5	2	0	2	3	
	10.9%	8.9%	4.3%	1.0%	6.5%	10.8%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Increased	11	8	8	84	49
		27.6%	20.3%	24.8%	63.8%	39.2%
	Decreased	10	3	13	8	6
		24.6%	8.6%	38.1%	6.2%	4.6%
Stayed about same	11	12	9	28	61	
	26.0%	30.9%	26.4%	21.3%	48.3%	
DK/NA	9	15	4	11	10	
	21.7%	40.2%	10.8%	8.7%	8.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased				B G		
	Decreased				a		
	Stayed about same	I	F G H I	I			I J
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	A B C D I J	A C I J	A B F G H J	I
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Increased	241	68	32	140
	Decreased	53	8	35	10
	Stayed about same	225	85	59	81
	DK/NA	63	6	26	31
		10.9%	3.7%	17.3%	11.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	A C	A B
	Decreased	C		A
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Increased	204	52	42	37	74
	Decreased	43	22	8	4	9
	Stayed about same	187	45	37	33	72
	DK/NA	28	7	4	5	13
		44.1%	41.0%	46.1%	47.2%	43.9%
		9.4%	17.8%	8.5%	4.9%	5.6%
		40.4%	35.3%	41.1%	41.9%	43.0%
		6.2%	5.9%	4.2%	6.0%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	C D			
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Increased	240	54	44	16	20	51
	Decreased	52	6	19	3	2	17
	Stayed about same	224	46	42	20	16	44
	DK/NA	63	18	15	2	3	16
		41.4%	43.5%	36.9%	40.2%	48.6%	40.1%
		9.1%	4.6%	15.5%	6.6%	4.0%	13.0%
		38.6%	37.3%	35.3%	49.0%	39.9%	34.4%
		10.9%	14.6%	12.3%	4.2%	7.5%	12.5%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	112	7	9
	Increased	50	3	1
	Decreased	6	0	2
	Stayed about same	48	2	6
	DK/NA	8	2	0
		44.8%	49.8%	15.0%
		5.0%	.0%	19.8%
		42.9%	28.3%	65.2%
		7.4%	22.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased						
	Decreased						
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	a	
	Decreased	.	
	Stayed about same		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
22A. At home	Total	582	582
	Very safe	343	343
		59.0%	59.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	190
		32.7%	32.7%
	Neither	16	16
		2.8%	2.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	27
	4.6%	4.6%	
Very unsafe	4	4	
	.7%	.7%	
DK/NA	2	2	
	.3%	.3%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	582
	Very safe	88	88
		15.2%	15.2%
	Somewhat safe	198	198
		34.1%	34.1%
	Neither	62	62
		10.6%	10.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	70
	11.9%	11.9%	
Very unsafe	36	36	
	6.3%	6.3%	
DK/NA	128	128	
	22.0%	22.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	582
	Very safe	378	378
		64.9%	64.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	174
		29.8%	29.8%
	Neither	9	9
		1.5%	1.5%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	17
	3.0%	3.0%	
Very unsafe	2	2	
	.4%	.4%	
DK/NA	2	2	
	.4%	.4%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	582
	Very safe	154	154

		Total	
		Total	Total
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	26.5%
	Somewhat safe	243	243
		41.7%	41.7%
	Neither	49	49
		8.4%	8.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	86
		14.8%	14.8%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	42
		7.2%	7.2%
	DK/NA	9	9
		1.5%	1.5%
	Total	582	582
	Very safe	159	159
		27.4%	27.4%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	213	213
		36.6%	36.6%
	Neither	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	94
		16.2%	16.2%
	Very unsafe	91	91
	15.6%	15.6%	
DK/NA	1	1	
	.2%	.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
22A. At home	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
22A. At home	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	343	163	179	1
		59.0%	57.9%	60.3%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	190	95	93	2
		32.7%	33.7%	31.4%	68.1%
	Neither	16	9	8	0
		2.8%	3.0%	2.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	12	15	0
	4.6%	4.3%	4.9%	.0%	
Very unsafe	4	2	2	0	
	.7%	.8%	.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	1	0	
	.3%	.3%	.2%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	88	46	41	1
		15.2%	16.5%	13.8%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	198	84	114	1
		34.1%	29.8%	38.2%	33.7%
	Neither	62	27	33	1
		10.6%	9.7%	11.2%	34.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	37	32	0
	11.9%	13.2%	10.9%	.0%	
Very unsafe	36	16	20	0	
	6.3%	5.8%	6.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	128	70	57	0	
	22.0%	25.0%	19.3%	.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	378	182	192	3
		64.9%	64.8%	64.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	174	84	89	0
		29.8%	30.0%	30.0%	.0%
	Neither	9	2	7	0
		1.5%	.6%	2.3%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	17	10	7	0	
	3.0%	3.6%	2.5%	.0%	
Very unsafe	2	1	1	0	
	.4%	.5%	.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	2	1	0	
	.4%	.6%	.2%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	154	95	59	1

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	33.6%	19.7%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	243	114	126	2
		41.7%	40.7%	42.4%	68.1%
	Neither	49	23	26	0
		8.4%	8.2%	8.7%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	28	58	0
		14.8%	10.0%	19.4%	.0%
	Very unsafe	42	19	23	0
	7.2%	6.9%	7.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	2	7	0	
	1.5%	.7%	2.2%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	159	82	77	1
		27.4%	29.0%	25.7%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	213	107	105	1
		36.6%	37.9%	35.4%	33.7%
	Neither	24	8	16	0
		4.1%	2.8%	5.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	51	42	1
	16.2%	18.2%	14.1%	34.4%	
Very unsafe	91	34	57	0	
	15.6%	11.9%	19.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	
	.2%	.1%	.2%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a
	Very unsafe			a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a
	Very safe	B		a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		A	a
	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe		A	a
	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22A. At home	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	343	49	65	83	78	61	8
		59.0%	64.3%	64.2%	58.7%	51.0%	60.0%	87.5%
	Somewhat safe	190	22	25	45	61	36	1
		32.7%	29.0%	24.5%	31.8%	40.3%	35.2%	12.5%
	Neither	16	2	3	6	4	0	0
		2.8%	3.1%	2.9%	4.5%	2.9%	.1%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	3	9	6	6	3	0
		4.6%	3.7%	8.4%	4.0%	4.2%	3.2%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	1	3	0	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	88	18	15	21	20	12	2
		15.2%	23.6%	15.3%	14.7%	13.4%	11.8%	19.6%
	Somewhat safe	198	15	31	51	65	36	0
		34.1%	19.6%	31.1%	36.2%	42.7%	35.1%	.0%
	Neither	62	10	8	17	11	14	2
		10.6%	13.0%	7.6%	11.9%	7.4%	13.8%	19.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	3	16	28	20	4	0
		11.9%	3.6%	15.5%	19.8%	12.8%	3.5%	.0%
	Very unsafe	36	5	2	16	10	3	0
	6.3%	6.7%	1.9%	11.3%	6.8%	2.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	128	26	29	8	26	34	5	
	22.0%	33.3%	28.6%	6.0%	17.0%	33.0%	61.1%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	378	54	65	94	94	63	7
		64.9%	70.0%	64.4%	67.0%	61.8%	61.7%	80.7%
	Somewhat safe	174	21	28	39	47	37	2
		29.8%	26.9%	27.5%	27.8%	31.1%	36.1%	19.3%
	Neither	9	2	3	1	2	1	0
		1.5%	3.1%	2.9%	.6%	1.2%	.7%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	5	4	8	1	0
		3.0%	.0%	5.1%	2.5%	5.1%	.9%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.8%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.6%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	154	20	32	38	32	29	4

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	26.0%	31.6%	27.1%	20.8%	28.1%	43.3%
	Somewhat safe	243	38	35	49	74	44	2
		41.7%	50.0%	35.0%	34.6%	48.3%	43.4%	24.9%
	Neither	49	6	14	13	6	9	2
		8.4%	7.3%	13.6%	9.2%	3.9%	8.6%	19.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	4	12	33	26	10	1
		14.8%	5.7%	11.4%	23.3%	17.0%	10.0%	12.5%
Very unsafe	42	8	9	8	12	4	0	
	7.2%	10.9%	8.5%	5.9%	8.1%	4.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	3	6	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	5.6%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	159	27	34	27	30	34	7
		27.4%	35.5%	34.0%	18.8%	19.7%	33.2%	81.3%
	Somewhat safe	213	29	35	51	53	43	2
		36.6%	37.5%	34.9%	36.4%	34.5%	42.7%	18.7%
	Neither	24	5	0	7	9	2	0
		4.1%	6.7%	.0%	5.0%	6.0%	2.4%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	94	3	14	34	30	13	0	
	16.2%	3.6%	13.7%	24.2%	19.8%	13.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	91	13	18	22	30	8	0	
	15.6%	16.6%	17.5%	15.5%	19.7%	8.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						a
	Neither						a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a			a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a		a
	DK/NA
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe						a
	Somewhat safe				A		.
	Neither		E	A E			a
	Somewhat unsafe						a
	Very unsafe	C	C			C D	C D
	DK/NA
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe						a
	Somewhat safe	a	a				a
	Neither	a	a			a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a		a
	Very unsafe
	DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither			A			a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a			a
	Very unsafe
	DK/NA
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe		a				a
	Neither						a
	Somewhat unsafe			A	A		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a			a
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
22A. At home	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	343 59.0%	251 59.1%	93 58.8%
	Somewhat safe	190 32.7%	139 32.7%	51 32.6%
	Neither	16 2.8%	12 2.7%	5 2.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27 4.6%	20 4.8%	6 4.0%
	Very unsafe	4 .7%	3 .7%	1 .7%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 1.0%
	22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	424
Very safe		88 15.2%	61 14.3%	28 17.5%
Somewhat safe		198 34.1%	149 35.1%	49 31.3%
Neither		62 10.6%	41 9.5%	21 13.3%
Somewhat unsafe		70 11.9%	59 13.8%	11 6.9%
Very unsafe		36 6.3%	30 7.1%	6 3.9%
DK/NA		128 22.0%	85 20.1%	43 27.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		Total	582	424
	Very safe	378 64.9%	277 65.2%	101 64.0%
	Somewhat safe	174 29.8%	125 29.5%	48 30.6%
	Neither	9 1.5%	5 1.2%	4 2.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	17 3.0%	14 3.4%	3 1.9%
	Very unsafe	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .1%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	1 .2%	2 1.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	154	106	48

		Homeownership Status			
		Total	Owner	Renter	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	25.0%	30.6%	
	Somewhat safe	243 41.7%	175 41.3%	67 42.6%	
	Neither	49 8.4%	35 8.2%	14 8.8%	
	Somewhat unsafe	86 14.8%	71 16.7%	15 9.4%	
	Very unsafe	42 7.2%	32 7.6%	10 6.2%	
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	5 1.1%	4 2.4%	
	22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	424	158
		Very safe	159 27.4%	105 24.8%	54 34.3%
Somewhat safe		213 36.6%	149 35.2%	64 40.4%	
Neither		24 4.1%	19 4.5%	5 3.1%	
Somewhat unsafe		94 16.2%	74 17.5%	20 12.5%	
Very unsafe		91 15.6%	76 17.9%	14 9.2%	
DK/NA		1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
22A. At home	Very safe	a	
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	B	
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	B	A
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	B	
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
22A. At home	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	343	155	54	10	125
		59.0%	63.5%	66.7%	63.7%	51.6%
	Somewhat safe	190	71	21	5	93
		32.7%	29.0%	26.5%	31.2%	38.5%
	Neither	16	6	2	1	7
		2.8%	2.5%	2.7%	5.1%	2.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	9	2	0	15
		4.6%	3.8%	2.8%	.0%	6.2%
	Very unsafe	4	2	1	0	1
	.7%	.8%	1.3%	.0%	.5%	
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	1
		.3%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	88	38	15	2	33
		15.2%	15.4%	18.8%	13.8%	13.8%
	Somewhat safe	198	60	24	5	110
		34.1%	24.5%	29.1%	33.9%	45.3%
	Neither	62	35	8	2	17
		10.6%	14.2%	9.7%	12.8%	7.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	25	4	3	38
		11.9%	10.2%	4.7%	18.4%	15.7%
	Very unsafe	36	11	8	0	17
	6.3%	4.7%	10.4%	.0%	6.9%	
	DK/NA	128	75	22	3	27
		22.0%	31.0%	27.3%	21.1%	11.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	378	168	57	11	141
		64.9%	68.8%	71.2%	69.2%	58.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	64	19	5	86
		29.8%	26.3%	23.2%	30.8%	35.5%
	Neither	9	2	0	0	6
		1.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	8	3	0	7
		3.0%	3.2%	3.5%	.0%	2.8%
	Very unsafe	2	0	1	0	1
	.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	
	DK/NA	2	2	1	0	0
		.4%	.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	154	66	27	7	55

		Party				DTS
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	27.0%	33.2%	44.7%	22.6%
	Somewhat safe	243	99	26	5	114
		41.7%	40.4%	31.8%	30.9%	47.0%
	Neither	49	23	10	0	15
		8.4%	9.6%	12.3%	1.0%	6.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	32	12	2	39
		14.8%	13.0%	15.4%	15.2%	16.3%
Very unsafe		42	18	4	1	18
		7.2%	7.6%	5.2%	8.3%	7.4%
	DK/NA	9	6	2	0	1
		1.5%	2.4%	2.1%	.0%	.4%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	159	78	29	7	45
		27.4%	32.1%	36.3%	42.8%	18.6%
	Somewhat safe	213	85	29	4	95
		36.6%	35.1%	35.5%	26.0%	39.2%
	Neither	24	7	3	1	13
		4.1%	2.8%	3.6%	6.8%	5.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	45	9	2	38
	16.2%	18.7%	11.1%	13.4%	15.6%	
Very unsafe		91	28	11	2	50
		15.6%	11.4%	13.2%	11.0%	20.9%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home	Very safe	D			
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe			a	
	Very unsafe			a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a		
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				A
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe			a	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			a	
	DK/NA	D	D		
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe		a	a	
	Neither			a	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe			a	
	Very unsafe			a	
	DK/NA			a	
	Very safe				a
	Somewhat safe	D	D		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe				A
	DK/NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22A. At home	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	343	80	37	20	22	76	108
		59.0%	66.1%	55.6%	62.2%	78.2%	59.1%	52.7%
	Somewhat safe	190	32	20	9	5	43	81
		32.7%	26.7%	30.6%	27.2%	18.1%	33.6%	39.2%
	Neither	16	6	0	1	1	4	4
		2.8%	4.7%	.1%	3.6%	3.7%	3.5%	1.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	2	8	2	0	4	11
		4.6%	1.5%	11.3%	7.0%	.0%	3.0%	5.4%
Very unsafe	4	0	2	0	0	1	1	
	.7%	.3%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.6%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.3%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	88	19	4	9	3	28	26
		15.2%	15.3%	5.8%	28.7%	10.9%	21.5%	12.7%
	Somewhat safe	198	29	16	6	10	40	98
		34.1%	23.6%	23.9%	19.5%	34.8%	30.9%	47.8%
	Neither	62	17	8	1	3	20	13
		10.6%	13.6%	11.9%	2.3%	12.0%	15.5%	6.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	12	7	1	1	12	35
		11.9%	10.3%	11.0%	4.3%	3.7%	9.5%	17.1%
Very unsafe	36	6	5	6	1	8	10	
	6.3%	5.3%	7.4%	17.8%	4.2%	6.0%	5.1%	
DK/NA	128	39	27	9	10	22	22	
	22.0%	31.9%	40.0%	27.4%	34.4%	16.8%	11.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	378	86	41	21	22	87	120
		64.9%	71.2%	61.6%	63.9%	79.1%	67.6%	58.7%
	Somewhat safe	174	33	16	10	5	35	75
		29.8%	27.2%	24.1%	30.9%	17.2%	26.9%	36.7%
	Neither	9	0	2	0	0	3	3
		1.5%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	1.4%
Somewhat unsafe	17	0	7	1	1	3	5	
	3.0%	.3%	10.8%	3.2%	3.7%	2.1%	2.5%	
Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.7%	
DK/NA	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	
	.4%	1.4%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	154	36	11	9	8	37	53

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.9%	16.6%	28.0%	28.6%	29.1%	25.6%
		243	44	29	9	11	50	99
		41.7%	36.2%	43.3%	27.0%	40.4%	39.2%	48.4%
	Somewhat safe	49	11	9	5	2	13	10
		8.4%	8.7%	13.1%	16.6%	6.8%	9.8%	4.7%
	Neither	86	18	9	7	5	16	31
		14.8%	14.7%	14.0%	20.8%	16.2%	12.5%	15.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	42	10	6	1	2	12	11
		7.2%	7.9%	8.9%	3.2%	7.5%	9.4%	5.5%
Very unsafe	9	3	3	1	0	0	1	
	1.5%	2.6%	4.0%	4.4%	.5%	.1%	.5%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	159	42	12	14	8	39	44
		27.4%	34.9%	18.5%	44.2%	29.4%	30.0%	21.2%
	Somewhat safe	213	43	22	8	14	44	81
		36.6%	35.3%	33.7%	25.6%	49.2%	34.5%	39.7%
	Neither	24	2	3	1	0	10	8
		4.1%	1.5%	4.0%	4.1%	.0%	7.8%	3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	26	14	4	4	12	34
		16.2%	21.9%	20.4%	12.5%	13.9%	9.6%	16.5%
Very unsafe	91	8	16	4	2	23	38	
	15.6%	6.5%	23.3%	13.0%	7.6%	17.9%	18.4%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.1%	.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe		A		a		
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA						
	Very safe			B			
	Somewhat safe						A B C E
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe				F		
	DK/NA	F	E F				
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe	a		a	a		
	Neither	a		a	a		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		A				
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe				a		
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA	a	A				A
	DK/NA	a	a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
22A. At home	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	343	160	41	51	22
		59.0%	60.8%	52.9%	62.1%	45.8%
	Somewhat safe	190	75	31	28	17
		32.7%	28.5%	39.9%	33.8%	36.6%
	Neither	16	12	0	3	1
		2.8%	4.5%	.0%	3.7%	2.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	14	6	0	5
		4.6%	5.2%	7.2%	.0%	9.9%
	Very unsafe	4	1	0	0	3
	.7%	.4%	.0%	.4%	5.6%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	88	40	15	14	4
		15.2%	15.3%	19.6%	16.6%	8.9%
	Somewhat safe	198	100	13	25	21
		34.1%	38.1%	16.9%	30.8%	44.9%
	Neither	62	25	8	12	3
		10.6%	9.6%	10.3%	14.6%	7.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	30	18	9	4
	11.9%	11.3%	23.3%	11.3%	8.9%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	36	14	4	6	6
		6.3%	5.2%	5.5%	7.3%	13.5%
	DK/NA	128	54	19	16	8
		22.0%	20.5%	24.4%	19.5%	16.5%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	378	174	53	54	25
		64.9%	66.1%	68.2%	66.5%	53.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	76	21	25	15
		29.8%	28.8%	26.8%	30.6%	31.4%
	Neither	9	4	4	1	0
	1.5%	1.5%	5.0%	1.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	17	7	0	2	6
		3.0%	2.5%	.0%	2.0%	12.5%
	Very unsafe	2	1	0	0	1
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	154	73	18	20	7

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22A. At home	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	16 60.0%	9 40.3%	27 70.3%	18 74.3%
	Somewhat safe	11 40.0%	11 48.9%	11 29.0%	6 25.7%
	Neither	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .8%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0 .0%	2 10.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Very unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	3 12.3%	4 17.5%	4 10.1%	4 16.1%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe	12 46.3%	10 44.5%	12 30.9%	4 17.7%
	Neither	3 12.4%	2 9.6%	4 9.8%	4 15.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	2 8.3%	1 4.5%	4 11.4%	1 2.6%
	Very unsafe	1 4.4%	3 11.2%	2 4.0%	1 2.8%
	DK/NA	4 16.4%	3 12.7%	13 33.7%	11 45.0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	15 57.3%	11 46.1%	27 71.5%	18 72.6%
	Somewhat safe	11 42.7%	11 46.6%	9 23.9%	6 24.8%
	Neither	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat unsafe	0 .0%	2 7.3%	2 4.6%	0 .0%
	Very unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	7	6	15	8

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	27.8%	22.6%	25.1%	15.7%
	Somewhat safe	243 41.7%	116 44.0%	30 37.8%	26 32.2%	23 48.8%
	Neither	49 8.4%	18 6.8%	9 11.2%	9 10.9%	6 12.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	86 14.8%	35 13.3%	17 21.5%	16 19.9%	2 4.5%
	Very unsafe	42 7.2%	17 6.6%	4 5.6%	9 11.0%	7 14.1%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	4 1.5%	1 1.3%	1 1.0%	2 4.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	159 27.4%	71 27.0%	17 21.9%	28 33.8%	7 14.6%
	Somewhat safe	213 36.6%	102 38.9%	29 36.9%	23 27.9%	13 27.4%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	24 4.1%	10 3.9%	4 5.7%	2 2.1%	3 7.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94 16.2%	36 13.5%	11 14.3%	21 26.0%	10 21.7%
	Very unsafe	91 15.6%	43 16.4%	17 21.2%	8 10.2%	14 28.7%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	25.5%	24.3%	39.7%	33.1%
	Somewhat safe	15 57.9%	12 50.1%	13 34.8%	8 32.1%
	Neither	1 4.6%	0 .0%	3 7.0%	3 13.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	1 4.1%	6 25.7%	5 14.0%	3 14.2%
	Very unsafe	2 6.9%	0 .0%	2 4.3%	1 5.1%
	DK/NA	0 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	1 2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	6 23.1%	5 23.0%	13 33.6%	12 51.2%
	Somewhat safe	13 48.2%	10 45.2%	16 42.7%	7 27.7%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	2 7.4%	1 4.5%	0 .0%	1 4.5%
	Somewhat unsafe	3 11.6%	4 19.5%	6 14.8%	3 11.3%
	Very unsafe	3 9.7%	2 7.8%	3 8.9%	1 5.3%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither		a			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a		a
	Very unsafe		a		A	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a	a		a
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe	B			B	
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe				a	a
	Neither		a		A	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe		a	a		a
	DK/NA		a			a
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA		a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
22A. At home	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			
	DK/NA			
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe	a	a	a
	Neither			a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe			
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe	a		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		a	
	Somewhat unsafe			D
	Very unsafe			
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
22A. At home	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	343 59.0%	4 56.5%	81 46.8%	26 62.7%	31 67.7%	9 55.2%	7 54.7%	25 60.2%	61 63.5%
	Somewhat safe	190 32.7%	0 .0%	73 42.2%	9 21.3%	4 24.8%	11 20.6%	3 28.3%	4 34.2%	29 29.8%
	Neither	16 2.8%	0 .0%	7 4.2%	4 9.7%	1 2.3%	1 6.3%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	2 1.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	27 4.6%	3 43.5%	10 6.0%	0 .0%	2 5.3%	3 17.9%	2 12.2%	0 .9%	5 5.0%
	Very unsafe	4 .7%	0 .0%	1 .9%	3 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.8%	1 2.1%	0 .0%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	88 15.2%	3 43.5%	20 11.6%	6 13.6%	2 5.2%	2 12.7%	1 7.8%	9 22.0%	13 13.8%
	Somewhat safe	198 34.1%	0 .0%	66 38.1%	13 30.2%	12 26.2%	2 14.9%	6 44.1%	9 20.4%	26 26.8%
	Neither	62 10.6%	1 12.0%	11 6.2%	5 11.3%	4 9.7%	3 16.9%	1 7.7%	6 15.3%	20 20.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	70 11.9%	0 .0%	21 12.3%	5 12.5%	10 21.2%	3 16.6%	2 14.7%	7 17.0%	8 8.5%
	Very unsafe	36 6.3%	0 .0%	20 11.8%	3 7.8%	0 .0%	1 6.3%	2 12.2%	2 5.3%	6 6.5%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	3 44.5%	35 20.0%	10 24.6%	17 37.8%	5 32.6%	2 13.5%	8 19.9%	23 23.4%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe	378 64.9%	6 90.1%	105 60.4%	31 73.4%	32 70.1%	8 46.7%	8 58.2%	29 69.7%
Somewhat safe		174 29.8%	1 9.9%	57 32.8%	7 15.6%	14 29.9%	8 48.6%	4 29.6%	10 23.9%	29 30.3%
Neither		9 1.5%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Somewhat unsafe		17 3.0%	0 .0%	6 3.6%	4 8.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 12.2%	2 4.7%	4 4.2%
Very unsafe		2 .4%	0 .0%	1 .7%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA		2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.5%	2 1.7%
Total		582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very safe	154	2	39	13	14	3	4	14	27

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22A. At home	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	46 63.3%	48 73.8%	1 53.7%	3 52.1%
	Somewhat safe	27 36.7%	17 26.2%	1 46.3%	2 28.8%
	Neither	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 19.1%
	Very unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	11 15.3%	19 29.6%	0 9.8%	1 21.3%
	Somewhat safe	29 39.9%	30 46.0%	2 90.2%	4 66.9%
	Neither	9 12.1%	1 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unsafe	6 8.5%	7 10.3%	0 .0%	0 6.5%
	Very unsafe	1 1.0%	0 .6%	0 .0%	0 5.3%
	DK/NA	17 23.2%	7 11.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
	22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe	48 65.0%	44 68.9%	2 100.0%
Somewhat safe		22 29.9%	20 31.1%	0 .0%	2 45.7%
Neither		4 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Somewhat unsafe		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Very unsafe		0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Total		73	64	2	5
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		Total	73	64	2
	Very safe	18	18	0	2

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	36.8%	22.4%	30.0%	30.6%	19.3%	27.7%	33.7%	28.2%
	Somewhat safe	243	0	78	24	15	5	5	13	44
		41.7%	.0%	44.8%	56.8%	32.1%	28.6%	37.9%	31.2%	45.4%
	Neither	49	3	14	1	3	2	0	6	11
		8.4%	43.5%	8.3%	3.4%	6.3%	14.0%	1.6%	13.2%	11.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	31	1	12	5	1	5	6
		14.8%	9.9%	18.0%	3.5%	25.0%	29.8%	6.1%	11.1%	5.8%
Very unsafe	42	0	9	3	3	1	3	2	6	
	7.2%	.0%	5.1%	6.3%	6.0%	8.4%	20.8%	4.7%	6.7%	
DK/NA	9	1	2	0	0	0	1	3	2	
	1.5%	9.9%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	6.1%	2.4%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	159	1	31	8	14	4	5	15	41
		27.4%	18.8%	18.0%	19.0%	29.5%	25.0%	38.6%	36.6%	42.3%
	Somewhat safe	213	5	63	13	16	5	3	11	38
		36.6%	69.2%	36.7%	30.9%	33.9%	29.7%	20.5%	26.6%	39.6%
	Neither	24	1	7	5	1	1	0	1	5
		4.1%	12.0%	3.8%	11.1%	2.2%	6.4%	.0%	2.6%	5.6%
Somewhat unsafe	94	0	32	10	10	4	3	11	9	
	16.2%	.0%	18.5%	23.8%	21.0%	24.3%	24.0%	26.5%	9.5%	
Very unsafe	91	0	40	6	6	2	2	3	3	
	15.6%	.0%	22.9%	15.1%	13.0%	14.6%	12.2%	7.7%	3.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.4%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	23.9%	28.0%	9.8%	42.6%
	Somewhat safe	30	27	2	1
		41.0%	41.4%	90.2%	10.7%
	Neither	8	0	0	0
		10.2%	.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	12	10	0	3
		17.0%	15.5%	.0%	46.7%
Very unsafe	6	9	0	0	
	7.9%	14.5%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	25	14	0	0
		34.6%	21.6%	9.8%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	28	28	1	2
		38.4%	44.1%	46.3%	30.9%
	Neither	1	2	1	0
		.8%	2.7%	43.9%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	7	4	0	4	
	9.3%	6.8%	.0%	69.1%	
Very unsafe	12	16	0	0	
	17.0%	24.9%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date										
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)		
22A. At home	Very safe	a										
	Somewhat safe	a										
	Neither	a										
	Somewhat unsafe	B G H		a								
	Very unsafe	a		B		a						
	DK/NA	a	a									
	Very safe											
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe	a										
	Neither	a									B J	
	Somewhat unsafe	a										
	Very unsafe	a				a						
	DK/NA					J						
	Very safe											
	Somewhat safe	a		a		a				a		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	a				a						
	Somewhat unsafe	a				a						a
	Very unsafe	a				a						a
	DK/NA	a				a						a
	Very safe		a									
	Somewhat safe	a										
	Neither	a										a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	C J										
	Very unsafe	a										a
	DK/NA					a						a
	Very safe											
	Somewhat safe											
	Very safe											B
	Somewhat safe											
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	a								a		
	Somewhat unsafe	a										
	Very unsafe	a	H									
DK/NA	a			a					B			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
22A. At home	Very safe	B		
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a		
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe		a	a
	Neither		a	
	Somewhat unsafe		a	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe		a	a
	DK/NA		a	
	Very safe		a	
	Somewhat safe	a	a	a
	Neither	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		a	
	Very unsafe		a	C H
	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		I	
	Somewhat unsafe		a	H I J
	Very unsafe	H	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter			
		Total	Yes	No	
22A. At home	Total	582	464	118	
	Very safe	343 59.0%	263 56.7%	80 68.2%	
	Somewhat safe	190 32.7%	160 34.5%	30 25.6%	
	Neither	16 2.8%	12 2.7%	4 3.1%	
	Somewhat unsafe	27 4.6%	24 5.2%	3 2.2%	
	Very unsafe	4 .7%	3 .7%	1 .9%	
	DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .3%	0 .0%	
	22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	464	118
		Very safe	88 15.2%	66 14.3%	22 18.7%
		Somewhat safe	198 34.1%	167 36.1%	31 26.2%
Neither		62 10.6%	51 10.9%	11 9.4%	
Somewhat unsafe		70 11.9%	52 11.1%	18 15.1%	
Very unsafe		36 6.3%	30 6.4%	7 5.5%	
DK/NA		128 22.0%	98 21.2%	30 25.1%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		Total	582	464	118
		Very safe	378 64.9%	295 63.6%	82 69.8%
		Somewhat safe	174 29.8%	146 31.4%	28 23.7%
	Neither	9 1.5%	6 1.3%	2 2.0%	
	Somewhat unsafe	17 3.0%	13 2.9%	4 3.5%	
	Very unsafe	2 .4%	1 .3%	1 1.1%	
	DK/NA	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	
	22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	464	118
		Very safe	154	116	38

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	25.0%	32.3%
	Somewhat safe	243 41.7%	202 43.6%	40 34.2%
	Neither	49 8.4%	41 8.9%	8 6.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86 14.8%	64 13.8%	22 18.5%
	Very unsafe	42 7.2%	36 7.7%	6 5.5%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	5 1.1%	4 3.2%
	Total	582	464	118
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	159 27.4%	128 27.6%	31 26.3%
	Somewhat safe	213 36.6%	174 37.6%	39 32.8%
	Neither	24 4.1%	22 4.7%	2 1.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94 16.2%	75 16.1%	19 16.4%
	Very unsafe	91 15.6%	64 13.8%	27 22.7%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	1 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
22A. At home	Very safe		A
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		a
	DK/NA		.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	B	
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		a
	DK/NA		.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		A
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	343 59.0%	211 60.9%	132 56.2%
	Somewhat safe	190 32.7%	112 32.4%	78 33.2%
	Neither	16 2.8%	7 2.0%	9 3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27 4.6%	13 3.7%	14 5.9%
	Very unsafe	4 .7%	3 .9%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	1 .2%	1 .4%
	22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	347
Very safe		88 15.2%	53 15.3%	35 15.0%
Somewhat safe		198 34.1%	123 35.3%	76 32.3%
Neither		62 10.6%	36 10.3%	26 11.0%
Somewhat unsafe		70 11.9%	37 10.6%	33 13.9%
Very unsafe		36 6.3%	25 7.3%	11 4.7%
DK/NA		128 22.0%	73 21.2%	54 23.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		Total	582	347
	Very safe	378 64.9%	242 69.8%	135 57.6%
	Somewhat safe	174 29.8%	84 24.3%	89 37.9%
	Neither	9 1.5%	5 1.6%	3 1.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	17 3.0%	13 3.8%	4 1.8%
	Very unsafe	2 .4%	1 .4%	1 .5%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	1 .2%	2 .7%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	154	102	52

		Likely Absentee Voter			
		Total	Yes	No	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.4%	22.3%	
	Somewhat safe	243 41.7%	144 41.5%	99 42.0%	
	Neither	49 8.4%	28 8.0%	21 8.9%	
	Somewhat unsafe	86 14.8%	50 14.3%	36 15.4%	
	Very unsafe	42 7.2%	21 6.1%	21 8.8%	
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	2 .7%	6 2.6%	
	22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	347	235
		Very safe	159 27.4%	106 30.5%	53 22.7%
Somewhat safe		213 36.6%	118 34.0%	95 40.5%	
Neither		24 4.1%	17 4.9%	7 2.9%	
Somewhat unsafe		94 16.2%	55 15.8%	39 16.8%	
Very unsafe		91 15.6%	50 14.5%	40 17.1%	
DK/NA		1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .1%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
22A. At home	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	343	2	33	51	70
		59.0%	14.3%	67.6%	54.1%	53.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	5	13	32	49
		32.7%	43.9%	26.2%	34.1%	37.1%
	Neither	16	3	0	3	4
		2.8%	27.1%	.0%	2.7%	3.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	2	2	7	7
	4.6%	14.7%	5.0%	8.0%	5.5%	
Very unsafe	4	0	0	1	1	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.1%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	88	0	6	8	26
		15.2%	.0%	11.8%	8.0%	19.6%
	Somewhat safe	198	0	11	31	52
		34.1%	.0%	21.6%	33.1%	39.5%
	Neither	62	0	6	11	16
		10.6%	.0%	11.9%	11.7%	12.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	1	9	15	14
	11.9%	10.7%	17.9%	15.4%	10.8%	
Very unsafe	36	0	3	11	10	
	6.3%	.0%	5.9%	11.4%	7.4%	
DK/NA	128	10	15	19	14	
	22.0%	89.3%	30.8%	20.3%	10.3%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	378	5	33	60	85
		64.9%	48.1%	67.2%	63.4%	64.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	6	14	25	43
		29.8%	51.9%	29.1%	26.9%	32.7%
	Neither	9	0	1	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	8	3
	3.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	2.6%	
Very unsafe	2	0	1	1	0	
	.4%	.0%	2.5%	1.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	154	2	15	23	26

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
22A. At home	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	90	97	1
		55.2%	73.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	63	29	0
		38.4%	22.0%	.0%
	Neither	5	1	0
		3.1%	.9%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	4	0
	2.4%	3.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	2	0	0	
	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	
	.0%	.7%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	19	30	0
		11.7%	22.8%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	70	34	1
		42.7%	25.8%	100.0%
	Neither	9	19	0
		5.7%	14.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	25	6	0
	15.5%	4.2%	.0%	
Very unsafe	9	4	0	
	5.5%	3.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	31	40	0	
	18.9%	29.9%	.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	90	104	1
		54.9%	78.7%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	62	24	0
		37.9%	17.7%	.0%
	Neither	5	3	0
		3.1%	2.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	5	1	0
	3.1%	.8%	.0%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	
	1.0%	.5%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	37	51	0

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	18.3%	31.4%	24.0%	19.7%
		243	3	22	46	67
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	28.0%	43.8%	48.7%	51.0%
		49	0	3	11	11
	Neither	8.4%	2.7%	6.8%	11.5%	8.6%
		86	1	7	11	20
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	10.7%	13.7%	11.8%	15.3%
	42	3	1	4	6	
Very unsafe	7.2%	25.6%	2.9%	3.9%	4.8%	
	9	2	1	0	1	
DK/NA	1.5%	14.7%	1.3%	.0%	.6%	
	582	11	49	94	131	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	159	0	16	24	27
	Very safe	27.4%	3.6%	32.1%	25.8%	20.4%
		213	5	22	33	55
	Somewhat safe	36.6%	43.9%	45.5%	35.5%	41.6%
		24	0	3	2	7
	Neither	4.1%	.0%	5.5%	2.4%	5.7%
		94	4	6	20	17
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	37.8%	13.0%	21.4%	13.0%
		91	2	1	14	25
	Very unsafe	15.6%	14.7%	2.5%	14.9%	19.4%
	1	0	1	0	0	
DK/NA	2%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.9%	38.5%	.0%
		69	35	1
	Somewhat safe	42.3%	26.7%	100.0%
		12	11	0
	Neither	7.3%	8.4%	.0%
		26	21	0
	Somewhat unsafe	15.9%	15.6%	.0%
	18	10	0	
Very unsafe	10.9%	7.5%	.0%	
	1	4	0	
DK/NA	.8%	3.3%	.0%	
	163	133	1	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	39	54	0
	Very safe	23.6%	40.4%	.0%
		56	42	1
	Somewhat safe	34.1%	31.4%	100.0%
		8	3	0
	Neither	5.2%	2.2%	.0%
		30	16	0
	Somewhat unsafe	18.4%	12.4%	.0%
		31	18	0
	Very unsafe	18.7%	13.4%	.0%
	0	0	0	
DK/NA	.1%	.2%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe		A			
	Somewhat safe					F
	Neither	C D E F	.b			
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	.b	.b			.b
	DK/NA	.b		.b	.b	.b
	Very safe	.b				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe					F
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe	.b	F	F		F
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA	B C D E F	D			
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					F
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	.b		.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe	.b	.b	F		.b
	Very unsafe	.b				.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe			F	F	
	Neither					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe			.b		
	DK/NA	D E			.b	
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	.b				
	Somewhat unsafe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	.b		.b	.b	
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
22A. At home	Very safe	a,b	a,b
	Somewhat safe	ACDE	a,b
	Neither		a,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,b
	Very unsafe	.b	a,b
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a,b
	Very safe	C	a,b
	Somewhat safe		a,b
	Neither		a,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,b
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe		a,b
	DK/NA	D	a,b
	Very safe	E	a,b
	Somewhat safe		a,b
	Neither		a,b
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		a,b
	Very unsafe	.b	a,b
	DK/NA		a,b
	Very safe	D	a,b
	Somewhat safe		a,b
22E. Leaving your home unattended	DK/NA		a,b
	Very safe	DE	a,b
	Somewhat safe		a,b
	Neither		a,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
22A. At home	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	343	2	25	139	76	54	46	2
		59.0%	96.2%	54.8%	65.8%	54.0%	47.6%	79.6%	14.6%
	Somewhat safe	190	0	17	50	60	45	8	10
		32.7%	3.8%	38.3%	23.7%	42.5%	39.7%	14.3%	82.5%
	Neither	16	0	0	9	4	4	0	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	2.7%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	0	2	13	1	10	0	0
		4.6%	.0%	5.4%	6.3%	.7%	8.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0	1	3	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	4.5%	2.9%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	88	0	8	26	21	18	15	0
		15.2%	4.4%	17.9%	12.3%	15.2%	16.1%	25.2%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	198	0	11	53	63	49	19	4
		34.1%	.0%	24.0%	25.0%	44.5%	43.1%	33.1%	33.3%
	Neither	62	1	7	23	15	6	6	4
		10.6%	27.4%	15.9%	11.0%	11.0%	4.9%	9.6%	34.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	1	27	18	12	11	1
		11.9%	.0%	1.4%	12.7%	12.6%	10.8%	18.4%	11.8%
	Very unsafe	36	0	0	16	7	11	1	2
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	5.1%	9.3%	1.8%	13.5%	
DK/NA	128	1	18	66	16	18	7	1	
	22.0%	68.2%	40.8%	31.4%	11.6%	15.7%	11.8%	7.1%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	378	2	26	145	93	67	42	3
		64.9%	72.6%	58.2%	68.6%	66.1%	59.1%	72.6%	23.6%
	Somewhat safe	174	0	18	54	44	38	13	6
		29.8%	.0%	40.0%	25.8%	31.3%	33.4%	22.9%	51.1%
	Neither	9	1	1	0	0	4	0	3
		1.5%	27.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	25.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	11	1	4	2	0
		3.0%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	.6%	3.6%	2.7%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.2%	1.8%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	154	0	12	70	39	21	13	0

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	12.6%	26.7%	33.0%	27.7%	18.1%	21.6%	2.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	0	17	84	66	55	17	4
		41.7%	.0%	37.4%	39.8%	47.2%	48.1%	29.3%	33.1%
	Neither	49	1	5	17	12	6	1	6
		8.4%	27.4%	11.6%	8.1%	8.6%	5.5%	2.4%	53.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	9	25	20	19	11	1
		14.8%	30.0%	19.4%	11.9%	13.9%	16.9%	19.8%	10.5%
Very unsafe	42	0	0	13	2	13	15	0	
	7.2%	.0%	.3%	6.1%	1.1%	11.2%	25.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	1	2	3	2	0	1	0	
	1.5%	30.0%	4.6%	1.2%	1.5%	.2%	1.6%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	159	1	17	66	34	23	17	1
		27.4%	60.1%	38.7%	31.4%	24.1%	20.3%	28.5%	8.1%
	Somewhat safe	213	0	18	89	55	37	9	5
		36.6%	3.8%	39.4%	42.3%	39.1%	32.8%	15.2%	42.3%
	Neither	24	1	2	4	5	8	4	0
		4.1%	27.4%	4.8%	1.9%	3.4%	7.2%	7.3%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	94	0	7	32	22	22	8	4	
	16.2%	4.4%	15.2%	15.0%	15.4%	18.9%	14.3%	36.2%	
Very unsafe	91	0	0	20	25	24	20	1	
	15.6%	4.4%	.5%	9.5%	17.8%	20.8%	34.8%	11.9%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
22A. At home	Very safe			EG			DEG	
	Somewhat safe	a	a		CF	F	a	CF
	Neither	a	a			D	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a	a			a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a					a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe							
	Somewhat safe	a			C	C		
	Neither	a						E
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a					
	Very unsafe	a						
	DK/NA	a	DEF	DE	G			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe							
	Somewhat safe	a						
	Neither	C	a		a		a	BCE
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a					a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a			a	a
	DK/NA	a	a					a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe							
	Somewhat safe	a						
	Neither							BCDEF
	Somewhat unsafe							
	Very unsafe	a				D	BCD	a
	DK/NA	CDE						a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe							
	Somewhat safe			F	F			
	Neither							a
	Somewhat unsafe							
	Very unsafe	a				B	BC	a
	DK/NA	a		a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
22A. At home	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	343	0	0	78	2
		59.0%	.0%	.0%	41.1%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	3	3	94	0
		32.7%	100.0%	100.0%	49.4%	.0%
	Neither	16	0	0	3	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	0	0	15	0
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	88	0	0	27	0
		15.2%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	198	3	0	96	0
		34.1%	100.0%	.0%	50.5%	.0%
	Neither	62	0	3	7	1
		10.6%	.0%	100.0%	3.5%	48.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	0	24	0
		11.9%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	.0%
Very unsafe	36	0	0	10	0	
	6.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	128	0	0	26	1	
	22.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	51.2%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	378	1	0	94	2
		64.9%	50.0%	.0%	49.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	174	0	3	89	0
		29.8%	.0%	100.0%	47.0%	.0%
	Neither	9	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	1	0	7	0
		3.0%	50.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%
Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	154	0	0	35	1

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
22A. At home	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	58	13	18	6	13
		55.3%	83.5%	73.7%	75.3%	92.9%
	Somewhat safe	29	3	7	2	1
		27.4%	16.5%	26.3%	24.7%	7.1%
	Neither	7	0	0	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	6	0	0	0	0
		6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0
	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	
	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	13	3	2	0	3
		12.1%	16.6%	6.9%	.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat safe	31	4	10	5	9
		29.6%	23.6%	41.8%	58.1%	67.7%
	Neither	15	3	3	1	0
		13.9%	17.3%	11.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	24	2	1	0	2
		23.1%	13.8%	4.7%	.0%	11.3%
	Very unsafe	12	3	0	0	0
	11.0%	18.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	2	9	2	0	
	10.2%	10.3%	35.3%	24.7%	.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	60	11	21	7	10
		57.0%	73.2%	84.2%	88.2%	75.5%
	Somewhat safe	34	4	4	1	3
		32.2%	26.8%	15.8%	11.8%	24.5%
	Neither	3	0	0	0	0
		3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	0	0	0	0
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	
	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	24	1	6	3	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
22A. At home	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	5	116	14	7	3
		50.1%	77.9%	59.4%	56.9%	47.2%
	Somewhat safe	2	29	6	4	3
		23.4%	19.8%	24.5%	36.2%	46.2%
	Neither	1	0	3	1	0
		10.2%	.3%	12.6%	7.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	2	1	0	0
	16.3%	1.6%	3.5%	.0%	6.6%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	0	33	4	1	1
		.0%	22.2%	16.9%	12.1%	20.2%
	Somewhat safe	5	21	3	7	0
		50.1%	13.9%	15.0%	58.0%	7.1%
	Neither	1	15	8	1	0
		10.2%	10.4%	35.4%	8.5%	6.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	10	0	1	0
	12.0%	6.7%	.0%	9.2%	.0%	
Very unsafe	2	8	1	0	1	
	16.3%	5.3%	3.5%	.0%	11.1%	
DK/NA	1	62	7	1	3	
	11.4%	41.5%	29.2%	12.2%	55.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	6	122	14	10	5
		60.4%	82.0%	61.2%	84.9%	77.7%
	Somewhat safe	2	21	5	2	1
		23.4%	14.4%	22.7%	15.1%	15.7%
	Neither	0	1	4	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	16.1%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	3	0	0	0
	16.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	2	66	7	3	1

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	17
	Very safe	11
		63.7%
	Somewhat safe	6
		31.8%
	Neither	0
		.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	0
	1.6%	
Very unsafe	0	
	1.9%	
DK/NA	0	
	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	17
	Very safe	2
		13.6%
	Somewhat safe	4
		24.1%
	Neither	3
		19.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	4
	20.6%	
Very unsafe	1	
	5.2%	
DK/NA	3	
	17.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	17
	Very safe	13
		76.5%
	Somewhat safe	4
		21.9%
	Neither	0
		.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0
	1.6%	
Very unsafe	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	0	
	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	17
	Very safe	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	.0%	.0%	18.5%	51.2%
	Somewhat safe	243	1	0	105	1
		41.7%	50.0%	.0%	55.5%	48.8%
	Neither	49	0	3	14	0
		8.4%	.0%	100.0%	7.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	0	23	0
		14.8%	50.0%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
Very unsafe	42	0	0	12	0	
	7.2%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	159	0	0	29	2
		27.4%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	213	1	3	89	0
		36.6%	50.0%	100.0%	46.9%	.0%
	Neither	24	0	0	8	0
		4.1%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	94	1	0	34	0	
	16.2%	50.0%	.0%	17.8%	.0%	
Very unsafe	91	0	0	30	0	
	15.6%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.5%	6.7%	24.7%	34.4%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	35	10	10	1	1
		33.0%	68.0%	39.7%	12.8%	7.1%
	Neither	13	1	1	0	0
		11.9%	7.9%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	23	3	5	4	3
		22.1%	17.4%	20.2%	52.8%	23.9%
Very unsafe	8	0	3	0	9	
	7.2%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	69.0%	
DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0	
	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	21	2	7	1	0
		19.8%	13.8%	29.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	27	8	12	2	1
		25.1%	54.0%	48.2%	30.0%	7.1%
	Neither	5	3	1	0	0
		4.8%	18.4%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	28	1	1	1	2	
	26.2%	6.7%	4.7%	11.8%	11.7%	
Very unsafe	25	1	3	3	11	
	24.0%	7.1%	13.3%	40.9%	81.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.2%	44.2%	28.8%	26.9%	22.3%
	Somewhat safe	4	45	11	6	3
		38.2%	30.5%	46.3%	53.9%	55.4%
	Neither	0	12	4	0	0
		.0%	8.1%	17.1%	1.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	14	1	1	1
		23.4%	9.6%	3.5%	9.7%	11.1%
	Very unsafe	2	8	0	1	0
		16.3%	5.1%	.0%	8.0%	6.6%
	DK/NA	0	4	1	0	0
	.0%	2.5%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	4	73	7	4	1
		38.2%	49.2%	30.9%	36.9%	18.8%
	Somewhat safe	2	45	12	5	4
		23.4%	30.1%	49.4%	41.7%	63.5%
	Neither	0	7	0	0	0
		.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	13	5	2	0
		10.2%	9.0%	19.6%	18.7%	6.6%
	Very unsafe	3	10	0	0	1
	28.2%	6.6%	.0%	2.7%	11.1%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	29.3%
	Somewhat safe	8
		46.3%
	Neither	1
		4.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4
		20.3%
Very unsafe	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	0	
	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	17
	Very safe	6
		37.0%
	Somewhat safe	3
		15.2%
	Neither	0
		.0%
Somewhat unsafe	5	
	28.5%	
Very unsafe	3	
	18.4%	
DK/NA	0	
	.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E K	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a	a	a
	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E K	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	K	a
	Neither	a	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	C E K	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a
	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E I K	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
	Very safe	a	a		a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	a	a	E	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
22A. At home	Very safe					C
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	K	a	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a	a	a
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe			K		K
	Neither				a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	K		a	a	a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe	K				
	Neither		a	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		a			
	Very unsafe		a			
	DK/NA		a	a		
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe				a	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				K	
	Somewhat unsafe				a	
	Very unsafe				a	
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe					
	Neither				a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	K				
	Very unsafe	K			K	CEFGKMO
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
22A. At home	Very safe		CE			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	K		K		a
	Somewhat unsafe				a	
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe				K	
	Neither			C		a
	Somewhat unsafe			a		
	Very unsafe				a	
	DK/NA		CE			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		CE			
	Somewhat safe		CE			
	Neither	a		E K	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe			a	a	
	Very unsafe	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe		CE			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	a				a
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe			a		
	DK/NA	a			a	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe		CE			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	a		a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe			a		
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
22A. At home	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	a
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	a
	DK/NA	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	a
	DK/NA	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	a
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	343	183	62	70	17	8	4
		59.0%	58.1%	59.5%	61.9%	54.5%	73.1%	46.2%
	Somewhat safe	190	110	32	32	11	3	3
		32.7%	35.0%	30.5%	28.3%	36.3%	26.9%	28.5%
	Neither	16	7	3	5	2	0	0
		2.8%	2.1%	2.9%	4.1%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	13	5	6	1	0	2
	4.6%	4.0%	5.2%	5.4%	2.7%	.0%	18.0%	
Very unsafe	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	
	.7%	.8%	1.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	
	.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	88	48	20	17	1	0	2
		15.2%	15.4%	19.3%	15.4%	3.0%	.0%	18.7%
	Somewhat safe	198	100	35	37	16	5	5
		34.1%	31.7%	34.1%	32.6%	52.3%	49.3%	54.2%
	Neither	62	33	16	9	2	1	1
		10.6%	10.3%	15.6%	7.8%	6.4%	10.7%	8.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	18	19	24	6	2	0
	11.9%	5.8%	18.2%	21.0%	20.4%	20.9%	1.8%	
Very unsafe	36	13	7	12	4	0	0	
	6.3%	4.3%	6.5%	11.0%	12.4%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	128	102	7	14	2	2	1	
	22.0%	32.5%	6.3%	12.1%	5.4%	19.0%	16.4%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	378	207	68	67	22	6	7
		64.9%	65.7%	65.0%	60.0%	73.6%	57.8%	73.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	89	32	40	6	5	2
		29.8%	28.1%	30.3%	35.9%	20.3%	42.2%	26.1%
	Neither	9	7	0	0	2	0	0
		1.5%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	11	3	3	0	0	0
	3.0%	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.4%	.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	154	89	24	30	9	0	2

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	28.1%	23.5%	26.7%	28.3%	.0%	27.5%
		243	135	38	49	9	8	3
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	43.0%	37.0%	43.1%	29.2%	74.2%	35.6%
		49	24	14	7	3	0	0
		8.4%	7.8%	13.6%	6.2%	8.9%	.9%	4.3%
	Neither	86	43	15	16	7	3	2
		14.8%	13.6%	14.5%	14.6%	23.2%	24.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	42	18	11	10	3	0	0
	7.2%	5.6%	10.4%	9.3%	10.4%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	9	6	1	0	0	0	1	
	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6%	
DK/NA	9	6	1	0	0	0	1	
	1.5%	2.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	159	99	23	23	6	6	2
		27.4%	31.4%	22.2%	20.8%	19.0%	51.4%	26.0%
	Somewhat safe	213	123	36	38	9	4	3
		36.6%	39.0%	34.5%	33.9%	30.4%	39.0%	30.9%
	Neither	24	12	5	3	3	0	1
		4.1%	3.8%	4.5%	2.6%	11.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	39	21	23	8	1	2
	16.2%	12.3%	20.6%	20.5%	25.4%	9.6%	22.3%	
Very unsafe	91	42	19	25	4	0	0	
	15.6%	13.4%	18.3%	22.2%	14.2%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA (F)
		None	1	2	3	4 or more	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
22A. At home	Very safe					a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
	Neither					a	a
	Somewhat unsafe				a	a	a
	Very unsafe				a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a		a		a	a
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither		A	A	A		
	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	B C D					
	DK/NA						
	Very safe		a	a		a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
	Neither		a	a		a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
	Very unsafe					a	a
	DK/NA			a	a		A B
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither					a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
	Very unsafe					a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household						Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	
22A. At home	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	343	115	69	135	15	2	8
	Somewhat safe	190	68	37	69	14	1	2
		32.7%	33.6%	34.4%	30.7%	41.1%	32.5%	15.7%
	Neither	16	7	1	4	2	0	2
		2.8%	3.6%	1.1%	1.6%	7.0%	.0%	14.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	10	0	17	0	0	0
		4.6%	4.8%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	1	0	0	2	1	0
		.7%	.7%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	23.3%	.0%
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.6%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	88	27	18	35	6	1	2
	Somewhat safe	198	48	45	87	13	1	3
		34.1%	24.1%	42.0%	38.9%	39.4%	32.5%	25.0%
	Neither	62	27	8	17	3	0	5
		10.6%	13.6%	7.9%	7.7%	10.1%	.0%	46.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	28	17	20	3	0	1
		11.9%	14.1%	15.8%	9.0%	8.0%	.0%	12.4%
	Very unsafe	36	20	3	10	2	2	0
		6.3%	9.8%	2.3%	4.3%	7.0%	47.9%	.0%
DK/NA	128	50	17	55	6	0	0	
	22.0%	24.8%	15.8%	24.4%	18.1%	2.1%	1.5%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	378	131	72	146	18	2	9
		64.9%	65.0%	66.8%	65.1%	54.4%	44.2%	78.6%
	Somewhat safe	174	60	33	67	11	1	1
		29.8%	29.8%	30.5%	30.1%	33.8%	32.5%	6.5%
	Neither	9	4	1	1	2	0	0
		1.5%	1.9%	1.0%	.7%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	5	2	9	2	0	0
		3.0%	2.7%	1.5%	3.9%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	.4%	.6%	.2%	.0%	.0%	23.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	1	0	0	2	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	154	59	29	55	7	1	4

		People Over 50 in Household						Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.1%	26.7%	24.6%	21.2%	17.5%	36.4%
		243	80	51	94	13	1	3
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	39.6%	47.7%	42.0%	39.3%	32.5%	24.5%
		49	23	6	16	1	0	3
	Neither	8.4%	11.2%	5.3%	7.3%	3.1%	2.1%	28.2%
		86	28	15	33	8	1	1
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	13.9%	13.8%	14.6%	24.2%	24.6%	11.0%
	42	12	4	21	3	1	0	
Very unsafe	7.2%	6.2%	3.8%	9.4%	9.4%	23.3%	.0%	
	9	0	3	5	1	0	0	
DK/NA	1.5%	.0%	2.7%	2.2%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
	582	201	108	224	34	4	11	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	27.4%	25.3%	38.1%	25.4%	13.4%	17.5%	46.2%
		159	51	41	57	5	1	5
	Somewhat safe	36.6%	39.9%	28.0%	39.2%	35.7%	34.6%	12.3%
		213	80	30	88	12	2	1
	Neither	4.1%	3.2%	6.6%	2.3%	7.4%	.0%	24.3%
		24	6	7	5	2	0	3
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	19.4%	14.7%	13.9%	19.1%	.0%	15.8%
		94	39	16	31	6	0	2
	Very unsafe	15.6%	12.3%	12.1%	19.0%	24.4%	47.9%	.0%
	91	25	13	43	8	2	0	
DK/NA	2.0%	.0%	.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	
	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household					
		None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe		a		a		B C
	Very unsafe		a			A	a
	DK/NA	a			a		a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe		A	A			
	Neither					a	A B C
	Somewhat unsafe					a	
	Very unsafe					B C	a
	DK/NA						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither				C	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
	Very unsafe			a	a	A B	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a		C
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe	a				a	a
	DK/NA						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither					a	A C
	Somewhat unsafe					a	
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA	a			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
22A. At home	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	343	285	14	34	3	1	22
		59.0%	63.7%	53.2%	36.3%	37.5%	100.0%	49.3%
	Somewhat safe	190	126	12	48	3	0	17
		32.7%	28.1%	46.8%	51.3%	46.7%	.0%	39.7%
	Neither	16	11	0	2	0	0	1
		2.8%	2.5%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	21	0	9	0	0	2
	4.6%	4.8%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	
Very unsafe	4	4	0	0	1	0	1	
	.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	88	82	6	4	3	1	0
		15.2%	18.3%	23.5%	4.1%	34.3%	75.7%	2%
	Somewhat safe	198	130	13	54	1	0	11
		34.1%	29.0%	49.2%	57.9%	19.9%	24.3%	25.4%
	Neither	62	36	4	5	0	0	5
		10.6%	8.1%	13.8%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	49	4	16	3	0	17
	11.9%	11.0%	13.5%	17.0%	45.8%	.0%	38.3%	
Very unsafe	36	34	0	6	0	0	2	
	6.3%	7.5%	.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	
DK/NA	128	117	0	8	0	0	8	
	22.0%	26.1%	.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	19.1%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	378	313	14	46	6	1	19
		64.9%	69.7%	53.2%	49.4%	84.2%	100.0%	43.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	111	12	45	1	0	23
		29.8%	24.7%	46.8%	48.2%	15.8%	.0%	52.1%
	Neither	9	9	0	0	0	0	1
		1.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	13	0	2	0	0	1
	3.0%	3.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
Very unsafe	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	154	141	8	6	5	0	4

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
22A. At home	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	6	16	13	10	1	10	13
		96.5%	70.4%	28.3%	78.9%	100.0%	83.9%	92.9%
	Somewhat safe	0	7	29	3	0	2	1
		3.5%	29.6%	61.2%	21.1%	.0%	16.1%	7.1%
	Neither	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	1	2	5	6	1	0	3
		15.6%	7.7%	10.9%	44.3%	100.0%	.0%	21.0%
	Somewhat safe	1	8	29	2	0	7	9
		15.2%	34.4%	61.4%	12.2%	.0%	53.5%	67.7%
	Neither	1	3	3	3	0	3	0
		21.7%	12.8%	6.9%	21.0%	.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	1	3	0	0	1	2
	3.5%	5.2%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	11.3%	
Very unsafe	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	
	42.6%	.0%	2.4%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	9	6	1	0	2	0	
	1.4%	39.8%	12.7%	7.6%	.0%	16.1%	.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	7	18	20	10	1	11	10
		100.0%	82.2%	42.8%	78.9%	100.0%	92.3%	75.5%
	Somewhat safe	0	4	27	1	0	1	3
		.0%	17.8%	57.2%	6.3%	.0%	7.7%	24.5%
	Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	2	6	8	5	0	3	0

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	56	7
	Very safe	32	2
		57.7%	28.8%
	Somewhat safe	14	3
		24.8%	41.1%
	Neither	2	2
		4.2%	24.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	0
		7.7%	.0%
Very unsafe	2	0	
	4.0%	5.1%	
DK/NA	1	0	
	1.6%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	56	7
	Very safe	10	0
		17.9%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	17	3
		30.3%	49.3%
	Neither	5	3
		9.3%	40.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	10	0
		17.5%	2.4%
Very unsafe	5	0	
	8.9%	5.1%	
DK/NA	9	0	
	16.2%	2.4%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	56	7
	Very safe	40	4
		72.0%	59.9%
	Somewhat safe	9	1
		16.0%	15.1%
	Neither	3	0
		6.1%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	0
	3.5%	.0%	
Very unsafe	1	0	
	2.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	2	
	.0%	24.9%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	56	7
	Very safe	17	0

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	31.5%	31.9%	6.7%	64.3%	30.8%	8.9%
	Somewhat safe	243	171	11	53	1	0	20
		41.7%	38.2%	42.4%	57.1%	19.9%	.0%	45.3%
	Neither	49	40	7	2	0	0	3
		8.4%	9.0%	25.7%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	60	0	22	1	0	14
		14.8%	13.3%	.0%	24.0%	15.8%	.0%	31.3%
	Very unsafe	42	28	0	10	0	0	1
		7.2%	6.2%	.0%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
DK/NA	9	8	0	0	0	1	3	
	1.5%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	6.1%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	159	145	6	5	2	1	2
		27.4%	32.2%	21.7%	5.6%	33.0%	93.5%	3.8%
	Somewhat safe	213	148	14	36	2	0	9
		36.6%	33.0%	55.2%	38.3%	28.0%	6.5%	20.3%
	Neither	24	16	0	4	0	0	3
		4.1%	3.6%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	70	6	20	2	0	21
		16.2%	15.7%	23.1%	21.3%	23.1%	.0%	47.0%
Very unsafe	91	69	0	28	1	0	9	
	15.6%	15.3%	.0%	30.5%	15.8%	.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	32.2%	27.8%	16.1%	35.6%	25.0%	24.3%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	5	10	28	6	0	3	1
		67.8%	44.7%	59.5%	42.8%	.0%	27.5%	7.1%
	Neither	0	0	5	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	10.7%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	3	7	1	1	6	3
		.0%	15.0%	13.7%	5.9%	75.0%	48.2%	23.9%
	Very unsafe	0	3	0	0	0	0	9
		.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	2	7	8	7	1	3	0
		30.8%	33.2%	17.6%	51.5%	75.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	2	10	23	6	0	4	1
		23.2%	46.6%	48.7%	47.3%	25.0%	31.3%	7.1%
	Neither	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	1	13	0	0	1	2
		3.5%	5.2%	27.5%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	11.7%
Very unsafe	0	3	0	0	0	5	11	
	.0%	15.0%	.5%	1.1%	.0%	40.5%	81.2%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	30.0%	4.3%
	Somewhat safe	20	5
	Neither	35.1%	68.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	2
	Very unsafe	7.2%	27.4%
	DK/NA	10	0
		18.8%	.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	3	0
	Somewhat safe	5.4%	.0%
	Neither	2	0
	Somewhat unsafe	3.4%	.0%
	Very unsafe	56	7
	DK/NA	16	0
		28.0%	4.3%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	15	2
	Somewhat safe	26.5%	31.8%
	Neither	4	3
	Somewhat unsafe	6.8%	40.6%
	Very unsafe	12	1
	DK/NA	21.2%	15.7%
		10	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	17.6%	5.1%
	Somewhat safe	0	0
	Neither	.0%	2.4%
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English (A)	Cantonese (B)	Chinese (C)	French (D)	German (E)	Hindi (F)	Japanese (G)
22A. At home	Very safe	C I				a,b		I
	Somewhat safe			A		a,b		
	Neither		.b		.b	a,b		.b
	Somewhat unsafe		.b		.b	a,b		.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	A	a,b	.b	.b
	DK/NA			.b		a		
	Very safe	C			F	a		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe			A F		a,b		
	Neither				.b	a,b		
	Somewhat unsafe				.b	a,b	A I	
	Very unsafe	C	.b		.b	a,b		A C I
	DK/NA	C	.b		.b	a,b		
	Very safe	C F I				a,b		.b
	Somewhat safe			A N		a,b	A N	.b
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither		.b	.b	.b	a,b		.b
	Somewhat unsafe		.b		.b	a,b		.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b
	Very safe	C	C		C F	a		
	Somewhat safe			A M		a,b		.b
	Neither		C		.b	a,b	A	.b
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		.b		.b	a,b		.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a,b		.b
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a		.b
	Very safe	C F				a		
	Somewhat safe					a		
	Neither		.b		.b	a,b		A C
	Somewhat unsafe					a,b	A H	.b
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe		.b	A I	.b	a,b	.b	.b
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a,b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						Other (N)
		Korean (H)	Mandarin (I)	Spanish (J)	Tagalog (K)	Thai (L)	Vietnamese (M)	
		22A. At home	Very safe				a,b	
	Somewhat safe		A M N		a,b			
	Neither	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	A
	Very safe			C F	a,b			
	Somewhat safe		A F		a,b			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Neither			.b	a,b			
	Somewhat unsafe	.b			a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b			a,b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	C			a,b		.b	
	Very safe				a,b			
	Somewhat safe		A N		a,b			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b
	Very safe				a		.b	C
	Somewhat safe		M		a,b		.b	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	.b			a	A		
	Somewhat unsafe		.b	.b	a,b	.b	A C F H N	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b		a,b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA				a		.b	
	Very safe	C		C F	a			C F
	Somewhat safe				a			
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe			.b	a,b			
	Very unsafe				a,b	I	A C F H I J N	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
22A. At home	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	A
	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
	DK/NA	.b
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Neither	
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	.b
	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
	DK/NA	A
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	C
	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
	DK/NA	.b
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	A C
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
22A. At home	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	343	18	32	22	17	19
		59.0%	30.5%	60.9%	75.2%	45.1%	60.3%
	Somewhat safe	190	38	20	7	16	6
		32.7%	63.4%	37.8%	24.8%	42.6%	17.9%
	Neither	16	0	0	0	2	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	4	0	0	1	7
	4.6%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	21.7%	
Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	1	0	
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	88	6	14	4	3	9
		15.2%	10.2%	27.6%	13.9%	9.4%	29.4%
	Somewhat safe	198	27	20	9	8	13
		34.1%	45.0%	38.8%	30.3%	21.4%	39.1%
	Neither	62	8	7	2	2	2
		10.6%	12.9%	13.8%	7.7%	6.0%	6.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	0	2	8	5
	11.9%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	21.1%	15.5%	
Very unsafe	36	0	1	2	1	1	
	6.3%	.0%	1.6%	5.2%	2.9%	4.6%	
DK/NA	128	19	9	10	14	2	
	22.0%	31.8%	18.3%	35.4%	39.2%	5.4%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	378	22	29	23	18	22
		64.9%	36.9%	55.5%	77.1%	50.1%	69.1%
	Somewhat safe	174	34	23	5	17	6
		29.8%	57.2%	44.5%	18.7%	45.9%	18.0%
	Neither	9	3	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	0	1	4
	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	12.9%	
Very unsafe	2	0	0	1	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	154	10	17	14	6	10

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	28	26	24	75	83
		66.9%	67.2%	71.5%	56.8%	65.8%
	Somewhat safe	8	10	6	43	37
		18.3%	25.4%	19.0%	32.8%	29.0%
	Neither	4	0	0	7	3
		10.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	2.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	3	2	6	2
	4.8%	7.4%	4.7%	4.6%	1.5%	
Very unsafe	0	0	2	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.8%	.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	0	9	9	15	18
		.0%	23.3%	28.2%	11.2%	14.1%
	Somewhat safe	18	14	7	49	34
		43.5%	35.3%	20.4%	37.1%	27.3%
	Neither	4	3	6	9	19
		8.9%	7.4%	17.5%	6.4%	15.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	3	5	6	29	12
	6.9%	12.9%	17.8%	21.6%	9.7%	
Very unsafe	1	0	2	15	14	
	3.3%	.0%	4.8%	11.1%	10.9%	
DK/NA	15	8	4	17	29	
	37.4%	21.1%	11.3%	12.5%	22.8%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	29	32	21	87	94
		69.1%	84.3%	63.0%	65.9%	74.6%
	Somewhat safe	12	6	9	37	24
		28.0%	15.7%	27.6%	28.0%	19.1%
	Neither	0	0	0	4	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	1.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	0	3	2	5
	2.9%	.0%	9.5%	1.9%	3.9%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	6	9	8	37	37

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	17.0%	32.6%	47.3%	15.0%	32.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	34	21	11	12	12
		41.7%	55.6%	40.9%	38.3%	32.5%	35.8%
	Neither	49	10	5	0	4	5
		8.4%	16.8%	9.3%	1.6%	10.4%	16.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	5	4	4	10	4
		14.8%	7.9%	8.0%	12.2%	27.9%	13.5%
	Very unsafe	42	0	3	0	5	1
	7.2%	.3%	6.1%	.0%	14.2%	1.8%	
DK/NA	9	2	2	0	0	0	
	1.5%	2.5%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	159	13	23	11	4	11
		27.4%	22.2%	43.5%	38.7%	12.1%	34.7%
	Somewhat safe	213	36	23	12	20	9
		36.6%	60.3%	44.6%	41.3%	53.2%	29.2%
	Neither	24	1	0	1	2	0
		4.1%	2.0%	.3%	2.7%	4.6%	.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	9	2	2	7	7
	16.2%	15.0%	4.1%	5.2%	18.8%	20.7%	
Very unsafe	91	0	3	4	4	5	
	15.6%	.4%	6.2%	12.1%	11.3%	14.6%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	15.7%	23.4%	22.9%	27.9%	29.5%
	Somewhat safe	9	13	12	58	61
		22.4%	33.7%	37.0%	43.7%	48.1%
	Neither	5	3	2	4	10
		11.7%	7.8%	6.2%	3.2%	8.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	14	7	4	25	9
		33.2%	19.3%	10.6%	19.2%	6.9%
Very unsafe	7	6	6	7	7	
	17.0%	15.7%	18.6%	5.2%	5.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	2	1	3	
	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.8%	2.1%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	4	10	8	31	43
		10.9%	26.5%	24.6%	23.4%	33.7%
	Somewhat safe	8	11	6	43	44
		20.0%	28.6%	18.1%	32.6%	35.0%
	Neither	2	1	4	3	10
		3.9%	3.6%	10.6%	2.6%	7.7%
Somewhat unsafe	12	7	6	25	18	
	30.1%	17.2%	16.9%	18.8%	14.5%	
Very unsafe	14	9	10	30	11	
	35.1%	24.1%	29.0%	22.7%	9.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe			A			A
	Somewhat safe	C E F G H I J					
	Neither	a	a	a		a	
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a		I J	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a		a	a
	DK/NA				a	a	a
	Very safe						a
	Somewhat safe						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Neither	a	a				
	Somewhat unsafe	a					
	Very unsafe	a					
	DK/NA				E I		I
	Very safe			A			
	Somewhat safe	C E G I J	J	a	a	J	a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	a	a	a			
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a			
	Very unsafe	a	a	a		a	a
	DK/NA					a	a
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe	F					
	Neither	I					
	Somewhat unsafe				J		J
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very unsafe			a			A
	DK/NA					a	a
	Very safe		F				
	Somewhat safe	F H I J					
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						B
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	a		a	a	a	A B J
	DK/NA					a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
22A. At home	Very safe	A	A	A	A
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither	a	a		
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe	a			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a	a	
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe	a			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe				
	DK/NA				
	Very safe	A		A	A
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither	a	a		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe		A		
	DK/NA	a			
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe	A	A	A	
	DK/NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22A. At home	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	343 59.0%	96 57.3%	114 75.0%	133 50.8%
	Somewhat safe	190 32.7%	63 37.8%	24 15.7%	103 39.3%
	Neither	16 2.8%	1 .5%	5 3.0%	11 4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	27 4.6%	6 3.5%	9 5.9%	12 4.5%
	Very unsafe	4 .7%	2 .9%	0 .0%	3 1.0%
	DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	1 .4%	1 .3%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	88 15.2%	28 16.7%	32 20.9%	29 10.9%
	Somewhat safe	198 34.1%	57 34.2%	45 29.3%	96 36.8%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Neither	62 10.6%	21 12.4%	17 11.4%	23 8.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	70 11.9%	10 6.1%	20 12.8%	40 15.1%
	Very unsafe	36 6.3%	5 3.0%	4 2.8%	27 10.4%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	46 27.6%	35 22.8%	47 17.8%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	378 64.9%	95 56.5%	117 76.4%	166 63.5%
	Somewhat safe	174 29.8%	67 39.9%	27 17.4%	80 30.7%
	Neither	9 1.5%	1 .8%	3 1.9%	4 1.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	17 3.0%	4 2.4%	5 3.2%	9 3.3%
	Very unsafe	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	DK/NA	2 .4%	1 .4%	2 1.1%	0 .0%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	154	51	41	62

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	30.5%	27.0%	23.7%
	Somewhat safe	243	75	45	122
		41.7%	44.8%	29.8%	46.6%
	Neither	49	12	14	23
		8.4%	7.0%	9.0%	8.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	15	31	40
		14.8%	9.0%	20.0%	15.4%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	11	19	12
		7.2%	6.6%	12.7%	4.4%
	DK/NA	9	4	2	3
		1.5%	2.1%	1.5%	1.1%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	159	63	49	48
		27.4%	37.5%	32.0%	18.2%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	213	75	41	97
		36.6%	44.7%	26.8%	37.2%
	Neither	24	6	4	13
		4.1%	3.8%	2.8%	5.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	14	24	57
		16.2%	8.1%	15.4%	21.8%
	Very unsafe	91	10	34	46
	15.6%	5.9%	22.6%	17.6%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.4%	.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline (A)	Cell (B)	Online (C)
22A. At home	Very safe		A C	
	Somewhat safe	B		B
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe		a	
	Very unsafe	a	.	
	DK/NA	.		
	Very safe		C	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe			
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe			A
	Very unsafe			A B
	DK/NA	C		
	Very safe		A C	
	Somewhat safe	B		B
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe		a	
	Very unsafe	a	.	a
	DK/NA	.		.
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe	B		B
	Neither			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		A	
	Very unsafe		C	
	DK/NA			
	Very safe	C	C	
	Somewhat safe	B		
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe			A
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe		A	A
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22A. At home	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	343	69	67	57	41	110
		59.0%	57.6%	53.1%	62.9%	52.4%	65.4%
	Somewhat safe	190	36	45	29	28	53
		32.7%	29.6%	35.8%	31.8%	35.8%	31.6%
	Neither	16	5	6	1	2	1
		2.8%	4.4%	5.0%	1.2%	2.8%	.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	9	7	3	5	3
		4.6%	7.6%	5.2%	3.0%	7.0%	1.6%
Very unsafe	4	0	1	1	2	0	
	.7%	.0%	.9%	1.2%	2.0%	.2%	
DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	1	
	.3%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	88	25	14	13	13	24
		15.2%	20.7%	10.8%	14.3%	16.8%	14.2%
	Somewhat safe	198	37	47	34	23	58
		34.1%	30.6%	36.9%	37.4%	29.9%	34.6%
	Neither	62	11	13	12	10	16
		10.6%	9.2%	10.0%	13.4%	12.7%	9.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	18	18	12	12	9
		11.9%	15.3%	14.2%	13.1%	16.1%	5.3%
Very unsafe	36	3	11	6	5	11	
	6.3%	2.7%	8.9%	6.9%	5.9%	6.7%	
DK/NA	128	26	24	13	14	50	
	22.0%	21.5%	19.1%	14.9%	18.5%	29.8%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	378	74	71	62	53	117
		64.9%	61.7%	56.6%	68.9%	68.6%	69.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	44	39	27	19	46
		29.8%	36.3%	30.6%	30.0%	23.9%	27.3%
	Neither	9	1	7	0	0	1
		1.5%	.7%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%
Somewhat unsafe	17	2	6	0	6	4	
	3.0%	1.4%	4.8%	.0%	7.6%	2.3%	
Very unsafe	2	0	1	1	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	1	
	.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.4%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	154	30	24	25	24	51

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	24.9%	19.3%	28.1%	30.4%	30.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	51	54	41	25	71
		41.7%	42.4%	42.5%	45.9%	32.5%	42.5%
	Neither	49	14	7	3	13	12
		8.4%	11.6%	5.8%	3.0%	16.8%	7.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	13	26	18	9	19
		14.8%	11.0%	20.9%	19.9%	11.7%	11.5%
	Very unsafe	42	9	14	2	6	11
		7.2%	7.5%	10.8%	2.2%	8.2%	6.5%
DK/NA	9	3	1	1	0	3	
	1.5%	2.6%	.8%	.9%	.3%	2.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	159	29	26	25	22	57
		27.4%	24.2%	20.8%	28.0%	28.0%	33.9%
	Somewhat safe	213	50	49	27	23	64
		36.6%	41.6%	39.2%	29.8%	29.7%	38.0%
	Neither	24	4	7	6	0	7
		4.1%	3.2%	5.9%	6.7%	.0%	3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	16	14	22	22	20
		16.2%	13.6%	11.2%	24.5%	28.2%	11.7%
Very unsafe	91	21	29	10	11	20	
	15.6%	17.2%	22.9%	11.1%	14.1%	12.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	E				
	Somewhat unsafe					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe		E	a	a	
	Neither			a	a	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				C	
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe					
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
22A. At home	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	342	83	71	27	27	66
		59.2%	67.3%	59.2%	68.5%	65.0%	52.0%
	Somewhat safe	188	31	39	11	10	47
		32.5%	25.4%	33.0%	28.5%	24.5%	37.2%
	Neither	16	3	3	0	2	4
		2.8%	2.6%	2.4%	.0%	5.4%	2.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	4	6	1	1	10
		4.6%	3.0%	4.6%	3.0%	2.5%	7.5%
	Very unsafe	4	2	0	0	1	0
	.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	1	
	.3%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.5%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	87	19	18	6	9	16
		15.1%	15.1%	15.1%	15.6%	21.9%	12.6%
	Somewhat safe	197	35	24	9	14	67
		34.1%	28.8%	20.4%	23.1%	35.0%	52.7%
	Neither	61	19	16	5	2	7
		10.5%	15.0%	13.5%	13.4%	6.1%	5.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	12	13	0	4	19
		12.0%	9.5%	10.9%	.0%	9.4%	14.9%
	Very unsafe	36	5	6	5	3	10
	6.3%	4.3%	5.1%	12.2%	8.6%	7.7%	
DK/NA	128	34	42	14	8	8	
	22.1%	27.2%	35.1%	35.7%	19.1%	6.2%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	375	90	77	27	30	70
		64.7%	73.1%	64.2%	68.8%	73.5%	54.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	28	36	11	8	48
		30.0%	22.6%	30.4%	27.6%	18.9%	37.9%
	Neither	9	2	1	0	0	5
		1.5%	1.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	4	4	1	2	3
		3.0%	3.0%	3.5%	2.0%	5.1%	2.2%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	1	1
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	1.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	2	1	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	1.4%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	153	26	39	12	15	18

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
22A. At home	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	58	3	7
		52.1%	40.2%	82.4%
	Somewhat safe	44	3	2
		39.0%	48.3%	17.6%
	Neither	3	1	0
		3.0%	11.6%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	5	0	0
	4.8%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	1	0	0	
	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	17	0	2
		15.4%	.0%	24.7%
	Somewhat safe	41	2	4
		36.9%	23.4%	42.3%
	Neither	9	2	0
		7.6%	29.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	19	2	1
	17.0%	22.7%	15.0%	
Very unsafe	7	0	0	
	6.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	19	2	2	
	17.1%	24.9%	18.0%	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	69	5	6
		61.9%	69.1%	69.2%
	Somewhat safe	38	2	3
		33.5%	30.9%	30.8%
	Neither	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	0	0
	3.5%	.0%	.0%	
Very unsafe	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	36	2	5

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	21.3%	32.3%	30.1%	36.3%	14.4%
	Somewhat safe	240	57	42	10	16	57
		41.5%	46.0%	35.0%	24.8%	38.5%	44.9%
	Neither	49	10	14	8	2	8
		8.4%	7.7%	11.6%	19.7%	5.1%	6.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	21	11	7	5	27
		14.8%	16.8%	9.2%	18.7%	12.2%	21.3%
	Very unsafe	42	6	12	1	3	15
		7.2%	5.0%	10.3%	2.7%	7.6%	12.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	2	2	0	1
	1.5%	3.2%	1.6%	4.0%	.2%	.8%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	158	37	40	17	13	21
		27.3%	30.1%	33.5%	42.1%	30.6%	16.3%
	Somewhat safe	212	44	42	15	14	46
		36.6%	35.4%	35.0%	37.1%	34.0%	35.8%
	Neither	24	4	3	2	0	9
		4.1%	3.1%	2.5%	6.1%	1.1%	6.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	93	21	25	3	6	17
		16.1%	16.6%	20.9%	8.1%	14.0%	13.5%
	Very unsafe	91	18	10	3	8	35
	15.7%	14.7%	8.1%	6.6%	19.7%	27.1%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.5%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	32.3%	31.0%	55.5%
	Somewhat safe	54	2	3
		48.3%	32.6%	29.5%
	Neither	7	0	0
		6.1%	.0%	1.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	12	2	0
		10.8%	34.3%	.0%
Very unsafe	3	0	1	
	2.4%	2.2%	13.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	24	2	5
		21.6%	26.8%	55.5%
	Somewhat safe	48	1	3
		43.0%	19.3%	31.3%
	Neither	4	1	0
		3.9%	15.3%	.0%
Somewhat unsafe	19	1	1	
	17.3%	13.7%	13.1%	
Very unsafe	16	2	0	
	14.1%	24.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe			a			
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a		a	
	Very unsafe	a		a	a		a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe					A B C	
	Neither			a			
	Somewhat unsafe						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA	E	E	E			
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe			a	a		
	Neither						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe	a	a	a			
	DK/NA	a			a	a	a
	Very safe		E				E
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						a
	DK/NA						
	Very safe		E	E			
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe					B	
	DK/NA	a	a	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
22A. At home	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		a
	Neither		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		a
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		E
	Somewhat safe		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		a
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		a
	DK/NA	a	a
	Very safe		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	582
	Excellent	122 21.0%	122 21.0%
	Good	372 63.9%	372 63.9%
	Poor	46 7.9%	46 7.9%
	Very poor	12 2.0%	12 2.0%
	DK/NA	30 5.1%	30 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Total
		(A)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	.
	Good	.
	Poor	.
	Very poor	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Excellent	122 21.0%	72 25.5%	51 17.0%	0 .0%
	Good	372 63.9%	162 57.4%	209 70.3%	1 33.7%
	Poor	46 7.9%	24 8.4%	21 7.2%	1 34.4%
	Very poor	12 2.0%	7 2.6%	3 1.2%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	30 5.1%	17 6.0%	13 4.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B		a
	Good		A	
	Poor			
	Very poor			A B
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Excellent	122 21.0%	16 21.5%	24 23.6%	32 22.5%	31 20.4%	19 18.8%	0 .0%
	Good	372 63.9%	52 68.2%	65 64.2%	81 57.7%	102 66.8%	65 63.6%	7 75.7%
	Poor	46 7.9%	3 3.7%	12 12.3%	13 9.1%	7 4.7%	9 8.6%	2 24.3%
	Very poor	12 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.9%	4 2.8%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	30 5.1%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	10 6.8%	8 5.3%	7 7.0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						a
	Good						
	Poor	a	a				a
	Very poor		a				a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	424	158
	Excellent	122	93	30
		21.0%	21.8%	18.9%
	Good	372	267	105
		63.9%	62.9%	66.5%
	Poor	46	31	15
		7.9%	7.3%	9.7%
Very poor	12	8	3	
	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	
DK/NA	30	25	4	
	5.1%	6.0%	2.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Excellent	122	50	20	5	47
		21.0%	20.7%	24.5%	32.5%	19.5%
	Good	372	148	51	9	164
		63.9%	60.9%	63.1%	57.2%	67.6%
	Poor	46	21	5	1	18
		7.9%	8.8%	6.3%	8.4%	7.6%
Very poor	12	7	2	0	3	
	2.0%	2.9%	2.4%	.0%	1.1%	
DK/NA	30	17	3	0	10	
	5.1%	6.8%	3.7%	1.9%	4.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Poor			a	
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Excellent	122	23	12	8	4	35	40
		21.0%	19.1%	18.2%	25.7%	14.6%	27.1%	19.4%
	Good	372	77	38	19	21	72	145
		63.9%	63.3%	57.7%	58.5%	72.9%	56.0%	70.9%
	Poor	46	14	4	1	4	14	10
		7.9%	11.7%	6.5%	1.7%	12.5%	10.5%	4.9%
Very poor	12	3	3	2	0	1	3	
	2.0%	2.5%	4.9%	5.2%	.0%	.9%	1.3%	
DK/NA	30	4	8	3	0	7	7	
	5.1%	3.5%	12.8%	8.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Poor					a	
	Very poor					a	
	DK/NA					a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Excellent	122	59	20	17	7	5
		21.0%	22.4%	25.3%	21.1%	13.9%	19.2%
	Good	372	166	45	55	34	16
		63.9%	63.2%	57.1%	68.0%	71.5%	60.7%
	Poor	46	19	8	3	6	4
		7.9%	7.3%	10.7%	3.6%	11.9%	15.7%
Very poor	12	4	0	3	0	0	
	2.0%	1.4%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	30	15	5	3	1	1	
	5.1%	5.7%	6.9%	3.3%	2.7%	4.4%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	23	38	24
	Excellent	5	6	4
		20.8%	17.0%	14.5%
	Good	15	25	16
		63.8%	64.9%	66.2%
	Poor	1	1	3
		5.7%	3.0%	14.2%
Very poor	0	4	1	
	1.3%	10.0%	2.6%	
DK/NA	2	2	1	
	8.4%	4.9%	2.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Poor		a		a	a
	Very poor					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Poor		A	
	Very poor			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Excellent	122	1	32	8	13	5	1	12	21	11
		21.0%	9.0%	18.7%	19.0%	29.2%	29.4%	10.7%	29.4%	21.8%	14.5%
	Good	372	2	96	29	27	9	9	23	67	59
		63.9%	31.7%	55.5%	70.4%	59.3%	55.9%	65.8%	55.6%	68.8%	81.1%
	Poor	46	4	18	3	3	2	3	5	5	1
		7.9%	59.3%	10.2%	7.6%	6.7%	14.6%	20.8%	12.3%	4.7%	1.2%
Very poor	12	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	
	2.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.4%	2.2%	.3%	
DK/NA	30	0	18	1	2	0	0	1	3	2	
	5.1%	.0%	10.5%	3.0%	4.9%	.0%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	2.8%	

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	64	2	5
	Excellent	15	0	3
		23.1%	.0%	51.1%
	Good	46	2	1
		71.1%	100.0%	21.3%
	Poor	1	0	2
		1.5%	.0%	27.6%
Very poor	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	
	4.3%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent									
	Good									B
	Poor	B C D H I J								
	Very poor	a		a	a	a				
	DK/NA	a				a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		a	
	Good		a	
	Poor		a	I
	Very poor	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	464	118
	Excellent	122	93	29
		21.0%	20.1%	24.5%
	Good	372	303	68
		63.9%	65.4%	57.9%
	Poor	46	41	5
	7.9%	8.9%	4.0%	
Very poor	12	8	4	
	2.0%	1.7%	3.1%	
DK/NA	30	17	12	
	5.1%	3.7%	10.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	347	235
	Excellent	122	75	48
		21.0%	21.5%	20.3%
	Good	372	223	148
		63.9%	64.4%	63.1%
	Poor	46	23	23
	7.9%	6.6%	9.9%	
Very poor	12	9	3	
	2.0%	2.6%	1.2%	
DK/NA	30	17	13	
	5.1%	4.9%	5.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Excellent	122	4	2	28	26	29
		21.0%	36.4%	4.8%	30.1%	19.7%	17.9%
	Good	372	7	37	49	86	111
		63.9%	63.6%	74.9%	52.3%	65.1%	68.0%
	Poor	46	0	6	12	8	10
	7.9%	.0%	11.4%	12.4%	6.2%	6.2%	
Very poor	12	0	0	2	5	0	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	3.8%	.2%	
DK/NA	30	0	4	2	7	13	
	5.1%	.0%	8.9%	2.6%	5.3%	7.7%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	133	1
	Excellent	33	0
		24.7%	.0%
	Good	82	1
		61.7%	100.0%
	Poor	11	0
	8.0%	.0%	
Very poor	4	0	
	3.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	0	
	2.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B		B		
	Good	.b				
	Poor	.b	.b			
	Very poor	.b				
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B	a,b
	Good		a,b
	Poor		a,b
	Very poor		a,b
	DK/NA		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Excellent	122	12	34	37	21	18	1
	Good	371	26	140	91	73	36	5
	Poor	46	5	20	6	10	1	4
	Very poor	12	1	4	2	5	0	0
	DK/NA	29	2	13	6	5	3	1
		5.0%	3.6%	6.1%	3.9%	4.3%	5.0%	12.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						C E
	Poor					a	a
	Very poor						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Excellent	122	0	0	29	0
		21.0%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
	Good	372	1	3	134	1
		63.9%	50.0%	100.0%	71.0%	48.8%
	Poor	46	0	0	11	0
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%
Very poor	12	1	0	1	0	
	2.0%	50.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	30	0	0	14	1	
	5.1%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	51.2%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Excellent	34	2	6	1	7
		32.2%	15.0%	24.4%	17.2%	52.0%
	Good	55	12	19	7	6
		52.3%	77.9%	75.6%	82.8%	48.0%
	Poor	6	1	0	0	0
		5.9%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Very poor	5	0	0	0	0	
	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	0	0	0	
	5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Excellent	2	31	3	0	3
		23.4%	20.8%	13.2%	.0%	48.2%
	Good	6	88	15	11	1
		60.4%	59.1%	62.5%	94.5%	15.2%
	Poor	2	18	6	1	1
		16.3%	12.0%	24.3%	5.5%	8.8%
Very poor	0	4	0	0	1	
	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	16.6%	
DK/NA	0	8	0	0	1	
	.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	17
	Excellent	3
		19.0%
	Good	12
		70.5%
	Poor	1
	7.8%	
Very poor	0	
	.0%	
DK/NA	0	
	2.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	C
	Good
	Poor
	Very poor	C E K
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	.	.	.	C	.
	Good
	Poor
	Very poor
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent
	Good
	Poor
	Very poor	.	.	.	C	.
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Excellent	57	30	20	3	0	3
		21.2%	28.6%	17.8%	11.4%	.0%	36.6%
	Good	166	57	69	24	11	5
		62.2%	55.1%	61.1%	78.3%	99.1%	59.0%
	Poor	23	8	11	3	0	0
		8.6%	8.2%	10.1%	9.6%	.9%	.0%
Very poor	7	2	5	0	0	0	
	2.7%	2.4%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	14	6	8	0	0	0	
	5.4%	5.7%	6.8%	.8%	.0%	4.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent
	Good	.	.	.	A	.
	Poor
	Very poor
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Excellent	85	23	49	7	1	4
		22.2%	21.4%	22.0%	22.0%	17.5%	37.8%
	Good	248	75	139	24	4	5
		65.0%	69.6%	62.2%	72.8%	80.4%	48.9%
	Poor	21	3	15	2	0	1
5.6%		3.0%	6.7%	5.3%	2.1%	9.8%	
Very poor	8	2	6	0	0	0	
	2.2%	1.7%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	19	5	14	0	0	0	
	4.9%	4.2%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Poor			a	a	a
	Very poor			a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Excellent	122	90	2	15	0	0	10	0
		21.0%	20.1%	8.4%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	23.9%	3.5%
	Good	372	277	21	65	5	1	25	6
		63.9%	61.8%	81.0%	70.4%	69.7%	93.5%	57.9%	96.5%
	Poor	46	43	3	2	0	0	1	0
7.9%		9.5%	10.6%	2.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.9%	.0%	
Very poor	12	12	0	1	2	0	3	0	
	2.0%	2.6%	.0%	1.1%	29.1%	.0%	7.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	30	27	0	9	0	0	3	0	
	5.1%	6.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Excellent	6	7	2	1	3	7	18	1
		27.5%	14.8%	12.2%	75.0%	25.0%	52.0%	33.0%	9.4%
	Good	16	37	9	0	9	6	27	5
		72.5%	77.5%	71.7%	25.0%	73.1%	48.0%	48.7%	70.0%
	Poor	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	1
.0%		5.8%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	18.2%	
Very poor	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	
	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	4.0%	2.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				a	a,b			
	Good					b			
	Poor						a	a	
	Very poor		a		A C	a,b	a	a	
	DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			b				
	Good			b	a	a		
	Poor			a,b	a	a		
	Very poor	a		a,b	a	a		a
	DK/NA		a	a,b		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Excellent	122	9	1	7	11	11	13
	Good	372	43	46	20	16	20	27
	Poor	46	7	4	1	6	0	1
	Very poor	12	0	0	0	3	0	0
	DK/NA	30	2	1	2	1	1	0
			5.1%	2.8%	1.7%	5.2%	1.6%	3.8%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Excellent	10	10	31	18
	Good	19	21	71	89
	Poor	7	1	14	6
	Very poor	0	0	6	3
	DK/NA	2	1	10	10
			6.4%	3.4%	7.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				B	B	B
	Good		D G I			a	
	Poor	a	a	a		a	a
	Very poor						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B	B	B	
	Good				
	Poor	a	a		
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Excellent	122	33	33	56
	Good	372	115	110	147
	Poor	46	13	7	27
	Very poor	12	3	0	9
	DK/NA	30	4	3	23
			5.1%	2.2%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good	C	C	
	Poor		a	
	Very poor			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Excellent	100	28	25	17	30
	Good	295	83	53	48	111
	Poor	32	6	7	6	13
	Very poor	11	2	2	1	5
	DK/NA	25	7	3	7	8
			5.5%	5.6%	3.7%	8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Poor				
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Excellent	122	18	32	9	11	21	26
		21.1%	14.6%	27.2%	22.4%	26.4%	16.5%	23.2%
	Good	371	83	65	27	24	96	67
		64.1%	67.2%	54.8%	67.2%	59.2%	74.9%	59.7%
	Poor	45	12	9	2	3	8	9
		7.8%	9.6%	7.9%	4.3%	8.3%	6.2%	8.4%
Very poor	11	2	4	1	1	0	3	
	1.9%	1.9%	3.1%	2.3%	2.5%	.1%	2.3%	
DK/NA	30	8	8	2	1	3	7	
	5.2%	6.7%	7.0%	3.8%	3.6%	2.2%	6.4%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	7	9
	Excellent	3	3
		36.9%	29.0%
	Good	4	5
		58.8%	56.0%
	Poor	0	1
	.0%	15.0%	
Very poor	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	4.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent							
	Good					B		a
	Poor							a
	Very poor							.
	DK/NA							.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Male Oth	
		(H)	
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		a
	Very poor		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	582
	More resentful / closed	40	40
		6.8%	6.8%
	More sympathetic / open	203	203
		34.9%	34.9%
	No change in how I feel	312	312
	53.6%	53.6%	
DK/NA	27	27	
	4.6%	4.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total	
		Total	(A)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	.	.
	More sympathetic / open	.	.
	No change in how I feel	.	.
	DK/NA	.	.
		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	22 8.0%	16 5.5%	1 31.9%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	88 31.2%	116 38.9%	0 .0%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	156 55.5%	155 52.0%	1 33.7%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	15 5.3%	11 3.7%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a
	More sympathetic / open			.
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA			B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	18 12.6%	10 6.7%	6 6.0%	2 19.3%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	14 18.3%	37 36.8%	49 34.9%	61 40.0%	41 39.9%	1 12.5%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	54 69.9%	60 59.3%	67 47.3%	72 47.2%	54 52.8%	6 68.2%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	9 11.8%	0 .0%	7 5.2%	9 6.1%	1 1.2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	Not coded (F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	.					
	More sympathetic / open	C D			A	A	
	No change in how I feel	E	a				a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	424	158
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	25 5.8%	15 9.6%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	152 35.9%	51 32.3%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	226 53.2%	86 54.8%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	22 5.1%	5 3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	19 7.9%	7 8.6%	0 1.0%	13 5.6%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	80 33.0%	21 26.3%	7 42.7%	95 39.2%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	135 55.3%	48 59.5%	9 55.3%	121 49.9%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	9 3.9%	4 5.6%	0 1.0%	13 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	11 9.5%	6 9.7%	2 6.4%	3 9.8%	9 7.2%	8 3.7%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	41 33.9%	20 30.6%	7 20.9%	8 29.7%	34 26.7%	92 45.0%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	65 53.4%	35 52.1%	23 69.8%	15 52.6%	77 59.9%	98 47.9%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	4 3.2%	5 7.7%	1 2.9%	2 7.9%	8 6.1%	7 3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed						
	More sympathetic / open						E
	No change in how I feel						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	18 6.7%	2 2.2%	9 11.1%	2 4.4%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	92 35.0%	19 23.8%	31 38.6%	17 35.5%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	143 54.3%	51 64.5%	41 50.3%	26 54.8%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	10 4.0%	7 9.5%	0 .0%	2 5.3%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More resentful / closed	1 4.3%	1 6.2%	3 9.0%	3 13.4%
	More sympathetic / open	17 65.9%	10 44.2%	12 32.7%	4 17.7%
	No change in how I feel	5 20.2%	10 41.7%	20 52.4%	17 68.9%
	DK/NA	3 9.6%	2 7.9%	2 5.9%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel	E	E	a	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open	A B H			
	No change in how I feel				E
	DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	0 .0%	12 6.9%	2 5.4%	7 14.6%	1 8.0%	2 12.2%	4 10.3%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	2 30.8%	71 40.8%	21 50.1%	7 16.1%	8 45.7%	9 63.1%	10 24.2%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	2 25.7%	79 45.6%	16 38.0%	32 69.3%	8 46.4%	3 24.6%	26 63.0%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	3 43.5%	12 6.8%	3 6.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More resentful / closed	8 7.9%	2 2.8%	0 .6%	0 .0%	1 26.6%
	More sympathetic / open	22 22.9%	25 34.4%	26 40.2%	1 46.3%	2 29.8%
	No change in how I feel	62 64.3%	46 62.8%	35 54.8%	1 53.7%	1 22.3%
	DK/NA	5 4.9%	0 .0%	3 4.3%	0 .0%	1 21.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a							
	More sympathetic / open			D			D		
	No change in how I feel				a	a	a		
	DK/NA	B G H J							

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a	J
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel	a		a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	464	118
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	32 6.9%	8 6.4%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	160 34.6%	43 36.3%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	252 54.4%	60 50.5%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	19 4.1%	8 6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	347	235
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	25 7.1%	15 6.5%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	123 35.5%	80 34.0%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	186 53.6%	126 53.6%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	13 3.8%	14 5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More resentful / closed	40	2	1	12	8
		6.8%	14.7%	1.6%	12.6%	6.2%
	More sympathetic / open	203	6	16	34	57
		34.9%	53.6%	32.2%	36.1%	43.3%
	No change in how I feel	312	2	31	46	57
	53.6%	21.0%	63.2%	48.7%	43.6%	
DK/NA	27	1	2	2	9	
	4.6%	10.7%	3.1%	2.6%	6.9%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	163	133	1
	More resentful / closed	5	12	0
		3.3%	9.1%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	53	37	1
		32.6%	27.9%	100.0%
	No change in how I feel	98	78	0
	59.7%	58.8%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	6	0	
	4.4%	4.2%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a,b
	More sympathetic / open			a,b
	No change in how I feel			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More resentful / closed	40	2	17	9	7	5	0
		6.9%	3.6%	8.2%	6.7%	5.8%	8.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	201	16	70	63	33	17	3
		34.7%	34.6%	33.4%	44.6%	28.7%	29.3%	27.0%
	No change in how I feel	312	27	115	64	65	33	7
	53.8%	60.0%	54.5%	45.8%	57.3%	56.4%	62.6%	
DK/NA	27	1	8	4	9	4	1	
	4.7%	1.8%	3.9%	2.8%	8.1%	6.3%	10.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed						a
	More sympathetic / open						
	No change in how I feel						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	More resentful / closed	40	0	0	8	0
		6.8%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	203	1	0	78	1
		34.9%	50.0%	.0%	41.2%	48.8%
	No change in how I feel	312	0	3	96	1
	53.6%	.0%	100.0%	50.9%	51.2%	
DK/NA	27	1	0	7	0	
	4.6%	50.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	106	15	25	8
	More resentful / closed	11	0	0	0
		10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	45	4	13	4
		42.4%	23.2%	50.5%	52.8%
	No change in how I feel	44	9	12	3
	41.3%	59.8%	49.5%	34.4%	
DK/NA	7	3	0	1	
	6.3%	17.0%	.0%	12.8%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	14	10	148	23
	More resentful / closed	0	2	17	1
	More sympathetic / open	.0%	16.3%	11.4%	6.1%
	No change in how I feel	3	3	36	7
		24.4%	33.6%	24.4%	31.2%
	DK/NA	10	5	90	15
	75.6%	50.1%	60.9%	62.7%	
	0	0	5	0	
	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	12	6	17
	More resentful / closed	0	1	0
	More sympathetic / open	.0%	23.2%	.0%
	No change in how I feel	2	1	5
		16.7%	10.2%	26.9%
	DK/NA	10	4	10
	83.3%	66.6%	55.3%	
	0	0	3	
	.0%	.0%	17.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a	a		a
	More sympathetic / open	a	a		a
	No change in how I feel	a	a		a
	DK/NA	C K	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		a		a
	More sympathetic / open		a		a
	No change in how I feel			a	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a			
	More sympathetic / open	a			
	No change in how I feel	a	a		a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a		a
	More sympathetic / open	a		a
	No change in how I feel	a	a	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More resentful / closed	24	9	13	2	0	0
		9.1%	8.4%	11.9%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	97	29	45	13	6	4
		36.3%	27.8%	39.8%	41.0%	60.1%	45.8%
	No change in how I feel	132	61	46	16	4	5
	49.6%	58.8%	41.0%	52.1%	39.9%	54.2%	
	DK/NA	13	5	8	0	0	0
		5.0%	5.0%	7.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a	a	a
	More sympathetic / open			a	a	a
	No change in how I feel			a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a
				a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More resentful / closed	23	7	15	1	1	0
	More sympathetic / open	143	41	77	20	3	2
	No change in how I feel	199	58	120	12	0	8
	DK/NA	16	1	12	0	1	2
		4.1%	1.3%	5.2%	.0%	23.3%	14.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			B		a
	More sympathetic / open			a	A	A
	No change in how I feel			a	A	A
	DK/NA			a	A	A
				a	A	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More resentful / closed	40	34	0	5	2	0	8
	More sympathetic / open	203	125	10	41	1	0	16
	No change in how I feel	312	266	15	45	4	1	19
	DK/NA	27	23	1	3	0	0	0
		6.8%	7.6%	.0%	5.3%	29.1%	.0%	19.1%
		34.9%	28.0%	36.8%	43.6%	19.9%	.0%	37.4%
		53.6%	59.3%	58.7%	48.1%	51.0%	100.0%	43.5%
		4.6%	5.1%	4.4%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	More resentful / closed	0	0	0	2	0	0
	More sympathetic / open	1	13	28	4	0	7
	No change in how I feel	6	10	20	8	1	4
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
		15.6%	56.9%	58.0%	29.2%	.0%	59.4%
		84.4%	43.1%	42.0%	58.5%	100.0%	32.2%
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	14	56	7
	More resentful / closed	0	6	0
	More sympathetic / open	3	21	1
	No change in how I feel	10	24	3
	DK/NA	0	5	3
		.0%	10.4%	.0%
		24.4%	37.1%	18.2%
		75.6%	43.5%	38.7%
		.0%	9.1%	43.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		a			a,b		
	More sympathetic / open					a,b		
	No change in how I feel				a	a,b	a	
	DK/NA					a,b		
						a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a	a	a		a,b	a
	More sympathetic / open			A		a,b	
	No change in how I feel			a	a	a,b	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	
						a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a		a
	More sympathetic / open			
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA	a		AC

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	More resentful / closed	40	1	3	3	3	2
	More sympathetic / open	203	31	12	7	19	10
	No change in how I feel	312	28	36	19	14	20
	DK/NA	27	0	1	0	2	0
		4.6%	.3%	2.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	More resentful / closed	2	2	2	15	7
	More sympathetic / open	30	4	14	47	31
	No change in how I feel	9	29	17	65	74
	DK/NA	1	3	0	5	14
		2.8%	7.4%	1.2%	4.1%	11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	G J			G	
	More sympathetic / open		F	F a		F a
	No change in how I feel					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	B C E G I J				
	More sympathetic / open		D F			
	No change in how I feel					F
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More resentful / closed	40	10	10	20
	More sympathetic / open	203	64	35	105
	No change in how I feel	312	91	100	121
	DK/NA	27	3	8	16
		4.6%	2.1%	5.2%	6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	B		B
	More sympathetic / open		C	
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	More resentful / closed	32	7	10	3	13
		7.0%	5.5%	11.2%	3.2%	7.6%
	More sympathetic / open	166	47	38	26	55
		35.9%	37.2%	42.2%	33.5%	32.8%
No change in how I feel	246	67	38	48	92	
	53.1%	53.4%	41.9%	61.8%	55.0%	
DK/NA	18	5	4	1	8	
	3.9%	3.9%	4.7%	1.5%	4.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	More resentful / closed	39	10	8	4	3	2
		6.7%	8.3%	6.7%	9.4%	7.9%	1.8%
	More sympathetic / open	203	47	34	12	9	53
		35.1%	37.9%	28.2%	31.0%	21.8%	41.5%
	No change in how I feel	311	63	72	22	26	66
	53.7%	50.8%	60.4%	56.2%	62.7%	52.1%	
DK/NA	26	4	6	1	3	6	
	4.5%	3.1%	4.7%	3.4%	7.6%	4.5%	

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	112	7	9
	More resentful / closed	11	0	0
		10.0%	2.2%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	42	4	3
		37.3%	51.7%	35.6%
No change in how I feel	53	3	6	
	47.3%	46.1%	62.6%	
DK/NA	6	0	0	
	5.4%	.0%	1.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed					
	More sympathetic / open					
	No change in how I feel					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			^a
	More sympathetic / open			
	No change in how I feel		^a	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	582
	Too much	34	34
		5.9%	5.9%
	About the right amount	367	367
		63.0%	63.0%
	Not enough	85	85
	14.6%	14.6%	
DK/NA	96	96	
	16.5%	16.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	.
	About the right amount	.
	Not enough	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Too much	34	16	16	2
	About the right amount	367	170	196	1
	Not enough	85	43	42	0
	DK/NA	96	53	43	0
		16.5%	18.8%	14.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			A B
	About the right amount			.
	Not enough			.
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Too much	34	0	3	7	16	8	2
	About the right amount	367	54	75	83	82	69	5
	Not enough	85	10	16	27	22	10	0
	DK/NA	96	14	8	24	33	14	2
		16.5%	17.8%	7.8%	17.3%	21.9%	14.2%	24.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a					
	About the right amount		D				
	Not enough						a
	DK/NA				B		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	424	158
	Too much	34	24	10
	About the right amount	367	267	100
	Not enough	85	61	24
	DK/NA	96	73	23
		16.5%	17.1%	14.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Too much	34	9	13	0	12
	About the right amount	367	163	46	9	149
	Not enough	85	35	10	3	38
	DK/NA	96	37	12	4	43

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		A D		
	About the right amount				
	Not enough				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Too much	34	4	3	7	4	9	8
	About the right amount	367	80	40	17	15	84	130
	Not enough	85	20	11	6	3	11	34
	DK/NA	96	16	12	2	7	24	34

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			A F			
	About the right amount						
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Too much	34	13	2	3	6
	About the right amount	367	152	53	59	28
	Not enough	85	45	10	11	6
	DK/NA	96	54	13	8	8

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Too much	1	1	3	5
	About the right amount	19	14	26	15
	Not enough	4	4	5	0
	DK/NA	3	3	4	4

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much					
	About the right amount					
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			
	About the right amount			A
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Too much	34	1	8	3	0	0	0	4
		5.9%	15.8%	4.7%	6.3%	.0%	1.7%	1.1%	10.6%
	About the right amount	367	5	90	23	38	9	10	27
		63.0%	72.2%	52.1%	54.5%	81.6%	51.2%	73.0%	64.9%
Not enough	85	0	32	7	4	4	2	3	
	14.6%	.0%	18.8%	15.7%	9.5%	22.5%	14.9%	7.7%	
DK/NA	96	1	42	10	4	4	1	7	
	16.5%	12.0%	24.4%	23.5%	8.9%	24.6%	10.9%	16.8%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Too much	5	7	4	0	1
		4.7%	10.0%	6.5%	9.8%	26.6%
	About the right amount	71	49	42	2	2
		73.6%	66.3%	65.5%	90.2%	32.0%
Not enough	14	10	8	0	1	
	14.5%	13.1%	11.9%	.0%	19.1%	
DK/NA	7	8	10	0	1	
	7.2%	10.6%	16.0%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				a				
	About the right amount				B				B
	Not enough	a							
	DK/NA		H						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				
	About the right amount				
	Not enough			a	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	464	118
	Too much	34	27	8
		5.9%	5.7%	6.6%
	About the right amount	367	300	67
		63.0%	64.7%	56.7%
Not enough	85	68	17	
	14.6%	14.7%	14.2%	
DK/NA	96	69	27	
	16.5%	14.9%	22.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	347	235
	Too much	34	26	8
	About the right amount	367	217	150
	Not enough	85	50	34
	DK/NA	96	53	43
		16.5%	15.4%	18.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Too much	34	0	1	4	8
	About the right amount	367	8	22	59	82
	Not enough	85	0	10	19	23
	DK/NA	96	3	15	12	19
			16.5%	29.0%	30.1%	12.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	163	133	1
	Too much	4	17	0
	About the right amount	111	85	0
	Not enough	19	13	1
	DK/NA	30	17	0
		18.1%	13.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a			
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	a			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		E	a.,b
	About the right amount			a.,b
	Not enough			a.,b
	DK/NA			a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Too much	34	3	13	6	7	4	0
	About the right amount	366	27	123	103	63	40	8
	Not enough	85	7	37	15	21	3	2
	DK/NA	96	7	38	16	23	11	1
		16.5%	15.6%	18.0%	11.6%	19.9%	18.4%	10.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much						
	About the right amount			D			
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Too much	34	0	0	9	0
	About the right amount	367	1	3	125	0
	Not enough	85	1	0	19	0
	DK/NA	96	0	0	36	2
		16.5%	.0%	.0%	18.9%	100.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Too much	1	1	0	0
	About the right amount	63	8	21	6
	Not enough	27	5	1	1
	DK/NA	15	1	3	1
		13.8%	7.1%	11.0%	17.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Too much	2	0	17	2
	About the right amount	11	4	90	13
	Not enough	0	3	15	8
	DK/NA	1	3	26	0
		7.1%	31.9%	17.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	12	6	17
	Too much	1	1	0
	About the right amount	7	3	11
	Not enough	1	0	3
	DK/NA	3	1	4
		26.1%	21.3%	20.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	a		a
	About the right amount	.	a		a
	Not enough	.	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			a	a
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	C			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		a	E	
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	a			C
	DK/NA				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		E	
	About the right amount			
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Too much	17	7	6	2	0	1
		6.2%	6.8%	5.7%	6.5%	.0%	11.5%
	About the right amount	160	61	65	21	8	4
		59.9%	58.3%	57.7%	70.2%	78.8%	48.4%
	Not enough	44	22	18	2	0	2
	16.5%	21.1%	15.9%	5.5%	.0%	27.1%	
DK/NA	47	14	23	5	2	1	
	17.5%	13.8%	20.8%	17.8%	21.2%	12.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				a	
	About the right amount					
	Not enough				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Too much	26	6	16	2	2	1
		6.9%	5.2%	7.2%	4.9%	40.8%	9.2%
	About the right amount	243	71	139	23	3	6
		63.9%	66.2%	62.2%	69.7%	57.1%	58.4%
	Not enough	46	11	29	4	0	2
	12.1%	10.3%	12.9%	12.2%	.0%	16.9%	
DK/NA	65	20	40	4	0	2	
	17.2%	18.3%	17.7%	13.3%	2.1%	15.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				A	
	About the right amount					
	Not enough				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Too much	34	29	0	9	1	0	0
		5.9%	6.6%	.0%	10.0%	13.2%	.0%	.0%
	About the right amount	367	266	22	53	3	1	22
		63.0%	59.2%	85.3%	56.5%	42.9%	69.2%	50.5%
Not enough	85	69	4	10	1	0	16	
	14.6%	15.4%	14.7%	10.7%	15.8%	.0%	37.5%	
DK/NA	96	84	0	21	2	0	5	
	16.5%	18.8%	.0%	22.7%	28.0%	30.8%	12.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Too much	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.4%	.0%	.0%
	About the right amount	5	20	33	6	1	9
		76.0%	90.1%	68.2%	43.2%	75.0%	69.8%
Not enough	1	1	3	4	0	1	
	15.6%	5.2%	5.8%	27.8%	25.0%	7.7%	
DK/NA	1	1	12	2	0	3	
	8.4%	4.7%	26.1%	15.6%	.0%	22.4%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	14	56	7
	Too much	2	3	1
		11.7%	5.5%	17.8%
	About the right amount	11	38	3
		81.2%	68.2%	40.8%
Not enough	0	6	2	
	.0%	10.3%	33.8%	
DK/NA	1	9	1	
	7.1%	16.1%	7.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		a			a,b	a
	About the right amount		.			.	.
	Not enough					a,b	A C I N
	DK/NA		a			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	a	a		a,b	a
	About the right amount					.	.
	Not enough					.	.
	DK/NA					a,b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			
	About the right amount			
	Not enough	a		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Too much	34	2	5	0	1	2
		5.9%	4.0%	9.1%	.0%	1.9%	5.7%
	About the right amount	367	49	39	22	21	22
		63.0%	80.8%	75.6%	76.7%	58.1%	67.2%
Not enough	85	6	3	2	10	3	
	14.6%	10.5%	5.4%	7.7%	27.5%	9.4%	
DK/NA	96	3	5	5	5	6	
	16.5%	4.6%	9.9%	15.5%	12.6%	17.7%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Too much	3	2	3	11	6
		6.3%	4.4%	8.5%	8.5%	5.1%
	About the right amount	33	22	19	71	69
		79.2%	57.6%	57.5%	53.4%	54.6%
Not enough	3	3	5	23	26	
	6.4%	8.6%	15.5%	17.5%	20.6%	
DK/NA	3	11	6	27	25	
	8.1%	29.5%	18.6%	20.7%	19.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			^a		
	About the right amount	I J				
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much					
	About the right amount		A			
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Too much	34	9	7	18
		5.9%	5.6%	4.8%	6.8%
	About the right amount	367	125	111	131
		63.0%	74.8%	72.7%	49.9%
Not enough	85	21	16	47	
	14.6%	12.7%	10.6%	18.1%	
DK/NA	96	12	18	66	
	16.5%	6.9%	12.0%	25.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			
	About the right amount	C	C	
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Too much	31	6	4	3	19
		6.8%	4.7%	3.9%	4.4%	11.1%
	About the right amount	294	79	61	53	101
		63.6%	62.6%	67.3%	68.4%	60.1%
Not enough	67	23	15	8	22	
	14.5%	18.1%	16.3%	10.3%	12.9%	
DK/NA	70	18	11	13	27	
	15.1%	14.6%	12.5%	16.9%	16.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				
	About the right amount				
	Not enough				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Too much	32	3	5	5	8	8
	About the right amount	366	82	81	27	19	83
	Not enough	85	19	16	4	5	17
	DK/NA	96	19	18	4	8	19
		16.6%	15.4%	15.4%	9.0%	20.3%	14.8%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	112	7	9
	Too much	3	0	0
	About the right amount	65	4	5
	Not enough	20	2	1
	DK/NA	24	2	2
		21.3%	21.8%	26.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				A B F		
	About the right amount						
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
Brochures or flyers	105	105
City Website	76	76
Cupertino Courier	158	158
Cupertino Scene	105	105
Email	36	36
Facebook	31	31
Flyers or posters around town	27	27
Interaction with City staff at events	11	11
Internet (No specific site)	59	59
Local TV news channels	90	90
Mailers / Postcards	34	34
NextDoor	73	73
Non-English media	3	3
Radio	9	9
San Jose Mercury News	34	34
The C -- Cupertino news	17	17
Twitter	1	1
WeChat	7	7
Word of mouth	67	67
None	8	8
Nothing	7	7
DK/NA	7	7

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	.
	City Website	.
	Cupertino Courier	.
	Cupertino Scene	.
	Email	.
	Facebook	.
	Flyers or posters around town	.
	Interaction with City staff at events	.
	Internet (No specific site)	.
	Local TV news channels	.
	Mailers / Postcards	.
	NextDoor	.
	Non-English media	.
	Radio	.
	San Jose Mercury News	.
	The C -- Cupertino news	.
	Twitter	.
	WeChat	.
Word of mouth	.	
None	.	
Nothing	.	
DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
Brochures or flyers	105	44	59	1
	18.0%	15.7%	19.9%	34.4%
City Website	76	33	42	0
	13.0%	11.9%	14.2%	.0%
Cupertino Courier	158	87	70	1
	27.1%	31.0%	23.4%	31.9%
Cupertino Scene	105	47	59	0
	18.1%	16.6%	19.7%	.0%
Email	36	23	12	1
	6.2%	8.3%	4.0%	31.9%
Facebook	31	15	16	0
	5.3%	5.2%	5.4%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	8	19	0
	4.6%	2.9%	6.3%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	10	0
	1.9%	.4%	3.3%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	38	20	1
	10.1%	13.6%	6.6%	34.4%
Local TV news channels	90	36	54	0
	15.4%	12.6%	18.2%	.0%
Mailers / Postcards	34	18	16	0
	5.9%	6.3%	5.5%	.0%
NextDoor	73	40	33	0
	12.6%	14.2%	11.2%	.0%
Non-English media	3	3	0	0
	.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	1	8	0
	1.5%	.3%	2.7%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	19	15	0
	5.9%	6.9%	5.0%	.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	6	10	0
	2.9%	2.3%	3.5%	.0%
Twitter	1	1	0	0
	.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	4	2	0
	1.1%	1.5%	.8%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	31	36	0
	11.6%	11.0%	12.2%	.0%
None	8	3	5	0
	1.3%	1.1%	1.5%	.0%
Nothing	7	3	3	1
	1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	33.7%
DK/NA	7	4	3	0
	1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			a
	City Website			.
	Cupertino Courier			.
	Cupertino Scene			a
	Email			.
	Facebook			a
	Flyers or posters around town		A	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		A	a
	Internet (No specific site)	B		.
	Local TV news channels			a
	Mailers / Postcards			a
	NextDoor			a
	Non-English media			a
	Radio		A	a
	San Jose Mercury News			a
	The C -- Cupertino news			a
	Twitter			a
	WeChat			a
	Word of mouth			a
	None			a
Nothing			A B	
DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
Brochures or flyers	105	13	20	29	22	19	2
	18.0%	16.6%	19.8%	20.5%	14.5%	18.9%	19.0%
City Website	76	3	13	29	20	10	1
	13.0%	3.7%	13.0%	20.6%	13.0%	9.5%	12.5%
Cupertino Courier	158	14	14	32	58	34	6
	27.1%	18.6%	14.3%	22.4%	37.7%	33.4%	67.9%
Cupertino Scene	105	10	13	25	39	16	2
	18.1%	13.1%	13.3%	18.0%	25.3%	15.8%	19.6%
Email	36	5	5	12	12	3	0
	6.2%	6.0%	4.7%	8.5%	7.6%	3.1%	.0%
Facebook	31	12	11	8	0	0	0
	5.3%	16.0%	10.5%	5.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	2	5	12	6	2	0
	4.6%	2.0%	5.2%	8.4%	4.0%	2.0%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	3	5	2	0	1	0
	1.9%	3.7%	5.3%	1.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	15	13	13	13	5	0
	10.1%	19.5%	12.7%	9.3%	8.5%	5.0%	.0%
Local TV news channels	90	10	5	18	23	31	2
	15.4%	13.5%	5.3%	12.6%	15.0%	30.8%	24.9%
Mailers / Postcards	34	8	8	6	6	5	1
	5.9%	10.9%	7.9%	4.4%	4.0%	4.5%	11.8%
NextDoor	73	5	10	33	21	4	0
	12.6%	6.7%	10.1%	23.5%	13.6%	4.0%	.0%
Non-English media	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	0	3	2	3	1	0
	1.5%	.0%	2.5%	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	2	4	8	11	7	2
	5.9%	3.0%	4.4%	5.7%	7.1%	7.1%	19.3%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	2	5	3	3	4	0
	2.9%	2.6%	4.8%	2.0%	1.8%	4.2%	.0%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	0	2	4	0	0
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	12	22	13	15	5	0
	11.6%	16.1%	22.1%	9.1%	9.6%	5.0%	.0%
None	8	3	3	0	1	1	0
	1.3%	3.7%	2.7%	.0%	.9%	.6%	.0%
Nothing	7	2	0	2	1	2	0
	1.2%	2.6%	.0%	1.5%	.9%	1.7%	.0%
DK/NA	7	2	2	0	1	2	0
	1.2%	2.5%	2.4%	.0%	.7%	1.5%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			A	AB	B	ABC
	City Website						
	Cupertino Courier						
	Cupertino Scene						
	Email					a	a
	Facebook	D	D	D		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town						a
	Interaction with City staff at events				a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	E					a
	Local TV news channels					BCD	
	Mailers / Postcards						a
	NextDoor			AE			a
	Non-English media	a	a			a	a
	Radio	a					a
	San Jose Mercury News						a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a			a	a
	Twitter	a	a			a	a
	WeChat						a
	Word of mouth		CE				a
	None			a			a
Nothing		a				a	
DK/NA			a			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
Brochures or flyers	105	70	35
	18.0%	16.5%	22.1%
City Website	76	56	20
	13.0%	13.2%	12.6%
Cupertino Courier	158	131	26
	27.1%	30.9%	16.8%
Cupertino Scene	105	76	29
	18.1%	17.9%	18.7%
Email	36	22	14
	6.2%	5.3%	8.7%
Facebook	31	17	13
	5.3%	4.1%	8.5%
Flyers or posters around town	27	20	7
	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	8	3
	1.9%	2.0%	1.6%
Internet (No specific site)	59	46	13
	10.1%	10.8%	8.2%
Local TV news channels	90	66	24
	15.4%	15.6%	15.1%
Mailers / Postcards	34	21	13
	5.9%	4.9%	8.5%
NextDoor	73	57	17
	12.6%	13.3%	10.6%
Non-English media	3	3	0
	.5%	.6%	.0%
Radio	9	6	3
	1.5%	1.3%	2.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	26	8
	5.9%	6.2%	5.2%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	12	5
	2.9%	2.8%	3.1%
Twitter	1	0	0
	.1%	.1%	.1%
WeChat	7	7	0
	1.1%	1.6%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	47	20
	11.6%	11.2%	12.6%
None	8	5	3
	1.3%	1.1%	1.9%
Nothing	7	5	2
	1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
DK/NA	7	4	3
	1.2%	.9%	1.9%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status		
		Owner	Renter	
		(A)	(B)	
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	B	A	
	City Website			
	Cupertino Courier			
	Cupertino Scene			
	Email			
	Facebook			
	Flyers or posters around town			
	Interaction with City staff at events			
	Internet (No specific site)			
	Local TV news channels			
	Mailers / Postcards			
	NextDoor			a
	Non-English media			.
	Radio			.
	San Jose Mercury News			.
	The C -- Cupertino news			.
	Twitter			.
WeChat	.			
Word of mouth	.			
None	.			
Nothing	.			
DK/NA	.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
Brochures or flyers	105	50	12	1	42
	18.0%	20.4%	15.0%	3.7%	17.5%
City Website	76	32	13	1	30
	13.0%	13.0%	15.6%	5.5%	12.6%
Cupertino Courier	158	72	31	5	50
	27.1%	29.7%	37.8%	29.5%	20.8%
Cupertino Scene	105	52	13	2	37
	18.1%	21.5%	16.6%	15.5%	15.3%
Email	36	18	5	0	13
	6.2%	7.2%	6.4%	1.0%	5.5%
Facebook	31	20	4	0	7
	5.3%	8.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	6	5	0	16
	4.6%	2.6%	5.9%	.0%	6.5%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	2	1	0	8
	1.9%	.8%	.7%	.0%	3.5%
Internet (No specific site)	59	24	11	2	22
	10.1%	10.1%	13.6%	10.0%	9.0%
Local TV news channels	90	31	13	4	43
	15.4%	12.5%	15.6%	24.0%	17.8%
Mailers / Postcards	34	16	4	0	15
	5.9%	6.5%	4.5%	1.0%	6.0%
NextDoor	73	32	8	2	31
	12.6%	13.1%	10.2%	15.4%	12.7%
Non-English media	3	3	0	0	0
	.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	2	2	0	4
	1.5%	.9%	2.7%	.0%	1.8%
San Jose Mercury News	34	13	6	2	13
	5.9%	5.2%	7.9%	14.7%	5.4%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	10	1	1	5
	2.9%	4.1%	.8%	7.6%	2.0%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.1%	.4%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	3	0	3
	1.1%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	1.4%
Word of mouth	67	23	2	2	40
	11.6%	9.6%	2.3%	9.6%	16.7%
None	8	5	0	0	3
	1.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
Nothing	7	2	0	2	2
	1.2%	1.0%	.5%	12.5%	.9%
DK/NA	7	2	2	0	3
	1.2%	1.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.2%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website		D		
	Cupertino Courier				
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email	D			
	Facebook			a	
	Flyers or posters around town			a	
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media		a	a	a
	Radio			a	
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news			a	a
	Twitter			a	a
	WeChat	a			
Word of mouth				B	
None		a	a		
Nothing			A B D		
DK/NA			a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
Brochures or flyers	105	30	12	2	7	17	37
	18.0%	24.7%	18.5%	5.0%	24.4%	13.1%	18.0%
City Website	76	16	8	6	1	15	30
	13.0%	13.4%	11.8%	18.5%	4.0%	11.5%	14.4%
Cupertino Courier	158	31	23	13	15	38	38
	27.1%	25.9%	34.7%	38.8%	51.8%	29.4%	18.7%
Cupertino Scene	105	28	11	5	2	33	26
	18.1%	23.2%	15.9%	16.8%	7.4%	25.8%	12.7%
Email	36	12	2	3	0	8	12
	6.2%	9.8%	2.7%	8.1%	.0%	6.0%	5.9%
Facebook	31	11	4	3	0	9	4
	5.3%	9.3%	5.5%	8.7%	.0%	6.8%	2.2%
Flyers or posters around town	27	5	2	2	3	0	15
	4.6%	4.0%	2.3%	6.2%	9.9%	.2%	7.5%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	0	0	1	5	6
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	3.8%	2.7%
Internet (No specific site)	59	10	10	2	8	9	20
	10.1%	8.1%	14.9%	5.8%	29.1%	7.1%	9.7%
Local TV news channels	90	10	11	5	5	17	42
	15.4%	8.3%	15.9%	15.2%	16.7%	13.5%	20.6%
Mailers / Postcards	34	7	6	2	1	9	10
	5.9%	5.4%	9.0%	7.4%	2.1%	6.8%	4.9%
NextDoor	73	17	9	4	1	18	24
	12.6%	14.1%	13.4%	11.2%	4.8%	14.1%	11.8%
Non-English media	3	1	2	0	0	0	0
	.5%	1.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	2	1	1	1	3	2
	1.5%	1.3%	.9%	3.8%	3.3%	2.0%	.9%
San Jose Mercury News	34	5	7	2	2	6	12
	5.9%	4.2%	10.9%	6.7%	7.0%	5.0%	5.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	5	2	1	0	5	4
	2.9%	4.3%	3.0%	2.0%	.0%	3.7%	2.0%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	0	2	0	1	3
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	.0%	.8%	1.6%
Word of mouth	67	15	2	0	2	16	33
	11.6%	12.1%	3.3%	1.2%	5.3%	12.4%	15.9%
None	8	1	1	0	0	3	3
	1.3%	.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	1.4%
Nothing	7	2	1	0	0	2	2
	1.2%	1.4%	1.1%	.0%	.5%	1.8%	1.1%
DK/NA	7	2	0	2	0	2	1
	1.2%	2.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	1.5%	.5%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				F		
	City Website					F	
	Cupertino Courier				a		
	Cupertino Scene				a		
	Email				a		
	Facebook	F					
	Flyers or posters around town				E		E
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a	a			
	Internet (No specific site)				A E F		
	Local TV news channels						
	Mailers / Postcards						
	NextDoor						
	Non-English media			a	a	a	a
	Radio						
	San Jose Mercury News				a		
	The C -- Cupertino news				a		
	Twitter		a	a	a		a
WeChat	a	a					
Word of mouth							
None			a	a			
Nothing			a				
DK/NA		a		a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
Total	582	263	78	81	47
Brochures or flyers	105	42	16	16	10
	18.0%	15.9%	21.0%	20.2%	20.9%
City Website	76	38	13	9	3
	13.0%	14.5%	17.0%	10.7%	7.0%
Cupertino Courier	158	42	22	22	17
	27.1%	16.1%	27.6%	27.0%	35.2%
Cupertino Scene	105	48	12	11	10
	18.1%	18.3%	15.6%	13.9%	21.4%
Email	36	25	1	6	2
	6.2%	9.6%	.8%	7.2%	3.7%
Facebook	31	23	1	2	4
	5.3%	8.9%	1.8%	3.0%	7.7%
Flyers or posters around town	27	11	4	6	2
	4.6%	4.3%	5.1%	7.5%	3.2%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	6	5	0	0
	1.9%	2.2%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	36	10	3	3
	10.1%	13.5%	12.8%	3.8%	5.8%
Local TV news channels	90	26	14	24	6
	15.4%	9.8%	17.4%	29.8%	13.2%
Mailers / Postcards	34	20	4	1	4
	5.9%	7.8%	4.6%	1.0%	8.0%
NextDoor	73	36	9	12	6
	12.6%	13.6%	11.3%	14.7%	12.4%
Non-English media	3	0	2	1	0
	.5%	.0%	2.0%	1.4%	.0%
Radio	9	5	0	2	0
	1.5%	1.9%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	12	4	5	5
	5.9%	4.7%	5.1%	5.7%	11.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	7	4	2	1
	2.9%	2.6%	4.9%	2.0%	2.5%
Twitter	1	0	1	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	4	1	0	1
	1.1%	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	2.2%
Word of mouth	67	40	7	8	5
	11.6%	15.3%	9.1%	9.6%	10.5%
None	8	6	0	1	1
	1.3%	2.1%	.0%	.8%	2.3%
Nothing	7	4	0	0	1
	1.2%	1.6%	.3%	.0%	1.7%
DK/NA	7	6	1	0	0
	1.2%	2.4%	.7%	.0%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Registration Date			
	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	27	23	38	24
Brochures or flyers	4 15.1%	4 15.5%	8 20.8%	5 19.3%
City Website	3 11.5%	3 13.4%	2 6.0%	4 15.4%
Cupertino Courier	15 55.3%	10 43.2%	18 48.3%	12 50.8%
Cupertino Scene	4 14.5%	4 18.7%	10 26.1%	5 22.0%
Email	1 3.9%	0 .0%	1 3.9%	0 .4%
Facebook	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	0 .0%	1 4.8%	3 7.5%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.5%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	4 16.4%	1 4.8%	1 2.1%	1 5.3%
Local TV news channels	8 29.0%	4 16.0%	5 13.7%	3 14.3%
Mailers / Postcards	0 .0%	1 6.4%	2 4.7%	2 9.7%
NextDoor	3 12.6%	2 9.1%	5 12.8%	0 1.7%
Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	2 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.4%
San Jose Mercury News	3 11.6%	0 1.3%	3 6.8%	2 9.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 13.7%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	1 3.3%	3 13.2%	3 8.1%	0 .0%
None	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%	0 .0%
Nothing	0 .0%	0 1.3%	1 3.9%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date			
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?				
Brochures or flyers				
City Website				
Cupertino Courier				
Cupertino Scene				
Email				
Facebook				
Flyers or posters around town				
Interaction with City staff at events			a	a
Internet (No specific site)				
Local TV news channels			A	
Mailers / Postcards				
NextDoor				
Non-English media	a			a
Radio	.	a		a
San Jose Mercury News				
The C -- Cupertino news				
Twitter	a		a	a
WeChat			.	
Word of mouth		a		
None				
Nothing			a	a
DK/NA			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier	A	A	A	A
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook	a	a	a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a			a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media	a	a	a	a
	Radio		a	a	
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a	A
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
WeChat					
Word of mouth					
None	a	a		a	
Nothing	a			a	
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date							
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
Brochures or flyers	105	1	21	7	10	6	4	6
	18.0%	9.0%	12.0%	15.9%	22.0%	37.2%	29.2%	13.5%
City Website	76	1	30	7	2	2	0	5
	13.0%	18.8%	17.3%	17.6%	4.4%	10.1%	.0%	13.1%
Cupertino Courier	158	4	55	3	11	5	6	21
	27.1%	59.3%	32.0%	6.8%	23.3%	32.9%	45.8%	50.2%
Cupertino Scene	105	0	42	14	6	0	7	14
	18.1%	.0%	24.1%	34.5%	13.9%	1.7%	49.0%	33.8%
Email	36	0	21	8	1	0	0	1
	6.2%	.0%	12.1%	19.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
Facebook	31	0	8	2	2	0	2	0
	5.3%	.0%	4.8%	5.8%	4.3%	.0%	12.2%	.6%
Flyers or posters around town	27	0	12	1	2	0	0	2
	4.6%	.0%	6.8%	2.9%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	3	2	0	2	0	0	1
	1.9%	43.5%	1.2%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Internet (No specific site)	59	0	20	4	4	0	2	4
	10.1%	.0%	11.4%	10.5%	9.2%	.0%	12.6%	9.7%
Local TV news channels	90	1	6	1	9	1	1	5
	15.4%	9.9%	3.6%	2.2%	20.1%	7.7%	6.1%	11.4%
Mailers / Postcards	34	1	9	7	2	1	0	1
	5.9%	15.8%	5.2%	16.6%	4.3%	7.4%	.0%	2.1%
NextDoor	73	1	33	8	4	1	2	7
	12.6%	12.0%	19.2%	18.5%	8.3%	6.3%	18.0%	16.4%
Non-English media	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	0	15	7	2	1	0	3
	5.9%	.0%	8.7%	17.2%	4.5%	3.9%	.0%	8.2%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	6	1	5	1	0	1
	2.9%	.0%	3.5%	3.5%	10.4%	4.7%	.0%	2.4%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	0	20	4	7	5	1	3
	11.6%	.0%	11.4%	9.1%	16.0%	32.2%	8.6%	6.0%
None	8	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	9.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Nothing	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%
DK/NA	7	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Date				
	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5
Brochures or flyers	21 22.0%	18 24.4%	12 17.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
City Website	10 9.8%	11 14.7%	7 10.1%	0 .0%	1 21.3%
Cupertino Courier	20 20.7%	16 21.5%	12 18.0%	1 53.7%	4 66.9%
Cupertino Scene	11 11.9%	4 6.1%	1 1.0%	1 56.1%	4 69.1%
Email	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 46.3%	0 4.3%
Facebook	6 6.3%	2 3.3%	8 12.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	5 5.5%	2 2.3%	3 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	4 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	9 9.4%	9 11.7%	7 10.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Local TV news channels	27 27.6%	24 32.4%	15 24.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mailers / Postcards	5 5.3%	1 .9%	7 11.0%	0 .0%	0 4.3%
NextDoor	4 4.5%	9 12.3%	3 5.0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%
Non-English media	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	1 1.3%	3 4.7%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	3 2.7%	2 3.3%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
The C -- Cupertino news	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 43.9%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	7 6.8%	3 3.5%	18 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
None	0 .0%	3 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	1 1.5%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	2 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date						
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Brochures or flyers							
City Website						a	
Cupertino Courier	C					C	CHJ
Cupertino Scene	a	J	IJ			H IJ	IJ
Email	a		H		a	a	
Facebook	a				a	a	
Flyers or posters around town	a				a	a	
Interaction with City staff at events	B DGH		a		a	a	
Internet (No specific site)	a				a		
Local TV news channels				B			
Mailers / Postcards						a	
NextDoor		H a					
Non-English media	a	a		a	a	a	a
Radio	a		a	a	a	a	a
San Jose Mercury News	a					a	
The C -- Cupertino news	a					a	a
Twitter	a		a		a	a	a
WeChat	a						
Word of mouth	a				l	a	
None			a	a	a	a	
Nothing	a		a		a	a	a
DK/NA	a		a		a	a	a

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website				a	
	Cupertino Courier					C
	Cupertino Scene			a	J	E H I J
	Email		a	a	D G	
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				a	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a	a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels	B C	B C	B	a	a
	Mailers / Postcards				a	
	NextDoor				a	
	Non-English media	a		a	a	a
	Radio				a	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a	a	a
	WeChat				B	a
	Word of mouth			H I	a	a
	None	a		a	a	a
Nothing		a	a	a	a	
DK/NA		a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	82 17.7%	23 19.2%
City Website	76 13.0%	54 11.7%	21 18.2%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	125 27.0%	32 27.5%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	90 19.5%	15 12.6%
Email	36 6.2%	31 6.6%	5 4.6%
Facebook	31 5.3%	19 4.0%	12 10.3%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	25 5.4%	2 1.7%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	10 2.3%	1 .5%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	44 9.5%	15 12.7%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	76 16.3%	14 12.1%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	28 6.0%	6 5.2%
NextDoor	73 12.6%	63 13.5%	11 8.9%
Non-English media	3 .5%	3 .6%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	9 1.9%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	27 5.9%	7 6.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	17 3.6%	0 .0%
Twitter	1 .1%	0 .1%	0 .2%
WeChat	7 1.1%	6 1.2%	1 .9%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	48 10.4%	19 15.9%
None	8 1.3%	6 1.4%	1 .9%
Nothing	7 1.2%	6 1.3%	1 .9%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	5 1.2%	2 1.3%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers		
	City Website		
	Cupertino Courier		
	Cupertino Scene		
	Email		
	Facebook		A
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Interaction with City staff at events		
	Internet (No specific site)		
	Local TV news channels		
	Mailers / Postcards		
	NextDoor		
	Non-English media		a
	Radio		a
	San Jose Mercury News		
	The C -- Cupertino news		a
	Twitter		
WeChat			
Word of mouth			
None			
Nothing			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	73 21.0%	32 13.6%
City Website	76 13.0%	53 15.4%	22 9.5%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	119 34.3%	39 16.6%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	74 21.4%	31 13.1%
Email	36 6.2%	22 6.3%	14 6.1%
Facebook	31 5.3%	10 2.8%	21 9.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	13 3.7%	14 6.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	6 1.7%	5 2.2%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	24 7.0%	35 14.7%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	51 14.8%	39 16.4%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	14 4.0%	20 8.6%
NextDoor	73 12.6%	47 13.6%	26 11.0%
Non-English media	3 .5%	3 .8%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	8 2.2%	1 .5%
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	23 6.7%	11 4.8%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	12 3.5%	4 1.9%
Twitter	1 .1%	0 .1%	0 .1%
WeChat	7 1.1%	6 1.6%	1 .4%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	28 8.2%	39 16.5%
None	8 1.3%	3 .9%	5 1.9%
Nothing	7 1.2%	4 1.2%	3 1.2%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	1 .3%	6 2.5%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	B	
	City Website	B	
	Cupertino Courier	B	
	Cupertino Scene	B	
	Email		
	Facebook		A
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Interaction with City staff at events		
	Internet (No specific site)		A
	Local TV news channels		A
	Mailers / Postcards		A
	NextDoor		a
	Non-English media		.
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		
	The C -- Cupertino news		
	Twitter		
WeChat			
Word of mouth		A	
None			
Nothing			
DK/NA		A	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131
Brochures or flyers	105	2	13	18	23
	18.0%	14.7%	25.6%	19.1%	17.3%
City Website	76	2	7	8	25
	13.0%	15.6%	14.7%	8.5%	19.3%
Cupertino Courier	158	0	4	20	31
	27.1%	.0%	7.8%	20.8%	23.9%
Cupertino Scene	105	3	7	18	21
	18.1%	27.1%	15.0%	19.3%	15.9%
Email	36	0	4	9	9
	6.2%	.0%	8.5%	9.4%	7.0%
Facebook	31	2	3	4	9
	5.3%	18.3%	5.8%	4.1%	6.6%
Flyers or posters around town	27	0	7	9	3
	4.6%	2.7%	14.1%	9.5%	2.1%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	3	5	3
	1.9%	.0%	5.3%	5.3%	2.2%
Internet (No specific site)	59	4	5	10	16
	10.1%	36.4%	9.8%	10.2%	12.0%
Local TV news channels	90	1	4	1	20
	15.4%	13.4%	9.1%	1.5%	15.0%
Mailers / Postcards	34	2	4	2	10
	5.9%	18.3%	8.5%	2.0%	8.0%
NextDoor	73	3	10	17	17
	12.6%	27.1%	20.9%	18.0%	12.8%
Non-English media	3	0	0	0	3
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
Radio	9	0	0	0	4
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
San Jose Mercury News	34	0	1	6	9
	5.9%	.9%	2.7%	6.4%	7.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	2	4	3
	2.9%	.0%	4.1%	4.2%	2.4%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	0	4	1
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.9%
Word of mouth	67	0	13	10	14
	11.6%	.0%	26.5%	10.2%	10.9%
None	8	0	0	6	0
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%
Nothing	7	0	0	0	2
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.5%
DK/NA	7	0	0	2	0
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	How Long Lived in Cupertino		
	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	163	133	1
Brochures or flyers	28	22	0
	17.2%	16.3%	.0%
City Website	21	12	0
	12.9%	9.3%	.0%
Cupertino Courier	38	65	0
	23.5%	48.7%	.0%
Cupertino Scene	29	27	0
	17.7%	20.3%	.0%
Email	9	5	0
	5.4%	3.8%	.0%
Facebook	7	7	0
	4.2%	5.1%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	4	4	0
	2.4%	3.1%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	1	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	17	8	0
	10.1%	6.2%	.0%
Local TV news channels	37	26	0
	22.9%	19.2%	.0%
Mailers / Postcards	8	7	0
	5.1%	5.5%	.0%
NextDoor	16	11	0
	9.7%	7.9%	.0%
Non-English media	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	3	2	0
	2.1%	1.1%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	10	8	0
	6.0%	6.1%	.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	4	3	0
	2.6%	2.5%	.0%
Twitter	0	0	0
	.0%	.3%	.0%
WeChat	0	1	0
	.0%	.8%	.0%
Word of mouth	21	9	0
	12.9%	6.9%	.0%
None	0	2	0
	.0%	1.5%	.0%
Nothing	3	2	0
	1.8%	1.5%	.0%
DK/NA	4	0	1
	2.4%	.0%	100.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino			
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?				
Brochures or flyers				
City Website				
Cupertino Courier	.b			
Cupertino Scene	.b			
Email				
Facebook				
Flyers or posters around town		D E		
Interaction with City staff at events	.b			
Internet (No specific site)	F			
Local TV news channels				C
Mailers / Postcards				
NextDoor	.b	.b	.b	
Non-English media	.b	.b	.b	
Radio				
San Jose Mercury News	.b			
The C -- Cupertino news	.b	.b		
Twitter	.b	.b		.b
WeChat	.b	.b		
Word of mouth	.b	F	.b	.b
None	.b	.b		.b
Nothing	.b	.b		.b
DK/NA	.b	.b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			a,b
	City Website			a,b
	Cupertino Courier		B C D E	a,b
	Cupertino Scene			a,b
	Email			a,b
	Facebook			a,b
	Flyers or posters around town			a,b
	Interaction with City staff at events		.b	a,b
	Internet (No specific site)			a,b
	Local TV news channels	C	C	a,b
	Mailers / Postcards			a,b
	NextDoor			a,b
	Non-English media	.b	.b	a,b
	Radio			a,b
	San Jose Mercury News			a,b
	The C -- Cupertino news			a,b
	Twitter	.b		a,b
WeChat	.b		a,b	
Word of mouth			a,b	
None	.b		a,b	
Nothing			a,b	
DK/NA		.b	a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
Brochures or flyers	105	7	47	18	21	10	2
	18.0%	16.2%	22.3%	12.6%	18.3%	17.1%	14.8%
City Website	75	4	30	23	17	1	0
	12.9%	8.8%	14.3%	16.2%	15.3%	1.2%	.0%
Cupertino Courier	157	19	56	38	32	10	1
	27.1%	42.5%	26.6%	27.2%	28.5%	17.8%	6.2%
Cupertino Scene	105	9	38	32	18	5	2
	18.0%	20.0%	18.1%	23.1%	15.9%	7.9%	19.0%
Email	36	0	9	12	8	4	3
	6.2%	.2%	4.4%	8.3%	7.1%	6.5%	26.4%
Facebook	31	0	3	9	9	10	0
	5.3%	.0%	1.3%	6.1%	8.1%	18.0%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	2	13	5	4	3	0
	4.6%	3.8%	6.1%	3.9%	3.6%	4.8%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	6	0	3	2	0
	1.9%	1.3%	2.8%	.0%	2.5%	2.9%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	4	30	10	10	4	1
	10.2%	8.5%	14.2%	7.3%	8.5%	7.3%	9.0%
Local TV news channels	89	12	27	23	9	15	3
	15.4%	26.2%	12.8%	16.6%	7.7%	26.4%	25.3%
Mailers / Postcards	34	1	14	9	4	2	5
	5.9%	2.4%	6.5%	6.2%	3.9%	2.7%	41.5%
NextDoor	73	3	25	19	21	3	1
	12.6%	7.3%	12.1%	13.7%	18.6%	5.2%	9.0%
Non-English media	3	0	0	2	1	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	1	4	0	3	1	0
	1.5%	1.4%	2.0%	.1%	2.4%	1.6%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	2	12	9	10	1	0
	5.9%	4.4%	5.8%	6.3%	8.8%	2.0%	1.4%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	3	7	2	2	2	0
	2.9%	7.6%	3.1%	1.6%	1.7%	4.2%	.0%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	1	2	2	0	1
	1.1%	.0%	.6%	1.5%	1.8%	.0%	10.5%
Word of mouth	67	4	23	18	13	9	0
	11.6%	9.0%	10.8%	13.1%	11.3%	16.0%	.0%
None	8	1	3	1	0	3	0
	1.3%	1.9%	1.3%	.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
Nothing	6	0	2	2	3	0	0
	1.1%	.0%	.8%	1.5%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	7	0	2	0	2	2	1
	1.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.8%	3.3%	5.1%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers						a
	City Website			E	E		.
	Cupertino Courier						.
	Cupertino Scene						.
	Email	a				B	A B
	Facebook	.			B	B	a
	Flyers or posters around town						.
	Interaction with City staff at events			a			.
	Internet (No specific site)						.
	Local TV news channels	D				D	.
	Mailers / Postcards						A B C D E
	NextDoor						.
	Non-English media	a	a			a	a
	Radio	.	.				.
	San Jose Mercury News						.
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a	a		a
	Twitter	a			a		.
	WeChat	.				a	B
	Word of mouth						a
	None	a			a		.
Nothing	.				a	.	
DK/NA	a		a		.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
Total	582	3	3	189
Brochures or flyers	105	0	0	30
	18.0%	.0%	.0%	15.8%
City Website	76	0	0	18
	13.0%	.0%	.0%	9.6%
Cupertino Courier	158	1	0	41
	27.1%	50.0%	.0%	21.4%
Cupertino Scene	105	0	0	24
	18.1%	.0%	.0%	12.6%
Email	36	0	0	17
	6.2%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
Facebook	31	0	0	8
	5.3%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	0	0	14
	4.6%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	0	2
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.9%
Internet (No specific site)	59	0	0	28
	10.1%	.0%	.0%	14.7%
Local TV news channels	90	0	0	42
	15.4%	.0%	.0%	22.1%
Mailers / Postcards	34	0	3	13
	5.9%	.0%	100.0%	6.6%
NextDoor	73	1	0	14
	12.6%	50.0%	.0%	7.5%
Non-English media	3	0	0	3
	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Radio	9	0	0	2
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
San Jose Mercury News	34	0	0	9
	5.9%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	0	4
	2.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
Twitter	1	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	0	7
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
Word of mouth	67	1	0	16
	11.6%	50.0%	.0%	8.3%
None	8	0	0	3
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
Nothing	7	0	0	1
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
DK/NA	7	0	0	1
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.5%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	2	106	15	25
Brochures or flyers	2	15	7	7
	100.0%	13.8%	45.2%	28.6%
City Website	0	21	0	4
	.0%	19.6%	.0%	15.8%
Cupertino Courier	1	33	2	5
	48.8%	31.5%	14.2%	19.5%
Cupertino Scene	1	30	4	1
	51.2%	28.8%	28.8%	4.4%
Email	0	11	0	0
	.0%	10.5%	.0%	.0%
Facebook	0	2	0	3
	.0%	2.2%	.0%	11.4%
Flyers or posters around town	0	6	0	0
	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	0	6	0	0
	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	0	4	2	7
	.0%	4.2%	9.9%	28.8%
Local TV news channels	0	11	1	3
	.0%	10.4%	9.4%	13.2%
Mailers / Postcards	0	2	0	3
	.0%	1.5%	.0%	11.0%
NextDoor	0	23	3	0
	.0%	22.0%	21.7%	.0%
Non-English media	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	0	4	1	2
	.0%	3.9%	6.7%	6.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	0	2	1	0
	.0%	1.6%	9.4%	.0%
Twitter	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	0	13	4	9
	.0%	12.7%	25.1%	36.0%
None	0	1	0	0
	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	8	14	10	148
Brochures or flyers	2	5	1	21
	30.0%	36.2%	10.2%	14.5%
City Website	0	0	1	25
	.0%	.0%	12.0%	16.7%
Cupertino Courier	2	0	2	56
	24.7%	.0%	21.6%	37.9%
Cupertino Scene	0	0	2	31
	.0%	.0%	16.3%	20.8%
Email	0	0	0	6
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
Facebook	3	3	2	8
	40.9%	21.0%	16.3%	5.4%
Flyers or posters around town	0	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
Internet (No specific site)	1	0	1	12
	17.2%	.0%	10.2%	7.9%
Local TV news channels	0	6	1	17
	.0%	47.4%	10.2%	11.4%
Mailers / Postcards	0	0	0	11
	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
NextDoor	0	0	4	16
	.0%	.0%	35.3%	10.9%
Non-English media	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Radio	0	2	0	4
	.0%	11.7%	.0%	2.5%
San Jose Mercury News	0	0	1	13
	.0%	.0%	12.0%	8.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	0	0	0	7
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
Twitter	0	0	0	1
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
WeChat	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	4	3	0	15
	52.8%	21.0%	.0%	9.9%
None	0	0	3	1
	.0%	.0%	27.9%	.6%
Nothing	0	0	0	5
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
DK/NA	0	1	0	1
	.0%	7.1%	.0%	.4%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
Brochures or flyers	5 21.4%	3 23.1%	1 13.2%	5 30.2%
City Website	1 3.5%	1 8.2%	0 3.6%	5 27.6%
Cupertino Courier	5 22.4%	3 28.3%	2 28.9%	3 19.8%
Cupertino Scene	7 29.3%	2 20.6%	1 14.7%	1 8.6%
Email	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 23.2%	0 1.6%
Facebook	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 13.9%
Flyers or posters around town	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	0 .0%	0 2.7%	2 41.6%	1 6.0%
Local TV news channels	4 17.1%	2 20.2%	0 .0%	1 8.6%
Mailers / Postcards	0 .0%	2 19.9%	0 .0%	1 4.7%
NextDoor	8 35.3%	1 7.5%	1 16.9%	1 6.0%
Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	0 .0%	1 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .9%
San Jose Mercury News	1 4.3%	1 9.2%	0 8.1%	3 14.9%
The C -- Cupertino news	2 9.5%	1 7.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	1 6.1%	0 3.8%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
None	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	0 .0%	1 8.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	2 10.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 10.9%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Brochures or flyers	a	a		a
City Website	a	a		a
Cupertino Courier	.	a		.
Cupertino Scene	a	a		.
Email	a	a		a
Facebook	a	a		a
Flyers or posters around town	a	a		a
Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
Internet (No specific site)	a	a		a
Local TV news channels	a	a		a
Mailers / Postcards	a	a		a
NextDoor	.	a		a
Non-English media	a	a		a
Radio	a	a		a
San Jose Mercury News	a	a		a
The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a	a
Twitter	a	a	.	a
WeChat	a	a		a
Word of mouth	.	a		a
None	a	a		a
Nothing	a	a		a
DK/NA	.	a		a

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?				
Brochures or flyers		a		a
City Website		.		.
Cupertino Courier		.		.
Cupertino Scene	C			a
Email		a	a	a
Facebook		a		C E K
Flyers or posters around town		a	a	a
Interaction with City staff at events	C	a	a	a
Internet (No specific site)			E	.
Local TV news channels				a
Mailers / Postcards		a		a
NextDoor	C		a	a
Non-English media	a	a	a	a
Radio	a	a	.	a
San Jose Mercury News				a
The C -- Cupertino news			a	a
Twitter	a	a	a	a
WeChat	a	a	a	a
Word of mouth			C K	C K
None		a	a	a
Nothing	a	a	a	a
DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?				
Brochures or flyers				
City Website	a			
Cupertino Courier	a			
Cupertino Scene	a			
Email	a	a		a
Facebook	E			a
Flyers or posters around town	a	a		
Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
Internet (No specific site)	a			a
Local TV news channels	E K			.
Mailers / Postcards		a		a
NextDoor	a			C
Non-English media	a	a	a	a
Radio	.	a	.	a
San Jose Mercury News	a			.
The C -- Cupertino news	a	a		.
Twitter	a	a		a
WeChat	a	a	a	a
Word of mouth		a		.
None	a	C E K		a
Nothing	a	a		a
DK/NA	.	.		C K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?			
Brochures or flyers			
City Website			
Cupertino Courier			
Cupertino Scene			
Email	a		
Facebook	a	a	
Flyers or posters around town	a	a	a
Interaction with City staff at events	a	a	a
Internet (No specific site)		E	
Local TV news channels		a	
Mailers / Postcards	E		
NextDoor		a	a
Non-English media	a	a	
Radio			
San Jose Mercury News		a	a
The C -- Cupertino news		a	a
Twitter	a	a	a
WeChat	a	a	a
Word of mouth	a	a	a
None		a	a
Nothing	C		
DK/NA	a	a	C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?						
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
Brochures or flyers	51	21	23	3	1	3
City Website	41	20	17	4	0	0
Cupertino Courier	63	31	21	7	2	2
Cupertino Scene	46	19	16	7	0	3
Email	18	6	9	0	1	2
Facebook	13	7	5	1	0	0
Flyers or posters around town	18	3	8	3	3	0
Interaction with City staff at events	10	6	2	2	0	0
Internet (No specific site)	19	5	13	1	0	0
Local TV news channels	27	5	11	10	2	1
Mailers / Postcards	15	5	9	1	0	0
NextDoor	41	15	19	5	1	0
Non-English media	1	0	0	1	0	0
Radio	5	4	0	2	0	0
San Jose Mercury News	18	9	7	1	0	0
The C -- Cupertino news	9	2	5	1	1	0
Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
WeChat	6	0	5	0	0	1
Word of mouth	38	13	19	4	2	0
None	3	0	3	0	0	0
Nothing	2	0	0	0	1	1
DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	1

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website				.	.
	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Scene					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				A	a
	Interaction with City staff at events				a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels			A B		
	Mailers / Postcards				a	a
	NextDoor	a	a		a	a
	Non-English media	.	.		a	a
	Radio		.		a	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a	a
	Twitter		.	.	a	a
	WeChat	a	.	.	a	a
	Word of mouth				.	a
	None	a	.	.	a	a
Nothing		a	.	A	A	
DK/NA	a	.	.	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Brochures or flyers	63	16	37	4	1	4
	City Website	37	18	17	2	0	0
	Cupertino Courier	127	34	78	11	0	5
	Cupertino Scene	70	22	35	9	1	2
	Email	24	2	17	2	0	3
	Facebook	19	3	12	4	0	0
	Flyers or posters around town	13	5	6	1	1	0
	Interaction with City staff at events	4	1	3	0	0	0
	Internet (No specific site)	35	10	21	4	0	0
	Local TV news channels	78	21	42	13	0	1
	Mailers / Postcards	16	4	10	2	0	0
	NextDoor	38	12	20	4	1	1
	Non-English media	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Radio	5	1	3	2	0	0
	San Jose Mercury News	23	5	16	2	0	0
	The C -- Cupertino news	10	1	7	0	1	0
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WeChat	4	1	2	0	0	1
	Word of mouth	33	8	25	0	0	0
	None	5	4	1	0	0	0
	Nothing	7	2	4	0	0	1
	DK/NA	3	0	3	0	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website	B			a	.
	Cupertino Courier				a	.
	Cupertino Scene				a	.
	Email				a	A
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	a
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels			B	a	.
	Mailers / Postcards				a	.
	NextDoor			a	a	a
	Non-English media	a			a	a
	Radio				a	a
	San Jose Mercury News			a	a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news			a	A B	a
	Twitter	a		a	a	a
WeChat			a	a	B	
Word of mouth			a	a	a	
None	B		a	a	a	
Nothing			a	a	a	
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
Brochures or flyers	105	75	2	17	0	0
	18.0%	16.7%	8.9%	18.0%	.0%	.0%
City Website	76	66	2	9	1	0
	13.0%	14.7%	8.9%	10.0%	15.8%	.0%
Cupertino Courier	158	140	4	17	5	0
	27.1%	31.1%	16.3%	18.8%	73.2%	6.5%
Cupertino Scene	105	96	1	11	0	0
	18.1%	21.4%	4.4%	11.5%	1.3%	6.5%
Email	36	29	5	5	1	0
	6.2%	6.4%	19.6%	5.7%	13.2%	.0%
Facebook	31	28	2	4	0	0
	5.3%	6.3%	8.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	18	4	6	0	0
	4.6%	4.0%	15.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	9	0	2	0	0
	1.9%	2.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	49	4	14	0	0
	10.1%	10.9%	13.4%	15.2%	.0%	.0%
Local TV news channels	90	42	3	26	1	0
	15.4%	9.4%	12.1%	28.4%	19.8%	.0%
Mailers / Postcards	34	29	6	4	0	0
	5.9%	6.4%	21.2%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
NextDoor	73	65	2	7	4	0
	12.6%	14.6%	8.9%	7.3%	49.8%	24.3%
Non-English media	3	0	0	1	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	6	0	2	0	0
	1.5%	1.3%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	30	2	3	0	0
	5.9%	6.8%	8.4%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	13	1	4	0	1
	2.9%	2.9%	3.1%	4.3%	.0%	69.2%
Twitter	1	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	3	0	5	0	0
	1.1%	.7%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	54	2	7	2	0
	11.6%	12.0%	9.1%	7.0%	26.8%	24.3%
None	8	8	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	7	6	1	1	0	0
	1.2%	1.3%	4.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	7	5	0	1	0	0
	1.2%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
Brochures or flyers	6 12.8%	5 67.8%	7 32.3%	7 14.8%	2 12.9%	0 .0%
City Website	10 22.1%	0 .0%	3 12.8%	4 9.3%	3 21.1%	0 .0%
Cupertino Courier	15 34.4%	1 20.1%	5 21.9%	10 21.2%	4 30.9%	1 75.0%
Cupertino Scene	9 20.9%	1 17.0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	2 17.3%	0 25.0%
Email	5 10.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 10.7%	3 22.2%	0 .0%
Facebook	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 12.8%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	3 7.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.2%	1 8.1%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	2 3.6%	0 .0%	7 32.5%	3 6.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Local TV news channels	4 9.5%	1 21.7%	2 7.2%	14 30.4%	1 8.1%	0 .0%
Mailers / Postcards	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 12.4%	5 9.6%	2 11.7%	0 .0%
NextDoor	14 32.4%	1 11.7%	0 .0%	3 6.9%	2 12.2%	0 .0%
Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	0 .0%	1 19.1%	2 7.5%	2 3.8%	1 7.6%	0 25.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	2 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.6%	0 .0%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	9 19.7%	3 42.6%	9 40.6%	2 4.6%	1 6.1%	0 .0%
None	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Primary Languages in Household			
	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	12	14	56	7
Brochures or flyers	4 28.9%	5 36.2%	2 4.4%	3 47.4%
City Website	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 10.3%	0 .0%
Cupertino Courier	3 25.4%	0 .0%	24 42.7%	2 23.3%
Cupertino Scene	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 35.4%	1 10.2%
Email	0 1.9%	0 .0%	5 8.5%	2 29.2%
Facebook	3 26.7%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	1 9.8%	0 .0%	3 5.7%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 5.1%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	1 11.2%	0 .0%	5 9.8%	1 15.7%
Local TV news channels	2 13.8%	6 47.4%	5 9.7%	0 5.1%
Mailers / Postcards	0 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
NextDoor	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 24.9%	0 .0%
Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 10.4%	0 2.4%
The C -- Cupertino news	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 15.7%
Word of mouth	4 34.4%	3 21.0%	3 5.5%	0 .0%
None	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	1 9.8%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	1 15.4%
DK/NA	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 8.9%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a,b	
	City Website					a,b	
	Cupertino Courier					b	
	Cupertino Scene					b	
	Email					a,b	
	Facebook				a	a,b	a
	Flyers or posters around town				a	a,b	
	Interaction with City staff at events		a		a	a,b	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a,b	
	Local TV news channels			A	a	a,b	
	Mailers / Postcards					a,b	
	NextDoor				C I	b	A C
	Non-English media	a	a		a	a,b	a
	Radio		a		a	a,b	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a,b	a
	The C -- Cupertino news				a	b	
	Twitter			a	a	a,b	a
	WeChat			A		a,b	a
	Word of mouth					b	
	None		a	a	a	a,b	a
Nothing					a,b	a	
DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	A B N	N			a,b	
	City Website	a				a,b	a
	Cupertino Courier					b	
	Cupertino Scene		a			b	a
	Email	a	a			a,b	
	Facebook	a			a	a,b	I
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a			a,b	
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a	a,b	a
	Internet (No specific site)	a	F		a	a,b	
	Local TV news channels			A		a,b	
	Mailers / Postcards	a				a,b	
	NextDoor		a			a,b	a
	Non-English media	a	a		a	a,b	a
	Radio	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	San Jose Mercury News					b	a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a		a,b	a
	Twitter	a	a	a		a,b	a
	WeChat	a			a	a,b	a
	Word of mouth		A C I N			a,b	
	None	a	a		a	a,b	a
Nothing	a	a	a	a	a,b	a	
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	N		N
	City Website	a		a
	Cupertino Courier	a		
	Cupertino Scene	a	C I	
	Email			
	Facebook	l	a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a		a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	a		
	Local TV news channels	A		a
	Mailers / Postcards	a	a	a
	NextDoor	a	a	a
	Non-English media	a	a	a
	Radio	A	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News	a		
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	a		A
	Word of mouth			
	None	a	a	a
Nothing	a			
DK/NA		a	A	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income				
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
Total	582	60	52	29	37
Brochures or flyers	105	10	11	10	4
	18.0%	16.3%	21.9%	33.1%	11.5%
City Website	76	2	4	4	7
	13.0%	3.2%	8.1%	14.7%	19.4%
Cupertino Courier	158	14	10	8	7
	27.1%	23.9%	20.2%	27.4%	20.2%
Cupertino Scene	105	14	3	4	3
	18.1%	22.5%	5.6%	13.2%	7.6%
Email	36	4	1	0	3
	6.2%	6.5%	1.7%	.0%	8.6%
Facebook	31	2	0	4	0
	5.3%	3.3%	.0%	12.6%	.0%
Flyers or posters around town	27	0	3	0	6
	4.6%	.0%	5.4%	.8%	16.1%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	0	0	0
	1.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Internet (No specific site)	59	3	1	4	6
	10.1%	5.1%	2.9%	13.7%	16.6%
Local TV news channels	90	23	14	2	8
	15.4%	37.4%	27.1%	8.1%	22.2%
Mailers / Postcards	34	5	9	0	3
	5.9%	8.2%	17.5%	.0%	7.5%
NextDoor	73	2	4	2	1
	12.6%	3.8%	7.7%	6.7%	2.0%
Non-English media	3	0	0	0	1
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
Radio	9	0	3	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	1	2	3	1
	5.9%	2.0%	4.0%	10.4%	2.7%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	3	1	0	2
	2.9%	5.5%	1.9%	.0%	5.4%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	7	0	0	0	0
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Word of mouth	67	3	6	4	6
	11.6%	5.1%	12.3%	12.5%	16.1%
None	8	0	0	0	0
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	7	1	0	0	0
	1.2%	1.1%	.0%	.5%	.0%
DK/NA	7	0	2	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Household income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
Brochures or flyers	5 15.4%	12 28.6%	5 14.1%	4 12.9%	22 16.4%
City Website	5 15.1%	4 9.6%	5 12.9%	4 11.8%	25 18.7%
Cupertino Courier	6 18.6%	15 36.4%	8 20.6%	5 15.5%	40 29.9%
Cupertino Scene	10 30.8%	10 25.3%	5 14.0%	7 19.9%	26 19.4%
Email	0 .0%	0 .6%	2 6.0%	4 12.7%	11 8.4%
Facebook	0 .0%	3 8.0%	3 7.4%	4 12.2%	5 3.7%
Flyers or posters around town	3 8.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.9%	5 4.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	2 7.1%	2 4.9%	3 7.4%	0 .0%	3 2.5%
Internet (No specific site)	3 10.4%	1 2.8%	11 29.2%	2 6.8%	12 9.2%
Local TV news channels	2 7.6%	7 16.3%	5 12.8%	10 28.7%	9 6.8%
Mailers / Postcards	3 9.4%	1 3.1%	2 5.1%	2 5.4%	3 2.6%
NextDoor	3 9.9%	6 15.5%	4 9.2%	4 13.4%	28 21.5%
Non-English media	0 .0%	2 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	0 .0%	1 2.2%	2 4.2%	0 .0%	2 1.9%
San Jose Mercury News	4 11.3%	0 .0%	1 2.7%	2 6.8%	9 6.7%
The C -- Cupertino news	4 11.3%	2 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	0 .0%	1 2.9%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	2 1.6%
Word of mouth	0 .7%	8 18.2%	4 10.1%	3 9.6%	19 14.6%
None	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.9%
Nothing	2 6.1%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .3%	3 2.0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
Brochures or flyers	21 17.0%
City Website	16 12.4%
Cupertino Courier	44 34.7%
Cupertino Scene	24 19.2%
Email	10 8.2%
Facebook	10 8.0%
Flyers or posters around town	7 5.8%
Interaction with City staff at events	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	14 11.1%
Local TV news channels	10 8.0%
Mailers / Postcards	6 4.7%
NextDoor	18 14.4%
Non-English media	0 .0%
Radio	1 .5%
San Jose Mercury News	11 9.0%
The C -- Cupertino news	2 1.6%
Twitter	0 .0%
WeChat	2 1.8%
Word of mouth	14 11.0%
None	4 2.9%
Nothing	1 .7%
DK/NA	3 2.7%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			a		a
	City Website					
	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Scene					
	Email		a		a	a
	Facebook					
	Flyers or posters around town	a				
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a	a	
	Internet (No specific site)					
	Local TV news channels	I J	I J			
	Mailers / Postcards		I			
	NextDoor					
	Non-English media	a	a	a		a
	Radio	a		a	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News					
	The C -- Cupertino news			a		
	Twitter	a		a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a	a	a
	Word of mouth					
	None	a	a	a	a	a
Nothing						
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier				
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a		
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	
	Internet (No specific site)		A B		
	Local TV news channels			I	
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media		a	a	a
	Radio			a	
	San Jose Mercury News	a			
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat		a		
	Word of mouth				
	None	a	a	a	
Nothing					
DK/NA	a	a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(J)	
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	
	City Website	
	Cupertino Courier	
	Cupertino Scene	
	Email	
	Facebook	
	Flyers or posters around town	
	Interaction with City staff at events	a
	Internet (No specific site)	
	Local TV news channels	
	Mailers / Postcards	
	NextDoor	
	Non-English media	a
	Radio	
	San Jose Mercury News	
	The C -- Cupertino news	a
	Twitter	
	WeChat	
	Word of mouth	
	None	
Nothing		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	36 21.2%	34 22.3%	35 13.4%
City Website	76 13.0%	13 7.7%	21 13.5%	42 16.1%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	53 31.9%	14 9.3%	90 34.4%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	16 9.6%	17 11.1%	72 27.6%
Email	36 6.2%	4 2.2%	2 1.1%	31 11.7%
Facebook	31 5.3%	0 .0%	22 14.7%	8 3.2%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	7 4.0%	7 4.4%	13 5.1%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	7 4.0%	2 1.5%	2 .8%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	12 7.0%	21 13.9%	26 9.9%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	51 30.7%	31 20.3%	7 2.8%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	11 6.3%	8 5.1%	16 6.0%
NextDoor	73 12.6%	6 3.9%	9 5.9%	58 22.1%
Non-English media	3 .5%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	1 .4%
Radio	9 1.5%	1 .7%	5 3.3%	2 .9%
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	5 3.1%	6 3.9%	23 8.9%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	6 3.5%	5 3.2%	6 2.3%
Twitter	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .2%
WeChat	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 2.5%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	10 5.7%	23 15.3%	34 13.1%
None	8 1.3%	1 .4%	3 1.9%	4 1.6%
Nothing	7 1.2%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	3 1.1%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	1 .3%	2 1.2%	4 1.7%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			A
	City Website			B
	Cupertino Courier	B		A B
	Cupertino Scene			A B
	Email			A B
	Facebook	a	C	
	Flyers or posters around town			
	Interaction with City staff at events			
	Internet (No specific site)			
	Local TV news channels	C	C	
	Mailers / Postcards			A B
	NextDoor			
	Non-English media	a		
	Radio			
	San Jose Mercury News			
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	
	Twitter	a	a	
WeChat				
Word of mouth		A	A	
None				
Nothing		a		
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
Brochures or flyers	85	27	15	16	27
	18.3%	21.2%	16.5%	20.7%	16.1%
City Website	61	11	15	15	20
	13.3%	8.6%	16.7%	19.9%	11.9%
Cupertino Courier	139	14	25	27	72
	30.1%	11.5%	27.9%	34.7%	43.0%
Cupertino Scene	90	14	15	15	45
	19.4%	11.5%	16.4%	19.4%	26.9%
Email	25	10	5	2	8
	5.4%	7.8%	5.3%	2.8%	4.8%
Facebook	17	7	5	3	2
	3.8%	5.9%	5.4%	3.4%	1.4%
Flyers or posters around town	22	8	5	2	7
	4.7%	6.3%	5.3%	2.0%	4.3%
Interaction with City staff at events	8	5	0	1	3
	1.8%	4.0%	.0%	.8%	1.5%
Internet (No specific site)	48	27	11	2	7
	10.4%	21.4%	12.6%	3.2%	4.4%
Local TV news channels	77	24	9	13	31
	16.7%	19.3%	9.6%	16.5%	18.6%
Mailers / Postcards	20	4	3	5	7
	4.2%	3.3%	3.2%	6.8%	4.3%
NextDoor	57	8	21	13	15
	12.4%	6.3%	23.8%	16.9%	8.8%
Non-English media	3	2	0	1	0
	.6%	1.2%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
Radio	9	3	2	3	1
	1.9%	2.0%	2.2%	4.4%	.5%
San Jose Mercury News	29	3	6	4	16
	6.3%	2.7%	6.6%	4.7%	9.5%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	7	1	4	5
	3.6%	5.9%	.9%	4.9%	2.8%
Twitter	1	0	1	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	6	2	2	0	1
	1.2%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.6%
Word of mouth	41	13	8	8	12
	8.9%	10.3%	8.3%	10.9%	7.2%
None	5	3	1	0	1
	1.0%	2.3%	1.0%	.0%	.7%
Nothing	5	0	0	2	3
	1.1%	.0%	.3%	2.6%	1.6%
DK/NA	4	2	1	0	1
	.9%	1.9%	.6%	.0%	.6%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier		A	A	A
	Cupertino Scene				A
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	Interaction with City staff at events		a		
	Internet (No specific site)	C D			
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor		A D		
	Non-English media		a		a
	Radio				
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news			a	a
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat				
Word of mouth			a		
Nothing	a				
DK/NA			a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender				
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
Total	579	123	119	40	41
Brochures or flyers	104	25	25	5	7
	17.9%	20.0%	20.8%	13.0%	17.0%
City Website	76	19	12	8	5
	13.1%	15.7%	10.4%	19.5%	11.8%
Cupertino Courier	157	36	35	11	19
	27.1%	29.4%	29.5%	28.4%	47.0%
Cupertino Scene	105	34	19	7	7
	18.2%	27.2%	15.8%	16.5%	16.8%
Email	35	6	11	0	5
	6.1%	4.8%	9.0%	1.0%	11.6%
Facebook	31	12	7	1	3
	5.3%	9.9%	6.2%	3.0%	6.9%
Flyers or posters around town	27	4	2	5	0
	4.6%	3.5%	1.7%	12.0%	.0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11	2	0	0	1
	1.9%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
Internet (No specific site)	58	7	18	5	6
	10.0%	5.3%	15.0%	13.7%	13.6%
Local TV news channels	90	13	18	8	5
	15.5%	10.5%	14.7%	20.1%	11.1%
Mailers / Postcards	34	9	7	3	1
	5.9%	7.4%	5.6%	7.0%	2.0%
NextDoor	73	15	17	5	4
	12.7%	12.3%	14.0%	11.7%	8.7%
Non-English media	3	0	3	0	0
	.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
Radio	9	2	1	2	0
	1.5%	1.3%	.5%	5.4%	.0%
San Jose Mercury News	34	8	5	4	3
	6.0%	6.4%	4.0%	9.2%	6.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	17	6	4	1	0
	2.9%	4.6%	3.7%	1.6%	.0%
Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.9%
WeChat	7	0	0	1	2
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	5.1%
Word of mouth	67	14	9	0	1
	11.6%	11.7%	7.6%	1.1%	3.5%
None	8	2	3	0	0
	1.3%	1.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
Nothing	6	1	1	0	0
	1.0%	1.2%	.8%	1.1%	.0%
DK/NA	7	2	0	0	2
	1.2%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

	Party by Gender			
	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	128	112	7	9
Brochures or flyers	29 23.1%	12 10.6%	0 .0%	1 6.6%
City Website	15 11.6%	16 14.0%	0 4.1%	1 6.6%
Cupertino Courier	20 15.4%	31 27.2%	2 33.1%	2 26.7%
Cupertino Scene	16 12.7%	21 18.6%	2 34.9%	0 .0%
Email	5 4.2%	8 7.0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
Facebook	3 2.2%	4 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	10 7.6%	6 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	8 6.2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	6 4.7%	15 13.2%	2 22.7%	0 .0%
Local TV news channels	31 24.2%	12 10.8%	2 34.3%	1 15.8%
Mailers / Postcards	4 3.4%	10 9.2%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
NextDoor	13 10.0%	18 16.0%	1 13.3%	2 17.1%
Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	4 3.3%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	2 1.9%	11 9.5%	1 13.7%	1 15.6%
The C -- Cupertino news	3 2.2%	2 1.8%	1 17.1%	0 .0%
Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	1 1.0%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	21 16.8%	19 16.9%	0 .0%	2 17.1%
None	3 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.4%
DK/NA	1 .8%	2 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier				E
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				a
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a	
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media	a		a	a
	Radio				a
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news				a
	Twitter	a		a	
	WeChat	a			
	Word of mouth		a		
	None			a	a
Nothing				a	
DK/NA		a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers		a	
	City Website		.	
	Cupertino Courier		.	
	Cupertino Scene		.	a
	Email		.	a
	Facebook		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town		a	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a
	Internet (No specific site)		.	a
	Local TV news channels		.	.
	Mailers / Postcards		.	a
	NextDoor		.	.
	Non-English media	a	a	a
	Radio	.	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News	.	.	.
	The C -- Cupertino news	.	.	a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	.	a	a
Word of mouth	.	a	.	
None	a	a	a	
Nothing	.	a	A B E	
DK/NA	.	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	582
	Yes	357	357
		61.3%	61.3%
	No	202	202
	34.8%	34.8%	
DK/NA	23	23	
	4.0%	4.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	357	178	175	3
		61.3%	63.4%	58.8%	100.0%
	No	202	93	110	0
	34.8%	33.0%	36.8%	.0%	
DK/NA	23	10	13	0	
	4.0%	3.6%	4.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			a
	No			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	357	38	53	111	105	42	7
		61.3%	49.2%	52.8%	79.0%	68.9%	41.5%	75.1%
	No	202	32	48	23	41	57	2
		34.8%	41.5%	47.2%	16.1%	26.8%	56.0%	24.9%
	DK/NA	23	7	0	7	3	0	
		4.0%	9.3%	.0%	4.9%	4.3%	2.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			A B E	E		
	No	C	C D ^a			C D	
	DK/NA		.				. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	357	271	85
		61.3%	63.9%	54.1%
	No	202	134	68
		34.8%	31.5%	43.5%
	DK/NA	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.5%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	357	161	51	9	135
		61.3%	66.2%	63.4%	56.2%	55.8%
	No	202	73	27	7	95
		34.8%	30.1%	33.8%	43.8%	39.2%
	DK/NA	23	9	2	0	12
		4.0%	3.6%	2.8%	.0%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes				
	No			.	
	DK/NA			.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	357	81	40	20	16	86	114
		61.3%	66.5%	60.8%	61.9%	54.7%	66.6%	55.7%
	No	202	36	23	12	11	39	81
		34.8%	29.8%	34.8%	38.1%	37.7%	30.5%	39.4%
	DK/NA	23	4	3	0	2	4	10
		4.0%	3.6%	4.4%	.0%	7.6%	2.9%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes						
	No			.			
	DK/NA			.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	357	156	52	50	31	17
	No	202	96	23	26	15	8
	DK/NA	23	11	3	6	1	1
		4.0%	4.2%	3.8%	7.0%	2.5%	3.6%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	11	25	14
	No	12	12	10
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	3.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	357	4	144	35	19	7	10	32	57	25
	No	202	2	17	7	27	9	3	8	37	45
	DK/NA	23	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	3	4
		4.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	3.0%	4.8%	

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	18	1	3
	No	44	1	2
	DK/NA	3	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		DEHIJ	DIJ			J	IJ	J		
	No			BCG	B			B	BCG	BCGH	
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	357	284	73
	No	202	162	40
	DK/NA	23	18	5
		4.0%	3.9%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	357	230	126
	No	202	104	99
	DK/NA	23	13	10
		4.0%	3.8%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	357	4	24	70	84	98
	No	202	6	22	22	42	58
	DK/NA	23	0	3	2	6	8
		4.0%	.0%	6.2%	1.7%	4.4%	4.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	133	1
	Yes	76	0
	No	52	1
	DK/NA	5	0
		3.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			B		
	No					
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		a,,b
	No		a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b
			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	356	17	133	101	74	22	7
	No	201	28	69	37	30	33	4
	DK/NA	23	0	8	2	10	2	0
		4.0%	.5%	4.0%	1.7%	8.7%	3.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1 (A)	2 (B)	3 (C)	4 (D)	5 or more (E)	Not sure (F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		A E	A E	A E		
	No	B C D				B C D	
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	357	3	3	108	2	82
	No	202	0	0	67	0	21
		34.8%	.0%	.0%	35.2%	.0%	19.4%
	DK/NA	23	0	0	14	0	3
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	2.7%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	8	10	0	0	6
	No	7	13	7	14	4
		47.4%	53.2%	87.2%	100.0%	39.4%
	DK/NA	0	2	1	0	0
	.0%	6.9%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	98	13	7	3	12
	No	47	10	5	2	6
		31.6%	43.4%	40.1%	41.6%	33.4%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black (A)	Asian - Cambodian (B)	Asian - Chinese (C)	Asian - Filipino (D)	Asian - Indian (E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	a	a		a	C G
	No	a	a		a	
	DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese (F)	Asian - Korean (G)	Asian - Thai (H)	Asian - Vietnamese (I)	Asian - Other (J)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			a	a	
	No		E	E	a	
	DK/NA	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White (K)	Latino or Hispanic (L)	Two or more races (M)	Other (N)	Not sure/DK/NA (O)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA		a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	190	76	81	21	7	5
	No	70	26	27	9	4	3
		26.2%	25.1%	24.0%	29.9%	39.2%	39.2%
	DK/NA	7	2	5	0	0	0
	2.5%	2.1%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	213	67	114	18	3	10
	No	152	37	100	13	2	1
	DK/NA	16	3	10	2	0	0
		4.2%	3.2%	4.6%	7.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	357	298	23	48	4	1	32	2
	No	202	129	3	41	3	0	12	5
	DK/NA	23	21	0	4	0	0	0	0
		4.0%	4.7%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	9	29	10	1	2	0	47	6
	No	13	17	3	0	9	14	7	1
	DK/NA	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
		40.0%	60.0%	76.3%	100.0%	19.1%	.0%	84.2%	91.1%
		60.0%	35.4%	23.7%	.0%	70.6%	100.0%	13.4%	8.9%
		.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%	2.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	L	CHL			a,b	L		
	No			N		a,b		N	BN
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			a,b		.b	CHL	
	No			a,b	BN	.b		
	DK/NA		.b	a,b		.b		.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	357	20	26	15	20	22	21
	No	202	39	25	11	17	8	20
	DK/NA	23	2	1	3	0	2	0
		61.3%	32.7%	50.3%	50.8%	53.1%	68.9%	50.8%
		34.8%	64.4%	47.7%	38.7%	46.9%	24.4%	49.2%
		4.0%	2.9%	2.0%	10.5%	.0%	6.7%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		38	34	132	126
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	20	22	107	85
		52.0%	65.7%	80.5%	67.0%
	No	16	11	22	33
		40.5%	33.8%	16.5%	26.5%
	DK/NA	3	0	4	8
		7.4%	.5%	2.9%	6.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					A		
	No	E I J	I		I		I	
	DK/NA				a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		A B C D F G	A
	No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total		582	167	153	262
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	357	81	62	214
		61.3%	48.1%	40.8%	81.6%
	No	202	84	84	34
		34.8%	50.2%	55.1%	13.0%
	DK/NA	23	3	6	14
		4.0%	1.7%	4.1%	5.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			A B
	No	C	C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		462	126	90	78	168
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	294	70	61	55	108
		63.5%	55.5%	67.3%	71.2%	64.0%
	No	152	52	26	21	53
		32.8%	41.1%	28.4%	26.9%	31.7%
	DK/NA	17	4	4	1	7
		3.6%	3.4%	4.2%	1.9%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total		579	123	119	40	41	128	112
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	353	86	74	27	25	60	73
		61.1%	69.8%	62.3%	66.7%	60.3%	47.1%	64.9%
	No	202	36	38	12	15	57	38
		35.0%	29.1%	31.4%	30.5%	37.0%	44.7%	33.7%
	DK/NA	23	1	8	1	1	10	2
		4.0%	1.1%	6.3%	2.8%	2.8%	8.2%	1.3%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	7	9
Yes	2 35.3%	6 72.8%
No	5 64.7%	2 27.2%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	E						
	No							a
	DK/NA							.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	
	No	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	582
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	256 44.0%
	City Website	116 20.0%	116 20.0%
	Email	197 33.8%	197 33.8%
	Facebook	39 6.7%	39 6.7%
	Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	66 11.4%
	NextDoor	74 12.7%	74 12.7%
	Text message	16 2.7%	16 2.7%
	Twitter	7 1.2%	7 1.2%
	WeChat	11 1.8%	11 1.8%
	None	8 1.3%	8 1.3%
	Other	10 1.7%	10 1.7%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	24 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	.
	City Website	.
	Email	.
	Facebook	.
	Flyers or posters around town	.
	NextDoor	.
	Text message	.
	Twitter	.
	WeChat	.
	None	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	121	133	2
		44.0%	43.0%	44.6%	66.3%
	City Website	116	63	53	1
		20.0%	22.3%	17.6%	34.4%
	Email	197	114	82	0
		33.8%	40.6%	27.7%	.0%
	Facebook	39	15	24	0
		6.7%	5.2%	8.2%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	21	44	1
		11.4%	7.5%	14.9%	31.9%
	NextDoor	74	36	37	1
		12.7%	12.8%	12.4%	33.7%
	Text message	16	7	8	0
	2.7%	2.6%	2.8%	.0%	
Twitter	7	3	3	0	
	1.2%	1.2%	1.1%	.0%	
WeChat	11	5	5	0	
	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%	.0%	
None	8	5	3	0	
	1.3%	1.7%	1.1%	.0%	
Other	10	2	8	0	
	1.7%	.7%	2.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	11	13	0	
	4.1%	3.9%	4.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website			a
	Email	B		a
	Facebook			a
	Flyers or posters around town		A	
	NextDoor			
	Text message			a
	Twitter			a
	WeChat			a
	None			a
	Other			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	35	58	52	60	46	5
		44.0%	44.9%	57.0%	37.0%	39.5%	45.0%	62.6%
	City Website	116	11	21	38	29	16	1
		20.0%	14.2%	20.3%	27.3%	19.1%	15.9%	12.5%
	Email	197	24	24	60	70	18	2
		33.8%	31.3%	23.2%	42.2%	45.8%	17.7%	18.7%
	Facebook	39	17	6	10	4	2	0
		6.7%	22.3%	5.7%	7.4%	2.6%	1.8%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	7	7	14	14	25	0
		11.4%	8.9%	6.5%	10.0%	9.2%	24.4%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	5	12	27	23	6	1
		12.7%	6.7%	11.5%	19.2%	15.2%	5.7%	12.5%
	Text message	16	3	0	5	4	4	0
	2.7%	3.7%	.0%	3.7%	2.4%	3.7%	.0%	
Twitter	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	
	1.2%	3.7%	3.2%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	11	0	0	2	7	1	0	
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	4.4%	1.4%	.0%	
None	8	2	0	0	2	3	1	
	1.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	2.5%	12.5%	
Other	10	3	0	2	2	3	0	
	1.7%	3.7%	.0%	1.4%	1.2%	3.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	3	13	1	3	4	1	
	4.1%	3.6%	12.4%	.9%	1.7%	3.8%	12.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		C				
	City Website						
	Email			BE	BE		
	Facebook	BCDE					a
	Flyers or posters around town					BCD	a
	NextDoor			E			
	Text message		a				a
	Twitter						a
	WeChat	a	a				a
	None		a	a			a
	Other			a			a
	DK/NA		C	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	424	158
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	179	77
		44.0%	42.1%	48.9%
	City Website	116	91	25
		20.0%	21.4%	16.1%
	Email	197	154	42
		33.8%	36.3%	26.9%
	Facebook	39	33	6
		6.7%	7.7%	4.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	41	25
		11.4%	9.7%	15.9%
	NextDoor	74	59	15
		12.7%	13.8%	9.8%
	Text message	16	10	5
		2.7%	2.5%	3.3%
Twitter	7	6	0	
	1.2%	1.5%	.3%	
WeChat	11	9	1	
	1.8%	2.2%	.9%	
None	8	6	2	
	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	
Other	10	10	0	
	1.7%	2.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	15	9	
	4.1%	3.5%	5.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		
	City Website		
	Email	B	
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		A
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	109	39	10	99
		44.0%	44.6%	47.7%	62.0%	40.9%
	City Website	116	45	21	3	48
		20.0%	18.4%	25.9%	18.4%	19.8%
	Email	197	79	26	5	87
		33.8%	32.4%	31.8%	31.1%	36.0%
	Facebook	39	26	4	0	10
		6.7%	10.5%	4.8%	.0%	4.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	20	12	2	33
		11.4%	8.0%	14.5%	15.7%	13.5%
	NextDoor	74	28	11	1	35
		12.7%	11.3%	13.9%	3.2%	14.3%
	Text message	16	6	1	0	8
		2.7%	2.4%	1.6%	1.8%	3.4%
Twitter	7	4	0	0	3	
	1.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	
WeChat	11	1	2	0	8	
	1.8%	.4%	2.6%	.0%	3.1%	
None	8	4	2	0	2	
	1.3%	1.6%	2.1%	.0%	.9%	
Other	10	3	3	0	4	
	1.7%	1.3%	3.3%	.0%	1.8%	
DK/NA	24	13	1	0	10	
	4.1%	5.2%	1.0%	.0%	4.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town			a	
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter		a		
	WeChat				
	None				
	Other				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	66	24	15	19	50	82
		44.0%	54.7%	36.3%	45.7%	65.4%	39.1%	39.9%
	City Website	116	17	12	8	9	28	42
		20.0%	14.3%	18.6%	23.3%	31.0%	22.1%	20.4%
	Email	197	44	19	10	6	47	70
		33.8%	36.2%	28.6%	31.2%	20.8%	36.9%	34.3%
	Facebook	39	8	11	0	0	16	4
		6.7%	6.6%	16.9%	1.2%	.0%	12.3%	1.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	6	5	3	6	15	32
		11.4%	4.9%	7.1%	8.9%	19.5%	11.6%	15.8%
	NextDoor	74	13	7	4	3	19	28
		12.7%	11.0%	10.1%	12.8%	10.1%	14.6%	13.7%
	Text message	16	3	3	1	0	1	8
		2.7%	2.2%	4.8%	3.9%	.0%	.5%	3.8%
Twitter	7	0	3	0	0	3	0	
	1.2%	.2%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	
WeChat	11	1	0	1	0	1	8	
	1.8%	.9%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.8%	3.7%	
None	8	1	3	1	1	0	2	
	1.3%	.8%	4.3%	3.4%	2.3%	.1%	1.0%	
Other	10	3	0	2	1	3	1	
	1.7%	2.6%	.0%	6.0%	2.3%	2.3%	.7%	
DK/NA	24	3	4	1	0	6	10	
	4.1%	2.5%	6.4%	2.0%	.5%	4.3%	5.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail						
	City Website						
	Email						
	Facebook		F		a	F	
	Flyers or posters around town						A
	NextDoor						
	Text message			a	a		a
	Twitter			a	a		a
	WeChat		a				
	None			a			
	Other						
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	113	38	39	16
		44.0%	42.9%	48.4%	47.9%	33.9%
	City Website	116	56	15	18	8
		20.0%	21.3%	19.7%	21.8%	17.1%
	Email	197	96	30	21	16
		33.8%	36.4%	38.4%	25.8%	34.3%
	Facebook	39	25	5	4	4
		6.7%	9.6%	6.6%	4.8%	8.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	28	5	11	7
		11.4%	10.6%	6.6%	13.0%	15.4%
	NextDoor	74	31	14	10	7
		12.7%	12.0%	18.4%	11.9%	14.0%
	Text message	16	9	3	1	1
		2.7%	3.5%	3.4%	1.3%	2.5%
Twitter	7	0	3	0	3	
	1.2%	.2%	3.9%	.0%	6.9%	
WeChat	11	5	0	3	1	
	1.8%	2.0%	.0%	3.3%	2.2%	
None	8	4	0	1	1	
	1.3%	1.5%	.0%	.7%	1.7%	
Other	10	1	3	0	0	
	1.7%	.4%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	10	6	1	1	
	4.1%	3.6%	8.0%	.8%	1.7%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	10 37.4%	9 40.6%	17 43.4%	14 58.2%
	City Website	7 27.5%	1 6.4%	6 16.3%	4 16.5%
	Email	10 39.4%	7 31.4%	11 29.9%	5 18.8%
	Facebook	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.9%	0 .4%
	Flyers or posters around town	4 15.0%	5 19.9%	5 13.1%	2 8.4%
	NextDoor	3 10.8%	2 9.7%	4 10.9%	2 10.2%
	Text message	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.9%	0 .4%
	Twitter	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	WeChat	0 .0%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	None	1 2.4%	0 .0%	1 2.3%	1 4.5%
	Other	1 3.4%	0 .5%	3 8.1%	2 8.3%
	DK/NA	2 9.0%	1 4.8%	2 5.7%	1 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter		A	a	A
	WeChat		a		
	None				
	Other			a	a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook	a	a		
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message	a	a	a	a
	Twitter	a			a
	WeChat				
	None				
	Other			A	A
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	2 27.8%	66 38.3%	13 32.1%	26 56.8%	6 35.3%	5 36.6%	15 35.4%
	City Website	116 20.0%	1 9.0%	44 25.5%	9 20.7%	2 4.6%	2 13.6%	5 33.5%	12 29.4%
	Email	197 33.8%	0 .0%	95 55.0%	24 56.6%	17 35.9%	5 29.4%	5 35.3%	14 32.9%
	Facebook	39 6.7%	0 .0%	15 8.8%	5 11.6%	2 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 5.1%
	Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	1 9.9%	5 3.0%	4 10.2%	1 1.3%	2 11.3%	1 7.8%	6 15.3%
	NextDoor	74 12.7%	0 .0%	39 22.4%	5 12.3%	3 5.9%	1 6.3%	3 19.8%	9 21.6%
	Text message	16 2.7%	0 .0%	5 3.0%	0 .2%	2 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.6%
	Twitter	7 1.2%	3 43.5%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%
	WeChat	11 1.8%	0 .0%	7 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	None	8 1.3%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.1%
	Other	10 1.7%	3 43.5%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.9%	2 11.7%	1 1.5%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	1 9.9%	6 3.2%	0 .0%	3 7.4%	3 16.6%	0 .0%	1 1.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	48	36	36	2	0
		49.3%	48.4%	56.7%	100.0%	5.3%
	City Website	19	14	6	0	2
		19.5%	19.2%	10.0%	.0%	40.4%
	Email	10	15	8	1	4
		10.0%	20.8%	11.9%	46.3%	72.4%
	Facebook	6	2	6	0	0
		6.7%	2.8%	9.6%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	16	12	18	0	0
		16.8%	16.7%	27.6%	.0%	.0%
	NextDoor	5	7	2	0	1
		4.8%	9.9%	3.1%	.0%	11.8%
	Text message	2	2	4	0	0
		1.7%	2.8%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	3	0	0	0	0
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	0	0	3	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.7%	43.9%	.0%	
None	3	1	0	0	0	
	2.6%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	1	2	0	0	0	
	.9%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	4	3	3	0	1	
	4.2%	4.0%	4.3%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail								
	City Website								
	Email	a	HIJ	HIJ	H		a	a	
	Facebook	a							
	Flyers or posters around town								B
	NextDoor	a	HJ						
	Text message	a					a	a	
	Twitter	BGH					a	a	
	WeChat	a					a	a	a
	None	a					a	a	
	Other	BGHI							
	DK/NA								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			a	
	City Website			a	
	Email				HJ
	Facebook			a	
	Flyers or posters around town	B	BD	a	a
	NextDoor			a	
	Text message			a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
	WeChat	a		B	a
	None		a	a	a
	Other		a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	464	118
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	195	61
		44.0%	42.0%	51.8%
	City Website	116	91	25
		20.0%	19.6%	21.5%
	Email	197	160	37
		33.8%	34.4%	31.4%
	Facebook	39	32	7
		6.7%	6.8%	6.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	55	11
		11.4%	11.9%	9.6%
	NextDoor	74	63	11
		12.7%	13.5%	9.7%
	Text message	16	13	2
		2.7%	2.9%	1.8%
	Twitter	7	6	0
		1.2%	1.4%	.3%
WeChat	11	10	1	
	1.8%	2.1%	.9%	
None	8	6	1	
	1.3%	1.4%	1.2%	
Other	10	9	2	
	1.7%	1.8%	1.3%	
DK/NA	24	18	6	
	4.1%	3.9%	5.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		
	City Website		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	347	235
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	149	107
		44.0%	42.9%	45.6%
	City Website	116	78	38
		20.0%	22.5%	16.2%
	Email	197	124	73
		33.8%	35.6%	31.1%
	Facebook	39	18	22
		6.7%	5.1%	9.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	27	39
		11.4%	7.9%	16.6%
	NextDoor	74	50	24
		12.7%	14.4%	10.2%
	Text message	16	11	5
		2.7%	3.0%	2.2%
	Twitter	7	3	3
	1.2%	1.0%	1.4%	
WeChat	11	8	3	
	1.8%	2.3%	1.1%	
None	8	5	2	
	1.3%	1.6%	1.0%	
Other	10	6	4	
	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	
DK/NA	24	16	8	
	4.1%	4.6%	3.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		
	City Website		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		A
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	6	20	33	52
		44.0%	58.8%	40.7%	35.1%	39.6%
	City Website	116	3	5	19	29
		20.0%	26.5%	10.2%	20.1%	21.8%
	Email	197	4	12	45	48
		33.8%	40.5%	24.8%	47.6%	36.9%
	Facebook	39	0	3	7	10
		6.7%	.0%	7.1%	7.2%	7.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	2	9	4	16
		11.4%	14.7%	18.9%	4.2%	12.5%
	NextDoor	74	0	6	18	14
		12.7%	.0%	12.3%	19.4%	10.9%
	Text message	16	0	0	2	5
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	3.9%
	Twitter	7	0	0	4	3
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	2.2%	
WeChat	11	0	0	3	1	
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.9%	
None	8	0	1	1	2	
	1.3%	.0%	1.2%	.9%	1.7%	
Other	10	0	0	0	3	
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	
DK/NA	24	0	5	4	6	
	4.1%	.0%	9.3%	4.2%	4.3%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	163	133	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	73	71	1
		44.7%	53.5%	100.0%
	City Website	40	21	0
		24.3%	16.0%	.0%
	Email	48	39	0
		29.6%	29.0%	.0%
	Facebook	11	8	0
		6.5%	6.1%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	15	20	0
		9.4%	14.8%	.0%
	NextDoor	19	16	0
		11.7%	12.3%	.0%
	Text message	7	2	0
		4.1%	1.3%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	5	1	0	
	3.2%	.8%	.0%	
None	1	3	0	
	.9%	2.0%	.0%	
Other	5	2	0	
	3.1%	1.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	2	8	0	
	1.3%	5.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook	.b			
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor	.b			
	Text message	.b	.b		
	Twitter	.b	.b		
	WeChat	.b			
	None	.b	.b	.b	
	Other	.b			
	DK/NA	.b	E		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			a,b
	City Website			a,b
	Email			a,b
	Facebook			a,b
	Flyers or posters around town			a,b
	NextDoor			a,b
	Text message			a,b
	Twitter	.b	.b	a,b
	WeChat			a,b
	None			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	22	99	44	46	37	8
		44.1%	49.9%	47.1%	31.0%	40.1%	63.2%	70.5%
	City Website	116	5	42	35	28	6	1
		20.1%	10.2%	20.1%	24.5%	24.4%	10.0%	10.4%
	Email	196	6	70	52	45	18	5
		33.9%	13.7%	33.2%	37.2%	39.9%	30.3%	43.0%
	Facebook	39	1	5	13	14	7	0
		6.7%	1.8%	2.2%	9.3%	12.1%	11.6%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	10	25	19	7	5	0
		11.3%	22.2%	11.7%	13.8%	5.7%	8.7%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	2	24	24	19	4	1
		12.8%	3.9%	11.4%	17.4%	16.5%	6.1%	11.9%
	Text message	16	1	8	6	2	0	0
		2.7%	1.6%	3.6%	4.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	7	0	3	0	3	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	2.5%	.7%	.0%
	WeChat	11	0	2	6	3	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	.8%	4.1%	2.9%	.0%	.0%
None	7	1	3	0	3	0	0	
	1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
Other	10	2	2	3	3	0	0	
	1.7%	4.3%	1.1%	2.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	23	4	12	2	2	0	3	
	4.0%	8.5%	5.6%	1.6%	2.1%	.0%	25.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		C			C	
	City Website						
	Email			A	A	B	a
	Facebook			B	B	B	a
	Flyers or posters around town	D					a
	NextDoor						.
	Text message					a	a
	Twitter	a		a			a
	WeChat	a				a	a
	None			a		a	a
	Other					a	a
	DK/NA						C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	0	3	62
		44.0%	.0%	100.0%	32.7%
	City Website	116	1	0	30
		20.0%	50.0%	.0%	15.7%
	Email	197	1	0	77
		33.8%	50.0%	.0%	40.8%
	Facebook	39	0	0	13
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	7.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	0	0	34
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	17.9%
	NextDoor	74	0	0	20
		12.7%	.0%	.0%	10.6%
	Text message	16	0	0	10
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
Twitter	7	0	0	3	
	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	
WeChat	11	0	0	9	
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	
None	8	0	0	2	
	1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	
Other	10	0	0	3	
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	
DK/NA	24	1	0	4	
	4.1%	50.0%	.0%	2.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	1	33	8	14
		51.2%	31.6%	52.3%	55.4%
	City Website	1	33	0	9
		48.8%	31.2%	.0%	35.7%
	Email	2	41	7	7
		100.0%	39.0%	45.5%	26.6%
	Facebook	0	4	1	3
		.0%	4.2%	7.1%	11.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	14	1	0
		.0%	12.9%	9.4%	.0%
	NextDoor	0	18	4	3
		.0%	17.1%	25.5%	10.8%
	Text message	0	3	1	0
		.0%	2.7%	6.7%	.0%
Twitter	0	3	0	0	
	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
None	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	0	3	0	0	
	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	4	0	0	
	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	7	13	1	79
		88.2%	92.9%	10.2%	53.5%
	City Website	0	0	3	30
		.0%	.0%	26.5%	20.4%
	Email	1	0	6	40
		11.8%	.0%	61.5%	26.7%
	Facebook	3	3	1	8
		40.9%	21.0%	12.0%	5.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	2	0	9
		.0%	11.7%	.0%	6.3%
	NextDoor	0	0	2	20
		.0%	.0%	22.2%	13.2%
	Text message	0	0	0	2
	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	
Twitter	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%	
WeChat	0	0	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	12.0%	.0%	
None	0	0	0	5	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	
Other	0	0	0	4	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	8	
	.0%	7.1%	.0%	5.2%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	17	8	4	6
		71.1%	73.0%	68.4%	31.8%
	City Website	2	1	0	7
		7.9%	7.5%	.0%	37.3%
	Email	7	3	2	1
		31.0%	28.5%	28.4%	8.5%
	Facebook	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	2	3	1	0
		9.1%	25.7%	23.2%	.0%
	NextDoor	2	1	1	4
		6.8%	8.8%	14.7%	21.9%
	Text message	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Twitter	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
None	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	
Other	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	0	2	
	12.6%	5.3%	.0%	14.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	a	a		
	City Website	.	.		
	Email	.	a		a
	Facebook	a	.		a
	Flyers or posters around town	.	a	K	a
	NextDoor	.	a		a
	Text message	.	a		a
	Twitter	.	a		a
	WeChat	.	a		a
	None	.	a		a
	Other	.	a		a
	DK/NA	C E K	.		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		a		a
	City Website		.		.
	Email				
	Facebook				C E K
	Flyers or posters around town			a	a
	NextDoor			.	a
	Text message			a	a
	Twitter		a	a	a
	WeChat		a	a	a
	None	a	a	a	a
	Other	.	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	C E J O		C E	C E
	City Website	a			
	Email	a			
	Facebook				a
	Flyers or posters around town		a		.
	NextDoor	a			
	Text message	a	a		a
	Twitter	a	.		a
	WeChat	a		a	a
	None	a	a	.	a
	Other	a	a	.	a
	DK/NA	.	a	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website		a	
	Email			
	Facebook	a	a	
	Flyers or posters around town			a
	NextDoor			.
	Text message	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a
	None	a	.	.
	Other	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	39	56	11	5	5
		43.5%	37.4%	49.8%	35.2%	43.9%	62.3%
	City Website	61	34	20	3	3	1
		22.7%	33.0%	17.8%	10.4%	24.2%	5.7%
	Email	102	36	50	11	4	1
		38.1%	35.0%	44.1%	34.9%	38.5%	10.0%
	Facebook	18	9	8	1	0	0
		6.9%	8.3%	7.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	22	4	12	3	1	2
		8.1%	3.7%	10.3%	10.6%	13.4%	18.7%
	NextDoor	43	14	18	8	2	1
		16.1%	13.0%	16.1%	26.9%	18.4%	11.5%
	Text message	7	3	2	2	0	0
	2.6%	3.0%	2.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	
Twitter	3	3	0	0	0	0	
	1.3%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	8	2	5	0	0	1	
	3.0%	2.4%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	
None	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.3%	.1%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	3	3	0	0	0	0	
	1.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	4	3	0	0	0	
	2.7%	3.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	.
	NextDoor				a	a
	Text message		a	a	a	a
	Twitter		.	a	a	a
	WeChat			a	a	a
	None			a	a	a
	Other		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	167	45	103	14	2	2
		43.8%	41.4%	46.1%	42.9%	44.2%	22.3%
	City Website	72	20	42	6	1	2
		18.8%	18.9%	18.9%	17.8%	17.5%	19.4%
	Email	120	37	70	9	2	2
		31.6%	34.4%	31.3%	25.9%	47.9%	20.3%
	Facebook	21	3	17	1	0	0
		5.6%	2.9%	7.8%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	57	15	30	9	1	2
		15.0%	13.5%	13.6%	26.9%	32.5%	14.9%
	NextDoor	40	12	24	3	0	1
		10.5%	11.0%	10.7%	8.8%	.0%	10.6%
	Text message	12	5	3	2	0	2
	3.1%	4.8%	1.5%	5.0%	.0%	14.9%	
Twitter	7	1	6	0	0	0	
	1.8%	.6%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	8	1	6	0	0	1	
	2.2%	1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	
None	8	2	6	0	0	0	
	2.1%	1.5%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	10	4	5	2	0	0	
	2.7%	3.7%	2.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	2	3	0	0	3	
	2.3%	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	31.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	.
	NextDoor				a	a
	Text message				a	B
	Twitter			a	a	a
	WeChat			a	a	a
	None			a	a	a
	Other			.	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	201	11	41	3	1
		44.0%	44.8%	43.5%	44.1%	34.3%	75.7%
	City Website	116	95	9	11	3	1
		20.0%	21.1%	36.3%	11.6%	35.7%	93.5%
	Email	197	171	8	43	3	0
		33.8%	38.1%	31.6%	46.7%	38.9%	.0%
	Facebook	39	35	2	5	0	0
		6.7%	7.8%	8.8%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	36	3	13	1	0
		11.4%	8.0%	12.1%	14.2%	13.2%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	64	6	7	2	0
		12.7%	14.2%	21.4%	7.2%	26.8%	24.3%
	Text message	16	11	0	4	0	0
		2.7%	2.4%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
Twitter	7	7	0	0	0	0	
	1.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	11	4	0	6	0	0	
	1.8%	1.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	
None	8	8	0	2	0	0	
	1.3%	1.7%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
Other	10	10	1	0	0	0	
	1.7%	2.3%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	22	0	3	0	0	
	4.1%	4.9%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	15	5	12	18	9	1
		34.2%	71.3%	54.7%	37.3%	68.0%	100.0%
	City Website	9	0	9	4	3	0
		19.6%	3.5%	40.2%	9.3%	22.5%	25.0%
	Email	22	2	7	20	6	0
		49.4%	32.2%	30.0%	41.3%	48.6%	.0%
	Facebook	2	0	3	0	0	0
		5.3%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	8	0	0	14	2	0
		19.1%	.0%	.0%	30.3%	16.5%	.0%
	NextDoor	8	3	2	4	0	0
		18.2%	44.0%	7.2%	8.7%	.0%	.0%
	Text message	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.0%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	0	0	0	3	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	
None	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	9	13	26	3
		71.3%	92.9%	46.6%	49.6%
	City Website	1	0	14	3
		11.2%	.0%	24.7%	48.2%
	Email	2	0	24	1
		18.9%	.0%	43.7%	14.3%
	Facebook	3	3	2	0
		26.7%	21.0%	3.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	2	3	0
		.0%	11.7%	5.9%	.0%
	NextDoor	1	0	14	1
		9.8%	.0%	25.1%	20.5%
	Text message	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.7%	24.9%
Twitter	0	0	3	0	
	.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	
WeChat	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.7%	
None	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	0	0	3	0	
	.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	1	0	
	.0%	7.1%	2.2%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English (A)	Cantonese (B)	Chinese (C)	French (D)	German (E)	Hindi (F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					a	
	City Website					a	
	Email					a, b	
	Facebook				b	a, b	
	Flyers or posters around town					a, b	
	NextDoor					a	
	Text message		b	b	b	a, b	b
	Twitter		b	b	b	a, b	b
	WeChat		b	A	b	a, b	b
	None		b	b	b	a, b	b
	Other		b	b	b	a, b	b
	DK/NA		b	b	b	a, b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					a, b	
	City Website					a	
	Email					a, b	
	Facebook	.b		.b	.b	a, b	
	Flyers or posters around town	.b	.b	A N		a, b	.b
	NextDoor				.b	a, b	
	Text message		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Twitter	.b	.b		.b	a, b	.b
	WeChat	.b	.b	A	.b	a, b	.b
	None	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Other	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	A C F I		
	City Website	.b		
	Email	.b		
	Facebook			.b
	Flyers or posters around town			.b
	NextDoor	.b		
	Text message	.b		A N
	Twitter	.b		.b
	WeChat	.b	.b	A
	None	.b	.b	.b
	Other	.b		.b
	DK/NA			.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	21	32	19	15
		44.0%	35.0%	62.4%	65.3%	40.1%
	City Website	116	10	8	4	10
		20.0%	16.2%	14.8%	15.0%	27.8%
	Email	197	6	8	8	9
		33.8%	10.4%	14.8%	27.3%	24.4%
	Facebook	39	1	0	0	2
		6.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	18	14	1	7
		11.4%	30.2%	27.8%	4.2%	19.1%
	NextDoor	74	4	5	2	2
		12.7%	7.0%	9.2%	5.4%	5.0%
	Text message	16	1	0	3	1
		2.7%	2.5%	.4%	8.9%	1.7%
	Twitter	7	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	11	0	3	0	0
	1.8%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	
None	8	1	1	1	0	
	1.3%	1.8%	2.6%	4.7%	.0%	
Other	10	2	0	1	0	
	1.7%	3.2%	.2%	2.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	7	0	0	1	
	4.1%	11.1%	.2%	.5%	4.0%	

	Household Income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
Brochures or flyers in the mail	12 36.7%	23 56.4%	18 48.2%	17 49.4%	44 33.5%
City Website	9 29.3%	4 10.7%	2 6.4%	3 9.9%	39 29.6%
Email	9 29.4%	18 42.8%	12 32.6%	12 34.8%	71 53.9%
Facebook	1 4.1%	4 10.3%	5 13.8%	1 3.6%	12 9.2%
Flyers or posters around town	2 6.2%	3 8.3%	2 4.2%	3 10.1%	5 3.8%
NextDoor	3 10.7%	7 17.6%	4 10.8%	8 22.9%	22 16.7%
Text message	0 1.2%	0 .2%	3 7.4%	1 3.1%	2 1.6%
Twitter	3 10.1%	0 .0%	3 7.4%	0 .0%	1 .5%
WeChat	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.7%	4 3.3%
None	2 7.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other	1 3.6%	2 3.8%	3 7.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	2 4.9%	1 3.2%	0 .0%	3 2.1%

28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
Brochures or flyers in the mail	54 42.9%
City Website	26 20.2%
Email	43 34.1%
Facebook	12 9.3%
Flyers or posters around town	10 8.0%
NextDoor	17 13.4%
Text message	4 3.3%
Twitter	0 .0%
WeChat	1 .8%
None	2 1.3%
Other	2 1.5%
DK/NA	10 7.6%

28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Household Income				
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Brochures or flyers in the mail		I			
City Website					
Email		a	a		
Facebook		.	.		
Flyers or posters around town	I J	I J			
NextDoor					
Text message	a	a	a	a	I
Twitter	a	.	a	a	a
WeChat	.		.	a	.
None				a	.
Other				.	.
DK/NA					a

28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email	A			A B
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message	a		a	
	Twitter	a	l a	.	
	WeChat	a	.	a	a
	None	a	a	a	a
	Other	.	.	.	a
	DK/NA			a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	
	City Website	
	Email	A
	Facebook	
	Flyers or posters around town	
	NextDoor	
	Text message	
	Twitter	a
	WeChat	
	None	
	Other	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	69	89	98
		44.0%	41.1%	58.0%	37.6%
	City Website	116	35	19	62
		20.0%	20.9%	12.7%	23.7%
	Email	197	15	30	151
		33.8%	9.2%	19.6%	57.8%
	Facebook	39	3	16	19
		6.7%	2.0%	10.7%	7.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	42	15	10
		11.4%	25.0%	9.7%	3.7%
	NextDoor	74	11	7	55
		12.7%	6.8%	4.6%	21.2%
	Text message	16	3	7	6
		2.7%	1.7%	4.4%	2.3%
	Twitter	7	3	3	1
		1.2%	1.7%	2.1%	.2%
WeChat	11	3	0	8	
	1.8%	1.8%	.0%	2.9%	
None	8	5	0	3	
	1.3%	3.0%	.0%	1.1%	
Other	10	7	2	2	
	1.7%	4.1%	1.0%	.6%	
DK/NA	24	6	8	10	
	4.1%	3.6%	5.3%	3.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A C	
	City Website			B
	Email		A	A B
	Facebook		A	A
	Flyers or posters around town	B C	C	
	NextDoor			A B
	Text message			
	Twitter		a	
	WeChat		a	
	None		.	
	Other	C		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	197	54	35	31	77
		42.6%	43.0%	38.9%	39.7%	45.6%
	City Website	103	35	22	18	28
		22.3%	27.9%	24.0%	23.0%	16.9%
	Email	155	43	32	23	58
		33.6%	34.1%	35.5%	29.3%	34.3%
	Facebook	30	11	5	5	9
		6.5%	8.6%	5.8%	6.9%	5.1%
	Flyers or posters around town	44	11	8	7	17
		9.4%	9.0%	9.3%	8.6%	10.2%
	NextDoor	63	13	13	18	18
		13.7%	10.6%	14.5%	23.6%	11.0%
	Text message	12	2	2	1	8
		2.7%	1.3%	1.9%	1.6%	4.6%
Twitter	4	0	0	3	0	
	.8%	.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	
WeChat	10	2	4	1	2	
	2.1%	1.8%	4.3%	1.3%	1.5%	
None	7	3	1	0	3	
	1.5%	2.3%	.9%	.0%	2.0%	
Other	7	0	0	0	7	
	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.3%	4.2%	
DK/NA	19	5	3	2	8	
	4.1%	4.3%	3.8%	3.1%	4.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message		a	A	a
	Twitter		.		.
	WeChat				
	None			a	
	Other		a		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	254	59	49	18	20
		43.8%	47.9%	40.8%	45.3%	50.1%
	City Website	115	19	26	11	10
		19.9%	15.2%	21.8%	27.4%	24.4%
	Email	197	38	41	8	17
		34.0%	30.6%	34.6%	21.1%	42.4%
	Facebook	39	16	9	3	1
		6.7%	13.2%	7.8%	6.8%	2.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	65	12	6	6	5
		11.3%	10.1%	5.1%	15.8%	13.2%
	NextDoor	73	16	12	7	4
		12.6%	12.8%	9.9%	17.8%	10.1%
	Text message	16	4	2	0	1
		2.7%	3.0%	1.7%	.0%	3.1%
Twitter	7	0	3	0	0	
	1.2%	.3%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	11	0	1	0	2	
	1.8%	.0%	.9%	.0%	5.1%	
None	8	1	3	2	0	
	1.4%	.6%	2.7%	4.3%	.0%	
Other	10	2	1	3	0	
	1.7%	1.9%	.7%	6.4%	.2%	
DK/NA	24	7	6	1	0	
	4.1%	5.6%	4.8%	2.0%	.0%	

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	50	47	5	4
		39.4%	42.3%	78.0%	49.4%
	City Website	23	24	0	3
		17.9%	21.3%	.0%	33.0%
	Email	35	52	1	4
		27.5%	46.3%	20.0%	39.8%
	Facebook	5	4	0	0
		4.3%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	25	8	1	1
		19.2%	7.3%	15.7%	15.8%
	NextDoor	14	20	0	0
		10.9%	17.6%	2.2%	4.0%
	Text message	4	4	0	0
		3.4%	3.5%	4.1%	.0%
Twitter	3	0	0	0	
	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
WeChat	5	2	0	0	
	4.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	
None	1	1	0	0	
	.5%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	
Other	3	1	0	0	
	2.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	5	5	0	0	
	4.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook					
	Flyers or posters around town					B
	NextDoor					
	Text message			a		
	Twitter			a	a	
	WeChat	a		a		
	None				a	
	Other					
DK/NA				a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website		a	
	Email			
	Facebook		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town			
	NextDoor			a
	Text message	a	a	a
	Twitter		a	a
	WeChat		a	a
	None		a	a
	Other		a	a
DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
A. Gender	Total	582	582
	Male	281	281
		48.3%	48.3%
	Female	298	298
		51.1%	51.1%
	Other	3	3
		.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Total
		Total (A)
A. Gender	Male	.
	Female	.
	Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
A. Gender	Total	582	281	298	3
	Male	281	281	0	0
		48.3%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Female	298	0	298	0
		51.1%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Other	3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Gender	Male	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
A. Gender	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Male	281	42	54	70	68	45	2
	Female	298	35	47	69	83	57	7
	Other	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male	a	a			a	a
	Female	a	a			a	a
	Other	a	a			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
A. Gender	Total	582	424	158
	Male	281	209	72
	Female	298	214	83
	Other	3	1	2
		48.3%	49.2%	45.9%
		51.1%	50.5%	52.8%
		.5%	.2%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
A. Gender	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Male	281	119	41	9	112
	Female	298	123	40	7	128
	Other	3	1	0	0	2
		48.3%	49.0%	50.6%	55.8%	46.4%
		51.1%	50.6%	49.4%	44.2%	52.7%
		.5%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Gender	Male				
	Female		a	a	
	Other				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
A. Gender	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Male	281	60	32	15	13	67	94
	Female	298	60	34	17	15	61	109
	Other	3	1	0	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female		a	a	a	a	
	Other						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
A. Gender	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	Male	281	149	34	31	23	13	9
	Female	298	113	43	50	25	14	14
	Other	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
		.5%	.4%	1.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
A. Gender	Total	38	24
	Male	14	8
	Female	24	16
	Other	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date						
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
A. Gender	Male							
	Female				a	a	a	a
	Other							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date
		1980 or before
		(H)
A. Gender	Male	
	Female	a
	Other	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date											
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
A. Gender	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2
	Male	281	2	88	22	30	11	7	24	40	35	17	1
	Female	298	5	81	20	16	6	7	18	57	38	47	1
	Other	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Date
		Apr. 15
A. Gender	Total	5
	Male	4
	Female	2
	Other	0
		27.6%
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
A. Gender	Male		J		J						B D		
	Female	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Other												

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Gender	Total	582	464	118
	Male	281	221	61
		48.3%	47.5%	51.5%
	Female	298	240	57
		51.1%	51.8%	48.5%
	Other	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Gender	Total	582	347	235
	Male	281	161	120
		48.3%	46.4%	51.2%
	Female	298	183	115
		51.1%	52.7%	48.8%
	Other	3	3	0
		.5%	.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
A. Gender	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	Male	281	6	21	58	62	74	60
		48.3%	55.5%	42.1%	61.8%	47.1%	45.6%	44.9%
	Female	298	5	28	35	70	89	71
		51.1%	44.5%	57.9%	37.1%	52.9%	54.4%	53.6%
	Other	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
A. Gender	Total	1
	Male	100.0%
	Female	0
		.0%
	Other	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c, d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
A. Gender	Male							a, b
	Female							a, b
	Other	.b	.b		.b	.b		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
A. Gender	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Male	281	16	104	59	65	31	6
		48.4%	36.1%	49.2%	41.8%	57.0%	53.7%	50.3%
	Female	296	29	107	81	48	27	5
		51.1%	63.9%	50.8%	57.5%	42.1%	46.3%	40.7%
Other	3	0	0	1	1	0	1	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.9%	.0%	9.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female						
	Other	a	a			a	C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
A. Gender	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
	Male	281	0	3	104	1	54	4
		48.3%	.0%	100.0%	54.7%	48.8%	51.4%	23.4%
	Female	298	3	0	86	1	51	12
		51.1%	100.0%	.0%	45.3%	51.2%	48.6%	76.6%
Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
A. Gender	Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
	Male	13	4	2	5	69	8
		50.8%	47.2%	11.3%	48.1%	46.6%	32.6%
	Female	12	4	12	5	79	16
		49.2%	52.8%	88.7%	51.9%	53.4%	67.4%
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	12	6	17
	Male	3	3	10
		29.0%	52.3%	55.8%
	Female	7	2	7
		62.2%	31.1%	38.2%
Other	1	1	1	
	8.8%	16.6%	6.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group					
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male	a	a				
	Female	a	a				
	Other	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female						
	Other	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
A. Gender	Male			
	Female			
	Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Male	126	50	54	12	7	4
	Female	138	54	57	19	4	4
	Other	3	0	2	0	0	1
		1.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Gender	Male			a		
	Female			a		
	Other	a			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Male	175	37	117	12	1	7
	Female	204	70	106	21	3	3
	Other	2	0	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	9.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Gender	Male		A			
	Female	B		a	a	
	Other	a				B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household									
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
A. Gender	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22	48
	Male	281	226	18	50	3	1	25	2	13	29
	Female	298	221	8	43	3	0	19	5	9	18
	Other	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Male	5	0	5	2	31	4
	Female	7	1	7	12	24	1
	Other	1	0	0	0	1	2
		7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household									
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
A. Gender	Male		M			a,b					
	Female					a,b					
	Other		.b	.b	A	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b	A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household				
		Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
A. Gender	Male	a				
	Female	a		B O		
	Other	a,b	.b	.b		A N

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
A. Gender	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	Male	281	22	23	12	14	16	16	16
	Female	298	38	29	17	22	16	25	23
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		48.3%	37.2%	43.6%	41.7%	39.1%	50.0%	39.9%	40.6%
		51.1%	62.8%	56.4%	58.3%	60.9%	50.0%	60.1%	59.4%
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	34	132	126
	Male	18	84	60
	Female	16	46	66
	Other	0	2	1
		52.7%	63.7%	47.3%
		47.3%	34.8%	51.9%
		.0%	1.5%	.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income							
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Gender	Male								
	Female	l	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Other

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income	
		\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
A. Gender	Male	A	
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
A. Gender	Total	582	167	153	262
	Male	281	71	66	144
	Female	298	96	86	115
	Other	3	0	0	3
		48.3%	42.4%	43.4%	55.0%
		51.1%	57.6%	56.6%	43.9%
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Gender	Male			A
	Female	C	C	
	Other	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
A. Gender	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Male	214	63	44	35	72
	Female	245	63	45	43	94
	Other	3	0	1	0	2
		46.3%	50.2%	48.6%	44.9%	42.9%
		53.0%	49.8%	50.2%	55.1%	56.0%
		.7%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3 (A)	4-6 (B)	7-9 (C)	10 or more (D)
A. Gender	Male				
	Female	a		a	
	Other				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
A. Gender	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
	Male	281	0	119	0	41	0	112	0	9
	Female	298	123	0	40	0	128	0	7	0
		51.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)	Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
A. Gender	Male	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	11 1.9%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	49 8.4%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	94 16.2%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	131 22.6%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	163 28.1%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	133 22.8%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	1 .1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	.
	1 to 3 years	.
	4 to 9 years	.
	10 to 15 years	.
	16 to 25 years	.
	26 years or move	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Less than 1 year	11	6	5	0
		1.9%	2.1%	1.6%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	21	28	0
		8.4%	7.4%	9.6%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	58	35	1
		16.2%	20.7%	11.7%	34.4%
	10 to 15 years	131	62	70	0
		22.6%	22.0%	23.4%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	74	89	0
	28.1%	26.5%	29.9%	.0%	
26 years or move	133	60	71	2	
	22.8%	21.2%	23.9%	65.6%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	
	.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a
	1 to 3 years			a
	4 to 9 years	B		a
	10 to 15 years			a
	16 to 25 years			a
	26 years or move		a	a
DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Less than 1 year	11	2	6	1	2	0	0
		1.9%	2.6%	5.6%	.8%	1.2%	.1%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	16	15	4	7	0
		8.4%	8.7%	15.7%	10.9%	2.7%	7.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	5	33	39	15	1	0
		16.2%	6.3%	33.0%	28.0%	9.8%	1.4%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	30	15	44	32	10	0
		22.6%	39.5%	14.8%	31.5%	20.8%	10.0%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	22	16	29	71	25	0
	28.1%	29.3%	16.1%	20.2%	46.6%	24.6%	.0%	
26 years or move	133	10	15	12	29	57	9	
	22.8%	13.7%	14.8%	8.5%	19.0%	56.4%	100.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year						a
	1 to 3 years		D	D			a
	4 to 9 years		ADE	ADE			a
	10 to 15 years	BDE		BE			a
	16 to 25 years				BCE		a
	26 years or move					ABCD	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Less than 1 year	11	0	11
		1.9%	.0%	6.8%
	1 to 3 years	49	15	34
		8.4%	3.6%	21.6%
	4 to 9 years	94	57	37
		16.2%	13.4%	23.6%
	10 to 15 years	131	111	20
		22.6%	26.2%	13.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	131	33
	28.1%	30.8%	20.7%	
26 years or move	133	111	22	
	22.8%	26.1%	14.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	
	.1%	.0%	.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		A
	1 to 3 years		A
	4 to 9 years		A
	10 to 15 years	B	
	16 to 25 years	B	
	26 years or move	B	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	7 3.0%	0 .4%	0 .0%	3 1.3%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	24 10.0%	4 5.3%	1 7.3%	19 8.0%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	41 16.7%	8 9.7%	2 11.9%	44 18.0%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	48 19.5%	12 15.4%	4 22.6%	68 28.1%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	72 29.6%	13 16.4%	5 30.7%	73 30.2%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	51 21.1%	42 52.2%	4 27.5%	35 14.3%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a	
	1 to 3 years			.	
	4 to 9 years			.	
	10 to 15 years			.	
	16 to 25 years			.	
	26 years or move		A D	.	.
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	6 4.7%	2 2.5%	0 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	15 12.3%	3 4.4%	2 5.3%	2 8.0%	8 6.2%	19 9.4%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	24 19.9%	12 18.1%	6 17.2%	0 .0%	8 6.2%	44 21.6%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	20 16.1%	15 22.2%	8 24.1%	2 8.0%	35 27.5%	52 25.2%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	37 30.5%	21 32.0%	2 6.8%	5 19.3%	40 30.8%	58 28.2%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	20 16.5%	14 20.6%	14 43.9%	18 64.7%	38 29.4%	29 14.0%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year				a	a	
	1 to 3 years						
	4 to 9 years	E			a		E
	10 to 15 years						
	16 to 25 years						
	26 years or move			AF	AB...	F	a
DK/NA	a	a		a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Less than 1 year	11	11	0	0	0
		1.9%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	42	4	3	0
		8.4%	15.8%	5.0%	4.0%	.7%
	4 to 9 years	94	48	24	10	11
		16.2%	18.2%	31.0%	12.4%	22.8%
	10 to 15 years	131	63	26	21	18
		22.6%	23.9%	32.5%	25.5%	37.7%
16 to 25 years	163	70	17	33	11	
	28.1%	26.5%	21.5%	41.1%	23.6%	
26 years or move	133	30	7	14	7	
	22.8%	11.5%	9.3%	17.1%	15.2%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Less than 1 year	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	1	0	0	0
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	1	0	3	0
		4.6%	1.0%	7.9%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	14	10	7	1
	53.6%	41.9%	19.0%	5.1%	
26 years or move	10	13	28	23	
	37.9%	57.1%	73.2%	94.9%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	CD				a
	4 to 9 years		CE			
	10 to 15 years		F		EFG	
	16 to 25 years			H		BH
	26 years or move				a	AB
DK/NA	a		a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years			
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move	ABCD	ABCD	ABCDE
DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Less than 1 year	11	0	4	3	2	0	0	0	2
		1.9%	.0%	2.4%	7.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	0	9	4	4	0	3	3	9
		8.4%	.0%	5.0%	9.6%	9.3%	.0%	19.5%	7.5%	9.7%
	4 to 9 years	94	0	41	11	5	3	3	8	12
		16.2%	.0%	23.9%	25.6%	11.6%	16.6%	19.2%	17.9%	12.7%
	10 to 15 years	131	4	38	14	8	3	4	5	17
		22.6%	55.5%	22.1%	33.4%	17.3%	19.0%	27.7%	11.4%	17.9%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	50	6	18	1	4	8	27
	28.1%	.0%	29.0%	15.1%	38.1%	8.6%	26.0%	18.5%	28.4%	
26 years or move	133	3	30	4	9	9	1	19	28	
	22.8%	44.5%	17.6%	9.3%	19.5%	55.8%	7.6%	44.4%	29.1%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Less than 1 year	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	9	8	0	0
		12.1%	12.6%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	8	0	1	2
		11.2%	.6%	53.7%	28.8%
	10 to 15 years	21	17	0	0
		29.3%	26.8%	.0%	.0%
16 to 25 years	20	25	1	3	
	27.7%	38.9%	46.3%	49.9%	
26 years or move	14	14	0	1	
	19.7%	21.1%	.0%	21.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a					a				a
	1 to 3 years	a									a
	4 to 9 years	a	J	J							
	10 to 15 years										
	16 to 25 years	a									
	26 years or move					B C		B C			
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years		a	a
	4 to 9 years		J	J
	10 to 15 years		a	a
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move		a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Less than 1 year	11	8	3
		1.9%	1.7%	2.3%
	1 to 3 years	49	38	11
		8.4%	8.3%	9.2%
	4 to 9 years	94	79	15
		16.2%	17.0%	13.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	111	21
		22.6%	23.8%	17.7%
16 to 25 years	163	120	43	
	28.1%	25.8%	36.8%	
26 years or move	133	108	24	
	22.8%	23.4%	20.5%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	
	.1%	.0%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		
	1 to 3 years		
	4 to 9 years		
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		A
	26 years or move		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Less than 1 year	11	0	11
		1.9%	.0%	4.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	16	33
		8.4%	4.7%	13.9%
	4 to 9 years	94	56	38
		16.2%	16.1%	16.3%
	10 to 15 years	131	79	53
		22.6%	22.7%	22.4%
16 to 25 years	163	95	68	
	28.1%	27.4%	29.1%	
26 years or move	133	101	32	
	22.8%	29.1%	13.5%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	
	.1%	.0%	.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		A
	1 to 3 years		A
	4 to 9 years		
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		
	26 years or more	B	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Less than 1 year	11	11	0	0	0
		1.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	0	49	0	0
		8.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	0	0	94	0
		16.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	0	0	0	131
		22.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
16 to 25 years	163	0	0	0	0	
	28.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
26 years or more	133	0	0	0	0	
	22.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Less than 1 year	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	0
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
26 years or more	0	133	0	
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	.	a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	.	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	.	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	.	a	a	a	a
	16 to 25 years	.	a	a	a	a
	26 years or more	.	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	.	a,b
	1 to 3 years	.	a,b
	4 to 9 years	.	a,b
	10 to 15 years	.	a,b
	16 to 25 years	.	a,b
	26 years or more	.	a,b
	DK/NA	.	a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
Less than 1 year	11	0	9	0	2	0	0
	1.9%	.6%	4.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
1 to 3 years	49	5	26	12	4	1	1
	8.4%	10.1%	12.5%	8.3%	3.9%	1.6%	5.7%
4 to 9 years	94	3	46	21	15	8	1
	16.2%	7.0%	21.8%	15.2%	12.9%	13.5%	9.0%
10 to 15 years	131	6	50	29	37	8	2
	22.6%	14.0%	23.6%	20.3%	32.3%	13.4%	14.9%
16 to 25 years	163	7	28	59	40	25	4
	28.2%	15.9%	13.3%	41.9%	35.2%	42.5%	38.7%
26 years or move	132	24	52	20	16	17	3
	22.7%	52.4%	24.8%	14.3%	14.0%	29.1%	26.7%
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Less than 1 year			a		a	a
1 to 3 years			a		a	a
4 to 9 years			a		a	a
10 to 15 years			A B	B	B	
16 to 25 years			A B	B	B	
26 years or move	B C D	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group				
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
Total	582	3	3	189	2
Less than 1 year	11	0	0	0	0
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
1 to 3 years	49	0	0	11	1
	8.4%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	51.2%
4 to 9 years	94	1	0	30	1
	16.2%	50.0%	.0%	15.7%	48.8%
10 to 15 years	131	1	3	52	0
	22.6%	50.0%	100.0%	27.6%	.0%
16 to 25 years	163	0	0	68	0
	28.1%	.0%	.0%	35.8%	.0%
26 years or move	133	0	0	29	0
	22.8%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	106	15	25	8	14
Less than 1 year	3	0	3	0	0
	2.6%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%
1 to 3 years	11	0	4	1	0
	10.8%	.0%	15.8%	17.2%	.0%
4 to 9 years	24	0	4	0	0
	22.9%	.0%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
10 to 15 years	25	8	2	3	0
	23.6%	50.0%	6.9%	40.9%	.0%
16 to 25 years	38	4	8	0	9
	35.7%	24.1%	31.3%	.0%	66.4%
26 years or move	5	4	5	3	5
	4.4%	25.9%	19.5%	41.9%	33.6%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Less than 1 year	0	2	3	0	0
	1 to 3 years	.0%	1.6%	12.6%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	5	20	3	0	3
	10 to 15 years	51.3%	13.7%	13.2%	.0%	58.4%
	16 to 25 years	3	21	4	4	0
	26 years or move	26.5%	14.1%	16.8%	30.5%	7.1%
	DK/NA	2	24	3	2	0
		22.2%	16.4%	12.2%	19.6%	6.7%
	0	65	7	6	2	
	.0%	43.5%	29.7%	49.9%	27.7%	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Ethnic Group	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
Total	17	
Less than 1 year	0	
1 to 3 years	1	8.5%
4 to 9 years	1	8.5%
10 to 15 years	6	34.0%
16 to 25 years	5	29.6%
26 years or move	3	19.4%
DK/NA	0	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	a	a	a
	16 to 25 years	a	a	K	a
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	K	a	a	a
	16 to 25 years	K	a	a	E	K L
	26 years or move	a	a	a	E	E
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	K	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	a	a	a	a
	16 to 25 years	a	C E	E	E	a
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA	
		(O)	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	
	1 to 3 years	a	
	4 to 9 years	a	
	10 to 15 years	a	
	16 to 25 years	a	
	26 years or move	a	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Less than 1 year	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	36	11	17	7	0	1
		13.3%	10.3%	14.9%	24.1%	.0%	7.3%
	4 to 9 years	68	20	36	6	3	3
		25.4%	19.0%	31.8%	20.5%	28.9%	30.5%
	10 to 15 years	67	38	21	5	3	1
		25.1%	36.7%	18.3%	15.9%	26.6%	5.7%
16 to 25 years	66	24	29	10	1	1	
	24.8%	23.4%	26.2%	31.7%	13.4%	13.2%	
26 years or move	29	11	9	2	3	3	
	10.8%	10.5%	7.7%	7.9%	31.1%	36.8%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a		a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	.		.	a	.
	4 to 9 years					
	10 to 15 years	B				
	16 to 25 years					
	26 years or move					B
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Less than 1 year	2	0	2	0	0	0
		.5%	.3%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	11	4	5	1	0	1
		2.9%	3.3%	2.2%	4.3%	.0%	11.0%
	4 to 9 years	30	13	13	0	1	3
		7.8%	11.9%	6.0%	.0%	23.3%	24.3%
	10 to 15 years	85	36	43	5	0	1
		22.2%	33.2%	19.3%	15.3%	.0%	4.5%
16 to 25 years	130	31	75	22	0	2	
	34.1%	28.5%	33.6%	65.6%	.0%	18.3%	
26 years or move	123	25	85	5	3	5	
	32.2%	22.8%	38.0%	14.8%	76.7%	41.9%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a	a	a
	1 to 3 years			.	a	.
	4 to 9 years			.	.	.
	10 to 15 years	B		.	a	.
	16 to 25 years			A B E	a	.
	26 years or move			.	C	.
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
Less than 1 year	11	8	0	0	0	0	2
	1.9%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%
1 to 3 years	49	37	0	3	2	0	7
	8.4%	8.2%	.0%	3.6%	26.8%	.0%	15.8%
4 to 9 years	94	79	3	11	1	0	6
	16.2%	17.6%	13.3%	12.2%	19.9%	.0%	14.1%
10 to 15 years	131	103	11	24	1	0	12
	22.6%	23.1%	42.4%	25.3%	15.8%	.0%	27.9%
16 to 25 years	163	110	7	35	2	1	16
	28.1%	24.6%	27.7%	37.8%	23.0%	69.2%	35.9%
26 years or move	133	111	4	20	1	0	1
	22.8%	24.7%	16.7%	21.0%	14.5%	30.8%	2.7%
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
Less than 1 year	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
1 to 3 years	0	3	1	0	0	2	0
	.0%	12.8%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	13.1%	.0%
4 to 9 years	0	4	8	3	0	1	0
	.0%	17.4%	15.7%	19.8%	.0%	9.3%	.0%
10 to 15 years	4	0	12	1	0	5	0
	58.2%	.0%	24.6%	6.3%	.0%	36.5%	.0%
16 to 25 years	1	8	16	2	0	0	9
	8.4%	35.3%	33.3%	15.2%	25.0%	.0%	66.4%
26 years or move	2	5	11	8	1	5	5
	33.5%	21.9%	23.3%	58.7%	75.0%	41.1%	33.6%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household	
	Other	DK/NA
Total	56	7
Less than 1 year	1	0
	2.1%	.0%
1 to 3 years	0	0
	.0%	.0%
4 to 9 years	19	2
	33.9%	31.4%
10 to 15 years	13	1
	24.1%	10.2%
16 to 25 years	16	2
	28.7%	31.6%
26 years or move	6	1
	11.2%	17.8%
DK/NA	0	1
	.0%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	a	a,b		a
	1 to 3 years		a			a,b		a
	4 to 9 years					a,b		
	10 to 15 years					a,b		
	16 to 25 years					b		
	26 years or move	F		a	a	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	A	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years			a	a,b		a	a
	4 to 9 years				a,b		a	
	10 to 15 years				a,b		a	
	16 to 25 years				b	a	A	
	26 years or move			F N	b	F	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a
	1 to 3 years	a
	4 to 9 years	
	10 to 15 years	
	16 to 25 years	
	26 years or move	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Less than 1 year	11	2	0	0	3	0
		1.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	5	1	5	0
		8.4%	12.3%	10.6%	4.2%	14.2%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	5	5	5	1	8
		16.2%	8.1%	8.8%	16.3%	1.7%	24.2%
	10 to 15 years	131	13	11	6	10	4
		22.6%	21.1%	20.4%	20.3%	27.3%	11.2%
16 to 25 years	163	13	16	11	9	10	
	28.1%	20.8%	31.3%	38.5%	24.3%	32.3%	
26 years or move	133	21	15	6	9	10	
	22.8%	34.5%	28.9%	20.8%	24.9%	32.2%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income				Not sure/DK/NA
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Less than 1 year	4	0	2	0	0
		9.9%	.0%	5.6%	.1%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	2	6	2	7	13
		4.9%	14.5%	5.6%	5.6%	10.2%
	4 to 9 years	6	6	4	39	16
		15.7%	14.8%	12.8%	29.5%	12.7%
	10 to 15 years	5	8	7	36	33
		11.7%	20.2%	19.8%	27.2%	26.4%
16 to 25 years	15	12	16	35	27	
	35.9%	31.7%	46.9%	26.2%	21.1%	
26 years or move	9	7	3	15	37	
	21.9%	18.7%	9.3%	11.5%	29.1%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	l	a	l
	1 to 3 years					a	
	4 to 9 years						
	10 to 15 years						
	16 to 25 years						
	26 years or move	l	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a			a
	1 to 3 years				
	4 to 9 years			A D J	
	10 to 15 years				
	16 to 25 years				
	26 years or move	a	a	a	l
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Less than 1 year	11	0	6	4
		1.9%	.0%	4.2%	1.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	21	21
		8.4%	4.4%	13.6%	8.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	6	26	62
		16.2%	3.4%	16.9%	23.8%
	10 to 15 years	131	37	37	58
		22.6%	22.2%	24.0%	22.0%
16 to 25 years	163	49	48	67	
	28.1%	29.1%	31.4%	25.5%	
26 years or move	133	68	15	50	
	22.8%	40.4%	9.9%	19.0%	
DK/NA	1	1	0	0	
	.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a		
	1 to 3 years		A	
	4 to 9 years		A	A
	10 to 15 years			
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move DK/NA	B C	a	B a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Less than 1 year	6 1.4%	6 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%
	1 to 3 years	27 5.9%	13 10.2%	4 4.9%	4 5.2%	6 3.6%
	4 to 9 years	77 16.6%	31 24.6%	24 26.5%	14 18.0%	8 4.8%
	10 to 15 years	92 19.8%	26 21.0%	17 18.9%	19 24.6%	29 17.3%
	16 to 25 years	138 29.8%	36 28.4%	27 30.2%	23 30.0%	51 30.6%
	26 years or move	121 26.3%	14 10.9%	17 18.8%	17 22.3%	73 43.7%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	D	a	a	
	1 to 3 years				
	4 to 9 years	D	D	D	
	10 to 15 years				
	16 to 25 years				
	26 years or move DK/NA	a		a	A B C a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	5 3.7%	3 2.4%	0 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.5%	15 11.9%	10 8.2%	2 4.3%	3 6.4%	12 9.5%
	4 to 9 years	93 16.1%	16 12.9%	25 20.7%	2 3.8%	6 15.4%	16 12.2%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.7%	25 20.6%	22 18.6%	10 24.2%	3 6.8%	33 25.5%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.2%	36 29.5%	36 30.0%	7 17.8%	6 15.0%	44 34.2%
	26 years or move	131 22.6%	26 21.4%	24 20.2%	20 49.3%	22 55.0%	24 18.6%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Less than 1 year	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	7 6.4%	0 .0%	1 13.1%
	4 to 9 years	27 24.1%	2 26.8%	0 .0%
	10 to 15 years	35 31.6%	2 28.3%	2 18.1%
	16 to 25 years	29 26.3%	2 26.8%	3 33.7%
	26 years or move	10 8.9%	1 18.1%	3 35.0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year						
	1 to 3 years						
	4 to 9 years						
	10 to 15 years						D
	16 to 25 years						
	26 years or move DK/NA	a	a	ABEF	ABEF	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a
	4 to 9 years		a
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		
	26 years or move DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	582
	Own	450	450
		77.2%	77.2%
	Rent	120	120
		20.7%	20.7%
DK/NA	12	12	
	2.1%	2.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	.
	Rent	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Own	450	221	225	3
		77.2%	78.6%	75.7%	100.0%
	Rent	120	51	69	0
	20.7%	18.0%	23.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	12	9	3	0	
	2.1%	3.3%	.9%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a
	Rent			a
	DK/NA	B		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Own	450	57	45	116	134	89	9
		77.2%	74.4%	44.0%	82.3%	87.7%	87.7%	100.0%
	Rent	120	16	52	23	19	11	0
		20.7%	20.6%	51.2%	16.0%	12.3%	11.1%	.0%
DK/NA	12	4	5	2	0	1	0	
	2.1%	5.0%	4.7%	1.7%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B		B	B	B	a
	Rent		A C D E				a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	424	158
	Own	450	391	59
		77.2%	92.0%	37.5%
	Rent	120	28	92
		20.7%	6.6%	58.5%
DK/NA	12	6	6	
	2.1%	1.4%	4.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	
	Rent		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Own	450	175	74	9	191
		77.2%	72.0%	91.7%	59.0%	78.9%
	Rent	120	64	6	6	44
		20.7%	26.1%	7.6%	41.0%	18.2%
DK/NA	12	5	1	0	7	
	2.1%	1.9%	.7%	.0%	2.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		A C		
	Rent	B		B	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Own	450	79	54	28	27	105	156
		77.2%	64.9%	81.0%	88.2%	97.0%	81.4%	76.2%
	Rent	120	42	13	3	1	19	42
		20.7%	35.1%	19.0%	10.0%	3.0%	15.0%	20.4%
DK/NA	12	0	0	1	0	5	7	
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	3.6%	3.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D E			A	A	
	Rent	a			a		
	DK/NA		a				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Own	450	173	65	62	43	23
		77.2%	65.9%	82.3%	75.8%	91.4%	87.1%
	Rent	120	84	9	20	4	1
		20.7%	32.1%	11.9%	24.2%	8.6%	3.9%
	DK/NA	12	5	5	0	0	2
		2.1%	2.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	9.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	23	38	24
	Own	22	38	24
		96.6%	99.4%	97.4%
	Rent	1	0	1
		3.4%	.6%	2.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B D G		G	A		
	Rent			a	a		
	DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	A C	A
	Rent		
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Own	450	7	135	27	37	15	10	35	76	47
		77.2%	100.0%	78.3%	64.6%	80.2%	90.8%	75.5%	83.1%	78.8%	64.6%
	Rent	120	0	31	15	9	2	3	7	15	26
		20.7%	.0%	18.1%	35.4%	19.8%	9.2%	24.5%	15.6%	15.6%	35.4%
	DK/NA	12	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	0
		2.1%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	5.6%	.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	64	2	5
	Own	53	2	4
		82.4%	100.0%	77.7%
	Rent	11	0	1
		17.6%	.0%	22.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	a									
	Rent	a									
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	a	
	Rent	a	
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	464	118
	Own	450	358	92
		77.2%	77.1%	77.7%
	Rent	120	95	26
		20.7%	20.4%	21.8%
	DK/NA	12	12	1
		2.1%	2.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		
	Rent		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	347	235
	Own	450 77.2%	287 82.6%	163 69.3%
	Rent	120 20.7%	52 14.9%	69 29.2%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	9 2.5%	4 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	
	Rent		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Own	450 77.2%	0 .9%	19 38.5%	64 68.2%	108 81.9%	141 86.1%
	Rent	120 20.7%	11 99.1%	30 60.3%	26 28.0%	23 17.2%	23 13.9%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	4 3.8%	1 .9%	0 .0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	133	1
	Own	118 89.0%	0 .0%
	Rent	8 6.3%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	6 4.7%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	C D E F	C D E F	A B	A B	A B C
	Rent			F		
	DK/NA	. _b				. _b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	(F)	(G)
	Rent	A B C	. _{a, b}
	DK/NA		. _{a, b}
			. _{a, b}

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Own	449 77.4%	32 71.6%	150 71.0%	112 79.8%	91 80.1%	52 90.4%	11 93.0%
	Rent	119 20.5%	12 27.1%	56 26.7%	26 18.5%	21 18.5%	3 5.6%	0 1.9%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	1 1.3%	5 2.3%	2 1.7%	2 1.4%	2 3.9%	1 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1 (A)	2 (B)	3 (C)	4 (D)	5 or more (E)	Not sure (F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent	E	E			B	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Own	450 77.2%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	164 86.8%	2 100.0%	90 84.9%
	Rent	120 20.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	20 10.7%	0 .0%	16 15.1%
	DK/NA	12 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Own	14 89.7%	12 46.3%	5 59.1%	14 100.0%	7 72.1%
	Rent	2 10.3%	13 53.7%	3 40.9%	0 .0%	3 27.9%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Own	103 69.4%	11 48.2%	9 73.3%	3 44.6%	12 71.2%
	Rent	43 28.8%	12 51.8%	3 26.7%	1 13.7%	3 15.2%
	DK/NA	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 41.6%	2 13.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black (A)	Asian - Cambodian (B)	Asian - Chinese (C)	Asian - Filipino (D)	Asian - Indian (E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent		a	G K L	a	G L
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese (F)	Asian - Korean (G)	Asian - Thai (H)	Asian - Vietnamese (I)	Asian - Other (J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent		C E	a	a	
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White (K)	Latino or Hispanic (L)	Two or more races (M)	Other (N)	Not sure/DK/NA (O)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent	C	C E	a	C K	K
	DK/NA		a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Own	209 78.5%	81 77.9%	93 82.6%	21 70.3%	9 81.9%	5 56.1%
	Rent	56 20.9%	23 22.1%	18 16.3%	9 29.7%	2 18.1%	3 37.3%
	DK/NA	2 .7%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own					
	Rent	a		a	a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Own	322	84	193	34	4	7
		84.6%	78.3%	86.1%	100.0%	100.0%	61.6%
	Rent	47	22	23	0	0	2
		12.2%	20.1%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	16.9%
DK/NA	12	2	8	0	0	2	
	3.2%	1.6%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	21.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a	a	
	Rent	B		a	a	
	DK/NA			a	a	AB

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Own	450	337	22	90	4	1	39	6
		77.2%	75.2%	84.7%	97.0%	53.3%	100.0%	87.9%	96.5%
	Rent	120	101	2	3	3	0	5	0
		20.7%	22.4%	6.5%	3.0%	46.7%	.0%	12.1%	3.5%
DK/NA	12	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	2.1%	2.3%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Own	12	40	11	1	9	14	38	6
		52.2%	84.6%	80.3%	100.0%	73.3%	100.0%	69.1%	91.1%
	Rent	11	5	3	0	3	0	15	0
		47.8%	10.4%	19.7%	.0%	26.7%	.0%	26.5%	.0%
DK/NA	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	
	.0%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	8.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			A D H L N		a,b			C I
	Rent	C			C	a,b			
	DK/NA			b	b	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a,b		a,b		
	Rent			a,b	C	b	C	b
	DK/NA		b	a,b	b	b		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Own	450	36	32	24	30	30	22
		77.2%	59.9%	62.2%	80.5%	82.5%	94.6%	53.7%
	Rent	120	22	18	6	6	2	19
		20.7%	37.1%	35.6%	19.5%	17.5%	5.4%	46.3%
DK/NA	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	2.1%	3.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Own	26	30	120	99
		67.3%	88.9%	90.7%	78.5%
	Rent	10	4	10	23
		26.4%	11.1%	7.6%	17.9%
DK/NA	2	0	2	5	
	6.3%	.0%	1.7%	3.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	E I	I	a	a	A B F	E H I J	
	Rent					a	a	
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	F	A B F G	
	Rent			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Own	450	146	96	207
		77.2%	87.3%	62.9%	79.2%
	Rent	120	18	54	48
		20.7%	11.0%	35.2%	18.4%
DK/NA	12	3	3	6	
	2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	2.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	A C	B
	Rent			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Own	364	84	69	62	148
		78.8%	66.9%	76.6%	80.1%	88.3%
	Rent	87	37	18	12	20
		18.8%	29.4%	19.7%	16.0%	11.7%
DK/NA	11	5	3	3	0	
	2.4%	3.7%	3.6%	3.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own				A
	Rent	D			a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Own	447	82	92	35	39	105	84
		77.1%	66.5%	77.4%	86.9%	96.5%	82.3%	74.7%
	Rent	120	41	22	5	1	20	24
		20.8%	33.5%	18.7%	13.1%	2.1%	15.6%	21.6%
DK/NA	12	0	5	0	1	3	4	
	2.1%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	1.4%	2.2%	3.7%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	7	9
	Own	4	5
		55.6%	61.7%
	Rent	3	3
		44.4%	38.3%
DK/NA	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D E			A G H			D
	Rent	a		a				a
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth (H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D
	Rent	a
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	582
	0	2 .4%	2 .4%
	1	45 7.7%	45 7.7%
	2	211 36.2%	211 36.2%
	3	141 24.2%	141 24.2%
	4	114 19.6%	114 19.6%
	5	45 7.7%	45 7.7%
	6	11 1.9%	11 1.9%
	8	2 .4%	2 .4%
	99	12 2.0%	12 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

	Total	
	Total	
	(A)	
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	6	.
	8	.
	99	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	2 .4%	1 .3%	1 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	16 5.8%	29 9.7%	0 .0%
	2	211 36.2%	104 36.8%	107 36.0%	0 .0%
	3	141 24.2%	59 20.9%	81 27.2%	1 31.9%
	4	114 19.6%	65 23.1%	48 16.1%	1 33.7%
	5	45 7.7%	23 8.2%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
	6	11 1.9%	5 2.0%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
	8	2 .4%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	6 2.1%	5 1.6%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			.
4			.
5			a
6			a
8		a	.
99			AB

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age						Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+		
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)		
	582	77	101	141	152	102	9	
0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	
1	45	0	2	4	7	28	3	
	7.7%	.0%	2.4%	2.8%	4.9%	27.8%	32.1%	
2	211	13	65	50	38	39	6	
	36.2%	17.3%	63.8%	35.7%	24.6%	38.4%	67.9%	
3	141	15	13	43	51	18	0	
	24.2%	19.5%	13.3%	30.7%	33.3%	17.9%	.0%	
4	114	31	7	27	41	9	0	
	19.6%	40.1%	6.8%	19.0%	26.8%	8.4%	.0%	
5	45	18	2	10	9	5	0	
	7.7%	23.1%	2.4%	7.1%	6.2%	4.8%	.0%	
6	11	0	3	4	4	0	0	
	1.9%	.0%	3.3%	2.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
8	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	12	0	6	3	2	1	0	
	2.0%	.0%	5.6%	2.0%	1.6%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	a	a	a	a	.	a
1	B C D	B C D
2	.	AC DE	.	.	A	A
3	.	.	B	B	.	a
4	B C E	.	.	B E	.	a
5	B C D E	a
6	a	.	.	.	a	a
8	a	.	a	a	.	a
99	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)	(C)
	582	424	158	
0	2	1	1	
	.4%	.2%	.8%	
1	45	30	15	
	7.7%	7.1%	9.3%	
2	211	148	63	
	36.2%	34.8%	40.0%	
3	141	110	31	
	24.2%	25.9%	19.4%	
4	114	92	22	
	19.6%	21.8%	13.7%	
5	45	31	13	
	7.7%	7.4%	8.5%	
6	11	4	7	
	1.9%	1.0%	4.2%	
8	2	0	2	
	.4%	.0%	1.6%	
99	12	8	4	
	2.0%	1.8%	2.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		
2		
3		
4	B	
5		
6		A
8	.	
99		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242	
0	2	1	1	0	0	
	.4%	.6%	.8%	.0%	.0%	
1	45	18	13	4	10	
	7.7%	7.3%	16.0%	27.2%	4.2%	
2	211	92	31	4	84	
	36.2%	37.8%	38.2%	26.2%	34.5%	
3	141	55	19	4	62	
	24.2%	22.8%	24.1%	25.3%	25.5%	
4	114	47	6	3	57	
	19.6%	19.3%	7.9%	20.2%	23.7%	
5	45	25	2	0	18	
	7.7%	10.1%	3.1%	.0%	7.3%	
6	11	0	4	0	7	
	1.9%	.2%	4.6%	.0%	2.8%	
8	2	0	2	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	12	5	2	0	5	
	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	1.0%	2.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0			a	
1		D	A D	
2				
3				
4				B
5			a	
6		A	a	A a
8	.		a	
99				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Party					
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
	.4%	.7%	.9%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
1	45	14	3	7	4	3	14
	7.7%	11.3%	4.3%	21.5%	13.5%	2.6%	7.0%
2	211	45	27	9	17	36	77
	36.2%	37.1%	40.6%	28.6%	61.2%	27.8%	37.3%
3	141	29	12	7	4	35	53
	24.2%	24.1%	18.8%	20.8%	14.2%	27.6%	25.7%
4	114	18	21	3	1	32	39
	19.6%	14.8%	31.9%	8.9%	4.2%	24.8%	19.0%
5	45	11	2	0	1	19	12
	7.7%	9.0%	2.8%	.0%	3.3%	14.9%	5.7%
6	11	0	0	2	1	1	7
	1.9%	.0%	.6%	5.1%	3.7%	1.0%	3.2%
8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
99	12	4	0	2	0	2	4
	2.0%	3.1%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	1.3%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0				a	a	
1			E			
2				E		
3						
4						
5			a		F	
6	a					
8	a					a
99						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	
0	582	263	78	81	47	27	
1	45	12	2	8	2	1	
2	211	95	24	25	17	10	
3	141	65	19	19	12	10	
4	114	56	20	20	9	3	
5	45	22	8	7	5	2	
6	11	6	0	2	1	0	
8	2	0	0	0	0	0	
99	12	5	6	1	0	0	

	Total	Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
0	23	38	24	
1	1	0	0	
2	4	6	9	
3	9	17	13	
4	37.6%	45.2%	53.3%	
5	3	11	1	
6	13.9%	29.2%	5.9%	
8	3	3	0	
99	12.1%	9.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
0	2	1	0	0	1	0	
1	45	12	2	8	2	1	
2	211	95	24	25	17	10	
3	141	65	19	19	12	10	
4	114	56	20	20	9	3	
5	45	22	8	7	5	2	
6	11	6	0	2	1	0	
8	2	0	0	0	0	0	
99	12	5	6	1	0	0	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
0	23	38	24
1	1	0	0
2	4	6	9
3	9	17	13
4	37.6%	45.2%	53.3%
5	3	11	1
6	13.9%	29.2%	5.9%
8	3	3	0
99	12.1%	9.1%	.0%

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.4%	9.9%	.4%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.8%
	1	45	1	5	2	8	5	1	4	11	6
		7.7%	21.8%	2.8%	4.4%	16.5%	28.9%	5.9%	10.7%	11.2%	7.5%
	2	211	1	57	16	11	6	8	11	46	31
		36.2%	15.8%	33.2%	37.4%	23.0%	33.9%	59.3%	25.7%	47.6%	42.4%
	3	141	1	48	10	2	2	3	15	22	12
		24.2%	9.0%	27.9%	23.4%	3.4%	10.1%	25.5%	36.3%	22.5%	16.5%
	4	114	3	43	8	13	5	1	10	10	14
	19.6%	43.5%	24.9%	19.9%	27.5%	27.1%	7.7%	24.1%	10.5%	19.3%	
5	45	0	11	4	12	0	0	1	7	5	
	7.7%	.0%	6.3%	9.4%	25.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	7.4%	7.2%	
6	11	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	
	1.9%	.0%	.6%	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	2.3%	
8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	12	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	
	2.0%	.0%	3.9%	2.3%	.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.6%	4.0%	

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	64	2	5
	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	3	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%
	2	23	0	2
		35.1%	.0%	32.0%
	3	21	2	3
		32.8%	100.0%	48.9%
	4	6	0	1
	9.3%	.0%	19.1%	
5	4	0	0	
	6.9%	.0%	.0%	
6	5	0	0	
	7.7%	.0%	.0%	
8	2	0	0	
	3.8%	.0%	.0%	
99	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date									
	Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)
0	H			a	a	a	a			a
1				B	B					
2										
3		D						D		D
4	a			B G	a	a				
5	a				a	a				B
6	a	a	a	a	a	a		a	a	
8	a				a					a
99										

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date	
	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
0	a	a
1	a	a
2	a	
3	a	D
4	a	
5	a	a
6	a	a
8	a	a
99		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	464	118
	0	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	41 8.8%	4 3.5%
	2	211 36.2%	166 35.9%	44 37.5%
	3	141 24.2%	115 24.7%	26 22.0%
	4	114 19.6%	88 19.0%	26 22.0%
	5	45 7.7%	33 7.1%	12 10.0%
	6	11 1.9%	7 1.4%	4 3.6%
	8	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	10 2.1%	2 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
0		a	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
8		a	
99			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	347	235
	0	2 .4%	2 .6%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	31 9.1%	14 5.7%
	2	211 36.2%	130 37.4%	81 34.5%
	3	141 24.2%	82 23.7%	58 24.9%
	4	114 19.6%	65 18.7%	49 20.8%
	5	45 7.7%	23 6.7%	21 9.0%
	6	11 1.9%	3 1.0%	8 3.2%
	8	2 .4%	2 .7%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	7 2.1%	4 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
0			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
8		a	
99			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	2 .4%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	0 2.7%	5 9.2%	3 3.4%	6 4.8%	7 4.4%
	2	211 36.2%	9 79.0%	26 53.7%	46 48.8%	50 37.8%	28 17.1%
	3	141 24.2%	0 .0%	12 23.7%	21 22.8%	29 21.7%	59 36.1%
	4	114 19.6%	2 18.3%	4 9.0%	15 15.6%	37 28.0%	40 24.6%
	5	45 7.7%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	7 7.2%	7 5.6%	20 12.1%
	6	11 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .3%	5 3.0%
	8	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	1 1.1%	2 1.3%	4 2.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	133	1
	0	1 .7%	0 .0%
	1	24 17.8%	0 .0%
	2	52 39.3%	0 .0%
	3	20 15.1%	0 .0%
	4	16 12.0%	0 .0%
	5	10 7.4%	0 .0%
	6	5 3.5%	0 .0%
	8	2 1.8%	0 .0%
	99	3 2.3%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0
	1
	2	E	E	E	E	
	3	F
	4	.	.	.	F	
	5
	6
	8
	99

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	C D E	a, b
	1	E	a, b
	2		a, b
	3		a, b
	4		a, b
	5		a, b
	6		a, b
	8		a, b
	99		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	1	45	45	0	0	0	0	0
		7.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	211	0	211	0	0	0	0
		36.3%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	141	0	0	141	0	0	0
		24.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	114	0	0	0	114	0	0
		19.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	45	0	0	0	0	45	0
	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	76.9%	.0%	
6	11	0	0	0	0	11	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.8%	.0%	
8	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	
99	12	0	0	0	0	0	12	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1		a	a	a	a	a	a
2		a	a	a	a	a	a
3		a	a	a	a	a	a
4		a	a	a	a	a	a
5		a	a	a	a	a	a
6		a	a	a	a	a	a
8		a	a	a	a	a	a
99		a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	0	0	7	0	1
		7.7%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	1.4%
	2	211	0	0	61	1	29
		36.2%	.0%	.0%	32.1%	51.2%	27.3%
	3	141	1	3	55	0	33
		24.2%	50.0%	100.0%	29.2%	.0%	30.8%
	4	114	1	0	44	1	30
	19.6%	50.0%	.0%	23.4%	48.8%	28.5%	
5	45	0	0	15	0	11	
	7.7%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	10.1%	
6	11	0	0	3	0	1	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	1.0%	
8	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	12	0	0	4	0	1	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	1	0	2	0	1
		9.4%	.0%	29.0%	.0%	11.4%
	2	6	9	2	0	4
		38.7%	37.7%	30.0%	.0%	36.7%
	3	4	12	3	0	2
		23.6%	46.8%	40.9%	.0%	23.9%
	4	4	1	0	4	0
	28.3%	4.4%	.0%	30.6%	.0%	
5	0	3	0	3	3	
	.0%	11.2%	.0%	21.0%	27.9%	
6	0	0	0	7	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	48.4%	.0%	
8	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%
	1	29 19.3%	2 9.7%	1 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	2	74 49.5%	13 54.3%	5 39.7%	1 18.9%	6 36.2%
	3	17 11.7%	3 12.8%	1 7.7%	4 62.8%	3 14.9%
	4	17 11.6%	2 7.9%	4 30.8%	1 18.3%	4 20.3%
	5	6 3.9%	1 2.8%	2 14.9%	0 .0%	2 10.9%
	6	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	1 .4%	3 12.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 17.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	1	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	2	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	3	.a	.a	K	.a	K
	4	.a	.a	.a	.a	K
	5	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	6	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	8	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	99	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	1	.a	.a	C E	.a	.a
	2	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	3	.a	K	.a	.a	.a
	4	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	5	.a	.a	.a	.a	K
	6	.a	.a	.a	C E K	.a
	8	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	99	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	1	C E	.a	.a	.a	.a
	2	E	.a	.a	.a	.a
	3	.a	.a	.a	K	.a
	4	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	5	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	6	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	8	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	99	E K	.a	.a	.a	C E K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	1	5 1.8%	1 .6%	1 .6%	0 .0%	1 13.4%	2 23.4%
	2	86 32.4%	27 26.3%	45 39.9%	12 39.9%	2 18.1%	0 .0%
	3	74 27.7%	42 40.7%	26 23.1%	3 8.7%	0 .9%	3 30.5%
	4	66 24.9%	27 25.6%	26 22.8%	8 26.0%	3 29.2%	3 33.4%
	5	22 8.3%	7 6.5%	6 5.4%	6 20.4%	3 28.7%	0 .0%
	6	8 3.1%	0 .2%	5 4.8%	2 5.0%	1 9.6%	0 .0%
	8	5 1.9%	0 .0%	4 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%
	99	1 1.9%	0 .0%	4 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1			a	A B	A B
2					a
3	C				.
4					.
5				B	a
6				A	a
99	a		a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Over 50 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		1	2	3	4 or more		
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11	
0	2 .5%	1 1.3%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
1	38 10.0%	28 26.2%	9 3.8%	0 .0%	1 32.5%	0 .0%	
2	108 28.3%	22 20.5%	79 35.2%	2 6.5%	0 .0%	5 43.6%	
3	102 26.8%	27 25.1%	57 25.6%	12 35.8%	1 26.7%	4 39.2%	
4	79 20.7%	20 18.5%	48 21.6%	8 24.8%	1 17.5%	1 12.3%	
5	37 9.7%	4 3.8%	23 10.4%	9 28.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
6	11 2.9%	2 1.8%	6 2.8%	2 4.9%	1 23.3%	0 .0%	
8	2 .6%	2 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
99	2 .5%	1 .6%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0			a		a
1	B		a	B	a
2		A C		a	C
3					.
4					.
5			A B	a	a
6				A	a
8		a	a	a	a
99			a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
0	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
1	45 7.7%	36 8.0%	0 .0%	5 5.3%	1 19.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	211 36.2%	175 39.0%	5 18.9%	27 29.3%	2 28.0%	0 6.5%	13 28.6%	1 20.5%
3	141 24.2%	98 21.8%	10 39.6%	17 18.1%	2 33.1%	1 93.5%	7 16.7%	2 36.9%
4	114 19.6%	92 20.5%	4 13.5%	29 31.5%	1 19.0%	0 .0%	16 36.0%	3 42.6%
5	45 7.7%	31 6.9%	5 17.4%	9 10.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 18.7%	0 .0%
6	11 1.9%	4 1.0%	0 .0%	4 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
8	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
99	12 2.0%	8 1.8%	3 10.6%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Primary Languages in Household							DK/NA
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	0	4	2	1	2	0	1	0
		.0%	8.5%	14.0%	75.0%	18.9%	.0%	2.6%	.0%
	2	8	17	5	0	3	0	14	0
		34.7%	36.5%	35.9%	.0%	21.5%	.0%	25.7%	.0%
	3	12	13	3	0	3	0	16	3
		52.7%	27.3%	25.8%	.0%	26.7%	.0%	28.8%	40.6%
	4	0	9	3	0	2	4	17	1
	.0%	19.6%	19.3%	25.0%	19.1%	30.6%	30.8%	20.5%	
5	3	1	1	0	0	3	4	0	
	12.6%	2.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	7.9%	.0%	
6	0	0	0	0	2	7	1	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	48.4%	2.3%	.0%	
8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	3	
	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	38.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0		a	a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
1		a				a,b	a	a	a
2						b			
3						b			A C
4				a		a,b	a	a	a
5		a	A	a		a,b	a	a	a
6		a		a		a,b	a	a	a
8				a		a,b	a	a	a
99		A		a		a,b	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0		a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
1				b		a	a	a
2				a,b		a	a	a
3				a,b		a	a	a
4				b				
5		a	a	a,b	a			a
6		a	a	a,b	A	A C N	a	a
8		a	a	a,b	a		a	a
99				a,b	a			A C N

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	19	5	4	2	1	1
		7.7%	31.5%	10.6%	13.8%	4.9%	3.6%	2.8%
	2	211	17	24	9	18	14	18
		36.2%	28.2%	46.9%	31.7%	49.8%	43.4%	44.4%
	3	141	12	14	5	7	9	14
		24.2%	19.8%	28.0%	16.4%	18.4%	27.0%	33.6%
	4	114	3	8	7	7	5	3
	19.6%	5.7%	14.5%	24.8%	19.4%	16.3%	6.4%	
5	45	1	0	4	0	3	1	
	7.7%	2.4%	.0%	13.3%	.0%	9.7%	2.2%	
6	11	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.5%	
8	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	.4%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	12	4	0	0	3	0	0	
	2.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.3%	.0%	.5%
	1	1	0	1	11
		1.3%	.9%	.8%	8.3%
	2	12	8	42	48
		31.1%	23.9%	31.6%	37.8%
	3	12	5	32	32
		30.3%	15.6%	23.9%	25.1%
	4	8	7	46	20
	20.3%	21.0%	34.4%	16.2%	
5	5	11	9	10	
	12.5%	33.4%	6.9%	8.1%	
6	2	2	3	0	
	4.5%	4.9%	2.4%	.0%	
8	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
99	0	0	0	5	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0		a	a	a	a	a	a
1	F G H I J		I				
2							
3							
4							
5		a		a			
6	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
8		a	a	a	a	a	a
99							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
0		a	
1			
2			
3			
4	A F I J	A F J	
5	A F I J		
6	a	a	a
8	a	a	a
99			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
0	2	2	0	0
	.4%	1.1%	.0%	.1%
1	45	30	7	8
	7.7%	18.2%	4.5%	2.9%
2	211	62	57	92
	36.2%	36.8%	37.5%	35.1%
3	141	37	28	75
	24.2%	22.3%	18.6%	28.6%
4	114	28	23	63
	19.6%	16.7%	15.1%	24.0%
5	45	4	26	14
	7.7%	2.6%	17.0%	5.4%
6	11	0	8	3
	1.9%	.0%	5.4%	1.0%
8	2	2	0	0
	.4%	1.5%	.0%	.0%
99	12	1	3	7
	2.0%	.7%	1.9%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		a	
1	B C		
2			
3			
4			
5		A C	
6	a	C	
8		a	
99			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		462	126	90	78	168
0		2	1	0	0	1
		.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%
1		44	8	3	6	27
		9.6%	6.4%	3.8%	7.6%	16.0%
2		165	41	29	28	68
		35.7%	32.2%	31.7%	36.1%	40.2%
3		108	21	26	19	41
		23.4%	16.6%	29.4%	24.9%	24.6%
4		93	33	19	17	24
		20.2%	26.0%	21.2%	22.2%	14.3%
5		34	18	7	6	3
		7.4%	14.6%	7.5%	8.3%	1.5%
6		4	0	3	0	1
		1.0%	.0%	3.0%	.5%	.8%
8		2	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
99		8	5	3	0	1
		1.8%	3.7%	3.3%	.4%	.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0			a	a	
1					B
2					
3					
4					
5		D	a	a	
6		a			
8		a			
99					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total		579	123	119	40	41	128	112
0		2	1	1	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.5%	.6%	1.6%	.0%	.1%	.0%
1		45	13	5	8	5	5	5
		7.8%	10.3%	4.2%	19.2%	12.8%	4.3%	4.1%
2		211	50	42	16	15	38	46
		36.4%	40.7%	35.2%	40.1%	36.3%	29.8%	40.6%
3		140	35	20	10	9	35	27
		24.1%	28.2%	16.6%	25.0%	23.2%	27.5%	23.8%
4		113	18	29	2	4	28	28
		19.5%	14.5%	24.5%	5.7%	10.2%	21.8%	25.3%
5		45	6	18	2	0	13	5
		7.7%	5.1%	15.3%	5.4%	.9%	10.1%	4.1%
6		11	0	0	0	4	5	2
		1.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	3.9%	1.6%
8		2	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%
99		11	0	4	1	1	3	1
		1.8%	.3%	3.7%	3.0%	1.4%	2.5%	.5%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		7	9
0		0	0
		.0%	.0%
1		3	1
		41.6%	15.8%
2		3	1
		42.7%	13.1%
3		1	3
		15.7%	33.0%
4		0	3
		.0%	36.2%
5		0	0
		.0%	.0%
6		0	0
		.0%	.0%
8		0	0
		.0%	.0%
99		0	0
		.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0				a		a	a
1				.		.	B E F
2							
3							
4							a
5		a	a				a
6	a	a	a	A	a	a	a
8	a
99							.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
	(H)
0	a
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	a
6	a
8	a
99	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
African American or Black	3 .5%	3 .5%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 .5%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	189 32.5%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	2 .4%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	106 18.2%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	15 2.7%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	25 4.3%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	8 1.4%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	14 2.3%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	10 1.7%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	148 25.5%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	12 2.0%
Other	6 1.0%	6 1.0%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	17 3.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	.
	Asian - Cambodian	.
	Asian - Chinese	.
	Asian - Filipino	.
	Asian - Indian	.
	Asian - Japanese	.
	Asian - Korean	.
	Asian - Thai	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.
	Asian - Other	.
	Caucasian or White	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.
	Two or more races	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
African American or Black	3 .5%	0 .0%	3 1.0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	104 36.8%	86 28.8%	0 .0%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	1 .4%	1 .4%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	54 19.3%	51 17.3%	0 .0%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	4 1.3%	12 4.0%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	13 4.5%	12 4.1%	0 .0%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	4 1.4%	4 1.4%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 .5%	12 4.0%	0 .0%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	5 1.7%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	69 24.6%	79 26.7%	0 .0%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	8 2.7%	16 5.3%	0 .0%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	3 1.2%	7 2.4%	1 33.7%
Other	6 1.0%	3 1.1%	2 .6%	1 31.9%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	10 3.5%	7 2.2%	1 34.4%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	.	a
	Asian - Cambodian	B	a	a
	Asian - Chinese		.	a
	Asian - Filipino		.	a
	Asian - Indian		.	a
	Asian - Japanese		A	a
	Asian - Korean		.	a
	Asian - Thai		.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		A	a
	Asian - Other		.	a
	Caucasian or White		.	a
	Latino or Hispanic		.	a
	Two or more races			A B
	Other			A B
DK/NA			A B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
African American or Black	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
	.5%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	189	31	18	52	56	33	0
	32.5%	40.4%	17.5%	36.9%	36.6%	32.3%	.0%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	106	10	9	46	34	6	0
	18.2%	13.4%	9.3%	32.8%	22.2%	6.0%	.0%
Asian - Japanese	15	3	0	1	8	3	0
	2.7%	3.7%	.0%	.9%	5.6%	2.8%	.0%
Asian - Korean	25	6	9	6	4	0	0
	4.3%	7.3%	9.2%	4.4%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Thai	8	0	3	0	1	4	0
	1.4%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.7%	3.7%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	3	3	2	5	1	0
	2.3%	3.7%	3.3%	1.2%	3.1%	.9%	.0%
Asian - Other	10	3	0	5	2	0	0
	1.7%	3.7%	.0%	3.7%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	148	15	33	16	29	49	8
	25.5%	19.0%	32.6%	11.1%	18.7%	47.6%	92.8%
Latino or Hispanic	23	0	11	3	3	6	0
	4.0%	.0%	11.0%	2.3%	2.1%	5.6%	.0%
Two or more races	12	2	2	2	4	1	1
	2.0%	2.7%	2.0%	1.3%	2.9%	.8%	7.2%
Other	6	0	2	1	2	0	0
	1.0%	.0%	2.4%	.8%	1.4%	.1%	.0%
DK/NA	17	2	10	4	2	0	0
	3.0%	2.5%	9.5%	3.0%	1.1%	.1%	.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	B	.	B	B	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	.	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	A B E	E	.	a
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	a	.	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	.	.	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	C	.	.	A C D	A B C D
	Latino or Hispanic	a	C D	.	.	.	a
	Two or more races	a
	Other	a	a
	DK/NA	.	D E	.	.	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
African American or Black	3	1	1
	.5%	.3%	.9%
Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0
	.5%	.7%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	189	159	31
	32.5%	37.4%	19.6%
Asian - Filipino	2	2	0
	.4%	.6%	.0%
Asian - Indian	106	84	22
	18.2%	19.8%	13.7%
Asian - Japanese	15	14	1
	2.7%	3.4%	.7%
Asian - Korean	25	12	13
	4.3%	2.8%	8.1%
Asian - Thai	8	8	0
	1.4%	1.9%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	9	5
	2.3%	2.0%	3.2%
Asian - Other	10	7	3
	1.7%	1.7%	1.8%
Caucasian or White	148	92	57
	25.5%	21.6%	35.9%
Latino or Hispanic	23	6	17
	4.0%	1.4%	11.1%
Two or more races	12	8	3
	2.0%	1.9%	2.2%
Other	6	2	4
	1.0%	.4%	2.7%
DK/NA	17	17	0
	3.0%	4.0%	.2%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a
	Asian - Cambodian	B	.
	Asian - Chinese		.
	Asian - Filipino		a
	Asian - Indian		.
	Asian - Japanese		.
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		.
	Asian - Other		.
	Caucasian or White		A
	Latino or Hispanic		A
	Two or more races		.
	Other		A
DK/NA	B	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
African American or Black	3 .5%	3 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.2%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	69 28.3%	25 31.0%	3 18.8%	92 38.3%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	49 20.2%	6 7.4%	2 14.3%	48 20.0%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	9 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 2.7%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	11 4.5%	3 4.0%	0 .0%	11 4.4%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	3 1.4%	4 4.7%	0 .0%	1 .4%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 4.9%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	1 .5%	1 1.5%	0 .0%	8 3.2%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	65 26.6%	37 46.2%	5 33.0%	41 17.0%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	13 5.4%	2 3.1%	2 13.9%	5 2.3%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	6 2.3%	1 1.7%	3 17.7%	2 .8%
Other	6 1.0%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 1.3%	3 1.3%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	8 3.4%	0 .3%	0 1.0%	9 3.6%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Chinese				
	Asian - Filipino		a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	B			
	Asian - Japanese		a	a	
	Asian - Korean			a	
	Asian - Thai		D	a	A
	Asian - Vietnamese		.	.	.
	Asian - Other			.	.
	Caucasian or White		A D		
	Latino or Hispanic			D	
	Two or more races			A B D	
	Other		a		
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
African American or Black	3 .5%	1 1.2%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	27 22.6%	18 27.0%	11 34.9%	7 25.7%	39 30.6%	86 41.9%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	20 16.4%	16 24.2%	3 8.9%	0 .0%	33 26.0%	34 16.4%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	5 4.3%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.9%	3 1.3%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	8 6.9%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	3 11.5%	2 1.2%	11 5.2%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 8.6%	1 1.1%	1 .5%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	9 4.4%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	8 3.8%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	33 27.6%	22 32.8%	17 53.3%	12 43.9%	28 21.5%	36 17.5%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	11 8.8%	2 2.8%	1 2.0%	2 6.4%	1 .4%	8 3.7%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	2 1.3%	2 3.5%	0 .0%	1 3.9%	5 4.1%	1 .7%
Other	6 1.0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	3 1.5%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	3 2.8%	2 3.7%	0 .9%	0 .0%	5 3.8%	6 3.1%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian
	Asian - Chinese	A
	Asian - Filipino	.	a	a	a	.	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	.	a	a	.	.
	Asian - Korean	.	.	a	E	.	.
	Asian - Thai	.	.	a	F	a	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	a	a	.	.
	Asian - Other	.	.	a	a	.	.
	Caucasian or White	.	.	E F	F	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	E	.	a	.	.	.
	Two or more races	.	.	a	.	.	.
	Other	.	a	a	a	.	.
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	African American or Black	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	88 33.6%	26 32.6%	20 24.8%	23 49.8%
	Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	51 19.5%	16 20.7%	25 31.0%	8 17.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	1 .4%	4 5.6%	5 6.4%	1 2.6%
	Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	18 7.0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
	Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	6 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	9 3.3%	0 .0%	3 4.0%	2 3.4%
	Asian - Other	10 1.7%	8 3.0%	1 1.5%	1 1.5%	0 .0%
	Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	51 19.6%	16 20.2%	16 19.8%	9 18.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	14 5.4%	5 6.3%	2 2.3%	1 2.2%
	Two or more races	12 2.0%	6 2.4%	1 .8%	2 2.5%	0 .0%
	Other	6 1.0%	1 .4%	3 3.4%	1 1.7%	0 1.0%
	DK/NA	17 3.0%	6 2.3%	5 5.9%	2 2.2%	2 5.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	27	23	38	24
	African American or Black	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.8%	0 .0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Chinese	10 37.3%	12 53.1%	10 25.4%	0 .0%
	Asian - Filipino	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Indian	3 12.0%	1 3.9%	1 2.9%	0 .0%
	Asian - Japanese	1 4.1%	0 .0%	1 2.7%	1 6.0%
	Asian - Korean	3 12.3%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%
	Asian - Thai	0 .0%	1 4.2%	1 3.7%	0 .0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Other	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Caucasian or White	5 18.7%	8 36.9%	21 54.0%	22 90.7%
	Latino or Hispanic	1 2.1%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%
	Two or more races	1 3.5%	0 1.4%	1 1.4%	1 3.3%
	Other	0 1.0%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	a	.	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	G	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	A	A	.
	Asian - Korean	a	a	.	a
	Asian - Thai
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Other	.	.	.	a
	Caucasian or White
	Latino or Hispanic
	Two or more races	.	.	.	a
	Other
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	.	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	.	.	a
	Asian - Chinese	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Korean	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	.	A B C D	A B C D E F
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	.	a
	Two or more races
	Other	.	.	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
African American or Black	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	.5%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	189	0	72	10	10	5	4	8
	32.5%	.0%	41.8%	23.6%	20.6%	32.6%	32.1%	18.9%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
Asian - Indian	106	3	41	9	9	4	1	10
	18.2%	43.5%	24.0%	22.4%	19.1%	22.9%	8.6%	23.2%
Asian - Japanese	15	0	3	4	0	0	0	0
	2.7%	.0%	1.9%	9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	25	0	4	3	3	0	0	1
	4.3%	.0%	2.2%	6.6%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	2.5%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	10	0	3	1	1	0	2	0
	1.7%	.0%	2.0%	2.9%	2.3%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
Caucasian or White	148	4	32	7	16	5	4	14
	25.5%	56.5%	18.5%	16.1%	35.7%	30.4%	31.6%	33.5%
Latino or Hispanic	23	0	4	4	3	0	1	3
	4.0%	.0%	2.3%	8.9%	6.2%	.0%	7.8%	8.3%
Two or more races	12	0	6	1	0	0	0	1
	2.0%	.0%	3.3%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
Other	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
	1.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
DK/NA	17	0	3	3	1	0	1	0
	3.0%	.0%	1.6%	8.1%	2.0%	.0%	7.8%	.4%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Total	Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	97	73	64	2	5	
African American or Black	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0	
	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Chinese	24	21	30	2	2	
	24.6%	29.3%	47.0%	90.2%	42.6%	
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Indian	16	5	6	0	2	
	16.3%	6.2%	9.5%	.0%	41.4%	
Asian - Japanese	4	3	1	0	0	
	4.2%	3.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Korean	6	2	7	0	0	
	6.3%	2.3%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Thai	0	0	5	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Vietnamese	0	3	8	0	0	
	.0%	4.5%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Other	0	3	0	0	0	
	.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Caucasian or White	32	28	5	0	1	
	33.6%	37.7%	7.7%	9.8%	16.0%	
Latino or Hispanic	2	6	1	0	0	
	1.9%	7.9%	.9%	.0%	.0%	
Two or more races	1	1	2	0	0	
	.8%	1.3%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	
Other	2	0	0	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	2	0	0	0	
	6.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date							
	Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
African American or Black
Asian - Cambodian
Asian - Chinese
Asian - Filipino
Asian - Indian
Asian - Japanese
Asian - Korean
Asian - Thai
Asian - Vietnamese
Asian - Other
Caucasian or White	J	.	.	J	.	.	J	J
Latino or Hispanic
Two or more races
Other
DK/NA

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian
	Asian - Japanese	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	J	.	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	a	a
	Two or more races	.	.	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
African American or Black	3 .5%	1 .3%	1 1.2%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 .6%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	157 33.8%	33 27.7%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	1 .2%	1 1.0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	78 16.8%	28 23.7%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	15 3.3%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	14 3.0%	11 9.3%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	8 1.7%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	11 2.4%	2 2.1%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	10 2.2%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	114 24.6%	34 29.1%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	22 4.7%	1 1.2%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	11 2.4%	1 .6%
Other	6 1.0%	6 1.2%	0 .2%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	13 2.8%	5 3.8%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a
	Asian - Cambodian		.
	Asian - Chinese		.
	Asian - Filipino		.
	Asian - Indian		.
	Asian - Japanese		a
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		.
	Asian - Other		a
	Caucasian or White		.
	Latino or Hispanic		.
	Two or more races		.
	Other		.
DK/NA		.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
African American or Black	3 .5%	3 .8%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	0 .0%	3 1.2%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	108 31.2%	81 34.4%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	2 .7%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	62 17.7%	44 18.9%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	12 3.6%	3 1.3%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	4 1.2%	21 8.8%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	5 1.4%	3 1.4%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	5 1.4%	9 3.7%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	7 2.1%	3 1.2%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	102 29.4%	46 19.7%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	13 3.8%	10 4.3%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	9 2.6%	3 1.1%
Other	6 1.0%	5 1.4%	1 .4%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	9 2.6%	9 3.6%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	.
	Asian - Chinese		a
	Asian - Filipino		.
	Asian - Indian		
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		
	Asian - Vietnamese		
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White	B	
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	African American or Black	3	0	0	1	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	1.1%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Asian - Chinese	189	0	11	30	52
		32.5%	.0%	21.8%	31.7%	39.7%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	1	0
		.4%	.0%	2.5%	1.2%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	3	11	24	25
		18.2%	25.5%	23.3%	25.7%	19.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	0	0	0	8
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
	Asian - Korean	25	3	4	4	2
		4.3%	25.6%	8.0%	4.1%	1.3%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	1	0	3
		1.4%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	2.5%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	0	5	3
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	2.0%	
Caucasian or White	148	2	15	20	21	
	25.5%	21.9%	31.2%	21.7%	15.9%	
Latino or Hispanic	23	3	4	3	4	
	4.0%	27.1%	7.4%	3.3%	3.0%	
Two or more races	12	0	0	0	4	
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	
Other	6	0	0	3	0	
	1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.3%	
DK/NA	17	0	1	1	6	
	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	1.6%	4.5%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total		163	133	1
African American or Black		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese		68 41.5%	29 21.8%	0 .0%
Asian - Filipino		0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian		38 23.1%	5 3.5%	0 .0%
Asian - Japanese		4 2.3%	4 3.0%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean		8 4.8%	5 3.7%	0 .0%
Asian - Thai		0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese		9 5.5%	5 3.4%	0 .0%
Asian - Other		2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White		24 14.9%	65 48.7%	1 100.0%
Latino or Hispanic		3 1.7%	7 5.2%	0 .0%
Two or more races		2 1.4%	6 4.4%	0 .0%
Other		0 .2%	2 1.2%	0 .0%
DK/NA		5 3.2%	3 2.6%	0 .0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
African American or Black		a	a		
Asian - Cambodian		a	a	a	
Asian - Chinese		a			F a
Asian - Filipino		a			.
Asian - Indian		F a	F a	F a	F
Asian - Japanese		a	.	.	
Asian - Korean		D F			
Asian - Thai		a		a	
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	a	a
Asian - Other		a	.	.	
Caucasian or White					
Latino or Hispanic		C D E			
Two or more races		a	a	a	
Other		a	a	.	
DK/NA		a	.	.	

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
African American or Black		a	a	a,b
Asian - Cambodian		a	a	a,b
Asian - Chinese		F		a,b
Asian - Filipino		a	a	a,b
Asian - Indian		F		a,b
Asian - Japanese				a,b
Asian - Korean				a,b
Asian - Thai		a		a,b
Asian - Vietnamese		.		a,b
Asian - Other			a	a,b
Caucasian or White			C D E	a,b
Latino or Hispanic				a,b
Two or more races				a,b
Other				a,b
DK/NA				a,b

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
African American or Black	3	0	0	1	1	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	189	7	61	55	44	18	4
	32.7%	15.2%	28.9%	39.3%	38.9%	31.0%	34.5%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	106	1	29	33	30	12	1
	18.3%	3.2%	13.7%	23.1%	26.5%	20.2%	9.0%
Asian - Japanese	15	1	6	4	4	0	0
	2.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	25	0	9	12	1	3	0
	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	8.3%	1.0%	4.8%	.0%
Asian - Thai	8	2	2	3	0	0	0
	1.4%	5.2%	1.2%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	4	9	0
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	16.2%	.0%
Asian - Other	10	1	4	2	0	3	0
	1.8%	2.6%	1.8%	1.7%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
Caucasian or White	146	29	74	17	17	9	1
	25.2%	63.7%	34.9%	12.4%	15.1%	15.4%	5.1%
Latino or Hispanic	23	2	13	3	2	1	3
	4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.1%	25.3%
Two or more races	12	1	5	1	4	2	0
	2.0%	1.8%	2.2%	.6%	3.1%	3.0%	.0%
Other	6	0	1	4	1	0	0
	1.0%	.0%	.5%	2.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	17	0	6	3	4	2	3
	3.0%	.0%	3.0%	1.8%	3.1%	3.3%	26.2%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
African American or Black	a	a			a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a			a	a
Asian - Chinese			A			
Asian - Filipino	a		a		a	a
Asian - Indian			A	A		
Asian - Japanese					a	a
Asian - Korean	a		D		a	a
Asian - Thai					a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		D	a
Asian - Other						a
Caucasian or White	BCDEF	CD				
Latino or Hispanic						CDE
Two or more races						a
Other	a				a	a
DK/NA						BCD

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group			
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
Total	582	3	3	189
African American or Black	3	3	0	0
	.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3	0
	.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	189	0	0	189
	32.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	106	0	0	0
	18.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Japanese	15	0	0	0
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	25	0	0	0
	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0
	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	10	0	0	0
	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	148	0	0	0
	25.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Latino or Hispanic	23	0	0	0
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	12	0	0	0
	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other	6	0	0	0
	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	17	0	0	0
	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
Total	2	106	15	25
African American or Black	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	0	106	0	0
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	15	0
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	25
	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	8	14	10	148
African American or Black	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	14	0	0
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	0	0	10	0
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	0	0	0	148
	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
African American or Black	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Latino or Hispanic	23	0	0	0
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	0	12	0	0
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
Other	0	0	6	0
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
DK/NA	0	0	0	17
	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	a	a	a	a
African American or Black	a	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
Two or more races	a	a	a	a
Other	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	a	a	a	a
African American or Black	a	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
Two or more races	a	a	a	a
Other	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group			
	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	a	a	a	a
African American or Black	a	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
Two or more races	a	a	a	a
Other	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	a	a	a
African American or Black	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	a	a	a
Asian - Filipino	a	a	a
Asian - Indian	a	a	a
Asian - Japanese	a	a	a
Asian - Korean	a	a	a
Asian - Thai	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a
Caucasian or White	a	a	a
Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a
Two or more races	a	a	a
Other	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
African American or Black	1	1	0	0	0	0
	.5%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0	0	0	0
	1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	81	24	39	12	4	3
	30.4%	22.9%	35.0%	37.9%	34.3%	29.7%
Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	0	1	0
	.9%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	10.7%	.0%
Asian - Indian	71	40	25	3	2	2
	26.7%	38.3%	22.5%	9.0%	15.3%	18.7%
Asian - Japanese	6	4	1	0	1	0
	2.3%	3.6%	.9%	.0%	13.4%	.0%
Asian - Korean	6	1	3	1	0	0
	2.1%	1.1%	3.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	13	3	7	3	0	0
	4.7%	2.7%	5.9%	10.2%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	5	0	5	0	0	0
	1.9%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	48	17	18	8	2	3
	18.0%	15.9%	16.4%	27.1%	19.0%	30.0%
Latino or Hispanic	7	4	1	2	0	0
	2.7%	4.0%	1.3%	5.4%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	6	0	3	2	0	1
	2.3%	.3%	2.4%	6.7%	.0%	11.5%
Other	3	1	2	0	0	0
	1.0%	1.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	14	6	6	0	1	1
	5.2%	6.0%	5.3%	.0%	7.2%	10.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.	a	.
Asian - Chinese
Asian - Filipino	.	.	a	B	.
Asian - Indian	C
Asian - Japanese	.	.	a	B	a
Asian - Korean	.	.	.	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	.	.	.	a	a
Asian - Other	.	.	a	.	a
Caucasian or White	.	.	.	a	.
Latino or Hispanic
Two or more races	.	.	.	a	A
Other
DK/NA	.	.	a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
African American or Black	3	1	1	0	0	0
	.8%	1.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Chinese	137	42	80	14	0	1
	35.9%	38.7%	35.7%	41.6%	.0%	9.4%
Asian - Indian	57	10	29	12	2	5
	15.1%	9.0%	13.0%	35.7%	47.9%	40.8%
Asian - Japanese	9	1	6	0	1	0
	2.3%	1.0%	2.8%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
Asian - Korean	14	0	14	0	0	0
	3.6%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Thai	8	1	7	0	0	0
	2.1%	.9%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	2	10	2	0	0
	3.6%	1.4%	4.6%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Other	5	4	1	0	0	0
	1.3%	3.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Caucasian or White	102	37	58	5	1	1
	26.8%	34.6%	25.9%	14.1%	19.6%	11.2%
Latino or Hispanic	8	2	5	1	0	0
	2.1%	1.8%	2.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	11	3	7	0	0	1
	2.8%	2.4%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
Other	4	0	4	0	0	0
	1.2%	.0%	1.9%	.8%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	10	6	1	0	0	3
	2.6%	5.3%	.5%	.0%	.0%	29.4%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
African American or Black			a		a
Asian - Chinese				a	
Asian - Indian			A B		A
Asian - Japanese			a	A B	a
Asian - Korean	a		a		a
Asian - Thai			a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese			a	a	a
Asian - Other	B				
Caucasian or White				a	a
Latino or Hispanic			a	a	
Two or more races				a	a
Other	a			a	a
DK/NA	B		a		A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	African American or Black	3	3	0	0	1	0	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%	.0%	19.9%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	10.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	130	23	86	0	0	0
		32.5%	29.1%	86.2%	92.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	77	0	0	3	0	43
		18.2%	17.3%	.0%	.0%	35.7%	.0%	99.1%
	Asian - Japanese	15	14	0	0	0	0	0
		2.7%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	13	0	0	0	0	0
		4.3%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	4	0	1	0	0	0
		1.4%	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14	3	0	3	0	0	0	
	2.3%	.6%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Asian - Other	10	7	0	2	0	0	0	
	1.7%	1.5%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Caucasian or White	148	146	0	0	2	0	0	
	25.5%	32.6%	.0%	.0%	31.2%	30.8%	.9%	
Latino or Hispanic	23	20	0	0	0	1	0	
	4.0%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	.0%	
Two or more races	12	10	1	0	0	0	0	
	2.0%	2.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	6	5	0	0	1	0	0	
	1.0%	1.2%	.0%	.3%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	17	14	0	1	0	0	0	
	3.0%	3.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	0	43	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	89.5%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.3%
	Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	5	0	0	0	0	0
		79.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	22	0	0	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0	0	8
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	65.2%
Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	
Asian - Other	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Caucasian or White	1	0	1	6	1	0	
	20.1%	.0%	1.7%	45.2%	75.0%	1.9%	
Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	6	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	47.5%	.0%	.0%	
Two or more races	0	0	2	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	
Other	0	0	0	1	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Primary Languages in Household		
	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	14	56	7
African American or Black	0 .0%	1 2.6%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	0 .0%	1 2.2%	1 15.7%
Asian - Filipino	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	0 .0%	35 63.6%	2 24.9%
Asian - Japanese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Thai	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Other	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White	0 .0%	10 18.5%	1 8.9%
Latino or Hispanic	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
Two or more races	0 .0%	2 3.6%	1 15.4%
Other	0 .0%	4 7.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 35.1%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
African American or Black	.	a	.	A	a,b	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	.	a	.	a,b	a
Asian - Chinese	N	A L N O	A L N O	.	a,b	a
Asian - Filipino	.	a	.	.	a,b	a
Asian - Indian	a	.	a	.	.	A D N O
Asian - Japanese	.	a	.	a	a,b	a
Asian - Korean	.	.	a	.	a,b	a
Asian - Thai	.	.	.	a	a,b	a
Asian - Vietnamese	.	.	A	.	a,b	a
Asian - Other
Caucasian or White	F I	a	a	F I	.	.
Latino or Hispanic	a,b	a
Two or more races
Other	.	a	.	C	a,b	a
DK/NA	a,b	a

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	A L N O	a	a,b	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a	a,b	A
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Japanese	A	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a	a,b	A C
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a,b	A
	Asian - Other
	Caucasian or White	.	.	.	F I	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	A N	a,b	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a	.	.	.
	Other	a	a	a	.	a,b	a
	DK/NA	a,b	a

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	.	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.
	Asian - Chinese	.	.	.
	Asian - Filipino	.	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	A	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	.	a	a
	Asian - Thai	.	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	.	.
	Caucasian or White	.	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	a
	Two or more races	.	.	.
	Other	.	A	a
	DK/NA	.	.	A C

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income				
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
Total	582	60	52	29	37
African American or Black	3 .5%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	0 .0%	3 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	26 43.3%	19 37.0%	8 27.7%	12 32.2%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	2 2.7%	0 .0%	2 8.3%	8 20.8%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	1 2.4%	2 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	4 15.4%	4 11.8%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	0 .0%	1 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	22 35.9%	18 35.4%	13 45.8%	9 23.2%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	6 9.9%	4 8.6%	1 2.8%	2 5.8%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	1 2.1%	2 3.8%	0 .0%	1 2.2%
Other	6 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	1 1.2%	1 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Household Income				
	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
Total	32	41	38	34	132
African American or Black	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	17 51.9%	7 17.5%	12 30.2%	12 35.7%	49 36.9%
Asian - Filipino	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.8%
Asian - Indian	4 11.5%	5 11.8%	6 16.0%	12 36.8%	46 34.8%
Asian - Japanese	1 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	2 1.6%
Asian - Korean	0 .0%	3 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%
Asian - Thai	0 .0%	3 8.0%	0 .0%	1 4.2%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	0 .0%	5 11.9%	6 16.0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%
Asian - Other	1 3.2%	1 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.6%
Caucasian or White	8 24.7%	13 30.7%	6 15.7%	6 16.7%	19 14.5%
Latino or Hispanic	1 2.0%	3 7.1%	3 7.5%	1 3.6%	2 1.2%
Two or more races	1 2.5%	1 2.2%	0 .8%	0 .0%	3 2.1%
Other	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.3%	0 .0%	2 1.5%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	1 1.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Household Income
	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	126
African American or Black	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	28 22.1%
Asian - Filipino	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	21 16.6%
Asian - Japanese	8 6.4%
Asian - Korean	10 7.8%
Asian - Thai	2 1.9%
Asian - Vietnamese	1 .8%
Asian - Other	4 3.6%
Caucasian or White	35 27.7%
Latino or Hispanic	1 .5%
Two or more races	3 2.2%
Other	1 .7%
DK/NA	12 9.7%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income				
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
African American or Black	.	a	a	.	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	.	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese	J
Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Indian	.	a	.	.	.
Asian - Japanese	.	.	a	a	.
Asian - Korean	a	.			a
Asian - Thai	a	.	a	a	a
Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Other	a	a	a	a	.
Caucasian or White		.		.	.
Latino or Hispanic	.	.	a	.	.
Two or more races	.	.	a	a	.
Other	a	a	a	a	.
DK/NA	.	.	a	a	a

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	.
	Asian - Indian	.	.	A	A J
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	.	.
	Asian - Korean	.	a	a	.
	Asian - Thai	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	I J	I J	a	.
	Asian - Other	.	a	.	.
	Caucasian or White
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	a	.
	Two or more races	.	.	a	.
	Other	a	.	a	.
	DK/NA	a	.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(J)	
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a
	Asian - Chinese	.
	Asian - Filipino	a
	Asian - Indian	.
	Asian - Japanese	.
	Asian - Korean	.
	Asian - Thai	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.
	Asian - Other	.
	Caucasian or White	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.
	Two or more races	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	I

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
African American or Black	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.1%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	53 31.7%	36 23.6%	100 38.2%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	23 13.9%	19 12.7%	63 24.1%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	6 3.4%	3 2.0%	7 2.5%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	5 2.9%	14 9.2%	6 2.3%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	1 .8%	5 3.1%	2 .8%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	0 .0%	13 8.2%	1 .4%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	4 2.9%	6 2.2%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	63 37.5%	35 23.1%	50 19.2%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	3 1.9%	12 7.8%	8 3.1%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	4 2.6%	1 .6%	6 2.5%
Other	6 1.0%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	3 1.3%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	6 3.7%	8 5.0%	4 1.4%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline (A)	Cell (B)	Online (C)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	.a	.a	.a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	.a	.a
	Asian - Chinese	.	.a	B
	Asian - Filipino	.a	.a	.a
	Asian - Indian	.	.a	A B
	Asian - Japanese	.	.a	.a
	Asian - Korean	.	C	.a
	Asian - Thai	.	.a	.a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.a	C	.a
	Asian - Other	.a	.a	.a
	Caucasian or White	B C	.a	.a
	Latino or Hispanic	.	A	.a
	Two or more races	.	.a	.a
	Other	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
African American or Black	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.9%	1 .9%
Asian - Chinese	148 31.9%	49 38.7%	24 26.2%	27 34.7%	48 28.7%
Asian - Filipino	2 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	1 1.6%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	79 17.1%	19 15.1%	24 26.8%	19 24.3%	17 10.2%
Asian - Japanese	15 3.3%	4 3.5%	3 3.0%	4 5.1%	4 2.6%
Asian - Korean	14 3.0%	7 5.6%	3 3.6%	2 2.0%	2 1.0%
Asian - Thai	5 1.0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%
Asian - Vietnamese	5 1.1%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	2 2.0%	2 .9%
Asian - Other	9 1.8%	4 3.1%	2 2.6%	1 1.6%	1 .6%
Caucasian or White	137 29.6%	27 21.2%	21 23.1%	15 19.4%	74 44.1%
Latino or Hispanic	18 4.0%	8 6.4%	4 4.9%	1 1.9%	5 2.7%
Two or more races	9 2.0%	2 1.6%	2 2.3%	0 .6%	5 2.8%
Other	5 1.2%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .6%	2 1.4%
DK/NA	13 2.8%	2 1.6%	4 4.4%	3 4.4%	3 2.0%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
African American or Black	a	a		
Asian - Chinese				a
Asian - Filipino	a			
Asian - Indian		D	D	
Asian - Japanese				
Asian - Korean				
Asian - Thai		a	a	
Asian - Vietnamese	a			
Asian - Other				
Caucasian or White				A B C
Latino or Hispanic				
Two or more races				
Other		a		
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender					
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
African American or Black	3 .5%	3 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.7%	22 17.5%	47 39.6%	12 29.5%	13 32.5%	51 39.9%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	1 1.0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	106 18.3%	20 16.4%	29 24.3%	2 4.3%	4 10.5%	28 22.2%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	8 6.4%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 3.1%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	4 3.6%	7 5.5%	0 .0%	3 8.0%	8 6.1%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 9.4%	1 .8%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 8.2%
Asian - Other	10 1.8%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 3.0%	0 .0%	4 3.2%
Caucasian or White	148 25.6%	40 32.7%	24 20.5%	23 57.7%	14 34.9%	14 10.7%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	9 7.0%	5 3.8%	1 2.7%	1 3.5%	5 3.8%
Two or more races	11 1.8%	5 3.8%	1 .7%	1 2.8%	0 .6%	1 .5%
Other	5 .8%	1 1.0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%
DK/NA	16 2.8%	5 4.2%	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .7%	1 1.2%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

	Party by Gender		
	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	112	7	9
African American or Black	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Cambodian	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Chinese	42 37.1%	2 22.7%	1 15.8%
Asian - Filipino	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Indian	20 17.8%	1 15.7%	1 13.1%
Asian - Japanese	3 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Korean	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Thai	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Vietnamese	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Asian - Other	4 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Caucasian or White	27 24.5%	2 32.9%	3 33.0%
Latino or Hispanic	1 .6%	1 17.1%	1 11.4%
Two or more races	0 .2%	1 11.6%	2 22.5%
Other	3 2.3%	0 .0%	0 2.4%
DK/NA	6 5.6%	0 .0%	0 1.8%

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender				
	Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)
African American or Black		a	a	a	a
Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a	a
Asian - Chinese		A			A
Asian - Filipino			a	a	a
Asian - Indian				a	
Asian - Japanese			a		
Asian - Korean			a		
Asian - Thai		a	a		
Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	E	
Asian - Other	a			a	A F
Caucasian or White	E		B E F	E	
Latino or Hispanic					
Two or more races					
Other			a	a	
DK/NA			a		

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	A	.	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	.	a	a
	Asian - Thai	.	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.	F	.
	Two or more races	.	.	B E F
	Other	.	a	.
	DK/NA	.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	582
	0	315	315
		54.2%	54.2%
	1	104	104
		17.9%	17.9%
	2	113	113
		19.3%	19.3%
	3	31	31
		5.2%	5.2%
	4	5	5
		.9%	.9%
5	4	4	
	.7%	.7%	
10	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	
	.2%	.2%	
DK/NA	9	9	
	1.5%	1.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
0	.
1	.
2	.
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	3
	4
	5
	10
	20
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	315	155	160	0
		54.2%	55.1%	53.8%	.0%
	1	104	50	54	0
		17.9%	17.9%	18.0%	.0%
	2	113	54	57	2
		19.3%	19.0%	19.1%	66.3%
	3	31	12	19	0
		5.2%	4.2%	6.2%	.0%
	4	5	4	1	0
		.9%	1.3%	.4%	.0%
	5	4	3	1	0
		.7%	1.0%	.5%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20	1	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	4	4	1	
	1.5%	1.3%	1.4%	33.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			.
3			a
4			a
5			a
10		a	a
20	a	.	.
DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	315	59	52	29	84	82	9
		54.2%	77.3%	51.6%	20.5%	55.0%	80.7%	100.0%
	1	104	12	16	32	36	9	0
		17.9%	15.6%	16.1%	22.4%	23.3%	8.6%	.0%
	2	113	5	26	60	17	5	0
		19.3%	7.1%	26.0%	42.3%	10.9%	4.5%	.0%
	3	31	0	4	14	11	1	0
		5.2%	.0%	4.3%	10.0%	6.9%	1.4%	.0%
	4	5	0	2	2	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	1.9%	1.4%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	3	0	1	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	2	5	2	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	3.3%	1.8%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	B C D	C		C	B C D	a
1			E	E		a
2		A D E	A D E			a
3	a		E			a
4	a					a
5	a	a		a		a
10	a	a	a	a		a
20	a	a	a	a		a
DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
		Total	582	424
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	315	237	79
		54.2%	55.7%	49.9%
	1	104	75	29
		17.9%	17.6%	18.5%
	2	113	82	31
		19.3%	19.3%	19.5%
	3	31	20	11
		5.2%	4.7%	6.7%
	4	5	3	2
		.9%	.7%	1.2%
	5	4	3	1
	.7%	.7%	.9%	
10	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	
	.2%	.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	4	5	
	1.5%	.9%	3.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		a
10		a
20		a
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	315	144	59	10	102
		54.2%	59.0%	73.5%	63.1%	42.2%
	1	104	43	7	2	52
		17.9%	17.5%	9.0%	11.9%	21.6%
	2	113	42	7	3	60
		19.3%	17.2%	8.9%	19.9%	25.0%
	3	31	10	5	1	15
		5.2%	4.2%	5.6%	5.1%	6.2%
	4	5	0	1	0	4
		.9%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.6%
	5	4	3	0	0	1
	.7%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	0	0	
	.2%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	1	1	0	7	
	1.5%	.3%	1.5%	.0%	2.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	D	D		
1				
2				B
3				
4	a		a	
5	.	a	a	
10	a	.	a	a
20	.	a	a	a
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	315	69	44	20	24	68	91
		54.2%	56.7%	66.3%	61.1%	83.6%	53.0%	44.3%
	1	104	26	9	3	2	28	35
		17.9%	21.8%	14.2%	9.5%	8.7%	21.8%	16.9%
	2	113	19	9	5	1	24	54
		19.3%	16.0%	14.0%	16.6%	2.3%	18.8%	26.1%
	3	31	4	4	3	0	5	14
		5.2%	3.6%	5.5%	9.1%	1.4%	4.1%	6.8%
	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	4
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	1.9%
	5	4	0	0	0	0	3	1
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.7%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	1	0	1	0	0	7	
	1.5%	.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.1%	3.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		F		E F		
1						
2						
3						
4	a	a	a		a	
5	a	a	a	a		
10	a	a	a	a	a	a
20	a	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	
0	315	128	36	37	22	20	
	54.2%	48.6%	46.4%	45.0%	46.9%	75.1%	
1	104	52	16	16	11	3	
	17.9%	19.8%	20.8%	20.0%	22.6%	12.0%	
2	113	62	19	18	9	2	
	19.3%	23.6%	23.6%	22.0%	19.5%	8.3%	
3	31	12	5	8	4	1	
	5.2%	4.6%	6.6%	9.7%	8.7%	4.6%	
4	5	3	0	1	0	0	
	.9%	1.2%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
5	4	2	1	1	0	0	
	.7%	.6%	1.5%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	4	1	0	1	0	
	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	.5%	2.2%	.0%	

	Total	Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
0	23	38	24	
	16	35	21	
	69.1%	92.8%	86.3%	
1	2	3	1	
	9.9%	7.2%	2.4%	
2	1	0	1	
	6.1%	.0%	5.3%	
3	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
4	1	0	0	
	4.5%	.0%	.0%	
5	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	6.0%	
DK/NA	2	0	0	
	10.3%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
0							
1							
2							
3							a
4			a		a	a	
5			a		a	a	
10			a		a	a	
20		a	a		a	a	
DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
0		A B C D	A B C D
1			
2		a	
3		a	a
4		a	a
5		a	a
10		a	a
20		a	a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	315	3	80	22	27	10	5	23	60	47
		54.2%	47.5%	46.4%	53.5%	58.4%	58.4%	34.9%	54.8%	62.2%	64.1%
	1	104	3	25	4	6	5	3	15	21	10
		17.9%	52.5%	14.6%	8.4%	12.3%	32.1%	19.9%	36.0%	22.1%	13.4%
	2	113	0	57	9	7	0	3	2	6	14
		19.3%	.0%	32.9%	21.0%	15.0%	.0%	20.8%	3.6%	6.2%	18.6%
	3	31	0	5	4	3	0	2	1	6	3
		5.2%	.0%	2.9%	9.4%	7.0%	.0%	18.1%	2.9%	6.7%	3.9%
	4	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	1.5%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	
	1.5%	.0%	.8%	4.3%	2.0%	9.6%	6.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	64	2	5
	0	37	0	1
		57.4%	.0%	19.1%
	1	9	1	2
		13.9%	56.1%	27.6%
	2	13	0	3
		20.7%	.0%	49.0%
	3	5	0	0
		8.0%	.0%	4.3%
	4	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
5	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	
	.0%	43.9%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date									
	Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)
0										
1										
2	a	G H			a					
3	a				a					
4	a				a					
5	a	a	a		a	a	a	a	a	a
10	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
20	a	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date	
	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
0	a	
1	.	
2	a	G H
3	a	
4	a	a
5	a	a
10	a	a
20	a	a
DK/NA	B H	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		582	464	118
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	315 54.2%	247 53.2%	69 58.1%
	1	104 17.9%	86 18.6%	18 15.1%
	2	113 19.3%	87 18.7%	26 21.9%
	3	31 5.2%	27 5.8%	3 3.0%
	4	5 .9%	4 .9%	1 .7%
	5	4 .7%	4 .9%	0 .0%
	10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	20	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	7 1.6%	1 1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
0			
1			
2			
3			
4			a
5			a
10			a
20			a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		582	347	235
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	315 54.2%	199 57.4%	116 49.3%
	1	104 17.9%	63 18.3%	41 17.3%
	2	113 19.3%	57 16.4%	56 23.7%
	3	31 5.2%	16 4.6%	15 6.2%
	4	5 .9%	3 .9%	2 .8%
	5	4 .7%	3 .8%	2 .7%
	10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	20	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	6 1.7%	3 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
0			
1			
2			A
3			
4			
5			
10		a	
20		a	
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	315	10	14	26	65	97
		54.2%	89.3%	27.7%	28.0%	49.1%	59.5%
	1	104	0	11	20	38	24
		17.9%	.0%	21.9%	21.0%	29.0%	14.9%
	2	113	1	17	36	21	29
		19.3%	10.7%	34.2%	38.1%	15.6%	18.0%
	3	31	0	7	6	5	10
		5.2%	.0%	14.9%	6.7%	3.7%	5.9%
	4	5	0	0	2	1	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.9%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	1	2	1
		.7%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	1.3%	.9%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	1	3	1	1	
	1.5%	.0%	1.3%	2.9%	.4%	.7%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	133	1
	0	104	0
		78.4%	.0%
	1	11	0
		8.3%	.0%
	2	9	0
		6.6%	.0%
	3	2	0
		1.8%	.0%
	4	2	0
		1.4%	.0%
	5	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	10	0	0
	.1%	.0%	
20	1	0	
	1.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	1	
	2.5%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	BC			C	BC
	1	.b			E F	
	2		F	D E F		
	3	.b	F			
	4	.b	.b			.b
	5	.b	.b			.b
	10	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	20	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	B C D E	a,,b
	1		a,,b
	2		a,,b
	3		a,,b
	4		a,,b
	5	.b	a,,b
	10		a,,b
	20		a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	0	313	40	124	67	48	28	7
		54.0%	89.3%	59.0%	47.6%	41.8%	47.6%	56.3%
	1	104	1	27	42	27	7	0
		17.9%	1.4%	13.0%	30.1%	23.4%	12.1%	.0%
	2	113	1	45	26	26	12	4
		19.4%	1.4%	21.3%	18.5%	22.5%	19.9%	33.9%
	3	31	0	12	3	8	8	0
		5.3%	.0%	5.8%	1.9%	7.0%	13.4%	.0%
	4	5	0	2	0	2	1	0
		.9%	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.7%	1.8%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	1	3	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	5.3%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	2	0	3	3	0	1	
	1.5%	4.6%	.0%	1.9%	2.6%	.0%	9.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	BCDE	D					a
1				AB	A		a
2		A					A
3	a					C	a
4	a						a
5	a						a
10	a						a
20	a						a
DK/NA							a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	315	1	0	108	0	35
		54.2%	50.0%	.0%	57.1%	.0%	32.6%
	1	104	1	3	24	0	40
		17.9%	50.0%	100.0%	12.6%	.0%	37.7%
	2	113	0	0	39	1	25
		19.3%	.0%	.0%	20.8%	51.2%	24.0%
	3	31	0	0	12	0	3
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	2.6%
	4	5	0	0	2	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	1	1	2
	.7%	.0%	.0%	.8%	48.8%	1.6%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	3	0	2	
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	1.6%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	9	19	8	1	5
		59.5%	77.5%	100.0%	7.1%	49.6%
	1	4	1	0	3	0
		24.4%	4.7%	.0%	21.0%	.0%
	2	1	3	0	7	5
		6.7%	13.5%	.0%	48.9%	50.4%
	3	0	1	0	3	0
		.0%	4.4%	.0%	23.1%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	0	0	
	9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	100	16	6	3	4
		67.6%	68.5%	47.3%	51.9%	20.6%
	1	17	4	0	1	6
		11.2%	18.0%	2.7%	18.3%	35.5%
	2	18	1	3	2	6
		12.4%	6.3%	23.5%	29.8%	34.4%
	3	8	2	2	0	0
		5.6%	7.1%	17.7%	.0%	.0%
	4	2	0	0	0	1
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	5	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
10	0	0	0	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	0	1	0	1	
	1.8%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	5.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	.	.	E I	.	.
	1	C K
	2
	3
	4
	5	.	.	.	C E	.
	10
	20
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	.	E I O	.	.	.
	1
	2	.	.	.	K	.
	3	.	.	.	E	.
	4
	5
	10
	20
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	E I O
	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	10
	20
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	1	104	104	0	0	0	0
		39.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	113	0	113	0	0	0
		42.2%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	31	0	0	31	0	0
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	5	0	0	0	5	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	46.2%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	4	0
		1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	39.5%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%
20	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	9	
	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1	a	a	a	a	a
2	a	a	a	a	a
3	a	a	a	a	a
4	a	a	a	a	a
5	a	a	a	a	a
10	a	a	a	a	a
20	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Over 50 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		1	2	3	4 or more		
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11	
0	258 67.8%	64 59.4%	162 72.4%	26 76.8%	3 65.4%	4 32.7%	
1	60 15.8%	25 23.5%	31 13.9%	1 2.7%	0 .0%	3 25.9%	
2	32 8.4%	9 8.3%	21 9.4%	2 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
3	14 3.6%	8 7.0%	2 .7%	5 13.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
4	3 .8%	0 .0%	3 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
5	3 .8%	0 .0%	3 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 2.1%	0 .0%	
20	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 32.5%	0 .0%	
DK/NA	9 2.3%	2 1.9%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 41.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0		E			
1	C			a	a
2				a	a
3	B		B	a	a
4	a		a	a	a
5	a		a	a	a
10	a	a			a
20	a		a		a
DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
0	315 54.2%	252 56.1%	10 39.3%	49 53.1%	2 24.3%	1 100.0%	16 35.5%	4 59.2%
1	104 17.9%	81 18.0%	7 24.9%	10 11.1%	3 35.7%	0 .0%	14 31.9%	2 25.2%
2	113 19.3%	85 19.1%	6 22.4%	19 20.2%	1 13.2%	0 .0%	12 26.5%	1 15.6%
3	31 5.2%	19 4.2%	1 3.1%	11 11.5%	2 26.8%	0 .0%	1 2.4%	0 .0%
4	5 .9%	5 1.1%	1 4.7%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	4 .7%	1 .3%	1 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 3.8%	0 .0%
10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
20	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	9 1.5%	4 .9%	0 .0%	2 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Primary Languages in Household							DK/NA
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	18	28	9	1	8	1	22	0
		79.6%	57.7%	69.8%	100.0%	67.1%	7.1%	39.1%	2.4%
	1	1	7	2	0	0	3	14	2
		5.2%	13.6%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	25.0%	24.9%
	2	3	11	2	0	2	7	13	2
		15.2%	22.9%	18.5%	.0%	13.8%	48.9%	24.0%	25.1%
	3	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	0
		.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.1%	6.3%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
5	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	47.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	M				a,,b			M O
	1					a,,b			
	2					a,,b			
	3			A		a,,b		.b	.b
	4			.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b
	5		A	.b	.b	a,,b	A	.b	.b
	10		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b
	20		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b
DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0			a,,b	M			
	1			a,,b	.b			
	2			a,,b				
	3		.b	a,,b	.b	A		.b
	4	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	A	.b
	5	.b	.b	a,,b	A	.b	.b	.b
	10	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	20	.b	.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA		.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b	A C I

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	315	48	33	19	23	21	26
		54.2%	80.1%	63.7%	64.9%	62.7%	66.1%	63.0%
	1	104	4	7	4	5	4	2
		17.9%	7.0%	13.0%	12.6%	14.1%	12.0%	4.0%
	2	113	2	9	6	7	5	10
		19.3%	3.1%	17.3%	22.2%	17.8%	14.1%	24.0%
	3	31	2	2	0	2	3	3
		5.2%	3.8%	3.2%	.0%	5.4%	7.8%	6.5%
	4	5	0	1	0	0	0	1
		.9%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
5	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	9	1	1	0	0	0	0	
	1.5%	1.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	12	17	41	74
		31.8%	51.1%	31.2%	58.7%
	1	13	7	36	22
		34.6%	21.3%	27.2%	17.8%
	2	10	9	37	18
		25.3%	27.6%	28.1%	14.3%
	3	3	0	9	7
		8.3%	.0%	7.1%	5.4%
	4	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
5	0	0	3	0	
	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	3	5	
	.0%	.0%	2.0%	3.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0	G I	I	I	I	I	I	
1							A F
2							A
3			a				a
4	a		a	a	a		a
5		a	a	a	a	a	a
10	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
20		a	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA			a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
0			I
1			
2	A	A	
3	a		a
4	a		a
5	a	a	a
10	a	a	a
20	a	a	a
DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
0	315	124	76	115
	54.2%	73.8%	50.1%	44.0%
1	104	25	25	54
	17.9%	14.9%	16.6%	20.5%
2	113	5	34	73
	19.3%	3.2%	22.2%	28.0%
3	31	5	15	11
	5.2%	2.8%	9.7%	4.2%
4	5	1	0	4
	.9%	.5%	.0%	1.6%
5	4	1	2	1
	.7%	.9%	1.1%	.4%
10	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
20	1	1	0	0
	.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	9	5	1	3
	1.5%	3.1%	.4%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0	B C		
1			
2		A	A
3		A	
4		a	
5			
10	a	a	
20		a	a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	0	265	75	39	38	113
		57.4%	59.4%	43.6%	48.6%	67.5%
	1	82	19	23	18	22
		17.7%	14.9%	25.1%	23.0%	13.3%
	2	77	22	19	13	22
		16.6%	17.4%	21.2%	17.1%	13.2%
	3	25	9	5	5	5
		5.4%	7.3%	5.7%	7.0%	3.2%
	4	3	0	0	2	1
		.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.6%
5	3	1	1	0	0	
	.6%	1.2%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	0	0	1	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	0	3	0	4	
	1.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0					B C
1					
2					
3					
4	a	a		a	a
5	a		a		a
10	a				a
20	a	a			a
DK/NA	a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	0	315	66	78	28	32	61	41
		54.4%	53.7%	65.0%	69.3%	77.6%	47.9%	36.5%
	1	104	24	19	3	4	26	27
		18.0%	19.2%	15.9%	8.1%	10.0%	20.1%	23.6%
	2	111	24	17	4	3	29	30
		19.1%	19.2%	14.4%	9.7%	8.2%	23.1%	26.6%
	3	31	7	3	5	0	6	9
		5.3%	6.0%	2.4%	11.4%	.0%	4.6%	8.1%
	4	5	0	0	0	1	1	3
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	1.0%	2.4%
5	4	0	3	0	0	1	0	
	.7%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	
10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	
20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	8	1	0	1	1	3	3	
	1.3%	.6%	.0%	1.6%	1.4%	2.2%	2.7%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	7	9
	0	5	5
		72.8%	55.4%
	1	1	1
		15.7%	9.0%
	2	0	3
		.0%	35.6%
	3	1	0
		11.6%	.0%
	4	0	0
		.0%	.0%
5	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	
10	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	
20	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0		F	F	E F			
1							a
2							
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18				a			
3			a				a
4	a	a	a	a		a	a
5	a		a	a		a	a
10	a	a	a		a	a	a
20		a	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA		a					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
	(H)
0	
1	
2	
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	
3	a
4	a
5	a
10	a
20	a
DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
0	201 34.6%	201 34.6%
1	108 18.5%	108 18.5%
2	224 38.5%	224 38.5%
3	34 5.8%	34 5.8%
4	3 .5%	3 .5%
30	2 .3%	2 .3%
DK/NA	11 1.9%	11 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
0	.
1	.
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
30	.
DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	201 34.6%	106 37.7%	94 31.6%	1 34.4%
	1	108 18.5%	37 13.3%	70 23.6%	0 .0%
	2	224 38.5%	117 41.7%	106 35.5%	1 31.9%
	3	34 5.8%	12 4.3%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
	4	3 .5%	1 .4%	2 .6%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	7 2.5%	3 1.0%	1 33.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			
1		A	a
2			.
3			a
4			a
30			a
DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	201 34.6%	24 30.9%	68 67.4%	90 63.7%	15 10.0%	4 4.1%	0 .0%
	1	108 18.5%	10 13.0%	7 7.2%	24 16.8%	36 23.4%	28 27.7%	3 32.1%
	2	224 38.5%	36 46.3%	21 20.6%	20 14.0%	81 53.0%	62 61.0%	5 55.4%
	3	34 5.8%	7 9.7%	2 2.4%	3 2.0%	15 9.9%	6 5.6%	0 .0%
	4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	0 .0%	2 2.3%	5 3.4%	3 1.7%	0 .1%	1 12.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	DE	A DE	A DE			a
1				B	B	.
2	B C			B C	B C	C
3				C		a
4	a	a	a		a	a
30	a	a	a	a		a
DK/NA	a					E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
		Total	582	424
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	201 34.6%	122 28.7%	79 50.2%
	1	108 18.5%	80 19.0%	27 17.3%
	2	224 38.5%	186 43.7%	39 24.5%
	3	34 5.8%	26 6.0%	8 5.1%
	4	3 .5%	3 .7%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	2 .4%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	7 1.5%	5 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		
2	B	
3		
4		a
30		a
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	201	90	13	3	95
		34.6%	36.9%	15.8%	21.0%	39.4%
	1	108	40	15	5	48
		18.5%	16.4%	18.4%	28.8%	20.0%
	2	224	85	48	5	85
		38.5%	35.1%	59.8%	33.8%	35.2%
	3	34	21	3	3	7
		5.8%	8.5%	3.7%	16.4%	3.1%
	4	3	0	2	0	1
	.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.5%	
30	2	1	0	0	0	
	.3%	.6%	.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	6	0	0	5	
	1.9%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	B			B
1				
2		A D		
3			D	
4	a		a	
30			a	a
DK/NA		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	201	54	18	7	1	39	82
		34.6%	44.3%	27.2%	21.0%	4.2%	30.4%	40.1%
	1	108	30	7	11	2	11	47
		18.5%	24.6%	10.4%	35.1%	6.0%	8.8%	22.7%
	2	224	27	37	12	24	60	64
		38.5%	22.1%	55.9%	38.5%	84.7%	46.4%	31.2%
	3	34	7	4	2	1	14	7
		5.8%	5.5%	6.5%	5.4%	2.1%	10.6%	3.2%
	4	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.8%	.5%	
30	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	3	0	0	0	4	4	
	1.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	2.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	D					D
1	E		BE			E
2		AF		AC...	A	
3						
4	a	a	a		a	
30		a	a		a	a
DK/NA		a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
0	201 34.6%	119 45.1%	39 50.2%	27 33.1%	13 27.4%	1 4.4%
1	108 18.5%	44 16.9%	10 12.6%	17 21.1%	10 21.2%	6 21.7%
2	224 38.5%	80 30.5%	25 32.3%	28 33.8%	20 43.0%	16 60.9%
3	34 5.8%	15 5.8%	2 2.9%	9 11.5%	2 3.9%	1 4.1%
4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.2%	0 .0%
30	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	11 1.9%	4 1.7%	2 2.0%	0 .5%	1 2.2%	2 9.0%

	Total	Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	23	38	24	
0	1 6.1%	1 1.7%	0 .4%	
1	8 36.8%	6 16.5%	6 23.5%	
2	13 57.0%	26 69.3%	15 62.0%	
3	0 .0%	3 7.7%	1 3.0%	
4	0 .0%	2 4.9%	0 .0%	
30	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 6.0%	
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 5.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	EFGH	EFGH	GH	G		
1					A	
2						a
3						a
4	a	a	a		a	a
30		a		a		a
DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
0		
1		
2	ABC	A
3		
4		a
30	a	A
DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	
0	201	0	76	20	15	3	6	9	29	26	
	34.6%	.0%	43.8%	48.9%	32.9%	16.6%	45.0%	21.2%	29.9%	35.0%	
1	108	2	22	5	8	2	5	12	22	17	
	18.5%	31.7%	12.8%	12.1%	18.0%	11.9%	35.1%	29.5%	22.3%	22.7%	
2	224	4	64	8	21	11	1	16	35	26	
	38.5%	68.3%	37.1%	19.1%	46.5%	64.1%	6.5%	39.1%	36.4%	36.1%	
3	34	0	8	4	1	1	2	4	4	5	
	5.8%	.0%	4.8%	9.6%	2.2%	7.4%	11.7%	10.2%	4.0%	6.2%	
4	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.5%	.0%	.6%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%	
30	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.9%	4.3%	.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	

	Total	Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	64	2	5	
0	13	0	4	
	20.4%	.0%	75.6%	
1	13	0	0	
	19.9%	.0%	.0%	
2	34	1	1	
	52.2%	56.1%	24.4%	
3	5	0	0	
	7.5%	.0%	.0%	
4	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
30	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	1	0	
	.0%	43.9%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Date										
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	
0		a										
1		.	J									
2		.										
3		a									C	
4		.			a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
30		.		B	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	
DK/NA		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Total	Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
0		a	
1		.	.
2		.	a
3		a	a
4		.	a
30		a	a
DK/NA		B	D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118	
0	201	164	37	
	34.6%	35.4%	31.2%	
1	108	87	21	
	18.5%	18.7%	17.6%	
2	224	174	50	
	38.5%	37.6%	42.1%	
3	34	25	9	
	5.8%	5.4%	7.3%	
4	3	1	2	
	.5%	.2%	1.5%	
30	2	2	0	
	.3%	.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	11	0	
	1.9%	2.3%	.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		a
30		.
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
0	201 34.6%	106 30.4%	96 40.6%
1	108 18.5%	70 20.0%	38 16.2%
2	224 38.5%	142 41.0%	82 34.8%
3	34 5.8%	20 5.8%	13 5.7%
4	3 .5%	2 .5%	1 .4%
30	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 .7%
DK/NA	11 1.9%	8 2.2%	3 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		
2		
3		
4		
30	a	.
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
0	201 34.6%	9 81.7%	38 77.5%	64 68.2%	47 35.6%	33 20.4%
1	108 18.5%	0 2.7%	4 7.2%	13 13.6%	36 27.2%	31 18.8%
2	224 38.5%	2 15.6%	5 9.9%	13 14.2%	43 32.9%	75 46.0%
3	34 5.8%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	0 .0%	5 3.9%	22 13.5%
4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
30	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	11 1.9%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	3 2.9%	1 .4%	2 1.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	133	1
	0	10 7.4%	0 .0%
	1	25 18.6%	0 .0%
	2	85 64.2%	1 100.0%
	3	5 3.7%	0 .0%
	4	2 1.4%	0 .0%
	30	2 1.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	5 3.5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	DEF	DEF	DEF	F	F
	1					
	2				BC	BC
	3	.b		.b		DF
	4	.b	.b		.b	.b
	30	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	a,,b	a,,b
	1		a,,b
	2	ABCDE	a,,b
	3		a,,b
	4		a,,b
	30		a,,b
DK/NA		a,,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	0	201 34.7%	7 14.9%	103 48.8%	39 27.5%	35 31.0%	8 13.4%	10 84.6%
	1	106 18.3%	28 62.8%	22 10.5%	27 19.2%	20 17.5%	8 14.6%	1 5.7%
	2	223 38.5%	9 19.0%	79 37.5%	57 40.8%	48 42.4%	30 51.1%	1 5.1%
	3	34 5.8%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	12 8.6%	8 7.3%	11 19.1%	0 .0%
	4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 .7%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	1 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	0 .0%	5 2.3%	4 3.1%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	1 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		ACDE				ACDE
	1	BCDEF					
	2					A	a
	3	.a		B	B	B	.a
	4	.a	.a			.a	.a
	30	C	.a		.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	a				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	201	0	3	53	2	48
		34.6%	.0%	100.0%	27.8%	100.0%	45.7%
	1	108	1	0	42	0	10
		18.5%	50.0%	.0%	22.0%	.0%	9.1%
	2	224	1	0	80	0	29
		38.5%	50.0%	.0%	42.2%	.0%	27.5%
	3	34	0	0	14	0	12
		5.8%	.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	11.3%
4	3	0	0	0	0	2	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	
30	2	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	0	0	1	0	5	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	4.3%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	7	11	0	0	5
		43.3%	45.0%	.0%	.0%	51.6%
	1	1	0	1	2	4
		7.1%	.0%	11.8%	11.3%	38.2%
	2	6	14	7	10	1
		40.2%	55.0%	88.2%	76.9%	10.2%
	3	0	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
4	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
30	1	0	0	0	0	
	9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	46	15	1	1	7
		31.2%	66.2%	9.7%	23.4%	42.9%
	1	37	2	3	0	6
		25.1%	8.1%	22.6%	.0%	32.6%
	2	58	5	7	4	1
		39.0%	21.4%	59.0%	72.1%	5.8%
	3	5	1	0	0	0
		3.2%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%
4	1	0	0	0	0	
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
30	0	0	0	0	0	
	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	1	0	3	
	.8%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	18.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0
	1
	2
	3
	4
	30
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0
	1
	2	.	.	E O	E O	.
	3
	4
	30	K
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0		C			
1				a	
2					
3			a		a
4		a	a	a	a
30		a	a	a	a
DK/NA					C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	0	144	44	80	17	3	0
		54.1%	42.2%	71.5%	55.0%	28.9%	.0%
	1	44	25	9	8	0	2
		16.4%	24.3%	7.9%	24.6%	.0%	23.4%
	2	62	31	21	2	6	2
		23.2%	29.9%	18.6%	5.2%	56.8%	24.6%
	3	8	1	2	5	0	0
		2.9%	.9%	2.0%	15.2%	.0%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	2	0
	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	3	0	0	0	5	
	2.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	52.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0		A D			a
1	B			a	
2	C			B C	
3			A B		a
30	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA		a	a	a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	1	108	108	0	0	0	0
		28.3%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	224	0	224	0	0	0
		58.8%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	34	0	0	34	0	0
		8.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	3	0	0	0	3	0
		.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	65.4%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	2	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	34.6%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	0	0	0	0	11	
	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1	a	a	a	a	a
2	a	a	a	a	a
3	a	a	a	a	a
4	a	a	a	a	a
30	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	0	201	162	11	22	3	0	20	4
		34.6%	36.2%	43.7%	24.1%	42.6%	.0%	45.4%	58.2%
	1	108	84	5	23	3	0	3	0
		18.5%	18.7%	17.8%	25.2%	39.7%	24.3%	7.5%	3.5%
	2	224	170	10	40	1	1	13	3
		38.5%	38.0%	38.5%	42.6%	17.7%	75.7%	30.3%	38.4%
	3	34	21	0	8	0	0	6	0
		5.8%	4.6%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	.0%
	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
30	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	10	9	3	0	1	0	19	2
		45.8%	18.9%	26.1%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	34.7%	27.5%
	1	0	12	2	0	1	2	10	0
		.0%	25.8%	11.7%	.0%	9.6%	11.3%	17.6%	.0%
	2	12	22	7	1	10	10	18	1
		54.2%	47.1%	54.6%	100.0%	81.1%	76.9%	32.9%	8.9%
	3	0	4	1	0	0	2	7	0
		.0%	8.1%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	12.9%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	
30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	63.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household							
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0					a,b			
1					a			b
2					a			
3		b		b	a,b		b	b
4		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b
30		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b
DK/NA		b	b	b	a,b		b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0			a,b		b		
1			a,b				b
2			a,b				
3			a,b	b			b
4	b	b	a,b	b	b		b
30	b	b	a,b	b	b	b	b
DK/NA	b	b	a,b	b	b	b	A F

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	201	14	18	9	14	6	17
		34.6%	23.5%	34.0%	31.3%	36.7%	19.4%	40.6%
	1	108	21	11	4	6	4	1
		18.5%	35.0%	20.8%	12.6%	16.7%	12.1%	2.2%
	2	224	19	20	15	14	22	21
		38.5%	31.1%	39.1%	51.6%	37.7%	68.5%	50.9%
	3	34	5	2	1	3	0	3
		5.8%	8.0%	4.6%	4.2%	8.9%	.0%	6.4%
	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
30	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.3%	2.4%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	12 32.2%	11 34.0%	56 42.4%	44 34.7%
	1	9 24.4%	3 8.9%	23 17.6%	25 20.2%
	2	15 38.8%	11 32.7%	45 33.8%	43 33.7%
	3	2 4.2%	8 24.4%	6 4.6%	3 2.7%
	4	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 .9%
	30	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .4%	0 .0%	1 .8%	10 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0							
	1	F						
	2					A J		
	3			a	a	a	a	
	4	a			a	a	a	
	30		a		a	a	a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0			
	1			
	2			
	3	I J		
	4	a		a
	30	a	a	a
DK/NA	a		I	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	201 34.6%	20 12.2%	66 43.5%	114 43.6%
	1	108 18.5%	43 25.7%	27 17.7%	38 14.4%
	2	224 38.5%	86 51.3%	48 31.2%	91 34.6%
	3	34 5.8%	11 6.5%	10 6.5%	13 4.9%
	4	3 .5%	1 .5%	0 .0%	2 .8%
	30	2 .3%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	5 3.1%	2 1.1%	4 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		A	A
	1	C		
	2	B C		
	3		a	
	4		a	
	30		a	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total		462	126	90	78	168
0		144	51	36	31	26
		31.2%	40.6%	39.6%	40.0%	15.4%
1		90	19	20	10	41
		19.5%	14.8%	22.1%	13.4%	24.3%
2		186	46	27	26	88
		40.3%	36.3%	29.8%	32.9%	52.3%
3		28	9	6	6	8
		6.1%	6.9%	6.7%	7.2%	4.7%
4		3	0	1	0	2
		.6%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%
30		2	0	0	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.1%	1.9%	.0%
DK/NA		9	2	1	4	4
		2.0%	1.3%	.6%	4.6%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D	D	D	
	1				
	2				A B C
	3				
	4	a		a	
	30				a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total		579	123	119	40	41	128	112
0		200	42	48	10	3	40	54
		34.6%	33.8%	40.3%	25.5%	6.3%	31.4%	48.2%
1		108	33	7	9	6	26	23
		18.6%	26.4%	6.1%	22.6%	14.2%	20.3%	20.1%
2		223	32	52	19	29	54	31
		38.5%	26.2%	43.7%	48.3%	71.0%	42.0%	28.0%
3		34	13	8	1	2	6	1
		5.8%	10.3%	6.6%	1.6%	5.7%	5.0%	.9%
4		3	0	0	1	1	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.5%	.9%	.0%
30		2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		10	2	4	0	0	1	3
		1.7%	2.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.4%	2.7%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total		7	9
0		2	1
		28.7%	15.0%
1		3	2
		40.2%	19.8%
2		1	5
		8.5%	53.9%
3		2	1
		22.7%	11.4%
4		0	0
		.0%	.0%
30		0	0
		.0%	.0%
DK/NA		0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D	D			D	D	
	1	B				B	B	B
	2				A E F G			
	3							F
	4	a	a				a	a
	30			a				a
	DK/NA				a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	a
	30	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
English	448 77.0%	448 77.0%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
Chinese	93 16.0%	93 16.0%
French	7 1.3%	7 1.3%
German	1 .2%	1 .2%
Hindi	44 7.5%	44 7.5%
Japanese	7 1.1%	7 1.1%
Korean	22 3.8%	22 3.8%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	48 8.2%
Spanish	13 2.3%	13 2.3%
Tagalog	1 .2%	1 .2%
Thai	12 2.1%	12 2.1%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	14 2.3%
Other	56 9.6%	56 9.6%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	7 1.1%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions a,b

		Total
		(A)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	.
	Cantonese	.
	Chinese	.
	French	.
	German	.
	Hindi	.
	Japanese	.
	Korean	.
	Mandarin	.
	Spanish	.
	Tagalog	.
	Thai	.
	Vietnamese	.
Other	.	
DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
English	448 77.0%	226 80.4%	221 74.3%	1 31.9%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	18 6.5%	8 2.6%	0 .0%
Chinese	93 16.0%	50 17.8%	43 14.4%	0 .0%
French	7 1.3%	3 1.2%	3 1.0%	1 31.9%
German	1 .2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Hindi	44 7.5%	25 8.8%	19 6.4%	0 .0%
Japanese	7 1.1%	2 .6%	5 1.7%	0 .0%
Korean	22 3.8%	13 4.5%	9 3.2%	0 .0%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	29 10.4%	18 6.2%	0 .0%
Spanish	13 2.3%	5 1.9%	7 2.3%	1 31.9%
Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .1%	1 .3%	0 .0%
Thai	12 2.1%	5 1.9%	7 2.4%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 .5%	12 4.0%	0 .0%
Other	56 9.6%	31 10.9%	24 8.0%	1 31.9%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	4 1.4%	1 .2%	2 68.1%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a
	Cantonese	B		a
	Chinese			.
	French			A B
	German		a	a
	Hindi			a
	Japanese			a
	Korean			a
	Mandarin			a
	Spanish			A B
	Tagalog			a
	Thai			a
	Vietnamese		A	a
	Other			.
DK/NA			A B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
English	448 77.0%	66 86.0%	89 87.5%	107 75.9%	112 73.6%	66 64.7%	9 100.0%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	5 6.6%	3 2.7%	11 7.9%	3 2.2%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Chinese	93 16.0%	13 16.9%	3 2.8%	25 17.5%	33 21.6%	20 19.2%	0 .0%
French	7 1.3%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	1 .8%	3 1.7%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
German	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%
Hindi	44 7.5%	5 6.7%	3 3.3%	19 13.5%	12 8.2%	4 3.8%	0 .0%
Japanese	7 1.1%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
Korean	22 3.8%	6 7.3%	9 9.2%	5 3.2%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	3 3.6%	8 7.4%	9 6.6%	14 9.5%	14 13.4%	0 .0%
Spanish	13 2.3%	2 2.0%	2 1.9%	1 .6%	4 2.5%	5 4.9%	0 .0%
Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Thai	12 2.1%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	4 2.9%	1 .8%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	3 3.7%	3 3.3%	2 1.2%	5 3.1%	1 .9%	0 .0%
Other	56 9.6%	8 9.8%	11 10.7%	17 11.8%	17 11.0%	4 3.8%	0 .0%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.6%	2 1.6%	1 .6%	0 .0%

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
English	448 77.0%	205 84.2%	72 89.3%	11 72.0%	160 66.1%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	6 2.4%	2 2.9%	0 .0%	18 7.4%
Chinese	93 16.0%	19 7.9%	14 17.0%	3 18.8%	57 23.5%
French	7 1.3%	4 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.3%
German	1 .2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
Hindi	44 7.5%	26 10.7%	0 .0%	1 7.3%	17 6.9%
Japanese	7 1.1%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 1.8%
Korean	22 3.8%	11 4.5%	3 4.0%	0 .0%	8 3.2%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	15 6.2%	6 7.0%	2 12.5%	25 10.4%
Spanish	13 2.3%	5 2.1%	3 3.3%	1 6.3%	4 1.9%
Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .1%
Thai	12 2.1%	4 1.8%	4 4.7%	0 .0%	4 1.7%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 4.9%
Other	56 9.6%	22 9.0%	2 2.9%	3 16.6%	29 11.8%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	2 .8%	1 .7%	0 1.0%	4 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	E				a
	Cantonese						a
	Chinese	B		B	B	B	a
	French	a	a		a		a
	German	a			a		a
	Hindi						a
	Japanese		a	a			a
	Korean		D			a	a
	Mandarin						a
	Spanish						a
	Tagalog	a	a	a		a	a
	Thai	a					a
	Vietnamese						a
	Other						a
DK/NA	a	a				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	424	158
	English	448 77.0%	333 78.5%	115 73.2%
	Cantonese	26 4.5%	23 5.4%	3 2.0%
	Chinese	93 16.0%	81 19.0%	12 7.7%
	French	7 1.3%	2 .4%	6 3.5%
	German	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
	Hindi	44 7.5%	36 8.4%	8 5.3%
	Japanese	7 1.1%	6 1.5%	0 .1%
	Korean	22 3.8%	10 2.4%	12 7.5%
	Mandarin	48 8.2%	41 9.6%	7 4.5%
	Spanish	13 2.3%	6 1.4%	7 4.7%
	Tagalog	1 .2%	1 .2%	0 .0%
	Thai	12 2.1%	11 2.5%	2 1.1%
	Vietnamese	14 2.3%	9 2.0%	5 3.2%
	Other	56 9.6%	36 8.4%	20 12.6%
	DK/NA	7 1.1%	3 .8%	3 2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		
	Cantonese		
	Chinese	B	
	French		A a
	German		.
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin	B	
	Spanish		A a
	Tagalog		.
	Thai		
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	D	D		
	Cantonese			a	A
	Chinese			.	A
	French		a	a	
	German		a	.	a
	Hindi		a	.	
	Japanese		a	a	
	Korean		.	a	
	Mandarin			.	
	Spanish			a	
	Tagalog	a		a	
	Thai			a	
	Vietnamese		a	a	A
	Other		.	.	
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
English	448	100	60	30	23	109	126
	77.0%	82.3%	91.0%	93.0%	82.6%	84.5%	61.4%
Cantonese	26	3	0	0	1	7	15
	4.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	5.3%	7.4%
Chinese	93	6	7	6	4	15	55
	16.0%	5.2%	10.0%	19.1%	13.1%	12.0%	26.6%
French	7	4	0	0	0	0	3
	1.3%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
German	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	.2%	.8%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
Hindi	44	8	11	0	0	9	16
	7.5%	6.7%	16.9%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	7.6%
Japanese	7	0	1	0	0	4	2
	1.1%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.8%
Korean	22	8	1	0	3	2	8
	3.8%	6.9%	1.6%	.0%	11.5%	1.2%	3.8%
Mandarin	48	6	2	1	2	13	23
	8.2%	5.3%	2.3%	3.2%	8.1%	10.4%	11.2%
Spanish	13	4	1	1	2	1	5
	2.3%	2.9%	1.1%	2.0%	7.0%	.6%	2.7%
Tagalog	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.2%	.0%
Thai	12	3	0	0	2	3	4
	2.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.0%	2.0%
Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	0	3	9
	2.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	4.4%
Other	56	13	3	0	0	22	18
	9.6%	10.6%	3.8%	.3%	.5%	17.4%	8.6%
DK/NA	7	2	0	1	0	1	3
	1.1%	1.6%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.5%	1.7%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
English	F	F	F		F	
Cantonese		a	a			
Chinese						A E
French			a	a	a	
German			a	a	a	
Hindi			a	a		
Japanese	a		a	a		
Korean			a	E		
Mandarin						
Spanish						
Tagalog	a	a	a			a
Thai		a	a			
Vietnamese		a	a	a		
Other						
DK/NA		a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
English	448 77.0%	199 75.7%	67 86.0%	54 66.4%	37 78.3%	21 78.6%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	13 4.8%	2 2.8%	5 6.3%	3 5.8%	1 4.4%
Chinese	93 16.0%	47 18.0%	13 16.9%	9 10.6%	10 21.6%	3 11.8%
French	7 1.3%	3 1.3%	0 .0%	4 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
German	1 .2%	0 .2%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Hindi	44 7.5%	21 8.2%	6 7.4%	11 13.3%	3 5.7%	2 8.1%
Japanese	7 1.1%	0 .2%	3 3.6%	2 3.1%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Korean	22 3.8%	17 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 12.3%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	24 8.9%	7 8.6%	2 2.8%	2 4.9%	2 8.9%
Spanish	13 2.3%	6 2.3%	2 2.6%	3 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Thai	12 2.1%	7 2.7%	1 1.5%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	9 3.3%	0 .0%	3 4.0%	2 3.4%	0 .0%
Other	56 9.6%	29 11.2%	11 13.4%	12 14.6%	2 3.3%	2 8.7%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	3 1.1%	2 2.5%	1 .8%	1 2.2%	0 .0%

	Total	Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	23	38	24	
English	15 65.2%	31 82.3%	24 97.4%	
Cantonese	0 .0%	2 6.2%	0 .0%	
Chinese	5 23.4%	5 13.3%	0 .0%	
French	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
German	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
Hindi	1 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
Japanese	0 .0%	1 2.1%	0 .0%	
Korean	0 .0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%	
Mandarin	8 35.4%	2 6.3%	0 .0%	
Spanish	1 3.4%	1 2.1%	1 2.6%	
Tagalog	1 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
Thai	1 4.2%	1 3.7%	0 .0%	
Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
Other	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Registration Date	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
English						
Cantonese						
Chinese						
French						
German						
Hindi						
Japanese						
Korean						
Mandarin						
Spanish						
Tagalog						
Thai						
Vietnamese						
Other						
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a		a
	Cantonese	.		a
	Chinese			a
	French	a	a	a
	German	a	a	a
	Hindi	.	a	a
	Japanese	a	.	a
	Korean	a	.	a
	Mandarin	A B C D		a
	Spanish	.		a
	Tagalog	A	a	a
	Thai	.		a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a
DK/NA	.	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	
English	448	7	150	36	26	14	13	35	69	
	77.0%	100.0%	86.8%	85.6%	56.9%	82.1%	93.9%	84.0%	71.8%	
Cantonese	26	0	11	1	1	0	0	1	7	
	4.5%	.0%	6.2%	2.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.8%	
Chinese	93	0	35	5	10	5	4	2	3	
	16.0%	.0%	20.2%	12.6%	21.4%	29.1%	26.5%	4.8%	3.2%	
French	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	
	1.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	1.5%	
German	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	
Hindi	44	0	15	2	9	0	1	5	4	
	7.5%	.0%	8.4%	5.6%	19.1%	.0%	8.6%	12.4%	4.5%	
Japanese	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	
	1.1%	.0%	.8%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.6%	2.9%	
Korean	22	0	4	2	3	0	0	1	6	
	3.8%	.0%	2.2%	3.9%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.3%	
Mandarin	48	0	17	2	1	3	2	5	8	
	8.2%	.0%	9.9%	4.9%	2.3%	18.8%	12.6%	11.2%	8.2%	
Spanish	13	0	2	2	2	0	0	4	3	
	2.3%	.0%	1.0%	4.7%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	8.5%	3.5%	
Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	
Thai	12	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0	
	2.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	
Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	56	3	31	5	0	0	2	6	6	
	9.6%	43.5%	17.7%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	18.1%	14.1%	6.6%	
DK/NA	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	1.1%	.0%	1.7%	.4%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

	Date			
	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	73	64	2	5
English	57	36	1	4
	77.3%	56.3%	56.1%	77.7%
Cantonese	3	1	0	1
	4.3%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
Chinese	10	17	1	1
	14.0%	26.0%	46.3%	21.3%
French	2	0	0	0
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
German	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Hindi	1	6	0	0
	1.6%	9.5%	.0%	.0%
Japanese	0	1	0	0
	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
Korean	0	7	0	0
	.0%	10.3%	.0%	.0%
Mandarin	5	5	0	0
	7.1%	7.4%	.0%	.0%
Spanish	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Tagalog	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Thai	2	5	0	0
	2.3%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
Vietnamese	3	8	0	0
	4.5%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
Other	0	0	0	2
	.5%	.0%	.0%	41.4%
DK/NA	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	43.9%	.0%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date									
	Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	
English	.	D J								
Cantonese	.				.	.				
Chinese	.	H		H	H	H				
French				
German	
Hindi	.			I	.	.				
Japanese	
Korean	
Mandarin	
Spanish	
Tagalog	
Thai	B	
Vietnamese	
Other	H I	I		.	.	I	.	.	.	
DK/NA	

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date		
	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
English		.	
Cantonese		.	
Chinese	H	.	
French	.	.	.
German	.	.	.
Hindi		.	.
Japanese		.	.
Korean		.	.
Mandarin		.	.
Spanish	.	.	.
Tagalog	.	.	.
Thai	B	.	.
Vietnamese		.	.
Other	.	.	I
DK/NA	.	B C D H	.

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
English	448 77.0%	348 75.0%	100 85.1%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	25 5.3%	1 1.2%
Chinese	93 16.0%	71 15.2%	22 18.9%
French	7 1.3%	7 1.6%	0 .0%
German	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
Hindi	44 7.5%	33 7.2%	11 8.9%
Japanese	7 1.1%	6 1.2%	1 .9%
Korean	22 3.8%	11 2.4%	11 9.3%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	45 9.8%	2 1.9%
Spanish	13 2.3%	12 2.6%	1 .8%
Tagalog	1 .2%	1 .2%	0 .2%
Thai	12 2.1%	12 2.7%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	11 2.4%	2 2.1%
Other	56 9.6%	45 9.7%	10 8.8%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	6 1.2%	1 .7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		A
	Cantonese		
	Chinese		
	French		a
	German		a
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin	B	
	Spanish		
	Tagalog		
	Thai		a
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
English	448 77.0%	278 80.2%	170 72.3%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	16 4.7%	10 4.2%
Chinese	93 16.0%	53 15.4%	39 16.8%
French	7 1.3%	5 1.5%	2 .8%
German	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Hindi	44 7.5%	26 7.5%	18 7.6%
Japanese	7 1.1%	6 1.8%	0 .2%
Korean	22 3.8%	3 .8%	19 8.3%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	27 7.7%	21 8.9%
Spanish	13 2.3%	9 2.5%	5 2.0%
Tagalog	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
Thai	12 2.1%	9 2.6%	3 1.4%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	5 1.4%	9 3.7%
Other	56 9.6%	33 9.4%	23 9.7%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	4 1.2%	3 1.1%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	B	
	Cantonese		
	Chinese		
	French		
	German		
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin		
	Spanish		a
	Tagalog		
	Thai		
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
English	448 77.0%	8 74.4%	37 75.0%	79 83.9%	103 78.7%	110 67.5%
Cantonese	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	11 8.4%	7 4.4%
Chinese	93 16.0%	0 .0%	3 6.8%	11 12.1%	24 17.9%	35 21.5%
French	7 1.3%	0 .0%	2 4.0%	1 1.5%	1 .9%	2 1.0%
German	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
Hindi	44 7.5%	2 14.7%	7 14.0%	6 6.6%	12 9.3%	16 9.6%
Japanese	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.9%	1 .3%
Korean	22 3.8%	3 25.6%	3 5.8%	4 4.1%	0 .0%	8 4.8%
Mandarin	48 8.2%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	8 8.0%	12 8.9%	16 9.7%
Spanish	13 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.8%	1 .6%	2 1.2%
Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%
Thai	12 2.1%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	1 1.2%	5 3.4%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	14 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.5%
Other	56 9.6%	1 10.7%	0 .0%	19 20.0%	13 10.2%	16 9.8%
DK/NA	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 .5%	2 1.3%

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	133	1
English	111 83.7%	0 .0%
Cantonese	4 3.3%	0 .0%
Chinese	20 14.7%	0 .0%
French	1 .8%	0 .0%
German	0 .3%	0 .0%
Hindi	1 .9%	0 .0%
Japanese	2 1.7%	0 .0%
Korean	5 3.7%	0 .0%
Mandarin	11 8.4%	0 .0%
Spanish	8 5.8%	0 .0%
Tagalog	1 .6%	0 .0%
Thai	5 3.8%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	5 3.4%	0 .0%
Other	6 4.7%	0 .0%
DK/NA	1 .9%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	.b	.b			
	Cantonese	.b				
	Chinese	.b				
	French	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	German	F	F		F	F
	Hindi	.b	.b			
	Japanese	F			.b	
	Korean	.b				
	Mandarin	.b	.b			
	Spanish	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	Tagalog	.b	.b			
	Thai	.b				.b
	Vietnamese	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	Other	.b	.b	F		
DK/NA	.b	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	a,.b
	Cantonese		a,.b
	Chinese		a,.b
	French		a,.b
	German		a,.b
	Hindi		a,.b
	Japanese		a,.b
	Korean		a,.b
	Mandarin		a,.b
	Spanish		a,.b
	Tagalog		a,.b
	Thai		a,.b
	Vietnamese		a,.b
	Other		a,.b
DK/NA		a,.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	English	446	36	175	98	92	38	8
		77.0%	79.3%	83.1%	69.5%	80.6%	65.4%	68.7%
	Cantonese	26	0	5	10	4	5	3
		4.5%	.0%	2.3%	7.3%	3.1%	7.8%	23.9%
	Chinese	93	5	27	17	29	13	1
		16.0%	10.9%	12.9%	12.0%	25.7%	23.2%	10.5%
	French	7	1	2	2	1	0	0
		1.3%	3.2%	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	13	7	16	8	0
		7.6%	.0%	5.9%	5.2%	13.8%	14.1%	.0%
	Japanese	7	0	1	2	3	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.6%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	8	12	0	3	0
		3.8%	.0%	3.6%	8.3%	.0%	4.8%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	4	17	13	9	1	3
		8.2%	9.0%	8.3%	9.2%	8.2%	1.9%	23.9%
	Spanish	13	2	5	3	3	1	0
	2.3%	4.1%	2.2%	2.4%	2.2%	1.1%	.0%	
Tagalog	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	
Thai	12	2	3	3	2	2	0	
	2.1%	5.2%	1.3%	2.4%	2.1%	2.9%	.0%	
Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	4	9	0	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	16.2%	.0%	
Other	56	1	14	16	17	6	1	
	9.6%	3.2%	6.8%	11.4%	15.0%	9.8%	9.0%	
DK/NA	7	0	0	3	1	0	3	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%	22.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	.	C E				
	Cantonese	.					B D
	Chinese						
	French
	German
	Hindi	.					.
	Japanese	.				.	.
	Korean
	Mandarin	.			.	.	E
	Spanish	.					.
	Tagalog	
	Thai						.
	Vietnamese	.	.	.		D	.
	Other	.					.
	DK/NA	.	.			.	C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	English	448 77.0%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	130 68.9%	2 100.0%
	Cantonese	26 4.5%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	23 11.9%	0 .0%
	Chinese	93 16.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	86 45.2%	0 .0%
	French	7 1.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	German	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Hindi	44 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Japanese	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Korean	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mandarin	48 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	43 22.5%	0 .0%
	Spanish	13 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Thai	12 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	1 48.8%
	Vietnamese	14 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	56 9.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
Total	106	15	25	8	14
English	77 73.1%	14 90.6%	13 51.0%	4 52.8%	3 21.0%
Cantonese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Chinese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	3 23.1%
French	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
German	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Hindi	43 41.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Japanese	0 .0%	5 34.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Korean	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 88.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mandarin	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Spanish	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Tagalog	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Thai	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 100.0%	2 12.6%
Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 100.0%
Other	35 33.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
Total	10	148	23	12	6
English	7 66.4%	146 98.4%	20 83.8%	10 84.3%	5 88.9%
Cantonese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.0%	0 .0%
Chinese	2 22.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 4.5%
French	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.6%
German	0 .0%	0 .3%	1 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Hindi	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Japanese	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Korean	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mandarin	2 21.6%	1 .6%	0 .0%	2 17.1%	0 .0%
Spanish	0 .0%	6 4.0%	6 26.8%	0 .0%	1 16.6%
Tagalog	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
Thai	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other	0 .0%	10 6.9%	1 4.3%	2 17.1%	4 73.0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	1 8.8%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	17
	English	14 81.9%
	Cantonese	0 .0%
	Chinese	1 4.8%
	French	0 .0%
	German	0 .0%
	Hindi	0 .0%
	Japanese	0 .0%
	Korean	0 .0%
	Mandarin	0 .0%
	Spanish	0 .0%
	Tagalog	0 .0%
	Thai	0 .0%
	Vietnamese	0 .0%
	Other	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 13.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	a	l	a	l
	Cantonese	a	a		a	a
	Chinese	a	a	O	a	a
	French	E K	a	a	a	
	German	a	a	a	a	a
	Hindi	a	a	a	a	K
	Japanese	a	a	a	a	a
	Korean	a	a	a	a	a
	Mandarin	a	a	K	a	a
	Spanish	a	a	a	a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a		C K	a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a		a
	Other	C	a		a	C K
	DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	l		a	a	a
	Cantonese	a	a	a		
	Chinese	a	a			
	French	a	a	a	a	a
	German	a	a	a	a	a
	Hindi	a	a	a	a	a
	Japanese	K	a	a	a	a
	Korean	a		a		
	Mandarin	a	a	a	a	K
	Spanish	a	a	a	a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a	a		
	Vietnamese	a	a	a	C K	a
	Other	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	C E G H I J L O	I			I
	Cantonese	a	a		a	a
	Chinese	a	a	a		
	French		a	a		a
	German			a	a	a
	Hindi		a	a	a	a
	Japanese		a	a	a	a
	Korean	a	a	a	a	a
	Mandarin		a	K	a	a
	Spanish		K	a		a
	Tagalog		a		a	a
	Thai		a	a	a	a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a
	Other	C		C	C K L	a
	DK/NA		a		a	C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	English	197	81	85	19	8	4
		73.8%	77.4%	76.0%	62.4%	71.3%	46.4%
	Cantonese	16	7	6	1	3	0
		5.9%	6.3%	5.2%	2.7%	24.7%	.0%
	Chinese	44	10	19	11	2	2
		16.4%	9.9%	16.7%	35.0%	20.9%	18.0%
	French	6	3	1	2	0	0
		2.1%	2.5%	.9%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	28	14	12	1	2	0
		10.6%	13.4%	10.3%	3.4%	15.3%	.0%
	Japanese	3	2	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	5	1	3	0	0	0
		1.7%	1.1%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	20	7	11	1	0	2
		7.6%	6.3%	9.7%	3.8%	.0%	18.0%
Spanish	4	2	2	0	0	0	
	1.5%	1.5%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Thai	4	0	2	0	2	0	
	1.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	22.0%	.0%	
Vietnamese	13	3	7	3	0	0	
	4.7%	2.7%	5.9%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	
Other	34	14	13	3	3	0	
	12.7%	13.4%	11.8%	11.4%	29.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	6	2	2	0	0	3	
	2.4%	1.6%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	35.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English					a
	Cantonese					.
	Chinese			A	a	a
	French				.	a
	Hindi					.
	Japanese			a	a	a
	Korean			a	a	a
	Mandarin			.	a	.
	Spanish			a	a	a
	Thai	a		a	B	a
	Vietnamese			.	a	a
	Other			a	a	a
	DK/NA				.	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	English	286	84	170	21	4	7
		75.1%	77.8%	76.1%	61.3%	100.0%	62.0%
	Cantonese	15	5	10	0	0	0
		3.9%	4.3%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Chinese	71	23	40	8	0	0
		18.5%	21.7%	17.7%	22.6%	.0%	.0%
	French	4	3	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	2.7%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.3%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	24	3	13	6	0	1
		6.3%	3.1%	5.9%	18.2%	.0%	11.0%
	Japanese	3	0	3	0	0	0
		.7%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	12	0	12	0	0	0
		3.1%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	39	12	22	4	0	0
		10.2%	11.4%	10.0%	11.5%	.0%	.0%
	Spanish	10	2	7	1	0	0
	2.6%	1.4%	3.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	
Tagalog	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Thai	11	1	10	0	0	0	
	3.0%	1.1%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Vietnamese	14	2	10	2	0	0	
	3.6%	1.4%	4.6%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	
Other	36	10	18	7	1	0	
	9.5%	9.1%	8.2%	21.4%	23.3%	.0%	
DK/NA	5	0	1	0	0	4	
	1.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	38.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a	a	a
	Cantonese			.	.	.
	Chinese			.	.	.
	French			a	a	a
	German			.	.	.
	Hindi			A	a	a
	Japanese	a		a	a	a
	Korean
	Mandarin			.	.	.
	Spanish	a		a	a	a
	Tagalog
	Thai			.	.	.
	Vietnamese			.	.	.
	Other
DK/NA	a		a	a	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	English	448	448	14	56	7	1	27	5
		77.0%	100.0%	53.9%	60.3%	100.0%	100.0%	61.1%	78.3%
	Cantonese	26	14	26	3	0	0	0	0
		4.5%	3.1%	100.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Chinese	93	56	3	93	0	0	0	0
		16.0%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	French	7	7	0	0	7	0	1	0
		1.3%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	6.5%	2.9%	.0%
	German	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	100.0%	.2%	.0%
	Hindi	44	27	0	0	1	0	44	0
		7.5%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	6.5%	100.0%	.0%
	Japanese	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	7
		1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Korean	22	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3.8%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	24	5	12	0	0	0	0
	8.2%	5.3%	18.5%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Spanish	13	9	0	0	1	1	0	1	
	2.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	14.5%	75.7%	.2%	11.7%	
Tagalog	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Thai	12	7	1	2	0	0	0	0	
	2.1%	1.5%	4.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Vietnamese	14	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	
	2.3%	.6%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Other	56	42	1	1	5	1	9	0	
	9.6%	9.3%	4.7%	1.6%	72.0%	93.5%	20.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							DK/NA
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	English	44.7%	49.9%	66.5%	100.0%	55.4%	21.0%	74.9%	.0%
	Cantonese	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Chinese	0	12	0	0	2	3	1	0
	French	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0
	German	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Hindi	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
	Japanese	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Korean	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	0	48	0	0	0	0	2	0
	Spanish	0	0	13	1	0	0	2	0
	Tagalog	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Thai	0	0	0	0	12	2	1	0
	Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	2	14	0	0
	Other	0	2	2	0	1	0	56	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English (A)	Cantonese (B)	Chinese (C)	French (D)	German (E)	Hindi (F)	Japanese (G)	Korean (H)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a			a	a,b			
	Cantonese		a		a	a,b	a	a	a
	Chinese			a	a	a,b	a		a
	French		a	a	a	b		a	a
	German		a	a		a,b		a	a
	Hindi		a	a		b	a	a	a
	Japanese		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Korean		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Mandarin		A	A		a,b		a	a
	Spanish		a			a		a	a
	Tagalog		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Thai				a	a,b	a	a	a
	Vietnamese		a	A		a,b	a	a	a
	Other				A B C I	b	C	a	a
DK/NA				a	a,b	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin (I)	Spanish (J)	Tagalog (K)	Thai (L)	Vietnamese (M)	Other (N)	DK/NA (O)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a,b			M	a
	Cantonese		a	a,b		a		a
	Chinese	N	a	a,b				a
	French	a		a,b	a	a	A	a
	German	a	A	a,b	a	a		a
	Hindi	a		a,b	a	a	A	a
	Japanese	a		a,b	a	a		a
	Korean	a	a	a,b	a	a		a
	Mandarin	a	a	a	a	a		a
	Spanish	a	a	b	a	a		a
	Tagalog	a	A	a,b	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a	a,b	a	A	a	a
	Vietnamese			a,b	A		a	a
	Other			a,b		a	a	a
DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	English	448	39	33	27	25	26	28
		77.0%	64.8%	64.0%	93.0%	68.8%	81.1%	66.7%
	Cantonese	26	5	4	0	3	2	0
		4.5%	7.6%	7.1%	.0%	7.5%	6.1%	.0%
	Chinese	93	9	9	2	7	12	5
		16.0%	14.6%	16.6%	8.5%	20.1%	37.1%	11.5%
	French	7	1	0	0	1	0	0
		1.3%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	0	0	6	1	2
		7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.5%	2.8%	4.0%
	Japanese	7	0	0	0	0	1	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.3%	2.4%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	2	3	4	0	3
		3.8%	.0%	3.3%	9.5%	11.8%	.0%	8.0%
	Mandarin	48	11	8	0	6	3	3
		8.2%	17.9%	16.4%	.0%	15.3%	9.6%	6.5%
Spanish	13	1	2	1	1	4	0	
	2.3%	1.8%	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%	11.7%	.0%	
Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	
Thai	12	0	1	0	0	0	3	
	2.1%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	
Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0	0	5	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.9%	
Other	56	1	3	2	1	1	5	
	9.6%	2.4%	5.8%	8.1%	3.2%	4.1%	11.2%	
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	38	34	132	126
	English	30	22	112	107
		77.2%	65.3%	84.7%	84.3%
	Cantonese	1	1	8	3
		2.7%	3.5%	6.2%	2.1%
	Chinese	3	9	28	9
		8.3%	26.6%	21.2%	7.0%
	French	0	0	1	3
		.0%	.0%	1.0%	2.7%
	German	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%
	Hindi	0	8	18	9
		.0%	23.7%	13.7%	7.2%
	Japanese	0	1	0	4
		.6%	3.1%	.0%	3.4%
	Korean	0	0	1	9
		.0%	.0%	.9%	7.0%
	Mandarin	1	3	9	4
		2.7%	9.2%	6.9%	2.9%
Spanish	0	1	1	2	
	.0%	1.9%	.9%	1.5%	
Tagalog	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	
Thai	2	1	2	3	
	4.5%	4.2%	1.8%	2.0%	
Vietnamese	6	0	2	1	
	16.0%	.0%	1.2%	.8%	
Other	9	5	22	5	
	22.4%	15.8%	17.0%	4.3%	
DK/NA	0	0	1	6	
	.0%	.0%	.8%	4.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a			a
	Cantonese						
	Chinese					J	a
	French		a			a	
	German	a			a		a
	Hindi	a	a	a			
	Japanese		a				a
	Korean				I		
	Mandarin	J	J	a			
	Spanish					I	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a		a
	Thai	a		a	a	a	
	Vietnamese		a	a	a	a	I J
	Other						
DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English				
	Cantonese				
	Chinese	a	a		
	French	a	a		a
	German	a			
	Hindi	a			
	Japanese	a	a	a	
	Korean	a			
	Mandarin	a			
	Spanish	a		a	
	Tagalog	a			
	Thai				
	Vietnamese	I J	a		
	Other	J		J	
DK/NA	a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	167	153	262
	English	448 77.0%	112 66.6%	114 74.9%	223 85.0%
	Cantonese	26 4.5%	10 5.8%	0 .0%	16 6.3%
	Chinese	93 16.0%	22 13.2%	23 14.8%	48 18.4%
	French	7 1.3%	1 .9%	0 .0%	6 2.2%
	German	1 .2%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .2%
	Hindi	44 7.5%	9 5.5%	9 6.1%	25 9.6%
	Japanese	7 1.1%	5 3.0%	0 .0%	2 .6%
	Korean	22 3.8%	5 2.9%	12 8.1%	5 1.9%
	Mandarin	48 8.2%	18 10.9%	5 3.2%	25 9.4%
	Spanish	13 2.3%	5 3.2%	1 .7%	7 2.6%
	Tagalog	1 .2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .1%
	Thai	12 2.1%	1 .8%	6 4.2%	5 1.8%
	Vietnamese	14 2.3%	0 .0%	13 8.2%	1 .4%
	Other	56 9.6%	8 4.6%	7 4.3%	41 15.8%
	DK/NA	7 1.1%	1 .3%	2 1.1%	4 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		a	A B
	Cantonese		.	
	Chinese		a	
	French		a	
	German		.	
	Hindi			
	Japanese	C	a	
	Korean		C	
	Mandarin	B		
	Spanish		a	
	Tagalog		.	
	Thai			
	Vietnamese	a	C	
	Other			A B
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	English	360 77.8%	89 70.9%	68 75.8%	62 79.1%	140 83.6%
	Cantonese	19 4.2%	8 6.2%	2 2.0%	4 4.6%	6 3.7%
	Chinese	75 16.3%	31 24.3%	12 13.6%	8 9.8%	25 14.7%
	French	5 1.2%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	1 .6%
	German	1 .2%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%
	Hindi	31 6.7%	5 4.0%	11 12.4%	9 11.7%	6 3.3%
	Japanese	6 1.4%	3 2.4%	0 .0%	1 1.9%	2 1.1%
	Korean	12 2.6%	7 5.6%	3 3.6%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
	Mandarin	34 7.3%	10 7.7%	8 9.1%	7 9.3%	9 5.2%
	Spanish	12 2.6%	5 3.7%	2 1.7%	1 1.9%	4 2.7%
	Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Thai	9 2.0%	1 1.1%	3 3.2%	1 1.9%	3 2.0%
	Vietnamese	5 1.1%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	2 2.0%	2 .9%
	Other	43 9.4%	18 14.0%	14 15.4%	4 5.3%	8 4.6%
	DK/NA	6 1.4%	2 1.3%	2 2.2%	0 .4%	2 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English				
	Cantonese				
	Chinese		a		
	French		a	a	
	German			a	
	Hindi		D		
	Japanese		a		
	Korean				a
	Mandarin				
	Spanish				
	Tagalog	a	a	a	
	Thai				
	Vietnamese	a			
	Other				
DK/NA	D	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	English	447 77.3%	109 88.2%	95 79.8%	37 93.7%	35 85.1%	70 54.8%	90 80.1%
	Cantonese	26 4.5%	1 .7%	5 4.2%	1 3.6%	1 2.2%	6 4.4%	12 11.0%
	Chinese	93 16.1%	5 4.1%	14 11.9%	5 12.8%	9 21.2%	31 24.3%	26 23.1%
	French	6 1.1%	3 2.4%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.8%
	German	1 .3%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Hindi	44 7.6%	10 8.4%	16 13.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 6.8%	8 7.0%
	Japanese	7 1.2%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	2 1.4%
	Korean	22 3.8%	4 3.6%	7 5.5%	0 .0%	3 8.0%	5 3.9%	3 2.5%
	Mandarin	48 8.2%	1 .9%	14 11.6%	2 4.3%	4 9.6%	16 12.3%	9 8.4%
	Spanish	12 2.1%	3 2.4%	1 .9%	2 5.0%	1 1.6%	2 1.5%	3 2.3%
	Tagalog	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%
	Thai	12 2.1%	3 2.7%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	4 9.4%	4 3.0%	0 .2%
	Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 8.2%	2 1.4%
	Other	55 9.4%	9 7.7%	12 9.7%	0 .4%	2 5.3%	14 11.0%	15 13.0%
	DK/NA	5 .8%	0 .3%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .3%	1 1.3%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	7	9
English	5	6
	73.2%	71.0%
Cantonese	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Chinese	2	1
	22.7%	15.8%
French	0	0
	.0%	.0%
German	0	0
	.0%	4.0%
Hindi	0	1
	.0%	13.1%
Japanese	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Korean	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Mandarin	0	2
	.0%	22.5%
Spanish	0	1
	.0%	11.4%
Tagalog	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Thai	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Vietnamese	0	0
	.0%	.0%
Other	0	2
	4.1%	26.5%
DK/NA	0	0
	.0%	1.8%

G. What primary languages are used in your household?

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	E	E	E	E	E
	Cantonese					A	A
	Chinese				A	A	A
	French			a	a	a	a
	German	a		a	a	a	a
	Hindi			a	a		
	Japanese		a	a	a		
	Korean			a			
	Mandarin		A			A	
	Spanish						
	Tagalog	a	a		a	a	
	Thai					F	
	Vietnamese		a	a	a	A	F
	Other						
DK/NA			a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	a
	Cantonese	.	.
	Chinese	.	.
	French	a	a
	German	.	.
	Hindi	.	.
	Japanese	a	a
	Korean	.	.
	Mandarin	.	A
	Spanish	.	.
	Tagalog	a	a
	Thai	.	.
	Vietnamese	.	.
	Other	.	C
DK/NA	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	582
	Under \$60,000	60	60
		10.4%	10.4%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	52
		8.9%	8.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	29
		5.0%	5.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	32
		5.5%	5.5%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	41
		7.1%	7.1%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	38	
	6.6%	6.6%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	34	
	5.8%	5.8%	
\$200,000 or more	132	132	
	22.7%	22.7%	
DK/NA	126	126	
	21.7%	21.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	.
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	.
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	.
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.
	\$200,000 or more	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	281	298	3
	Under \$60,000	60 10.4%	22 8.0%	38 12.7%	0 .0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52 8.9%	23 8.0%	29 9.8%	0 .0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29 5.0%	12 4.3%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37 6.3%	14 5.1%	22 7.5%	0 .0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32 5.5%	16 5.7%	16 5.4%	0 .0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41 7.1%	16 5.9%	25 8.4%	0 .0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38 6.6%	16 5.5%	23 7.6%	0 .0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34 5.8%	18 6.3%	16 5.3%	0 .0%
	\$200,000 or more	132 22.7%	84 30.0%	46 15.5%	2 65.6%
	DK/NA	126 21.7%	60 21.2%	66 22.0%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000			a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a
	\$200,000 or more	B		.
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Under \$60,000	60 10.4%	5 6.2%	11 10.5%	7 5.3%	8 5.0%	28 27.7%	2 19.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52 8.9%	3 3.6%	13 12.5%	7 4.9%	10 6.6%	16 15.7%	3 37.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29 5.0%	6 7.2%	4 4.4%	7 4.6%	6 3.8%	7 6.7%	0 .0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37 6.3%	4 4.6%	9 8.7%	7 4.7%	11 7.0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32 5.5%	6 7.6%	6 6.0%	5 3.2%	9 6.2%	6 6.1%	0 .0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41 7.1%	0 .0%	21 21.0%	7 5.0%	9 6.1%	3 2.7%	1 11.8%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38 6.6%	13 16.7%	10 9.6%	5 3.7%	9 6.2%	1 1.0%	0 .0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34 5.8%	5 6.7%	2 2.4%	8 6.0%	10 6.7%	7 7.2%	0 .0%
	\$200,000 or more	132 22.7%	10 12.7%	11 10.7%	55 39.2%	53 34.6%	4 3.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	126 21.7%	27 34.7%	14 14.2%	33 23.3%	27 17.8%	23 22.1%	3 31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29 (A)	30-39 (B)	40-49 (C)	50-64 (D)	65+ (E)	
		H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000						A C D
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000						a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000						a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a	C D E				a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	C E					a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000						a
	\$200,000 or more			A B E	A B E		a
	DK/NA	B					.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	424	158
	Under \$60,000	60	26	35
		10.4%	6.1%	22.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	34	18
		8.9%	7.9%	11.6%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	17	12
		5.0%	4.0%	7.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	30	7
		6.3%	7.1%	4.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	30	2
		5.5%	7.2%	1.1%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	28	14	
	7.1%	6.6%	8.6%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	24	14	
	6.6%	5.7%	9.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	26	8	
	5.8%	6.1%	5.0%	
\$200,000 or more	132	114	18	
	22.7%	26.8%	11.7%	
DK/NA	126	96	30	
	21.7%	22.7%	19.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
		H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	B	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		
	\$200,000 or more	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Under \$60,000	60	22	11	3	25
		10.4%	8.8%	13.7%	18.3%	10.3%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	15	8	3	26
		8.9%	6.0%	9.5%	18.9%	10.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	17	2	1	9
		5.0%	6.9%	3.1%	7.2%	3.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	20	3	3	10
		6.3%	8.4%	4.0%	19.8%	4.1%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	14	5	2	11
		5.5%	5.9%	5.9%	12.5%	4.6%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	21	4	0	16
		7.1%	8.6%	5.2%	.0%	6.7%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	14	0	0	24	
	6.6%	5.8%	.6%	.0%	9.8%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	17	6	0	11	
	5.8%	6.9%	6.9%	.0%	4.6%	
\$200,000 or more	132	56	16	3	58	
	22.7%	23.0%	19.8%	16.1%	23.9%	
DK/NA	126	48	25	1	52	
	21.7%	19.7%	31.3%	7.3%	21.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			D	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			.	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			.	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			.	B
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			.	
\$200,000 or more					
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Under \$60,000	60	15	2	8	3	5	28
		10.4%	12.8%	2.3%	25.3%	9.4%	3.7%	13.5%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	10	2	1	5	13	22
		8.9%	7.9%	2.7%	2.0%	16.7%	10.3%	10.6%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	11	5	2	1	2	10
		5.0%	8.9%	7.0%	5.1%	2.9%	1.2%	4.8%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	11	6	2	1	8	9
		6.3%	9.5%	8.6%	5.6%	5.1%	6.0%	4.2%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	2	8	1	2	10	9
		5.5%	1.8%	11.4%	3.2%	8.2%	7.7%	4.4%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	13	6	0	2	5	15	
	7.1%	10.4%	9.1%	.7%	6.9%	4.2%	7.3%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	10	3	0	0	10	15	
	6.6%	8.5%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	7.3%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	5	5	3	1	9	9	
	5.8%	4.5%	8.2%	9.9%	4.9%	7.4%	4.2%	
\$200,000 or more	132	26	11	6	2	41	46	
	22.7%	21.1%	17.3%	18.6%	8.7%	31.9%	22.4%	
DK/NA	126	18	19	10	11	25	44	
	21.7%	14.8%	29.3%	29.6%	37.1%	19.7%	21.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			B	E		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000						E
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000						
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000						
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000						
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a	a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000						
\$200,000 or more							
DK/NA							

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Under \$60,000	60	23	7	9	1
		10.4%	8.6%	8.5%	10.9%	2.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	22	5	7	3
		8.9%	8.5%	6.0%	8.2%	6.5%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	10	5	4	3
		5.0%	3.7%	6.1%	5.3%	7.2%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	21	0	5	4
		6.3%	7.9%	.4%	5.9%	9.4%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	13	5	4	4
		5.5%	4.9%	6.0%	4.4%	9.2%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	25	3	5	2	
	7.1%	9.5%	3.4%	5.6%	3.4%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	19	13	5	0	
	6.6%	7.1%	16.2%	5.8%	.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	13	5	9	4	
	5.8%	5.0%	6.9%	11.2%	8.5%	
\$200,000 or more	132	70	17	20	12	
	22.7%	26.5%	21.2%	25.1%	25.3%	
DK/NA	126	48	20	14	13	
	21.7%	18.3%	25.3%	17.6%	28.6%	

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	27	23	38	24
	Under \$60,000	2	8	4	7
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	7.9%	33.9%	10.5%	30.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	4	2	4	6
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	14.2%	6.9%	9.5%	25.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	1	0	5	1
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	2.4%	.0%	12.9%	5.7%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	1	0	5	0
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	3.4%	.7%	13.8%	.8%
	\$200,000 or more	0	3	2	1
	DK/NA	.0%	12.5%	6.4%	5.2%
		2	1	3	2
		6.2%	4.5%	7.8%	7.3%
		0	1	1	0
	.0%	4.5%	2.3%	.6%	
	1	0	0	0	
	5.5%	.0%	.4%	.8%	
	8	3	3	0	
	28.7%	14.9%	7.2%	.0%	
	8	5	11	6	
	31.6%	22.1%	29.2%	24.7%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
	\$200,000 or more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		A D		A D
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		a		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		.		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a		B	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.			
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a	a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.			
	\$200,000 or more				a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Under \$60,000	60	1	8	4	9	1	0	4
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	10.4%	9.9%	4.5%	9.4%	18.5%	5.6%	.0%	9.2%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	52	0	7	0	4	2	1	5
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	8.9%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	8.4%	11.3%	4.8%	12.5%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	29	1	8	0	7	0	0	2
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	5.0%	12.0%	4.4%	.0%	14.8%	.9%	.0%	4.3%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	37	1	11	1	2	1	2	3
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	6.3%	9.0%	6.3%	3.0%	4.5%	8.4%	12.6%	8.2%
	DK/NA	32	0	12	1	3	0	0	4
		5.5%	.0%	6.9%	3.3%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	10.2%
		41	1	12	3	3	1	4	1
		7.1%	15.8%	7.1%	7.0%	6.5%	5.4%	29.8%	2.5%
		38	3	5	5	2	0	0	0
	6.6%	43.5%	3.0%	13.1%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	34	0	10	6	6	0	0	2	
	5.8%	.0%	5.6%	14.4%	12.2%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	
	132	0	72	8	9	7	3	12	
	22.7%	.0%	41.4%	20.0%	20.4%	41.6%	25.2%	29.6%	
	126	1	29	13	2	4	4	8	
	21.7%	9.9%	16.8%	29.8%	3.8%	26.8%	27.6%	19.7%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Under \$60,000	12	16	5	1	0
		12.5%	22.0%	8.2%	46.3%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	11	11	11	0	0
		11.0%	15.5%	17.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	4	8	0	0	0
		4.6%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	2	3	10	0	0
		2.6%	4.3%	15.3%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	6	3	2	0	0
		6.4%	3.9%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	1	4	10	0	1
	.9%	5.5%	15.4%	.0%	23.3%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	3	9	10	0	1	
	3.2%	12.3%	15.4%	.0%	22.3%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	4	2	4	0	0	
	4.3%	3.0%	5.8%	9.8%	5.3%	
\$200,000 or more	10	5	4	0	2	
	10.0%	6.3%	5.6%	.0%	42.6%	
DK/NA	43	12	9	1	0	
	44.5%	16.8%	14.1%	43.9%	6.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000						a		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a		a					
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a					
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000								
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a				a	a		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						H		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	B D H				a	a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a							
	\$200,000 or more		H I J			H I J		I J	
	DK/NA								B D I J

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B			a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	B	B	a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		H		H
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		B	a	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
	\$200,000 or more			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	464	118
	Under \$60,000	60	53	8
		10.4%	11.4%	6.4%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	44	8
		8.9%	9.5%	6.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	19	10
		5.0%	4.1%	8.6%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	29	7
		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	28	4
		5.5%	6.0%	3.7%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	31	11
	7.1%	6.6%	9.0%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	33	6	
	6.6%	7.0%	4.9%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	19	15	
	5.8%	4.0%	12.6%	
\$200,000 or more	132	105	27	
	22.7%	22.7%	23.0%	
DK/NA	126	104	23	
	21.7%	22.4%	19.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		A
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		A
	\$200,000 or more		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Total		582	347	235
Under \$60,000		60	33	27
		10.4%	9.6%	11.6%
\$60,000 to under \$80,000		52	30	22
		8.9%	8.6%	9.4%
\$80,000 to under \$100,000		29	18	11
		5.0%	5.2%	4.7%
\$100,000 to under \$120,000		37	20	16
		6.3%	5.9%	7.0%
\$120,000 to under \$140,000		32	23	9
		5.5%	6.6%	3.9%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000		41	23	19
		7.1%	6.6%	7.9%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000		38	15	23
		6.6%	4.3%	9.9%
\$180,000 to under \$200,000		34	21	12
		5.8%	6.1%	5.2%
\$200,000 or more		132	85	48
		22.7%	24.4%	20.4%
DK/NA		126	79	47
		21.7%	22.8%	20.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
Under \$60,000			
\$60,000 to under \$80,000			
\$80,000 to under \$100,000			
\$100,000 to under \$120,000			
\$120,000 to under \$140,000			
\$140,000 to under \$160,000			
\$160,000 to under \$180,000			A
\$180,000 to under \$200,000			
\$200,000 or more			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
Total		582	11	49	94	131
Under \$60,000		60	2	7	5	13
		10.4%	18.3%	15.1%	5.2%	9.7%
\$60,000 to under \$80,000		52	0	5	5	11
		8.9%	.0%	11.2%	4.8%	8.0%
\$80,000 to under \$100,000		29	0	1	5	6
		5.0%	.0%	2.5%	5.1%	4.5%
\$100,000 to under \$120,000		37	3	5	1	10
		6.3%	25.6%	10.6%	.7%	7.6%
\$120,000 to under \$140,000		32	0	0	8	4
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	2.7%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000		41	4	2	6	5
		7.1%	37.8%	4.1%	6.9%	3.7%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000		38	0	6	6	8
		6.6%	.0%	11.3%	6.0%	5.9%
\$180,000 to under \$200,000		34	2	2	4	7
		5.8%	17.4%	3.8%	4.6%	5.0%
\$200,000 or more		132	0	7	39	36
		22.7%	.9%	15.1%	41.5%	27.4%
DK/NA		126	0	13	16	33
		21.7%	.0%	26.3%	17.0%	25.4%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
Total		163	133	1
Under \$60,000		13	21	0
		7.7%	15.7%	.0%
\$60,000 to under \$80,000		16	15	0
		9.9%	11.3%	.0%
\$80,000 to under \$100,000		11	6	0
		6.9%	4.6%	.0%
\$100,000 to under \$120,000		9	9	0
		5.5%	6.9%	.0%
\$120,000 to under \$140,000		10	10	0
		6.4%	7.8%	.0%
\$140,000 to under \$160,000		15	9	0
		9.1%	6.8%	.0%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000		12	7	0
		7.4%	5.4%	.0%
\$180,000 to under \$200,000		16	3	0
		9.6%	2.4%	.0%
\$200,000 or more		35	15	0
		21.2%	11.5%	.0%
DK/NA		27	37	1
		16.4%	27.7%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b			
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.b			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	C			
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.b	.b		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	B C D E F			
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	.b			
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			B E F	F
	\$200,000 or more				F
DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			a,b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000			a,b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a,b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			a,b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			a,b
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			a,b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a,b
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a,b
	\$200,000 or more			a,b
DK/NA			a,b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Under \$60,000	59	19	17	12	3	4	4
		10.2%	42.2%	8.1%	8.5%	3.0%	6.7%	31.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	5	24	14	8	0	0
		8.9%	12.2%	11.5%	10.3%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	4	9	5	7	4	0
		5.0%	9.0%	4.4%	3.4%	6.3%	6.7%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	2	18	7	7	0	3
		6.3%	4.0%	8.7%	4.8%	6.3%	.0%	23.9%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	1	14	9	5	3	0
		5.5%	2.6%	6.6%	6.2%	4.6%	5.4%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	1	18	14	3	5	0
		7.1%	2.6%	8.7%	9.9%	2.3%	9.1%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	1	12	12	8	6	0
	6.6%	1.1%	5.7%	8.2%	6.8%	11.2%	.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	33	0	8	5	7	13	0	
	5.8%	.6%	3.8%	3.7%	6.2%	22.2%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	132	1	42	32	46	12	0	
	22.8%	2.4%	19.9%	22.5%	40.0%	21.2%	.0%	
DK/NA	126	11	48	32	20	10	5	
	21.7%	23.3%	22.7%	22.5%	17.9%	17.6%	45.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B C D E					D
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000					a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000					a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000					a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000					A B C D	a
	\$200,000 or more		A	A	A B C	A	a
DK/NA							a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	3	3	189
	Under \$60,000	60	1	0	26
		10.4%	50.0%	.0%	13.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	3	19
		8.9%	.0%	100.0%	10.1%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	0	0	8
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	1	0	12
		6.3%	50.0%	.0%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	17
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	8.8%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	0	0	7
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	0	0	12	
	6.6%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	0	0	12	
	5.8%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	
\$200,000 or more	132	0	0	49	
	22.7%	.0%	.0%	25.8%	
DK/NA	126	0	0	28	
	21.7%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	2	106	15	25
	Under \$60,000	0	2	1	0
		.0%	1.6%	9.4%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	0	2	2
		.0%	.0%	10.3%	6.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	2	0	4
		.0%	2.3%	.0%	18.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	8	0	4
		.0%	7.2%	.0%	17.5%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	4	1	0
		.0%	3.5%	7.1%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	5	0	3
		.0%	4.6%	.0%	13.3%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	6	0	0	
	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0	12	1	0	
	.0%	11.6%	6.7%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	2	46	2	1	
	100.0%	43.5%	13.8%	4.7%	
DK/NA	0	21	8	10	
	.0%	19.8%	52.7%	39.7%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	8	14	10	148
	Under \$60,000	0	0	0	22
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	1	0	0	18
		12.8%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	0	0	13
		.0%	.0%	.0%	9.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	0	0	9
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	0	1	8
		.0%	.0%	10.2%	5.3%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	3	5	1	13
		40.9%	36.2%	11.4%	8.5%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	6	0	6	
	.0%	45.4%	.0%	4.1%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	0	6	
	17.2%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	
\$200,000 or more	0	2	3	19	
	.0%	11.3%	34.2%	13.0%	
DK/NA	2	1	4	35	
	29.0%	7.1%	44.2%	23.6%	

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	23	12	6	17
	Under \$60,000	6	1	0	1
		25.8%	10.6%	.0%	4.2%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	4	2	0	1
		19.1%	17.1%	.0%	4.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	1	0	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	2	1	0	0
		9.1%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	1	1	0	0
		2.8%	7.0%	3.6%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	3	1	0	0
		12.6%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	3	0	3	2	
	12.3%	2.7%	48.2%	13.9%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	0	0	
	5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	2	3	2	1	
	6.8%	23.5%	33.4%	7.3%	
DK/NA	1	3	1	12	
	2.8%	24.2%	14.8%	70.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	E	a	E	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a	a		a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a		a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	K	a		a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a	a		a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a	a		a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a	a		a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a		a
	\$200,000 or more	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a		a	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a			a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		a	E	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		a		a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		a		a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		a		C E
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		a		a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		a		a
	\$200,000 or more	G K			a
	DK/NA		C L		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a	a	E	E
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a	a		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a	a		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	C E			
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	C E K	a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a		
	\$200,000 or more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		a	
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		a	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		C E K	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a	a
	\$200,000 or more			
	DK/NA			C E I K L

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Under \$60,000	12	4	2	2	3	1
		4.5%	4.0%	1.7%	7.5%	26.9%	8.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	19	7	9	2	1	1
		7.0%	6.5%	8.0%	5.4%	7.2%	7.3%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	10	4	6	0	0	0
		3.8%	3.5%	5.8%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	14	5	7	2	0	0
		5.1%	5.0%	5.8%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	11	4	5	3	0	0
		4.1%	3.7%	4.0%	8.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	15	2	10	3	1	0
		5.7%	1.6%	8.8%	8.8%	9.6%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	26	13	10	3	0	0
		9.8%	12.7%	8.6%	10.4%	.0%	.0%
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	16	7	9	0	0	0	
	6.2%	6.9%	8.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	91	36	37	9	6	3	
	34.2%	34.5%	33.0%	30.7%	55.4%	29.5%	
DK/NA	52	22	18	7	0	5	
	19.6%	21.6%	16.1%	22.5%	.0%	54.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.					
Under \$60,000				A B	
\$60,000 to under \$80,000					a
\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a		a
\$100,000 to under \$120,000				a	a
\$120,000 to under \$140,000				a	a
\$140,000 to under \$160,000				a	a
\$160,000 to under \$180,000				a	a
\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a		a
\$200,000 or more					a
DK/NA					B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.						
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
Under \$60,000	46	21	19	5	1	0
\$60,000 to under \$80,000	34	11	20	2	1	0
\$80,000 to under \$100,000	20	4	15	1	0	0
\$100,000 to under \$120,000	23	6	14	3	0	0
\$120,000 to under \$140,000	26	4	22	0	0	0
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	25	1	21	3	0	0
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	26	9	15	2	0	0
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	22	3	11	8	0	0
\$200,000 or more	76	23	45	6	1	1
DK/NA	83	25	43	3	1	10

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.					
Under \$60,000	B				a
\$60,000 to under \$80,000					a
\$80,000 to under \$100,000					a
\$100,000 to under \$120,000				a	a
\$120,000 to under \$140,000	A		a	a	a
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	A			a	a
\$160,000 to under \$180,000				a	a
\$180,000 to under \$200,000			A B	a	a
\$200,000 or more					a
DK/NA					A B C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.							
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
Under \$60,000	60	39	5	9	1	0	0
\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	33	4	9	0	1	0
\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	27	0	2	0	0	0
\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	25	3	7	1	0	6
\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	26	2	12	0	0	1
\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	28	0	5	0	0	2
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	30	1	3	0	0	0
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	22	1	9	0	0	8
\$200,000 or more	132	112	8	28	1	0	18
DK/NA	126	107	3	9	3	0	9

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Under \$60,000	0	0	11	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	22.7%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	2	8	2	0	1
		.0%	7.7%	17.8%	15.2%	.0%	8.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	3	0	1	0	0
		3.5%	12.6%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	4	6	1	0	0
		1.4%	19.7%	11.8%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	1	0	3	4	1	0
		11.7%	.0%	6.4%	28.5%	75.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	3	3	0	0	3
		.0%	15.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	26.7%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	0	1	0	0	2	
	3.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	3	1	0	1	
	15.6%	.0%	6.5%	4.9%	.0%	11.2%	
\$200,000 or more	0	1	9	1	0	2	
	.0%	5.2%	19.2%	9.2%	.0%	19.1%	
DK/NA	4	9	4	2	0	3	
	64.3%	39.8%	7.8%	14.9%	25.0%	20.8%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	14	56	7
	Under \$60,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.6%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	3	0
		.0%	5.4%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	2	0
		.0%	4.3%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.1%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.3%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	5	5	0
		36.2%	8.4%	.0%
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	6	9	0	
	45.4%	15.4%	.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0	5	0	
	.0%	9.5%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	2	22	1	
	11.3%	40.4%	15.4%	
DK/NA	1	5	6	
	7.1%	9.7%	84.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				.b	a,b	.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		.b		.b	a	.b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					a,b	
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				.b	a,b	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		.b		.b	a,b	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				.b	a,b	.b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				.b	a	A
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000					a	
	\$200,000 or more					a,b	
	DK/NA	C					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.b	.b	A N		a,b	.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b				a,b	.b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			.b		a,b	.b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					a	.b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		.b		A N	a	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	.b			.b	a,b	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		.b		.b	a,b	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		.b			a,b	
	\$200,000 or more	.b				a	
	DK/NA	C I N	C				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.b		.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b		.b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.b		.b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	.b		.b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.b		.b
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	A C F		.b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	A B C I		.b
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.b		.b
	\$200,000 or more			
	DK/NA			A B C F I ...

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Under \$60,000	60	60	0	0	0
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	52	0	0
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	0	0	29	0
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	0	0	0	37
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	0	0
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	0	0	0	0
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	0	0	0	0
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	0	0	0	0
	\$200,000 or more	132	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	126	0	0	0	0
			21.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Under \$60,000	0	0	0	0	0
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	0	0	0	0
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	0	0	0	0
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	0	0	0	0
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	0	0
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	41	0	0	0
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	0	38	0	0
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0	0	0	34	0
	\$200,000 or more	0	0	0	0	132
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
			.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	126
	Under \$60,000	0
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0
	\$200,000 or more	0
	DK/NA	126
		100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	\$200,000 or more	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a	a	a	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a	a	a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a	a	a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a	a	a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a	a	a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a	a	a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a	a	a
	\$200,000 or more	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a
	\$200,000 or more	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	167	153	262
	Under \$60,000	60	36	12	12
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	27	16	8
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	12	8	9
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	17	8	12
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	7	7	18
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	2	24	15
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	3	22	13
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	3	13	18
	\$200,000 or more	132	13	16	103
	DK/NA	126	47	27	53
		21.7%	28.2%	17.5%	20.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B C		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	C	C	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		A C	A
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		A C	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		A	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			A B
	\$200,000 or more			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Under \$60,000	54	19	1	11	24
		11.7%	14.8%	.9%	14.1%	14.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	40	10	12	2	17
		8.7%	7.8%	13.2%	2.3%	10.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	26	4	8	1	13
		5.6%	3.2%	8.3%	1.1%	8.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	27	11	2	3	12
		5.9%	9.0%	1.8%	3.9%	6.8%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	29	10	3	6	10
		6.3%	7.7%	3.8%	7.2%	6.1%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	31	10	6	5	10
	6.7%	7.7%	6.3%	6.6%	6.1%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	24	9	9	3	4	
	5.3%	7.2%	9.7%	3.4%	2.3%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	30	11	6	7	6	
	6.6%	8.4%	6.8%	9.2%	3.8%	
\$200,000 or more	105	21	29	26	29	
	22.8%	17.1%	31.8%	33.7%	17.3%	
DK/NA	95	22	16	14	43	
	20.5%	17.3%	17.4%	18.5%	25.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B		B	B
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
\$200,000 or more		D	A D		
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Under \$60,000	60	13	8	7	4
		10.4%	10.7%	7.0%	17.9%	9.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	11	4	4	3
		8.9%	9.0%	3.0%	11.1%	7.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	9	8	1	1
		5.0%	7.0%	6.8%	3.1%	3.1%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	10	10	3	0
		6.3%	8.1%	8.7%	7.7%	.5%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	6	8	2	3
		5.5%	5.0%	6.7%	5.1%	6.7%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	12	9	3	1
	7.1%	9.4%	7.8%	7.4%	3.1%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	8	6	0	0	
	6.6%	6.5%	5.2%	.0%	1.1%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	10	7	0	5	
	5.8%	8.0%	5.9%	.7%	13.0%	
\$200,000 or more	130	21	35	5	11	
	22.5%	16.7%	28.9%	11.7%	27.8%	
DK/NA	125	24	24	14	11	
	21.6%	19.6%	20.1%	35.4%	27.3%	

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	128	112	7	9
	Under \$60,000	16	9	1	1
		12.6%	7.8%	21.4%	15.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	14	13	0	3
		10.7%	11.4%	.0%	33.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	6	2	1	0
		5.0%	2.2%	11.2%	4.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	7	3	3	1
		5.3%	2.9%	36.4%	6.6%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	8	3	0	2
		6.1%	2.9%	.0%	22.4%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	10	6	0	0
	8.1%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	
\$160,000 to under \$180,000	15	9	0	0	
	11.6%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	
\$180,000 to under \$200,000	6	5	0	0	
	4.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	
\$200,000 or more	19	37	1	1	
	15.2%	33.3%	19.8%	13.1%	
DK/NA	27	24	1	0	
	20.8%	21.6%	11.2%	4.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000					
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000					
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000					
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			.		
\$200,000 or more						
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		a	B
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		D E F	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		a	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		a	a
\$200,000 or more	E			
DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
18-29	77 13.2%	77 13.2%
30-39	101 17.4%	101 17.4%
40-49	141 24.2%	141 24.2%
50-64	152 26.2%	152 26.2%
65+	102 17.5%	102 17.5%
Not coded	9 1.5%	9 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
18-29	.
30-39	.
40-49	.
50-64	.
65+	.
Not coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
18-29	77 13.2%	42 14.9%	35 11.7%	0 .0%
30-39	101 17.4%	54 19.2%	47 15.9%	0 .0%
40-49	141 24.2%	70 25.0%	69 23.3%	1 34.4%
50-64	152 26.2%	68 24.0%	83 27.8%	2 65.6%
65+	102 17.5%	45 16.0%	57 19.1%	0 .0%
Not coded	9 1.5%	2 .7%	7 2.2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I. Age			
18-29			a
30-39			a
40-49			.
50-64			.
65+			a
Not coded			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
18-29	77	77	0	0	0	0	0
	13.2%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30-39	101	0	101	0	0	0	0
	17.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
40-49	141	0	0	141	0	0	0
	24.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
50-64	152	0	0	0	152	0	0
	26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
65+	102	0	0	0	0	102	0
	17.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Age						
18-29	a	a	a	a	a	a
30-39	a	a	a	a	a	a
40-49	a	a	a	a	a	a
50-64	a	a	a	a	a	a
65+	a	a	a	a	a	a
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
18-29	77	57	19
	13.2%	13.5%	12.3%
30-39	101	49	52
	17.4%	11.5%	33.2%
40-49	141	104	37
	24.2%	24.5%	23.5%
50-64	152	129	24
	26.2%	30.3%	15.1%
65+	102	77	25
	17.5%	18.1%	15.9%
Not coded	9	9	0
	1.5%	2.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
I. Age	18-29		
	30-39		A
	40-49		
	50-64	B	
	65+		a
	Not coded		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
I. Age	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	18-29	77	43	0	2	32
	30-39	101	47	8	2	44
	40-49	141	52	19	2	69
	50-64	152	58	22	7	66
	65+	102	38	29	3	31
	Not coded	9	5	4	0	0
		1.5%	2.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I. Age	18-29		a		
	30-39				
	40-49				
	50-64		A D		
	65+			a	a
	Not coded				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
I. Age	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	18-29	77	9	19	0	0	34	14
	30-39	101	31	6	2	3	19	40
	40-49	141	30	9	12	4	20	67
	50-64	152	29	16	8	7	39	53
	65+	102	20	15	9	12	15	32
	Not coded	9	2	2	1	3	1	0
		1.5%	1.4%	3.2%	3.4%	9.6%	.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29		A F	a	a	A F	
30-39						
40-49						B E
50-64				A E F		
65+						a
Not coded						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
18-29	77	57	17	3	0	0	0
30-39	101	64	19	4	6	6	2
40-49	141	67	26	30	12	4	0
50-64	152	54	13	24	23	10	14
65+	102	20	4	20	7	6	7
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	38	24
18-29	0	0
30-39	0	0
40-49	2	0
50-64	14	0
65+	22	15
Not coded	0	9

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29	C	C		a	a	a	a
30-39	C	C					a
40-49		G	G			a	a
50-64				A B H	H	A B H	H
65+			A B	a	a	A B	A B C D
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Registration Date
	1980 or before
	(H)
18-29	a
30-39	a
40-49	a
50-64	a
65+	A B C D
Not coded	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date										
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2
18-29	77	3	12	5	10	0	0	2	17	13	15	0
30-39	101	0	28	9	2	3	2	0	19	18	19	0
40-49	141	0	59	13	10	0	5	10	18	15	7	0
50-64	152	1	62	11	12	5	3	16	14	11	14	2
65+	102	2	11	4	12	9	3	12	26	15	9	0
Not coded	9	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	2	0	0

	Date
	Apr. 15
Total	5
18-29	0 .0%
30-39	0 .0%
40-49	4 71.3%
50-64	2 28.7%
65+	0 .0%
Not coded	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date											
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18-29	B ^a					a				B	a	a
30-39	a					a				D	a	a
40-49	a	J				a					a	J
50-64		H									a	a
65+			a		B	B C J			B		a	a
Not coded	B					a				a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
18-29	77 13.2%	56 12.1%	21 17.5%
30-39	101 17.4%	82 17.7%	19 16.2%
40-49	141 24.2%	113 24.3%	28 23.8%
50-64	152 26.2%	122 26.3%	31 25.9%
65+	102 17.5%	85 18.4%	16 13.9%
Not coded	9 1.5%	6 1.2%	3 2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18-29		
30-39		
40-49		
50-64		
65+		
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
18-29	77 13.2%	17 4.9%	60 25.5%
30-39	101 17.4%	50 14.3%	51 21.9%
40-49	141 24.2%	89 25.7%	52 21.9%
50-64	152 26.2%	112 32.3%	40 17.1%
65+	102 17.5%	72 20.8%	30 12.6%
Not coded	9 1.5%	7 1.9%	2 .9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
18-29		A
30-39		A
40-49		
50-64	B	
65+	B	
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
18-29	77	2	7	5	30	22	10
	13.2%	18.3%	13.6%	5.2%	23.1%	13.8%	7.9%
30-39	101	6	16	33	15	16	15
	17.4%	52.7%	32.4%	35.5%	11.4%	10.0%	11.3%
40-49	141	1	15	39	44	29	12
	24.2%	10.7%	31.3%	42.0%	33.7%	17.5%	9.1%
50-64	152	2	4	15	32	71	29
	26.2%	17.4%	8.3%	15.8%	24.1%	43.5%	21.8%
65+	102	0	7	1	10	25	57
	17.5%	.9%	14.5%	1.5%	7.7%	15.3%	43.3%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
Total	1
18-29	0
	.0%
30-39	0
	.0%
40-49	0
	.0%
50-64	0
	.0%
65+	1
	100.0%
Not coded	0
	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino						
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29				CF			a,b
30-39	DEF	DEF	DEF				a,b
40-49		F	EF	EF			a,b
50-64					BCDF		a,b
65+	.b	C	.b	.b	C	BCDE	a,b
Not coded	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
18-29	77	0	13	15	31	18	0
	13.2%	.0%	6.3%	10.6%	27.0%	30.7%	.0%
30-39	101	2	65	13	7	8	6
	17.5%	5.4%	30.6%	9.6%	6.0%	14.2%	49.2%
40-49	141	4	50	43	27	14	3
	24.3%	8.8%	23.9%	30.7%	23.5%	23.7%	24.9%
50-64	152	7	38	51	41	13	2
	26.3%	16.6%	17.8%	36.1%	35.9%	23.0%	20.8%
65+	100	28	39	18	9	5	1
	17.2%	62.9%	18.5%	13.0%	7.5%	8.5%	5.1%
Not coded	9	3	6	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	6.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	a			BC	BC	a
30-39		ACD				ACD
40-49						
50-64			B	B		
65+	BCDEF				a	a
Not coded		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
18-29	77	0	3	31	0	10	3
	13.2%	.0%	100.0%	16.4%	.0%	9.7%	18.4%
30-39	101	0	0	18	0	9	0
	17.4%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	8.9%	.0%
40-49	141	0	0	52	2	46	1
	24.2%	.0%	.0%	27.4%	100.0%	43.6%	7.9%
50-64	152	3	0	56	0	34	8
	26.2%	100.0%	.0%	29.5%	.0%	32.0%	55.0%
65+	102	0	0	33	0	6	3
	17.5%	.0%	.0%	17.4%	.0%	5.8%	18.8%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
18-29	6	0	3	3	15	0
	22.6%	.0%	21.0%	27.9%	9.8%	.0%
30-39	9	3	3	0	33	11
	37.5%	40.9%	24.5%	.0%	22.2%	47.8%
40-49	6	0	2	5	16	3
	25.0%	.0%	12.6%	51.6%	10.5%	13.9%
50-64	4	1	5	2	29	3
	15.0%	12.8%	34.8%	20.5%	19.2%	13.5%
65+	0	4	1	0	49	6
	.0%	46.2%	7.1%	.0%	32.7%	24.7%
Not coded	0	0	0	0	8	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	12	6	17
18-29	2	0	2
	17.7%	.0%	10.9%
30-39	2	2	10
	17.1%	41.6%	55.1%
40-49	2	1	4
	15.5%	19.8%	23.9%
50-64	4	2	2
	37.6%	36.4%	9.6%
65+	1	0	0
	6.8%	2.2%	.5%
Not coded	1	0	0
	5.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	a	a		a		a
30-39	a	a		a		a
40-49	a	a	K	a	K	a
50-64	a	a		a		a
65+	a	a		a	a	a
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18-29		a		a		a
30-39	CE			a	C	CE
40-49		a		K		
50-64				a		
65+	a	E		a	CE	
Not coded	a	a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
18-29		a	
30-39			CE
40-49			
50-64			
65+		a	a
Not coded			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
I. Age	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	18-29	17	12	5	0	0	0
		6.5%	11.5%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30-39	49	16	26	4	2	0
		18.4%	15.6%	23.4%	14.4%	18.1%	.0%
	40-49	112	32	60	14	5	2
	42.0%	30.3%	52.9%	46.4%	44.5%	22.5%	
50-64	69	36	17	11	1	5	
	25.7%	34.2%	14.7%	34.4%	9.6%	56.3%	
65+	20	9	5	1	3	2	
	7.4%	8.4%	4.1%	4.8%	27.7%	21.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29			a	a	a
	30-39					a
	40-49		A			
	50-64	B				B
	65+				B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
I. Age	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	18-29	53	10	36	7	0	0
		13.9%	9.3%	15.9%	22.2%	.0%	.0%
	30-39	33	7	21	2	0	2
		8.7%	6.8%	9.3%	7.3%	.0%	21.5%
	40-49	51	24	20	3	0	5
	13.4%	22.0%	8.8%	8.5%	.0%	43.8%	
50-64	137	36	81	15	3	3	
	36.0%	33.2%	36.1%	45.1%	65.4%	23.5%	
65+	98	28	62	6	2	0	
	25.6%	26.2%	27.7%	16.8%	34.6%	1.4%	
Not coded	9	3	5	0	0	1	
	2.3%	2.6%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29				a	a
	30-39				a	
	40-49	B			a	
	50-64					B C
	65+ Not coded			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household									
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
I. Age	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22	48
	18-29	77	66	5	13	0	0	5	3	6	3
		13.2%	14.7%	19.4%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	42.6%	25.4%	5.8%
	30-39	101	89	3	3	2	0	3	0	9	8
		17.4%	19.8%	10.6%	3.0%	26.8%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	42.2%	15.7%
	40-49	141	107	11	25	1	0	19	0	5	9
	24.2%	23.8%	42.5%	26.6%	15.8%	24.3%	43.4%	.0%	20.4%	19.4%	
50-64	152	112	3	33	3	0	12	2	3	14	
	26.2%	25.0%	12.9%	35.4%	36.3%	.0%	28.5%	34.3%	11.9%	30.3%	
65+	102	66	4	20	2	1	4	2	0	14	
	17.5%	14.7%	14.6%	21.0%	21.1%	75.7%	8.8%	23.2%	.0%	28.7%	
Not coded	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
18-29	2	0	0	3	8	0
	11.7%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	13.5%	.0%
30-39	2	0	3	3	11	0
	14.9%	.0%	26.7%	24.5%	19.5%	.0%
40-49	1	0	4	2	17	4
	6.3%	.0%	32.9%	12.6%	30.0%	55.2%
50-64	4	1	1	5	17	2
	29.1%	100.0%	10.2%	34.8%	30.1%	36.0%
65+	5	0	4	1	4	1
	38.1%	.0%	30.2%	7.1%	6.9%	8.9%
Not coded	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household									
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
18-29				a		a,b		a		
30-39	C							C F		
40-49						b		a		
50-64						a,b				
65+				a				a		
Not coded		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household				
	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
18-29	a,b	a			a
30-39	a,b	C		C	a
40-49	a,b				
50-64	a,b				
65+	a,b				
Not coded	a,b	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
18-29	77	5	3	6	4	6	0	13
	13.2%	7.9%	5.4%	19.1%	9.6%	18.1%	.0%	33.6%
30-39	101	11	13	4	9	6	21	10
	17.4%	17.6%	24.5%	15.3%	24.0%	18.9%	51.5%	25.3%
40-49	141	7	7	7	7	5	7	5
	24.2%	12.4%	13.4%	22.4%	18.0%	14.2%	17.0%	13.7%
50-64	152	8	10	6	11	9	9	9
	26.2%	12.6%	19.5%	19.8%	29.2%	29.5%	22.4%	24.8%
65+	102	28	16	7	7	6	3	1
	17.5%	46.7%	30.9%	23.5%	19.2%	19.3%	6.6%	2.7%
Not coded	9	2	3	0	0	0	1	0
	1.5%	2.8%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	34	132	126
18-29	5	10	27
	15.3%	7.4%	21.1%
30-39	2	11	14
	7.3%	8.2%	11.4%
40-49	8	55	33
	25.1%	41.7%	26.0%
50-64	10	53	27
	30.3%	39.9%	21.5%
65+	7	4	23
	22.0%	2.9%	17.8%
Not coded	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income							
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18-29						a	AB I	
30-39						A H I J		
40-49								
50-64								
65+	F G I J	G I	I	I	I		a	I
Not coded								a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	
	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)	(J)
18-29		
30-39		
40-49	A B	
50-64	A	
65+		I
Not coded	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
18-29	77	28	28	21
	13.2%	17.0%	18.1%	7.9%
30-39	101	17	47	37
	17.4%	10.2%	31.0%	14.1%
40-49	141	11	41	89
	24.2%	6.6%	26.6%	34.1%
50-64	152	36	25	92
	26.2%	21.4%	16.2%	35.1%
65+	102	68	12	22
	17.5%	40.3%	8.2%	8.3%
Not coded	9	8	0	1
	1.5%	4.5%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29	C	C	
30-39		A C	
40-49		A	A
50-64			A B
65+	B C		
Not coded	C	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
18-29	38	30	5	0	3
	8.3%	23.9%	6.0%	.0%	1.7%
30-39	71	31	18	15	7
	15.4%	24.9%	20.2%	19.1%	4.1%
40-49	112	27	37	26	22
	24.3%	21.5%	41.4%	33.4%	12.9%
50-64	136	22	25	20	69
	29.5%	17.6%	27.7%	25.8%	41.2%
65+	95	15	4	16	60
	20.6%	12.2%	4.7%	20.3%	35.6%
Not coded	9	0	0	1	8
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29	B D		a	
30-39	D	D	D	
40-49		A D	D	
50-64				A
65+			B	A B
Not coded	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
18-29	77	15	29	0	0	20	11	0	2
	13.3%	11.9%	24.0%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	10.2%	.0%	22.4%
30-39	101	24	24	2	6	21	23	0	2
	17.5%	19.2%	19.8%	5.0%	14.0%	16.9%	20.3%	.0%	22.5%
40-49	140	21	31	13	6	36	32	0	2
	24.1%	16.9%	25.9%	32.5%	14.0%	27.9%	28.8%	.0%	17.1%
50-64	150	37	20	7	14	32	33	7	0
	26.0%	30.4%	16.8%	18.3%	34.8%	24.7%	29.4%	93.5%	4.2%
65+	102	23	15	15	14	19	13	0	3
	17.6%	18.4%	12.8%	37.2%	34.6%	14.7%	11.3%	6.5%	33.8%
Not coded	9	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	3.2%	.9%	7.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
I. Age			a	a			a	
18-29								
30-39								
40-49								
50-64							A B C E ...	
65+ Not coded			B F	F	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
J. Party	582	582
Democrat	244 41.9%	244 41.9%
Republican	81 13.9%	81 13.9%
Other	16 2.7%	16 2.7%
DTS	242 41.5%	242 41.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
J. Party	
Democrat	.
Republican	.
Other	.
DTS	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
J. Party	582	281	298	3
Democrat	244 41.9%	119 42.5%	123 41.4%	1 31.9%
Republican	81 13.9%	41 14.5%	40 13.4%	0 .0%
Other	16 2.7%	9 3.1%	7 2.4%	0 .0%
DTS	242 41.5%	112 39.9%	128 42.8%	2 68.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Party	Democrat			a
	Republican			a
	Other			.
	DTS			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
J. Party	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Democrat	244	43	47	52	58	38	5
		41.9%	56.4%	46.7%	36.7%	38.3%	37.3%	56.4%
	Republican	81	0	8	19	22	29	4
		13.9%	.0%	7.6%	13.3%	14.1%	28.5%	43.6%
	Other	16	2	2	2	7	3	0
		2.7%	2.6%	2.0%	1.1%	4.5%	3.4%	.0%
	DTS	242	32	44	69	66	31	0
		41.5%	41.0%	43.7%	49.0%	43.0%	30.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a				B C	B
	Republican	.					a
	Other						.
	DTS			E			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
J. Party	Total	582	424	158
	Democrat	244	172	72
		41.9%	40.4%	45.7%
	Republican	81	70	11
		13.9%	16.5%	6.9%
	Other	16	11	5
		2.7%	2.6%	3.1%
	DTS	242	172	70
		41.5%	40.5%	44.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat	B	
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
J. Party	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Democrat	244	244	0	0	0
		41.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Republican	81	0	81	0	0
		13.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	16	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	DTS	242	0	0	0	242
		41.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a	a	a
	Republican	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DTS	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
J. Party	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Democrat	244	121	66	0	0	56	0
	Republican	81	0	0	32	28	20	0
	Other	16	0	0	0	0	7	9
	DTS	242	0	0	0	0	46	196
		41.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	43.7%	.0%
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	15.6%	.0%
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	4.5%
		41.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.6%	95.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a	a	a		a
	Republican	a	a	a	a		a
	Other	a	a	a	a		a
	DTS	a	a	a	a		E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
J. Party	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	Democrat	244	108	29	44	23	7	3
	Republican	81	23	3	7	7	8	7
	Other	16	10	2	2	1	0	0
	DTS	242	122	45	28	17	11	13
		41.9%	41.2%	36.6%	54.2%	48.0%	28.0%	12.6%
		13.9%	8.6%	3.7%	9.0%	14.7%	30.8%	32.3%
		2.7%	3.8%	2.5%	2.7%	2.1%	.0%	.7%
		41.5%	46.4%	57.2%	34.1%	35.2%	41.2%	54.4%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
J. Party	Total	38	24
	Democrat	17	12
	Republican	13	12
	Other	1	0
	DTS	7	0
		45.8%	50.3%
		34.5%	49.3%
		1.5%	.0%
		18.1%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date						
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
J. Party	Democrat			F		A B	A B	A B C
	Republican					a		
	Other					.		
	DTS	G H	G H	H	H	H	H	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date
		1980 or before
		(H)
J. Party	Democrat	A B C D
	Republican	a
	Other	.
	DTS	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date												
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	
J. Party	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2	
	Democrat	244	2	75	20	23	4	4	19	38	32	25	1	
	Republican	81	1	24	5	2	5	2	9	16	10	7	0	
	Other	16	1	3	0	2	0	1	2	1	3	2	0	
	DTS	242	3	70	16	19	7	7	11	42	29	31	1	
			41.5%	43.5%	40.7%	39.3%	40.4%	44.5%	51.6%	26.9%	43.6%	39.0%	47.5%	53.7%

		Date
		Apr. 15
J. Party	Total	5
	Democrat	0
	Republican	0
	Other	0
	DTS	5
		93.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
J. Party	Democrat												
	Republican	B	H									a	a
	Other											a	a
	DTS												

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
J. Party	Total	582	464	118
	Democrat	244	181	63
	Republican	81	60	20
	Other	16	15	1
	DTS	242	208	34
		41.5%	44.8%	28.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat		A
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
J. Party	Total	582	347	235
	Democrat	244	149	95
	Republican	81	58	22
	Other	16	8	8
	DTS	242	132	110
		41.5%	37.9%	46.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat		
	Republican	B	
	Other		
	DTS		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
J. Party	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	Democrat	244	7	24	41	48	72	51
	Republican	81	0	4	8	12	13	42
	Other	16	0	1	2	4	5	4
	DTS	242	3	19	44	68	73	35
			41.5%	29.0%	39.2%	46.4%	51.7%	44.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
J. Party	Total	1
	Democrat	0
	Republican	1
	Other	0
	DTS	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
J. Party	Democrat						B C D E	a, b
	Republican	.b						a, b
	Other							a, b
	DTS			F	F	F		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
J. Party	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Democrat	242	18	92	55	47	25	5
	Republican	80	13	31	19	6	9	2
	Other	16	4	4	4	3	0	0
	DTS	242	10	84	62	57	24	5
			41.7%	22.4%	39.6%	43.9%	50.2%	41.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat						
	Republican	D					
	Other					a	
	DTS				A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
J. Party	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
	Democrat	244	3	0	69	2	49	9
	Republican	81	0	0	25	0	6	0
	Other	16	0	0	3	0	2	0
	DTS	242	0	3	92	0	48	7
			41.5%	.0%	100.0%	48.8%	.0%	45.6%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
J. Party	Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
	Democrat	11	3	2	1	65	13
	Republican	3	4	0	1	37	2
	Other	0	0	0	0	5	2
	DTS	11	1	12	8	41	5
			42.7%	11.8%	88.3%	76.6%	27.7%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	12	6	17
	Democrat	6	2	8
	Republican	1	0	0
	Other	3	0	0
	DTS	2	3	9
			16.5%	54.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a		a		
	Republican	a	a		a		a
	Other	a	a		a		a
	DTS	a	a	K	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
J. Party	Democrat			a			
	Republican		E	a			
	Other	a	a	a	a	E	
	DTS			H K L M			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
J. Party	Democrat			
	Republican		a	
	Other	C E K		
	DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Democrat	100	43	42	10	4	1
		37.4%	41.0%	37.2%	33.5%	39.5%	8.8%
	Republican	21	7	7	5	1	1
		8.0%	7.0%	6.4%	14.9%	10.5%	13.9%
	Other	6	2	3	1	0	0
	2.2%	1.8%	2.8%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
DTS	140	52	60	15	5	7	
	52.4%	50.1%	53.6%	48.9%	50.0%	77.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Party	Democrat					
	Republican					
	Other				a	a
	DTS					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Democrat	154	40	85	21	1	6
		40.4%	37.0%	38.1%	61.3%	32.5%	58.6%
	Republican	68	15	48	3	2	0
		17.8%	13.8%	21.5%	8.8%	42.9%	.0%
	Other	12	5	5	3	0	0
	3.3%	4.2%	2.4%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	
DTS	147	48	85	7	1	5	
	38.5%	45.0%	37.9%	22.1%	24.6%	41.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Party	Democrat					
	Republican					
	Other				a	a
	DTS					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household									
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
J. Party	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22	48
	Democrat	244	205	6	19	4	1	26	2	11	15
	Republican	81	72	2	14	0	0	0	0	3	6
	Other	16	11	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
	DTS	242	160	18	57	3	0	17	4	8	25
		41.5%	35.6%	68.5%	61.3%	42.6%	.0%	37.9%	66.5%	35.4%	52.6%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
J. Party	Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Democrat	5	0	4	2	22	2
	Republican	3	1	4	0	2	1
	Other	1	0	0	0	3	0
	DTS	4	0	4	12	29	4
		34.1%	25.0%	33.1%	88.3%	51.5%	58.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household								
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
J. Party	Democrat	C				a	C			
	Republican		.b		.b	a,b	.b		.b	
	Other					a				
	DTS		A	A		a,b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions c,d

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
J. Party	Democrat		a,b				
	Republican		a		.b		
	Other		a,b	.b	.b		
	DTS		a		A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
J. Party	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	Democrat	244	22	15	17	20	14	21	14
	Republican	81	11	8	2	3	5	4	0
	Other	16	3	3	1	3	2	0	0
	DTS	242	25	26	9	10	11	16	24
		41.5%	41.3%	51.1%	30.4%	27.2%	34.6%	39.2%	61.8%

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	34	132	126
	Democrat	17	56	48
	Republican	6	16	25
	Other	0	3	1
	DTS	11	58	52
		32.9%	43.6%	41.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Income							
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Party	Democrat								
	Republican								
	Other						a	a	a
	DTS								

Comparisons of Column Proportions b,c

		Household Income	
		\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
J. Party	Democrat		
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
J. Party	Total	582	167	153	262
	Democrat	244	58	80	106
		41.9%	34.4%	52.6%	40.4%
	Republican	81	36	9	35
		13.9%	21.8%	5.7%	13.5%
	Other	16	7	3	6
		2.7%	4.3%	2.0%	2.2%
	DTS	242	66	61	115
		41.5%	39.6%	39.7%	43.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Party	Democrat		A C	
	Republican	B		B
	Other			
	DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
J. Party	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Democrat	194	36	40	49	69
		42.1%	28.7%	44.0%	63.4%	41.2%
	Republican	77	8	15	12	42
		16.7%	6.7%	16.1%	15.0%	25.3%
	Other	11	7	0	0	4
		2.4%	5.3%	.3%	.0%	2.4%
	DTS	179	75	36	17	52
		38.8%	59.3%	39.6%	21.6%	31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Party	Democrat			A D	
	Republican				A
	Other			a	
	DTS	B C D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
J. Party	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
	Democrat	243	123	119	0	0	0	0	0	0
		41.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Republican	81	0	0	40	41	0	0	0	0
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	0	0	0	7	9	
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	DTS	240	0	0	0	0	128	112	0	0
		41.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Republican	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	DTS	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	582
	Dem 1	121	121
		20.8%	20.8%
	Dem 2+	66	66
		11.4%	11.4%
	Rep 1	32	32
		5.5%	5.5%
	Rep 2+	28	28
		4.9%	4.9%
	Other 1	139	139
		23.8%	23.8%
	Other 2+	67	67
		11.4%	11.4%
Dem & Rep	21	21	
	3.6%	3.6%	
Dem & Other	86	86	
	14.8%	14.8%	
Rep & Other	17	17	
	2.9%	2.9%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	
	.8%	.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.
	Dem 2+	.
	Rep 1	.
	Rep 2+	.
	Other 1	.
	Other 2+	.
	Dem & Rep	.
	Dem & Other	.
	Rep & Other	.
	Dem, Rep & Other	.
	Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	281	298	3
	Dem 1	121	60	60	1
		20.8%	21.2%	20.3%	31.9%
	Dem 2+	66	32	34	0
		11.4%	11.5%	11.5%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	15	17	0
		5.5%	5.3%	5.9%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	13	15	0
		4.9%	4.8%	5.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	63	75	1
		23.8%	22.2%	25.2%	34.4%
	Other 2+	67	31	34	1
		11.4%	11.2%	11.5%	33.7%
Dem & Rep	21	14	7	0	
	3.6%	4.9%	2.4%	.0%	
Dem & Other	86	43	43	0	
	14.8%	15.3%	14.6%	.0%	
Rep & Other	17	7	10	0	
	2.9%	2.5%	3.3%	.0%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	1	0	
	.8%	1.2%	.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1			.
	Dem 2+			.
	Rep 1			.
	Rep 2+			.
	Other 1			.
	Other 2+			.
	Dem & Rep			.
	Dem & Other			.
	Rep & Other			.
	Dem, Rep & Other			.
	Other			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Dem 1	121	9	31	30	29	20	2
		20.8%	12.3%	30.8%	21.3%	18.9%	19.6%	19.6%
	Dem 2+	66	19	6	9	16	15	2
		11.4%	24.8%	5.6%	6.1%	10.7%	14.4%	24.3%
	Rep 1	32	0	2	12	8	9	1
		5.5%	.0%	2.4%	8.3%	5.4%	8.7%	12.5%
	Rep 2+	28	0	3	4	7	12	3
		4.9%	.0%	3.2%	2.7%	4.3%	11.8%	31.1%
	Other 1	139	5	30	52	35	18	0
		23.8%	6.3%	29.3%	36.8%	22.6%	17.4%	.0%
	Other 2+	67	9	10	15	19	14	0
		11.4%	12.0%	9.9%	10.4%	12.3%	13.7%	.0%
Dem & Rep	21	0	4	4	6	6	0	
	3.6%	.0%	4.3%	2.5%	4.3%	6.3%	.0%	
Dem & Other	86	32	11	12	28	3	1	
	14.8%	41.1%	10.4%	8.7%	18.1%	3.3%	12.5%	
Rep & Other	17	0	4	4	4	4	0	
	2.9%	.0%	3.9%	3.1%	2.6%	4.3%	.0%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	0	1	1	0	
	.8%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1						
	Dem 2+	BC					
	Rep 1	a					
	Rep 2+	.					
	Other 1		A	AE	A	C	BCD
	Other 2+						a
	Dem & Rep	.					a
	Dem & Other	BCDE			E		.
	Rep & Other	a					a
Dem, Rep & Other	.	a	a			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	424	158
	Dem 1	121	67	55
		20.8%	15.7%	34.7%
	Dem 2+	66	58	8
		11.4%	13.8%	5.1%
	Rep 1	32	23	9
		5.5%	5.4%	5.9%
	Rep 2+	28	27	1
		4.9%	6.5%	.5%
	Other 1	139	85	54
		23.8%	20.0%	34.2%
	Other 2+	67	53	14
		11.4%	12.5%	8.7%
Dem & Rep	21	18	3	
	3.6%	4.2%	1.8%	
Dem & Other	86	72	14	
	14.8%	17.0%	8.9%	
Rep & Other	17	16	0	
	2.9%	3.9%	.2%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	0	
	.8%	1.1%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A
	Dem 2+	B	
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+	B	
	Other 1		A
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other	B	
	Rep & Other	B	
	Dem, Rep & Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Dem 1	121	121	0	0	0
		20.8%	49.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	66	66	0	0	0
		11.4%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	0	32	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	0	28	0	0
		4.9%	.0%	35.1%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	0	0	6	132
		23.8%	.0%	.0%	39.6%	54.8%
	Other 2+	67	0	0	3	64
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	19.2%	26.3%
Dem & Rep	21	11	10	0	0	
	3.6%	4.5%	12.4%	.0%	.0%	
Dem & Other	86	41	0	4	41	
	14.8%	17.0%	.0%	26.9%	16.9%	
Rep & Other	17	0	9	2	5	
	2.9%	.0%	11.7%	14.4%	2.1%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	1	0	0	
	.8%	1.6%	.7%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	a	a	a
	Dem 2+	.	a	.	a
	Rep 1	a	.	.	a
	Rep 2+	a	.	a	a
	Other 1	.	a	.	.
	Other 2+	.	a	.	.
	Dem & Rep	.	A	a	a
	Dem & Other	.	a	.	.
	Rep & Other	a	D	D	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Dem 1	121	121	0	0	0	0	0
		20.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	66	0	66	0	0	0	0
		11.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	0	0	32	0	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	0	28	0	0
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	0	0	0	0	0	139
		23.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	67.6%
	Other 2+	67	0	0	0	0	0	67
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.4%
Dem & Rep	21	0	0	0	0	21	0	
	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.3%	.0%	
Dem & Other	86	0	0	0	0	86	0	
	14.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	67.3%	.0%	
Rep & Other	17	0	0	0	0	17	0	
	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.0%	.0%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	
	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	a	a	a	a	a
	Dem 2+	.	a	a	a	a	a
	Rep 1	a	.	a	a	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	.	a	a	a	a
	Other 1	.	a	a	a	a	.
	Other 2+	.	a	a	a	a	.
	Dem & Rep	.	a	a	a	.	a
	Dem & Other	.	a	a	a	.	a
	Rep & Other	a	.	a	a	.	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	a	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
Dem 1	121	58	13	26	8	1
	20.8%	21.9%	16.5%	31.9%	17.9%	3.5%
Dem 2+	66	21	10	10	11	3
	11.4%	8.1%	12.8%	11.8%	24.0%	11.1%
Rep 1	32	12	3	3	2	1
	5.5%	4.5%	3.3%	3.9%	4.1%	4.6%
Rep 2+	28	5	0	1	2	6
	4.9%	2.0%	.0%	1.6%	4.6%	22.3%
Other 1	139	74	26	20	8	4
	23.8%	28.0%	33.0%	24.4%	17.6%	16.9%
Other 2+	67	28	13	3	8	3
	11.4%	10.8%	16.6%	4.0%	16.0%	12.3%
Dem & Rep	21	6	0	2	3	4
	3.6%	2.4%	.3%	2.6%	6.6%	15.1%
Dem & Other	86	48	13	14	1	3
	14.8%	18.2%	17.1%	17.4%	2.6%	10.8%
Rep & Other	17	7	0	2	3	1
	2.9%	2.6%	.4%	2.5%	6.7%	3.4%
Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	0	0	0	0
	.8%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	23	38	24
Dem 1	2	9	5
	8.7%	22.4%	19.9%
Dem 2+	1	4	6
	3.9%	11.3%	25.3%
Rep 1	4	2	6
	16.9%	5.2%	23.7%
Rep 2+	2	6	6
	7.9%	15.6%	24.5%
Other 1	4	3	0
	15.6%	7.4%	.0%
Other 2+	7	5	0
	29.1%	11.9%	.0%
Dem & Rep	0	5	0
	.0%	12.6%	1.0%
Dem & Other	2	3	1
	9.1%	9.1%	4.9%
Rep & Other	2	1	0
	8.8%	3.0%	.8%
Dem, Rep & Other	0	1	0
	.0%	1.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Dem 1						
Dem 2+				A		
Rep 1		a				
Rep 2+					A C	
Other 1						
Other 2+						
Dem & Rep					A B	
Dem & Other						
Rep & Other		a	a	a	a	
Dem, Rep & Other						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
Dem 1				
Dem 2+				
Rep 1			A B	
Rep 2+		A	A C	
Other 1			a	
Other 2+	C		a	
Dem & Rep	a	A B		
Dem & Other				
Rep & Other			a	
Dem, Rep & Other	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
Dem 1	121	1	37	10	12	2	3	8	21
	20.8%	9.9%	21.5%	24.9%	26.9%	12.8%	19.3%	19.1%	21.9%
Dem 2+	66	1	17	5	5	1	1	5	10
	11.4%	15.8%	10.1%	11.6%	11.5%	4.7%	7.8%	11.3%	10.7%
Rep 1	32	1	12	1	2	0	0	2	7
	5.5%	9.9%	6.8%	1.5%	5.2%	1.7%	.0%	3.9%	6.8%
Rep 2+	28	0	4	0	0	2	2	5	8
	4.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	10.9%	12.6%	12.9%	7.8%
Other 1	139	1	39	11	15	5	4	5	18
	23.8%	12.0%	22.5%	25.2%	31.6%	31.9%	30.1%	11.2%	18.4%
Other 2+	67	1	17	4	2	1	4	4	16
	11.4%	9.0%	10.0%	8.8%	4.7%	6.3%	30.1%	9.5%	16.5%
Dem & Rep	21	0	9	3	0	3	0	1	5
	3.6%	.0%	5.1%	7.8%	.0%	16.1%	.0%	1.4%	5.1%
Dem & Other	86	3	27	7	9	3	0	11	10
	14.8%	43.5%	15.6%	17.0%	20.1%	15.5%	.0%	25.0%	9.9%
Rep & Other	17	0	10	1	0	0	0	2	2
	2.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	2.4%
Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	.8%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%

	Total	Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	73	64	2	5	
Dem 1	17	8	1	0	
	23.1%	12.5%	46.3%	6.5%	
Dem 2+	10	11	0	0	
	13.2%	17.3%	.0%	.0%	
Rep 1	3	6	0	0	
	3.6%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	
Rep 2+	7	1	0	0	
	8.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	
Other 1	23	16	1	1	
	31.7%	24.9%	53.7%	26.6%	
Other 2+	5	12	0	1	
	6.5%	18.1%	.0%	26.6%	
Dem & Rep	1	0	0	0	
	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Dem & Other	5	10	0	2	
	7.0%	16.0%	.0%	40.4%	
Rep & Other	1	0	0	0	
	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Dem, Rep & Other	3	0	0	0	
	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12			
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)			
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1												
	Dem 2+												
	Rep 1												
	Rep 2+	a			a	a				a			
	Other 1												
	Other 2+												
	Dem & Rep	a				a					a		
	Dem & Other												
	Rep & Other	a				a				a			
	Dem, Rep & Other	a			a	a				a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1			
	Dem 2+		a	a
	Rep 1		a	a
	Rep 2+		a	a
	Other 1			
	Other 2+		a	
	Dem & Rep		a	
	Dem & Other		a	
	Rep & Other	a	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	464	118
	Dem 1	121	90	31
		20.8%	19.5%	26.0%
	Dem 2+	66	48	19
		11.4%	10.3%	16.0%
	Rep 1	32	23	9
		5.5%	5.0%	7.9%
	Rep 2+	28	19	9
		4.9%	4.1%	7.7%
	Other 1	139	120	19
		23.8%	25.8%	16.1%
	Other 2+	67	57	10
		11.4%	12.3%	8.1%
Dem & Rep	21	20	1	
	3.6%	4.3%	.9%	
Dem & Other	86	67	19	
	14.8%	14.5%	16.1%	
Rep & Other	17	16	1	
	2.9%	3.4%	.8%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	1	
	.8%	.8%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B	
	Dem 2+		
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+		
	Other 1		
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other		
	Rep & Other		
	Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	347	235
	Dem 1	121	66	55
		20.8%	19.2%	23.2%
	Dem 2+	66	46	21
		11.4%	13.1%	8.9%
	Rep 1	32	22	11
		5.5%	6.3%	4.5%
	Rep 2+	28	22	7
		4.9%	6.2%	2.9%
	Other 1	139	80	58
		23.8%	23.2%	24.8%
	Other 2+	67	36	31
		11.4%	10.4%	13.0%
Dem & Rep	21	19	2	
	3.6%	5.4%	.9%	
Dem & Other	86	42	44	
	14.8%	12.2%	18.7%	
Rep & Other	17	12	4	
	2.9%	3.5%	1.9%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	2	3	
	.8%	.5%	1.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B	A
	Dem 2+		
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+		
	Other 1		
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other		
	Rep & Other		
	Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Dem 1	121	6	15	24	20
	Dem 2+	66	2	3	12	15
	Rep 1	32	0	2	6	8
	Rep 2+	28	0	2	0	2
	Other 1	139	3	11	37	27
	Other 2+	67	0	9	7	25
	Dem & Rep	21	0	2	1	2
	Dem & Other	86	0	6	5	29
	Rep & Other	17	0	0	1	1
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	0	3

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
K. Household Party Type	Total	163	133	1
	Dem 1	37	20	0
	Dem 2+	21	14	0
	Rep 1	2	14	1
	Rep 2+	5	18	0
	Other 1	48	13	0
	Other 2+	10	16	0
	Dem & Rep	4	11	0
	Dem & Other	30	17	0
	Rep & Other	5	8	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	2	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	D F				
	Dem 2+					
	Rep 1					
	Rep 2+	.b		.b		
	Other 1			D F		F
	Other 2+	.b			E	
	Dem & Rep	.b				
	Dem & Other	.b			C	
	Rep & Other	.b				
	Dem, Rep & Other	.b	.b	.b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	a,b	a,b
	Dem 2+	E	a,b
	Rep 1	D E	a,b
	Rep 2+		a,b
	Other 1		a,b
	Other 2+		a,b
	Dem & Rep		a,b
	Dem & Other		a,b
	Rep & Other		a,b
	Dem, Rep & Other		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure	
K. Household Party Type	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12	
	Dem 1	120	14	45	29	18	11	4	
	Dem 2+	66	3	27	12	21	2	0	
	Rep 1	32	7	9	7	3	4	2	
	Rep 2+	28	4	17	4	1	2	0	
	Other 1	139	11	45	34	28	16	4	
	Other 2+	67	3	31	19	11	2	0	
	Dem & Rep	21	3	9	4	3	2	0	
	Dem & Other	86	0	21	21	26	17	2	
	Rep & Other	17	0	5	7	3	2	0	
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	1	4	0	0	0	
			.8%	.0%	.3%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1						a
	Dem 2+						.
	Rep 1	D					.
	Rep 2+						a
	Other 1						.
	Other 2+						a
	Dem & Rep						.
	Dem & Other	a			B	B	a
Rep & Other						a	
Dem, Rep & Other	a		B	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	3	3	189	2	
	Dem 1	121	1	0	27	1	
	Dem 2+	66	1	0	18	0	
	Rep 1	32	0	0	11	0	
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	7	0	
	Other 1	139	0	0	57	0	
	Other 2+	67	0	0	29	0	
	Dem & Rep	21	0	0	5	0	
	Dem & Other	86	0	3	26	1	
	Rep & Other	17	0	0	6	0	
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	3	0	
			.8%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	
K. Household Party Type	Total	106	15	25	8	14	
	Dem 1	20	5	8	3	2	
	Dem 2+	16	1	1	0	0	
	Rep 1	3	0	0	0	0	
	Rep 2+	0	0	3	2	0	
	Other 1	22	3	10	1	9	
	Other 2+	12	0	1	0	0	
	Dem & Rep	3	1	0	1	0	
	Dem & Other	29	4	2	0	3	
	Rep & Other	2	0	0	0	0	
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	1	0	0	0	
			.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Dem 1	1	33	11	2	2
	Dem 2+	11.4%	22.6%	45.9%	13.4%	42.1%
	Rep 1	0	22	2	2	0
	Rep 2+	.0%	14.7%	8.1%	19.9%	.0%
	Other 1	0	17	1	0	0
	Other 2+	.0%	11.6%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
	Dem & Rep	0	12	2	1	0
	Dem & Other	.0%	8.4%	7.9%	9.5%	.0%
	Rep & Other	5	23	6	0	1
	Dem, Rep & Other	50.1%	15.3%	25.5%	.0%	12.7%
		3	13	2	1	2
		26.5%	8.9%	7.3%	11.5%	41.6%
		0	7	0	0	0
	.0%	4.8%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	
	0	14	1	2	0	
	.0%	9.5%	2.4%	21.4%	3.6%	
	1	6	0	3	0	
	12.0%	3.8%	.0%	22.0%	.0%	
	0	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	17
	Dem 1	3
	Dem 2+	19.6%
	Rep 1	2
	Rep 2+	13.9%
	Other 1	0
	Other 2+	1.6%
	Dem & Rep	0
	Dem & Other	.0%
	Rep & Other	3
	Dem, Rep & Other	17.5%
	3	
	19.3%	
	2	
	13.6%	
	3	
	14.4%	
	0	
	.0%	
	0	
	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	a	.	a
	Dem 2+	.	a	.	a
	Rep 1	a	.	.	a
	Rep 2+	a	.	.	a
	Other 1	.	a	.	a
	Other 2+	.	a	.	a
	Dem & Rep	.	a	.	a
	Dem & Other	.	a	.	a
	Rep & Other	.	a	.	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	.	.	a	a
	Dem 2+	.	a	.	a	a
	Rep 1	a
	Rep 2+	a	.	.	C	a
	Other 1	E K
	Other 2+	.	a	.	a	a
	Dem & Rep	.	.	a	.	a
	Dem & Other	K	.	.	a	a
	Rep & Other	.	a	.	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	K	.	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	.	C	.	a
	Dem 2+	a	.	.	.	a
	Rep 1	.	.	.	a	a
	Rep 2+	a
	Other 1	a
	Other 2+	a
	Dem & Rep	a	.	a	.	a
	Dem & Other	a	.	.	.	a
	Rep & Other	.	.	a	.	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	.	a	C E	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group	
	Not sure/DK/NA	
	(O)	
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.
	Dem 2+	.
	Rep 1	.
	Rep 2+	a
	Other 1	.
	Other 2+	.
	Dem & Rep	.
	Dem & Other	.
	Rep & Other	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Under 18 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		1	2	3	4 or more		
K. Household Party Type	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Dem 1	52	26	19	4	1	1
	Dem 2+	22	9	9	4	0	0
	Rep 1	13	3	5	3	0	1
	Rep 2+	5	2	1	0	1	0
	Other 1	85	23	41	11	5	5
	Other 2+	29	12	13	3	1	1
	Dem & Rep	7	3	4	0	0	0
	Dem & Other	47	24	16	4	3	0
	Rep & Other	7	1	5	1	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				a	a
	Dem 2+				a	a
	Rep 1				.	.
	Rep 2+				.	.
	Other 1				.	.
	Other 2+		a		a	a
	Dem & Rep		.		.	.
	Dem & Other		.		.	.
	Rep & Other		.		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Over 50 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		1	2	3	4 or more		
K. Household Party Type	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Dem 1	68	30	27	7	1	3
	Dem 2+	48	7	37	4	0	0
	Rep 1	26	11	12	2	0	0
	Rep 2+	27	2	24	1	1	0
	Other 1	76	28	38	7	0	3
	Other 2+	47	18	26	0	1	1
	Dem & Rep	17	1	10	2	1	3
	Dem & Other	58	9	38	9	0	1
	Rep & Other	10	1	10	0	0	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	2	3	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type					
Dem 1	B			a	a
Dem 2+		A		a	a
Rep 1				a	a
Rep 2+		A		a	a
Other 1				a	a
Other 2+			a		
Dem & Rep				A	A
Dem & Other			A	a	a
Rep & Other			a	a	a
Dem, Rep & Other	a		B	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
K. Household Party Type							
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
Dem 1	121	100	3	6	4	1	8
	20.8%	22.2%	10.6%	6.8%	52.9%	69.2%	18.5%
Dem 2+	66	60	0	7	0	0	11
	11.4%	13.5%	.0%	7.2%	4.5%	6.5%	25.7%
Rep 1	32	30	0	6	0	0	0
	5.5%	6.7%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Rep 2+	28	23	1	4	0	0	0
	4.9%	5.2%	5.6%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Other 1	139	78	10	42	1	0	8
	23.8%	17.4%	38.9%	45.3%	15.8%	.0%	17.4%
Other 2+	67	48	5	13	2	0	8
	11.4%	10.7%	18.9%	13.6%	26.8%	24.3%	18.4%
Dem & Rep	21	20	0	4	0	0	0
	3.6%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Dem & Other	86	71	6	10	0	0	9
	14.8%	15.8%	22.5%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
Rep & Other	17	16	1	1	0	0	0
	2.9%	3.7%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
	.8%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
K. Household Party Type							
Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
Dem 1	0	8	6	4	0	3	2
	.0%	37.9%	13.4%	26.7%	.0%	26.7%	11.7%
Dem 2+	1	1	2	1	0	0	0
	21.7%	4.7%	3.2%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Rep 1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	2.2%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Rep 2+	0	3	2	2	1	2	0
	.0%	14.7%	4.8%	15.1%	75.0%	19.6%	.0%
Other 1	2	8	13	4	0	4	9
	24.0%	35.4%	26.5%	28.8%	.0%	33.1%	67.3%
Other 2+	0	0	10	2	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	21.9%	13.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
Dem & Rep	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%
Dem & Other	4	2	5	1	0	1	3
	54.3%	7.2%	11.3%	5.9%	.0%	9.3%	21.0%
Rep & Other	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	10.9%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household	
	Other	DK/NA
K. Household Party Type		
Total	56	7
Dem 1	13	2
	23.1%	30.1%
Dem 2+	3	0
	4.5%	.0%
Rep 1	0	1
	.2%	8.9%
Rep 2+	0	0
	.3%	.0%
Other 1	13	2
	24.1%	36.5%
Other 2+	4	1
	7.6%	15.4%
Dem & Rep	2	0
	3.7%	.0%
Dem & Other	18	1
	32.4%	9.2%
Rep & Other	2	0
	4.1%	.0%
Dem, Rep & Other	0	0
	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household						
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
K. Household Party Type							
Dem 1	C			C	a		b
Dem 2+		b			a		
Rep 1		b		b	a,b	N	b
Rep 2+				b	a,b	b	b
Other 1			A		a,b		
Other 2+					a		b
Dem & Rep		b		b	a,b	b	b
Dem & Other				b	a,b		
Rep & Other				b	a,b	b	b
Dem, Rep & Other		b	b	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household							
	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
K. Household Party Type								
Dem 1	C			a,b		b		b
Dem 2+				a,b	b			
Rep 1	b			a,b	b	b		
Rep 2+				a		b		b
Other 1				a,b	N		A F	
Other 2+	b			a,b	b			
Dem & Rep	b	b		a,b				
Dem & Other				a,b				
Rep & Other	b	C	b	a	b	b		b
Dem, Rep & Other	b	A	b	a,b	b	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
 a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
 b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
K. Household Party Type						
Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
Dem 1	121	15	10	11	11	2
	20.8%	25.6%	18.4%	36.8%	31.2%	6.9%
Dem 2+	66	2	2	5	6	8
	11.4%	2.6%	3.5%	15.9%	15.6%	23.5%
Rep 1	32	8	1	2	2	1
	5.5%	13.5%	1.2%	5.7%	4.9%	3.2%
Rep 2+	28	3	5	1	1	2
	4.9%	4.4%	9.1%	2.8%	4.0%	7.2%
Other 1	139	21	13	6	5	7
	23.8%	35.2%	26.0%	21.8%	12.4%	21.8%
Other 2+	67	7	8	3	4	2
	11.4%	10.8%	16.2%	11.7%	11.0%	6.6%
Dem & Rep	21	1	1	1	0	0
	3.6%	2.1%	2.7%	4.2%	.0%	.3%
Dem & Other	86	1	9	0	6	7
	14.8%	1.0%	16.6%	.3%	16.2%	22.6%
Rep & Other	17	0	3	0	2	1
	2.9%	.2%	6.2%	.8%	4.7%	4.3%
Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	0	0	1
	.8%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type						
Total	41	38	34	132	126	
Dem 1	13	10	5	26	18	
	30.5%	26.9%	16.2%	19.3%	14.2%	
Dem 2+	6	3	5	11	19	
	14.7%	7.0%	16.3%	8.7%	15.4%	
Rep 1	0	0	3	6	10	
	.6%	.0%	9.6%	4.5%	7.6%	
Rep 2+	2	0	1	2	11	
	4.8%	.0%	4.2%	1.9%	8.3%	
Other 1	14	9	6	33	24	
	34.3%	22.3%	18.4%	25.3%	18.8%	
Other 2+	1	6	2	13	20	
	2.2%	16.9%	7.1%	9.5%	15.7%	
Dem & Rep	2	0	1	7	7	
	4.9%	.4%	2.7%	4.9%	5.8%	
Dem & Other	1	10	8	32	13	
	3.3%	25.4%	22.6%	24.1%	10.5%	
Rep & Other	2	0	1	2	4	
	4.9%	1.2%	3.0%	1.9%	3.3%	
Dem, Rep & Other	0	0	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1						
	Dem 2+						
	Rep 1						
	Rep 2+						
	Other 1						
	Other 2+						
	Dem & Rep				a		
	Dem & Other					A	
	Rep & Other						
Dem, Rep & Other		a	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				
	Dem 2+	a			
	Rep 1	.			
	Rep 2+	a			
	Other 1	.			
	Other 2+				
	Dem & Rep				
	Dem & Other	A	A	A	
	Rep & Other				
Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	167	153	262
	Dem 1	121	18	48	55
		20.8%	10.9%	31.3%	21.0%
	Dem 2+	66	24	20	22
		11.4%	14.6%	13.4%	8.3%
	Rep 1	32	14	5	14
		5.5%	8.1%	3.1%	5.4%
	Rep 2+	28	16	4	8
		4.9%	9.7%	2.6%	3.1%
	Other 1	139	31	47	61
		23.8%	18.5%	30.5%	23.4%
	Other 2+	67	28	8	31
		11.4%	16.8%	5.0%	11.8%
	Dem & Rep	21	7	0	13
	3.6%	4.5%	.0%	5.1%	
Dem & Other	86	21	21	44	
	14.8%	12.4%	13.9%	16.9%	
Rep & Other	17	4	0	12	
	2.9%	2.6%	.0%	4.7%	
Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	1	
	.8%	2.0%	.0%	.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A	A
	Dem 2+			
	Rep 1			
	Rep 2+	B C		
	Other 1		A	
	Other 2+	B		
	Dem & Rep		a	
	Dem & Other		.	
	Rep & Other		a	
	Dem, Rep & Other		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
K. Household Party Type	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Dem 1	95	14	28	26	27
	Dem 2+	56	12	3	14	27
	Rep 1	29	4	3	4	18
	Rep 2+	28	2	7	4	15
	Other 1	114	58	23	10	24
	Other 2+	44	8	9	5	22
	Dem & Rep	21	1	2	3	15
	Dem & Other	57	23	10	8	15
	Rep & Other	17	3	2	5	6
	Dem, Rep & Other	2	0	1	0	1
			.4%	.0%	1.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A D	A D	
	Dem 2+			B	B
	Rep 1				
	Rep 2+				
	Other 1	B C D			
	Other 2+				
	Dem & Rep				A
	Dem & Other				
	Rep & Other				
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
K. Household Party Type	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Dem 1	120	60	60	0	0	0	0
	Dem 2+	66	34	32	0	0	0	0
	Rep 1	32	0	0	17	15	0	0
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	15	13	0	0
	Other 1	138	0	0	0	0	71	60
	Other 2+	66	0	0	0	0	33	29
	Dem & Rep	21	6	5	1	9	0	0
	Dem & Other	86	22	20	0	0	20	21
	Rep & Other	17	0	0	6	3	3	2
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	1	3	0	1	0	0
			.8%	.9%	2.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
K. Household Party Type	Total	7	9
	Dem 1	0	0
	Dem 2+	0	0
	Rep 1	0	0
	Rep 2+	0	0
	Other 1	4	2
	Other 2+	13.3%	23.8%
	Dem & Rep	0	0
	Dem & Other	2	2
	Rep & Other	0	2
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	.	a	a	a	a
	Dem 2+	.	.	a	a	a	a
	Rep 1	a	a	.	.	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a	.	.	a	a
	Other 1	a	a
	Other 2+	a	a
	Dem & Rep	a	a
	Dem & Other
	Rep & Other	a	a	E F	.	.	.
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	a	.	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	a	a
	Dem 2+	a	a
	Rep 1	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a
	Other 1	.	.
	Other 2+	.	.
	Dem & Rep	a	a
	Dem & Other	.	.
	Rep & Other	.	E F
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
L. Registration Date	Total	582	582
	2013 to 2017	263	263
		45.2%	45.2%
	2009 to 2012	78	78
		13.5%	13.5%
	2005 to 2008	81	81
		14.0%	14.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	47
		8.1%	8.1%
	1997 to 2000	27	27
	4.6%	4.6%	
1993 to 1996	23	23	
	4.0%	4.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	38	
	6.5%	6.5%	
1980 or before	24	24	
	4.2%	4.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	.
	2009 to 2012	.
	2005 to 2008	.
	2001 to 2004	.
	1997 to 2000	.
	1993 to 1996	.
	1981 to 1992	.
	1980 or before	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
L. Registration Date	Total	582	281	298	3
	2013 to 2017	263	149	113	1
		45.2%	52.9%	38.1%	33.7%
	2009 to 2012	78	34	43	1
		13.5%	12.2%	14.4%	34.4%
	2005 to 2008	81	31	50	1
		14.0%	11.0%	16.6%	31.9%
	2001 to 2004	47	23	25	0
		8.1%	8.0%	8.3%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	13	14	0
	4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	23	9	14	0	
	4.0%	3.3%	4.6%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	14	24	0	
	6.5%	5.2%	7.9%	.0%	
1980 or before	24	8	16	0	
	4.2%	2.9%	5.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B		
	2009 to 2012			
	2005 to 2008			
	2001 to 2004			a
	1997 to 2000			a
	1993 to 1996			a
	1981 to 1992			a
	1980 or before			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
L. Registration Date	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	2013 to 2017	263	57	64	67	54	20	0
		45.2%	74.5%	63.6%	47.6%	35.7%	19.7%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	17	19	26	13	4	0
		13.5%	21.9%	18.4%	18.2%	8.6%	4.1%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	3	4	30	24	20	0
		14.0%	3.6%	4.4%	21.5%	15.5%	19.9%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	6	12	23	7	0
		8.1%	.0%	5.6%	8.5%	14.9%	6.7%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	6	4	10	6	0
	4.6%	.0%	5.6%	3.0%	6.7%	6.3%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	23	0	2	0	14	7	0	
	4.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	9.0%	6.7%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	0	0	2	14	22	0	
	6.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	9.4%	21.6%	.0%	
1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	15	9	
	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	15.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	C D E	D E	E			a
	2009 to 2012	D E	E	E			a
	2005 to 2008			A B		A B	a
	2001 to 2004	a					a
	1997 to 2000	a					a
	1993 to 1996	a		a			a
	1981 to 1992	a	a		C	C D	a
	1980 or before	a	a	a		D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
L. Registration Date	Total	582	424	158
	2013 to 2017	263	157	106
		45.2%	37.1%	67.2%
	2009 to 2012	78	61	17
		13.5%	14.4%	11.1%
	2005 to 2008	81	60	22
		14.0%	14.1%	13.8%
	2001 to 2004	47	45	2
		8.1%	10.7%	1.2%
	1997 to 2000	27	23	4
	4.6%	5.4%	2.4%	
1993 to 1996	23	20	3	
	4.0%	4.7%	2.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	34	4	
	6.5%	8.1%	2.3%	
1980 or before	24	24	0	
	4.2%	5.7%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner (A)	Renter (B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004	B	
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992	B	
	1980 or before		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
L. Registration Date	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	2013 to 2017	263	108	23	10	122
		45.2%	44.4%	28.2%	62.9%	50.5%
	2009 to 2012	78	29	3	2	45
		13.5%	11.8%	3.6%	12.2%	18.6%
	2005 to 2008	81	44	7	2	28
		14.0%	18.1%	9.1%	13.9%	11.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	23	7	1	17
		8.1%	9.3%	8.6%	6.2%	6.9%
	1997 to 2000	27	7	8	0	11
	4.6%	3.0%	10.1%	.0%	4.5%	
1993 to 1996	23	3	7	0	13	
	4.0%	1.2%	9.2%	1.0%	5.2%	
1981 to 1992	38	17	13	1	7	
	6.5%	7.2%	16.3%	3.7%	2.8%	
1980 or before	24	12	12	0	0	
	4.2%	5.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017			B	B
	2009 to 2012				B
	2005 to 2008				
	2001 to 2004				
	1997 to 2000		A	a	
	1993 to 1996		A		
	1981 to 1992		D		
	1980 or before	D	A D	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
L. Registration Date	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	2013 to 2017	263	58	21	12	5	65	102
		45.2%	47.5%	32.0%	36.5%	18.5%	50.7%	49.8%
	2009 to 2012	78	13	10	3	0	14	39
		13.5%	10.7%	15.1%	8.0%	.0%	10.9%	18.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	26	10	3	1	18	23
		14.0%	21.4%	14.4%	9.7%	4.6%	14.3%	11.3%
	2001 to 2004	47	8	11	2	2	8	16
		8.1%	6.9%	17.0%	5.9%	7.7%	5.9%	7.7%
	1997 to 2000	27	1	3	1	6	8	8
	4.6%	.8%	4.4%	3.8%	20.9%	6.0%	3.8%	
1993 to 1996	23	2	1	4	2	4	10	
	4.0%	1.7%	1.4%	12.1%	6.4%	3.2%	5.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	9	4	2	6	10	7	
	6.5%	7.0%	6.4%	6.2%	20.9%	7.8%	3.6%	
1980 or before	24	5	6	6	6	2	0	
	4.2%	4.0%	9.2%	17.9%	21.0%	1.3%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				a	D	D
	2009 to 2012						
	2005 to 2008						
	2001 to 2004				A F		
	1997 to 2000						
	1993 to 1996				F		
	1981 to 1992				A E		a
	1980 or before			E			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
L. Registration Date	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	2013 to 2017	263	263	0	0	0	0
		45.2%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	0	78	0	0	0
		13.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	0	0	81	0	0
		14.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	0	47	0
		8.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	0	0	27
	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	
1993 to 1996	23	0	0	0	0	0	
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	0	0	0	0	0	
	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	0	
	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
L. Registration Date	Total	23	38	24
	2013 to 2017	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	23	0	0	
	100.0%	.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	0	38	0	
	.0%	100.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	0	0	24	
	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2009 to 2012	.	a	.	.	a	.
	2005 to 2008	.	a	a	.	a	a
	2001 to 2004	.	a	.	a	a	a
	1997 to 2000	.	a	.	a	a	a
	1993 to 1996	.	a	a	.	a	a
	1981 to 1992	.	a	.	a	a	a
	1980 or before	.	a	.	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
L. Registration Date		
2013 to 2017	a	a
2009 to 2012	a	a
2005 to 2008	a	a
2001 to 2004	a	a
1997 to 2000	a	a
1993 to 1996	a	a
1981 to 1992	a	a
1980 or before	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
L. Registration Date											
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	
2013 to 2017	263	0	87	22	22	0	8	19	25	27	
	45.2%	.0%	50.6%	51.3%	47.9%	.0%	59.1%	45.1%	26.3%	37.1%	
2009 to 2012	78	3	27	2	8	4	1	5	10	15	
	13.5%	43.5%	15.7%	5.9%	16.7%	26.7%	7.3%	10.9%	10.6%	20.6%	
2005 to 2008	81	1	18	6	6	2	1	9	18	15	
	14.0%	9.9%	10.2%	13.2%	14.0%	14.5%	9.3%	20.9%	18.9%	20.9%	
2001 to 2004	47	1	17	6	0	1	1	2	14	2	
	8.1%	12.0%	10.0%	14.7%	.6%	8.4%	7.8%	5.3%	15.0%	3.0%	
1997 to 2000	27	0	6	1	1	1	0	0	10	3	
	4.6%	.0%	3.6%	3.0%	3.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	4.6%	
1993 to 1996	23	1	7	2	2	3	0	1	3	0	
	4.0%	9.9%	4.1%	4.1%	3.6%	15.3%	.0%	3.4%	2.6%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	1	9	2	3	4	2	3	8	4	
	6.5%	9.0%	5.0%	4.3%	7.5%	25.1%	16.5%	7.0%	8.6%	5.9%	
1980 or before	24	1	2	1	3	1	0	3	7	6	
	4.2%	15.8%	.9%	3.5%	6.6%	6.2%	.0%	7.4%	7.4%	8.0%	

	Total	Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(G)	(H)	(I)
L. Registration Date				
2013 to 2017	64	2	5	
	48	1	4	
	74.0%	46.3%	66.9%	
2009 to 2012	3	0	0	
	4.1%	.0%	6.5%	
2005 to 2008	5	0	0	
	7.6%	9.8%	.0%	
2001 to 2004	0	1	0	
	.0%	43.9%	5.3%	
1997 to 2000	2	0	1	
	3.3%	.0%	21.3%	
1993 to 1996	5	0	0	
	8.5%	.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	2	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Total	Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
L. Registration Date											
2013 to 2017	J	H			a						H I
2009 to 2012											
2005 to 2008											a
2001 to 2004	a										a
1997 to 2000											
1993 to 1996											a
1981 to 1992											
1980 or before	B										a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Total	Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
L. Registration Date			
2013 to 2017	a		
2009 to 2012			
2005 to 2008		a	
2001 to 2004	D		
1997 to 2000	a	a	
1993 to 1996	a	a	
1981 to 1992	a	a	
1980 or before	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
L. Registration Date	Total	582	464	118
	2013 to 2017	263	205	59
		45.2%	44.1%	49.6%
	2009 to 2012	78	61	18
		13.5%	13.1%	14.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	66	16
		14.0%	14.2%	13.2%
	2001 to 2004	47	44	3
		8.1%	9.4%	2.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	21	5
	4.6%	4.5%	4.6%	
1993 to 1996	23	22	1	
	4.0%	4.7%	1.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	27	11	
	6.5%	5.9%	9.1%	
1980 or before	24	19	6	
	4.2%	4.0%	4.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004	B	
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
L. Registration Date	Total	582	347	235
	2013 to 2017	263	92	171
		45.2%	26.5%	72.9%
	2009 to 2012	78	55	24
		13.5%	15.8%	10.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	66	15
		14.0%	19.0%	6.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	43	5
		8.1%	12.3%	2.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	20	7
	4.6%	5.7%	2.9%	
1993 to 1996	23	19	4	
	4.0%	5.5%	1.6%	
1981 to 1992	38	34	4	
	6.5%	9.8%	1.8%	
1980 or before	24	19	5	
	4.2%	5.4%	2.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A
	2009 to 2012	B	
	2005 to 2008	B	
	2001 to 2004	B	
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996	B	
	1981 to 1992	B	
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
L. Registration Date	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	2013 to 2017	263	11	42	48	63	70
		45.2%	100.0%	84.7%	50.9%	47.9%	42.6%
	2009 to 2012	78	0	4	24	26	17
		13.5%	.0%	7.9%	25.8%	19.4%	10.3%
	2005 to 2008	81	0	3	10	21	33
		14.0%	.0%	6.6%	10.7%	15.8%	20.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	11	18	11
		8.1%	.0%	.7%	11.4%	13.5%	6.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	1	1	14
	4.6%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.9%	8.7%	
1993 to 1996	23	0	0	0	0	10	
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	5.9%	
1981 to 1992	38	0	0	0	3	7	
	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	4.4%	
1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	1	
	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
L. Registration Date	Total	133	1
	2013 to 2017	30	0
		22.8%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	7	1
		5.5%	100.0%
	2005 to 2008	14	0
		10.5%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	7	0
		5.4%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	10	0
	7.6%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	13	0	
	9.9%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	28	0	
	21.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	23	0	
	17.4%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	CDEF	F	F	F
	2009 to 2012	a		EF	F	
	2005 to 2008	a				
	2001 to 2004	a				
	1997 to 2000	a	a			D
	1993 to 1996	a	a	a		D
	1981 to 1992	a	a	a		
	1980 or before	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		a,b
	2009 to 2012		a,b
	2005 to 2008		a,b
	2001 to 2004		a,b
	1997 to 2000	D	a,b
	1993 to 1996	D	a,b
	1981 to 1992	DE	a,b
	1980 or before	E	a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
L. Registration Date	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	2013 to 2017	262	12	95	65	56	29	5
		45.3%	27.6%	45.2%	46.2%	49.2%	50.0%	41.4%
	2009 to 2012	78	2	24	19	20	8	6
		13.5%	4.6%	11.5%	13.2%	17.5%	13.7%	49.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	8	25	19	20	9	1
		14.0%	17.5%	11.8%	13.5%	17.3%	15.5%	8.7%
	2001 to 2004	46	2	17	12	9	6	0
		8.0%	3.7%	8.3%	8.5%	8.3%	10.3%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	1	10	10	3	2	0
	4.6%	2.9%	4.8%	7.5%	2.2%	3.5%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	22	4	9	3	3	3	0	
	3.9%	9.5%	4.1%	2.3%	2.4%	6.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	6	17	11	3	0	0	
	6.5%	13.7%	8.2%	7.9%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	24	9	13	1	0	1	0	
	4.2%	20.5%	6.1%	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date						A B C
2013 to 2017						.
2009 to 2012						.
2005 to 2008						.
2001 to 2004						.
1997 to 2000						.
1993 to 1996						.
1981 to 1992						.
1980 or before	B C E			a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
L. Registration Date	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	2013 to 2017	263	0	3	88	0	51
	2009 to 2012	78	0	0	26	2	16
	2005 to 2008	81	1	0	20	0	25
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	23	0	8
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	10	0	3
	1993 to 1996	23	0	0	12	0	1
	1981 to 1992	38	1	0	10	0	1
	1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	0
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
L. Registration Date	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	2013 to 2017	1	18	6	9	8
	2009 to 2012	4	0	0	0	1
	2005 to 2008	5	2	0	3	1
	2001 to 2004	1	0	0	2	0
	1997 to 2000	1	3	0	0	0
	1993 to 1996	0	0	1	0	0
	1981 to 1992	1	2	1	0	0
	1980 or before	1	0	0	0	0
		7.1%	73.7%	71.0%	63.9%	76.6%
		28.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%
		33.5%	6.9%	.0%	24.4%	12.0%
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
		7.1%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	.0%
		6.7%	6.4%	17.2%	.0%	.0%
		9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	2013 to 2017	51	14	6	1	6
	2009 to 2012	16	5	1	3	5
	2005 to 2008	16	2	2	1	2
	2001 to 2004	9	1	0	0	2
	1997 to 2000	5	1	1	0	2
	1993 to 1996	8	0	0	0	0
	1981 to 1992	21	1	1	0	0
	1980 or before	22	0	1	0	0
		34.7%	61.0%	55.0%	16.8%	35.2%
		10.7%	21.2%	5.3%	45.2%	26.6%
		10.9%	8.1%	17.7%	23.2%	10.2%
		6.0%	4.6%	.0%	8.1%	13.9%
		3.3%	2.4%	8.0%	4.5%	13.6%
		5.7%	.0%	2.7%	2.2%	.0%
		13.8%	2.8%	4.5%	.0%	.5%
		14.9%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017
	2009 to 2012
	2005 to 2008
	2001 to 2004
	1997 to 2000
	1993 to 1996
	1981 to 1992	C E
	1980 or before

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
L. Registration Date					
2013 to 2017		F K			F
2009 to 2012		a	a	a	
2005 to 2008			a		
2001 to 2004		a	a		a
1997 to 2000			a	a	a
1993 to 1996	a	a		a	a
1981 to 1992			a	a	a
1980 or before		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
L. Registration Date					
2013 to 2017					
2009 to 2012					
2005 to 2008			a		
2001 to 2004					
1997 to 2000		a			a
1993 to 1996				a	
1981 to 1992	E			a	a
1980 or before		a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					Not sure/DK/NA
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	
L. Registration Date	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	2013 to 2017	135	52	62	12	5	4
	2009 to 2012	42	16	19	5	1	1
	2005 to 2008	45	16	18	8	2	0
	2001 to 2004	25	11	9	4	0	1
	1997 to 2000	7	3	2	1	0	0
	1993 to 1996	7	2	1	0	1	2
	1981 to 1992	3	3	0	0	0	0
	1980 or before	3	1	1	0	1	0
			1.2%	.6%	1.1%	.0%	13.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	L. Registration Date	People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2013 to 2017						
2009 to 2012						
2005 to 2008				a		
2001 to 2004				a	a	
1997 to 2000						
1993 to 1996		a	a		A B	
1981 to 1992				a	a	
1980 or before			a	A B	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	2013 to 2017	145	44	80	15	0	4
		38.0%	41.2%	35.8%	45.7%	2.1%	40.5%
	2009 to 2012	39	10	25	2	0	2
		10.3%	9.2%	11.3%	6.7%	.0%	14.0%
	2005 to 2008	54	17	28	9	0	0
		14.3%	16.0%	12.3%	27.9%	.0%	3.4%
	2001 to 2004	34	10	20	2	1	1
		9.0%	9.3%	9.0%	5.5%	23.3%	9.4%
	1997 to 2000	25	6	16	1	0	2
	6.7%	5.3%	7.2%	3.3%	.0%	21.5%	
1993 to 1996	22	8	13	0	0	0	
	5.7%	7.9%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	37	6	26	3	2	0	
	9.8%	5.8%	11.8%	8.7%	42.1%	.0%	
1980 or before	24	6	15	1	1	1	
	6.4%	5.3%	6.7%	2.2%	32.5%	11.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				a	
	2009 to 2012				a	
	2005 to 2008					
	2001 to 2004				a	
	1997 to 2000				a	a
	1993 to 1996			a	a	a
	1981 to 1992				A	a
	1980 or before					a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
L. Registration Date	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	2013 to 2017	263	199	13	47	3	0	21	0
		45.2%	44.4%	48.0%	50.9%	47.1%	30.8%	49.0%	7.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	67	2	13	0	1	6	3
		13.5%	15.0%	8.4%	14.3%	.0%	69.2%	13.2%	42.6%
	2005 to 2008	81	54	5	9	4	0	11	2
		14.0%	12.0%	19.6%	9.3%	52.9%	.0%	24.7%	37.3%
	2001 to 2004	47	37	3	10	0	0	3	0
		8.1%	8.2%	10.5%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	1.4%
	1997 to 2000	27	21	1	3	0	0	2	0
	4.6%	4.6%	4.4%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	23	15	0	5	0	0	1	0	
	4.0%	3.3%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	31	2	5	0	0	0	1	
	6.5%	7.0%	9.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	
1980 or before	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	4.2%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	2013 to 2017	17	24	6	0	7	9	29	3
		78.1%	49.4%	46.3%	25.0%	58.0%	63.9%	52.8%	45.2%
	2009 to 2012	0	7	2	0	1	0	11	2
		.0%	14.1%	15.2%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	18.9%	29.7%
	2005 to 2008	0	2	3	0	2	3	12	1
		.0%	4.7%	21.7%	.0%	13.8%	24.4%	21.4%	9.4%
	2001 to 2004	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
		.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	2.8%	15.7%
	1997 to 2000	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
	14.7%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	0	8	1	1	1	0	0	0	
	.0%	17.1%	5.9%	75.0%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	
	7.2%	5.0%	5.9%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
1980 or before	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				b	a			b
	2009 to 2012					a,b			b
	2005 to 2008				C b	a,b			b
	2001 to 2004				b	a,b			b
	1997 to 2000		b		b	a,b		b	b
	1993 to 1996				b	a,b	b		b
	1981 to 1992				b	a,b			b
	1980 or before		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
L. Registration Date			a				
2013 to 2017			a,b		b		
2009 to 2012			a,b				
2005 to 2008			a,b	b			
2001 to 2004		b	a,b	b	b		b
1997 to 2000		b	a,b	b	b	b	b
1993 to 1996	A		a		b	b	b
1981 to 1992			a,b	b	b	b	b
1980 or before	b		a,b		b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
L. Registration Date	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	2013 to 2017	263	23	22	10	21	13	25
		45.2%	37.6%	43.1%	33.4%	56.5%	40.0%	60.7%
	2009 to 2012	78	7	5	5	0	5	3
		13.5%	11.0%	9.1%	16.4%	.8%	14.7%	6.5%
	2005 to 2008	81	9	7	4	5	4	5
		14.0%	14.7%	12.9%	14.8%	13.0%	11.3%	11.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	1	3	3	4	4	2
		8.1%	1.5%	5.9%	11.6%	12.0%	13.6%	3.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	2	4	1	1	0	2
		4.6%	3.5%	7.3%	2.2%	2.5%	.0%	4.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	8	2	0	0	3	1
		4.0%	12.9%	3.1%	.0%	.4%	8.9%	2.5%
	1981 to 1992	38	4	4	5	5	2	3
		6.5%	6.6%	7.0%	16.8%	14.3%	7.5%	7.1%
	1980 or before	24	7	6	1	0	1	2
		4.2%	12.1%	11.7%	4.7%	.5%	3.9%	4.3%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	38	34	132	126
	2013 to 2017	19	13	70	48
		49.0%	39.2%	52.6%	38.1%
	2009 to 2012	13	5	17	20
		33.2%	16.1%	12.5%	15.7%
	2005 to 2008	5	9	20	14
		12.3%	27.3%	15.4%	11.3%
	2001 to 2004	0	4	12	13
		.0%	12.0%	9.0%	10.7%
	1997 to 2000	0	1	8	8
		.0%	4.4%	5.8%	6.6%
	1993 to 1996	1	0	3	5
		2.7%	.0%	2.6%	4.0%
	1981 to 1992	1	0	3	11
		2.3%	.5%	2.1%	8.8%
	1980 or before	0	0	0	6
		.4%	.6%	.0%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017						
	2009 to 2012						
	2005 to 2008						
	2001 to 2004					a	
	1997 to 2000						a
	1993 to 1996			a			
	1981 to 1992			l			
	1980 or before						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	D			
	2009 to 2012				
	2005 to 2008	a			
	2001 to 2004	a			
	1997 to 2000				
	1993 to 1996		a		
	1981 to 1992				
	1980 or before			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
L. Registration Date	Total	582	167	153	262
	2013 to 2017	263	41	89	133
		45.2%	24.7%	58.0%	50.9%
	2009 to 2012	78	14	26	38
		13.5%	8.5%	17.3%	14.4%
	2005 to 2008	81	27	24	30
		14.0%	16.2%	15.8%	11.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	14	9	24
		8.1%	8.5%	6.0%	9.1%
	1997 to 2000	27	14	1	11
	4.6%	8.6%	.6%	4.3%	
1993 to 1996	23	13	0	10	
	4.0%	7.8%	.0%	3.8%	
1981 to 1992	38	23	2	13	
	6.5%	13.9%	1.5%	4.8%	
1980 or before	24	20	1	3	
	4.2%	12.0%	.8%	1.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A	A
	2009 to 2012			
	2005 to 2008			
	2001 to 2004			
	1997 to 2000	B		
	1993 to 1996		a	
	1981 to 1992	B C		
	1980 or before	B C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
L. Registration Date	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	2013 to 2017	146	81	25	13	27
		31.6%	64.3%	27.7%	16.8%	16.0%
	2009 to 2012	76	35	24	13	3
		16.4%	27.7%	26.8%	16.9%	2.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	8	22	24	27
		17.6%	6.5%	24.8%	30.8%	16.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	6	12	29
		10.2%	.0%	6.9%	14.9%	17.4%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	8	4	14
	5.7%	.0%	9.3%	5.0%	8.5%	
1993 to 1996	23	0	3	3	17	
	5.0%	.2%	3.8%	3.2%	10.0%	
1981 to 1992	38	2	1	6	30	
	8.2%	1.2%	.6%	7.6%	17.9%	
1980 or before	24	0	0	4	20	
	5.3%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	12.2%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B C D			
	2009 to 2012	D	D	D	
	2005 to 2008		A	A D	
	2001 to 2004	a			
	1997 to 2000	a			
	1993 to 1996				A
	1981 to 1992				A B
	1980 or before	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
L. Registration Date	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	2013 to 2017	262	46	63	7	16	56	65
		45.3%	37.2%	52.3%	18.1%	38.0%	43.9%	58.0%
	2009 to 2012	77	13	16	2	1	27	17
		13.4%	10.5%	13.1%	5.0%	2.3%	21.2%	14.9%
	2005 to 2008	80	26	17	6	2	17	11
		13.9%	21.0%	14.4%	14.1%	4.2%	13.4%	9.4%
	2001 to 2004	47	13	10	2	5	9	8
		8.1%	10.6%	8.0%	5.2%	11.9%	6.8%	7.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	3	5	4	4	7	4
	4.6%	2.2%	4.0%	9.7%	10.5%	5.6%	3.4%	
1993 to 1996	23	2	1	4	4	8	5	
	4.0%	1.6%	.8%	9.3%	9.1%	6.2%	4.1%	
1981 to 1992	38	12	5	8	6	4	3	
	6.6%	10.1%	4.2%	19.1%	13.5%	2.8%	3.0%	
1980 or before	24	8	4	8	4	0	0	
	4.2%	6.8%	3.2%	19.4%	10.4%	.0%	.1%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
L. Registration Date	Total	7	9
	2013 to 2017	4	6
		61.8%	63.8%
	2009 to 2012	1	1
		13.3%	11.4%
	2005 to 2008	1	1
		11.6%	15.8%
	2001 to 2004	1	0
		11.2%	2.4%
	1997 to 2000	0	0
	.0%	.0%	
1993 to 1996	0	0	
	2.2%	.0%	
1981 to 1992	0	1	
	.0%	6.6%	
1980 or before	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		C				A C	
	2009 to 2012							
	2005 to 2008							
	2001 to 2004							a
	1997 to 2000							.
	1993 to 1996							a
	1981 to 1992			E F				.
	1980 or before			B F	F	a		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Male Oth	(H)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		a
	1997 to 2000		.
	1993 to 1996		a
	1981 to 1992		.
	1980 or before		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2006	Total	582	582
	No	448	448
	Poll	61	61
	Mail	73	73
November 2006	Total	582	582
	No	375	375
	Poll	105	105
	Mail	102	102
November 2007	Total	582	582
	No	454	454
	Poll	21	21
	Mail	107	107
February 2008	Total	582	582
	No	356	356
	Poll	67	67
	Mail	159	159
June 2008	Total	582	582
	No	439	439
	Poll	23	23
	Mail	120	120
November 2008	Total	582	582
	No	267	267
	Poll	68	68
	Mail	247	247
May 2009	Total	582	582
	No	415	415
	Poll	22	22
	Mail	145	145
November 2009	Total	582	582
	No	402	402

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Total	
		Total	Total
November 2009	No	69.0%	69.0%
	Poll	35	35
	Mail	145	145
June 2010	Total	582	582
	No	401	401
	Poll	34	34
November 2010	Total	582	582
	No	287	287
	Poll	67	67
June 2012	Total	582	582
	No	357	357
	Poll	35	35
November 2012	Total	582	582
	No	205	205
	Poll	93	93
June 2014	Total	582	582
	No	346	346
	Poll	36	36
November 2014	Total	582	582
	No	265	265
	Poll	67	67
June 2016	Total	582	582
	No	250	250

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2016	No	43.0%	43.0%
	Poll	73	73
	Mail	259	259
		44.4%	44.4%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2006	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	448	228	218	1
	Poll	61	21	38	2
	Mail	73	32	42	0
		76.9%	81.1%	73.4%	34.4%
		10.5%	7.6%	12.6%	65.6%
		12.6%	11.3%	14.0%	.0%
November 2006	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	375	195	178	1
	Poll	105	46	57	2
	Mail	102	40	62	0
		64.4%	69.4%	59.9%	34.4%
		18.0%	16.4%	19.1%	65.6%
		17.6%	14.3%	21.0%	.0%
November 2007	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	454	229	221	3
	Poll	21	6	15	0
	Mail	107	45	62	0
		78.0%	81.5%	74.4%	100.0%
		3.6%	2.3%	4.9%	.0%
		18.4%	16.2%	20.7%	.0%
February 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	356	169	186	1
	Poll	67	38	29	0
	Mail	159	75	82	2
		61.2%	60.0%	62.6%	34.4%
		11.5%	13.4%	9.8%	.0%
		27.4%	26.6%	27.7%	65.6%
June 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	439	225	213	1
	Poll	23	10	12	0
	Mail	120	46	72	2
		75.4%	79.9%	71.7%	34.4%
		3.9%	3.6%	4.2%	.0%
		20.7%	16.5%	24.1%	65.6%
November 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	267	139	127	1
	Poll	68	38	30	0
	Mail	247	104	141	2
		45.8%	49.4%	42.5%	34.4%
		11.7%	13.5%	10.1%	.0%
		42.5%	37.1%	47.4%	65.6%
May 2009	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	415	207	207	1
	Poll	22	11	11	0
	Mail	145	63	80	2
		71.3%	73.4%	69.6%	34.4%
		3.8%	4.0%	3.6%	.0%
		24.9%	22.5%	26.8%	65.6%
November 2009	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	402	205	194	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
November 2009	No	69.0%	72.8%	65.1%	100.0%
	Poll	35	15	20	0
		6.1%	5.5%	6.7%	.0%
	Mail	145	61	84	0
		24.9%	21.8%	28.2%	.0%
June 2010	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	401	205	196	0
		68.9%	72.8%	66.0%	.0%
	Poll	34	14	20	0
		5.9%	5.0%	6.8%	.0%
November 2010	Mail	147	63	81	3
		25.2%	22.3%	27.3%	100.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	287	154	133	0
		49.3%	54.6%	44.8%	.0%
June 2012	Poll	67	32	35	0
		11.5%	11.4%	11.6%	.0%
	Mail	228	95	130	3
		39.2%	33.9%	43.5%	100.0%
November 2012	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	357	181	176	1
		61.4%	64.2%	59.0%	34.4%
	Poll	35	19	17	0
		6.1%	6.6%	5.7%	.0%
June 2014	Mail	189	82	105	2
		32.5%	29.2%	35.3%	65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	205	114	91	0
		35.1%	40.4%	30.5%	.0%
November 2014	Poll	93	41	52	0
		15.9%	14.5%	17.3%	.0%
	Mail	285	127	155	3
		49.0%	45.0%	52.1%	100.0%
June 2016	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	346	174	170	1
		59.4%	61.9%	57.3%	34.4%
	Poll	36	13	23	0
		6.2%	4.7%	7.7%	.0%
November 2016	Mail	200	94	104	2
		34.4%	33.4%	35.1%	65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	265	137	127	0
		45.4%	48.8%	42.7%	.0%
June 2016	Poll	67	29	37	0
		11.5%	10.4%	12.5%	.0%
	Mail	251	115	133	3
		43.1%	40.8%	44.7%	100.0%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2016	No	43.0%	45.2%	41.0%	33.7%
	Poll	73	31	42	0
		12.6%	11.1%	14.0%	.0%
June 2016	Mail	259	123	134	2
		44.4%	43.7%	44.9%	66.3%

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
June 2006	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	448	77	91	125	102	51	2
	Poll	76.9%	100.0%	89.6%	88.6%	66.9%	50.6%	19.0%
	Mail	61	0	3	2	31	20	4
November 2006	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	375	75	82	107	71	40	0
	Poll	105	0	8	8	53	30	5
	Mail	102	2	11	25	29	32	3
November 2007	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	454	74	99	125	101	52	2
	Poll	21	0	0	0	13	7	1
	Mail	107	3	2	15	38	43	6
February 2008	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	356	74	77	104	69	32	0
	Poll	67	0	10	6	29	18	4
	Mail	159	3	15	31	55	52	4
June 2008	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	439	74	97	117	102	47	3
	Poll	23	0	2	3	10	6	2
	Mail	120	3	2	21	41	49	4
November 2008	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	267	69	61	72	46	19	0
	Poll	68	2	16	12	23	13	2
	Mail	247	6	25	57	83	70	7
May 2009	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	415	71	96	107	89	52	0
	Poll	22	0	0	1	12	5	3
	Mail	145	6	5	33	51	45	6
November 2009	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	402	72	88	111	81	49	1

		Age						Not coded
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
November 2009	No	69.0%	93.7%	86.4%	78.9%	53.1%	48.1%	11.8%
	Poll	35	0	2	4	16	11	2
	Mail	6.1%	.0%	2.4%	3.1%	10.2%	10.7%	24.3%
June 2010	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	401	70	90	104	93	45	0
	Poll	34	2	4	6	10	9	2
November 2010	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	287	66	70	71	58	22	0
	Poll	67	4	15	12	20	14	2
June 2012	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	357	72	78	94	78	34	2
	Poll	35	2	2	7	12	9	2
November 2012	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	205	56	47	53	31	17	0
	Poll	93	7	21	16	30	17	2
June 2014	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	346	74	74	77	71	46	3
	Poll	36	0	4	9	15	6	1
November 2014	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	265	72	63	58	45	25	1
	Poll	67	2	11	16	22	13	2
June 2016	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	No	250	47	56	60	49	35	2

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
June 2016	No	43.0%	61.5%	55.8%	42.4%	32.3%	34.7%	24.9%
	Poll	73	10	10	20	21	10	3
		12.6%	12.8%	9.5%	14.2%	13.6%	9.5%	36.1%
	Mail	259	20	35	61	83	57	3
		44.4%	25.6%	34.7%	43.3%	54.1%	55.7%	38.9%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2006	Total	582	424	158
	No	448	311	136
		76.9%	73.4%	86.4%
	Poll	61	51	10
		10.5%	12.1%	6.0%
June 2006	Mail	73	61	12
		12.6%	14.5%	7.6%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	375	256	118
	64.4%	60.4%	75.1%	
November 2006	Poll	105	86	19
		18.0%	20.3%	11.9%
	Mail	102	82	20
		17.6%	19.3%	13.0%
November 2006	Total	582	424	158
	No	454	309	145
		78.0%	72.7%	92.2%
	Poll	21	20	1
	3.6%	4.8%	.5%	
November 2007	Mail	107	96	11
		18.4%	22.5%	7.3%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	356	241	114
	61.2%	56.9%	72.6%	
February 2008	Poll	67	53	14
		11.5%	12.6%	8.6%
	Mail	159	130	30
		27.4%	30.6%	18.7%
June 2008	Total	582	424	158
	No	439	306	133
		75.4%	72.1%	84.4%
	Poll	23	19	3
	3.9%	4.6%	2.1%	
June 2008	Mail	120	99	21
		20.7%	23.3%	13.5%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	267	178	88
	45.8%	42.0%	56.1%	
November 2008	Poll	68	48	20
		11.7%	11.4%	12.5%
	Mail	247	198	49
		42.5%	46.7%	31.4%
May 2009	Total	582	424	158
	No	415	285	130
		71.3%	67.0%	82.7%
	Poll	22	20	2
	3.8%	4.7%	1.2%	
May 2009	Mail	145	120	25
		24.9%	28.2%	16.1%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	402	265	136

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
November 2009	No	69.0%	62.5%	86.4%
	Poll	35	33	2
		6.1%	7.8%	1.5%
	Mail	145	126	19
		24.9%	29.7%	12.0%
	Total	582	424	158
June 2010	No	401	267	134
		68.9%	63.0%	84.8%
	Poll	34	32	2
		5.9%	7.6%	1.3%
	Mail	147	125	22
		25.2%	29.4%	13.9%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2010	No	287	183	104
		49.3%	43.2%	65.8%
	Poll	67	55	11
		11.5%	13.0%	7.2%
	Mail	228	186	43
		39.2%	43.7%	27.0%
	Total	582	424	158
June 2012	No	357	238	119
		61.4%	56.2%	75.5%
	Poll	35	31	4
		6.1%	7.3%	2.7%
	Mail	189	155	34
		32.5%	36.5%	21.8%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2012	No	205	125	80
		35.1%	29.4%	50.6%
	Poll	93	73	19
		15.9%	17.3%	12.2%
	Mail	285	226	59
		49.0%	53.3%	37.2%
	Total	582	424	158
June 2014	No	346	227	119
		59.4%	53.4%	75.4%
	Poll	36	33	3
		6.2%	7.9%	1.7%
	Mail	200	164	36
		34.4%	38.7%	22.9%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2014	No	265	162	103
		45.4%	38.1%	65.3%
	Poll	67	52	15
		11.5%	12.3%	9.2%
	Mail	251	211	40
		43.1%	49.7%	25.4%
	Total	582	424	158
June 2016	No	250	172	78

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.5%	49.6%
	Poll	73	47	26
		12.6%	11.1%	16.5%
	Mail	259	205	53
		44.4%	48.3%	33.9%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2006	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	448	178	48	12	210
	Poll	61	31	13	2	15
	Mail	73	35	20	1	17
November 2006	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	375	159	25	13	178
	Poll	105	46	27	2	29
	Mail	102	39	28	1	34
November 2007	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	454	197	48	12	197
	Poll	21	5	6	0	11
	Mail	107	43	27	4	34
February 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	356	137	31	9	179
	Poll	67	34	14	1	18
	Mail	159	73	36	5	45
June 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	439	175	48	13	204
	Poll	23	13	5	0	4
	Mail	120	56	27	3	34
November 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	267	98	18	9	141
	Poll	68	35	15	2	16
	Mail	247	111	48	5	84
May 2009	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	415	177	37	13	188
	Poll	22	8	7	1	6
	Mail	145	58	37	2	48
November 2009	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	402	167	36	13	186

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
November 2009	No	69.0%	68.6%	44.4%	80.1%	76.9%
	Poll	35	14	8	0	13
	Mail	145	63	37	3	43
June 2010	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	401	166	30	14	191
	Poll	34	15	12	1	7
November 2010	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	287	103	15	13	157
	Poll	67	33	19	1	14
June 2012	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	357	142	30	13	173
	Poll	35	20	7	0	8
November 2012	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	205	74	13	8	109
	Poll	93	47	16	1	29
June 2014	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	346	139	35	11	161
	Poll	36	16	8	0	12
November 2014	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	265	99	21	10	134
	Poll	67	37	11	0	19
June 2016	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	250	88	26	8	129

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2016	No	43.0%	35.9%	32.2%	48.8%	53.4%
	Poll	73	40	10	2	21
	Mail	12.6%	16.4%	12.5%	11.0%	8.8%
		259	116	45	6	91
		44.4%	47.7%	55.3%	40.2%	37.8%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2006	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	448	92	42	18	17	106	172
	Poll	76.9%	76.2%	63.5%	56.1%	58.4%	82.5%	84.0%
	Mail	61	18	9	3	6	8	16
		10.5%	14.8%	14.2%	10.0%	20.7%	6.3%	8.0%
		73	11	15	11	6	14	16
		12.6%	8.9%	22.3%	33.9%	20.9%	11.2%	8.0%
November 2006	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	375	80	41	12	7	83	152
	Poll	64.4%	66.3%	61.5%	35.9%	25.5%	64.6%	73.9%
	Mail	105	25	15	6	14	21	25
		18.0%	20.5%	21.9%	19.5%	48.0%	16.4%	12.0%
		102	16	11	14	8	25	29
		17.6%	13.2%	16.7%	44.6%	26.5%	19.1%	14.1%
November 2007	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	454	101	51	22	15	102	164
	Poll	78.0%	83.1%	76.4%	68.1%	52.3%	79.1%	79.9%
	Mail	21	1	2	1	3	5	9
		3.6%	1.0%	3.3%	2.8%	11.0%	4.0%	4.2%
		107	19	13	9	10	22	33
		18.4%	15.9%	20.2%	29.2%	36.7%	17.0%	16.0%
February 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	356	70	32	13	8	81	152
	Poll	61.2%	57.6%	47.7%	40.5%	29.9%	63.0%	74.0%
	Mail	67	19	12	3	9	9	15
		11.5%	15.5%	18.3%	8.0%	33.2%	6.9%	7.4%
		159	33	23	17	10	39	38
		27.4%	26.9%	34.0%	51.5%	36.9%	30.2%	18.6%
June 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	439	89	45	22	16	95	171
	Poll	75.4%	73.7%	67.3%	69.2%	55.4%	74.3%	83.5%
	Mail	23	6	5	2	2	4	4
		3.9%	5.2%	7.7%	7.1%	5.4%	2.9%	1.8%
		120	25	17	8	11	29	30
		20.7%	21.0%	25.0%	23.8%	39.2%	22.8%	14.6%
November 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	267	45	26	10	5	63	117
	Poll	45.8%	37.4%	39.3%	30.5%	19.3%	48.7%	57.1%
	Mail	68	20	9	3	9	16	12
		11.7%	16.4%	13.0%	10.1%	31.3%	12.3%	5.6%
		247	56	32	19	14	50	77
		42.5%	46.2%	47.7%	59.3%	49.4%	39.0%	37.3%
May 2009	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	415	94	42	16	12	94	157
	Poll	71.3%	77.6%	62.6%	50.8%	42.5%	72.8%	76.6%
	Mail	22	2	3	1	4	5	5
		3.8%	2.0%	5.2%	4.4%	15.4%	4.0%	2.5%
		145	25	21	14	12	30	43
		24.9%	20.4%	32.2%	44.8%	42.1%	23.2%	20.9%
November 2009	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	402	91	42	16	10	86	157

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
November 2009	No	69.0%	75.1%	63.4%	50.5%	34.0%	66.8%	76.4%
		35	6	5	3	4	9	9
	Poll	6.1%	4.7%	8.1%	8.9%	13.2%	6.8%	4.3%
	Mail	145	24	19	13	15	34	40
		24.9%	20.2%	28.5%	40.5%	52.8%	26.4%	19.3%
June 2010	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	401	89	37	13	10	91	161
		68.9%	73.4%	55.6%	41.2%	33.6%	70.8%	78.6%
	Poll	34	4	5	3	5	11	6
		5.9%	3.3%	8.1%	9.9%	17.7%	8.5%	2.8%
	Mail	147	28	24	16	14	27	38
		25.2%	23.3%	36.3%	48.9%	48.7%	20.7%	18.6%
November 2010	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	287	50	29	9	3	60	136
		49.3%	41.4%	43.2%	26.6%	12.0%	47.0%	66.2%
	Poll	67	16	11	4	9	16	11
		11.5%	12.8%	16.6%	13.9%	31.9%	12.3%	5.3%
	Mail	228	55	27	19	16	52	59
		39.2%	45.8%	40.1%	59.5%	56.1%	40.7%	28.5%
June 2012	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	357	75	29	13	11	82	147
		61.4%	62.2%	43.9%	39.2%	37.7%	64.0%	71.7%
	Poll	35	6	9	1	4	8	7
		6.1%	5.1%	13.8%	4.3%	13.2%	6.6%	3.2%
	Mail	189	40	28	18	14	38	52
		32.5%	32.7%	42.3%	56.5%	49.1%	29.4%	25.2%
November 2012	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	205	35	18	8	3	53	88
		35.1%	28.6%	27.3%	23.9%	10.4%	41.1%	43.0%
	Poll	93	21	18	4	10	16	25
		15.9%	17.2%	26.9%	11.8%	33.7%	12.2%	12.1%
	Mail	285	66	30	21	16	60	92
		49.0%	54.2%	45.8%	64.4%	55.9%	46.7%	44.9%
June 2014	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	346	69	35	13	12	83	135
		59.4%	56.6%	52.6%	38.9%	42.6%	64.2%	65.8%
	Poll	36	8	4	3	3	7	11
		6.2%	6.8%	5.8%	9.5%	10.7%	5.4%	5.3%
	Mail	200	44	28	17	13	39	59
		34.4%	36.6%	41.6%	51.6%	46.7%	30.4%	28.9%
November 2014	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	265	51	22	9	7	69	107
		45.4%	42.0%	33.4%	26.8%	23.7%	54.0%	51.9%
	Poll	67	20	11	4	5	14	14
		11.5%	16.5%	16.3%	11.5%	16.5%	10.8%	6.6%
	Mail	251	50	33	20	17	45	85
		43.1%	41.5%	50.2%	61.7%	59.8%	35.2%	41.4%
June 2016	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	250	50	19	12	6	58	105

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2016	No	43.0%	41.5%	27.9%	38.5%	20.0%	45.2%	51.3%
	Poll	73	22	11	5	5	13	18
		12.6%	17.8%	16.5%	14.1%	17.4%	9.9%	8.9%
	Mail	259	49	37	15	18	58	82
		44.4%	40.7%	55.6%	47.4%	62.6%	44.9%	39.8%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2006	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	448	244	73	69	18	18	11
	Poll	61	9	4	6	13	2	5
	Mail	73	11	2	6	16	6	7
November 2006	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	375	222	70	55	9	8	6
	Poll	105	19	7	14	19	9	8
	Mail	102	22	2	12	19	10	10
November 2007	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	454	248	77	59	28	12	9
	Poll	21	2	0	4	0	3	4
	Mail	107	14	2	18	19	12	10
February 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	356	214	72	39	11	7	7
	Poll	67	14	4	14	8	6	3
	Mail	159	35	3	28	28	13	13
June 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	439	233	76	53	27	15	15
	Poll	23	4	0	5	1	1	1
	Mail	120	26	2	23	19	11	7
November 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	267	192	61	6	2	2	1
	Poll	68	19	8	15	7	6	1
	Mail	247	51	10	60	39	19	21
May 2009	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	415	231	71	52	26	14	6
	Poll	22	2	3	3	0	0	2
	Mail	145	30	5	26	21	12	16
November 2009	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	402	237	72	40	22	10	6

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2006	Total	38	24
	No	11	4
	Poll	11	11
	Mail	16	10
November 2006	Total	38	24
	No	5	0
	Poll	18	11
	Mail	14	13
November 2007	Total	38	24
	No	14	7
	Poll	6	2
	Mail	19	14
February 2008	Total	38	24
	No	5	1
	Poll	10	7
	Mail	23	15
June 2008	Total	38	24
	No	13	7
	Poll	7	3
	Mail	18	14
November 2008	Total	38	24
	No	2	0
	Poll	9	3
	Mail	27	21
May 2009	Total	38	24
	No	11	4
	Poll	7	4
	Mail	20	16
November 2009	Total	38	24
	No	8	6

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
November 2009	No	69.0%	90.1%	91.2%	49.6%	47.1%	38.0%	26.9%
	Poll	35	5	4	10	4	2	0
	Mail	6.1%	1.9%	4.6%	12.2%	7.8%	7.3%	.0%
June 2010	Total	145	21	3	31	21	14	17
	No	24.9%	8.0%	4.2%	38.2%	45.1%	54.7%	73.1%
	Poll	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
November 2010	No	401	235	63	44	24	13	8
	Poll	68.9%	89.3%	79.9%	54.0%	51.6%	47.8%	36.5%
	Mail	34	6	4	7	0	4	1
June 2012	Total	5.9%	2.3%	5.1%	8.5%	.3%	13.3%	3.8%
	No	147	22	12	31	23	10	14
	Poll	25.2%	8.5%	15.0%	37.5%	48.1%	38.9%	59.7%
November 2012	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	287	209	45	12	10	4	4
	Poll	49.3%	79.5%	57.1%	15.0%	21.1%	13.5%	19.0%
June 2014	Total	67	16	12	15	4	6	1
	No	11.5%	6.2%	15.4%	18.1%	8.2%	21.7%	5.7%
	Poll	228	38	22	54	33	17	17
November 2014	Total	39.2%	14.3%	27.5%	66.9%	70.7%	64.7%	75.3%
	No	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	Poll	357	226	55	34	13	12	5
June 2016	Total	61.4%	85.9%	70.2%	41.3%	27.5%	45.7%	23.3%
	No	35	5	3	15	1	1	1
	Poll	6.1%	1.9%	3.2%	18.0%	2.3%	3.1%	3.8%
November 2009	Total	189	32	21	33	33	14	17
	No	32.5%	12.3%	26.5%	40.7%	70.2%	51.2%	72.9%
	Poll	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
June 2010	Total	205	189	6	5	0	0	2
	No	35.1%	71.8%	7.7%	5.7%	.6%	.0%	10.8%
	Poll	93	22	20	20	7	8	1
November 2010	Total	15.9%	8.3%	26.0%	25.1%	13.8%	29.6%	4.4%
	No	285	52	52	56	40	19	20
	Poll	49.0%	19.9%	66.2%	69.2%	85.6%	70.4%	84.8%
June 2012	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	346	220	45	36	11	10	9
	Poll	59.4%	83.5%	57.9%	44.1%	23.3%	37.3%	39.3%
November 2012	Total	36	6	9	5	4	2	1
	No	6.2%	2.2%	11.0%	6.7%	8.6%	7.4%	4.5%
	Poll	200	38	24	40	32	15	13
June 2014	Total	34.4%	14.3%	31.1%	49.2%	68.1%	55.3%	56.2%
	No	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	Poll	265	200	29	15	6	7	4
November 2014	Total	45.4%	75.8%	36.4%	18.1%	12.0%	27.0%	15.7%
	No	67	17	9	16	5	4	1
	Poll	11.5%	6.5%	11.0%	19.6%	11.6%	13.4%	5.1%
June 2016	Total	251	46	41	51	36	16	18
	No	43.1%	17.7%	52.6%	62.3%	76.4%	59.6%	79.2%
	Poll	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
November 2009	Total	250	160	28	23	11	10	6
	No							

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
November 2009	No	20.4%	26.0%
	Poll	8	3
	Mail	22.3%	11.4%
June 2010	Total	22	15
	No	57.3%	62.6%
	Poll	38	24
November 2010	Total	11	3
	No	29.6%	11.6%
	Poll	9	4
June 2012	Total	23.2%	16.4%
	No	18	17
	Poll	47.2%	71.9%
November 2012	Total	38	24
	No	3	0
	Poll	8.0%	.0%
June 2014	Total	9	4
	No	22.9%	16.4%
	Poll	26	20
November 2014	Total	69.1%	83.6%
	No	38	24
	Poll	7	5
June 2016	Total	19.3%	20.1%
	No	7	4
	Poll	17.1%	16.4%
November 2009	Total	24	15
	No	63.6%	63.4%
	Poll	38	24
June 2010	Total	1	1
	No	3.8%	2.6%
	Poll	11	4
November 2010	Total	27.8%	16.2%
	No	26	20
	Poll	68.4%	81.2%
June 2012	Total	38	24
	No	9	6
	Poll	22.7%	25.0%
November 2012	Total	7	2
	No	18.1%	9.5%
	Poll	23	16
June 2014	Total	59.2%	65.5%
	No	38	24
	Poll	2	3
November 2014	Total	6.0%	12.2%
	No	9	5
	Poll	24.5%	22.4%
June 2016	Total	26	16
	No	69.5%	65.4%
	Poll	38	24
November 2009	Total	5	7
	No		

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2016	No	43.0%	60.9%	35.5%	28.2%	23.3%	36.3%	26.5%
	Poll	73 12.6%	30 11.5%	9 11.7%	14 16.8%	3 6.5%	2 8.4%	1 5.0%
	Mail	259 44.4%	73 27.6%	41 52.7%	45 55.0%	33 70.2%	15 55.3%	16 68.5%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2016	No	13.9%	30.1%
	Poll	9 24.0%	4 18.3%
	Mail	24 62.2%	13 51.6%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
June 2006	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	448 76.9%	4 62.4%	135 77.8%	35 82.6%	34 74.7%	10 59.3%	10 75.7%	32 76.5%	64 66.2%	60 82.1%	59 92.2%
	Poll	61 10.5%	1 15.8%	21 11.9%	5 10.9%	6 12.7%	3 18.8%	0 .0%	5 12.1%	13 13.4%	6 7.6%	1 1.5%
November 2006	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	375 64.4%	3 52.5%	103 59.4%	29 69.9%	29 63.8%	7 41.7%	10 71.2%	25 60.1%	56 58.2%	51 69.9%	56 87.5%
	Poll	105 18.0%	2 25.7%	43 24.6%	9 20.4%	7 15.1%	6 36.3%	1 4.5%	10 23.3%	18 18.4%	7 9.9%	2 3.8%
November 2007	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	454 78.0%	4 62.4%	138 79.8%	37 87.4%	34 73.5%	7 41.0%	11 80.2%	30 71.0%	69 70.9%	60 82.5%	58 90.6%
	Poll	21 3.6%	0 .0%	7 4.3%	0 .2%	4 8.0%	0 .0%	0 1.6%	1 3.4%	3 2.8%	1 1.0%	5 7.2%
February 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	356 61.2%	3 52.5%	101 58.2%	31 72.8%	27 57.7%	7 41.7%	9 66.5%	25 59.1%	46 47.5%	45 61.5%	58 90.0%
	Poll	67 11.5%	1 15.8%	22 12.8%	3 8.0%	7 15.6%	2 9.2%	0 2.7%	5 12.0%	16 16.2%	10 13.7%	1 .9%
June 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	439 75.4%	3 52.5%	130 75.4%	36 85.3%	36 78.2%	9 53.5%	10 77.0%	29 68.4%	68 70.8%	56 77.0%	56 87.2%
	Poll	23 3.9%	1 15.8%	10 6.0%	1 3.0%	1 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.1%	4 4.4%	1 1.1%	1 .9%
November 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	267 45.8%	3 43.5%	77 44.3%	22 52.6%	21 46.3%	3 16.6%	8 58.8%	15 36.0%	34 35.6%	34 46.7%	45 70.5%
	Poll	68 11.7%	2 24.8%	21 12.2%	5 11.0%	8 16.7%	3 15.5%	0 1.1%	6 15.4%	14 14.5%	9 12.6%	1 .9%
May 2009	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	415 71.3%	4 64.5%	117 67.7%	32 76.4%	35 75.8%	7 44.3%	10 77.0%	26 61.9%	63 65.0%	56 76.0%	59 91.5%
	Poll	22 3.8%	1 15.8%	9 5.2%	0 .0%	2 5.1%	2 10.1%	0 .0%	3 6.5%	3 3.5%	2 2.5%	0 .0%
November 2009	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	445 76.5%	4 62.4%	120 70.0%	32 76.2%	32 70.0%	5 29.4%	10 71.4%	24 57.1%	64 66.0%	53 72.7%	54 84.4%
	Poll	145 24.9%	1 19.7%	47 27.1%	10 23.6%	9 19.1%	8 45.6%	3 23.0%	13 31.6%	31 31.5%	16 21.5%	5 8.5%

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
June 2006	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	43.9%	4.3%
	Mail	0	3
November 2006	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	43.9%	4.3%
	Mail	0	3
November 2007	Total	2	5
	No	2	4
	Poll	0	0
	Mail	0	1
February 2008	Total	2	5
	No	2	3
	Poll	0	0
	Mail	0	3
June 2008	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	0	0
	Mail	1	3
November 2008	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	0	0
	Mail	1	3
May 2009	Total	2	5
	No	2	3
	Poll	0	0
	Mail	0	3
November 2009	Total	2	5
	No	1	3

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
November 2009	No	69.0%	62.4%	69.1%	76.9%	69.9%	29.4%	72.3%	57.3%	65.8%	72.3%	84.1%
	Poll	35	1	14	2	5	1	0	4	3	6	0
	Mail	145	1	40	8	9	10	4	14	30	14	10
		24.9%	21.8%	23.1%	19.0%	18.8%	62.3%	26.1%	34.1%	31.5%	19.4%	15.9%
June 2010	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	401	5	118	32	36	10	9	24	54	51	57
	Poll	34	0	15	2	1	0	0	3	8	4	1
	Mail	147	2	40	8	9	7	4	15	35	18	7
November 2010	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	287	4	79	20	25	5	6	13	42	36	52
	Poll	67	1	25	3	5	2	0	8	10	12	1
	Mail	228	2	69	19	16	10	7	20	44	25	12
June 2012	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	357	4	105	31	29	5	10	23	52	44	51
	Poll	35	1	13	2	6	0	0	4	5	4	1
	Mail	189	1	55	9	12	12	4	16	40	25	13
November 2012	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	205	3	55	18	17	1	5	12	23	23	44
	Poll	93	2	24	9	12	0	0	6	20	13	7
	Mail	285	2	95	15	17	16	8	24	54	37	13
June 2014	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	346	4	87	31	31	3	9	24	47	47	56
	Poll	36	0	16	3	4	0	1	4	5	3	1
	Mail	200	2	70	8	11	13	4	14	44	24	7
November 2014	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	265	4	67	19	25	3	6	16	44	28	46
	Poll	67	1	21	10	9	0	0	5	8	10	2
	Mail	251	1	85	13	11	13	7	22	45	35	16
June 2016	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	250	4	63	20	20	2	5	19	37	29	49

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
November 2009	No	46.3%	52.1%
	Poll	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Mail	1	3
		53.7%	47.9%
June 2010	Total	2	5
	No	2	3
		90.2%	57.4%
	Poll	0	0
		.0%	.0%
November 2010	Mail	0	2
		9.8%	42.6%
	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
		46.3%	47.9%
June 2012	Poll	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Mail	0	3
		9.8%	49.0%
November 2012	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
		46.3%	46.7%
	Poll	0	0
		.0%	4.3%
June 2014	Mail	1	3
		53.7%	49.0%
	Total	2	5
	No	1	4
		46.3%	72.3%
November 2014	Poll	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Mail	1	2
		53.7%	32.0%
June 2016	Total	2	5
	No	1	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
June 2016	No	43.0%	62.4%	36.3%	47.7%	42.6%	9.6%	37.4%	45.9%	37.8%	39.1%	76.2%
	Poll	73	1	25	5	12	0	0	4	12	11	2
		12.6%	15.8%	14.7%	11.6%	26.3%	.9%	1.6%	9.4%	12.3%	14.8%	3.5%
June 2016	Mail	259	1	85	17	14	15	8	19	48	34	13
		44.4%	21.8%	49.0%	40.8%	31.1%	89.5%	60.9%	44.7%	49.9%	46.1%	20.3%

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
June 2016	No	46.3%	46.7%
	Poll	0	0
		9.8%	.0%
June 2016	Mail	1	3
		43.9%	53.3%

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	582	464	118
	No	448	346	102
	Poll	61	46	15
	Mail	73	72	1
November 2006	Total	582	464	118
	No	375	289	86
	Poll	105	74	31
	Mail	102	102	1
November 2007	Total	582	464	118
	No	454	350	103
	Poll	21	10	11
	Mail	107	104	4
February 2008	Total	582	464	118
	No	356	280	76
	Poll	67	27	40
	Mail	159	157	2
June 2008	Total	582	464	118
	No	439	343	96
	Poll	23	5	18
	Mail	120	116	4
November 2008	Total	582	464	118
	No	267	207	59
	Poll	68	20	48
	Mail	247	237	11
May 2009	Total	582	464	118
	No	415	315	100
	Poll	22	7	15
	Mail	145	142	3
November 2009	Total	582	464	118
	No	402	310	92

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	No	69.0%	66.8%	77.7%
	Poll	35	11	24
	Mail	145	143	2
June 2010	Total	582	464	118
	No	401	308	93
	Poll	34	14	21
	Mail	147	142	5
November 2010	Total	582	464	118
	No	287	228	60
	Poll	67	16	51
June 2012	Total	582	464	118
	No	357	270	88
	Poll	35	8	27
November 2012	Total	582	464	118
	No	205	156	48
	Poll	93	30	62
June 2014	Total	582	464	118
	No	346	269	77
	Poll	36	6	30
November 2014	Total	582	464	118
	No	265	202	62
	Poll	67	17	50
June 2016	Total	582	464	118
	No	250	188	62

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.5%	52.9%
	Poll	73	22	51
	Mail	259	254	4
		44.4%	54.8%	3.8%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	582	347	235
	No	448	222	226
	Poll	61	56	5
	Mail	73	69	4
November 2006	Total	582	347	235
	No	375	169	205
	Poll	105	84	20
	Mail	102	93	9
November 2007	Total	582	347	235
	No	454	232	222
	Poll	21	13	8
	Mail	107	103	4
February 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	356	157	199
	Poll	67	44	23
	Mail	159	146	13
June 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	439	219	220
	Poll	23	17	6
	Mail	120	112	9
November 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	267	98	168
	Poll	68	38	30
	Mail	247	211	37
May 2009	Total	582	347	235
	No	415	194	221
	Poll	22	18	4
	Mail	145	136	10
November 2009	Total	582	347	235
	No	402	190	211

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	No	69.0%	54.9%	89.8%
	Poll	35	21	15
		6.1%	6.0%	6.2%
	Mail	145	136	9
		24.9%	39.1%	3.9%
	Total	582	347	235
June 2010	No	401	183	218
		68.9%	52.6%	92.9%
	Poll	34	26	8
		5.9%	7.5%	3.5%
	Mail	147	138	8
		25.2%	39.9%	3.6%
	Total	582	347	235
November 2010	No	287	101	186
		49.3%	29.1%	79.2%
	Poll	67	33	34
		11.5%	9.6%	14.3%
	Mail	228	213	15
		39.2%	61.3%	6.5%
	Total	582	347	235
June 2012	No	357	141	217
		61.4%	40.6%	92.1%
	Poll	35	26	10
		6.1%	7.4%	4.1%
	Mail	189	180	9
		32.5%	52.0%	3.8%
	Total	582	347	235
November 2012	No	205	46	159
		35.1%	13.2%	67.5%
	Poll	93	49	44
		15.9%	14.0%	18.7%
	Mail	285	253	32
		49.0%	72.8%	13.8%
	Total	582	347	235
June 2014	No	346	120	225
		59.4%	34.6%	96.0%
	Poll	36	27	9
		6.2%	7.8%	3.8%
	Mail	200	200	1
		34.4%	57.5%	.3%
	Total	582	347	235
November 2014	No	265	64	200
		45.4%	18.6%	85.1%
	Poll	67	39	28
		11.5%	11.2%	11.9%
	Mail	251	244	7
		43.1%	70.3%	3.0%
	Total	582	347	235
June 2016	No	250	58	193

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	No	43.0%	16.6%	82.0%
	Poll	73	39	34
		12.6%	11.2%	14.6%
	Mail	259	250	8
		44.4%	72.2%	3.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
June 2006	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	448	11	45	80	113	124	74
		76.9%	99.1%	91.0%	85.6%	85.7%	76.2%	55.8%
	Poll	61	0	1	7	8	18	26
		10.5%	.0%	1.6%	7.8%	6.2%	11.2%	19.9%
	Mail	73	0	4	6	11	21	32
		12.6%	.9%	7.4%	6.6%	8.1%	12.6%	24.3%
November 2006	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	375	11	39	78	94	109	43
		64.4%	99.1%	80.0%	82.5%	71.8%	66.4%	32.7%
	Poll	105	0	4	11	13	27	50
		18.0%	.0%	7.4%	11.5%	10.1%	16.5%	37.9%
	Mail	102	0	6	6	24	28	39
		17.6%	.9%	12.6%	6.0%	18.1%	17.1%	29.3%
November 2007	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	454	11	46	89	111	122	76
		78.0%	99.1%	93.3%	94.5%	84.1%	74.4%	57.0%
	Poll	21	0	0	0	1	9	11
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	5.6%	8.2%
	Mail	107	0	3	5	20	33	46
		18.4%	.9%	6.7%	5.5%	15.1%	20.0%	34.8%
February 2008	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	356	11	37	74	98	94	42
		61.2%	99.1%	75.5%	78.5%	74.5%	57.3%	31.7%
	Poll	67	0	2	5	9	23	27
		11.5%	.0%	4.7%	5.7%	6.7%	14.2%	20.5%
	Mail	159	0	10	15	25	46	63
		27.4%	.9%	19.8%	15.7%	18.8%	28.4%	47.8%
June 2008	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	439	11	42	88	109	118	71
		75.4%	99.1%	84.8%	93.7%	83.0%	72.3%	53.4%
	Poll	23	0	0	0	4	8	11
		3.9%	.0%	.8%	.2%	3.0%	4.7%	7.9%
	Mail	120	0	7	6	18	38	51
		20.7%	.9%	14.4%	6.1%	14.0%	23.1%	38.6%
November 2008	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	267	9	32	55	80	64	27
		45.8%	85.7%	64.9%	58.6%	60.5%	39.0%	20.4%
	Poll	68	1	6	10	10	21	19
		11.7%	10.7%	12.8%	10.7%	7.9%	12.7%	14.2%
	Mail	247	0	11	29	42	79	87
		42.5%	3.6%	22.3%	30.7%	31.6%	48.3%	65.4%
May 2009	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	415	11	44	82	101	114	62
		71.3%	99.1%	88.9%	87.7%	77.0%	70.0%	46.6%
	Poll	22	0	1	1	4	4	12
		3.8%	.0%	1.3%	.8%	3.2%	2.4%	9.3%
	Mail	145	0	5	11	26	45	58
		24.9%	.9%	9.8%	11.4%	19.8%	27.6%	44.1%
November 2009	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	402	11	46	87	90	101	66

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
June 2006	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2006	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2007	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
February 2008	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
June 2008	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2008	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
May 2009	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2009	Total	1
	No	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
November 2009	No	69.0%	99.1%	93.9%	92.2%	68.7%	61.8%	49.8%
	Poll	35 6.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	11 8.0%	14 8.5%	11 8.1%
	Mail	145 24.9%	0 .9%	3 6.1%	7 7.6%	31 23.3%	48 29.6%	56 42.1%
June 2010	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	401 68.9%	11 99.1%	44 89.5%	80 85.3%	92 69.7%	113 69.2%	62 46.4%
	Poll	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .5%	2 2.2%	7 5.1%	11 6.6%	14 10.4%
November 2010	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	287 49.3%	11 99.1%	38 77.1%	63 66.8%	74 55.9%	71 43.2%	32 23.8%
	Poll	67 11.5%	0 .0%	2 3.6%	6 6.6%	12 9.2%	25 15.3%	21 15.9%
June 2012	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	357 61.4%	11 99.1%	40 81.0%	72 77.0%	84 63.8%	96 58.5%	54 41.0%
	Poll	35 6.1%	0 .0%	0 .7%	1 1.5%	8 6.4%	14 8.4%	12 8.8%
November 2012	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	205 35.1%	10 96.4%	33 67.0%	40 42.2%	58 44.5%	42 25.5%	21 16.1%
	Poll	93 15.9%	0 2.7%	4 8.2%	11 11.7%	14 11.0%	36 22.1%	26 19.7%
June 2014	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	346 59.4%	11 99.1%	41 84.3%	64 68.1%	78 59.7%	95 58.4%	56 41.9%
	Poll	36 6.2%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	1 1.3%	9 6.6%	12 7.6%	12 9.0%
November 2014	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	265 45.4%	11 99.1%	35 72.0%	48 50.6%	71 54.3%	62 38.0%	37 28.2%
	Poll	67 11.5%	0 .0%	4 7.2%	8 8.3%	12 9.0%	25 15.6%	17 13.1%
June 2016	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	250	6	29	32	59	76	47

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
November 2009	No	100.0%
	Poll	0 .0%
	Mail	0 .0%
June 2010	Total	1
	No	0 .0%
	Poll	1 100.0%
November 2010	Total	1
	No	0 .0%
	Poll	1 100.0%
June 2012	Total	1
	No	1 100.0%
	Poll	0 .0%
November 2012	Total	1
	No	0 .0%
	Poll	1 100.0%
June 2014	Total	1
	No	0 .0%
	Poll	1 100.0%
November 2014	Total	1
	No	0 .0%
	Poll	1 100.0%
June 2016	Total	1
	No	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
June 2016	No	43.0%	55.2%	59.5%	34.5%	45.0%	46.6%	35.3%
	Poll	73 12.6%	5 43.9%	5 9.6%	13 13.6%	14 10.6%	22 13.3%	15 11.5%
	Mail	259 44.4%	0 .9%	15 30.9%	49 52.0%	58 44.4%	66 40.2%	71 53.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
June 2016	No	100.0%
	Poll	0 .0%
	Mail	0 .0%

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
June 2006	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	448 76.9%	1 35.5%	22 49.7%	152 71.9%	114 81.3%	96 83.9%	51 88.6%	12 100.0%
	Poll	61 10.5%	1 34.4%	13 28.1%	24 11.2%	10 7.1%	12 10.1%	2 4.2%	0 .0%
June 2006	Mail	73 12.6%	1 30.0%	10 22.3%	36 16.9%	16 11.6%	7 6.0%	4 7.1%	0 .0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	375 64.4%	1 31.8%	12 26.4%	124 59.0%	92 65.5%	88 77.5%	46 79.1%	11 98.1%
November 2006	Poll	105 18.0%	1 38.2%	18 39.3%	41 19.5%	23 16.6%	17 14.5%	5 9.0%	0 1.9%
	Mail	102 17.6%	1 30.0%	15 34.3%	45 21.5%	25 17.9%	9 8.0%	7 11.8%	0 .0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
November 2007	No	454 78.0%	1 36.2%	23 51.1%	157 74.7%	107 76.4%	107 86.3%	55 95.7%	11 98.1%
	Poll	21 3.6%	0 .0%	3 5.6%	8 3.6%	7 5.2%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	0 1.9%
	Mail	107 18.4%	1 63.8%	19 43.3%	46 21.7%	26 18.4%	12 10.6%	3 4.3%	0 .0%
February 2008	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	356 61.2%	1 31.8%	11 25.4%	120 56.9%	93 66.0%	76 66.9%	44 75.3%	11 95.2%
	Poll	67 11.5%	0 .0%	8 17.2%	23 11.1%	10 7.5%	16 14.0%	9 15.4%	0 1.9%
February 2008	Mail	159 27.4%	1 68.2%	26 57.4%	67 31.9%	37 26.5%	22 19.0%	5 9.2%	0 2.9%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	439 75.4%	1 35.5%	16 36.2%	158 75.0%	108 77.0%	93 81.2%	52 89.4%	11 97.1%
June 2008	Poll	23 3.9%	0 .0%	2 5.5%	8 3.9%	5 3.5%	4 3.7%	3 4.7%	0 .0%
	Mail	120 20.7%	1 64.5%	26 58.3%	44 21.0%	27 19.4%	17 15.1%	3 5.9%	0 2.9%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
November 2008	No	267 45.8%	1 31.8%	6 12.5%	92 43.5%	62 44.3%	56 49.5%	40 68.9%	10 86.3%
	Poll	68 11.7%	0 .0%	4 9.0%	25 12.1%	13 9.0%	16 14.3%	9 14.8%	1 8.9%
	Mail	247 42.5%	1 68.2%	35 78.5%	94 44.5%	66 46.7%	41 36.2%	9 16.3%	1 4.8%
May 2009	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	415 71.3%	1 31.8%	17 38.5%	148 70.2%	104 74.1%	82 72.0%	52 89.0%	11 97.1%
	Poll	22 3.8%	0 .0%	4 7.9%	8 3.6%	3 2.1%	7 6.1%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
May 2009	Mail	145 24.9%	1 68.2%	24 53.6%	55 26.3%	34 23.8%	25 21.9%	5 9.2%	0 2.9%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	402	1	20	144	95	82	48	11

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
November 2009	No	69.0%	35.5%	45.3%	68.6%	67.5%	71.8%	83.6%	92.7%
	Poll	35	0	3	11	9	10	3	1
	Mail	6.1%	.0%	5.6%	5.4%	6.1%	8.4%	4.7%	4.3%
		145	1	22	55	37	23	7	0
	Total	24.9%	64.5%	49.1%	26.0%	26.4%	19.8%	11.7%	2.9%
June 2010	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	401	1	22	138	99	85	47	9
	Poll	68.9%	31.8%	49.7%	65.3%	70.3%	74.7%	81.5%	78.6%
	Mail	34	0	3	11	10	5	4	1
	Total	5.9%	.0%	7.3%	5.3%	6.9%	4.6%	6.5%	9.4%
	Poll	147	1	19	62	32	24	7	1
	Mail	25.2%	68.2%	43.0%	29.4%	22.9%	20.7%	11.9%	11.9%
November 2010	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	287	1	13	105	63	61	37	8
	Poll	49.3%	31.8%	28.9%	49.8%	44.7%	53.2%	64.2%	68.1%
	Mail	67	0	4	20	19	17	5	2
	Total	11.5%	.0%	8.0%	9.4%	13.6%	14.7%	8.9%	19.9%
	Poll	228	1	28	86	59	37	16	1
	Mail	39.2%	68.2%	63.1%	40.8%	41.7%	32.2%	26.9%	11.9%
June 2012	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	357	1	16	130	81	77	46	7
	Poll	61.4%	31.8%	36.3%	61.5%	57.6%	67.7%	78.7%	59.3%
	Mail	35	0	2	10	9	10	4	0
	Total	6.1%	.0%	5.4%	4.5%	6.5%	9.0%	6.6%	1.9%
	Poll	189	1	26	72	51	27	9	4
	Mail	32.5%	68.2%	58.3%	33.9%	35.9%	23.3%	14.7%	38.8%
November 2012	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	205	1	7	75	47	44	26	5
	Poll	35.1%	31.8%	15.9%	35.8%	33.1%	38.3%	45.1%	41.4%
	Mail	93	0	2	33	24	19	14	1
	Total	15.9%	.0%	5.0%	15.9%	16.7%	16.4%	23.4%	9.4%
	Poll	285	1	36	102	71	52	18	6
	Mail	49.0%	68.2%	79.0%	48.3%	50.2%	45.3%	31.5%	49.2%
June 2014	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	346	1	20	115	80	76	46	8
	Poll	59.4%	31.8%	44.7%	54.7%	56.7%	66.9%	79.2%	66.7%
	Mail	36	0	2	14	11	6	2	1
	Total	6.2%	.0%	4.4%	6.6%	7.8%	5.4%	3.6%	7.0%
	Poll	200	1	23	81	50	32	10	3
	Mail	34.4%	68.2%	50.9%	38.6%	35.5%	27.7%	17.2%	26.3%
November 2014	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	265	1	10	96	59	58	38	3
	Poll	45.4%	31.8%	22.5%	45.4%	42.1%	51.0%	64.7%	28.6%
	Mail	67	0	6	20	17	15	7	2
	Total	11.5%	.0%	12.6%	9.7%	12.0%	13.0%	12.7%	13.2%
	Poll	251	1	29	95	65	41	13	7
	Mail	43.1%	68.2%	64.9%	44.9%	46.0%	36.0%	22.5%	58.2%
June 2016	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	250	0	14	90	64	51	25	7

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
June 2016	No	43.0%	4.4%	30.3%	42.7%	45.8%	44.5%	42.4%	58.5%
	Poll	73	0	5	28	15	19	5	1
	Mail	12.6%	.0%	10.9%	13.4%	10.5%	16.3%	9.4%	10.0%
	Total	259	2	26	93	61	45	28	4
	Mail	44.4%	95.6%	58.8%	43.9%	43.7%	39.2%	48.1%	31.5%

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
June 2006	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	448	1	3	148	2	101
	Poll	61	1	0	14	0	4
	Mail	73	0	0	27	0	1
November 2006	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	375	0	3	129	2	86
	Poll	105	1	0	22	0	18
	Mail	102	1	0	38	0	1
November 2007	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	454	3	3	141	2	98
	Poll	21	0	0	6	0	5
	Mail	107	0	0	42	0	3
February 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	356	1	3	128	2	76
	Poll	67	1	0	8	0	18
	Mail	159	0	0	54	0	12
June 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	439	1	3	151	1	94
	Poll	23	1	0	2	0	3
	Mail	120	0	0	36	1	9
November 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	267	1	3	94	0	57
	Poll	68	0	0	9	1	24
	Mail	247	1	0	86	1	24
May 2009	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	415	1	3	142	2	87
	Poll	22	1	0	3	0	3
	Mail	145	0	0	44	0	15

		Ethnic Group					Caucasian or White
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	
June 2006	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	10	25	8	12	9	79
	Poll	2	0	0	2	1	30
	Mail	3	0	0	0	0	40
November 2006	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	7	20	6	12	9	57
	Poll	5	3	2	2	1	40
	Mail	3	2	0	0	0	51
November 2007	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	23	5	14	9	94
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	10
	Mail	3	2	3	0	1	45
February 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	7	20	6	12	9	52
	Poll	0	3	0	2	0	32
	Mail	8	2	2	0	1	64
June 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	23	5	10	9	83
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	15
	Mail	4	2	3	3	1	50
November 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	5	15	5	9	7	40
	Poll	0	3	0	0	0	24
	Mail	10	7	3	5	3	84
May 2009	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	23	5	12	8	78
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	13
	Mail	5	2	3	2	2	58

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	9	4	17
	Poll	85.1%	73.9%	66.5%	99.1%
	Mail	2	2	2	0
November 2006	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	17	6	3	17
	Poll	70.9%	53.6%	55.4%	97.4%
	Mail	6	2	2	0
November 2007	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	9	5	17
	Poll	87.5%	73.6%	85.3%	97.4%
	Mail	0	0	0	0
February 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	5	3	14
	Poll	77.0%	39.1%	51.8%	80.9%
	Mail	1	0	0	0
June 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	7	3	17
	Poll	85.1%	60.0%	59.9%	96.2%
	Mail	3	4	2	1
November 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	13	5	1	10
	Poll	57.1%	45.5%	10.2%	60.0%
	Mail	4	1	0	1
May 2009	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	19	7	4	14
	Poll	81.2%	58.4%	62.1%	78.3%
	Mail	6	5	5	6
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	19	7	4	14
	Poll	81.2%	58.4%	62.1%	78.3%
	Mail	4	5	2	3
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	19	7	4	14
	Poll	81.2%	58.4%	62.1%	78.3%
	Mail	4	5	2	3

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
November 2009	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	402	1	3	131	2	81
	Poll	69.0%	50.0%	100.0%	69.3%	100.0%	76.6%
	Mail	35	1	0	8	0	13
June 2010	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	401	1	3	147	2	83
	Poll	68.9%	50.0%	100.0%	77.7%	100.0%	78.1%
	Mail	34	1	0	4	0	8
November 2010	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	287	0	3	107	0	57
	Poll	49.3%	.0%	100.0%	56.7%	.0%	53.5%
	Mail	67	1	0	10	1	15
June 2012	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	357	0	3	123	2	68
	Poll	61.4%	.0%	100.0%	64.8%	100.0%	64.6%
	Mail	35	1	0	5	0	13
November 2012	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	205	0	3	77	0	41
	Poll	35.1%	.0%	100.0%	40.5%	.0%	38.7%
	Mail	93	1	0	17	1	26
June 2014	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	346	1	3	120	1	63
	Poll	59.4%	50.0%	100.0%	63.3%	48.8%	59.2%
	Mail	36	1	0	3	1	10
November 2014	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	265	0	3	89	0	48
	Poll	45.4%	.0%	100.0%	47.1%	.0%	45.6%
	Mail	67	1	0	7	1	23
	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	251	1	0	94	1	35
	Poll	43.1%	50.0%	.0%	49.5%	48.8%	33.1%
	Mail	200	0	0	66	0	33
	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	346	1	3	120	1	63
	Poll	59.4%	50.0%	100.0%	63.3%	48.8%	59.2%
	Mail	36	1	0	3	1	10
	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	265	0	3	89	0	48
	Poll	45.4%	.0%	100.0%	47.1%	.0%	45.6%
	Mail	67	1	0	7	1	23
	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	251	1	0	94	1	35
	Poll	43.1%	50.0%	.0%	49.5%	48.8%	33.1%
	Mail	200	0	0	66	0	33

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Ethnic Group					Caucasian or White
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	
November 2009	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	22	5	12	10	79
	Poll	78.3%	86.8%	58.1%	88.3%	100.0%	53.5%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	13
June 2010	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	23	6	10	8	69
	Poll	68.9%	93.1%	70.0%	76.5%	77.8%	46.4%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	16
November 2010	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	5	18	6	9	7	46
	Poll	32.6%	73.7%	71.0%	63.9%	65.8%	31.0%
	Mail	0	3	0	2	0	28
June 2012	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	22	5	10	8	71
	Poll	68.5%	86.8%	58.1%	76.5%	77.8%	47.8%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	0	14
November 2012	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	1	15	5	9	6	33
	Poll	7.1%	60.4%	58.1%	63.9%	54.4%	22.5%
	Mail	2	7	0	0	0	28
June 2014	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	10	22	5	12	7	68
	Poll	64.1%	86.8%	58.1%	87.4%	66.4%	45.9%
	Mail	0	0	0	0	1	14
November 2014	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	3	22	5	9	8	54
	Poll	18.4%	86.8%	58.1%	63.9%	76.6%	36.3%
	Mail	2	2	0	0	0	24

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Ethnic Group			Not sure/DK/NA
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	
November 2009	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	7	5	11
	Poll	84.0%	60.7%	78.6%	65.8%
	Mail	0	0	0	1
June 2010	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	6	3	10
	Poll	79.3%	54.7%	56.4%	55.8%
	Mail	1	0	0	4
November 2010	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	15	5	3	7
	Poll	63.0%	40.2%	55.4%	41.0%
	Mail	2	1	0	4
June 2012	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	11	7	3	13
	Poll	49.3%	61.1%	51.8%	76.0%
	Mail	1	0	0	1
November 2012	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	5	5	0	6
	Poll	20.6%	40.2%	6.6%	33.4%
	Mail	3	0	0	6
June 2014	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	5	4	7
	Poll	79.3%	43.5%	66.5%	42.5%
	Mail	1	1	0	4
November 2014	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	10	6	3	6
	Poll	43.2%	48.2%	56.4%	34.0%
	Mail	1	1	0	4

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
June 2016	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	250	0	3	93	0	48
	Poll	73	1	0	13	1	18
	Mail	259	1	0	84	1	40
		43.0%	.0%	100.0%	49.0%	.0%	45.2%
		12.6%	50.0%	.0%	6.6%	51.2%	16.7%
		44.4%	50.0%	.0%	44.4%	48.8%	38.2%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
June 2016	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	6	18	3	9	4	40
	Poll	0	3	0	0	0	32
	Mail	10	4	5	5	6	76
		36.7%	71.5%	40.9%	63.9%	40.2%	26.8%
		.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.8%
		63.3%	17.4%	59.1%	36.1%	59.8%	51.4%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	13	4	1	10
	Poll	2	1	0	2
	Mail	8	7	5	5
		55.0%	31.9%	10.2%	59.6%
		9.5%	6.0%	6.7%	11.0%
		35.4%	62.1%	83.1%	29.3%

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	448	222	90	93	27	9	6
	Poll	61	43	5	7	1	1	3
	Mail	73	51	9	12	2	0	0
		76.9%	70.4%	86.6%	82.5%	89.9%	86.6%	67.8%
		10.5%	13.5%	5.2%	6.4%	4.9%	13.4%	32.2%
		12.6%	16.1%	8.3%	11.1%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
November 2006	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	375	180	73	89	20	7	6
	Poll	105	73	13	9	4	2	3
	Mail	102	62	18	14	6	1	0
		64.4%	57.1%	70.1%	78.8%	66.1%	65.7%	65.4%
		18.0%	23.2%	12.2%	8.3%	13.9%	23.1%	34.6%
		17.6%	19.7%	17.7%	12.9%	20.0%	11.3%	.0%
November 2007	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	454	224	87	99	28	11	6
	Poll	21	18	2	1	0	0	0
	Mail	107	74	15	13	3	0	2
		78.0%	70.9%	83.3%	87.9%	90.6%	100.0%	70.7%
		3.6%	5.7%	2.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
		18.4%	23.3%	14.6%	11.6%	9.4%	.0%	26.8%
February 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	356	167	73	79	22	9	6
	Poll	67	43	13	9	2	1	0
	Mail	159	106	18	25	6	1	2
		61.2%	52.9%	70.2%	70.3%	73.4%	79.1%	69.9%
		11.5%	13.5%	12.1%	7.7%	5.5%	9.6%	2.5%
		27.4%	33.6%	17.7%	22.1%	21.1%	11.3%	27.7%
June 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	439	220	88	95	23	8	5
	Poll	23	17	5	0	1	0	0
	Mail	120	79	11	18	7	3	3
		75.4%	69.7%	84.6%	84.3%	74.9%	75.3%	60.6%
		3.9%	5.3%	4.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
		20.7%	24.9%	10.9%	15.7%	21.3%	24.7%	39.4%
November 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	267	122	52	68	15	6	3
	Poll	68	39	19	7	1	2	1
	Mail	247	154	33	37	15	3	5
		45.8%	38.7%	50.2%	60.7%	49.0%	56.5%	31.6%
		11.7%	12.3%	18.3%	6.0%	2.7%	17.9%	8.5%
		42.5%	49.0%	31.5%	33.3%	48.4%	25.6%	59.9%
May 2009	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	415	212	79	85	25	8	6
	Poll	22	15	5	0	0	2	0
	Mail	145	88	20	28	6	1	2
		71.3%	67.3%	75.8%	75.4%	81.6%	71.1%	72.3%
		3.8%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	16.8%	.0%
		24.9%	27.8%	19.5%	24.6%	18.4%	12.1%	27.7%
November 2009	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	402	202	73	89	22	10	5

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.0%	64.2%	70.6%	78.8%	72.3%	89.5%	58.9%
		35	24	7	4	0	0	0
	Poll	6.1%	7.6%	6.9%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Mail	145	89	23	20	8	1	3
		24.9%	28.1%	22.5%	17.7%	27.7%	10.5%	38.6%
June 2010	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	401	201	78	84	24	9	6
	Poll	34	24	6	3	0	1	1
	Mail	147	90	21	26	7	1	2
		25.2%	28.6%	20.0%	22.7%	22.2%	10.5%	27.7%
November 2010	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	287	143	56	62	15	6	5
	Poll	67	36	13	14	1	2	1
	Mail	228	136	36	36	15	3	3
		39.2%	43.1%	34.5%	31.7%	47.5%	23.9%	39.4%
June 2012	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	357	182	62	77	21	9	6
	Poll	35	24	5	6	0	1	0
	Mail	189	110	37	29	9	1	3
		32.5%	34.8%	35.6%	26.1%	30.8%	9.6%	31.5%
November 2012	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	205	97	35	55	11	4	2
	Poll	93	49	20	16	4	2	1
	Mail	285	168	49	42	16	5	6
		49.0%	53.4%	46.7%	37.2%	51.5%	45.1%	63.1%
June 2014	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	346	182	60	69	22	9	2
	Poll	36	20	8	6	0	1	1
	Mail	200	113	35	37	8	1	6
		34.4%	35.9%	33.9%	32.9%	26.9%	9.6%	63.1%
November 2014	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	265	134	46	60	13	6	4
	Poll	67	40	13	9	1	2	1
	Mail	251	141	45	43	16	2	4
		43.1%	44.6%	42.8%	38.2%	53.9%	20.4%	45.1%
June 2016	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	250	129	42	57	12	5	6

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.8%	40.4%	50.5%	38.6%	46.9%	65.8%
	Poll	73	43	14	11	3	1	0
	Mail	12.6%	13.7%	13.7%	10.1%	11.3%	7.2%	2.5%
		259	143	48	44	15	5	3
		44.4%	45.5%	46.0%	39.4%	50.2%	46.0%	31.7%

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	448	179	76	157	25	2	8
	Poll	61	10	13	29	3	2	3
	Mail	73	12	18	38	6	0	0
November 2006	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	375	158	60	125	24	0	8
	Poll	105	18	19	56	4	4	4
	Mail	102	25	29	43	6	0	0
November 2007	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	454	185	78	149	27	4	11
	Poll	21	1	3	15	1	1	0
	Mail	107	15	27	60	5	0	0
February 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	356	152	64	109	22	3	6
	Poll	67	14	9	37	5	2	0
	Mail	159	36	34	78	7	0	5
June 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	439	178	78	151	21	2	8
	Poll	23	3	3	14	3	0	0
	Mail	120	20	26	59	10	2	3
November 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	267	123	42	83	14	0	5
	Poll	68	26	5	26	9	2	0
	Mail	247	53	61	115	11	3	6
May 2009	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	415	168	69	140	27	2	9
	Poll	22	0	4	17	0	1	0
	Mail	145	32	35	68	7	1	2
November 2009	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	402	162	67	141	23	2	6

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.0%	80.8%	62.4%	62.8%	67.3%	55.8%	56.0%
	Poll	35	10	6	18	1	1	0
	Mail	145	29	35	66	10	1	5
June 2010	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	401	164	68	136	23	4	6
	Poll	34	6	5	17	3	1	3
November 2010	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	287	123	47	100	12	1	4
	Poll	67	21	8	30	7	1	0
June 2012	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	357	145	60	122	22	4	5
	Poll	35	10	2	21	3	1	0
November 2012	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	205	96	29	69	9	0	2
	Poll	93	26	13	39	9	2	4
June 2014	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	346	137	59	122	22	2	3
	Poll	36	12	5	16	1	2	0
November 2014	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	265	106	38	103	13	0	4
	Poll	67	24	7	24	9	3	0
June 2016	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	250	97	48	86	11	2	5

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	43.0%	48.2%	45.0%	38.2%	34.0%	55.8%	48.5%
	Poll	73	29	8	27	6	1	2
		12.6%	14.3%	7.6%	12.2%	18.4%	17.5%	16.9%
	Mail	259	75	51	111	16	1	4
		44.4%	37.4%	47.4%	49.7%	47.7%	26.7%	34.6%

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
June 2006	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	448	330	21	77	6	1	41	5	22
	Poll	61	52	0	6	1	0	2	2	0
	Mail	73	67	5	10	0	0	1	0	0
		12.6%	14.9%	18.0%	10.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
November 2006	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	375	273	19	65	5	1	39	5	19
	Poll	105	87	0	10	1	0	5	2	3
	Mail	102	89	7	17	2	0	0	0	0
		17.6%	19.8%	27.5%	18.8%	21.2%	6.5%	9.9%	1.4%	0.0%
November 2007	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	454	349	20	74	7	1	41	6	22
	Poll	21	14	0	3	0	0	2	1	0
	Mail	107	85	6	16	0	0	1	0	0
		18.4%	18.9%	22.9%	17.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.3%	1.4%	0.0%
February 2008	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	356	254	19	65	5	1	33	5	19
	Poll	67	57	0	5	1	0	9	1	3
	Mail	159	137	7	23	1	0	2	1	0
		27.4%	30.6%	26.1%	24.6%	14.5%	6.5%	4.6%	17.0%	0.0%
June 2008	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	439	330	20	70	6	1	40	6	22
	Poll	23	20	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
	Mail	120	98	6	21	1	0	2	0	0
		20.7%	21.8%	21.6%	22.2%	14.5%	6.5%	5.3%	1.4%	0.0%
November 2008	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	267	197	14	50	3	0	26	3	14
	Poll	68	57	2	3	0	0	9	1	3
	Mail	247	194	10	40	4	1	8	3	5
		42.5%	43.3%	38.5%	43.2%	54.2%	75.7%	19.4%	38.7%	22.2%
May 2009	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	415	307	19	68	6	1	39	3	22
	Poll	22	20	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
	Mail	145	122	7	23	1	0	5	2	0
		24.9%	27.1%	27.5%	24.5%	14.5%	6.5%	10.6%	37.3%	0.0%
November 2009	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	402	306	19	66	7	1	34	5	21

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
June 2006	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	39	10	0	12	12	53	5
	Poll	4	2	0	0	2	2	2
	Mail	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
November 2006	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	32	6	0	9	12	45	5
	Poll	8	4	0	3	2	6	2
	Mail	8	3	1	1	0	4	0
November 2007	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	31	10	0	9	14	52	7
	Poll	4	1	0	0	0	2	0
	Mail	13	3	1	3	0	2	0
February 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	31	9	0	9	12	41	5
	Poll	2	1	0	0	2	4	0
	Mail	14	4	1	4	0	10	1
June 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	39	9	0	8	10	47	4
	Poll	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Mail	7	4	1	5	3	7	2
November 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	27	6	0	5	9	29	3
	Poll	2	1	0	1	0	6	1
	Mail	18	6	1	6	5	21	2
May 2009	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	38	6	0	8	12	47	5
	Poll	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Mail	7	7	1	5	2	8	1
November 2009	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	33	9	0	9	12	49	5

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
November 2009	No	69.0%	68.2%	71.9%	71.1%	98.7%	93.5%	76.9%	71.3%	92.8%
	Poll	35	26	1	5	0	0	5	1	0
	Mail	145	117	6	22	0	0	5	1	2
June 2010	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	401	293	20	79	6	1	33	4	22
	Poll	34	28	1	1	0	0	4	0	0
November 2010	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	287	206	18	54	3	0	22	3	17
	Poll	67	58	1	5	0	0	5	1	3
June 2012	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	357	263	17	64	3	1	32	4	21
	Poll	35	29	1	2	0	0	5	1	0
November 2012	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	205	150	13	34	3	0	15	0	14
	Poll	93	67	1	11	0	0	12	2	7
June 2014	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	346	256	18	63	6	1	25	5	21
	Poll	36	30	0	3	0	0	4	1	0
November 2014	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	265	198	15	45	3	1	20	3	21
	Poll	67	53	1	4	0	0	9	1	0
June 2016	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	250	179	10	48	2	1	21	0	17

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.6%	68.2%	.0%	72.7%	88.3%	88.1%	74.9%
	Poll	1	1	0	0	0	4	0
	Mail	14	3	1	3	2	3	1
	Total	29.2%	25.9%	75.0%	27.3%	11.7%	5.0%	20.8%
June 2010	No	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Poll	40	8	0	10	10	44	3
	Mail	7	5	1	2	3	5	2
	Total	83.5%	60.7%	.0%	78.5%	76.5%	79.9%	50.6%
November 2010	No	1	0	0	0	0	6	1
	Poll	1.2%	1.1%	25.0%	1.9%	.0%	10.6%	13.1%
	Mail	7	5	1	2	3	5	2
	Total	15.2%	38.1%	75.0%	19.6%	23.5%	9.6%	36.2%
June 2012	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	29	6	0	7	9	32	2
	Poll	4	1	0	1	2	4	1
	Mail	15	6	1	4	3	20	3
November 2012	Total	30.9%	45.8%	75.0%	32.7%	24.4%	36.0%	51.9%
	No	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Poll	36	7	0	9	10	44	3
	Mail	74.8%	54.8%	25.0%	72.7%	76.5%	78.4%	49.4%
June 2014	No	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
	Poll	1.2%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
	Mail	11	4	1	3	3	9	3
	Total	24.0%	33.2%	75.0%	27.3%	23.5%	16.6%	50.6%
November 2014	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	25	3	0	5	9	24	0
	Poll	1	2	0	0	0	8	3
	Mail	1.2%	12.0%	25.0%	1.9%	.0%	14.3%	38.1%
June 2016	Total	22	9	1	7	5	23	4
	No	47.0%	65.1%	75.0%	60.2%	36.1%	41.8%	57.1%
	Poll	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Mail	30	7	0	7	12	37	3
November 2016	Total	62.4%	54.8%	.0%	58.9%	87.4%	66.9%	45.6%
	No	1	1	0	0	0	4	1
	Poll	1.2%	7.1%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	8.9%
	Mail	17	5	1	5	2	14	3
June 2018	Total	36.4%	38.1%	75.0%	41.1%	12.6%	26.1%	45.6%
	No	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Poll	25	5	0	6	9	34	2
	Mail	52.6%	35.0%	.0%	49.6%	63.9%	61.4%	29.8%
November 2018	No	1	3	0	0	0	6	1
	Poll	1.2%	22.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	13.1%
	Mail	22	6	1	6	5	15	4
	Total	46.2%	43.1%	75.0%	50.4%	36.1%	27.4%	57.1%
June 2020	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	27	5	0	3	9	26	2

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.0%	40.1%	51.2%	26.8%	93.5%	47.4%	7.0%	75.6%
	Poll	73	54	1	8	0	0	6	1	3
	Mail	12.6%	12.1%	5.6%	8.5%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	11.7%	12.5%
June 2018	Total	259	215	14	37	5	0	17	5	3
	No	44.4%	47.9%	54.3%	40.3%	73.2%	6.5%	37.8%	81.3%	11.9%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
June 2016	No	57.4%	39.9%	.0%	26.7%	63.9%	46.0%	29.2%
	Poll	2	2	0	0	0	4	2
	Mail	4.3%	13.4%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	29.2%
June 2018	Total	18	6	1	9	5	26	3
	No	38.4%	46.7%	75.0%	73.3%	36.1%	46.5%	41.7%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
June 2006	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	448	34	41	17	29	21	32	36
	Poll	61	13	7	2	4	4	3	1
	Mail	73	13	4	11	4	7	6	2
November 2006	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	375	26	30	14	26	21	25	33
	Poll	105	14	10	3	6	5	7	4
	Mail	102	20	12	13	5	7	9	2
November 2007	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	454	44	31	17	30	24	34	34
	Poll	21	3	6	0	0	3	0	1
	Mail	107	14	15	12	6	4	7	3
February 2008	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	356	30	28	12	25	18	29	33
	Poll	67	6	5	4	2	4	6	1
	Mail	159	25	19	14	10	10	6	4
June 2008	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	439	39	35	19	27	25	32	33
	Poll	23	1	1	1	3	3	2	1
	Mail	120	20	16	10	7	4	8	5
November 2008	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	267	18	24	10	22	12	19	23
	Poll	68	7	3	3	1	5	7	4
	Mail	247	35	24	16	14	15	15	12
May 2009	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	415	36	37	18	30	20	33	35
	Poll	22	1	3	0	2	3	2	0
	Mail	145	23	12	11	6	9	6	3
November 2009	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	402	36	33	17	25	25	31	33

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	110	101
	Poll	5	10	14
	Mail	2	13	12
November 2006	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	94	80
	Poll	5	23	29
	Mail	2	15	17
November 2007	Total	34	132	126
	No	29	110	100
	Poll	0	3	3
	Mail	4	19	23
February 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	20	87	75
	Poll	8	13	19
	Mail	6	33	32
June 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	103	101
	Poll	3	4	3
	Mail	4	25	22
November 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	19	57	62
	Poll	7	17	14
	Mail	7	58	50
May 2009	Total	34	132	126
	No	30	92	84
	Poll	0	6	5
	Mail	4	34	37
November 2009	Total	34	132	126
	No	25	95	82

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
November 2009	No	69.0%	58.9%	63.3%	58.2%	69.1%	78.7%	74.2%	86.1%
	Poll	35	4	5	0	3	2	1	1
	Mail	6.1%	6.8%	9.9%	.5%	8.2%	7.7%	3.6%	2.7%
June 2010	Total	145	21	14	12	8	4	9	4
	No	24.9%	34.2%	26.8%	41.3%	22.8%	13.6%	22.2%	11.2%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2010	No	68.9%	65.5%	66.5%	53.9%	72.8%	72.3%	68.5%	84.0%
	Poll	401	40	34	16	27	23	28	32
	Mail	5.9%	4.5%	6.4%	4.7%	5.0%	7.8%	5.4%	4.4%
June 2012	Total	147	18	14	12	8	6	11	4
	No	25.2%	29.9%	27.1%	41.4%	22.2%	19.9%	26.1%	11.6%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2012	No	49.3%	43.0%	49.5%	28.9%	54.9%	56.5%	54.0%	68.4%
	Poll	67	4	3	4	2	5	7	5
	Mail	11.5%	5.9%	6.4%	15.1%	6.2%	15.8%	16.5%	12.7%
June 2014	Total	228	31	23	16	14	9	12	7
	No	39.2%	51.1%	44.2%	56.0%	38.8%	27.8%	29.5%	18.8%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2014	No	61.4%	50.6%	60.7%	51.5%	67.1%	43.3%	76.4%	75.8%
	Poll	35	2	4	0	2	8	1	1
	Mail	6.1%	3.5%	6.8%	.0%	4.4%	25.9%	2.5%	2.7%
June 2016	Total	189	28	17	14	11	10	9	8
	No	32.5%	45.9%	32.5%	48.5%	28.6%	30.9%	21.2%	21.4%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2016	No	35.1%	33.3%	34.0%	16.0%	54.5%	29.8%	41.0%	42.1%
	Poll	93	5	3	7	2	7	10	8
	Mail	15.9%	8.3%	6.2%	24.6%	6.2%	23.2%	23.4%	21.7%
June 2010	Total	285	35	31	17	14	15	15	14
	No	49.0%	58.4%	59.8%	59.4%	39.2%	47.1%	35.6%	36.3%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2010	No	346	41	29	13	21	19	31	30
	Poll	59.4%	67.8%	55.1%	42.9%	56.8%	57.9%	74.6%	78.1%
	Mail	6.2%	.4%	7.5%	4.7%	6.5%	12.5%	5.9%	6.4%
June 2012	Total	200	19	19	15	13	9	8	6
	No	34.4%	31.8%	37.4%	52.5%	36.6%	29.6%	19.6%	15.6%
	Poll	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
November 2012	No	265	23	26	11	19	11	22	23
	Poll	45.4%	37.4%	51.1%	38.0%	51.5%	33.6%	52.4%	59.0%
	Mail	11.5%	9.9%	9.9%	19.9%	5.0%	11.4%	14.3%	6.4%
June 2014	Total	67	6	5	6	2	4	6	2
	No	11.5%	9.9%	9.9%	19.9%	5.0%	11.4%	14.3%	6.4%
	Poll	251	32	20	12	16	18	14	13
November 2014	No	43.1%	52.7%	39.1%	42.1%	43.5%	55.0%	33.3%	34.6%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	250	28	30	9	11	12	18	22

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	73.4%	71.9%	65.1%
	Poll	4	8	6
	Mail	13.2%	5.8%	4.6%
June 2010	Total	4	30	38
	No	13.4%	22.3%	30.3%
	Poll	34	132	126
November 2010	No	25	97	79
	Poll	74.5%	73.0%	62.7%
	Mail	3	6	9
June 2012	Total	9.4%	4.6%	7.4%
	No	5	30	38
	Poll	16.1%	22.4%	29.9%
November 2012	Total	34	132	126
	No	20	63	57
	Poll	58.7%	47.8%	45.3%
June 2014	Total	6	17	13
	No	18.2%	12.9%	10.5%
	Poll	8	52	56
November 2014	Total	23.2%	39.2%	44.1%
	No	34	132	126
	Poll	22	85	74
June 2016	Total	65.1%	64.3%	58.8%
	No	6	8	3
	Poll	18.2%	6.4%	2.6%
November 2016	Total	6	39	49
	No	16.8%	29.4%	38.6%
	Poll	34	132	126
June 2010	Total	8	46	45
	No	25.3%	34.7%	35.6%
	Poll	14	14	21
November 2010	Total	41.0%	10.9%	16.8%
	No	11	72	60
	Poll	33.7%	54.4%	47.5%
June 2012	Total	34	132	126
	No	19	77	67
	Poll	56.1%	58.5%	53.3%
November 2012	Total	2	9	8
	No	6.7%	6.9%	6.3%
	Poll	12	46	51
June 2014	Total	37.2%	34.6%	40.5%
	No	34	132	126
	Poll	13	60	57
November 2014	Total	39.5%	45.7%	45.0%
	No	9	17	10
	Poll	26.5%	12.8%	7.9%
June 2016	Total	11	55	60
	No	34.0%	41.5%	47.1%
	Poll	34	132	126
November 2016	Total	11	60	50
	No			
	Poll			

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
June 2016	No	43.0%	46.6%	57.2%	30.6%	29.4%	37.4%	43.5%	58.4%
	Poll	73	8	4	5	11	5	6	4
	Mail	12.6%	12.7%	6.8%	16.9%	30.4%	14.2%	14.3%	11.2%
	Mail	259	25	19	15	15	16	17	12
		44.4%	40.7%	36.0%	52.5%	40.2%	48.4%	42.2%	30.4%

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	33.0%	45.1%	39.3%
	Poll	6	13	12
	Mail	16.9%	10.1%	9.5%
	Mail	17	59	65
		50.1%	44.8%	51.2%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
June 2006	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	448	107	136	205
	Poll	76.9%	63.6%	88.8%	78.4%
	Mail	61	29	6	26
		10.5%	17.1%	4.1%	10.0%
	Mail	73	32	11	30
		12.6%	19.3%	7.1%	11.6%
November 2006	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	375	85	129	160
	Poll	64.4%	51.0%	84.5%	61.2%
	Mail	105	42	7	55
		18.0%	25.2%	4.8%	21.2%
	Mail	102	40	16	46
		17.6%	23.9%	10.7%	17.6%
November 2007	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	454	104	143	207
	Poll	78.0%	62.1%	93.5%	79.1%
	Mail	21	12	1	9
		3.6%	7.1%	.4%	3.3%
	Mail	107	52	9	46
		18.4%	30.8%	6.1%	17.7%
February 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	356	81	114	162
	Poll	61.2%	48.2%	74.4%	61.7%
	Mail	67	27	14	25
		11.5%	16.3%	9.2%	9.7%
	Mail	159	59	25	75
		27.4%	35.4%	16.4%	28.6%
June 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	439	106	135	199
	Poll	75.4%	63.1%	88.3%	75.8%
	Mail	23	8	2	12
		3.9%	4.8%	1.6%	4.6%
	Mail	120	54	15	51
		20.7%	32.1%	10.1%	19.5%
November 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	267	56	90	120
	Poll	45.8%	33.5%	59.0%	46.0%
	Mail	68	22	17	29
		11.7%	13.0%	11.3%	11.1%
	Mail	247	90	45	112
		42.5%	53.5%	29.8%	42.9%
May 2009	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	415	102	133	180
	Poll	71.3%	61.0%	86.8%	68.8%
	Mail	22	12	1	9
		3.8%	7.3%	.5%	3.4%
	Mail	145	53	19	73
		24.9%	31.7%	12.7%	27.8%
November 2009	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	402	90	129	183

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
November 2009	No	69.0%	53.8%	84.2%	69.9%
	Poll	35	13	8	14
		6.1%	8.0%	5.1%	5.4%
	Mail	145	64	16	65
		24.9%	38.2%	10.7%	24.7%
June 2010	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	401	97	125	180
		68.9%	57.7%	81.8%	68.6%
	Poll	34	15	1	18
		5.9%	9.1%	.5%	7.0%
	Mail	147	56	27	64
		25.2%	33.2%	17.7%	24.5%
November 2010	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	287	68	99	120
		49.3%	40.6%	64.8%	45.9%
	Poll	67	20	16	31
		11.5%	12.1%	10.2%	11.8%
	Mail	228	79	38	111
		39.2%	47.3%	25.0%	42.3%
June 2012	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	357	90	109	159
		61.4%	53.4%	71.5%	60.6%
	Poll	35	11	9	16
		6.1%	6.6%	5.7%	6.0%
	Mail	189	67	35	88
		32.5%	40.0%	22.8%	33.4%
November 2012	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	205	49	70	86
		35.1%	29.1%	45.8%	32.8%
	Poll	93	31	25	37
		15.9%	18.4%	16.6%	13.9%
	Mail	285	88	58	139
		49.0%	52.5%	37.7%	53.2%
June 2014	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	346	89	116	141
		59.4%	52.9%	76.3%	53.7%
	Poll	36	10	5	21
		6.2%	6.1%	3.1%	8.1%
	Mail	200	69	31	100
		34.4%	41.0%	20.6%	38.3%
November 2014	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	265	68	91	106
		45.4%	40.4%	59.8%	40.3%
	Poll	67	20	16	31
		11.5%	11.8%	10.6%	11.7%
	Mail	251	80	45	126
		43.1%	47.8%	29.7%	47.9%
June 2016	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	250	72	80	98

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
June 2016	No	43.0%	43.2%	52.5%	37.3%
	Poll	73	20	19	33
		12.6%	12.2%	12.6%	12.8%
	Mail	259	75	53	131
		44.4%	44.6%	34.9%	49.9%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2006	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	448	120	126	87	62	53
	Poll	61	0	0	1	12	49
	Mail	73	0	0	3	5	66
November 2006	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	375	120	121	71	43	20
	Poll	105	0	0	11	25	69
	Mail	102	0	5	9	10	79
November 2007	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	454	120	125	83	70	56
	Poll	21	0	1	3	2	15
	Mail	107	0	0	4	6	98
February 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	356	120	118	71	38	9
	Poll	67	0	3	11	21	32
	Mail	159	0	5	8	19	127
June 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	439	120	124	86	62	46
	Poll	23	0	0	1	6	16
	Mail	120	0	1	3	10	106
November 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	267	120	102	33	8	4
	Poll	68	0	8	18	24	19
	Mail	247	0	17	39	46	145
May 2009	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	415	120	126	80	55	33
	Poll	22	0	0	0	5	17
	Mail	145	0	0	10	17	118
November 2009	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	402	120	122	78	47	35

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
November 2009	No	69.0%	100.0%	96.5%	86.7%	60.6%	20.6%
	Poll	35	0	2	2	11	20
	Mail	145	0	2	10	20	114
June 2010	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	401	120	124	77	52	28
	Poll	34	0	0	5	11	18
November 2010	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	287	120	116	36	7	8
	Poll	67	0	6	17	24	20
June 2012	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	357	120	118	69	36	15
	Poll	35	0	2	3	13	17
November 2012	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	205	120	73	7	4	1
	Poll	93	0	25	22	26	19
June 2014	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	346	120	111	53	38	23
	Poll	36	0	0	7	11	18
November 2014	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	265	120	94	32	8	11
	Poll	67	0	6	16	22	23
June 2016	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	250	120	56	32	26	16

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2016	No	43.0%	100.0%	44.2%	35.7%	33.4%	9.8%
	Poll	73	0	25	12	15	21
	Mail	12.6%	.0%	20.1%	13.1%	19.5%	12.4%
		259	0	45	46	37	131
		44.4%	.0%	35.7%	51.2%	47.1%	77.8%

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
June 2006	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	447	77	100	22	25	115	94	4
	Poll	59	23	7	7	6	6	8	2
	Mail	73	23	12	11	10	7	10	1
		12.7%	18.6%	10.0%	27.1%	23.7%	5.4%	8.9%	15.3%
November 2006	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	374	65	94	13	12	97	80	4
	Poll	103	31	14	11	16	13	16	2
	Mail	102	28	11	16	12	18	16	1
		17.7%	22.3%	9.6%	40.4%	29.9%	14.1%	14.5%	11.2%
November 2007	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	451	95	101	21	27	103	93	3
	Poll	21	4	1	3	2	7	3	0
	Mail	107	25	18	16	12	18	16	4
		18.5%	20.2%	14.8%	39.0%	28.3%	13.9%	14.3%	51.0%
February 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	355	67	70	17	14	99	78	3
	Poll	67	16	18	4	10	8	10	1
	Mail	157	40	32	19	17	20	24	3
		27.2%	32.6%	26.6%	47.8%	41.9%	16.0%	21.2%	39.9%
June 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	438	80	95	24	24	106	97	4
	Poll	23	8	5	3	3	2	2	0
	Mail	118	35	19	14	14	20	13	3
		20.4%	28.6%	16.2%	34.1%	33.6%	15.8%	11.7%	39.9%
November 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	265	42	56	7	11	75	65	3
	Poll	68	15	20	7	8	7	9	1
	Mail	245	66	43	26	22	46	38	3
		42.4%	53.8%	36.3%	65.0%	53.7%	35.8%	33.4%	44.0%
May 2009	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	414	83	94	18	18	102	86	4
	Poll	22	5	3	3	4	1	4	1
	Mail	143	35	23	18	18	25	22	2
		24.7%	28.1%	19.0%	46.1%	44.8%	19.3%	19.8%	30.9%
November 2009	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	399	76	90	14	22	99	84	4

		Party by Gender	
		Male Oth	
June 2006	Total	9	
	No	9	97.6%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	0	2.4%
November 2006	Total	9	
	No	9	97.6%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	0	2.4%
November 2007	Total	9	
	No	9	97.6%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	0	2.4%
February 2008	Total	9	
	No	7	75.1%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	2	24.9%
June 2008	Total	9	
	No	9	97.6%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	0	2.4%
November 2008	Total	9	
	No	7	75.2%
	Poll	1	6.6%
	Mail	2	18.1%
May 2009	Total	9	
	No	9	97.6%
	Poll	0	.0%
	Mail	0	2.4%
November 2009	Total	9	
	No	9	

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
November 2009	No	68.8%	62.0%	75.2%	35.0%	53.6%	78.0%	75.2%	58.0%
	Poll	35	10	4	6	2	4	9	0
	Mail	6.1%	7.9%	3.5%	14.6%	6.0%	3.5%	7.9%	.0%
June 2010	Total	145	37	25	20	17	24	19	3
	No	25.1%	30.1%	21.3%	50.4%	40.4%	18.6%	17.0%	42.0%
	Poll	401	76	91	11	19	105	86	5
November 2010	Total	69.3%	61.3%	76.0%	28.0%	47.0%	82.0%	76.7%	72.9%
	No	34	10	5	8	4	1	5	1
	Poll	5.9%	8.1%	4.1%	19.6%	9.8%	1.1%	4.5%	13.3%
June 2012	Total	144	38	24	21	18	22	21	1
	No	24.8%	30.6%	19.9%	52.4%	43.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.7%
	Mail	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
November 2012	Total	401	76	91	11	19	105	86	5
	No	69.3%	61.3%	76.0%	28.0%	47.0%	82.0%	76.7%	72.9%
	Poll	34	10	5	8	4	1	5	1
June 2014	Total	5.9%	8.1%	4.1%	19.6%	9.8%	1.1%	4.5%	13.3%
	No	144	38	24	21	18	22	21	1
	Poll	24.8%	30.6%	19.9%	52.4%	43.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.7%
November 2014	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	287	37	66	4	10	88	69	5
	Poll	49.6%	29.8%	55.3%	10.5%	25.6%	68.9%	61.7%	67.0%
June 2016	Total	67	18	15	9	10	7	7	0
	No	11.5%	14.7%	12.5%	23.4%	23.3%	5.5%	6.3%	2.2%
	Mail	225	68	38	26	21	33	36	2
November 2016	Total	38.9%	55.6%	32.2%	66.1%	51.1%	25.5%	32.0%	30.9%
	No	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	Poll	356	66	77	11	19	95	76	4
June 2018	Total	61.5%	53.3%	64.1%	26.6%	46.6%	74.8%	68.1%	55.8%
	No	35	11	9	4	3	2	7	0
	Poll	6.1%	9.1%	7.4%	9.5%	7.2%	1.4%	6.0%	2.2%
November 2018	Total	187	46	34	25	19	30	29	3
	No	32.3%	37.6%	28.4%	63.9%	46.1%	23.9%	25.9%	42.0%
	Mail	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
June 2020	Total	205	28	46	4	9	56	53	3
	No	35.3%	22.7%	38.2%	9.5%	22.7%	44.0%	47.4%	40.5%
	Poll	93	25	22	7	9	20	9	0
November 2020	Total	16.0%	20.2%	18.4%	17.5%	22.8%	15.4%	8.0%	.0%
	No	282	70	52	29	22	52	50	4
	Poll	48.7%	57.0%	43.4%	72.9%	54.5%	40.5%	44.6%	59.5%
June 2022	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	345	64	75	16	19	86	74	4
	Poll	59.5%	52.0%	62.4%	39.0%	47.1%	67.8%	65.7%	61.8%
November 2022	Total	36	13	3	4	4	6	7	0
	No	6.2%	10.7%	2.2%	9.7%	9.4%	4.4%	5.9%	2.2%
	Mail	198	46	42	20	18	35	32	3
June 2024	Total	34.3%	37.3%	35.4%	51.2%	43.5%	27.8%	28.3%	36.1%
	No	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	Poll	265	45	55	8	13	73	61	2
November 2024	Total	45.7%	36.1%	46.0%	20.9%	31.7%	57.1%	54.2%	22.0%
	No	67	20	17	7	5	11	8	0
	Poll	11.5%	16.0%	14.2%	16.4%	11.7%	8.6%	6.8%	2.2%
June 2026	Total	248	59	48	25	23	44	44	5
	No	42.8%	47.9%	39.8%	62.7%	56.6%	34.3%	39.0%	75.9%
	Mail	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
November 2026	Total	249	39	49	11	15	72	56	1
	No								

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
November 2009	No	97.6%
	Poll	0 .0%
	Mail	0 2.4%
June 2010	Total	9
	No	9 97.6%
	Poll	0 .0%
November 2010	Total	9
	No	8 91.0%
	Poll	1 6.6%
June 2012	Total	9
	No	9 97.6%
	Poll	0 .0%
November 2012	Total	9
	No	6 63.8%
	Poll	1 6.6%
June 2014	Total	9
	No	7 75.1%
	Poll	0 .0%
November 2014	Total	9
	No	9 97.6%
	Poll	0 .0%
June 2016	Total	9
	No	7

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
June 2016	No	43.0%	31.3%	41.0%	26.6%	37.6%	56.3%	50.1%	15.7%
	Poll	73 12.6%	22 17.7%	18 15.1%	7 16.9%	3 8.2%	11 9.0%	10 8.8%	2 24.9%
	Mail	257 44.3%	63 51.0%	52 43.9%	23 56.4%	22 54.2%	44 34.7%	46 41.1%	4 59.5%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
June 2016	No	75.1%
	Poll	0 .0%
	Mail	2 24.9%

		Total	
		Total	Total
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	582
	0	120 20.6%	120 20.6%
	1	52 8.9%	52 8.9%
	2	41 7.1%	41 7.1%
	3	33 5.7%	33 5.7%
	4	21 3.6%	21 3.6%
	5	41 7.0%	41 7.0%
	6	29 4.9%	29 4.9%
	7	29 5.0%	29 5.0%
	8	30 5.1%	30 5.1%
	9	19 3.2%	19 3.2%
	10	22 3.8%	22 3.8%
	11	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
	12	26 4.4%	26 4.4%
	13	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
	14	30 5.1%	30 5.1%
	15	44 7.5%	44 7.5%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
0	.
1	.
2	.
3	.
4	.
5	.
6	.
7	.
8	.
9	.
10	.
11	.
12	.
13	.
14	.
15	.
16	.

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
0	120 20.6%	67 23.9%	53 17.7%	0 .0%
1	52 8.9%	29 10.4%	22 7.5%	0 .0%
2	41 7.1%	18 6.3%	23 7.9%	0 .0%
3	33 5.7%	16 5.8%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
4	21 3.6%	9 3.1%	12 4.1%	0 .0%
5	41 7.0%	21 7.3%	19 6.4%	1 34.4%
6	29 4.9%	15 5.2%	14 4.7%	0 .0%
7	29 5.0%	10 3.6%	19 6.4%	0 .0%
8	30 5.1%	14 5.1%	16 5.2%	0 .0%
9	19 3.2%	10 3.7%	8 2.7%	0 .0%
10	22 3.8%	8 2.8%	14 4.8%	0 .0%
11	23 4.0%	14 4.9%	9 3.2%	0 .0%
12	26 4.4%	14 5.1%	10 3.5%	1 33.7%
13	23 4.0%	10 3.7%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%
14	30 5.1%	8 2.9%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
15	44 7.5%	18 6.2%	26 8.8%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4			a
5			a
6			a
7			a
8			a
9			a
10			a
11			a
12			B
13			A
14		A	a
15			a
16	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Age					Not coded
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
0	120 20.6%	39 50.2%	30 29.6%	29 20.4%	16 10.6%	7 6.5%	0 .0%
1	52 8.9%	16 20.5%	11 10.8%	12 8.4%	7 4.4%	6 6.2%	0 .0%
2	41 7.1%	8 10.3%	11 10.5%	7 4.8%	10 6.6%	6 5.7%	0 .0%
3	33 5.7%	6 8.3%	10 9.7%	8 6.0%	5 3.5%	3 3.2%	0 .0%
4	21 3.6%	4 5.1%	2 2.4%	8 5.6%	6 3.7%	1 .9%	0 .0%
5	41 7.0%	2 2.0%	11 10.8%	15 10.5%	14 8.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
6	29 4.9%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	15 10.4%	6 3.8%	3 3.2%	0 .0%
7	29 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 8.9%	8 5.0%	9 8.9%	0 .0%
8	30 5.1%	0 .0%	12 12.3%	7 5.1%	6 4.2%	4 3.9%	0 .0%
9	19 3.2%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	6 4.5%	6 3.9%	3 2.8%	1 11.8%
10	22 3.8%	0 .0%	4 4.4%	2 1.1%	11 7.5%	4 3.8%	1 12.5%
11	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.0%	8 5.0%	10 9.8%	0 .0%
12	26 4.4%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	3 2.0%	10 6.6%	10 9.5%	1 7.2%
13	23 4.0%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .3%	17 10.9%	4 3.5%	0 .0%
14	30 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.9%	12 7.6%	12 11.5%	2 24.9%
15	44 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 5.2%	12 7.6%	21 20.6%	4 43.6%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	C D E	D E	E			a
1	D E					a
2						a
3						a
4						a
5					a	a
6	a					a
7	a	a				a
8	a					a
9	a					a
10	a					a
11	a	a				a
12	a					a
13		a		C		a
14	a	a			C	C
15	a	a			C D	C D
16	a	a	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
0	120 20.6%	75 17.6%	45 28.7%
1	52 8.9%	26 6.0%	26 16.5%
2	41 7.1%	27 6.3%	15 9.3%
3	33 5.7%	22 5.3%	11 6.9%
4	21 3.6%	18 4.3%	3 1.7%
5	41 7.0%	32 7.4%	9 5.8%
6	29 4.9%	18 4.2%	11 6.9%
7	29 5.0%	26 6.2%	3 1.8%
8	30 5.1%	25 5.8%	5 3.4%
9	19 3.2%	15 3.4%	4 2.6%
10	22 3.8%	19 4.6%	3 1.8%
11	23 4.0%	17 3.9%	6 4.1%
12	26 4.4%	18 4.2%	8 4.9%
13	23 4.0%	21 5.0%	2 1.4%
14	30 5.1%	27 6.3%	3 1.8%
15	44 7.5%	40 9.4%	4 2.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	B	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	B	
14	B	
15	B	
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
0	120 20.6%	49 20.2%	4 4.4%	5 30.0%	62 25.8%
1	52 8.9%	17 6.9%	3 4.3%	1 7.3%	30 12.5%
2	41 7.1%	12 5.0%	2 2.2%	3 18.8%	24 10.0%
3	33 5.7%	7 2.9%	3 4.0%	3 16.3%	20 8.4%
4	21 3.6%	9 3.9%	3 3.5%	0 .0%	9 3.5%
5	41 7.0%	18 7.5%	7 8.2%	0 1.8%	16 6.5%
6	29 4.9%	12 4.9%	5 6.3%	0 .0%	12 4.8%
7	29 5.0%	19 7.9%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	8 3.5%
8	30 5.1%	22 8.9%	5 5.9%	0 .0%	3 1.4%
9	19 3.2%	8 3.4%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
10	22 3.8%	8 3.4%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	11 4.6%
11	23 4.0%	6 2.5%	6 7.8%	1 4.9%	10 4.2%
12	26 4.4%	9 3.7%	6 7.0%	1 4.9%	10 4.2%
13	23 4.0%	10 4.1%	7 8.4%	2 13.6%	4 1.8%
14	30 5.1%	14 5.8%	4 5.5%	0 .0%	11 4.5%
15	44 7.5%	21 8.7%	16 20.3%	0 2.3%	6 2.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	B		B	B
1				
2			B	
3			A	
4			a	
5			.	
6			a	
7			a	
8	D		a	
9			a	
10			a	
11			.	
12			.	
13		D	D	a
14			.	
15	D	A D	a	a
16			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
0	120 20.6%	26 21.9%	10 15.1%	4 11.0%	0 .0%	32 25.1%	48 23.3%
1	52 8.9%	6 4.6%	6 9.3%	1 3.2%	1 4.9%	8 6.3%	29 14.2%
2	41 7.1%	4 3.5%	4 6.1%	0 1.2%	0 .0%	13 10.3%	19 9.4%
3	33 5.7%	4 3.3%	2 3.1%	2 7.1%	1 3.4%	6 5.0%	18 8.5%
4	21 3.6%	7 5.8%	0 .0%	1 3.2%	1 1.4%	5 3.7%	8 3.7%
5	41 7.0%	13 10.5%	2 3.3%	0 .9%	5 17.5%	6 4.9%	14 7.0%
6	29 4.9%	8 7.0%	1 1.8%	2 6.5%	2 6.3%	5 3.8%	10 5.0%
7	29 5.0%	12 9.7%	5 8.2%	0 .0%	2 5.4%	4 3.4%	6 3.0%
8	30 5.1%	7 5.8%	7 10.8%	3 7.8%	0 .0%	10 7.9%	3 1.5%
9	19 3.2%	7 5.8%	1 2.0%	1 3.8%	2 7.3%	2 1.6%	5 2.4%
10	22 3.8%	0 .1%	5 7.6%	2 7.0%	0 .0%	7 5.8%	7 3.6%
11	23 4.0%	1 .5%	3 4.9%	5 14.4%	2 5.9%	4 3.2%	9 4.3%
12	26 4.4%	5 4.4%	2 3.2%	4 12.1%	1 2.9%	5 4.0%	8 4.1%
13	23 4.0%	5 4.5%	5 6.9%	2 7.4%	2 8.1%	4 3.4%	4 2.1%
14	30 5.1%	6 5.1%	6 9.0%	1 3.6%	1 4.3%	4 3.3%	11 5.2%
15	44 7.5%	9 7.5%	6 8.7%	4 10.9%	9 32.5%	11 8.2%	6 2.7%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0				a		
1				a		
2				a		
3				a		
4		a				
5						
6			a			
7			a			
8		F		a		F
9						
10		A		a		
11			A			
12						
13						
14						
15				A E F		
16	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
0	120 20.6%	117 44.5%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
1	52 8.9%	43 16.5%	6 8.2%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	41 7.1%	21 8.1%	14 17.9%	6 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	33 5.7%	16 6.2%	14 18.4%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
4	21 3.6%	6 2.3%	7 9.5%	2 2.6%	2 3.3%	2 7.5%
5	41 7.0%	14 5.2%	10 12.6%	10 12.7%	2 3.8%	3 12.3%
6	29 4.9%	5 2.0%	7 8.8%	10 12.1%	3 6.1%	3 11.9%
7	29 5.0%	4 1.4%	7 9.4%	9 10.5%	4 8.0%	0 .0%
8	30 5.1%	6 2.3%	6 7.0%	7 9.2%	5 11.0%	3 10.0%
9	19 3.2%	3 1.3%	0 .3%	8 9.7%	3 5.6%	1 4.6%
10	22 3.8%	5 1.7%	1 1.0%	0 .6%	8 17.1%	2 8.3%
11	23 4.0%	6 2.3%	1 1.1%	5 6.1%	3 5.4%	3 13.1%
12	26 4.4%	5 2.0%	0 .4%	3 3.7%	6 13.8%	1 2.1%
13	23 4.0%	7 2.6%	0 .4%	6 7.4%	1 3.1%	2 6.3%
14	30 5.1%	3 1.3%	0 .2%	8 10.4%	2 4.1%	2 6.3%
15	44 7.5%	1 .3%	1 1.2%	4 5.0%	9 18.8%	5 17.6%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

	Registration Date		
	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	23	38	24
0	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
1	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	0 1.3%	2 4.1%	0 .0%
4	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	2 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
6	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
7	2 7.0%	3 7.3%	1 6.0%
8	1 3.9%	2 4.2%	1 2.6%
9	0 .0%	2 4.1%	2 7.0%
10	2 8.0%	3 7.2%	2 7.1%
11	2 8.7%	1 3.8%	2 7.7%
12	5 22.5%	3 8.4%	2 7.4%
13	2 9.6%	3 8.9%	1 5.7%
14	3 14.6%	7 17.3%	4 17.1%
15	2 9.6%	13 33.4%	10 39.4%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	B		a	a	a	a
1	C			a	a	a
2		A		a	a	a
3		A C		a	a	
4						
5						
6			A			a
7		A	A		a	
8						
9			A			a
10					A B C	
11						
12					A B	
13						
14			A			A B
15			A		A B	A
16		a	a	a	a	a

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
0	a	a
1	a	a
2	a	a
3	a	a
4	a	a
5	a	a
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	A B	A B
15	A B C	A B C
16	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	
0	120	3	18	12	7	0	3	11	16	8	
	20.6%	43.5%	10.6%	29.0%	15.3%	.0%	20.8%	25.0%	16.8%	11.5%	
1	52	0	21	2	7	0	3	0	5	8	
	8.9%	.0%	12.2%	5.7%	15.5%	.0%	24.2%	.6%	4.9%	11.1%	
2	41	0	8	3	8	0	0	3	7	10	
	7.1%	.0%	4.8%	6.2%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	6.8%	13.0%	
3	33	1	13	2	3	1	0	1	6	5	
	5.7%	9.0%	7.6%	4.3%	7.0%	3.5%	.0%	2.4%	6.3%	7.0%	
4	21	0	7	4	0	0	1	0	3	4	
	3.6%	.0%	4.1%	8.5%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	1.0%	2.9%	6.0%	
5	41	0	17	1	0	5	2	2	6	6	
	7.0%	.0%	9.9%	2.5%	.0%	32.4%	12.6%	5.2%	6.1%	8.3%	
6	29	1	9	1	3	0	0	3	4	8	
	4.9%	9.9%	5.0%	2.0%	5.5%	.0%	1.1%	7.6%	3.8%	10.3%	
7	29	0	9	5	4	0	0	3	3	3	
	5.0%	.0%	5.4%	12.4%	8.8%	.0%	1.1%	7.2%	2.9%	4.3%	
8	30	0	13	4	0	2	0	1	7	0	
	5.1%	.0%	7.3%	10.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%	2.1%	6.8%	.0%	
9	19	0	5	1	1	0	0	3	6	1	
	3.2%	.0%	2.7%	2.9%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	6.3%	1.4%	
10	22	0	9	3	3	0	0	1	5	0	
	3.8%	.0%	5.4%	6.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	5.0%	.0%	
11	23	0	8	0	1	1	2	1	3	6	
	4.0%	.0%	4.8%	.2%	2.3%	5.8%	14.2%	1.6%	3.2%	7.8%	
12	26	1	10	0	1	1	0	1	3	4	
	4.4%	12.0%	5.9%	.2%	1.3%	8.4%	.0%	2.7%	3.6%	5.3%	
13	23	0	12	2	1	1	1	3	4	1	
	4.0%	.0%	6.7%	3.9%	2.6%	5.4%	6.5%	6.5%	4.0%	.9%	
14	30	0	5	1	4	1	0	2	10	5	
	5.1%	.0%	2.9%	3.3%	8.1%	8.4%	.0%	4.3%	10.4%	6.4%	
15	44	2	8	1	4	4	2	7	10	5	
	7.5%	25.7%	4.7%	2.8%	8.6%	26.9%	11.7%	15.9%	10.2%	6.8%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

	Total	Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	64	2	5	
0	38	1	2	
	59.3%	46.3%	41.4%	
1	4	0	0	
	6.9%	.0%	.0%	
2	3	0	0	
	5.1%	.0%	.0%	
3	2	0	0	
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
4	2	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
5	1	0	0	
	1.6%	.0%	6.5%	
6	2	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
7	1	0	0	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	
8	3	0	1	
	5.4%	.0%	9.6%	
9	2	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
10	0	1	0	
	.0%	53.7%	.0%	
11	1	0	0	
	2.3%	.0%	.0%	
12	3	0	1	
	4.7%	.0%	21.3%	
13	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	
14	2	0	0	
	2.5%	.0%	.0%	
15	0	0	1	
	.0%	.0%	21.3%	
16	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date									
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
0					a					B D G H I
1	a				a					
2	a				a	a				
3						a				
4	a			a	a					
5	a			a	C H J					
6					a					
7	a				a					
8	a			a		a			a	
9	a				a	a				
10	a				a	a			a	a
11										
12	a					a				
13	a					a				a
14	a									a
15	a		a	a	B	a	a	a	a	a
16	a									a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
	(K)	(L)
0		
1	a	a
2	a	a
3	a	a
4	a	a
5	a	
6	a	a
7	a	a
8	a	a
9	a	a
10	G	a
11	a	a
12	a	a
13	a	a
14	a	a
15	a	a
16	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
0	120 20.6%	88 19.0%	32 27.2%
1	52 8.9%	43 9.3%	9 7.2%
2	41 7.1%	29 6.3%	12 9.9%
3	33 5.7%	26 5.5%	8 6.5%
4	21 3.6%	16 3.5%	5 4.1%
5	41 7.0%	34 7.4%	6 5.5%
6	29 4.9%	21 4.4%	8 6.9%
7	29 5.0%	23 4.9%	7 5.5%
8	30 5.1%	24 5.1%	6 5.3%
9	19 3.2%	14 3.0%	5 4.1%
10	22 3.8%	19 4.2%	3 2.4%
11	23 4.0%	20 4.4%	3 2.4%
12	26 4.4%	24 5.1%	2 1.6%
13	23 4.0%	19 4.1%	4 3.6%
14	30 5.1%	26 5.6%	3 2.9%
15	44 7.5%	38 8.2%	6 4.9%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
0	120 20.6%	0 .0%	120 51.1%
1	52 8.9%	22 6.2%	30 12.7%
2	41 7.1%	21 6.1%	20 8.4%
3	33 5.7%	19 5.4%	14 6.1%
4	21 3.6%	14 4.0%	7 3.0%
5	41 7.0%	30 8.5%	11 4.7%
6	29 4.9%	20 5.8%	9 3.6%
7	29 5.0%	19 5.4%	11 4.5%
8	30 5.1%	27 7.7%	3 1.4%
9	19 3.2%	15 4.4%	3 1.5%
10	22 3.8%	19 5.4%	4 1.5%
11	23 4.0%	23 6.5%	1 .3%
12	26 4.4%	25 7.2%	1 .3%
13	23 4.0%	22 6.3%	2 .7%
14	30 5.1%	30 8.5%	0 .0%
15	44 7.5%	44 12.6%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0	a	
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	B	
9		
10	B	
11	B	
12	B	
13	B	
14		a
15		a
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
0	120 20.6%	5 41.8%	22 44.2%	17 18.2%	40 30.3%	26 15.7%
1	52 8.9%	6 54.7%	5 9.2%	18 18.8%	8 5.8%	11 6.5%
2	41 7.1%	0 2.7%	5 9.5%	7 7.1%	11 8.0%	17 10.5%
3	33 5.7%	0 .0%	4 7.5%	7 7.0%	8 6.3%	8 4.9%
4	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .7%	3 3.6%	6 4.2%	9 5.4%
5	41 7.0%	0 .0%	3 6.8%	14 14.8%	4 2.7%	11 6.9%
6	29 4.9%	0 .0%	1 1.6%	7 7.1%	8 6.1%	7 4.4%
7	29 5.0%	0 .0%	2 4.9%	5 5.3%	8 5.8%	11 6.8%
8	30 5.1%	0 .0%	1 2.0%	8 8.1%	6 4.6%	5 2.9%
9	19 3.2%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 1.5%	5 4.1%	8 4.6%
10	22 3.8%	0 .0%	2 4.1%	1 1.4%	6 4.8%	4 2.2%
11	23 4.0%	0 .0%	2 4.8%	3 3.2%	3 1.9%	9 5.4%
12	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 1.0%	1 1.3%	4 3.0%	7 4.5%
13	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.2%	10 6.4%
14	30 5.1%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	3 2.8%	4 2.8%	11 6.8%
15	44 7.5%	0 .9%	0 .7%	0 .0%	7 5.3%	10 6.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
Total	133	1
0	11 8.4%	0 .0%
1	5 3.9%	0 .0%
2	2 1.3%	0 .0%
3	7 5.1%	0 .0%
4	3 2.1%	0 .0%
5	9 6.5%	0 .0%
6	6 4.2%	1 100.0%
7	3 2.4%	0 .0%
8	11 7.9%	0 .0%
9	4 2.7%	0 .0%
10	9 6.9%	0 .0%
11	7 4.9%	0 .0%
12	13 9.6%	0 .0%
13	7 5.6%	0 .0%
14	12 8.7%	0 .0%
15	26 19.6%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino				
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0	F	C E F		E F	
1	B D E F		D E F		
2					F
3	.b				
4	.b				
5	.b		D		
6	.b				
7	.b				
8	.b				
9	.b				
10	.b				
11	.b				
12	.b				
13	.b	.b	.b		
14	.b			.b	
15	.b			.b	
16	.b			.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
	(F)	(G)
0		a,,b
1		a,,b
2		a,,b
3		a,,b
4		a,,b
5		a,,b
6		a,,b
7		a,,b
8		a,,b
9		a,,b
10		a,,b
11		a,,b
12		a,,b
13		a,,b
14		a,,b
15	B D E	a,,b
16	.b	a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
0	120 20.7%	1 1.4%	46 21.8%	33 23.2%	21 18.3%	17 29.1%	3 26.7%
1	51 8.8%	3 6.9%	22 10.6%	2 1.7%	16 14.2%	7 12.3%	0 .0%
2	41 7.1%	2 3.7%	10 4.8%	10 6.8%	10 8.4%	10 16.5%	1 5.7%
3	33 5.7%	3 7.4%	8 3.9%	9 6.4%	7 6.2%	2 2.9%	4 34.3%
4	21 3.6%	1 2.6%	4 1.7%	7 4.9%	6 5.5%	3 4.7%	0 1.4%
5	41 7.0%	0 .0%	18 8.4%	12 8.4%	9 8.1%	1 1.8%	1 9.0%
6	29 4.9%	2 5.0%	7 3.5%	8 5.5%	4 3.2%	6 10.0%	2 15.6%
7	29 5.0%	4 9.7%	8 3.6%	7 4.8%	6 5.3%	4 7.6%	0 .0%
8	30 5.2%	1 1.7%	15 7.1%	10 7.2%	4 3.4%	0 .0%	0 2.4%
9	19 3.2%	1 1.8%	6 2.7%	2 1.7%	7 6.5%	2 4.2%	0 .0%
10	22 3.8%	0 .3%	9 4.4%	10 6.9%	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
11	23 4.0%	4 8.3%	9 4.2%	6 4.0%	4 3.3%	1 1.8%	0 1.9%
12	26 4.4%	4 9.5%	7 3.4%	5 3.9%	6 5.2%	2 4.2%	0 2.9%
13	23 4.0%	2 4.1%	8 4.0%	7 5.2%	6 4.9%	0 .5%	0 .0%
14	29 5.1%	6 13.7%	13 6.2%	8 5.6%	2 2.0%	0 .4%	0 .0%
15	42 7.3%	11 23.9%	21 9.9%	5 3.7%	3 2.9%	2 3.9%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		A	A		A	A
1		C		C	C	a
2					B	
3						B C D E
4	a					
5	.					
6						a
7						.
8					a	.
9					.	a
10					a	a
11					.	.
12						a
13						a
14	D					a
15	C D E					a
16	a		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	120 20.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	42 22.1%	0 .0%	27 25.3%
	1	52 8.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 11.4%	0 .0%	6 5.3%
	2	41 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	21 11.1%	0 .0%	6 5.6%
	3	33 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.2%	0 .0%	7 7.1%
	4	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%	0 .0%	6 5.4%
	5	41 7.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.3%	1 48.8%	10 9.8%
	6	29 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	8 7.5%
	7	29 5.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	10 5.2%	1 51.2%	12 11.5%
	8	30 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.9%	0 .0%	3 2.6%
	9	19 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.2%	0 .0%	4 3.7%
	10	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.6%	0 .0%	6 5.7%
	11	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.7%	0 .0%	4 3.6%
	12	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%	0 .0%	2 1.8%
	13	23 4.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.2%	0 .0%	4 4.0%
	14	30 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .0%	1 1.0%
	15	44 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	0 .0%	11 45.1%	3 40.9%	9 63.9%	2 16.3%
	1	0 .0%	4 15.3%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	4 38.2%
	2	3 18.4%	3 13.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	3	2 9.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	4	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.4%
	5	3 17.4%	3 13.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%
	6	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%
	7	2 16.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	1 9.4%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	9	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	1 12.0%
	10	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%
	11	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	12	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%
	13	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	14	1 7.1%	2 6.9%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	15	1 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	12	5	2	0	5
		7.9%	20.6%	20.4%	6.6%	26.4%
	1	10	3	0	0	1
		7.1%	14.7%	.0%	3.6%	6.4%
	2	7	0	0	0	1
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
	3	9	5	2	2	0
		6.0%	19.6%	17.1%	41.6%	1.6%
	4	4	0	0	0	3
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%
	5	8	3	0	0	1
		5.1%	12.3%	2.7%	.0%	7.9%
	6	9	2	2	0	0
		6.0%	6.8%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	7	2	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
8	7	1	0	0	3	
	4.8%	2.8%	3.8%	4.5%	15.2%	
9	6	1	0	0	1	
	4.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	
10	7	0	0	0	2	
	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%	
11	6	1	0	0	0	
	3.9%	4.6%	3.8%	.0%	2.2%	
12	11	1	2	0	1	
	7.2%	2.4%	16.4%	6.6%	3.3%	
13	5	1	1	1	0	
	3.5%	5.1%	9.7%	18.8%	.0%	
14	14	1	0	0	0	
	9.6%	4.6%	2.2%	6.6%	.0%	
15	31	1	1	0	0	
	21.0%	2.8%	9.1%	8.1%	.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	a	K	a	K
	1	a	a		a	
	2	a	a		a	
	3	a	a		a	
	4	a	a		a	
	5	a	a		a	
	6	a	a		a	
	7	C K	a		K	K
	8	a	a		a	
	9	a	a		a	
	10	a	a		a	
	11	a	a		a	
	12	a	a		a	
	13	C E K	a		a	
	14	a	a		a	
	15	a	a		a	a
	16	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	K		C K	
	1	a			a	E K
	2			a	a	a
	3		a		a	a
	4	a	a		a	
	5			a	a	
	6	a	a		a	a
	7		a		a	a
	8	K			a	a
	9	a	a		a	
	10		a		a	
	11	a	a		a	a
	12		a	a		a
	13	a	a		a	a
	14				a	a
	15		a	a	a	a
	16	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0					
1			a		
2		a	a		
3		C		C, K	
4		a	a		
5				a	
6				a	a
7		a	a		a
8					
9			a	a	
10		a	a	a	
11				a	
12					a
13					a
14					a
15	C	a	a	a	a
16					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household						
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA	
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	0	70	22	36	5	4	2
		26.2%	21.6%	32.0%	17.7%	33.4%	27.8%
	1	22	9	9	4	0	0
		8.2%	8.7%	7.7%	13.2%	.0%	.0%
	2	15	6	3	5	1	0
		5.8%	5.7%	2.5%	16.7%	13.4%	.0%
	3	14	4	10	0	0	0
		5.3%	3.6%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	11	4	6	0	0	0
		4.1%	4.1%	5.5%	1.3%	.0%	1.8%
	5	21	13	5	0	1	2
		8.0%	12.3%	4.7%	.8%	10.7%	21.8%
	6	18	6	8	5	0	1
		6.9%	5.4%	6.8%	14.7%	.9%	6.6%
	7	19	8	8	1	3	0
		7.3%	7.4%	7.3%	2.8%	24.7%	.0%
8	10	6	2	2	0	0	
	3.7%	5.9%	1.7%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	
9	11	4	3	3	1	0	
	4.1%	3.9%	2.8%	9.2%	7.2%	.0%	
10	10	6	3	0	0	1	
	3.7%	5.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	
11	8	2	2	2	1	1	
	3.1%	1.7%	2.2%	7.0%	9.6%	9.8%	
12	9	2	6	0	0	1	
	3.5%	2.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	
13	5	2	2	1	0	0	
	2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	
14	11	6	3	2	0	0	
	4.2%	5.6%	2.8%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	
15	10	4	5	0	0	1	
	3.9%	4.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0					
1				a	a
2				.	.
3			B	a	a
4			a	.	.
5				.	.
6					
7					a
8				a	a
9					a
10			a	a	
11					
12			a	a	a
13				a	a
14			a	a	a
15			a	a	a
16		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
0	63	18	38	5	0	2
	16.5%	16.5%	16.9%	15.9%	.0%	16.4%
1	29	9	19	1	0	0
	7.5%	8.3%	8.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
2	26	7	15	4	0	0
	6.8%	6.4%	6.7%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
3	20	3	12	4	0	2
	5.3%	2.7%	5.5%	10.5%	.0%	14.9%
4	14	7	5	2	0	0
	3.7%	6.2%	2.2%	7.0%	.0%	1.5%
5	24	7	13	2	1	0
	6.3%	6.6%	6.0%	6.4%	23.3%	3.1%
6	16	6	9	2	0	0
	4.3%	5.7%	3.8%	4.7%	2.1%	.0%
7	18	6	7	2	1	1
	4.7%	5.7%	3.3%	4.7%	32.5%	11.0%
8	15	1	12	0	0	2
	4.0%	.6%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	21.5%
9	14	4	6	4	0	0
	3.6%	3.3%	2.8%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
10	18	5	10	1	1	1
	4.7%	4.4%	4.4%	3.5%	24.6%	9.4%
11	19	8	11	0	0	0
	5.0%	7.1%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
12	22	9	12	0	0	1
	5.8%	8.1%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
13	17	2	15	0	0	0
	4.4%	1.8%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14	26	8	16	2	0	1
	6.9%	7.0%	7.2%	5.0%	.0%	9.8%
15	39	10	23	5	1	0
	10.3%	9.6%	10.3%	15.0%	17.5%	1.4%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0				a	
1				a	a
2				a	a
3				a	
4				a	
5				.	
6				.	a
7				B	.
8			a	a	A
9			B	a	a
10				.	
11			a	a	
12			a	a	
13			a	a	a
14			.	a	.
15			.	.	.
16	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
0	120	89	7	18	2	0	13	0
	20.6%	19.8%	25.7%	19.0%	26.8%	24.3%	29.4%	3.5%
1	52	35	5	11	1	0	1	0
	8.9%	7.8%	19.7%	11.5%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	3.5%
2	41	24	1	16	0	0	3	3
	7.1%	5.3%	5.6%	16.8%	3.2%	.0%	6.1%	42.6%
3	33	31	1	4	0	1	1	0
	5.7%	6.8%	4.4%	4.7%	.0%	69.2%	2.8%	.0%
4	21	13	0	2	0	0	4	0
	3.6%	3.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%
5	41	34	1	6	0	0	3	0
	7.0%	7.6%	4.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
6	29	21	1	4	0	0	4	0
	4.9%	4.6%	3.1%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%
7	29	22	3	3	3	0	6	0
	5.0%	5.0%	10.2%	3.7%	39.7%	.0%	14.6%	.0%
8	30	25	0	3	0	0	0	1
	5.1%	5.5%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.7%
9	19	14	1	1	0	0	3	0
	3.2%	3.2%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%
10	22	16	2	3	0	0	2	0
	3.8%	3.6%	5.9%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
11	23	19	1	9	0	0	2	0
	4.0%	4.2%	4.4%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.4%
12	26	18	0	5	0	0	1	1
	4.4%	4.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	15.6%
13	23	22	0	3	1	0	0	0
	4.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.3%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
14	30	22	1	2	0	0	0	1
	5.1%	4.9%	4.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%
15	44	43	2	3	0	0	0	0
	7.5%	9.5%	9.1%	3.4%	1.3%	6.5%	.2%	.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household							DK/NA
	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
0	10 45.9%	14 28.9%	1 8.1%	0 .0%	3 26.7%	9 63.9%	12 22.1%	0 4.9%
1	4 17.2%	2 5.2%	1 7.6%	0 .0%	1 11.2%	0 .0%	5 9.8%	0 .0%
2	3 15.0%	4 8.4%	2 14.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 5.8%	0 .0%
3	0 .0%	3 6.6%	2 12.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 16.1%	2 24.9%
4	0 .0%	4 7.9%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 8.3%	0 .0%
5	3 14.7%	4 9.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 9.3%	0 .0%	7 12.4%	1 20.8%
6	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 13.8%	2 12.6%	2 4.3%	1 8.9%
7	0 .0%	1 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 9.8%	0 .0%	4 7.4%	0 .0%
8	2 7.2%	4 7.5%	1 4.9%	0 .0%	0 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 4.3%
9	0 .0%	2 4.7%	1 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.1%	1 15.7%
11	0 .0%	1 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	1 2.3%	0 .0%
12	0 .0%	2 4.8%	0 1.1%	0 25.0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	2 2.8%	1 20.5%
13	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.3%	0 .0%	1 8.4%	0 .0%	2 3.6%	0 .0%
14	0 .0%	4 8.5%	2 14.0%	0 .0%	1 11.2%	0 .0%	1 2.6%	0 .0%
15	0 .0%	1 3.1%	2 11.5%	1 75.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household							
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0					a			
1					a,b			
2			A		a,b		A	
3				.b	a		.b	.b
4		.b			a,b		.b	.b
5				.b	a,b		.b	
6				.b	a,b		.b	.b
7				A C I	a,b		.b	.b
8		.b			a,b	.b		
9				.b	a,b		.b	.b
10				.b	a,b		.b	.b
11		.b		.b	a,b		.b	.b
12		.b		.b	a,b		.b	.b
13		.b			a,b	.b	.b	.b
14				.b	a		.b	.b
15					a		.b	.b
16		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0			a,b		A C		
1			a,b		.b		.b
2			a,b	.b	.b		.b
3			a,b	.b	.b		
4			a,b	.b	.b		.b
5		.b	a,b		.b		
6	.b	.b	a,b		.b		
7		.b	a,b		.b		.b
8			a,b		.b	.b	
9			a,b	.b	.b	.b	
10	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b		
11		.b	a,b		.b		.b
12			a	.b			
13	.b		a,b		.b		.b
14			a,b		.b		.b
15			a	.b	.b		.b
16	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
0	120 20.6%	6 10.5%	11 22.1%	3 11.5%	9 25.3%	3 9.6%	11 25.6%
1	52 8.9%	7 11.1%	4 6.8%	3 9.5%	7 20.2%	0 .6%	5 11.7%
2	41 7.1%	7 10.9%	3 4.8%	0 .0%	2 4.3%	8 25.6%	5 11.7%
3	33 5.7%	5 8.9%	4 7.3%	1 4.2%	2 6.2%	1 3.9%	0 .0%
4	21 3.6%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.8%	2 5.0%
5	41 7.0%	0 .0%	4 8.5%	3 8.7%	0 .0%	1 3.4%	1 2.5%
6	29 4.9%	1 1.4%	6 11.4%	5 17.1%	2 4.3%	1 2.5%	3 6.2%
7	29 5.0%	6 9.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 5.3%	0 .0%
8	30 5.1%	4 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 8.3%	4 12.2%	5 12.5%
9	19 3.2%	1 1.4%	2 3.4%	1 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
10	22 3.8%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	2 7.4%	2 4.6%	2 6.9%	2 4.9%
11	23 4.0%	3 5.4%	4 7.0%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	2 5.7%	1 3.1%
12	26 4.4%	4 6.7%	3 6.2%	1 4.7%	3 7.0%	1 3.5%	2 3.8%
13	23 4.0%	3 4.4%	1 2.0%	0 .5%	3 8.3%	1 3.4%	1 3.0%
14	30 5.1%	6 9.6%	2 3.3%	5 18.7%	1 3.3%	2 5.8%	1 1.6%
15	44 7.5%	7 11.1%	7 14.0%	4 14.8%	2 5.0%	2 6.7%	3 8.5%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

	Total	Household Income			Not sure/DK/NA
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
Total	38	34	132	126	
0	14 36.5%	3 9.7%	27 20.4%	32 25.1%	
1	3 7.8%	3 8.1%	14 10.8%	6 4.8%	
2	2 4.2%	5 13.9%	4 2.7%	8 6.0%	
3	4 11.6%	3 9.5%	4 2.8%	8 6.4%	
4	3 7.3%	6 17.2%	6 4.6%	1 .7%	
5	4 11.0%	0 1.2%	18 13.9%	9 6.8%	
6	2 4.5%	0 .0%	4 3.1%	6 4.9%	
7	0 .0%	4 13.2%	11 8.1%	7 5.2%	
8	0 1.1%	0 .9%	8 6.1%	5 3.6%	
9	2 5.8%	2 7.3%	7 5.6%	3 2.5%	
10	0 .0%	0 .7%	4 3.0%	9 7.1%	
11	0 .0%	1 2.7%	5 3.4%	7 5.2%	
12	1 3.4%	3 9.0%	4 2.9%	4 2.8%	
13	1 2.7%	0 .3%	7 5.1%	6 5.0%	
14	1 3.7%	0 1.0%	4 3.0%	7 5.7%	
15	0 .4%	2 4.6%	6 4.5%	10 8.1%	
16	0 .0%	0 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0							
1							
2			a		I J		
3			a			a	
4	a		a	a			
5	a			a			
6							
7		a	a	a		a	a
8		a	a				
9				a	a	a	
10		a					a
11			a				a
12							
13							
14			I				
15							
16	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
0			
1			
2			
3			
4	J		
5			
6	a		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15		a	a
16			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
0	120 20.6%	31 18.3%	48 31.2%	42 15.9%
1	52 8.9%	11 6.7%	14 9.2%	26 10.0%
2	41 7.1%	12 7.4%	18 12.1%	10 3.9%
3	33 5.7%	6 3.5%	14 9.0%	14 5.2%
4	21 3.6%	2 .9%	4 2.7%	15 5.8%
5	41 7.0%	6 3.4%	10 6.2%	25 9.7%
6	29 4.9%	9 5.2%	9 6.0%	11 4.1%
7	29 5.0%	7 4.4%	5 3.5%	16 6.3%
8	30 5.1%	8 4.5%	5 3.3%	17 6.6%
9	19 3.2%	5 2.8%	5 3.0%	9 3.6%
10	22 3.8%	7 4.1%	3 2.1%	12 4.6%
11	23 4.0%	7 4.5%	4 2.7%	12 4.4%
12	26 4.4%	10 6.1%	3 1.8%	13 4.8%
13	23 4.0%	5 2.9%	2 1.2%	17 6.4%
14	30 5.1%	16 9.5%	7 4.4%	7 2.7%
15	44 7.5%	26 15.7%	2 1.4%	15 5.8%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		A C	
1			
2		C	
3			
4			A
5			A
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			B
14	C		
15	B C	a	
16	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
1	52 11.2%	52 40.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	41 8.9%	41 32.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	33 7.2%	33 26.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
4	21 4.5%	0 .0%	21 23.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	41 8.8%	0 .0%	41 45.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
6	29 6.2%	0 .0%	29 31.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
7	29 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 37.6%	0 .0%
8	30 6.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 38.4%	0 .0%
9	19 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 24.0%	0 .0%
10	22 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 13.3%
11	23 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 13.8%
12	26 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 15.3%
13	23 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 13.9%
14	30 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 17.6%
15	44 9.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	44 26.0%
16	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1		a	a	a
2		a	a	a
3		a	a	a
4	a		a	a
5	a		a	a
6	a		a	a
7	a	a		a
8	a	a		a
9	a	a		a
10	a	a	a	
11	a	a	a	
12	a	a	a	
13	a	a	a	
14	a	a	a	
15	a	a	a	
16	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
0	120	20	29	1	3	31	31
	20.7%	16.2%	24.6%	1.6%	7.1%	24.4%	27.9%
1	52	7	10	0	3	15	15
	8.9%	5.6%	8.3%	.0%	8.5%	12.1%	13.1%
2	41	1	11	0	1	20	4
	7.1%	1.0%	9.2%	.7%	3.6%	16.0%	3.4%
3	33	3	4	3	0	11	9
	5.7%	2.3%	3.6%	7.4%	.7%	8.7%	8.2%
4	21	7	2	0	2	5	4
	3.6%	5.8%	1.9%	1.0%	6.0%	3.7%	3.4%
5	40	9	10	2	4	8	7
	6.9%	7.0%	8.0%	5.7%	10.5%	6.2%	6.0%
6	29	6	6	4	1	5	7
	5.0%	4.6%	5.3%	9.1%	3.5%	3.7%	6.1%
7	29	12	7	1	0	5	3
	5.1%	10.0%	5.7%	3.6%	.2%	4.1%	2.9%
8	30	11	11	4	1	1	3
	5.2%	9.1%	8.9%	9.7%	2.2%	.4%	2.5%
9	19	3	5	2	3	2	3
	3.2%	2.7%	4.2%	6.1%	7.3%	1.9%	2.2%
10	22	7	2	3	0	5	6
	3.8%	5.4%	1.5%	7.0%	.2%	3.8%	5.5%
11	23	2	4	3	3	3	7
	4.0%	2.0%	3.0%	7.5%	8.2%	2.6%	6.1%
12	25	5	4	2	4	3	6
	4.3%	3.9%	3.6%	3.9%	9.9%	2.5%	5.3%
13	22	5	4	3	4	2	2
	3.9%	4.1%	3.5%	7.4%	9.3%	1.5%	2.2%
14	30	13	1	1	3	8	3
	5.1%	10.3%	1.2%	3.0%	7.9%	5.9%	3.0%
15	44	12	9	10	6	3	2
	7.5%	9.9%	7.5%	26.0%	14.8%	2.6%	2.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Party by Gender	
	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	7	9
0	1 15.7%	4 41.3%
1	0 2.2%	1 11.4%
2	2 22.7%	1 15.8%
3	0 .0%	3 29.1%
4	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	0 4.1%	0 .0%
6	0 .0%	0 .0%
7	0 .0%	0 .0%
8	0 .0%	0 .0%
9	0 .0%	0 .0%
10	0 .0%	0 .0%
11	1 11.2%	0 .0%
12	1 11.2%	0 .0%
13	2 30.9%	0 .0%
14	0 .0%	0 .0%
15	0 2.2%	0 2.4%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%

N. Times Voted in Last Elections

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0		C			C	C	
1			a				A
2					A F		a
3							a
4							a
5							a
6							a
7							a
8	E	E	E				a
9							a
10							a
11							a
12							a
13							B E F
14	B						a
15			E F		a	a	a
16		a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
	(H)
0	C
1	
2	
3	A B D
4	a
5	a
6	a
7	a
8	a
9	a
10	a
11	a
12	a
13	a
14	a
15	a
16	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	582
	0	191 32.9%	191 32.9%
	1	67 11.5%	67 11.5%
	2	30 5.1%	30 5.1%
	3	27 4.7%	27 4.7%
	4	28 4.7%	28 4.7%
	5	40 6.9%	40 6.9%
	6	21 3.5%	21 3.5%
	7	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	8	12 2.1%	12 2.1%
	9	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	10	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
	11	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	12	17 2.9%	17 2.9%
	13	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
	14	16 2.7%	16 2.7%
	15	29 4.9%	29 4.9%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
O. Absentee Voter	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	6	.
	7	.
	8	.
	9	.
	10	.
	11	.
	12	.
	13	.
	14	.
	15	.
	16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
0	191 32.9%	103 36.6%	88 29.7%	0 .0%
1	67 11.5%	30 10.7%	37 12.4%	0 .0%
2	30 5.1%	14 4.9%	16 5.3%	0 .0%
3	27 4.7%	17 5.9%	11 3.6%	0 .0%
4	28 4.7%	19 6.6%	9 3.0%	0 .0%
5	40 6.9%	13 4.7%	26 8.7%	1 34.4%
6	21 3.5%	8 2.8%	13 4.3%	0 .0%
7	18 3.1%	7 2.6%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
8	12 2.1%	6 2.1%	6 2.0%	0 .0%
9	18 3.1%	10 3.5%	8 2.8%	0 .0%
10	26 4.5%	11 3.9%	14 4.7%	1 33.7%
11	19 3.3%	10 3.6%	8 2.8%	1 31.9%
12	17 2.9%	8 2.7%	9 3.1%	0 .0%
13	23 4.0%	10 3.6%	13 4.4%	0 .0%
14	16 2.7%	5 1.6%	11 3.8%	0 .0%
15	29 4.9%	12 4.1%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4	B		a
5			a
6			a
7			a
O. Absentee Voter			a
8			a
9			a
10			A
11			A B
12			a
13			a
14			a
15			a
16	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
0	191 32.9%	54 69.7%	43 42.3%	43 30.2%	34 22.3%	18 18.0%	0 .0%
1	67 11.5%	7 9.7%	19 18.5%	15 10.7%	15 9.6%	11 10.9%	0 .0%
2	30 5.1%	8 10.4%	3 2.5%	7 4.7%	7 4.8%	3 3.0%	2 24.3%
3	27 4.7%	2 2.6%	10 9.7%	7 4.7%	7 4.7%	2 1.7%	0 .0%
4	28 4.7%	2 2.0%	3 2.8%	10 7.2%	8 4.9%	4 4.4%	1 11.8%
5	40 6.9%	2 2.0%	10 9.9%	14 9.8%	12 8.2%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
6	21 3.5%	0 .0%	4 4.3%	10 6.8%	4 2.5%	3 2.7%	0 .0%
7	18 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 6.2%	6 4.2%	3 3.1%	0 .0%
8	12 2.1%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	1 .8%	7 4.9%	0 .1%	0 .0%
9	18 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.4%	7 4.3%	7 6.7%	0 .0%
10	26 4.5%	0 .0%	4 4.4%	4 3.1%	8 5.4%	7 6.9%	2 24.9%
11	19 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	10 6.5%	6 6.2%	0 .0%
12	17 2.9%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	2 1.7%	6 3.7%	5 4.5%	2 19.6%
13	23 4.0%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	8 5.0%	10 9.6%	0 .0%
14	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.1%	7 4.5%	7 7.3%	0 .0%
15	29 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 5.2%	7 4.3%	13 12.8%	2 19.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	B	C	D	E		
1					D	E
2						
3						
4						
5						
6	a					
7	a					
8	a					
9	a	a				
10	a					
11	a					
12	a					
13						
14	a					
15	a				C	
16	a					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
0	191 32.9%	125 29.4%	67 42.3%
1	67 11.5%	41 9.6%	26 16.6%
2	30 5.1%	21 4.9%	9 5.5%
3	27 4.7%	17 4.1%	10 6.3%
4	28 4.7%	21 4.9%	7 4.4%
5	40 6.9%	32 7.6%	8 5.0%
6	21 3.5%	19 4.4%	2 1.1%
7	18 3.1%	16 3.9%	2 1.2%
8	12 2.1%	10 2.4%	2 1.1%
9	18 3.1%	15 3.6%	3 1.8%
10	26 4.5%	20 4.8%	6 3.7%
11	19 3.3%	13 3.0%	7 4.3%
12	17 2.9%	12 2.9%	4 2.8%
13	23 4.0%	21 5.0%	2 1.3%
14	16 2.7%	13 3.1%	3 1.7%
15	29 4.9%	27 6.4%	2 1.0%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner (A)	Renter (B)
0		A
1		A
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
O. Absentee Voter		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13	B	
14		
15	B	
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Total	582	244	81	16	242
0	191 32.9%	84 34.7%	17 21.2%	5 33.7%	84 34.9%
1	67 11.5%	17 7.1%	7 8.6%	3 18.3%	40 16.5%
2	30 5.1%	15 6.0%	3 3.6%	1 8.8%	11 4.5%
3	27 4.7%	7 2.8%	1 .7%	2 12.5%	18 7.4%
4	28 4.7%	13 5.4%	3 4.3%	0 1.8%	11 4.4%
5	40 6.9%	18 7.4%	3 3.7%	1 4.9%	18 7.5%
6	21 3.5%	10 3.9%	5 6.6%	0 .0%	6 2.3%
7	18 3.1%	10 4.2%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	7 2.8%
8	12 2.1%	5 2.3%	1 1.6%	0 .0%	5 2.2%
9	18 3.1%	8 3.2%	4 4.9%	0 1.0%	6 2.6%
10	26 4.5%	10 4.3%	6 7.9%	0 .0%	9 3.9%
11	19 3.3%	6 2.6%	4 5.1%	1 7.6%	8 3.2%
12	17 2.9%	9 3.5%	5 6.3%	1 4.9%	2 .9%
13	23 4.0%	8 3.4%	8 10.0%	1 5.1%	6 2.5%
14	16 2.7%	10 3.9%	1 .7%	0 .0%	6 2.3%
15	29 4.9%	13 5.2%	11 13.2%	0 1.3%	5 2.1%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0				
1				A
2				
3			B	
4				
5				
6			a	
7			a	
8			a	
9				
10			a	
11				
12		D		
13		D		
14			a	
15		D		
16		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
0	191 32.9%	42 34.5%	23 34.5%	7 22.1%	7 24.8%	49 38.5%	63 30.6%
1	67 11.5%	8 6.7%	7 10.3%	1 4.4%	3 10.9%	5 4.1%	42 20.6%
2	30 5.1%	6 5.2%	3 3.8%	2 5.3%	1 4.2%	13 10.3%	5 2.3%
3	27 4.7%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	7 5.3%	17 8.2%
4	28 4.7%	12 9.6%	2 2.4%	2 5.2%	0 1.4%	4 3.0%	8 4.1%
5	40 6.9%	12 10.2%	3 4.1%	1 3.8%	2 6.4%	3 2.3%	19 9.2%
6	21 3.5%	4 3.0%	0 .0%	1 3.4%	1 3.6%	10 8.1%	4 2.1%
7	18 3.1%	5 4.2%	5 7.6%	0 .0%	1 3.7%	2 1.9%	5 2.3%
8	12 2.1%	1 .5%	3 4.9%	0 .7%	1 3.7%	2 1.2%	5 2.5%
9	18 3.1%	6 5.2%	1 1.9%	1 3.8%	1 2.1%	5 4.0%	4 1.8%
10	26 4.5%	2 1.2%	5 6.8%	5 14.0%	1 3.9%	7 5.5%	7 3.6%
11	19 3.3%	3 2.1%	2 3.4%	2 7.5%	1 2.3%	3 2.7%	8 3.9%
12	17 2.9%	5 3.9%	3 4.1%	3 9.4%	1 4.5%	3 2.6%	2 .8%
13	23 4.0%	4 3.1%	4 5.7%	3 8.8%	2 8.3%	5 3.6%	6 2.8%
14	16 2.7%	6 5.3%	3 4.7%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .3%	5 2.6%
15	29 4.9%	3 2.6%	4 5.7%	4 8.2%	3 20.4%	6 6.3%	8 2.4%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0						
1						A E
2					F	
3		a		a		
4						
5						
6		a				
7			a			
O. Absentee Voter						
8						
9						
10			A			
11						
12			F			
13						
14				a		
15				A F		
16	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
0	191 32.9%	149 56.5%	15 19.7%	10 12.5%	3 6.7%	4 16.5%	1 4.4%
1	67 11.5%	44 16.9%	8 10.1%	8 9.6%	3 7.1%	1 4.1%	0 1.3%
2	30 5.1%	10 3.8%	12 15.0%	5 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	27 4.7%	9 3.5%	15 19.3%	1 .9%	0 .7%	0 .0%	2 8.2%
4	28 4.7%	9 3.2%	3 3.4%	5 6.5%	2 4.2%	4 15.9%	0 .0%
5	40 6.9%	9 3.3%	16 20.9%	11 13.8%	0 .0%	0 1.1%	3 13.2%
6	21 3.5%	2 .7%	1 1.7%	9 10.6%	4 8.5%	3 12.5%	1 3.9%
7	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	5 6.8%	5 6.3%	2 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
8	12 2.1%	0 .1%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	6 13.7%	0 .0%	1 4.5%
9	18 3.1%	5 1.7%	0 .5%	5 6.4%	3 6.8%	2 8.7%	0 .0%
10	26 4.5%	5 2.0%	1 1.4%	3 3.4%	7 14.4%	1 4.1%	3 13.0%
11	19 3.3%	7 2.8%	0 .2%	3 3.4%	3 5.4%	3 13.1%	2 8.9%
12	17 2.9%	5 2.0%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	4 19.3%
13	23 4.0%	1 .3%	0 .0%	8 10.2%	3 6.5%	0 .9%	3 12.6%
14	16 2.7%	3 1.0%	0 .2%	4 4.8%	1 2.3%	1 5.5%	1 4.5%
15	29 4.9%	0 .1%	1 .8%	2 3.0%	8 16.9%	5 17.6%	1 6.2%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

	Total	Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	38	24	
0	8 20.1%	1 2.6%	
1	2 4.0%	1 2.6%	
2	1 3.4%	2 8.7%	
3	0 .0%	0 .0%	
4	1 3.1%	4 15.3%	
5	0 .6%	0 .0%	
6	1 1.5%	0 .0%	
7	1 1.7%	0 .0%	
8	3 8.7%	0 .0%	
9	1 3.1%	1 5.2%	
10	3 6.7%	4 14.6%	
11	0 .9%	1 2.6%	
12	2 5.6%	2 9.9%	
13	6 16.1%	2 7.3%	
14	3 8.2%	2 10.3%	
15	6 16.2%	5 20.8%	
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	B C D E F G H					
0						
1				a	a	a
2		A			a	
3		A C D			a	
4					A	a
5		A	A	a		
6			A	A	A	a
7		a		A C	a	A
8				A	a	a
9				A		
10						
11						
12		a			a	A C
13		a	A	A		A
14						
15		a		A B	A B	A
16		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
0		
1		
2		
3	a	a
4		a
5		a
6		a
7		a
8	A	
9		
10		A
11		
12		
13	A	A
14		A
15	A B	A B
16	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
0	191	3	47	17	15	0	3	15	25	23	40
	32.9%	52.5%	27.1%	40.2%	33.4%	.0%	20.8%	35.2%	25.4%	31.2%	62.6%
1	67	0	21	6	7	0	3	4	13	7	6
	11.5%	.0%	11.9%	13.2%	15.8%	.0%	25.9%	8.4%	13.9%	9.5%	9.6%
2	30	0	5	3	8	0	0	1	3	7	2
	5.1%	.0%	3.0%	6.6%	17.3%	.0%	1.1%	2.6%	9.9%	9.5%	2.7%
3	27	0	8	2	4	2	0	2	4	3	2
	4.7%	.0%	4.8%	4.7%	7.9%	12.7%	.0%	5.1%	4.6%	4.2%	2.5%
4	28	1	11	4	1	1	1	0	3	5	2
	4.7%	15.8%	6.1%	8.4%	1.4%	6.3%	7.7%	1.0%	3.2%	6.3%	2.5%
5	40	1	19	1	0	4	2	0	4	6	3
	6.9%	9.9%	10.9%	2.0%	.0%	26.2%	12.6%	.6%	4.3%	7.8%	4.5%
6	21	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	0
	3.5%	.0%	4.1%	2.8%	.3%	.9%	.0%	5.3%	5.7%	5.9%	.0%
7	18	0	11	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1
	3.1%	.0%	6.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	7.2%	1.9%	.0%	2.3%
8	12	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
	2.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	2.2%	2.5%
9	18	0	5	1	0	1	0	4	2	2	2
	3.1%	.0%	3.0%	2.9%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	10.7%	2.2%	2.7%	2.5%
10	26	0	10	3	4	0	0	1	7	1	0
	4.5%	.0%	6.0%	6.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	7.7%	.9%	.0%
11	19	0	10	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	1
	3.3%	.0%	6.1%	.2%	2.6%	5.8%	12.6%	.9%	.0%	4.2%	2.3%
12	17	1	5	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	2
	2.9%	12.0%	2.9%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	6.5%	2.5%	2.7%	3.0%	3.8%
13	23	0	2	2	2	4	0	4	6	4	0
	4.0%	.0%	1.0%	4.4%	4.4%	23.3%	.0%	9.7%	6.1%	5.0%	.0%
14	16	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	7	2	2
	2.7%	.0%	1.5%	2.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	3.2%	2.5%
15	29	1	6	1	2	3	2	4	7	3	0
	4.9%	9.9%	3.6%	2.8%	3.8%	16.5%	11.7%	8.5%	6.9%	4.2%	.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Total	2	5
0	1 46.3%	2 41.4%
1	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	0 .0%	0 .0%
4	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	0 .0%	1 10.7%
6	0 .0%	0 .0%
7	0 .0%	0 .0%
8	1 43.9%	0 5.3%
9	0 9.8%	0 .0%
10	0 .0%	0 .0%
11	0 .0%	0 .0%
12	0 .0%	1 21.3%
13	0 .0%	0 .0%
14	0 .0%	0 .0%
15	0 .0%	1 21.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date										
	Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)
0					a					B H I	
1	a				a						a
2	a			B	a						a
3						a					a
4											a
5				a	G						a
6	a				a	a				a	a
7	a				a	a			a		a
O. Absentee Voter	a		a		a	a		a			B I J
9	a				a	a					a
10	a				a	a				a	a
11	a				a	a		a			a
12			a		a						a
13	a				B	a		B		a	a
14					a	a		a			a
15										a	a
16	a		a	a	a	a		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Date
	Apr. 15 (L)
0	
1	a
2	a
3	a
4	a
5	
6	a
7	a
O. Absentee Voter	
8	a
9	a
10	a
11	a
12	
13	a
14	a
15	
16	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	464	118
0	191 32.9%	104 22.4%	87 74.1%
1	67 11.5%	54 11.7%	13 10.7%
2	30 5.1%	17 3.7%	12 10.5%
3	27 4.7%	26 5.6%	1 1.0%
4	28 4.7%	26 5.5%	2 1.8%
5	40 6.9%	40 8.6%	0 .0%
6	21 3.5%	20 4.4%	0 .3%
7	18 3.1%	18 3.9%	0 .0%
8	12 2.1%	12 2.5%	0 .2%
9	18 3.1%	18 3.9%	0 .0%
10	26 4.5%	26 5.5%	1 .5%
11	19 3.3%	19 4.2%	0 .0%
12	17 2.9%	16 3.4%	1 .9%
13	23 4.0%	23 5.0%	0 .0%
14	16 2.7%	16 3.4%	0 .0%
15	29 4.9%	29 6.2%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
0		A
1		
2		A
3	B	
4		
5		a
6	B	
7		a
O. Absentee Voter		
8		a
9		
10	B	
11		
12		
13		a
14		a
15		a
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
Total	582	347	235
0	191 32.9%	15 4.3%	176 75.0%
1	67 11.5%	43 12.3%	24 10.3%
2	30 5.1%	23 6.7%	6 2.7%
3	27 4.7%	19 5.5%	8 3.6%
4	28 4.7%	20 5.6%	8 3.4%
5	40 6.9%	34 9.9%	6 2.4%
6	21 3.5%	20 5.8%	0 .2%
7	18 3.1%	17 4.9%	1 .5%
8	12 2.1%	12 3.4%	0 .1%
9	18 3.1%	16 4.7%	2 .8%
10	26 4.5%	24 7.0%	2 .7%
11	19 3.3%	19 5.6%	0 .0%
12	17 2.9%	16 4.6%	1 .2%
13	23 4.0%	23 6.7%	0 .0%
14	16 2.7%	16 4.6%	0 .0%
15	29 4.9%	29 8.2%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes (A)	No (B)
0		A
1		
2	B	
3		
4		
5	B	
6	B	
7	B	
8	B	
9	B	
10	B	
11		a
12	B	.
13		a
14		a
15		a
16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	191	10	27	26	51	50
		32.9%	96.4%	55.4%	27.3%	39.0%	30.4%
	1	67	0	5	22	9	23
		11.5%	2.7%	11.1%	23.0%	6.8%	14.0%
	2	30	0	0	3	16	7
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	12.0%	4.6%
	3	27	0	5	5	7	5
		4.7%	.0%	9.9%	5.3%	5.6%	3.0%
	4	28	0	3	7	2	8
		4.7%	.0%	6.3%	7.3%	1.9%	4.7%
	5	40	0	0	15	6	11
		6.9%	.0%	.5%	16.1%	4.7%	6.6%
	6	21	0	0	1	2	10
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	1.8%	6.0%
	7	18	0	1	4	5	5
		3.1%	.0%	2.5%	4.3%	3.9%	2.8%
8	12	0	0	4	1	2	
	2.1%	.0%	.7%	4.6%	.6%	1.3%	
9	18	0	1	2	5	5	
	3.1%	.0%	1.2%	1.8%	3.5%	2.8%	
10	26	0	4	0	9	4	
	4.5%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	6.7%	2.4%	
11	19	0	1	3	4	9	
	3.3%	.0%	1.5%	2.7%	3.1%	5.3%	
12	17	0	0	1	1	5	
	2.9%	.0%	.2%	.7%	.9%	2.9%	
13	23	0	0	1	5	7	
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	3.7%	4.2%	
14	16	0	1	1	2	8	
	2.7%	.0%	1.3%	1.2%	1.5%	4.9%	
15	29	0	0	0	6	7	
	4.9%	.9%	.7%	.0%	4.3%	4.2%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
O. Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	0	26	1
		19.9%	100.0%
	1	8	0
		6.0%	.0%
	2	4	0
		2.8%	.0%
	3	5	0
		3.9%	.0%
	4	8	0
		5.7%	.0%
	5	8	0
		5.8%	.0%
	6	7	0
		5.5%	.0%
	7	3	0
		2.5%	.0%
8	4	0	
	3.3%	.0%	
9	7	0	
	5.1%	.0%	
10	9	0	
	7.1%	.0%	
11	3	0	
	2.5%	.0%	
12	10	0	
	7.6%	.0%	
13	10	0	
	7.7%	.0%	
14	4	0	
	3.1%	.0%	
15	16	0	
	11.8%	.0%	
16	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	C D E F	C E F		F		
1			D F			
2	.b	.b		F		
3	.b					
4	.b					
5	.b		B D			
6	.b	.b				
7	.b					
8	.b					
9	.b					
10	.b		.b			
11	.b					
12	.b					
13	.b	.b				
14	.b					
15	.b		.b	.b	.b	.b
16	.b		.b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
0	a,.b
1	a,.b
2	a,.b
3	a,.b
4	a,.b
5	a,.b
6	a,.b
7	a,.b
8	a,.b
9	a,.b
10	a,.b
11	a,.b
12	a,.b
13	a,.b
14	a,.b
15	a,.b
16	a,.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
0	191	4	68	47	45	23	4
	33.0%	8.3%	32.1%	33.3%	39.8%	40.1%	37.5%
1	66	3	26	14	11	12	1
	11.5%	5.9%	12.2%	10.1%	9.7%	21.3%	4.3%
2	30	3	10	3	5	9	0
	5.1%	5.9%	4.9%	2.2%	4.5%	14.7%	.0%
3	27	3	7	5	7	1	4
	4.7%	7.4%	3.1%	3.4%	6.5%	1.8%	35.7%
4	28	3	8	7	8	2	0
	4.8%	7.6%	3.8%	4.9%	6.7%	2.6%	.0%
5	40	3	16	10	8	1	2
	6.9%	6.9%	7.4%	7.4%	6.6%	1.9%	19.5%
6	21	1	7	7	1	4	0
	3.5%	3.2%	3.4%	5.1%	.8%	6.6%	.0%
7	18	0	4	9	5	0	0
	3.2%	.5%	1.8%	6.1%	4.7%	.7%	.0%
8	12	0	5	4	2	1	0
	2.1%	1.0%	2.2%	3.1%	1.4%	1.8%	.0%
9	18	1	7	4	7	0	0
	3.1%	1.3%	3.2%	2.8%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
10	26	2	13	6	4	0	0
	4.5%	5.2%	6.4%	4.4%	3.7%	.0%	.0%
11	19	3	4	9	3	0	0
	3.3%	6.2%	2.1%	6.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
12	17	4	6	4	0	2	0
	2.9%	7.9%	2.8%	3.1%	.0%	4.2%	2.9%
13	23	7	10	2	3	0	0
	3.9%	16.5%	4.8%	1.1%	3.0%	.4%	.0%
14	16	3	6	5	2	1	0
	2.7%	5.8%	2.8%	3.2%	2.0%	1.0%	.0%
15	28	5	15	5	2	2	0
	4.8%	10.5%	7.0%	3.4%	1.7%	2.9%	.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		A	A	A	A	
1						a
2					C	BCDE
3						a
4						a
5						a
6						a
7						a
8					a	a
9					a	a
10					a	a
11					a	a
12				a		a
13	BCDE					a
14						a
15						a
16	a		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
0	191	1	3	57	0	47
	32.9%	50.0%	100.0%	30.0%	.0%	44.2%
1	67	0	0	27	0	10
	11.5%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	9.8%
2	30	0	0	9	0	9
	5.1%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	8.4%
3	27	0	0	7	2	2
	4.7%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	100.0%	2.1%
4	28	0	0	8	0	6
	4.7%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	5.2%
5	40	0	0	15	0	7
	6.9%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	6.9%
6	21	0	0	6	0	6
	3.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	5.6%
7	18	1	0	7	0	6
	3.1%	50.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	5.4%
8	12	0	0	5	0	1
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	1.0%
9	18	0	0	6	0	4
	3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.7%
10	26	0	0	11	0	3
	4.5%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%	2.7%
11	19	0	0	9	0	2
	3.3%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	2.0%
12	17	0	0	1	0	3
	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	2.9%
13	23	0	0	6	0	0
	4.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
14	16	0	0	7	0	0
	2.7%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
15	29	0	0	9	0	0
	4.9%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
0	0 .0%	17 69.3%	3 40.9%	9 63.9%	2 16.3%	35 23.8%
1	0 .0%	4 17.5%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	4 38.2%	13 9.0%
2	3 18.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.6%
3	2 9.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.9%
4	2 16.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.4%	7 5.0%
5	3 17.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	11 7.4%
6	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	3 2.0%
7	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	2 1.1%
8	0 .0%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	2 1.0%
9	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	1 12.0%	4 3.0%
10	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.5%
11	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%
12	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 6.9%
13	0 .0%	2 6.9%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 7.4%
14	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.9%
15	1 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 11.4%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	23	12	6	17
0	5 23.1%	3 22.7%	1 11.1%	8 48.5%
1	5 21.6%	0 .0%	0 3.6%	2 10.2%
2	1 2.8%	0 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
3	4 16.9%	2 17.1%	2 41.6%	0 2.5%
4	3 12.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
5	0 .0%	1 9.7%	0 .0%	1 7.9%
6	1 2.8%	1 8.0%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
7	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
8	1 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
9	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
10	0 .0%	1 8.8%	0 2.2%	2 13.9%
11	2 9.7%	0 3.8%	1 23.2%	0 1.4%
12	0 .0%	1 8.1%	0 .0%	0 1.9%
13	2 7.3%	1 7.0%	0 4.5%	0 .0%
14	0 .0%	0 2.2%	0 6.6%	0 .0%
15	0 .0%	1 9.1%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		a		a	K	a
1	a	a		a		a
2	a	a		a		
3	a	a		a		
4	a	a		a		
5	a	a		a		
6	a	a		a		a
7	C E K	a		a		
8	a	a		a		a
9	a	a		a		
10	a	a		a		
11	a	a		a		a
12	a	a		a		a
13	a	a		a	a	a
14	a	a		a	a	
15	a	a		a	a	
16	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
0	C K					
1	a	a	a	a		
2	a	a	a	a		
3	a	a	a	a		
4	a	a	a			a
5	a	a	a			
6	a	a		a		
7	a	a	a			a
8	a	a		a		
9	a	a		a		a
10	a		a	a		a
11	a		a	a		
12	a	C	a	a	C	a
13	a		a	a		
14	a	a	a	a		a
15	a	a	a	a		a
16	a	a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
0			
1	a		
2			a
3		C E K	
4	a	a	a
5		a	
6		a	
7	a		a
8	a	a	a
9	a	a	a
10			
11		K	
12		a	
13			a
14			a
15			a
16	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	104	39	50	8	4	3
0	39.0%	37.5%	44.2%	25.9%	40.6%	34.4%
	27	10	9	6	1	0
1	10.1%	9.4%	8.1%	21.1%	13.4%	2.5%
	8	6	1	1	0	0
2	2.9%	5.4%	1.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	12	2	9	0	1	0
3	4.6%	1.7%	8.2%	.0%	10.7%	1.8%
	16	8	6	1	1	0
4	5.9%	7.7%	5.0%	2.1%	13.4%	.0%
	20	10	6	2	0	2
5	7.6%	9.4%	5.5%	7.5%	.0%	21.8%
	8	1	3	3	0	0
6	2.9%	1.3%	3.0%	9.4%	.9%	.0%
	12	7	3	1	1	0
7	4.5%	6.5%	2.5%	3.8%	11.3%	.0%
	5	1	2	0	1	1
8	1.9%	.6%	2.1%	.0%	9.6%	11.8%
	9	3	3	3	0	0
9	3.4%	2.9%	2.8%	9.2%	.0%	.0%
	13	6	5	2	0	1
10	5.0%	5.5%	4.4%	5.6%	.0%	11.5%
	9	4	5	0	0	1
11	3.5%	3.4%	4.1%	1.4%	.0%	7.3%
	2	0	2	0	0	0
12	.7%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	1	1	2	0	1
13	1.4%	.7%	.6%	5.4%	.0%	8.8%
	9	4	3	2	0	0
14	3.4%	4.1%	2.8%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
	8	4	5	0	0	0
15	3.1%	3.5%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0					
1					
2					
3			a		a
4					a
5				a	
6					a
7					a
8			a		A
9					a
10				a	
11				a	
12	a				
13				a	
14				a	a
15				a	a
16		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
0	106	26	69	9	1	2
	27.8%	23.7%	30.6%	26.2%	23.3%	16.4%
1	43	12	19	9	1	2
	11.2%	11.2%	8.4%	27.3%	17.5%	16.9%
2	22	7	11	4	0	0
	5.7%	6.5%	5.0%	10.5%	.0%	.0%
3	14	1	12	1	0	0
	3.8%	.8%	5.4%	3.5%	.0%	1.5%
4	18	5	12	0	1	0
	4.7%	4.4%	5.2%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
5	21	10	10	1	0	0
	5.4%	8.9%	4.3%	3.3%	.0%	3.1%
6	14	4	6	2	0	2
	3.8%	4.0%	2.7%	4.7%	2.1%	21.5%
7	12	4	7	0	0	1
	3.2%	3.8%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	11.0%
8	11	0	9	0	1	1
	2.8%	.1%	3.8%	.0%	24.6%	9.4%
9	15	4	10	2	0	0
	4.0%	3.3%	4.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
10	18	7	10	0	0	1
	4.7%	6.7%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
11	16	8	8	0	0	0
	4.1%	7.3%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
12	15	5	8	0	0	1
	3.9%	5.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
13	18	4	14	1	0	0
	4.8%	3.5%	6.1%	2.5%	.0%	1.4%
14	14	6	6	2	0	0
	3.6%	6.0%	2.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
15	24	5	15	4	0	0
	6.4%	4.7%	6.7%	12.4%	.0%	.0%
16	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0					
1			B		
2				a	a
3				a	
4			a	A	a
5				a	
6					B
7			a	a	
8			a	A	A
9				a	a
10			a	a	
11			a	a	a
12				a	
13				a	
14				a	a
15				a	a
16	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	0	191 32.9%	144 32.2%	8 31.2%	27 29.2%	2 26.8%	0 24.3%	17 38.4%	1 18.7%	16 73.1%
	1	67 11.5%	42 9.3%	7 25.3%	16 17.1%	1 15.8%	0 .0%	4 8.8%	0 .0%	4 19.7%
	2	30 5.1%	21 4.7%	0 .0%	4 4.5%	0 3.2%	0 .0%	9 20.4%	3 42.6%	0 .0%
	3	27 4.7%	25 5.5%	1 4.4%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	1 69.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	4	28 4.7%	24 5.2%	0 .0%	4 4.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	5	40 6.9%	32 7.0%	2 7.1%	7 7.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	6	21 3.5%	15 3.4%	0 .0%	4 4.0%	1 19.8%	0 .0%	2 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	7	18 3.1%	16 3.5%	1 4.7%	3 3.7%	1 19.9%	0 .0%	4 8.1%	1 21.7%	0 .0%
	8	12 2.1%	8 1.7%	0 .0%	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 7.2%
	9	18 3.1%	14 3.1%	1 3.5%	4 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	10	26 4.5%	19 4.2%	2 5.9%	4 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 15.6%	0 .0%
	11	19 3.3%	15 3.3%	1 4.4%	6 6.5%	1 13.2%	0 .0%	1 3.0%	0 1.4%	0 .0%
	12	17 2.9%	14 3.2%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	13	23 4.0%	19 4.2%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	14	16 2.7%	13 2.9%	1 4.4%	2 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	15	29 4.9%	28 6.2%	2 9.1%	3 3.1%	0 1.3%	0 6.5%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	16 33.2%	2 14.0%	0 25.0%	3 26.7%	9 63.9%	21 38.0%	1 13.7%
	1	2 5.1%	3 22.5%	0 .0%	2 13.1%	0 .0%	4 7.9%	2 29.2%
	2	3 5.5%	1 6.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 7.5%	0 .0%
	3	6 13.1%	1 7.6%	0 .0%	1 9.3%	0 .0%	7 11.9%	0 .0%
	4	3 7.0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.5%	0 .0%
	5	5 9.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 9.3%	1 20.8%
	6	1 1.9%	1 4.9%	0 .0%	2 13.8%	2 12.6%	3 4.8%	0 .0%
	7	1 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 9.8%	0 .0%	4 6.7%	0 .0%
	8	0 .0%	1 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	1 15.7%
	9	2 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 4%	0 .0%
	10	2 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.1%	1 15.4%
	11	1 1.9%	1 7.3%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	3 6.2%	0 .0%
	12	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 8.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 5.1%
	13	2 3.3%	1 8.1%	0 .0%	1 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .9%	0 .0%
	14	2 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	15	1 1.9%	2 11.5%	1 75.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household								
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
0					a			A C	
1					a,b		.b		
2		.b			a,b	A	A C	.b	
3				.b	a	.b	.b	.b	
4		.b			a,b		.b	.b	
5				.b	a,b		.b	.b	
6		.b			a,b		.b	.b	
7				.b	a,b		.b	.b	
O. Absentee Voter		.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b
9				.b	a,b		.b	.b	
10				.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	
11				.b	a,b		.b	.b	
12		.b		.b	a,b		.b	.b	.b
13		.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	
14				.b	a	.b	.b	.b	
15			.b		a	.b	.b	.b	
16		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0		a				
1		a,b		.b		
2		a,b	.b	.b		.b
3		a,b		.b		.b
4		a,b	.b	.b		.b
5	.b	a,b	.b	.b		
6		a,b				.b
7	.b	a,b		.b		.b
O. Absentee Voter	.b	a,b	.b		.b	.b
9	.b	a,b	.b	.b		.b
10		a,b	.b	.b		.b
11	.b	a,b		.b	.b	.b
12		a,b		.b		.b
13		a,b		.b		.b
14	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b
15		a	.b	.b	.b	.b
16	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	Household Income							
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
0	191	14	12	10	18	7	15	21	
	32.9%	23.5%	23.6%	35.6%	48.6%	21.1%	36.2%	54.0%	
1	67	7	6	0	3	9	7	3	
	11.5%	11.6%	11.1%	1.5%	7.9%	27.2%	16.9%	7.2%	
2	30	2	4	0	2	0	3	1	
	5.1%	3.4%	7.4%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	7.7%	1.7%	
3	27	5	5	1	0	0	0	2	
	4.7%	8.9%	8.8%	4.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%	6.3%	
4	28	2	3	1	0	2	3	3	
	4.7%	3.5%	5.3%	3.0%	.0%	6.6%	7.5%	8.4%	
5	40	4	6	2	0	2	0	1	
	6.9%	7.1%	11.0%	6.9%	.0%	5.9%	.6%	3.5%	
6	21	1	2	0	2	2	3	2	
	3.5%	1.5%	3.2%	.3%	4.3%	7.3%	7.1%	4.5%	
7	18	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	
	3.1%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	3.2%	2.3%	2.7%	
8	12	0	0	1	2	3	3	0	
	2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	5.1%	10.1%	6.9%	.0%	
9	18	2	2	0	3	0	0	2	
	3.1%	3.5%	4.2%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	
10	26	3	2	2	0	1	2	0	
	4.5%	4.8%	4.8%	7.4%	.8%	2.8%	5.1%	.6%	
11	19	1	4	0	1	1	0	1	
	3.3%	2.3%	7.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.6%	.0%	2.7%	
12	17	4	2	1	2	1	0	0	
	2.9%	6.8%	3.3%	4.7%	4.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	
13	23	5	1	3	2	1	1	0	
	4.0%	8.3%	2.5%	8.6%	5.1%	3.4%	2.5%	.4%	
14	16	4	0	4	0	1	1	1	
	2.7%	6.8%	.4%	12.8%	.0%	2.1%	1.6%	3.7%	
15	29	3	4	4	2	1	2	0	
	4.9%	5.3%	7.4%	12.1%	5.0%	2.7%	5.6%	.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	34	132	126
0	11	42	41
	31.8%	31.9%	32.6%
1	6	12	14
	17.3%	9.4%	11.3%
2	6	5	7
	17.9%	3.8%	5.7%
3	3	6	5
	7.5%	4.2%	4.2%
4	3	11	0
	7.8%	8.1%	.1%
5	0	16	8
	.0%	12.4%	6.4%
6	0	2	7
	.0%	1.8%	5.5%
7	0	7	6
	.0%	5.0%	4.5%
8	0	0	3
	.9%	.3%	2.0%
9	0	8	1
	.7%	6.2%	.7%
10	2	3	10
	6.4%	2.6%	7.5%
11	1	6	4
	3.9%	4.2%	3.2%
12	0	4	3
	.3%	2.9%	2.5%
13	0	2	8
	.3%	1.8%	6.2%
14	0	2	3
	.0%	1.6%	2.2%
15	1	5	6
	4.4%	3.8%	5.1%
16	0	0	0
	.9%	.0%	.0%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0							
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
0			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			

O. Absentee Voter

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
0	191 32.9%	49 29.3%	68 44.6%	74 28.3%
1	67 11.5%	18 10.8%	20 13.1%	29 11.0%
2	30 5.1%	9 5.6%	11 7.1%	9 3.6%
3	27 4.7%	7 4.0%	9 6.1%	11 4.3%
4	28 4.7%	6 3.5%	4 2.5%	18 6.8%
5	40 6.9%	5 3.2%	11 7.0%	24 9.1%
6	21 3.5%	7 4.2%	4 2.8%	9 3.5%
7	18 3.1%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	16 6.2%
8	12 2.1%	2 .9%	5 3.2%	6 2.1%
9	18 3.1%	6 3.7%	3 1.8%	9 3.6%
10	26 4.5%	8 5.0%	5 3.2%	13 4.9%
11	19 3.3%	4 2.2%	3 1.9%	13 4.9%
12	17 2.9%	7 4.3%	2 1.3%	8 2.9%
13	23 4.0%	15 8.9%	3 2.1%	5 2.0%
14	16 2.7%	8 4.5%	3 2.3%	5 1.8%
15	29 4.9%	14 8.5%	2 1.0%	13 4.9%
16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%

O. Absentee Voter

^{b, c}

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		A C	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7		a	A
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13	B C		
14			
15	B	a	
16	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
0	71 15.4%	32 25.7%	15 17.1%	13 16.3%	11 6.4%
1	67 14.5%	53 42.1%	2 2.1%	7 9.6%	5 2.8%
2	30 6.4%	19 15.2%	5 5.7%	2 2.7%	3 1.9%
3	27 5.9%	21 16.9%	3 3.6%	3 3.5%	0 .0%
4	28 6.0%	0 .0%	23 25.1%	3 4.0%	2 1.1%
5	40 8.7%	0 .0%	33 36.7%	6 7.5%	1 .6%
6	21 4.5%	0 .0%	9 9.6%	12 14.9%	0 .2%
7	18 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 20.0%	3 1.6%
8	12 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 8.5%	5 3.2%
9	18 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 12.9%	8 4.8%
10	26 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 15.6%
11	19 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 11.5%
12	17 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.9%
13	23 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 13.8%
14	16 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 9.4%
15	29 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 17.0%
16	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%

O. Absentee Voter

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3 (A)	4-6 (B)	7-9 (C)	10 or more (D)
0	D	D		
1	B C D			
2	C D			
3	B C			a
4	a	C D		
5	a	C D	D	
6	a	D	D	
7	a	a	D	
8	a	a		
9	a	a	D	a
10	a	a	a	a
11	a	a	a	a
12	a	a	a	a
13	a	a	a	a
14	a	a	a	a
15	a	a	a	a
16	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
0	191 33.0%	39 31.9%	45 37.9%	6 15.0%	11 27.3%	42 33.0%	42 37.7%	1 15.7%
1	67 11.6%	6 5.2%	11 9.1%	2 5.4%	5 11.7%	27 20.8%	13 12.0%	2 27.0%
2	30 5.1%	6 5.1%	8 7.0%	2 4.7%	1 2.5%	8 6.1%	3 2.6%	0 .0%
3	27 4.7%	1 1.0%	6 4.7%	0 .7%	0 .7%	9 7.2%	9 7.8%	0 .0%
4	28 4.8%	4 3.4%	9 7.5%	1 2.6%	2 6.0%	3 2.7%	7 6.4%	0 4.1%
5	39 6.7%	13 10.4%	5 4.4%	3 7.3%	0 .2%	9 7.3%	8 6.9%	1 11.2%
6	21 3.6%	5 4.1%	5 3.8%	5 11.5%	1 1.8%	3 2.4%	3 2.3%	0 .0%
7	18 3.2%	7 6.0%	3 2.3%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	4 2.9%	3 2.9%	0 .0%
8	12 2.1%	2 1.5%	4 3.0%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	4 3.2%	1 1.0%	0 .0%
9	18 3.1%	3 2.4%	5 4.1%	2 6.1%	1 3.6%	3 2.1%	4 3.2%	0 2.2%
10	25 4.3%	8 6.2%	3 2.2%	6 15.7%	0 .2%	0 .1%	8 7.4%	0 .0%
11	18 3.2%	3 2.5%	2 1.9%	1 1.6%	3 8.5%	3 2.7%	4 3.8%	1 17.1%
12	17 2.9%	6 5.1%	2 1.9%	1 2.3%	4 10.2%	1 .9%	1 1.0%	1 11.2%
13	23 4.0%	3 2.7%	5 4.1%	4 10.1%	4 9.9%	5 3.9%	1 1.0%	1 11.6%
14	16 2.7%	8 6.5%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	3 2.5%	2 2.2%	0 .0%
15	29 4.9%	7 5.8%	5 4.6%	7 16.9%	4 9.7%	3 2.3%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
16	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender	
	Total	Male Oth
Total	9	4
0	48.0%	4
1	11.4%	1
2	15.8%	1
3	22.5%	2
4	.0%	0
5	.0%	0
6	.0%	0
7	.0%	0
8	.0%	0
9	.0%	0
10	.0%	0
11	.0%	0
12	.0%	0
13	.0%	0
14	.0%	0
15	2.4%	0
16	.0%	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0								
1					A		a	
2							a	
3							a	A
4								a
5								a
6							a	a
7			a				a	a
8			a				a	a
9								a
10			B E			E	a	a
11								a
12								a
13			a				a	a
14							a	
15			E F				a	
16		a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	582	582
Yes	464	464
	79.7%	79.7%
No	118	118
	20.3%	20.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	464	221	240	3
		79.7%	78.4%	80.7%	100.0%
	No	118	61	57	0
		20.3%	21.6%	19.3%	0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	464	56	82	113	122	85	6
		79.7%	73.1%	81.1%	80.0%	80.0%	83.8%	63.9%
	No	118	21	19	28	31	16	3
		20.3%	26.9%	18.9%	20.0%	20.0%	16.2%	36.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	464	339	125
		79.7%	80.0%	79.0%
	No	118	85	33
		20.3%	20.0%	21.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	464	181	60	15	208
	No	118	63	20	1	34
		79.7%	74.1%	74.8%	95.3%	85.9%
		20.3%	25.9%	25.2%	4.7%	14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				A
	No	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	464	90	48	23	19	107	177
	No	118	31	19	9	9	22	29
		79.7%	74.7%	71.6%	71.2%	67.8%	83.2%	86.1%
		20.3%	25.3%	28.4%	28.8%	32.2%	16.8%	13.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	464	205	61	66	44	21
	No	118	59	18	16	3	5
		79.7%	77.7%	77.6%	80.8%	92.9%	79.4%
		20.3%	22.3%	22.4%	19.2%	7.1%	20.6%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	22	27	19
	No	1	11	6
		5.1%	28.1%	23.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	464	5	143	35	29	16	13	34	83	52
	No	118	2	30	6	17	0	0	9	14	21
		20.3%	24.8%	17.1%	15.3%	37.7%	.9%	1.6%	20.2%	14.0%	29.2%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	46	1	5
	No	18	1	0
		71.8%	53.7%	100.0%
		28.2%	46.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes										
	No										

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		a
	No		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	464	464	0
	No	118	0	118
		20.3%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	464	314	150
	No	118	33	85
		79.7%	90.5%	63.7%
		20.3%	9.5%	36.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	464	8	38	79	111	120
	No	118	3	11	15	21	43
		79.7%	74.5%	77.9%	83.7%	84.1%	73.4%
		20.3%	25.5%	22.1%	16.3%	15.9%	26.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	Yes	108 81.7%	0 .0%
	No	24 18.3%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		a,b
	No		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	462 79.6%	41 90.9%	166 79.0%	115 81.5%	88 77.2%	42 72.4%	10 84.9%
	No	118 20.4%	4 9.1%	44 21.0%	26 18.5%	26 22.8%	16 27.6%	2 15.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	464 79.7%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	157 82.7%	1 48.8%	78 73.6%
	No	118 20.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	33 17.3%	1 51.2%	28 26.4%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	15 100.0%	14 55.8%	8 100.0%	11 81.6%	10 100.0%
	No	0 .0%	11 44.2%	0 .0%	2 18.4%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	114 76.8%	22 94.0%	11 94.0%	6 95.5%	13 74.1%
	No	34 23.2%	1 6.0%	1 6.0%	0 4.5%	5 25.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		a			
	No		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	a		a		a
	No	a		a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	217	86	87	27	10	7
	No	49	18	26	3	1	1
		81.5%	82.8%	77.0%	88.6%	92.8%	83.6%
		18.5%	17.2%	23.0%	11.4%	7.2%	16.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	300	87	174	25	3	11
	No	81	21	50	9	2	0
		78.7%	80.7%	77.8%	74.2%	59.2%	98.0%
		21.3%	19.3%	22.2%	25.8%	40.8%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	464	348	25	71	7	1	33	6
	No	118	100	1	22	0	0	11	1
		79.7%	77.6%	94.4%	76.0%	100.0%	100.0%	76.0%	84.8%
		20.3%	22.4%	5.6%	24.0%	.0%	.0%	24.0%	15.2%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	22	48	13	13	12	14	56	7
	Yes	11	45	12	1	12	11	45	6
	No	11	2	1	0	0	2	10	1
		50.2%	95.2%	92.9%	75.0%	100.0%	81.6%	81.3%	86.9%
		49.8%	4.8%	7.1%	25.0%	0.0%	18.4%	18.7%	13.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		H		a	a,b			
	No				a	a,b			B I

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	H		b	a			
	No			b	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	464	53	44	19	29	28	31
	No	118	8	8	10	7	4	11
		79.7%	87.4%	85.4%	65.1%	79.9%	86.3%	74.3%
		20.3%	12.6%	14.6%	34.9%	20.1%	13.7%	25.7%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	33	19	105	104
	No	6	15	27	23
		85.0%	55.5%	79.5%	82.2%
		15.0%	44.5%	20.5%	17.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	H						
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No	A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	464	137	106	221
		79.7%	81.8%	69.3%	84.5%
No	118	31	47	41	
	20.3%	18.2%	30.7%	15.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B		
	No		A C	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	376	98	71	60	147
		81.4%	77.8%	78.5%	77.3%	87.5%
No	86	28	19	18	21	
	18.6%	22.2%	21.5%	22.7%	12.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	461	92	88	31	29	111	95
		79.6%	74.3%	73.8%	77.5%	72.2%	87.1%	84.4%
No	118	32	31	9	11	17	17	
	20.4%	25.7%	26.2%	22.5%	27.8%	12.9%	15.6%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	7	9
	Yes	7	8
		97.8%	93.4%
No	0	1	
	2.2%	6.6%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	582
	Yes	347	347
	No	235	235
		59.6%	59.6%
		40.4%	40.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	347	161	183	3
	No	235	120	115	0
		59.6%	57.3%	61.5%	100.0%
		40.4%	42.7%	38.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Age					Not coded
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	347	17	50	89	112	72	7
	No	235	60	51	52	40	30	2
		59.6%	22.0%	49.2%	63.4%	73.6%	70.8%	75.7%
		40.4%	78.0%	50.8%	36.6%	26.4%	29.2%	24.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total	Age					
			18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A B	A B	A	
	No	B C D E F	D E					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Homeownership Status	
			Owner	Renter
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	347	275	72
	No	235	150	85
		59.6%	64.8%	45.8%
		40.4%	35.2%	54.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total	Homeownership Status	
			Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		B	
	No			A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Party			
			Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	347	149	58	8	132
	No	235	95	22	8	110
		59.6%	61.1%	72.3%	51.2%	54.4%
		40.4%	38.9%	27.7%	48.8%	45.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		D		
	No				B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	347	66	46	22	22	75	117
	No	235	55	21	11	7	53	89
			59.6%	54.9%	68.6%	67.2%	76.1%	58.5%
			40.4%	45.1%	31.4%	32.8%	23.9%	41.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	347	92	55	66	43	20
	No	235	171	24	15	5	7
			59.6%	34.9%	70.0%	81.1%	90.2%
			40.4%	65.1%	30.0%	18.9%	9.8%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	19	34	19
	No	4	4	5
			83.6%	89.0%
			16.4%	11.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A	A	A
	No	B C D E F G H					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	A	A
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	347	2	123	23	21	17	7	25	63	44
	No	235	4	50	19	25	0	6	17	34	30
			59.6%	37.6%	71.3%	54.8%	46.1%	100.0%	55.0%	59.7%	65.2%
			40.4%	62.4%	28.7%	45.2%	53.9%	.0%	45.0%	40.3%	34.8%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	17	1	3
	No	48	1	3
			26.0%	53.7%
			74.0%	46.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date										
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		J			a		J	J	J		
	No					a					B G H I	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	347	314	33
	No	235	150	85
		59.6%	67.7%	27.8%
		40.4%	32.3%	72.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	347	347	0
	No	235	0	235
		59.6%	100.0%	.0%
		40.4%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	347	0	16	56	79	95
	No	235	11	33	38	53	68
		59.6%	.9%	33.3%	59.3%	59.9%	58.2%
		40.4%	99.1%	66.7%	40.7%	40.1%	41.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	Yes	101	0
	No	32	1
		76.1%	.0%
		23.9%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Less than 1 year (A)	1 to 3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10 to 15 years (D)	16 to 25 years (E)	26 years or more (F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			AB	AB	AB	ABE
	No	CDEF	CDEF			F	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure (G)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a,,b
	No	a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12	
	Yes	345	31	130	82	65	29	7	
	No	235	14	81	58	49	29	4	
			40.5%	30.0%	38.4%	41.5%	42.9%	49.6%	37.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	
	Yes	347	3	0	108	2	62	
	No	235	0	3	81	0	44	
			59.6%	100.0%	.0%	57.3%	100.0%	58.1%
			40.4%	.0%	100.0%	42.7%	.0%	41.9%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	Yes	12	4	5	5	7	102
	No	3	21	3	9	3	46
		80.3%	17.4%	59.1%	36.1%	71.8%	68.7%
		19.7%	82.6%	40.9%	63.9%	28.2%	31.3%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	23	12	6	17
	Yes	13	9	5	9
	No	10	3	1	9
		56.8%	76.8%	85.3%	51.2%
		43.2%	23.2%	14.7%	48.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a	G	a	G
	No	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	G					G
	No		C E F K M				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		G		
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	148	63	57	16	6	6
	No	119	41	56	15	5	3
		55.4%	61.0%	50.5%	52.3%	52.3%	65.6%
		44.6%	39.0%	49.5%	47.7%	47.7%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		381	108	224	34	4	11
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	241	70	142	20	2	8
	No	139	38	82	13	3	3
		63.4%	64.6%	63.4%	60.1%	42.1%	68.7%
		36.6%	35.4%	36.6%	39.9%	57.9%	31.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
Total		582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347	278	16	53	5	0	26	6	3
	No	235	170	10	39	2	1	18	0	19
		59.6%	62.1%	62.2%	57.5%	73.2%	6.5%	59.1%	93.0%	11.9%
		40.4%	37.9%	37.8%	42.5%	26.8%	93.5%	40.9%	7.0%	88.1%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total		48	13	1	12	14	56	7
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	27	9	1	9	5	33	4
	No	21	5	0	3	9	23	3
		56.4%	65.0%	100.0%	73.3%	36.1%	59.0%	61.3%
		43.6%	35.0%	.0%	26.7%	63.9%	41.0%	38.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	H	H	H		a	H	H	
	No					a			A B C F G I ...

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	H		a,b	H		H	
	No			a,b				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
Total		582	60	52	29	37	32	41
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347	33	30	18	20	23	23
	No	235	27	22	11	16	9	19
		59.6%	54.9%	57.5%	62.2%	55.3%	71.5%	55.1%
		40.4%	45.1%	42.5%	37.8%	44.7%	28.5%	44.9%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total		38	34	132	126
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	15	21	85	79
	No	23	12	48	47
		39.3%	63.5%	63.8%	62.6%
		60.7%	36.5%	36.2%	37.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total		582	167	153	262
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	347	102	65	180
	No	235	65	88	82
		59.6%	61.1%	42.4%	68.7%
		40.4%	38.9%	57.6%	31.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B		B
	No		A C	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	347	62	63	60	161
	No	115	64	27	17	7
		24.9%	50.9%	29.6%	22.4%	4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A B C
	No	B C D	D	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	Yes	344	79	69	31	28	68	62	6
	No	235	45	50	9	13	60	50	1
		40.6%	36.2%	41.9%	23.3%	32.0%	46.8%	45.0%	15.7%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	9
	Yes	2
	No	7
		24.9%
		75.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

SET Printback=On.

	Total	
	Total	Total
6A. Police services	1.12	1.12
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.33
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.56
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.62
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.09
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.90
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.36
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.74
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.97
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.12
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.03
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.76
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.10
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.81
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.01
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.21

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
6A. Police services	.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	.
6C. Garbage collection	.
6D. Recycling program	.
6E. Library services	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.
6G. Street sweeping services	.
6H. Street tree maintenance	.
6I. Economic development efforts	.
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.
6L. Youth sports fields	.
6M. Senior Center programs	.
6N. Neighborhood programs	.
6O. Managing land use	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.
6Q. Sports Center programs	.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	1.12	1.09	1.16	-.31
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.36	2.00
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.37	.39
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.18	1.37	.67
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.54	2.00
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.72	.52	1.00
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.10	-.33
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.84	.96	-.33
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.40	.36	-2.00
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.71	.04
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.94	1.49
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.07	1.17	1.00
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.97	1.07	.
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.74	.78	.04
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.11	-.08	-2.00
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.85	-.29
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.95	1.06	1.51
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.11	1.30	1.48

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			a
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program		A	
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts	C	C	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields			a
6M. Senior Center programs			.
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6Q. Sports Center programs			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	1.12	1.00	1.46	.99	.87	1.36	1.81
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.39	1.39	1.31	1.26	1.35	1.58
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.35	1.20	1.40	1.51	2.00
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.32	1.29	1.30	1.19	1.31	1.56
6E. Library services	1.56	1.73	1.65	1.48	1.49	1.56	1.43
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.74	.45	.67	.53	.78	.13
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.14	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.13	1.58
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.16	.84	.95	.74	.94	.53
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.52	.66	.29	-.02	.70	-.49
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.91	.66	.82	.58	.87	-.04
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.07	.89	.91	.90	1.18	.93
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.13	1.30	1.12	.99	1.15	.84
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.03	1.24	.64	1.03	1.18	.93
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.64	.87	.81	.46	1.14	.04
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.21	.02	-.24	-.34	.14	-.64
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	1.07	.73	.85	.54	1.01	.92
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.84	1.39	.99	.79	1.12	1.62
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.22	1.46	.97	1.22	1.29	1.56

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services		C D			D	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts		D			D	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs					D	
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs		D				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
6A. Police services	1.12	1.01	1.40
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.31	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.44
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.22	1.45
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.53
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.57	.74
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.06	1.18
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.79	1.18
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.28	.61
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.66	.96
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.95	1.04
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.01	1.43
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.05	.98
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.66	1.00
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.19	.17
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.89
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.96	1.12
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.14	1.38

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		A
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		A
6E. Library services		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		A
6I. Economic development efforts		A
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		A
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		A
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		A
6O. Managing land use		A
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	1.12	1.17	1.28	1.28	1.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.46	1.15	1.61	1.25
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.34	1.52	1.51	1.32
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.24	1.33	1.64	1.27
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.35	1.37	1.63
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.54	.52	.74	.71
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.18	.95	1.45	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.98	.93	.75	.82
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.26	.39	.67	.44
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.75	.54	1.04	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.99	.98	1.27	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.19	.84	.86	1.16
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.20	.83	1.13	.94
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.73	.78	.80	.77
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.23	-.19	-.06	.05
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.82	.91	.68	.78
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.12	.86	1.21	.94
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.28	1.08	1.73	1.13

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs				
6C. Garbage collection				
6D. Recycling program				
6E. Library services				B
6F. Street pavement maintenance				
6G. Street sweeping services				
6H. Street tree maintenance				
6I. Economic development efforts				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance				
6L. Youth sports fields				
6M. Senior Center programs				
6N. Neighborhood programs				
6O. Managing land use				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs				
6Q. Sports Center programs				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	1.12	1.21	.95	1.32	1.34	1.05	1.09
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.33	1.57	1.36	1.03	1.34	1.29
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.30	1.52	1.40	1.32	1.40
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.17	1.22	1.38	1.27	1.38	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.53	1.57	1.30	1.30	1.64	1.59
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.54	.23	.79	.20	.76	.73
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.27	1.11	1.05	.89	1.03	1.05
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.94	.95	1.24	.78	.92	.80
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.28	-.01	.59	.25	.31	.55
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.73	.49	.70	.40	.84	.81
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.90	1.00	1.21	.71	1.09	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.17	1.10	1.20	.58	1.18	1.13
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.02	1.52	.70	.81	.96	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.78	.20	.88	.84	.73	.87
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.22	-.67	-.26	-.10	-.08	.14
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.80	.54	1.43	.39	.91	.80
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.07	1.04	1.05	.86	1.06	.95
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.25	1.23	1.22	1.05	1.11	1.25

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services						
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						B
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
6A. Police services	1.12	1.22	1.04	.70	.85	1.33	1.03
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.37	1.29	1.25	1.30	1.21	1.04
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.43	1.31	1.19	1.07	1.26	1.44
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.30	1.42	1.26	.65	1.10	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.59	1.74	1.44	1.42	1.53	1.25
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.76	.46	.60	.16	.66	.24
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.13	1.10	1.15	.89	.76	.87
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.03	.76	.95	.62	.32	.92
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.54	.14	.58	-.18	.09	.05
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.80	.86	.74	.56	.74	.07
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.03	.88	.90	.94	.86	.72
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.14	1.09	1.21	.92	1.32	1.03
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.08	.57	.78	1.01	.97	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.81	.68	.88	.17	.72	.90
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.08	-.12	-.23	-.62	.10	-.22
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.84	.73	.86	.68	.61	.72
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.03	1.16	1.00	.73	.84	.80
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.36	1.00	1.04	.64	1.22	1.27

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	1.23	1.77
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.50	1.53
6C. Garbage collection	1.46	1.81
6D. Recycling program	1.52	1.66
6E. Library services	1.57	1.55
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.65	.79
6G. Street sweeping services	1.07	1.45
6H. Street tree maintenance	.75	1.00
6I. Economic development efforts	.25	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.61
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.01	1.25
6L. Youth sports fields	1.05	1.04
6M. Senior Center programs	1.29	1.41
6N. Neighborhood programs	.93	1.01
6O. Managing land use	-.15	-.35
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.01	.82
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.27	1.11
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.63	1.62

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	C					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program	D	D	D			
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	D					

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services		C D
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program	D	D
6E. Library services		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		
6I. Economic development efforts		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Date										
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
6A. Police services	1.12	.55	.72	.54	1.41	.66	1.25	1.19	1.36	1.36	1.63
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	.05	1.04	1.49	1.63	1.34	1.35	1.50	1.33	1.40	1.63
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.35	1.17	1.22	1.39	1.69	1.70	1.62	1.19	1.63	1.52
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.52	1.07	1.29	1.33	1.32	1.48	1.39	1.17	1.56	1.43
6E. Library services	1.56	1.82	1.57	1.46	1.68	1.73	1.10	1.64	1.36	1.60	1.67
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.09	.50	.13	.84	.26	.86	.77	.63	.64	.94
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.15	.95	.78	1.17	.58	1.08	1.43	1.12	1.19	1.30
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	-.41	.65	.59	1.02	.45	1.46	.96	1.13	1.07	1.22
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	-1.44	-.24	-.08	1.40	-.22	1.16	.43	.68	.66	.85
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.52	-.11	1.27	.25	.89	.71	1.03	.79	1.14
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.07	.83	.65	1.30	1.00	.91	1.07	1.02	.99	1.18
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	.87	1.25	1.48	1.43	.78	1.04	1.28	1.05	1.31
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.05	.80	1.11	1.41	1.29	-.47	1.12	1.12	1.23	1.11
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	-1.35	.46	-.05	1.21	1.13	1.02	.97	.99	.84	1.17
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-1.48	-.63	-.12	-.07	.26	.84	-.23	.27	.36	.35
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.58	.59	.73	1.24	1.02	.28	.96	.73	1.05	1.00
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	-1.00	.83	.33	1.59	1.83	.99	1.01	1.17	.78	1.46
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	-2.00	.95	.80	1.13	.87	1.54	1.53	1.36	1.57	1.54

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6A. Police services	1.83	1.17
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	2.00	1.69
6C. Garbage collection	1.71	1.69
6D. Recycling program	1.90	1.68
6E. Library services	1.90	1.95
6F. Street pavement maintenance	1.00	.10
6G. Street sweeping services	.12	1.55
6H. Street tree maintenance	-.80	1.22
6I. Economic development efforts	1.00	-.39
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	1.46	.20
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.00	1.71
6L. Youth sports fields	1.00	.76
6M. Senior Center programs	2.00	-2.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	-.07	.25
6O. Managing land use	-.51	-.68
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	.61
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.83	-.42
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.83	1.50

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Date										
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
6A. Police services				BC				BC	BC	BC	.a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			A	AB			A	A	A	AB	.a
6C. Garbage collection									B		
6D. Recycling program									B		
6E. Library services											
6F. Street pavement maintenance											
6G. Street sweeping services											
6H. Street tree maintenance											
6I. Economic development efforts				ABCE		A		AB	AB	ABC	.a
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				BC				C	C	BC	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance											.a
6L. Youth sports fields				B							.a
6M. Senior Center programs				F				F	F		.a
6N. Neighborhood programs				ABC	A		A	AC	A	AC	
6O. Managing land use						AB		B	B	B	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs											
6Q. Sports Center programs				ABCI	A			AC		ABC	.a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	AB	A	.a

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Date
	Apr. 15
	(L)
6A. Police services	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	
6C. Garbage collection	
6D. Recycling program	
6E. Library services	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	
6G. Street sweeping services	
6H. Street tree maintenance	
6I. Economic development efforts	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	
6L. Youth sports fields	
6M. Senior Center programs	.a
6N. Neighborhood programs	
6O. Managing land use	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	
6Q. Sports Center programs	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.12	1.11	1.13
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.31	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.35	1.39
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.25	1.39
6E. Library services	1.56	1.52	1.71
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.63	.58
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.06	1.20
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.88	.98
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.33	.48
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.73	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.85
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.12	1.13
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.05	.92
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.75	.79
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.12	-.03
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.77	.94
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.98	1.14
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.20	1.25

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		
6E. Library services		A
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		
6I. Economic development efforts		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.12	1.07	1.18
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.30	1.37
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.36
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.30	1.24
6E. Library services	1.56	1.49	1.65
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.48	.82
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.05	1.15
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.80	1.04
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.21	.60
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.67	.84
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.04	.87
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.10	1.14
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.00	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.70	.83
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.28	.18
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.75	.90
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.90	1.16
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.19	1.23

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		
6E. Library services		A
6F. Street pavement maintenance		A
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		A
6I. Economic development efforts		A
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		A
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		A
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
6A. Police services	1.12	1.43	1.38	1.00	.85	1.04
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.95	1.24	1.31	1.14	1.35
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.42	1.45	1.25	1.16	1.41
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.56	1.36	1.29	1.14	1.23
6E. Library services	1.56	1.66	1.28	1.78	1.51	1.53
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.03	.92	.69	.63	.58
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.54	1.03	1.23	.89	1.19
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.71	.90	.88	.97	.92
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.41	.47	.35	.25	.50
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.00	.69	.98	.69	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.19	.96	.85	.96	.97
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.74	1.25	1.21	1.07	1.02
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.94	.83	1.19	.86	.93
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.21	.99	.89	.37	.74
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-1.00	-.20	.04	.02	-.20
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.39	.84	.74	.84	.69
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.57	.71	.99	.87	1.02
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.97	1.26	1.00	1.05	1.22

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	1.44	2.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.54	.
6C. Garbage collection	1.55	2.00
6D. Recycling program	1.41	2.00
6E. Library services	1.59	2.00
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.52	2.00
6G. Street sweeping services	1.07	2.00
6H. Street tree maintenance	.74	2.00
6I. Economic development efforts	.27	2.00
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.62	2.00
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.12	2.00
6L. Youth sports fields	1.16	2.00
6M. Senior Center programs	1.20	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	1.05	.
6O. Managing land use	-.19	1.00
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	1.00
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.26	2.00
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.46	.

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services						D
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						D
6C. Garbage collection						D
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services			B			
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						D
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
6A. Police services	a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	.
6C. Garbage collection	a
6D. Recycling program	a
6E. Library services	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	a
6G. Street sweeping services	a
6H. Street tree maintenance	a
6I. Economic development efforts	a
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	a
6L. Youth sports fields	a
6M. Senior Center programs	a
6N. Neighborhood programs	.
6O. Managing land use	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	a
6Q. Sports Center programs	.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	1.11	1.22	1.29	1.25	.48	1.48	.15
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.21	1.32	1.40	1.24	1.51	.92
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.45	1.29	1.41	1.29	1.56	1.26
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.46	1.32	1.40	1.00	1.39	.23
6E. Library services	1.56	1.49	1.56	1.59	1.56	1.57	1.41
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.61	.63	.54	.81	.45	.80	.11
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	.99	1.03	1.34	.93	1.18	.60
6H. Street tree maintenance	.89	.77	.94	.90	.74	1.12	.64
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.44	.46	.50	-.18	.92	-1.58
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.85	.73	.86	.50	.85	.59
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.83	.94	1.07	.90	1.24	.07
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.03	1.20	1.06	.93	1.47	.87
6M. Senior Center programs	1.02	1.22	1.08	1.15	.52	1.20	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.86	.88	.87	.39	.94	-.76
6O. Managing land use	-.11	-.01	.06	.00	-.52	.05	-1.50
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.88	.83	.88	.55	1.09	-.05
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.28	1.05	1.01	.75	1.18	1.11
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.29	1.28	1.37	.87	1.36	.75

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	D F	D F	D F		D F	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program	F	F	D F		F	
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services			D			
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts	F	D F	D F		D F	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields					D	
6M. Senior Center programs			D			
6N. Neighborhood programs	F	F	F		F	
6O. Managing land use		D F	F			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			D			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
6A. Police services	1.12	.00	1.00	.88	2.00	.81
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	2.00	1.00	1.19	-1.00	1.27
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	2.00	1.00	1.25	.46	1.17
6D. Recycling program	1.28	2.00	1.00	1.03	-1.00	1.23
6E. Library services	1.56	2.00	1.00	1.52	2.00	1.39
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.50	1.00	.67	1.49	.39
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.50	1.00	1.01	1.49	.98
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	2.00	1.00	.84	1.49	.70
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.50	1.00	.23	.	.33
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	2.00	.	.75	1.49	.68
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	2.00	1.00	.94	1.49	.81
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	1.00	.92	2.00	1.08
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.	.	.95	.	.54
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	2.00	1.00	.67	.	.56
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.50	1.00	-.06	-1.49	-.39
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	2.00	.	.65	.	.71
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.00	.	.82	.	.92
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	2.00	.	.98	1.00	.61

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
6A. Police services	.62	1.53	1.42	1.87	.97	1.52
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.15	1.89	1.82	2.00	1.24	1.42
6C. Garbage collection	.82	1.70	2.00	1.79	1.09	1.62
6D. Recycling program	1.15	1.71	1.75	1.64	1.14	1.53
6E. Library services	1.54	1.81	1.86	2.00	1.63	1.65
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.49	1.68	.48	1.30	.50	.66
6G. Street sweeping services	1.05	1.61	.96	1.63	1.00	1.16
6H. Street tree maintenance	.82	1.66	1.07	1.40	.91	1.00
6I. Economic development efforts	-.55	1.25	1.85	.95	.68	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.79	1.50	1.00	1.30	1.03	.79
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.15	1.18	1.49	1.40	.45	1.13
6L. Youth sports fields	1.24	1.66	1.00	1.77	.83	1.30
6M. Senior Center programs	1.40	1.39	1.57	1.21	1.00	1.30
6N. Neighborhood programs	.14	1.46	1.54	1.46	.66	.95
6O. Managing land use	.00	.63	1.41	.01	.22	-.19
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.48	1.38	1.54	1.55	1.08	.96
6Q. Sports Center programs	.41	1.39	2.00	2.00	-.79	1.23
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.63	2.00	.	1.38	1.36	1.71

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.39	1.02	.56	1.21
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.28	1.48	.71	1.70
6C. Garbage collection	1.16	1.48	.94	1.45
6D. Recycling program	1.38	1.34	1.48	1.25
6E. Library services	1.38	1.50	1.86	1.59
6F. Street pavement maintenance	-.04	.35	.72	.13
6G. Street sweeping services	1.47	1.04	1.09	.74
6H. Street tree maintenance	1.46	.28	.93	.01
6I. Economic development efforts	.07	-.16	-.25	1.11
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	-.44	.74	.72	.05
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.62	1.11	-.29	.69
6L. Youth sports fields	1.30	1.03	1.00	.78
6M. Senior Center programs	.48	1.08	.59	1.53
6N. Neighborhood programs	.52	.88	-.64	.84
6O. Managing land use	.22	-.31	-.22	.05
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.27	.79	-1.25	1.41
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.42	1.13	1.04	1.30
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.42	1.74	1.37	1.29

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services				a		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	a			a		
6C. Garbage collection				a		
6D. Recycling program				a		
6E. Library services				.		
6F. Street pavement maintenance				.		
6G. Street sweeping services				.		
6H. Street tree maintenance				.		
6I. Economic development efforts				.		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			L	.	L	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			.	.		
6L. Youth sports fields	a			a		
6M. Senior Center programs	.			.		
6N. Neighborhood programs	a			.		
6O. Managing land use	a			.		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.		N	.	N	
6Q. Sports Center programs	a		J	.	J	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	a		.	a		

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services					C E	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			C			
6C. Garbage collection					C E	
6D. Recycling program					C	
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance	C E K L O					
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance	E O					O
6I. Economic development efforts	F	F				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	L O		L		L	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields				a	E	
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	N	N	N	N	N	
6Q. Sports Center programs	J	J	C E F J		J	J
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	E	.			C E	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program			
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts			
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields		a	
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			N
6Q. Sports Center programs	J		J
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.14	1.30	.96	1.11	1.32	1.43
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.31	1.33	1.29	1.50	.84	1.23
6C. Garbage collection	1.38	1.32	1.35	1.69	1.17	1.66
6D. Recycling program	1.31	1.40	1.22	1.40	.93	1.43
6E. Library services	1.58	1.57	1.62	1.45	1.58	1.75
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.69	.65	.54	1.31	1.01	.64
6G. Street sweeping services	1.14	1.15	1.12	1.22	.96	1.14
6H. Street tree maintenance	.82	.85	.61	1.29	1.07	1.27
6I. Economic development efforts	.30	.42	.14	.44	.34	.25
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.73	.89	.49	.92	1.21	.65
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.98	1.12	.80	1.25	.95	.82
6L. Youth sports fields	1.11	1.13	1.11	1.13	.88	1.05
6M. Senior Center programs	.89	1.00	.80	.30	1.42	1.46
6N. Neighborhood programs	.73	.57	.70	1.17	.99	1.13
6O. Managing land use	-.15	.04	-.53	.39	-.03	-.37
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.92	.67	.91	.87	.58
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.03	1.14	.86	1.14	1.00	1.27
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	.99	1.20	1.53	1.18	1.10

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					
6C. Garbage collection					
6D. Recycling program					
6E. Library services					
6F. Street pavement maintenance			B		
6G. Street sweeping services					
6H. Street tree maintenance					
6I. Economic development efforts					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					
6L. Youth sports fields					
6M. Senior Center programs					
6N. Neighborhood programs					
6O. Managing land use			B		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					
6Q. Sports Center programs					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.12	1.15	1.10	1.08	.82	1.67
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.35	1.34	1.32	1.46	1.67	1.53
6C. Garbage collection	1.41	1.49	1.41	1.30	1.05	1.02
6D. Recycling program	1.27	1.35	1.27	1.30	.77	.71
6E. Library services	1.54	1.50	1.59	1.47	1.69	1.12
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.65	.63	.18	.59	1.26
6G. Street sweeping services	1.08	1.27	1.01	.86	.99	1.21
6H. Street tree maintenance	.87	1.01	.79	.93	.78	.74
6I. Economic development efforts	.40	.54	.30	.85	-.42	.04
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.80	.74	.32	.70	1.40
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.00	1.07	.94	1.15	.28	1.25
6L. Youth sports fields	1.05	.86	1.12	1.38	.05	.86
6M. Senior Center programs	1.02	.91	1.06	1.09	.91	1.05
6N. Neighborhood programs	.72	.82	.74	.45	.01	.77
6O. Managing land use	-.11	.09	-.23	.03	-.25	-.70
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	1.00	.79	.53	-.24	.68
6Q. Sports Center programs	.99	.91	1.04	1.08	-.25	1.15
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	1.40	1.03	1.33	.99	.42

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					
6C. Garbage collection					
6D. Recycling program					
6E. Library services					
6F. Street pavement maintenance					
6G. Street sweeping services					
6H. Street tree maintenance					
6I. Economic development efforts					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					
6L. Youth sports fields					
6M. Senior Center programs					
6N. Neighborhood programs					
6O. Managing land use					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					
6Q. Sports Center programs					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Primary Languages in Household								
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
6A. Police services	1.12	1.11	.82	.59	1.08	2.00	1.10	-.06	1.72
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.30	1.21	1.22	1.18	2.00	1.41	1.44	1.87
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.20	1.37	.74	2.00	1.14	.06	1.75
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.28	1.04	1.06	1.17	2.00	1.20	.69	1.70
6E. Library services	1.56	1.56	1.54	1.56	1.27	1.76	1.39	1.40	1.92
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.57	.95	.55	1.13	.96	.25	.71	1.70
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.11	1.07	.98	.83	2.00	.81	1.18	1.64
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.87	.81	.80	.90	1.27	.52	1.46	1.67
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.21	.21	.30	-.02	1.22	.43	.00	1.29
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.69	.99	.62	1.07	1.21	.53	1.46	1.52
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.96	.86	1.01	.42	1.76	.75	1.35	1.12
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.06	1.11	.91	.68	1.74	.99	1.15	1.71
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.94	1.17	.88	-2.00	1.26	1.36	1.00	1.47
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.65	.40	.67	.85	1.74	.57	1.66	1.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.26	-.26	.00	-.13	1.00	-.57	.86	.60
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.73	.44	.64	.14	1.76	.64	-.25	1.41
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.91	.65	.91	-.22	1.74	1.19	1.34	1.42
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.24	1.05	1.05	1.34	1.00	.74	2.00	2.00

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	.81	1.04	2.00	1.03	1.87	.83	.73
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.24	1.80	1.25	1.88	2.00	1.09	1.24
6C. Garbage collection	1.31	1.26	2.00	1.98	1.79	1.17	1.47
6D. Recycling program	1.09	1.64	2.00	1.73	1.64	1.25	1.04
6E. Library services	1.20	1.78	2.00	1.91	2.00	1.66	1.69
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.78	1.26	2.00	.76	1.30	.68	1.14
6G. Street sweeping services	1.17	1.50	2.00	.77	1.63	.95	.61
6H. Street tree maintenance	1.09	.81	1.75	.94	1.40	.73	.54
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	-.27	-1.75	1.24	.95	.15	-.31
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.87	.77	1.25	.90	1.30	.92	1.10
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.91	1.68	1.25	1.41	1.40	.62	1.17
6L. Youth sports fields	.96	1.52	1.00	1.46	1.77	1.00	1.06
6M. Senior Center programs	.96	1.32	1.25	1.34	1.21	.14	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.74	1.22	1.00	1.63	1.46	.23	.72
6O. Managing land use	.19	.40	-.50	.01	.01	-.19	-.53
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.84	.90	-.25	1.43	1.55	.71	.78
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.08	1.74	1.25	2.00	2.00	1.01	1.24
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.13	1.80	2.00	1.00	1.38	.56	1.07

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household								
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
6A. Police services	C				a			C G	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					a			B I	
6C. Garbage collection			G		a				
6D. Recycling program					a				
6E. Library services					a			I	
6F. Street pavement maintenance					a			A B C F I	
6G. Street sweeping services					a			I	
6H. Street tree maintenance					a			B	
6I. Economic development efforts					a				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					a				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					a				
6L. Youth sports fields					a			B	
6M. Senior Center programs				a	a		a		
6N. Neighborhood programs					a				
6O. Managing land use					a				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					a				
6Q. Sports Center programs					a				D
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					a		a	B C I O	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services		a		C G		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		a	B I	B C I		
6C. Garbage collection		a	B			
6D. Recycling program		a				
6E. Library services		a		G I		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		a				
6G. Street sweeping services		a		I		
6H. Street tree maintenance		a				
6I. Economic development efforts		a				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		a				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		a				
6L. Youth sports fields		a		B C I		
6M. Senior Center programs		a				a
6N. Neighborhood programs		a				
6O. Managing land use		a				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		a				
6Q. Sports Center programs	I	a	I	B C D G H I		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	B I	a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some pairs because the pooled variance estimate for the compared categories is zero.

d. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
6A. Police services	1.12	1.29	1.44	1.37	.95	.95	1.39	1.27
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.19	1.47	1.62	1.26	1.54	1.57	1.35
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.43	1.33	1.54	1.44	1.12	1.65	1.66
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.37	1.41	1.76	1.04	.84	1.66	1.56
6E. Library services	1.56	1.30	1.59	1.76	1.50	1.68	1.74	1.85
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.75	1.04	1.03	.36	.56	.58	.71
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.31	1.21	.67	1.13	1.28	1.57
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.97	1.18	1.11	.97	.90	1.10	.98
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.86	.85	.83	.06	-.04	.18	.51
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	1.03	1.07	1.23	.84	.62	.65	.39
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.03	1.13	1.13	.97	.91	1.04	.89
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.10	1.22	1.45	1.12	1.01	1.65	1.02
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.22	1.00	1.62	.61	1.23	1.19	.61
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	1.07	1.06	1.52	.65	1.05	.90	.61
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.63	.42	-.21	-.36	-.13	-.83	-.09
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.97	1.28	1.18	.88	.38	.63	.88
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.13	1.30	1.53	1.02	.93	1.54	1.06
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.26	1.50	1.50	1.13	1.11	1.33	.58

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	.96	.73	1.21
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.65	1.10	1.29
6C. Garbage collection	1.26	1.16	1.39
6D. Recycling program	1.17	1.10	1.26
6E. Library services	1.34	1.66	1.41
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.19	.54	.55
6G. Street sweeping services	1.00	.98	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.79	.69	.82
6I. Economic development efforts	1.00	-.13	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.60	.59	.70
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.16	.85	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.43	.93	1.00
6M. Senior Center programs	.83	.77	1.08
6N. Neighborhood programs	.61	.35	.68
6O. Managing land use	-.20	-.36	-.12
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.70	.48	.96
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.05	.71	.82
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.88	1.04	1.40

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services		I					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs							
6C. Garbage collection							
6D. Recycling program			E			E I	
6E. Library services							A
6F. Street pavement maintenance							
6G. Street sweeping services							D
6H. Street tree maintenance							
6I. Economic development efforts	I	I					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance							
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance							
6L. Youth sports fields						I	
6M. Senior Center programs							
6N. Neighborhood programs	I		I				
6O. Managing land use	F I	F					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		I					
6Q. Sports Center programs						I	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs							

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program			
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts	I		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields			
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6Q. Sports Center programs			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6A. Police services	1.12	1.16	1.62	.77
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.67	1.18
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.37	1.55	1.25
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.26	1.53	1.14
6E. Library services	1.56	1.45	1.61	1.60
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.55	.76	.57
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	.98	1.33	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.93	1.22	.68
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.54	1.02	-.19
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.78	1.03	.55
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.91	1.13	.92
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	.97	1.53	.96
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.14	1.02	.90
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.87	1.04	.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.27	.16	-.48
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.93	.97	.63
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.94	1.40	.76
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.28	1.41	1.02

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services	C	A C	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		A C	
6C. Garbage collection		C	
6D. Recycling program		A C	
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services		A C	
6H. Street tree maintenance		C	
6I. Economic development efforts	C	A C	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		C	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields		A C	
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs	C	C	
6O. Managing land use	C	C	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		C	
6Q. Sports Center programs		A C	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	1.08	1.09	.89	.99	1.22
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.30	1.25	1.39	1.16	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.33	1.27	1.34	1.04	1.52
6D. Recycling program	1.27	1.28	1.35	1.01	1.36
6E. Library services	1.53	1.62	1.61	1.37	1.51
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.56	.69	.54	.38	.57
6G. Street sweeping services	1.05	.93	1.05	1.04	1.15
6H. Street tree maintenance	.83	.80	.77	.93	.85
6I. Economic development efforts	.28	.42	.31	.25	.18
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.69	.82	.77	.59	.61
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.02	.95	1.09	1.00	1.04
6L. Youth sports fields	1.08	1.18	.99	1.03	1.09
6M. Senior Center programs	1.00	.84	.93	1.06	1.06
6N. Neighborhood programs	.77	.73	.88	.75	.74
6O. Managing land use	-.15	.00	.17	-.28	-.38
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.78	.70	.94	.69	.80
6Q. Sports Center programs	.96	1.03	1.08	.96	.85
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	1.07	1.08	1.09	1.34

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs				
6C. Garbage collection				C
6D. Recycling program				
6E. Library services				
6F. Street pavement maintenance				
6G. Street sweeping services				
6H. Street tree maintenance				
6I. Economic development efforts				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance				
6L. Youth sports fields				
6M. Senior Center programs				
6N. Neighborhood programs				
6O. Managing land use		D		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs				
6Q. Sports Center programs				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
6A. Police services	1.12	1.21	1.15	1.46	1.10	1.02	.99	1.06
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.55	1.33	1.13	1.17	1.25	1.23	1.73
6C. Garbage collection	1.37	1.37	1.33	1.52	1.53	1.33	1.30	1.35
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.36	1.11	1.50	1.15	1.33	1.23	1.65
6E. Library services	1.56	1.64	1.50	1.23	1.48	1.56	1.70	1.29
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.48	.61	.52	.52	.57	.87	.26
6G. Street sweeping services	1.10	1.25	1.13	1.02	.89	.97	1.10	1.29
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.99	.98	1.28	.60	.85	.80	.88
6I. Economic development efforts	.38	.24	.29	.34	.45	.44	.49	.83
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.68	.83	.65	.44	.74	.81	1.28
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.89	1.09	1.03	.94	.94	.93	1.27
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.16	1.21	.86	.82	1.28	1.03	.90
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.37	1.03	.80	.86	.93	.96	1.19
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.64	.86	.96	.63	.90	.64	.34
6O. Managing land use	-.09	-.08	-.36	-.36	-.03	.06	.08	-.70
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.82	.93	.74	.89	.92	.78	.77	.43
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.18	1.06	.55	1.05	1.05	.80	1.23
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.55	.97	1.38	.87	1.00	1.28	1.95

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
6A. Police services	1.50
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.52
6C. Garbage collection	1.64
6D. Recycling program	1.63
6E. Library services	1.42
6F. Street pavement maintenance	1.12
6G. Street sweeping services	1.58
6H. Street tree maintenance	.65
6I. Economic development efforts	.47
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.85
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.27
6L. Youth sports fields	.84
6M. Senior Center programs	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	1.20
6O. Managing land use	.60
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.86
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.20
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.61

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services								
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs								
6C. Garbage collection								
6D. Recycling program								
6E. Library services						C		
6F. Street pavement maintenance								
6G. Street sweeping services								
6H. Street tree maintenance								
6I. Economic development efforts								
6J. Sidewalk maintenance								
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance								
6L. Youth sports fields								
6M. Senior Center programs								
6N. Neighborhood programs								
6O. Managing land use								
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs								
6Q. Sports Center programs								
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	B E							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
22A. At home	1.45	1.45
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.66
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.44

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
22A. At home	.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
22A. At home	1.45	1.44	1.46	1.32
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51	.51	.98
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.56	2.00
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.48	1.32
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.54	.35	.63

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	B		
22E. Leaving your home unattended			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22A. At home	1.45	1.54	1.44	1.43	1.35	1.54	1.88
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.75	.59	.25	.52	.74	1.01
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.67	1.51	1.60	1.48	1.60	1.81
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.75	.70	.54	.58	.86	.99
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.72	.54	.19	.15	.80	1.81

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night					C D	
22E. Leaving your home unattended						C D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.46
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.45	.71
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.60	.84
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.31	.79

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		A
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		A
22E. Leaving your home unattended		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
22A. At home	1.45	1.51	1.55	1.59	1.35
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.57	.55	.49
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.62	1.61	1.69	1.49
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.68	.74	.88	.61
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.58	.71	.76	.19

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night				
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D	D		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22A. At home	1.45	1.58	1.26	1.45	1.75	1.47	1.39
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.49	.16	.51	.68	.63	.52
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.71	1.36	1.59	1.72	1.58	1.50
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.67	.47	.58	.67	.66	.74
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.70	.04	.76	.79	.49	.29

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	B					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	B					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.38	1.57	1.07	1.60	1.19
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.59	.29	.47	.32	.64	.60
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.58	1.63	1.62	1.22	1.57	1.31
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.74	.51	.41	.49	.92	.73
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.47	.24	.49	-.23	.63	.56

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22A. At home	1.70	1.74
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.48	.76
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.62	1.75
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.92	.76
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.77	1.08

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home			D			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	D					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended						

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
22A. At home	D	D
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D	D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date										
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
22A. At home	1.45	.69	1.28	1.34	1.55	1.13	1.32	1.57	1.52	1.63	1.74
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	1.57	.32	.39	.25	.17	.24	.46	.43	.78	1.06
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.90	1.49	1.49	1.70	1.42	1.34	1.61	1.56	1.59	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.71	.62	1.01	.56	.21	.48	.83	.85	.56	.53
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.07	.08	.15	.46	.26	.52	.58	1.09	.64	.31

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22A. At home	1.54	1.14
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	1.10	.92
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	2.00	1.54
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.10	.49
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.66	-.38

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Date										
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
22A. At home										B	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school										B	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day											
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night											
22E. Leaving your home unattended								B C J			

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Date
	Apr. 15
	(L)
22A. At home	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	1.45	1.42	1.58
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.50
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.65	.72
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.49	.24

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	1.45	1.49	1.39
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.51
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.60	1.51
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.74	.55
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.50	.35

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
22A. At home	1.45	.58	1.58	1.32	1.35	1.44
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	-1.00	.22	.14	.59	.49
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.48	1.59	1.43	1.60	1.46
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.03	.88	.77	.66	.51
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	-.16	.93	.36	.31	.25

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
22A. At home	1.67	2.00
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.87	1.00
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.75	2.00
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.75	1.00
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.73	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home		A		A	A	A C D
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	a					C
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.					C E
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.					

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
22A. At home	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.49	1.50	1.24	1.67	1.06
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.99	.32	.59	.54	.70	-.06
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58	1.63	1.48	1.62	.98
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.67	.74	.83	.88	.45	.02	.27
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.02	.71	.36	.13	-.12	-.02

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home					D	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			F			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	E	E	E			
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D E	D E				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
22A. At home	1.45	1.00	1.00	1.24	2.00	1.26
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	1.00	.00	.64	.00	.10
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	.50	1.00	1.43	2.00	1.40
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.00	.00	.68	1.51	.43
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.00	1.00	.28	2.00	-.10

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
22A. At home	1.84	1.74	1.75	1.93	1.07	1.75
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.07	.79	.77	.98	.06	.70
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.73	1.84	1.88	1.76	1.28	1.77
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.64	.47	.29	-1.55	.27	1.02
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.61	.76	-.29	-1.67	.33	1.07

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.40	1.50	1.34	1.54
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.59	.83	.56	.25
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.45	1.85	1.65	1.73
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.05	.82	.79	.85
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.92	.91	.72	.24

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			E	a		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			I		I	I
22E. Leaving your home unattended			I	I	I	I

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
22A. At home					C E	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					C E	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	I	I		I	E I	I
22E. Leaving your home unattended	I			I	C E I	I

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			
22E. Leaving your home unattended			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.45	1.43	1.46	1.43	1.73	1.11
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.34	.44	.23	.14	.35	1.07
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.57	1.60	1.50	1.68	1.58	1.74
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.55	.49	.63	.42	.49	.85
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.22	.22	.11	.15	1.32	.67

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home					
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night					
22E. Leaving your home unattended					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

- a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.47	1.63	1.43	1.24	.74	1.54
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.65	.64	.70	.64	-.29	.43
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.57	1.62	1.57	1.38	.74	1.92
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.64	.82	.59	.40	-.04	.86
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.43	.66	.38	-.05	-.26	.90

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home					
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night					
22E. Leaving your home unattended					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Primary Languages in Household								
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
22A. At home	1.45	1.49	1.53	1.14	.90	2.00	1.27	1.97	1.70
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.54	.83	.41	.43	1.76	-.27	-.43	.74
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.60	1.53	1.45	1.84	2.00	1.37	2.00	1.82
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.77	1.06	.26	1.33	2.00	.28	1.32	.60
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.51	.75	-.33	.39	1.93	-.62	.81	.78

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
22A. At home	1.12	1.79	2.00	1.84	1.93	1.27	.89
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.83	.77	2.00	.52	.98	.37	.38
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.43	1.49	2.00	1.92	1.76	1.52	1.80
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.78	1.17	-.25	.28	-1.55	.68	.77
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.56	1.48	1.75	-.16	-1.67	.26	.15

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Primary Languages in Household								
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
22A. At home	C				a				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	F	F			a				F
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					a				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	C M	M	M	M	a	M	M	M	M
22E. Leaving your home unattended	C F M	C F M	M		a		M	F M	C F M

Comparisons of Column Means ^{b,c}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
22A. At home		a		I		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		a		F		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		a				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	M	a	M		M	M
22E. Leaving your home unattended	C F M	a			M	M

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
22A. At home	1.45	1.18	1.62	1.75	1.23	1.17	1.47	1.52
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.96	1.11	.62	.22	.77	.48	.87
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.32	1.55	1.65	1.42	1.43	1.63	1.84
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.83	.89	1.21	.06	.84	-.14	.30
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.89	1.16	.89	.36	.49	-.58	.16

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.48	1.40	1.60
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.56	.18	.31
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.44	1.56	1.66
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.37	.71	.91
22E. Leaving your home unattended	-.08	.15	.70

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
22A. At home							
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	I	I J					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day							A
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	F	F	D F		F		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	F I	F G H I	F		F		

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		F	D F
22E. Leaving your home unattended			F

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22A. At home	1.45	1.47	1.61	1.35
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.76	.68	.28
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.51	1.69	1.52
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.39	.70
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.00	.30	.17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home		C	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	C	C	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	B		B
22E. Leaving your home unattended	B C		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22A. At home	1.47	1.35	1.52	1.29	1.61
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.48	.33	.46	.44	.63
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.39	1.65	1.53	1.65
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.39	.78	.65	.80
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.45	.24	.39	.29	.70

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home				A C
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day				A
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night				A
22E. Leaving your home unattended				A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
22A. At home	1.45	1.54	1.48	1.62	1.47	1.34	1.36	1.29
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.56	.45	.46	.65	.51	.47	.01
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.66	1.57	1.66	1.56	1.43	1.53	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.64	.71	.63	.84	.29	.97	.56
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.50	.65	1.00	.42	.01	.41	.09

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
22A. At home	1.82
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.94
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.14
22E. Leaving your home unattended	1.29

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
22A. At home								
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school								
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day								
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						E		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		E	E					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.