City of Cupertino
2008 Resident Satisfaction Survey

GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

Appendix C: 2008 Topline Report

CITY OF CUPERTINO: 2008 Community Survey
Topline Report
October 2008

The City of Cupertino commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of voters in the city to learn their overall perceptions of living in the city and to gauge their satisfaction with the City's performance in providing services and programs to its residents. The survey also focused on gathering voter feedback on other resident issues in the city such as neighborhood and sense of community, commuting behavior, dog park, sustainability, economic development and housing, crime, ethnic diversity, library hours, and information sources.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The respondents for this study were selected using a sample of registered voters in the City of Cupertino. Overall, 400 respondents in the city completed the survey, representing a total universe of approximately 37,083 adult residents. The study parameters resulted in a margin of error of plus or minus 4.9 percent. Interviews were conducted from September 18 through September 22, 2008, and the average interview length was approximately 20 minutes.

Once collected, the sample of respondents was compared with the adult population in the City of Cupertino according to the 2007 Population Estimates to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample of respondents and the actual universe of residents. The data were weighted to correct these differences, and the results presented are representative of the adult resident characteristics of the City of Cupertino in terms of gender, age, and ethnicity.

QUESTIONNAIRE METHODOLOGY

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 5, 7, 8 and 9 were randomized to avoid such position bias.

Questions 3, 10, 26, 30, and H allowed the respondents surveyed to mention multiple responses. For this reason, the response percentages may sum to more than 100, and these represent the percent of the respondents that mentioned a particular response, rather than the percent of total responses.

MEAN SCORES AND ROUNDING

In addition to the percentage breakdown of responses to each question, results for the questions relating to the satisfaction with city services (Q5), agreement with statements (Q7), and satisfaction with neighborhood programs (Q9) include a mean score. For example, to derive respondents' overall satisfaction with a City service or program (Q5), a number value is first assigned to each response category (in this case, "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2). The individual answer of each respondent is then assigned the corresponding number – from +2 to -2 in this example. Finally, all respondents' answers are averaged to produce a final score that reflects overall importance. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier. Responses of "Don't Know" (DK/NA) were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Conventional rounding rules apply to the percentages shown in this report, .5 or above is rounded up to the next number, and .4 or below is rounded down to the previous number. As a result, the percentages may not add up to 100 percent.

Overall Perceptions of Living in Cupertino

I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino.

1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?
    [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat
    [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

Very Satisfied	57%
Somewhat Satisfied	36%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	4%
Very Dissatisfied	1%
DK/NA	2%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD
    ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

School system	45%
Friends/family here	10%
Affordable housing	8%
Job	8%
Location	5%
Enjoy/like the City	5%
Grew up here	4%
Small town atmosphere	3%
Quality of life	3%
Safety/low crime	2%
Retirement	1%
Weather	1%
Other	4%
DK/NA	0%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD TWO RESPONSES]

Controlling growth	29%
Affordable housing	22%
Traffic	15%
Education	14%
City's economic health	9%
Crime	5%
Quality of life	5%
Preserving small town atmosphere	4%
Race relations	3%
Taxes	3%
No downtown/Downtown development	2%
Overpopulation	2%
Protection of open space	2%
Neighborhood preservation	2%
Overcrowded schools	1%
Cement plant issues	1%
Cost of living	1%
Inconvenient library hours	1%
Longer hours for entertainment	1%
Schools and general funding	1%
Roads and streets	1%
Nothing	2%
Other	11%
DK/NA	14%

Satisfaction with City Services

4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services? [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Is that very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

Very Satisfied	35%
Somewhat Satisfied	53%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	4%
Very Dissatisfied	3%
DK/NA	5%

Now, I'm going to read a list of services provided by the City of Cupertino:

5. For each one, please tell me whether you are satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide the service.

Here's the [first/next] one: _____. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the city's performance in this area? [AFTER GETTING ANSWER, ASK:] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

	Mean Score	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
5A. Police services	1.3	48%	32%	6%	2%	12%
5B. City recreation services	1.3	38%	37%	5%	1%	19%
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.4	43%	37%	4%	1%	15%
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.5	37%	27%	1%	1%	33%
5E. Garbage collection	1.5	61%	32%	3%	2%	2%
5F. Recycling program	1.5	59%	32%	5%	1%	3%
5G. Library services	1.6	64%	26%	3%	1%	6%
5H. Street pavement maintenance	1.0	37%	44%	9%	8%	2%
5I. Street sweeping services	1.2	45%	40%	4%	5%	6%
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.5	52%	34%	3%	2%	10%
5K. Traffic safety	0.9	34%	47%	8%	8%	2%
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.1	38%	42%	7%	5%	8%
5M. Economic development efforts	0.6	15%	41%	14%	6%	24%
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.0	36%	44%	9%	6%	6%
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.2	38%	41%	6%	3%	12%
5P. Youth sports fields	1.3	31%	30%	4%	1%	33%
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.4	22%	21%	1%	1%	55%
5R. Neighborhood programs	1.0	22%	30%	7%	3%	38%
5S. Managing land use	0.1	12%	31%	20%	12%	26%

Mean Score Computation: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Neighborhood and Sense of Community

6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?

Very Strong	15%
Somewhat Strong	46%
Somewhat Weak	25%
Very Weak	7%
None at all	5%
DK/NA	2%

7. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the Cupertino community.

    Here's the [first/next] one: _____. [READ ITEM AND ASK:] Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

	Mean Score	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DK/NA
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	0.2	21%	31%	6%	23%	17%	2%
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	0.1	22%	29%	2%	25%	22%	0%
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	0.4	29%	26%	7%	25%	11%	2%
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	0.8	25%	41%	10%	10%	4%	10%
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	0.7	22%	38%	11%	13%	5%	11%
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.2	41%	43%	6%	8%	1%	1%

Mean Score Computation: "Strongly Agree" = +2, "Somewhat Agree" = +1, "Neither Agree nor Disagree" = 0, "Somewhat Disagree" = -1, and "Strongly Disagree" = -2.

8. Next, I would like to ask you about some neighborhood programs. For each of the program, please tell me if you are aware that it is offered in your neighborhood.

Here is the [first/next] one: _____. Are you aware that this program is offered in your neighborhood? [FOR 8A THROUGH 8E, IF ANSWER IS YES, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW UP WITH Q9. IF ALL ANSWERS TO Q8 = 2 "NO" OR 99 "DK/NA," SKIP TO Q10]

	Yes	No	DK/NA
8A. Neighborhood watch	61%	33%	6%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	44%	52%	4%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	47%	49%	4%
8D. Electronic community alert program	15%	79%	6%
8E. Block leader program	24%	70%	6%

9. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with this program? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

	Sample Size	Mean Score	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
9A. Neighborhood watch	245	1.0	29%	38%	8%	3%	22%
9B. Neighborhood block parties	178	1.1	33%	32%	9%	2%	24%
9C. Earthquake preparedness	188	1.3	40%	39%	5%	3%	14%
9D. Electronic community alert program	59	1.2	31%	37%	1%	4%	26%
9E. Block leader program	97	1.2	39%	37%	8%	2%	13%

Mean Score Computation: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Commuting Behavior

10. Do you walk or bike to work or school? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK, PROMPT FOR WORK OR SCHOOL AND BIKE OR WALK]

Yes, walk to work	6%
Yes, bike to work	7%
Yes, walk to school	6%
Yes, bike to school	4%
No	68%
Currently unemployed/ retired	13%
DK/NA	0%

11. In a typical month, how many days do you walk or bike to work or school [MULTIPLE RESPONSE PROMPT BASED ON ANSWER TO Q10]?

	Sample Size	5 times or less	6 to 10 times	11 to 20 times	21 to 30 times
11A. Walk to work	23	43%	10%	23%	24%
11B. Bike to work	26	50%	31%	17%	2%
11C. Walk to school	26	17%	18%	51%	15%
11D. Bike to school	15	48%	11%	20%	22%

Note: Due to the small sample size, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing these results to the overall adult population in the City of Cupertino.

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	All Residents	Voters
Strongly Support	61%	61%
Somewhat Support	24%	24%
Somewhat Oppose	4%	4%
Strongly Oppose	5%	5%
DK/NA	7%	7%

Dog Park

13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	All Residents	Voters
Strongly Support	23%	26%
Somewhat Support	19%	22%
Somewhat Oppose	14%	13%
Strongly Oppose	29%	26%
DK/NA	15%	13%

14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	All Residents	Voters
Strongly Support	30%	32%
Somewhat Support	31%	29%
Somewhat Oppose	9%	10%
Strongly Oppose	24%	23%
DK/NA	6%	5%

Sustainability

15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?

Yes	4%
No	87%
DK/NA	8%

16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents – Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.

    Smith thinks that ensuring unobstructed cell phone coverage in the city is extremely important to the Cupertino residents, even if it means installing a cell phone tower within the city.

    Jones, on the other hand, thinks that it is important to preserve the aesthetic looks of Cupertino by not letting cell phone towers being installed within the city, even if it means lower or inconsistent cell phone coverage.

    Is your opinion most like that of Smith or Jones?

Smith	56%
Jones	33%
Neither	6%
DK/NA	6%

Economic Development and Housing

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino? Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

Very Satisfied	35%
Somewhat Satisfied	30%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	16%
Very Dissatisfied	16%
DK/NA	3%

18. The Crossroads District in Cupertino along Stevens Creek Boulevard between Stelling Road and De Anza Boulevard has historically been an important central shopping district for the City. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	All Residents	Voters
Strongly Support	53%	52%
Somewhat Support	24%	25%
Somewhat Oppose	7%	7%
Strongly Oppose	12%	11%
DK/NA	4%	4%

19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

Extremely Important	26%
Very Important	35%
Somewhat Important	30%
Not at all Important	6%
DK/NA	2%

20. Some people believe that new workforce housing should be built near job locations in Cupertino to shorten workers' commutes, allow for alternative modes of commuting, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and allow workers more time with their families. Others believe that any new development will just increase overcrowding in the city and traffic on Cupertino city streets.

Given this information, and assuming that school impacts can be addressed, would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support Cupertino's major corporate tenants? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	All Residents	Voters
Strongly Support	17%	18%
Somewhat Support	17%	18%
Somewhat Oppose	15%	14%
Strongly Oppose	46%	44%
DK/NA	5%	6%

Crime

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?

Increased	21%
Decreased	3%
Stayed about same	67%
DK/NA	10%

Ethnic Diversity

22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?

Excellent	20%
Good	64%
Poor	9%
Very poor	3%
DK/NA	4%

23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races? Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open or has it had no effect on your feelings?

More resentful/closed	8%
More sympathetic/open	38%
No change in how I feel	51%
DK/NA	3%

24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?

Too much	9%
About the right amount	59%
Not enough	16%
DK/NA	16%

Library Hours

Now, let's talk about the public library services in Cupertino.

25. In an effort to open to the Cupertino Public Library to residents seven days a week for longer hours, the City is considering some revenue options.

Would you be willing to pay: _____ in order to have the public library open for longer hours on all seven days of the week? [READ IN SEQUENCE. IF A RESPONDENT SAYS 'YES' on Q25A, RECORD 'YES' FOR Q25B, AND GO TO THE NEXT QUESTION Q26.]

		Yes	No	DK/NA
25. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**All Residents**	62%	35%	4%
	Voters	63%	33%	3%
25. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**All Residents**	71%	26%	3%
	Voters	73%	25%	3%

Information Sources

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming? [DON'T READ CHOICES, RECORD FIRST TWO RESPONSES].

Cupertino Courier	41%
Internet [No specific site]	21%
City Website	13%
Flyers or posters around town	11%
Cupertino Scene	11%
Television	9%
Cupertino Daily News	9%
San Jose Mercury News	6%
Local cable station [No specific station]	4%
Word of mouth	4%
Email	2%
Radio	1%
Local blogs	1%
Non-English media	1%
KCIU	1%
KTVU	1%
None	4%
Other	4%
DK/NA	3%

27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website – www.cupertino.org?

Yes	66%
No	33%
DK/NA	1%

28. Who is your local cable service provider? [DON'T READ CHOICES]

Comcast	64%
AT&T U-verse	12%
Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	10%
Other	0%
Don't have cable	3%
DK/NA	11%

29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?

n = 306

Yes	48%
No	50%
DK/NA	2%

30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

n = 148

City Council Meetings	75%
Commission Meetings	18%
California Channel	8%
None	0%
Other	6%
DK/NA	15%

ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT INFORMATION

A. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?

Less than one year	2%
One to three years	11%
Four to nine years	23%
Ten to fifteen years	22%
Sixteen to twenty-five years	21%
Twenty-six years or more	21%
DK/NA	0%

B. Do you own or rent your home?

Own	75%
Rent	23%
DK/NA	2%

C. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [IF HESITATE, READ]:

White	37%
Chinese	31%
Asian Indian	20%
Latino or Hispanic	3%
Japanese	3%
Thai	1%
African American or Black	0%
Laotian	0%
Cambodian	0%
Filipino	0%
Korean	0%
Pacific Islander	0%
Other	1%
DK/NA	2%

D. Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household? [IF RESPONDENT GIVES 0, PLEASE REMIND THE RESPONDENT:] This is including yourself.

One	10%
Two	23%
Three	26%
Four	29%
Five or more	11%
DK/NA	1%

E. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are _____?

	None	One	Two	Three
E1. Under the age of 18 years	55%	21%	21%	3%
E2. Over the age of 64 years	68%	22%	10%	1%

F. Do you or does anyone in your household currently have one or more dogs? (IF 'NO' THEN RECORD '0' AND SKIP TO QG. IF 'YES' THEN ASK:) How many dogs are in your household?

None	78%
One	17%
Two or more	5%
DK/NA	1%

G. Does anyone in your household speak a language other than English as their primary language?

Yes	53%
No	46%

H. Which language, other than English, does someone in your household speak as their primary language? [DON'T READ. MULTIPLE RESPONSES PERMITTED.]

n = 213

Chinese Languages	**65%**
Chinese (unspecified)	34%
Mandarin	24%
Cantonese	7%
Indian Languages	**20%**
Hindi	8%
Indian language (unspecified)	3%
Telugu	2%
Kannada	2%
Marathi	2%
Urdu	2%
Tamil	1%
European Languages	**13%**
Spanish	7%
German	3%
French	1%
Russian	1%
Italian	1%
Other Asian Languages	**5%**
Japanese	2%
Thai	1%
Korean	1%
Tagalog	1%
Other	7%
DK/NA	2%

I.   Finally, I am going to read some income categories. Please stop me when I reach the category that best describes your <u>total</u> household income before taxes in 2007.

Under $60,000	11%
$60,000 to under $80,000	7%
$80,000 to under $100,000	11%
$100,000 to under $120,000	10%
$120,000 to under $140,000	8%
$140,000 to under $160,000	6%
$160,000 to under $180,000	3%
$180,000 to under $200,000	4%
$200,000 or more	15%
DK/NA	25%

J.   Gender

Male	49%
Female	51%

K.   Age

18 to 29 years	17%
30 to 39 years	8%
40 to 49 years	31%
50 to 64 years	25%
65 years and older	16%
DK/NA	3%

VOTER INFORMATION

L. Party:

Democrat	39%
Republican	25%
Other	1%
DTS	36%

M. Household Party Type:

Democrat (1)	16%
Democrat (2+)	12%
Republican (1)	9%
Republican (2+)	8%
Other (1)	18%
Other (2+)	11%
Democrat & Republican	7%
Democrat & Other	10%
Republican & Other	6%
Mixed	3%

N. Registration Date:

Before 1994	27%
1994 to 1998	8%
1999 to 2003	22%
2004 or later	43%

O. Voting History:

	Poll	Mail	No
Voted March 2002	26%	4%	70%
Voted November 2002	39%	6%	55%
Voted Recall 2003	41%	13%	46%
Voted June 2004	33%	10%	57%
Voted November 2004	47%	19%	34%
Voted June 2006	22%	18%	60%
Voted November 2006	42%	26%	32%
Voted February 2008	10%	37%	53%

P. Voting Propensity:

Never Voted	17%
1 of 8	13%
2 of 8	8%
3 of 8	9%
4 of 8	9%
5 of 8	10%
6 of 8	8%
7 of 8	11%
8 of 8	16%

Q. Absentee Propensity:

Never Voted Absentee	50%
1 of 8	20%
2 of 8	11%
3 of 8	7%
4 of 8	3%
5 of 8	3%
6 of 8	2%
7 of 8	3%
8 of 8	1%

R. Permanent Absentee Voter:

Yes	61%
No	39%

S. Likely Mail Ballot Voter:

Yes	61%
No	39%

City of Cupertino
2008 Resident Satisfaction Survey

GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

Appendix D: Topline Report Historical Trends

CITY OF CUPERTINO: 2008 Community Survey
Topline Report
October 2008

The City of Cupertino commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of voters in the city to learn their overall perceptions of living in the city and to gauge their satisfaction with the City's performance in providing services and programs to its residents. The survey also focused on gathering voter feedback on other resident issues in the city such as neighborhood and sense of community, commuting behavior, dog park, sustainability, economic development and housing, crime, ethnic diversity, library hours, and information sources.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY

The respondents for this study were selected using a sample of registered voters in the City of Cupertino. Overall, 400 respondents in the city completed the survey, representing a total universe of approximately 37,083 adult residents. The study parameters resulted in a margin of error of plus or minus 4.9 percent. Interviews were conducted from September 18 through September 22, 2008, and the average interview length was approximately 20 minutes.

Once collected, the sample of respondents was compared with the adult population in the City of Cupertino according to the 2000 US Census Data to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample of respondents and the actual universe of residents. The data were weighted to correct these differences, and the results presented are representative of the adult resident characteristics of the City of Cupertino in terms of gender, age, and ethnicity.

QUESTIONNAIRE METHODOLOGY

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 5, 7, 8 and 9 were randomized to avoid such position bias.

Questions 3, 10, 26, 30, and H allowed the respondents surveyed to mention multiple responses. For this reason, the response percentages may sum to more than 100, and these represent the percent of the respondents that mentioned a particular response, rather than the percent of total responses.

MEAN SCORES AND ROUNDING

In addition to the percentage breakdown of responses to each question, results for the questions relating to the satisfaction with city services (Q5), agreement with statements (Q7), and satisfaction with neighborhood programs (Q9) include a mean score. For example, to derive respondents' overall satisfaction with a City service or program (Q5), a number value is first assigned to each response category (in this case, "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2). The individual answer of each respondent is then assigned the corresponding number – from +2 to -2 in this example. Finally, all respondents' answers are averaged to produce a final score that reflects overall importance. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier. Responses of "Don't Know" (DK/NA) were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Conventional rounding rules apply to the percentages shown in this report, .5 or above is rounded up to the next number, and .4 or below is rounded down to the previous number. As a result, the percentages may not add up to 100 percent.

Overall Perceptions of Living in Cupertino

I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino.

1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino? [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

Very Satisfied	58%
Somewhat Satisfied	34%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	5%
Very Dissatisfied	1%
DK/NA	2%

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

	2008	**2006**	**2004**
School system	43%	46%	41%
Friends/family here	9%	7%	6%
Job	9%	11%	15%
Affordable housing	9%	6%	7%
Enjoy/like the City	5%	6%	10%
Grew up here	5%	3%	4%
Location	5%	6%	2%
Quality of life	3%	5%	4%
Safety/low crime	3%	<0.5%	2%
Small town atmosphere	3%	3%	3%
Retirement	1%	<0.5%	1%
Weather	1%	-	-
Bought house in Cupertino	-	2%	-
Other	4%	2%	2%
DK/NA	0%	2%	3%

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD TWO RESPONSES]

	2008	2006	2004
Controlling growth	29%	31%	20%
Affordable housing	22%	30%	24%
Traffic	15%	21%	14%
Education	13%	15%	22%
City's economic health	9%	2%	11%
Quality of life	5%	2%	3%
Preserving small town atmosphere	5%	5%	5%
Crime	4%	7%	8%
Race relations	4%	6%	7%
Taxes	3%	2%	5%
No downtown/Downtown development	3%	2%	2%
Protection of open space	3%	4%	3%
Overpopulation	2%	-	-
Neighborhood preservation	2%	3%	2%
Overcrowded schools	2%	2%	-
Cement plant issues	1%	-	-
Cost of living	1%	2%	-
Schools and general funding	1%	-	-
Roads and streets	1%	-	-
Inconvenient library hours	1%	-	-
Longer hours for entertainment	1%	-	-
City's maintenance is needed	-	5%	1%
New library	-	-	4%
Pollution	-	2%	-
Employment opportunities	-	-	2%
Teen programs	-	-	2%
Shopping opportunities	-	-	1%
Nothing	2%	-	-
Other	11%	5%	9%
DK/NA	15%	14%	26%

Satisfaction with City Services

4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services? [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Is that very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

	2008	2006	2004
Very Satisfied	38%	33%	40%
Somewhat Satisfied	50%	52%	47%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	4%	6%	4%
Very Dissatisfied	3%	5%	4%
DK/NA	5%	4%	6%

Now, I'm going to read a list of services provided by the City of Cupertino:

5. For each one, please tell me whether you are satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide the service.

   Here's the [first/next] one: _____. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the city's performance in this area? [AFTER GETTING ANSWER, ASK:] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

	Year	Mean Score	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
5A. Police services	2008	1.4	50%	32%	5%	2%	11%
	2006	1.1	46%	31%	7%	7%	9%
	2004	1.4	50%	35%	4%	2%	10%
5B. City recreation services	2008	1.3	40%	34%	7%	1%	20%
	2006	1.3	39%	36%	5%	2%	19%
	2004	1.4	44%	43%	4%	1%	9%
5C. Park and picnic area availability	2008	1.4	45%	34%	4%	1%	15%
	2006	1.3	48%	31%	8%	2%	12%
	2004	1.4	48%	37%	3%	3%	9%
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	2008	1.5	38%	27%	1%	1%	33%
	2006	1.5	43%	23%	3%	1%	31%
	2004	1.5	43%	29%	2%	1%	25%
5E. Garbage collection	2008	1.5	63%	29%	4%	2%	3%
	2006	1.5	64%	28%	4%	2%	2%
	2004	1.4	55%	33%	4%	3%	5%
5F. Recycling program	2008	1.5	60%	30%	5%	1%	4%
	2006	1.5	60%	27%	3%	3%	7%
	2004	1.3	53%	33%	8%	2%	4%
5G. Library services	2008	1.6	64%	25%	3%	1%	7%
	2006	1.4	60%	23%	5%	4%	9%
	2004	1.2	43%	38%	7%	5%	8%
5H. Street pavement maintenance	2008	0.9	37%	45%	9%	9%	2%
	2006	0.8	32%	44%	14%	9%	2%
	2004	1.1	39%	44%	9%	5%	3%

Mean Score Computation: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

	Year	Mean Score	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
5I. Street sweeping services	2008	1.2	47%	38%	4%	6%	6%
	2006	1.3	55%	31%	7%	3%	5%
	2004	1.2	46%	41%	5%	4%	4%
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	2008	1.5	53%	33%	3%	3%	10%
	2006	1.5	54%	33%	2%	3%	9%
	2004	1.4	45%	43%	3%	1%	9%
5K. Traffic safety	2008	0.9	35%	45%	9%	9%	2%
	2006	0.8	36%	38%	15%	9%	2%
	2004	1.2	44%	42%	8%	3%	3%
5L. Street tree maintenance	2008	1.1	39%	41%	7%	6%	7%
	2006	1.1	43%	35%	10%	6%	7%
	2004	1.2	41%	44%	6%	3%	6%
5M. Economic development efforts	2008	0.6	16%	41%	13%	7%	24%
	2006	0.4	14%	42%	17%	8%	19%
	2004	0.6	18%	42%	13%	8%	19%
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	2008	1.0	36%	43%	9%	6%	6%
	2006	1.0	36%	42%	10%	6%	5%
	2004	1.1	37%	45%	9%	5%	4%
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	2008	1.2	42%	38%	6%	3%	10%
	2006	1.2	37%	45%	7%	2%	9%
	2004	1.3	40%	45%	6%	2%	8%
5P. Youth sports fields	2008	1.3	33%	30%	4%	1%	32%
	2006	1.2	32%	30%	8%	2%	29%
	2004	1.4	37%	36%	4%	1%	24%
5Q. Senior citizen programs	2008	1.4	22%	20%	1%	2%	56%
	2006	1.4	26%	16%	1%	2%	55%
	2004	1.5	34%	22%	1%	0%	43%
5R. Neighborhood programs	2008	1.0	23%	27%	7%	4%	39%
	2006	0.9	22%	29%	9%	3%	37%
	2004	1.0	23%	35%	7%	3%	33%
5S. Managing land use	2008	0.1	12%	32%	19%	13%	24%
	2006	0.0	11%	33%	18%	18%	20%
	2004	0.4	16%	42%	13%	12%	17%

Mean Score Computation: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Neighborhood and Sense of Community

6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?

	2008	2006
Very Strong	14%	11%
Somewhat Strong	43%	42%
Somewhat Weak	26%	28%
Very Weak	10%	9%
None at all	6%	8%
DK/NA	1%	2%

7. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the Cupertino community.

   Here's the [first/next] one: _____. [READ ITEM AND ASK:] Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

	Mean Score	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	DK/NA
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	0.0	18%	31%	5%	24%	20%	2%
	-0.3	10%	31%	5%	31%	23%	1%
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	0.1	26%	28%	2%	23%	21%	0%
	0.2	25%	27%	3%	31%	13%	1%
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	0.4	31%	25%	6%	24%	11%	3%
	0.3	20%	29%	10%	34%	4%	3%
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	0.7	23%	41%	11%	11%	6%	10%
	0.6	20%	36%	12%	17%	5%	11%
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	0.6	20%	39%	12%	13%	6%	11%
	0.4	12%	45%	11%	16%	6%	10%
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.1	40%	43%	6%	9%	2%	1%
	1.0	36%	41%	7%	13%	2%	1%

Mean Score Computation: "Strongly Agree" = +2, "Somewhat Agree" = +1, "Neither Agree nor Disagree" = 0, "Somewhat Disagree" = -1, and "Strongly Disagree" = -2.

8. Next, I would like to ask you about some neighborhood programs. For each of the program, please tell me if you are aware that it is offered in your neighborhood.

   Here is the [first/next] one: _____. Are you aware that this program is offered in your neighborhood? [FOR 8A THROUGH 8E, IF ANSWER IS YES, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW UP WITH Q9. IF ALL ANSWERS TO Q8 = 2 "NO" OR 99 "DK/NA," SKIP TO Q10]

	Year	Yes	No	DK/NA
8A. Neighborhood watch	2008	62%	31%	7%
	2006	63%	29%	7%
	2004	67%	30%	3%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	2008	45%	51%	4%
	2006	44%	49%	7%
	2004	43%	52%	5%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	2008	47%	49%	4%
	2006	51%	39%	10%
	2004	51%	45%	4%
8D. Electronic community alert program	2008	15%	79%	6%
	2006	14%	69%	17%
	2004	13%	78%	9%
8E. Block leader program	2008	25%	69%	6%
	2006	24%	61%	15%

9. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with this program? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

	Mean Score	Sample Size	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	DK/NA
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.0	249	28%	37%	9%	4%	23%
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.1	179	34%	30%	9%	2%	25%
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.3	188	39%	41%	4%	3%	13%
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.2	59	33%	37%	1%	5%	24%
9E. Block leader program	1.1	100	38%	37%	8%	3%	14%

Mean Score Computation: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1 and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.
Note: The tracking information from previous surveys has not been presented for this question because it was asked of all respondents in 2004 and 2006, as opposed to only the aware respondents from Q8 in the 2008 survey.

Commuting Behavior

10. Do you walk or bike to work or school? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK, PROMPT FOR WORK OR SCHOOL AND BIKE OR WALK]

	2008	2006	2004
Yes, walk to work	6%	7%	5%
Yes, bike to work	9%	5%	5%
Yes, walk to school	6%	5%	5%
Yes, bike to school	4%	1%	3%
No	66%	73%	73%
Currently unemployed/ retired	13%	10%	10%
DK/NA	0%	0%	1%

11. In a typical month, how many days do you walk or bike to work or school [MULTIPLE RESPONSE PROMPT BASED ON ANSWER TO Q10]?

	Sample Size	5 times or less	6 to 10 times	11 to 20 times	21 to 30 times
11A. Walk to work	23	38%	18%	20%	23%
11B. Bike to work	35	43%	34%	20%	2%
11C. Walk to school	25	15%	22%	50%	14%
11D. Bike to school	15	43%	11%	24%	22%

Note: Due to the small sample size, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing these results to the overall adult population in the City of Cupertino.

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	2008	2006	2004
Strongly Support	62%	57%	50%
Somewhat Support	25%	28%	29%
Somewhat Oppose	3%	4%	8%
Strongly Oppose	4%	6%	7%
DK/NA	6%	4%	7%

Dog Park

13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

Strongly Support	27%
Somewhat Support	21%
Somewhat Oppose	12%
Strongly Oppose	26%
DK/NA	14%

14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

Strongly Support	34%
Somewhat Support	29%
Somewhat Oppose	10%
Strongly Oppose	22%
DK/NA	6%

Sustainability

15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?

Yes	4%
No	89%
DK/NA	7%

16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents – Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.

Smith thinks that ensuring unobstructed cell phone coverage in the city is extremely important to the Cupertino residents, even if it means installing a cell phone tower within the city.

Jones, on the other hand, thinks that it is important to preserve the aesthetic looks of Cupertino by not letting cell phone towers being installed within the city, even if it means lower or inconsistent cell phone coverage.

Is your opinion most like that of Smith or Jones?

Smith	58%
Jones	31%
Neither	6%
DK/NA	5%

Economic Development and Housing

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino? Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

Very Satisfied	32%
Somewhat Satisfied	29%
Somewhat Dissatisfied	16%
Very Dissatisfied	18%
DK/NA	4%

18. The Crossroads District in Cupertino along Stevens Creek Boulevard between Stelling Road and De Anza Boulevard has historically been an important central shopping district for the City. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	2008	**2006***	**2004***
Strongly Support	54%	47%	41%
Somewhat Support	25%	19%	31%
Somewhat Oppose	6%	8%	9%
Strongly Oppose	11%	20%	13%
DK/NA	4%	6%	7%

* Question worded differently in the 2004 and 2006 surveys.

19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

Extremely Important	26%
Very Important	33%
Somewhat Important	34%
Not at all Important	6%
DK/NA	2%

20. Some people believe that new workforce housing should be built near job locations in Cupertino to shorten workers' commutes, allow for alternative modes of commuting, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and allow workers more time with their families. Others believe that any new development will just increase overcrowding in the city and traffic on Cupertino city streets.

Given this information, and assuming that school impacts can be addressed, would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support Cupertino's major corporate tenants? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

	2008	2006*	2004*
Strongly Support	19%	25%	26%
Somewhat Support	18%	21%	27%
Somewhat Oppose	15%	13%	15%
Strongly Oppose	43%	38%	25%
DK/NA	5%	3%	7%

* Question worded differently in the 2004 and 2006 surveys.

Crime

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?

	2008	2006	2004
Increased	18%	27%	17%
Decreased	4%	5%	8%
Stayed about same	68%	52%	61%
DK/NA	10%	16%	15%

Ethnic Diversity

22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say
they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?

	2008	2006	2004
Excellent	19%	16%	21%
Good	63%	56%	62%
Poor	11%	17%	12%
Very Poor	4%	6%	2%
DK/NA	4%	5%	4%

23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has
this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?
Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open or has it had
no effect on your feelings?

	2008	2006	2004
More resentful/closed	9%	15%	11%
More sympathetic/open	37%	27%	31%
No change in how I feel	51%	52%	51%
DK/NA	3%	5%	7%

24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to
ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?

	2008	2006	2004
Too much	11%	19%	12%
About the right amount	57%	47%	51%
Not enough	18%	18%	19%
DK/NA	15%	17%	18%

Library Hours

Now, let's talk about the public library services in Cupertino.

25. In an effort to open to the Cupertino Public Library to residents seven days a week for longer hours, the City is considering some revenue options.

    Would you be willing to pay: _____ in order to have the public library open for longer hours on all seven days of the week? [READ IN SEQUENCE. IF A RESPONDENT SAYS 'YES' on Q25A, <u>RECORD 'YES'</u> FOR Q25B, AND GO TO THE NEXT QUESTION Q26.]

	Yes	No	DK/NA
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	64%	32%	3%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	74%	23%	3%

Information Sources

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming? [DON'T READ CHOICES, RECORD FIRST TWO RESPONSES].

	2008	2006	2004
Cupertino Courier	40%	45%	51%
Internet [No specific site]	21%	16%	14%
City Website	14%	13%	7%
Flyers or posters around town	13%	14%	13%
Cupertino Scene	11%	16%	31%
Television	8%	8%	13%
Cupertino Daily News	8%	-	-
San Jose Mercury News	6%	12%	19%
Local cable station [No specific station]	4%	3%	4%
Word of mouth	3%	3%	3%
Email	2%	2%	4%
Local blogs	1%	-	-
Radio	1%	1%	2%
KCIU	1%	-	-
Non-English media	1%	-	2%
KTVU	1%	-	-
City Hall or City Newsletter	-	10%	-
Community Center or Community Newsletter	-	3%	2%
Library	-	3%	1%
School or kids programs	-	1%	-
Parks and Recreation Newsletter	-	1%	-
Los Gatos Daily News	-	1%	5%
Magazines	-	-	2%
None	5%	2%	1%
Other	5%	5%	7%
DK/NA	3%	0%	8%

27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website – www.cupertino.org?

	2008	2006	2004
Yes	67%	65%	51%
No	32%	32%	46%
DK/NA	1%	3%	3%

28. Who is your local cable service provider? [DON'T READ CHOICES]

Comcast	65%
AT&T U-verse	11%
Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	10%
Other	1%
Don't have cable	3%
DK/NA	11%

29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?

n = 305

Yes	48%
No	51%
DK/NA	2%

30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

n = 145

City Council Meetings	74%
Commission Meetings	19%
California Channel	9%
None	1%
Other	5%
DK/NA	15%

ADDITIONAL RESPONDENT INFORMATION

A. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino [COOPER-TEENO]?

	2008	2006	2004
Less than one year	2%	9%	5%
One to three years	14%	23%	19%
Four to nine years	25%	22%	26%
Ten to fifteen years	19%	14%	15%
Sixteen to twenty-five years	18%	11%	12%
Twenty-six years or more	22%	22%	24%
DK/NA	0%	0%	0%

B. Do you own or rent your home?

	2008	2006	2004
Own	70%	67%	64%
Rent	28%	32%	32%
DK/NA	2%	1%	4%

C. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [IF HESITATE, READ]:

	2008	2006	2004
White	51%	47%	49%
Chinese	21%	16%	21%
Asian Indian	16%	22%	8%
Latino or Hispanic	4%	1%	4%
Japanese	2%	1%	3%
African American or Black	1%	0%	1%
Thai	1%	0%	1%
Pacific Islander	1%	1%	1%
Other Asian	1%	3%	7%
Other	2%	4%	1%
DK/NA	2%	5%	6%

D. Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household? [IF RESPONDENT GIVES 0, PLEASE REMIND THE RESPONDENT:] This is including yourself.

	2008	2006	2004
One	11%	11%	13%
Two	24%	25%	23%
Three	24%	25%	22%
Four	28%	27%	25%
Five or more	11%	11%	13%
DK/NA	1%	2%	3%

E. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are _____?

	Year	Under the age 18	Over the age 64
None	2008	54%	70%
	2006	50%	75%
	2004	51	74%
One	2008	21%	19%
	2006	20%	15%
	2004	18	11%
Two	2008	22%	10%
	2006	25%	8%
	2004	26	12%
Three or more	2008	4%	1%
	2006	4%	<0.5%
	2004	5	1%
DK/NA	2008	0%	0%
	2006	2%	1%
	2004	0	1%

F. Do you or does anyone in your household currently have one or more dogs? (IF 'NO' THEN RECORD '0' AND SKIP TO QG. IF 'YES' THEN ASK:) How many dogs are in your household?

None	76%
One	18%
Two or three	5%
DK/NA	1%

G. Does anyone in your household speak a language other than English as their primary language?

	2008	2006	2004
Yes	43%	49%	45%
No	57%	51%	52%
DK/NA	0%	1%	3%

H. Which language, other than English, does someone in your household speak as their primary language? [DON'T READ. MULTIPLE RESPONSES PERMITTED.]

	2008 (n = 172)	2006 (n = 193)	2004 (n = XXX)
Chinese languages	**54%**	**39%**	**59%**
Chinese	26%	21%	39%
Mandarin	22%	15%	18%
Cantonese	6%	3%	2%
Indian languages	**21%**	**27%**	**12%**
Hindi	8%	7%	4%
Telugu	3%	-	3%
Indian languages (unspecified)	3%	20%	3%
Kannada	2%	-	-
Marathi	2%	-	-
Urdu	2%	-	-
Tamil	1%	-	2%
Gujarati	1%	-	-
European languages	**20%**	**6%**	**19%**
Spanish	11%	3%	11%
German	5%	2%	4%
Russian	2%	-	1%
French	1%	1%	3%
Italian	1%	-	-
Japanese	2%	4%	4%
Thai	2%	-	-
Hebrew	1%	6%	-
Korean	1%	5%	3%
Tagalog	1%	<0.5%	5%
Vietnamese	-	<0.5%	1%
Arabic	-	-	1%
Other	7%	16%	9%
DK/NA	2%	2%	1%

I. Finally, I am going to read some income categories. Please stop me when I reach the category that best describes your <u>total</u> household income before taxes in 2007.

	2008	2006
Under $60,000	12%	12%
$60,000 to under $80,000	7%	10%
$80,000 to under $100,000	10%	15%
$100,000 to under $120,000	9%	16%
$120,000 to under $140,000	8%	6%
$140,000 to under $160,000	7%	5%
$160,000 to under $180,000	5%	4%
$180,000 to under $200,000	4%	3%
$200,000 or more	15%	10%
DK/NA	23%	20%

J. Gender

	2008	2006	2004
Male	49%	46%	50%
Female	51%	54%	50%

K. Age

	2008	2006	2004
18 to 24 years	6%	7%	6%
25 to 34 years	15%	16%	12%
35 to 44 years	28%	28%	30%
45 to 54 years	20%	20%	20%
55 to 64 years	11%	12%	12%
65 years and older	15%	14%	16%
DK/NA	4%	4%	4%

VOTER INFORMATION

L. Party:

Democrat	46%
Republican	22%
Other	1%
DTS	31%

M. Household Party Type:

Democrat (1)	25%
Democrat (2+)	12%
Republican (1)	8%
Republican (2+)	7%
Other (1)	16%
Other (2+)	8%
Democrat & Republican	6%
Democrat & Other	9%
Republican & Other	6%
Mixed	3%

N. Registration Date:

Before 1994	23%
1994 to 1998	6%
1999 to 2003	22%
2004 or later	49%

O. Voting History:

	Poll	Mail	No
A. Voted March 2002	22%	4%	74%
B. Voted November 2002	34%	6%	61%
C. Voted Recall 2003	37%	11%	52%
D. Voted June 2004	28%	8%	63%
E. Voted November 2004	43%	18%	39%
F. Voted June 2006	21%	17%	63%
G. Voted November 2006	42%	23%	35%
H. Voted February 2008	10%	35%	56%

P. Voting Propensity:

Never Voted	20%
1 of 8	13%
2 of 8	10%
3 of 8	9%
4 of 8	8%
5 of 8	8%
6 of 8	7%
7 of 8	9%
8 of 8	15%

Q. Absentee Propensity:

Never Voted Absentee	55%
1 of 8	18%
2 of 8	10%
3 of 8	7%
4 of 8	3%
5 of 8	2%
6 of 8	2%
7 of 8	2%
8 of 8	1%

R. Permanent Absentee Voter:

Yes	55%
No	46%

S. Likely Mail Ballot Voter:

Yes	55%
No	45%

City of Cupertino
2008 Resident Satisfaction Survey

GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

Appendix E: Questionnaire

2008 Cupertino Community Survey
Final Questionnaire (APPROVED 9/17/2008)

Hello, May I speak with _____? Hello, my name is _____ and I'm calling on behalf of GRA, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey concerning some important issues in your community, and we would like to get your opinions. It should just take a few minutes of your time.

[IF NEEDED]: This is a study about issues of importance in your community. It is a survey only, and I am <u>not</u> selling anything.

[IF THE PERSON ASKS WHY YOU ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED ON THE SAMPLE, OR ASKS IF THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE INSTEAD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THEN SAY: "I'm sorry, but for statistical purposes this survey must only be completed by this particular individual."]

[IF THE INDIVIDUAL INDICATES THAT THEY ARE AN EMPLOYEE OF THE CITY, AN ELECTED OFFICIAL, OR A CITY COUNCIL MEMBER, THANK THEM FOR THEIR TIME, <u>POLITELY</u> EXPLAIN THAT THE FOCUS OF THIS SURVEY IS ON THE <u>PUBLIC'S</u> PERCEPTION OF CITY ISSUES, AND TERMINATE THE INTERVIEW.]

[IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS THEY ARE ON THE NATIONAL DO NOT CALL LIST, RESPOND BASED ON THE GUIDELINES FROM THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION. FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS: "There's a law that says you can't call me," RESPOND WITH: "Most types of opinion and marketing research studies are exempt under the law that congress recently passed. That law was passed to regulate the activities of the telemarketing industry. This is a legitimate research call. Your opinions count!"]

~~~~~~

Record Gender [DO NOT ASK]:

Male--- 1
Female -- 2

A. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino [COOPER-TEENO]?

Less than one year--- 1
One to three years -- 2
Four to nine years --- 3
Ten to fifteen years --------------------------------------- 4
Sixteen to twenty-five years ---------------------------- 5
Twenty-six years or more ------------------------------- 6
[DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

Overall Perceptions of Living in Cupertino

Next, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino.

1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino? [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

          Very satisfied -- 1
          Somewhat satisfied --------------------------------------- 2
          Somewhat dissatisfied ----------------------------------- 3
          Very dissatisfied -- 4
          [DON'T READ] DK/NA --------------------------------- 99

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

          Affordable housing --- 1
          Enjoy/like the City -- 2
          Friends/family here-- 3
          Grew up here --- 4
          Job --- 5
          Quality of life-- 6
          Retirement -- 7
          Safety/low crime -- 8
          School system--- 9
          Small town atmosphere-------------------------------- 10
          Other [Please specify: _____] --------------- 98
          [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino? [DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD TWO RESPONSES]

          Affordable housing --- 1
          City's economic health ---------------------------------- 2
          Controlling growth --- 3
          Crime --- 4
          Education--- 5
          Inconvenient library hours------------------------------ 6
          Neighborhood preservation ----------------------------- 7
          Preserving small town atmosphere ------------------- 8
          Protection of open space --------------------------------- 9
          Quality of life-- 10
          Race relations -- 11
          Taxes --- 12
          Teen programs-- 13
          Traffic -- 14
          Other [Please specify: _____] --------------- 98
          [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99

Satisfaction with City Services

4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services? [GET ANSWER AND THEN ASK]: Is that very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

> Very satisfied -- 1
> Somewhat satisfied -- 2
> Somewhat dissatisfied ------------------------------------ 3
> Very dissatisfied --- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA --------------------------------- 99

Now, I'm going to read a list of services provided by the City of Cupertino:

5. For each one, please tell me whether you are satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide the service.

   Here's the [first/next] one: _____. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the city's performance in this area? [AFTER GETTING ANSWER, ASK:] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

RANDOMIZE

	Very Sat.	Swt. Sat.	Swt. Dissat.	Very Dissat.	[DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Police services	1	2	3	4	99
B. City recreation services	1	2	3	4	99
C. Park and picnic area availability	1	2	3	4	99
D. Quinlan [KWIN-lan] community center facilities	1	2	3	4	99
E. Garbage collection	1	2	3	4	99
F. Recycling program	1	2	3	4	99
G. Library services	1	2	3	4	99
H. Street pavement maintenance	1	2	3	4	99
I. Street sweeping services	1	2	3	4	99
J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1	2	3	4	99
K. Traffic safety	1	2	3	4	99
L. Street tree maintenance	1	2	3	4	99
M. Economic development efforts	1	2	3	4	99
N. Sidewalk maintenance	1	2	3	4	99
O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1	2	3	4	99
P. Youth sports fields	1	2	3	4	99
Q. Senior citizen programs	1	2	3	4	99
R. Neighborhood programs	1	2	3	4	99
S. Managing land use	1	2	3	4	99

Neighborhood and Sense of Community

6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?

> Very strong--- 1
> Somewhat strong--2
> Somewhat weak---3
> Very weak--- 4
> None at all--- 5
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------- 99

7. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the Cupertino community.

    Here's the [first/next] one: _____. [READ ITEM AND ASK:] Do you strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat disagree, or strongly disagree with the statement?

    RANDOMIZE

		Strongly Agree	Swt. Agree	Neither Agree nor Disagree	Swt. Disagree	Strongly Disagree	[DON'T READ] DK/NA
A.	I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	1	2	3	4	5	99
B.	Very few of my neighbors know me	1	2	3	4	5	99
C.	I have almost no influence over what this community is like	1	2	3	4	5	99
D.	My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	1	2	3	4	5	99
E.	If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	1	2	3	4	5	99
F.	It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1	2	3	4	5	99

8. Next, I would like to ask you about some neighborhood programs. For each of the program, please tell me if you are aware that it is offered in your neighborhood.

    Here is the [first/next] one: _____. Are you aware that this program is offered in your neighborhood? [FOR 8A THROUGH 8E, IF ANSWER IS YES, IMMEDIATELY FOLLOW UP WITH Q9. IF ALL ANSWERS TO Q8 = 2 "NO" OR 99 "DK/NA," SKIP TO Q10]

RANDOMIZE	Yes	No	[DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Neighborhood watch	1	2	99
B. Neighborhood block parties	1	2	99
C. Earthquake preparedness	1	2	99
D. Electronic community alert program	1	2	99
E. Block leader program	1	2	99

9. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with this program? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK] Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

HOLD RANDOMATION ORDER FROM Q8	Very Sat.	Swt. Sat.	Swt. Dissat.	Very Dissat.	[DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Neighborhood watch	1	2	3	4	99
B. Neighborhood block parties	1	2	3	4	99
C. Earthquake preparedness	1	2	3	4	99
D. Electronic community alert program	1	2	3	4	99
E. Block leader program	1	2	3	4	99

Commuting Behavior

10. Do you walk or bike to work or school? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK, PROMPT FOR WORK OR SCHOOL AND BIKE OR WALK]

Yes, walk <u>to work</u>	1	[CONTINUE]
Yes, bike <u>to work</u>	2	[CONTINUE]
Yes, walk <u>to school</u>	3	[CONTINUE]
Yes, bike <u>to school</u>	4	[CONTINUE]
No	5	[SKIP TO 12]
Currently unemployed/ retired	6	[SKIP TO 12]
[DON'T READ] DK/NA	99	[SKIP TO 12]

11. In a typical month, how many days do you walk or bike to work or school [MULTIPLE RESPONSE PROMPT BASED ON ANSWER TO Q10]?

    <u>WORK</u>: WALK: _____ BIKE: _____   <u>SCHOOL</u>: WALK: _____ BIKE: _____

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

> Strongly support --- 1
> Somewhat support --------------------------------------- 2
> Somewhat oppose -- 3
> Strongly oppose --- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

Dog Park

13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

> Strongly support --- 1
> Somewhat support --------------------------------------- 2
> Somewhat oppose -- 3
> Strongly oppose --- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

> Strongly support --- 1
> Somewhat support --------------------------------------- 2
> Somewhat oppose -- 3
> Strongly oppose --- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

Sustainability

15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic [FOTO-VOL-TA-IK] or PV solar system?

> Yes --- 1
> No -- 2
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents – Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.

Smith thinks that ensuring unobstructed cell phone coverage in the city is extremely important to the Cupertino residents, even if it means installing a cell phone tower within the city.

Jones, on the other hand, thinks that it is important to preserve the aesthetic looks of Cupertino by not letting cell phone towers being installed within the city, even if it means lower or inconsistent cell phone coverage.

Is your opinion most like that of Smith or Jones?

        Smith --- 1
        Jones --- 2
        [DON'T READ] Neither------------------------------------ 3
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA --------------------------------- 99

Economic Development and Housing

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino? Would that be very [satisfied/dissatisfied] or somewhat [satisfied/dissatisfied]?

        Very Satisfied --- 1
        Somewhat Satisfied ------------------------------------- 2
        Somewhat Dissatisfied --------------------------------- 3
        Very Dissatisfied --- 4
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------- 99

18. The Crossroads District in Cupertino along Stevens Creek Boulevard between Stelling Road and De Anza Boulevard [DEE-ANZA] has historically been an important central shopping district for the City. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

        Strongly support -- 1
        Somewhat support -- 2
        Somewhat oppose --- 3
        Strongly oppose --- 4
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ---------------------------------- 99

19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?

> Extremely Important-------------------------------------- 1
> Very Important-- 2
> Somewhat Important-------------------------------------- 3
> Not at all Important -------------------------------------- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------- 99

20. Some people believe that new workforce housing should be built near job locations in Cupertino to shorten workers' commutes, allow for alternative modes of commuting, reduce greenhouse gas emissions and allow workers more time with their families. Others believe that any new development will just increase overcrowding in the city and traffic on Cupertino city streets.

Given this information, and assuming that school impacts can be addressed, would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe [WOLF] Road in proportion to job growth to support Cupertino's major corporate tenants (such as...)? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be strongly [support/oppose] or somewhat [support/oppose]?

> Strongly support-- 1
> Somewhat support--- 2
> Somewhat oppose-- 3
> Strongly oppose -- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------- 99

Crime

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?

> Increased--- 1
> Decreased --- 2
> Stayed about same-- 3
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------ 99

Ethnic Diversity

22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?

> Excellent-- 1
> Good -- 2
> Poor -- 3
> Very poor--- 4
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------- 99

23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races? Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open or has it had no effect on your feelings?

> More resentful/closed ----------------------------------- 1
> More sympathetic/open ------------------------------- 2
> [DON'T READ] No change in how I feel ----------- 3
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------ 99

.

24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?

> Too much --- 1
> About the right amount -------------------------------- 2
> Not enough -- 3
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA------------------------------ 99

Library Hours

Now, let's talk about the public library services in Cupertino.

25. In an effort to open to the Cupertino Public Library to residents seven days a week for longer hours, the City is considering some revenue options.

Would you be willing to pay: _____ in order to have the public library open for longer hours on all seven days of the week?

[READ IN SEQUENCE. IF A RESPONDENT SAYS 'YES' on Q25A, <u>RECORD 'YES'</u> FOR Q25B, AND GO TO THE NEXT QUESTION Q26.]

	<u>Yes</u>	No	Don't use <u>the lib.</u>	[DON'T READ] <u>DK/NA</u>
A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	1	2	3	99
B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	1	2	3	99

Information Sources

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming? [DON'T READ CHOICES, RECORD FIRST TWO RESPONSES].

        Cupertino Courier --- 1
        Cupertino Daily News -------------------------------------- 2
        Cupertino Scene --- 2
        Los Gatos Daily News-------------------------------------- 3
        Internet [No specific site] -------------------------------- 4
        City Website -- 5
        Flyers or posters around town ------------------------ 6
        KCIU --- 7
        KTVU -- 8
        KNTV -- 9
        Local blogs -- 10
        Local cable station [No specific station] ----------- 11
        Non-English media-------------------------------------- 12
        Radio -- 13
        San Francisco Chronicle ------------------------------ 14
        San Jose Mercury News-------------------------------- 15
        Television--- 16
        Email--- 17
        None --- 97
        Other [Please specify: _____]------------------- 98
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99

27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website – www.cupertino.org?

        Yes --- 1
        No -- 2
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99

28. Who is your local cable service provider? [DON'T READ CHOICES]

        AT&T U-verse --- 1 [CONTINUE]
        Comcast -- 2 [CONTINUE]
        Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)----------------------- 3 [SKIP TO Q30]
          Other [Please specify: _____]--------------- 98 [SKIP TO Q30]
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99 [SKIP TO Q30]

29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?

        Yes --- 1 [CONTINUE]
        No -- 2 [SKIP TO QB]
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99 [SKIP TO QB]

30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched? [MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

> City Council Meetings ------------------------------------- 1
> Commission Meetings------------------------------------ 2
> California Channel --- 3
> Other [Please specify: _____]------------------ 98
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 99

And now, just some background questions for comparison purposes

B. Do you own or rent your home?

> Own -- 1
> Rent--- 2
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA ----------------------------------- 3

C. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [IF HESITATE, READ]:

> Asian Indian--- 1
> African American or Black-------------------------------- 2
> Cambodian--- 3
> Chinese --- 4
> Filipino--- 5
> Japanese --- 6
> Korean -- 7
> Latino or Hispanic --- 8
> Laotian -- 9
> Pacific Islander-- 10
> Thai --- 11
> Vietnamese --- 12
> White --- 13
> Other [Specify: _____] --------------------------------- 14
> [DON'T READ] DK/NA -------------------------------- 15

D. Including yourself, how many people currently reside in your household? [IF RESPONDENT GIVES 0, PLEASE REMIND THE RESPONDENT:] This is including yourself.

> [INDICATE NUMBER:]----------------------------- _____
> DK/NA --- 99

E. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are _____?

> E1. Under the age of 18   _____
> E2. Over the age of 64   _____

F. Do you or does anyone in your household currently have one or more dogs? (IF 'NO' THEN RECORD '0' AND SKIP TO QG. IF 'YES' THEN ASK:) How many dogs are in your household?

        (INDICATE NUMBER:) ----------------------------------- _____
        DK/NA-- 99

G. Does anyone in your household speak a language other than English as their primary language?

        Yes -- 1   [CONTINUE]
        No --- 2   [SKIP TO QI]
        [DON'T READ] DK/NA ------------------------------- 99   [SKIP TO QI]

H. Which language, other than English, does someone in your household speak as their primary language? [DON'T READ. MULTIPLE RESPONSES PERMITTED.]

        Cantonese --- 1
        French --- 2
        German --- 3
        Hindi --- 4
        Japanese --- 5
        Korean --- 6
        Mandarin --- 7
        Chinese -- 8
        Spanish -- 9
        Tagalog -- 10
        Thai -- 11
        Vietnamese --- 12
        Other [Please specify: _____] ------------------ 98
        DK/NA-- 99

I. Finally, I am going to read some income categories. Please stop me when I reach the category that best describes your <u>total</u> household income before taxes in 2005.

        Under $60,000 -- 1
        $60,000 to under $80,000-------------------------------- 2
        $80,000 to under $100,000 ----------------------------- 3
        $100,000 to under $120,000 -------------------------- 4
        $120,000 to under $140,000 -------------------------- 5
        $140,000 to under $160,000 -------------------------- 6
        $160,000 to under $180,000 -------------------------- 7
        $180,000 to under $200,000 -------------------------- 8
        $200,000 or more -------------------------------------- 9
        [DON'T READ] Refused ------------------------------- 99

These are all the questions I have for you. Thank you very much for your participation.

Voter Information [FROM VOTER FILE]

J. Age:

> 18 to 29 years --- 1
> 30 to 39 years --- 2
> 40 to 49 years --- 3
> 50 to 64 years --- 4
> 65 years and older -- 5
> Not coded -- 6

K. Party:

> Democrat -- 1
> Republican -- 2
> Other-- 3
> DTS --- 4

L. Household Party Type:

> Democrat [1]-- 1
> Democrat [2+] --- 2
> Republican [1]--- 3
> Republican [2+] --- 4
> Other [1] -- 5
> Other [2+]-- 6
> Democrat & Republican ---------------------------------- 7
> Democrat & Other--------------------------------------- 8
> Republican & Other ------------------------------------- 9
> Mixed -- 10

M. Registration Date:

> Before 1994-- 1
> 1994 - 1998 --- 2
> 1999 - 2003 --- 3
> 2004 or later -- 4

N. Voting History:

	Poll	**Mail**	**No**
A. Voted March 2002	1	2	3
B. Voted November 2002	1	2	3
C. Voted Recall 2003	1	2	3
D. Voted June 2004	1	2	3
E. Voted November 2004	1	2	3
F. Voted November 2005	1	2	3
G. Voted June 2006	1	2	3
H. Voted November 2006	1	2	3
I. Voted February 2008	1	2	3

O. Voting Propensity:

Never voted --- 0
Once -- 1
Twice --- 2
Three times --- 3
Four times -- 4
Five times -- 5
Six times --- 6
Seven times--- 7
Eight times --- 8
Nine times -- 9

P. Absentee Propensity:

Never voted absentee ------------------------------------- 0
Once -- 1
Twice --- 2
Three times --- 3
Four times -- 4
Five times -- 5
Six times --- 6
Seven times--- 7
Eight times --- 8
Nine times -- 9

Q. Permanent Absentee Voter:

Yes --- 1
No -- 2

R. Likely Mail Ballot Voter:

Yes --- 1
No -- 2

S. PRECINCT NUMBER [REQUIRED] _____

T. RESPONDENT'S ZIP CODE OF RESIDENCE [REQUIRED] _____

NAME _____ PHONE _____

ADDRESS _____

DATE OF INTERVIEW _____ VALIDATED BY _____

INTERVIEWER: _____ NUMBER: _____

City of Cupertino
2008 Resident Satisfaction Survey

GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

Appendix F: Crosstabulation Tables

		Gender		
		Total	**Male**	**Female**
	Total	400	197	203
	Very satisfied	227 56.8%	102 51.9%	125 61.6%
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Somewhat satisfied**	144 35.9%	81 41.2%	63 30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.1%	8 3.9%	9 4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	2 1.0%	3 1.6%
	DK/NA	8 1.9%	4 2.1%	3 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	**Female**
		(A)	**(B)**
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Very satisfied**		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	**18 to 29**	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**
	Total	387	67	31	125
	Very satisfied	217 56.1%	37 54.8%	15 49.4%	65 52.1%
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Somewhat satisfied**	142 36.6%	24 36.2%	14 44.4%	53 42.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.1%	3 3.8%	2 6.2%	5 3.7%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%
	DK/NA	8 1.9%	4 5.2%	0 .0%	1 1.0%

		Age	
		50 to 64	**65 and older**
	Total	98	65
	Very satisfied	55 56.1%	44 68.2%
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Somewhat satisfied**	32 32.5%	18 28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 6.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	3 2.7%	1 2.3%
	DK/NA	2 1.9%	1 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**	**50 to 64**	**65 and older**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Very satisfied**					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a			
	DK/NA		.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	**White**	**Chinese**	**Indian**	**Other**
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Very satisfied	223 57.0%	90 60.3%	67 54.4%	42 50.8%	24 66.8%
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	**Somewhat satisfied**	140 36.0%	47 31.6%	48 38.8%	35 43.0%	10 27.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.0%	5 3.6%	6 4.7%	3 3.1%	2 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	4 2.6%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	3 1.8%	1 1.1%	3 3.1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			. a	. a
	Very dissatisfied				. a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	227 / 57.0%	32 / 65.1%	93 / 51.2%	102 / 61.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	142 / 35.7%	11 / 23.5%	76 / 41.9%	54 / 32.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 / 4.1%	6 / 11.4%	6 / 3.1%	5 / 3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	5 / 1.3%	0 / .0%	2 / 1.4%	3 / 1.6%
	DK/NA	8 / 1.9%	0 / .0%	4 / 2.4%	3 / 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	B		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	. a		
	Very dissatisfied	. a		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	226 / 57.9%	173 / 57.8%	53 / 58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 / 35.0%	109 / 36.3%	28 / 30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 / 4.0%	9 / 2.9%	7 / 7.7%
	Very dissatisfied	5 / 1.3%	5 / 1.6%	1 / .5%
	DK/NA	7 / 1.7%	4 / 1.4%	3 / 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	227 / 57.4%	28 / 72.8%	54 / 59.3%	58 / 54.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	140 / 35.4%	10 / 25.4%	29 / 32.0%	40 / 38.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 / 4.0%	0 / .0%	4 / 4.3%	1 / 1.1%
	Very dissatisfied	5 / 1.3%	1 / 1.8%	2 / 2.2%	1 / 1.2%
	DK/NA	8 / 1.9%	0 / .0%	2 / 2.3%	5 / 4.6%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	66 55.8%	22 50.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	43 36.3%	18 42.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 6.3%	3 7.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	1 .5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.[a]				.[a]
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	.[a]				.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	227 56.8%	96 53.3%	131 59.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 35.9%	72 39.7%	72 32.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.1%	11 6.3%	5 2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	0 .0%	5 2.4%
	DK/NA	8 1.9%	1 .7%	6 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B .[a]	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	227 56.8%	80 61.8%	147 54.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 35.9%	40 30.6%	104 38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.1%	3 2.4%	13 4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	3 2.1%	2 .9%
	DK/NA	8 1.9%	4 3.1%	3 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Very satisfied	227 56.8%	51 70.7%	41 49.4%	36 51.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	144 35.9%	18 25.2%	33 40.1%	28 39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16 4.1%	1 1.4%	6 6.7%	4 5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	5 1.3%	2 2.7%	0 .0%	1 1.7%
	DK/NA	8 1.9%	0 .0%	3 3.8%	1 1.4%

Page 7

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	77	98
	Very satisfied	47 60.8%	52 53.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	23 30.3%	41 41.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 4.4%	3 2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	1 1.7%	1 .8%
	DK/NA	2 2.8%	1 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	.a	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Page 8

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
	Affordable housing	32 7.9%	16 8.2%	16 7.7%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	14 6.9%	8 3.7%
	Friends/family here	42 10.4%	14 7.3%	27 13.3%
	Grew up here	15 3.7%	7 3.5%	8 3.9%
	Job	30 7.5%	19 9.5%	11 5.6%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Quality of life	11 2.7%	4 2.2%	7 3.3%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	3 1.6%	1 .4%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	3 1.5%	7 3.4%
	School system	181 45.3%	88 44.8%	93 45.8%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	7 3.4%	6 2.8%
	Location	22 5.5%	13 6.6%	9 4.4%
	Weather	2 .6%	2 .8%	1 .4%
	Other	16 4.1%	7 3.7%	9 4.4%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 .7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
	Affordable housing		
	Enjoy/like the City		
	Friends/family here		A
	Grew up here		
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety/low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Location		
	Weather		
	Other		
	DK/NA	.[a]	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
	Affordable housing	26 6.7%	5 7.2%	1 2.7%	4 3.0%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	7 9.7%	0 .0%	5 3.8%
	Friends/family here	40 10.4%	20 30.1%	1 2.7%	7 6.0%
	Grew up here	15 3.8%	9 12.9%	3 8.7%	0 .0%
	Job	29 7.5%	3 4.3%	0 .0%	8 6.7%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Quality of life	10 2.7%	0 .0%	2 5.6%	1 1.0%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	0 .0%	2 5.4%	5 3.9%
	School system	180 46.4%	17 25.7%	17 53.4%	88 70.2%
	Small town atmosphere	11 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.8%
	Location	21 5.4%	5 7.5%	5 15.3%	3 2.3%
	Weather	2 .6%	1 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	16 4.1%	1 1.0%	2 6.2%	2 1.4%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	5 / 5.2%	12 / 17.8%
	Enjoy/like the City	6 / 6.2%	3 / 5.1%
	Friends/family here	7 / 6.8%	5 / 7.8%
	Grew up here	3 / 2.7%	1 / 1.1%
	Job	12 / 12.2%	6 / 9.0%
	Quality of life	2 / 1.8%	6 / 8.7%
	Retirement	0 / .0%	4 / 6.2%
	Safety/low crime	3 / 3.3%	0 / .0%
	School system	43 / 43.7%	15 / 23.0%
	Small town atmosphere	7 / 6.7%	2 / 3.8%
	Location	5 / 5.0%	3 / 5.1%
	Weather	1 / .6%	1 / 1.3%
	Other	5 / 5.2%	6 / 9.8%
	DK/NA	1 / .7%	1 / 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing					C
	Enjoy/like the City		.a			
	Friends/family here	B C D E				
	Grew up here			.a		
	Job		.a			
	Quality of life	.a	.a	.a		C
	Retirement	.a	.a		.a	.a
	Safety/low crime	.a				
	School system		E	A D E		
	Small town atmosphere	.a	.a			
	Location		C			
	Weather			.a		
	Other		.a	.a		
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	30 / 7.6%	19 / 12.4%	5 / 3.9%	1 / 1.4%	5 / 14.5%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 / 5.3%	9 / 6.0%	9 / 7.2%	2 / 3.0%	0 / .5%
	Friends/family here	40 / 10.3%	15 / 9.9%	17 / 13.9%	5 / 6.3%	3 / 8.2%
	Grew up here	14 / 3.6%	11 / 7.6%	0 / .0%	3 / 3.1%	0 / .9%
	Job	30 / 7.6%	20 / 13.7%	3 / 2.2%	6 / 7.7%	0 / .8%
	Quality of life	11 / 2.8%	8 / 5.3%	3 / 2.5%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
	Retirement	4 / 1.0%	2 / 1.6%	0 / .0%	2 / 2.1%	0 / .0%
	Safety/low crime	10 / 2.5%	2 / 1.3%	2 / 2.0%	4 / 4.5%	2 / 4.9%
	School system	179 / 46.0%	40 / 26.8%	71 / 56.9%	50 / 60.8%	19 / 53.6%
	Small town atmosphere	12 / 3.0%	5 / 3.3%	4 / 3.5%	1 / 1.6%	1 / 3.6%
	Location	22 / 5.6%	5 / 3.4%	10 / 7.9%	4 / 5.0%	3 / 8.6%
	Weather	1 / .4%	1 / 1.0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
	Other	15 / 3.9%	10 / 6.7%	0 / .0%	4 / 4.5%	2 / 4.4%
	DK/NA	2 / .4%	2 / 1.0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	C			C
	Enjoy/like the City				
	Friends/family here				
	Grew up here		.a		
	Job	B			
	Quality of life			.a	.a
	Retirement		.a		.a
	Safety/low crime				
	School system		A	A	A
	Small town atmosphere				
	Location				
	Weather		.a	.a	.a
	Other		.a		.a
	DK/NA		.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Length of Residence		
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Affordable housing	32 7.9%	4 8.0%	9 4.9%	19 11.3%
	Enjoy/like the City	20 5.0%	1 1.5%	7 3.9%	12 7.1%
	Friends/family here	42 10.4%	8 15.7%	14 7.5%	20 12.0%
	Grew up here	15 3.7%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	13 7.7%
	Job	30 7.5%	5 9.6%	12 6.4%	14 8.2%
	Quality of life	11 2.7%	1 1.5%	4 2.0%	7 4.0%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	0 .0%	3 1.4%	1 .9%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	2 4.5%	6 3.5%	1 .8%
	School system	181 45.5%	21 43.7%	108 59.2%	52 31.2%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	0 .0%	6 3.3%	6 3.7%
	Location	22 5.5%	6 12.5%	7 4.1%	8 5.0%
	Weather	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%
	Other	16 4.1%	1 2.9%	5 2.9%	10 5.8%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing			
	Enjoy/like the City			
	Friends/family here			
	Grew up here	.a		B
	Job			
	Quality of life	.a		
	Retirement			
	Safety/low crime			
	School system	.a	C	
	Small town atmosphere	.a		
	Location			
	Weather	.a	.a	
	Other			
	DK/NA	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
	Affordable housing	32 8.1%	23 7.7%	9 9.6%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	12 4.1%	8 9.0%
	Friends/family here	40 10.3%	30 10.1%	10 11.0%
	Grew up here	13 3.4%	13 4.2%	1 .8%
	Job	29 7.4%	20 6.6%	9 10.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Quality of life	11 2.8%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
	Retirement	3 .8%	0 .0%	3 3.6%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	6 2.1%	4 4.0%
	School system	177 45.4%	140 46.7%	37 40.9%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	12 3.9%	1 .7%
	Location	22 5.6%	14 4.6%	8 9.1%
	Weather	2 .6%	2 .8%	0 .0%
	Other	16 4.2%	15 5.1%	1 1.3%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
	Affordable housing	30 7.5%	8 21.7%	9 9.9%	5 4.9%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.2%	1 2.0%	8 8.5%	5 5.0%
	Friends/family here	42 10.5%	4 11.2%	4 4.5%	11 10.0%
	Grew up here	15 3.8%	1 3.2%	6 6.1%	4 3.8%
	Job	30 7.6%	6 15.1%	7 8.1%	9 8.3%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Quality of life	11 2.8%	2 6.6%	5 5.6%	2 2.4%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	1 2.2%	2 1.9%	0 .0%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	0 .0%	3 2.9%	3 2.4%
	School system	179 45.2%	8 20.4%	24 25.8%	58 55.4%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	2 5.2%	6 6.5%	0 .0%
	Location	22 5.6%	1 2.2%	10 10.9%	3 2.9%
	Weather	2 .6%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	2 1.5%
	Other	16 4.1%	2 6.3%	8 8.3%	4 3.4%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	1 1.8%	1 .9%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
	Affordable housing		
	Enjoy/like the City		
	Friends/family here		
	Grew up here		
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Job		
	Quality of life		.a
	Retirement	.a	
	Safety/low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Location		
	Weather		.a
	Other		
	DK/NA		.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	3 2.8%	4 9.5%
	Enjoy/like the City	5 4.5%	1 3.5%
	Friends/family here	16 13.6%	7 15.3%
	Grew up here	2 1.5%	2 5.5%
	Job	7 5.9%	1 3.0%
	Quality of life	1 .7%	0 .0%
	Retirement	0 .0%	1 3.5%
	Safety/low crime	5 4.0%	0 .0%
	School system	69 58.8%	20 46.6%
	Small town atmosphere	3 2.7%	1 2.7%
	Location	6 4.8%	3 5.9%
	Weather	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	1 .7%	2 4.5%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	32 7.9%	5 3.0%	26 11.9%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	4 2.0%	18 8.0%
	Friends/family here	42 10.4%	17 9.5%	24 11.1%
	Grew up here	15 3.7%	4 2.3%	11 4.9%
	Job	30 7.5%	10 5.4%	20 9.2%
	Quality of life	11 2.7%	1 .7%	10 4.4%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	0 .0%	4 1.8%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	6 3.3%	4 1.8%
	School system	181 45.3%	121 66.8%	61 27.7%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	2 1.3%	10 4.5%
	Location	22 5.5%	6 3.4%	16 7.2%
	Weather	2 .6%	0 .0%	2 1.1%
	Other	16 4.1%	4 2.3%	12 5.5%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 .7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	C D				
	Enjoy/like the City					
	Friends/family here					
	Grew up here					
	Job					
	Quality of life			.a	.a	.a
	Retirement	.a		.a	.a	.a
	Safety/low crime			A B	A B	
	School system					
	Small town atmosphere			.a		
	Location					
	Weather		.a		.a	.a
	Other					
	DK/NA			.a	.a	.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing		A
	Enjoy/like the City		A
	Friends/family here		
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life		A
	Retirement	.a	
	Safety/low crime		
	School system	B	
	Small town atmosphere		
	Location		
	Weather	.a	
	Other		
	DK/NA	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**	32 7.9%	18 13.7%	14 5.1%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	5 3.6%	17 6.2%
	Friends/family here	42 10.4%	12 9.5%	29 10.8%
	Grew up here	15 3.7%	7 5.2%	8 3.0%
	Job	30 7.5%	12 8.9%	18 6.8%
	Quality of life	11 2.7%	7 5.2%	4 1.6%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	4 3.1%	0 .0%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	3 2.5%	7 2.4%
	School system	181 45.3%	36 27.4%	146 53.9%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	6 4.3%	7 2.5%
	Location	22 5.5%	7 5.4%	15 5.5%
	Weather	2 .6%	1 .6%	2 .6%
	Other	16 4.1%	13 9.8%	4 1.3%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	1 .6%	1 .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**	B	
	Enjoy/like the City		
	Friends/family here		
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life	B	
	Retirement		.[a]
	Safety/low crime		
	School system		A
	Small town atmosphere		
	Location		
	Weather		
	Other	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**	32 7.9%	10 13.6%	7 8.6%	4 6.3%
	Enjoy/like the City	21 5.3%	5 7.3%	5 5.9%	2 2.2%
	Friends/family here	42 10.4%	7 9.9%	8 10.1%	8 11.3%
	Grew up here	15 3.7%	4 5.7%	3 3.5%	2 3.5%
	Job	30 7.5%	8 10.7%	4 4.9%	7 9.3%
	Quality of life	11 2.7%	2 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Retirement	4 1.0%	3 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Safety/low crime	10 2.5%	3 4.5%	2 2.1%	3 4.1%
	School system	181 45.3%	22 30.1%	42 50.8%	37 53.1%
	Small town atmosphere	12 3.1%	1 2.0%	2 2.1%	4 5.3%
	Location	22 5.5%	2 3.0%	7 8.3%	1 .8%
	Weather	2 .6%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	2 2.3%
	Other	16 4.1%	4 5.8%	3 3.6%	1 1.8%
	DK/NA	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing	2 2.8%	8 8.3%
	Enjoy/like the City	3 4.0%	7 6.7%
	Friends/family here	5 6.8%	13 13.0%
	Grew up here	2 2.9%	3 3.2%
	Job	5 6.6%	7 6.8%
	Quality of life	4 5.6%	5 4.7%
	Retirement	0 .0%	1 1.5%
	Safety/low crime	0 .0%	2 2.0%
	School system	42 54.5%	39 39.1%
	Small town atmosphere	2 3.1%	3 3.1%
	Location	8 10.3%	4 4.6%
	Weather	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	2 2.5%	6 6.1%
	DK/NA	1 .9%	1 .8%

Page 21

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Affordable housing					
	Enjoy/like the City					
	Friends/family here					
	Grew up here					
	Job					
	Quality of life		. .	. .		
	Retirement				. .	
	Safety/low crime				.	
	School system				A	
	Small town atmosphere					
	Location					
	Weather		. .		. .	. .
	Other					
	DK/NA	. .	. .	. .		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Page 22

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**	88 21.9%	41 20.7%	47 23.1%
	City's economic health	35 8.6%	20 9.9%	15 7.4%
	Controlling growth	114 28.6%	57 28.9%	57 28.3%
	Crime	20 5.0%	7 3.5%	13 6.5%
	Education	56 14.0%	27 13.9%	29 14.0%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	1 .4%	3 1.3%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 1.8%	3 1.8%	4 1.9%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.2%	8 4.1%	9 4.4%
	Protection of open space	9 2.2%	5 2.7%	4 1.8%
	Quality of life	19 4.8%	12 5.9%	7 3.6%
	Race relations	13 3.2%	7 3.6%	6 2.8%
	Taxes	13 3.2%	9 4.8%	3 1.6%
	Traffic	59 14.7%	32 16.4%	26 13.0%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	3 1.7%	6 3.0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 2.5%	3 1.4%	7 3.5%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	2 .8%	4 1.8%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	2 1.1%	3 1.5%
	Schools and general funding	3 .8%	1 .7%	2 1.0%
	Roads and streets	3 .8%	2 1.2%	1 .4%
	Cost of living	5 1.2%	2 .9%	3 1.5%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .8%	1 .7%	2 1.0%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	4 1.8%	4 2.1%
	Other	43 10.8%	23 11.8%	20 9.8%
	DK/NA	55 13.7%	26 13.3%	29 14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**		
	City's economic health		
	Controlling growth		
	Crime		
	Education		
	Inconvenient library hours		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Preserving small town atmosphere		
	Protection of open space		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Overpopulation		
	No downtown/Downtown development		
	Overcrowded schools		
	Cement plant issues		
	Schools and general funding		
	Roads and streets		
	Cost of living		
	Longer hours for entertainment		
	Nothing		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	387	67	31	125
	Affordable housing	86 22.2%	15 22.2%	12 39.2%	36 29.1%
	City's economic health	32 8.2%	10 14.2%	2 4.8%	9 7.3%
	Controlling growth	110 28.5%	19 28.0%	6 18.7%	36 28.8%
	Crime	20 5.2%	6 8.6%	3 9.1%	4 3.1%
	Education	55 14.3%	12 17.8%	4 14.3%	16 13.1%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	2 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Neighborhood preservation	6 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 3.0%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.4%	1 1.2%	4 12.0%	3 2.2%
	Protection of open space	8 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.9%
	Quality of life	19 4.9%	2 2.8%	2 7.1%	4 3.6%
	Race relations	12 3.1%	2 2.8%	2 6.6%	3 2.0%
	Taxes	12 3.1%	4 6.3%	0 .0%	3 2.8%
	Traffic	56 14.6%	5 8.0%	2 5.6%	20 16.3%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.1%
	No downtown/Downtown development	9 2.4%	2 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	0 .0%	3 10.1%	2 1.3%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 3.0%
	Schools and general funding	3 .9%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	2 1.6%
	Roads and streets	3 .7%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	1 .9%
	Cost of living	5 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .9%	1 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	1 1.1%	0 1.5%	3 2.2%
	Other	40 10.4%	7 10.3%	3 10.5%	11 9.1%
	DK/NA	52 13.5%	14 20.6%	3 11.2%	12 9.6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	98	65
	Affordable housing	12 12.1%	11 16.3%
	City's economic health	8 7.6%	4 6.3%
	Controlling growth	32 32.8%	17 26.8%
	Crime	7 7.6%	0 .0%
	Education	18 18.1%	5 7.4%
	Inconvenient library hours	0 .0%	2 2.4%
	Neighborhood preservation	2 1.8%	1 1.1%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	6 5.7%	4 6.2%
	Protection of open space	1 1.3%	2 3.7%
	Quality of life	7 7.4%	3 4.8%
	Race relations	4 4.1%	2 2.4%
	Taxes	4 3.7%	1 1.1%
	Traffic	17 17.2%	12 18.4%
	Overpopulation	2 1.9%	2 3.6%
	No downtown/Downtown development	6 5.9%	2 2.4%
	Overcrowded schools	1 .6%	0 .0%
	Cement plant issues	1 1.4%	0 .0%
	Schools and general funding	1 .6%	0 .0%
	Roads and streets	0 .0%	1 1.1%
	Cost of living	3 3.2%	0 .0%
	Longer hours for entertainment	0 .0%	2 3.0%
	Nothing	4 3.7%	0 .4%
	Other	7 7.5%	11 17.5%
	DK/NA	10 9.8%	13 20.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Age 18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
Affordable housing		D	D		
City's economic health					
Controlling growth					
Crime					.a
Education					
Inconvenient library hours		.a	.a	.a	
Neighborhood preservation	.a	.a			
Preserving small town atmosphere					
Protection of open space	.a	.a			
Quality of life					
Race relations					
Taxes		.a			
Traffic					
Overpopulation	.a	.a			
No downtown/Downtown development		.a	.a		
Overcrowded schools	.a	C D			.a
Cement plant issues	.a	.a			.a
Schools and general funding		.a			.a
Roads and streets		.a		.a	
Cost of living	.a	.a			.a
Longer hours for entertainment		.a	.a	.a	
Nothing					
Other					
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	Ethnicity White	Chinese	Indian	Other
Total	390	149	124	82	36
Affordable housing	86 / 22.2%	27 / 18.4%	25 / 19.8%	30 / 36.7%	5 / 12.6%
City's economic health	34 / 8.7%	17 / 11.3%	12 / 9.5%	4 / 4.6%	2 / 5.0%
Controlling growth	111 / 28.5%	41 / 27.6%	40 / 32.3%	17 / 21.2%	13 / 36.2%
Crime	19 / 5.0%	3 / 2.2%	9 / 7.0%	3 / 3.7%	4 / 12.3%
Education	55 / 14.0%	16 / 10.8%	19 / 15.1%	14 / 17.5%	5 / 15.2%
Inconvenient library hours	3 / .9%	2 / 1.1%	2 / 1.5%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
Neighborhood preservation	7 / 1.9%	2 / 1.6%	5 / 4.0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
Preserving small town atmosphere	17 / 4.4%	7 / 4.8%	3 / 2.5%	5 / 6.6%	1 / 3.6%
Protection of open space	9 / 2.2%	5 / 3.6%	2 / 1.4%	1 / 1.4%	0 / .6%
Quality of life	18 / 4.7%	5 / 3.4%	11 / 8.9%	2 / 2.1%	0 / 1.3%
Race relations	13 / 3.2%	8 / 5.1%	5 / 3.9%	0 / .0%	0 / .9%
Taxes	11 / 2.9%	3 / 2.1%	0 / .0%	7 / 8.3%	1 / 3.6%
Traffic	58 / 14.8%	23 / 15.6%	26 / 21.3%	5 / 5.6%	3 / 9.7%
Overpopulation	9 / 2.4%	4 / 2.9%	4 / 3.0%	1 / 1.6%	0 / .0%
No downtown/Downtown development	10 / 2.5%	7 / 4.8%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	3 / 7.8%
Overcrowded schools	5 / 1.4%	2 / 1.5%	1 / .9%	2 / 2.4%	0 / .0%
Cement plant issues	5 / 1.2%	1 / .9%	1 / .9%	0 / .0%	2 / 6.0%
Schools and general funding	3 / .8%	1 / .9%	0 / .0%	2 / 2.4%	0 / .0%
Roads and streets	3 / .8%	2 / 1.4%	0 / .0%	1 / 1.4%	0 / .0%
Cost of living	5 / 1.3%	0 / .0%	2 / 1.3%	3 / 3.7%	0 / .7%
Longer hours for entertainment	3 / .9%	1 / 1.0%	2 / 1.6%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
Nothing	8 / 2.0%	1 / .9%	4 / 3.4%	0 / .0%	2 / 6.5%
Other	41 / 10.5%	20 / 13.7%	9 / 7.5%	10 / 12.1%	2 / 4.5%
DK/NA	53 / 13.7%	25 / 16.8%	15 / 11.8%	9 / 11.2%	5 / 13.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			A B D	
	City's economic health				
	Controlling growth				
	Crime				A
	Education				
	Inconvenient library hours			.a	.a
	Neighborhood preservation			.a	.a
	Preserving small town atmosphere				
	Protection of open space				
	Quality of life				
	Race relations			.a	
	Taxes		.a		
	Traffic		C		
	Overpopulation				.a
	No downtown/Downtown development		a.	a.	.a
	Overcrowded schools				
	Cement plant issues			.a	
	Schools and general funding		a.		.a
	Roads and streets		a.		.a
	Cost of living	.a			
	Longer hours for entertainment			a.	a.
	Nothing			.a	
	Other				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	88 22.0%	19 37.9%	41 22.7%	28 16.6%
	City's economic health	35 8.7%	2 4.4%	13 7.0%	20 11.7%
	Controlling growth	114 28.5%	8 16.4%	52 28.7%	53 31.8%
	Crime	20 5.0%	4 7.2%	9 5.0%	7 4.4%
	Education	56 14.0%	9 17.6%	27 14.7%	21 12.2%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 1.8%	2 3.6%	3 1.8%	2 1.4%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.3%	3 6.6%	9 5.0%	5 2.8%
	Protection of open space	9 2.3%	1 2.7%	5 2.7%	3 1.6%
	Quality of life	19 4.8%	2 3.2%	11 5.8%	7 4.1%
	Race relations	13 3.2%	0 .0%	5 2.6%	8 4.8%
	Taxes	13 3.2%	7 14.1%	3 1.7%	3 1.6%
	Traffic	58 14.5%	6 12.2%	21 11.6%	31 18.3%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	7 4.0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 2.5%	1 2.2%	2 1.1%	7 4.1%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	2 1.1%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	0 .1%
	Schools and general funding	3 .8%	0 .0%	2 1.1%	1 .8%
	Roads and streets	3 .8%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	1 .8%
	Cost of living	5 1.2%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	2 .9%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .9%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	0 .0%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	0 .0%	4 2.3%	4 2.2%
	Other	42 10.6%	3 5.2%	22 12.0%	18 10.7%
	DK/NA	55 13.8%	7 15.2%	24 13.4%	23 13.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	C		
	City's economic health			
	Controlling growth			
	Crime			
	Education			
	Inconvenient library hours	.a	.a	
	Neighborhood preservation			
	Preserving small town atmosphere			
	Protection of open space			
	Quality of life			
	Race relations	.a		
	Taxes	B C		
	Traffic			
	Overpopulation	.a		
	No downtown/Downtown development			
	Overcrowded schools	.a		
	Cement plant issues	.a	C	
	Schools and general funding	.a		
	Roads and streets	.a		
	Cost of living	.a		
	Longer hours for entertainment	.a		.a
	Nothing	.a		
	Other			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	86 / 22.1%	58 / 19.5%	28 / 30.6%
	City's economic health	33 / 8.5%	28 / 9.2%	6 / 6.2%
	Controlling growth	112 / 28.8%	94 / 31.3%	19 / 20.6%
	Crime	17 / 4.2%	12 / 3.9%	5 / 5.4%
	Education	55 / 14.0%	38 / 12.7%	17 / 18.2%
	Inconvenient library hours	2 / .4%	1 / .3%	1 / .8%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 / 1.9%	6 / 1.9%	2 / 1.9%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 / 4.3%	14 / 4.7%	3 / 3.2%
	Protection of open space	8 / 2.2%	7 / 2.3%	2 / 1.8%
	Quality of life	19 / 4.9%	13 / 4.5%	6 / 6.1%
	Race relations	13 / 3.2%	11 / 3.8%	1 / 1.6%
	Taxes	13 / 3.2%	9 / 2.9%	4 / 4.2%
	Traffic	58 / 14.8%	51 / 17.2%	7 / 7.1%
	Overpopulation	9 / 2.4%	9 / 3.1%	0 / .0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	9 / 2.3%	8 / 2.7%	1 / 1.2%
	Overcrowded schools	5 / 1.4%	3 / 1.2%	2 / 2.1%
	Cement plant issues	5 / 1.3%	5 / 1.7%	0 / .0%
	Schools and general funding	3 / .8%	3 / 1.1%	0 / .0%
	Roads and streets	2 / .6%	2 / .8%	0 / .0%
	Cost of living	5 / 1.3%	5 / 1.5%	0 / .3%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 / .9%	3 / .9%	1 / .8%
	Nothing	8 / 2.0%	6 / 2.1%	2 / 1.7%
	Other	41 / 10.4%	34 / 11.4%	7 / 7.5%
	DK/NA	55 / 14.1%	37 / 12.3%	18 / 20.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Homeownership Status	
	Own (A)	Rent (B)
Affordable housing		A
City's economic health		
Controlling growth	B	
Crime		
Education		
Inconvenient library hours		
Neighborhood preservation		
Preserving small town atmosphere		
Protection of open space		
Quality of life		
Race relations		
Taxes		
Traffic	B	
Overpopulation		.[a]
No downtown/Downtown development		
Overcrowded schools		.[a]
Cement plant issues		.[a]
Schools and general funding		.[a]
Roads and streets		.[a]
Cost of living		
Longer hours for entertainment		
Nothing		
Other		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	**Affordable housing**	86 / 21.9%	4 / 11.6%	14 / 15.4%	30 / 29.0%
	City's economic health	35 / 8.7%	3 / 8.7%	11 / 12.3%	8 / 7.4%
	Controlling growth	114 / 28.8%	8 / 21.8%	30 / 33.1%	28 / 26.8%
	Crime	18 / 4.7%	0 / .0%	9 / 9.7%	4 / 3.8%
	Education	56 / 14.2%	2 / 4.8%	13 / 14.2%	13 / 12.3%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 / .9%	0 / .0%	1 / .9%	2 / 1.8%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 / 1.9%	1 / 1.5%	0 / .5%	2 / 1.7%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 / 4.3%	1 / 3.4%	5 / 5.0%	5 / 4.9%
	Protection of open space	8 / 2.0%	2 / 4.4%	1 / 1.4%	4 / 4.0%
	Quality of life	19 / 4.8%	1 / 3.9%	6 / 7.1%	5 / 4.4%
	Race relations	13 / 3.2%	0 / .0%	4 / 4.4%	2 / 1.7%
	Taxes	13 / 3.2%	1 / 3.4%	2 / 1.9%	5 / 4.4%
	Traffic	59 / 14.9%	6 / 16.7%	13 / 14.3%	15 / 14.1%
	Overpopulation	9 / 2.2%	1 / 2.0%	2 / 2.6%	0 / .0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 / 2.5%	1 / 3.4%	5 / 5.0%	0 / .2%
	Overcrowded schools	5 / 1.4%	1 / 3.2%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
	Cement plant issues	5 / 1.3%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	1 / .6%
	Schools and general funding	3 / .8%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
	Roads and streets	3 / .8%	0 / .0%	1 / .8%	0 / .5%
	Cost of living	5 / 1.2%	0 / .0%	2 / 2.0%	2 / 1.7%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 / .9%	2 / 5.1%	1 / .8%	0 / .0%
	Nothing	8 / 2.0%	0 / .7%	0 / .0%	1 / 1.3%
	Other	42 / 10.7%	6 / 14.9%	11 / 11.8%	10 / 9.6%
	DK/NA	54 / 13.7%	10 / 27.6%	10 / 10.8%	17 / 15.9%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
	Affordable housing	32 27.6%	5 11.9%
	City's economic health	12 9.9%	1 1.2%
	Controlling growth	37 31.9%	9 22.1%
	Crime	3 2.6%	3 5.9%
	Education	19 15.9%	10 22.2%
	Inconvenient library hours	0 .0%	1 1.7%
	Neighborhood preservation	0 .0%	4 10.4%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	6 5.1%	0 .0%
	Protection of open space	1 .6%	0 .0%
	Quality of life	6 5.4%	0 .0%
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Race relations	6 5.4%	1 1.4%
	Taxes	2 2.1%	3 5.9%
	Traffic	14 11.5%	11 25.4%
	Overpopulation	4 3.7%	1 3.0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	3 2.5%	1 1.9%
	Overcrowded schools	4 3.6%	0 .0%
	Cement plant issues	3 2.8%	1 2.7%
	Schools and general funding	3 2.8%	0 .0%
	Roads and streets	1 1.0%	1 1.9%
	Cost of living	1 1.1%	0 .0%
	Longer hours for entertainment	1 .6%	0 .0%
	Nothing	4 3.5%	2 4.7%
	Other	7 6.4%	8 19.6%
	DK/NA	9 7.7%	8 18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Affordable housing					
	City's economic health					
	Controlling growth					
	Crime	.a				
	Education					
	Inconvenient library hours	.a			.a	
	Neighborhood preservation				.a	B a .
	Preserving small town atmosphere					.a .
	Protection of open space					.a .
	Quality of life					
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Race relations	.a				
	Taxes					
	Traffic					
	Overpopulation			.a		
	No downtown/Downtown development					
	Overcrowded schools		.a	.a		.a
	Cement plant issues	a .	a .	a .		a .
	Schools and general funding	a .	a .	a .		
	Roads and streets	a .				
	Cost of living	a .				a a .
	Longer hours for entertainment			a .		
	Nothing		a			
	Other					
	DK/NA	D				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	400	181	220
	Affordable housing	88 21.9%	47 26.2%	40 18.4%
	City's economic health	35 8.6%	10 5.8%	24 11.0%
	Controlling growth	114 28.6%	56 30.8%	59 26.7%
	Crime	20 5.0%	4 2.5%	16 7.1%
	Education	56 14.0%	24 13.5%	32 14.4%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	2 1.1%	2 .7%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 1.8%	4 2.1%	4 1.6%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.2%	10 5.4%	7 3.3%
	Protection of open space	9 2.2%	4 2.1%	5 2.3%
	Quality of life	19 4.8%	5 3.0%	14 6.2%
	Race relations	13 3.2%	6 3.5%	6 2.9%
	Taxes	13 3.2%	7 4.1%	5 2.4%
	Traffic	59 14.7%	28 15.3%	31 14.2%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	5 2.7%	4 2.0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 2.5%	3 1.7%	7 3.1%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	4 2.0%	2 .8%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	4 2.5%	1 .3%
	Schools and general funding	3 .8%	3 1.4%	1 .3%
	Roads and streets	3 .8%	2 1.1%	1 .6%
	Cost of living	5 1.2%	3 1.7%	2 .8%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .8%	1 .4%	3 1.2%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	3 1.9%	4 2.0%
	Other	43 10.8%	17 9.4%	26 11.9%
	DK/NA	55 13.7%	20 10.8%	35 16.1%

Page 37

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		
	City's economic health		
	Controlling growth		
	Crime		A
	Education		
	Inconvenient library hours		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Preserving small town atmosphere		
	Protection of open space		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Overpopulation		
	No downtown/Downtown development		
	Overcrowded schools		
	Cement plant issues		
	Schools and general funding		
	Roads and streets		
	Cost of living		
	Longer hours for entertainment		
	Nothing		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	88 21.9%	26 20.4%	61 22.7%
	City's economic health	35 8.6%	14 10.5%	21 7.7%
	Controlling growth	114 28.6%	36 27.4%	79 29.1%
	Crime	20 5.0%	3 2.4%	17 6.2%
	Education	56 14.0%	17 13.0%	39 14.5%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	2 1.2%	2 .7%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 1.8%	4 2.9%	4 1.3%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.2%	7 5.6%	10 3.6%
	Protection of open space	9 2.2%	3 2.6%	6 2.1%
	Quality of life	19 4.8%	3 2.4%	16 5.9%
	Race relations	13 3.2%	3 2.5%	9 3.5%
	Taxes	13 3.2%	2 1.9%	10 3.8%
	Traffic	59 14.7%	25 19.1%	34 12.5%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	4 3.3%	5 1.9%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 2.5%	4 3.2%	6 2.1%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	1 .9%	4 1.5%
	Schools and general funding	3 .8%	1 1.0%	2 .7%
	Roads and streets	3 .8%	1 1.0%	2 .7%
	Cost of living	5 1.2%	0 .0%	5 1.8%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .8%	2 1.5%	1 .5%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	0 .0%	8 2.9%
	Other	43 10.8%	19 14.7%	24 8.9%
	DK/NA	55 13.7%	21 16.6%	33 12.4%

Page 39 is at bottom of left page.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		
	City's economic health		
	Controlling growth		
	Crime		
	Education		
	Inconvenient library hours		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Preserving small town atmosphere		
	Protection of open space		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Overpopulation		
	No downtown/Downtown development		
	Overcrowded schools	.[a]	
	Cement plant issues		
	Schools and general funding		
	Roads and streets		
	Cost of living	.[a]	
	Longer hours for entertainment		
	Nothing	.[a]	
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
	Affordable housing	88 21.9%	15 20.1%	19 23.5%	12 17.0%
	City's economic health	35 8.6%	7 10.3%	9 11.3%	8 11.2%
	Controlling growth	114 28.6%	20 27.3%	21 25.1%	18 26.1%
	Crime	20 5.0%	3 4.2%	5 6.4%	6 9.0%
	Education	56 14.0%	14 19.4%	7 8.1%	10 13.6%
	Inconvenient library hours	3 .9%	3 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 1.8%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	4 6.0%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	17 4.2%	2 3.3%	3 3.3%	5 7.3%
	Protection of open space	9 2.2%	1 1.8%	1 1.0%	2 3.2%
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Quality of life	19 4.8%	4 5.4%	1 1.0%	6 8.3%
	Race relations	13 3.2%	4 5.8%	3 3.3%	1 2.0%
	Taxes	13 3.2%	1 1.3%	3 3.7%	5 6.9%
	Traffic	59 14.7%	10 13.1%	14 16.4%	11 15.1%
	Overpopulation	9 2.4%	1 1.0%	5 5.5%	0 .0%
	No downtown/Downtown development	10 2.5%	3 3.6%	5 6.3%	1 .7%
	Overcrowded schools	5 1.4%	2 2.7%	1 .7%	1 1.7%
	Cement plant issues	5 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 4.0%
	Schools and general funding	3 .8%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%
	Roads and streets	3 .8%	0 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Cost of living	5 1.2%	0 .0%	3 3.8%	0 .0%
	Longer hours for entertainment	3 .8%	2 2.7%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
	Nothing	8 2.0%	2 2.6%	0 .0%	2 3.2%
	Other	43 10.8%	7 9.3%	9 10.7%	5 7.0%
	DK/NA	55 13.7%	11 14.7%	11 13.2%	7 10.4%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
	Affordable housing	18 23.6%	24 24.2%
	City's economic health	1 .7%	9 9.4%
	Controlling growth	28 36.6%	27 27.9%
	Crime	4 4.9%	2 1.6%
	Education	8 9.9%	18 18.3%
	Inconvenient library hours	0 .0%	1 .8%
	Neighborhood preservation	1 1.7%	0 .0%
	Preserving small town atmosphere	4 5.5%	3 2.6%
	Protection of open space	2 2.0%	3 3.1%
	Quality of life	4 4.6%	5 5.0%
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Race relations	2 3.0%	2 2.0%
	Taxes	3 4.0%	1 .8%
	Traffic	12 15.7%	13 13.2%
	Overpopulation	3 3.6%	1 1.4%
	No downtown/Downtown development	1 1.8%	0 .2%
	Overcrowded schools	1 1.5%	1 .5%
	Cement plant issues	1 .7%	2 1.9%
	Schools and general funding	1 1.0%	2 2.0%
	Roads and streets	1 1.0%	2 2.0%
	Cost of living	0 .0%	2 1.8%
	Longer hours for entertainment	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Nothing	2 2.5%	2 1.9%
	Other	14 17.8%	9 9.1%
	DK/NA	10 13.0%	16 16.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

	Annual Household Income				
	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?					
Affordable housing					
City's economic health					
Controlling growth					
Crime					
Education					
Inconvenient library hours		.a	.a	.a	
Neighborhood preservation		.a			.a
Preserving small town atmosphere					
Protection of open space					
Quality of life					
Race relations					
Taxes					
Traffic					
Overpopulation			.a		
No downtown/Downtown development					
Overcrowded schools					
Cement plant issues	.a	.a			
Schools and general funding	.a		.a		
Roads and streets		.a	.a		
Cost of living	.a		.a	.a	
Longer hours for entertainment			.a	.a	.a
Nothing		.a			
Other					
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	140 35.0%	68 34.3%	72 35.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 52.6%	99 50.1%	112 55.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.4%	12 5.9%	6 3.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	8 4.2%	3 1.4%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	11 5.5%	10 5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	132 34.1%	28 41.3%	6 20.6%	39 31.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	207 53.4%	35 52.2%	18 57.2%	71 57.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.6%	1 1.1%	1 2.4%	9 7.1%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.9%	3 3.8%	1 2.4%	3 2.5%
	DK/NA	19 5.0%	1 1.6%	5 17.4%	2 1.9%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	98	65
	Very satisfied	32 32.1%	27 41.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	51 51.8%	31 48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5 4.9%	2 3.8%
	Very dissatisfied	2 2.5%	2 3.3%
	DK/NA	9 8.7%	2 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		A C			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Very satisfied	135 34.7%	65 44.0%	28 22.7%	28 34.6%	13 37.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	207 52.9%	66 44.5%	81 65.6%	41 49.5%	19 52.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.5%	5 3.1%	7 5.5%	4 5.3%	2 5.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	5 3.6%	2 1.4%	3 3.1%	1 3.9%
	DK/NA	20 5.0%	7 4.8%	6 4.9%	6 7.5%	0 .8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	B			
	Somewhat satisfied		A		
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Very satisfied	140 35.1%	18 36.6%	61 33.5%	61 36.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	209 52.4%	23 47.6%	100 55.1%	86 51.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.4%	0 .7%	10 5.4%	7 4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	3 5.2%	2 .8%	7 4.2%
	DK/NA	21 5.3%	5 10.0%	9 5.1%	7 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	391	299	92
	Very satisfied	136 34.7%	91 30.3%	45 49.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	207 52.9%	172 57.6%	34 37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.5%	17 5.6%	1 1.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	9 2.9%	3 2.8%
	DK/NA	20 5.0%	11 3.7%	9 9.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	395	38	91	105
	Very satisfied	138 34.9%	14 37.3%	38 41.4%	32 30.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	209 53.0%	18 48.4%	38 41.7%	63 60.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17 4.2%	1 2.0%	4 4.8%	7 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	1 1.8%	4 4.0%	1 .5%
	DK/NA	20 5.1%	4 10.6%	7 8.1%	2 2.4%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	117	43
	Very satisfied	46 39.3%	8 17.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	65 55.0%	25 58.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3 2.2%	2 4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	1 .5%	6 13.3%
	DK/NA	4 3.2%	3 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					C D
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	400	181	220
	Very satisfied	140 35.0%	54 29.8%	86 39.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 52.6%	105 58.1%	106 48.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.4%	8 4.6%	9 4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	6 3.4%	5 2.2%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	7 4.1%	14 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	140 35.0%	50 38.5%	90 33.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 52.6%	56 43.4%	154 57.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.4%	8 6.3%	9 3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	7 5.0%	5 1.7%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	9 6.7%	12 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	140 35.0%	27 37.2%	31 37.9%	25 36.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 52.6%	34 46.6%	47 56.6%	32 45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 4.4%	6 7.8%	3 3.9%	4 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 2.8%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	4 5.2%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	5 6.6%	1 1.6%	5 7.8%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	77	98
	Very satisfied	24 30.8%	33 33.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	46 59.5%	53 53.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2 3.2%	3 2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	3 4.3%	3 3.0%
	DK/NA	2 2.3%	8 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
5A. Police services	1.33	1.24	1.42
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.28	1.34
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.39	1.34
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.48	1.47	1.50
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.40	1.61
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.40	1.54
5G. Library services	1.60	1.61	1.58
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.77	1.13
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.09	1.34
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.45	1.44	1.47
5K. Traffic safety	.92	1.00	.84
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.03	1.15
5M. Economic development efforts	.61	.66	.56
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.00	.82	1.17
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.12	1.28
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.12	1.46
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.30	1.44
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	.83	1.14
5S. Managing land use	.14	.20	.09

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Gender	
	Male (A)	Female (B)
5A. Police services		
5B. City recreation services		
5C. Park and picnic area availability		
5D. Quinlan community center facilities		
5E. Garbage collection		A
5F. Recycling program		
5G. Library services		
5H. Street pavement maintenance		A
5I. Street sweeping services		A
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance		
5K. Traffic safety		
5L. Street tree maintenance		
5M. Economic development efforts		
5N. Sidewalk maintenance		A
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance		
5P. Youth sports fields		A
5Q. Senior citizen programs		
5R. Neighborhood programs		A
5S. Managing land use		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and
5A. Police services	1.32	1.20	1.57	1.25	1.28	1.54
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.39	1.17	1.27	1.32	1.36
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.69	.87	1.31	1.31	1.50
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.49	1.62	.96	1.52	1.45	1.63
5E. Garbage collection	1.49	1.55	1.04	1.59	1.37	1.64
5F. Recycling program	1.48	1.53	1.41	1.47	1.36	1.64
5G. Library services	1.59	1.61	1.68	1.56	1.58	1.59
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	1.05	.82	.98	1.03	.69
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.49	1.37	1.20	1.10	1.11
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.46	1.45	1.26	1.45	1.42	1.67
5K. Traffic safety	.92	.92	1.14	.76	.92	1.12
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.07	1.48	.68	1.10	1.01	.89
5M. Economic development efforts	.63	.92	.66	.67	.42	.53
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	.99	1.34	.78	.87	1.06	.82
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.46	.89	1.15	1.05	1.38
5P. Youth sports fields	1.29	1.35	1.29	1.27	1.24	1.37
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.41	1.70	.89	1.50	1.32	1.41
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	1.12	.31	.93	1.07	1.19
5S. Managing land use	.16	.85	.22	-.10	-.11	.33

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Age				
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Police services					
5B. City recreation services					
5C. Park and picnic area availability	B C				B
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	B		B		B
5E. Garbage collection	B		B		B
5F. Recycling program					
5G. Library services					
5H. Street pavement maintenance					
5I. Street sweeping services					
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance					
5K. Traffic safety					
5L. Street tree maintenance	B E				
5M. Economic development efforts					
5N. Sidewalk maintenance					
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance					
5P. Youth sports fields					
5Q. Senior citizen programs	B				
5R. Neighborhood programs					
5S. Managing land use	C D				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnicity				
	Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
5A. Police services	1.32	1.44	1.18	1.29	1.36
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.28	1.18	1.48	1.46
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.45	1.25	1.40	1.30
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.49	1.54	1.28	1.65	1.48
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.65	1.43	1.38	1.38
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.60	1.41	1.43	1.21
5G. Library services	1.60	1.61	1.59	1.71	1.28
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.94	.86	1.01	1.16
5I. Street sweeping services	1.23	1.28	1.19	1.37	.86
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.46	1.57	1.27	1.64	1.24
5K. Traffic safety	.94	1.02	.91	.95	.68
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.04	1.02	1.15	1.38
5M. Economic development efforts	.63	.55	.59	.91	.53
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	.99	1.10	.94	.92	.91
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.21	1.32	1.08	1.22	1.09
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.30	1.09	1.52	1.20
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.33	1.34	1.53	1.39
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	.98	.86	1.04	1.29
5S. Managing land use	.16	.27	.03	.36	-.25

	Ethnicity			
	White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
5A. Police services				
5B. City recreation services				
5C. Park and picnic area availability				
5D. Quinlan community center facilities			B	
5E. Garbage collection				
5F. Recycling program				
5G. Library services			D	
5H. Street pavement maintenance				
5I. Street sweeping services				
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	B		B	
5K. Traffic safety				
5L. Street tree maintenance				
5M. Economic development efforts				
5N. Sidewalk maintenance				
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance				
5P. Youth sports fields			B	
5Q. Senior citizen programs				
5R. Neighborhood programs				
5S. Managing land use				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Length of Residence			
	Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
5A. Police services	1.33	1.04	1.30	1.45
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.47	1.31	1.26
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.37	1.46	1.29	1.43
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.48	1.50	1.40	1.57
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.05	1.56	1.57
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.53	1.39	1.54
5G. Library services	1.59	1.75	1.59	1.55
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.61	1.11	.88
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.48	1.24	1.14
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.45	1.68	1.44	1.40
5K. Traffic safety	.92	1.07	.88	.91
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.08	1.20	.98
5M. Economic development efforts	.61	1.14	.67	.41
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.00	1.02	1.07	.92
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.21	1.34	1.13	1.25
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.54	1.14	1.39
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.40	1.43	1.35
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	.97	.95	1.02
5S. Managing land use	.14	.68	.09	.07

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Length of Residence		
	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)
5A. Police services			A
5B. City recreation services			
5C. Park and picnic area availability			
5D. Quinlan community center facilities			
5E. Garbage collection		A	A
5F. Recycling program			
5G. Library services			
5H. Street pavement maintenance		A	
5I. Street sweeping services			
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance			
5K. Traffic safety			
5L. Street tree maintenance			
5M. Economic development efforts	C		
5N. Sidewalk maintenance			
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance			
5P. Youth sports fields			
5Q. Senior citizen programs			
5R. Neighborhood programs			
5S. Managing land use			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Own	Rent
5A. Police services	1.32	1.34	1.26
5B. City recreation services	1.32	1.28	1.44
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.37	1.36	1.39
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.50	1.52	1.40
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.54	1.36
5F. Recycling program	1.48	1.52	1.36
5G. Library services	1.59	1.57	1.67
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.88	1.16
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.19	1.31
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.48	1.47	1.51
5K. Traffic safety	.91	.86	1.08
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.05	1.22
5M. Economic development efforts	.60	.56	.76
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	.99	.91	1.23
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.17	1.29
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.27	1.30
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.35	1.47
5R. Neighborhood programs	.97	.97	.97
5S. Managing land use	.12	.02	.48

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Homeownership Status	
	Own	Rent
	(A)	(B)
5A. Police services		
5B. City recreation services		
5C. Park and picnic area availability		
5D. Quinlan community center facilities		
5E. Garbage collection		
5F. Recycling program		
5G. Library services		
5H. Street pavement maintenance		
5I. Street sweeping services		
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance		
5K. Traffic safety		
5L. Street tree maintenance		
5M. Economic development efforts		
5N. Sidewalk maintenance		A
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance		
5P. Youth sports fields		
5Q. Senior citizen programs		
5R. Neighborhood programs		
5S. Managing land use		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Members in the Household					
	Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or
5A. Police services	1.33	1.48	1.40	1.35	1.36	.87
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.16	1.25	1.44	1.37	1.08
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.37	1.37	1.41	1.33	1.31	1.55
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.49	1.61	1.54	1.59	1.39	1.40
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.59	1.57	1.44	1.56	1.25
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.61	1.52	1.42	1.50	1.30
5G. Library services	1.59	1.63	1.60	1.73	1.50	1.48
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.90	1.08	1.01	.93	.61
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.37	1.14	1.26	1.23	1.18
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.46	1.61	1.56	1.48	1.40	1.23
5K. Traffic safety	.92	1.08	.88	1.11	.82	.68
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.12	1.13	1.09	1.09	.95
5M. Economic development efforts	.62	.84	.52	.65	.60	.63
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	.99	.98	1.16	.92	.95	.97
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.21	1.47	1.18	1.08	1.18	1.45
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.56	1.20	1.33	1.21	1.34
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.40	1.15	1.38	1.59	1.34
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	1.27	.96	.97	.95	.89
5S. Managing land use	.14	.45	.14	.38	-.16	.13

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Members in the Household				
	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Police services					
5B. City recreation services					
5C. Park and picnic area availability					
5D. Quinlan community center facilities					
5E. Garbage collection					
5F. Recycling program					
5G. Library services					
5H. Street pavement maintenance					
5I. Street sweeping services					
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance					
5K. Traffic safety					
5L. Street tree maintenance					
5M. Economic development efforts					
5N. Sidewalk maintenance					
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance					
5P. Youth sports fields					
5Q. Senior citizen programs					
5R. Neighborhood programs					
5S. Managing land use					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	1.33	1.27	1.38
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.32	1.31
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.33	1.39
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.48	1.42	1.54
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.42	1.57
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.46	1.49
5G. Library services	1.60	1.62	1.58
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.79	1.08
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.24	1.21
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.45	1.37	1.52
5K. Traffic safety	.92	.87	.95
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.06	1.11
5M. Economic development efforts	.61	.66	.57
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.00	.87	1.11
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.12	1.28
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.24	1.33
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.44	1.34
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	.93	1.04
5S. Managing land use	.14	-.10	.32

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Children in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
5A. Police services		
5B. City recreation services		
5C. Park and picnic area availability		
5D. Quinlan community center facilities		
5E. Garbage collection		
5F. Recycling program		
5G. Library services		
5H. Street pavement maintenance		A
5I. Street sweeping services		
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance		
5K. Traffic safety		
5L. Street tree maintenance		
5M. Economic development efforts		
5N. Sidewalk maintenance		A
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance		
5P. Youth sports fields		
5Q. Senior citizen programs		
5R. Neighborhood programs		
5S. Managing land use		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

	Seniors in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
5A. Police services	1.33	1.50	1.25
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.25	1.34
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.37	1.36
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.48	1.46	1.49
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.57	1.47
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.59	1.42
5G. Library services	1.60	1.60	1.59
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	.89	.98
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.23	1.22
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.45	1.53	1.42
5K. Traffic safety	.92	.93	.91
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	.94	1.16
5M. Economic development efforts	.61	.55	.63
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.00	.85	1.07
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.31	1.15
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.37	1.25
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.34	1.42
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	.98	.99
5S. Managing land use	.14	.20	.12

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Seniors in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
5A. Police services	B	
5B. City recreation services		
5C. Park and picnic area availability		
5D. Quinlan community center facilities		
5E. Garbage collection		
5F. Recycling program		
5G. Library services		
5H. Street pavement maintenance		
5I. Street sweeping services		
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance		
5K. Traffic safety		
5L. Street tree maintenance		
5M. Economic development efforts		
5N. Sidewalk maintenance		
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance		
5P. Youth sports fields		
5Q. Senior citizen programs		
5R. Neighborhood programs		
5S. Managing land use		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

　　a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

　　b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Annual Household Income				
	Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
5A. Police services	1.33	1.29	1.47	1.18	1.27	1.39
5B. City recreation services	1.31	1.17	1.55	1.34	1.09	1.36
5C. Park and picnic area availability	1.36	1.52	1.43	1.30	1.41	1.19
5D. Quinlan community center facilities	1.48	1.53	1.57	1.63	1.44	1.31
5E. Garbage collection	1.50	1.41	1.55	1.58	1.36	1.60
5F. Recycling program	1.47	1.41	1.70	1.46	1.45	1.37
5G. Library services	1.60	1.57	1.59	1.66	1.61	1.57
5H. Street pavement maintenance	.95	1.02	1.19	.68	.98	.88
5I. Street sweeping services	1.22	1.22	1.27	1.10	1.10	1.36
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance	1.45	1.43	1.47	1.52	1.49	1.37
5K. Traffic safety	.92	.72	1.08	.91	.89	.95
5L. Street tree maintenance	1.09	1.17	1.16	1.20	.98	.97
5M. Economic development efforts	.61	.87	.65	.62	.74	.24
5N. Sidewalk maintenance	1.00	1.09	1.16	.83	.87	1.03
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.20	1.32	1.21	1.26	.94	1.30
5P. Youth sports fields	1.28	1.34	1.40	1.28	1.10	1.31
5Q. Senior citizen programs	1.38	1.40	1.32	1.45	1.66	1.22
5R. Neighborhood programs	.98	1.03	.93	1.17	.79	1.06
5S. Managing land use	.14	.58	.48	-.01	-.04	-.30

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Annual Household Income				
	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5A. Police services					
5B. City recreation services		D			
5C. Park and picnic area availability					
5D. Quinlan community center facilities					
5E. Garbage collection					
5F. Recycling program					
5G. Library services					
5H. Street pavement maintenance					
5I. Street sweeping services					
5J. Park and picnic area maintenance					
5K. Traffic safety					
5L. Street tree maintenance					
5M. Economic development efforts	E				
5N. Sidewalk maintenance					
5O. Median strip landscape maintenance					
5P. Youth sports fields					
5Q. Senior citizen programs					
5R. Neighborhood programs					
5S. Managing land use	E	E			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 15.0%	25 12.6%	35 17.3%
	Somewhat strong	183 45.8%	86 43.7%	98 48.0%
	Somewhat weak	101 25.3%	55 28.1%	46 22.6%
	Very weak	29 7.2%	15 7.5%	14 7.0%
	None at all	20 4.9%	13 6.7%	7 3.3%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	3 1.5%	4 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	57 14.7%	4 6.0%	5 15.2%	17 13.3%
	Somewhat strong	179 46.3%	23 34.5%	19 59.7%	68 54.4%
	Somewhat weak	98 25.3%	29 42.9%	5 17.3%	23 18.0%
	Very weak	28 7.4%	5 7.4%	2 7.8%	11 9.0%
	None at all	19 4.8%	5 8.1%	0 .0%	4 3.3%
	DK/NA	6 1.5%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	2 2.0%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	18 18.0%	14 21.2%
	Somewhat strong	46 46.8%	23 35.8%
	Somewhat weak	20 20.6%	21 32.0%
	Very weak	7 6.9%	3 4.7%
	None at all	6 5.8%	3 5.1%
	DK/NA	2 1.9%	1 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak	C D				
	Very weak					
	None at all		a .			
	DK/NA		a .			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	59 15.0%	19 12.7%	20 15.9%	13 16.4%	6 17.8%
	Somewhat strong	181 46.3%	50 33.8%	67 53.8%	44 53.8%	20 54.4%
	Somewhat weak	98 25.1%	48 32.1%	28 22.4%	18 21.5%	5 13.3%
	Very weak	28 7.1%	18 12.2%	6 4.5%	0 .0%	4 10.8%
	None at all	20 5.1%	11 7.5%	2 1.4%	5 6.7%	1 3.8%
	DK/NA	6 1.6%	2 1.6%	2 2.0%	1 1.6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				
	Somewhat strong		A	A	
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak			a .	
	None at all				
	DK/NA				a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 15.0%	5 10.3%	25 13.6%	30 18.0%
	Somewhat strong	183 45.8%	27 54.8%	85 46.4%	71 42.6%
	Somewhat weak	101 25.2%	8 15.9%	51 27.9%	42 25.0%
	Very weak	29 7.3%	5 9.7%	11 6.0%	13 8.0%
	None at all	20 4.9%	3 6.6%	9 5.0%	7 4.4%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	1 2.7%	2 1.1%	3 2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong			
	Somewhat strong			
	Somewhat weak			
	Very weak			
	None at all			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	59 15.2%	43 14.2%	17 18.4%
	Somewhat strong	180 46.0%	141 47.3%	38 42.0%
	Somewhat weak	96 24.5%	75 25.1%	21 22.7%
	Very weak	29 7.4%	22 7.5%	7 7.3%
	None at all	20 5.1%	13 4.3%	7 7.5%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	5 1.7%	2 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 15.2%	8 20.7%	14 15.8%	10 9.3%
	Somewhat strong	179 45.4%	13 34.8%	39 43.0%	55 52.9%
	Somewhat weak	100 25.3%	11 27.9%	22 24.6%	27 26.1%
	Very weak	29 7.3%	2 4.8%	10 11.0%	6 5.6%
	None at all	20 5.0%	4 11.8%	3 3.1%	4 3.7%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	0 .0%	2 2.5%	3 2.5%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	19 / 16.4%	9 / 20.3%
	Somewhat strong	56 / 47.8%	15 / 35.2%
	Somewhat weak	27 / 22.9%	13 / 29.4%
	Very weak	8 / 6.9%	3 / 7.3%
	None at all	5 / 4.4%	3 / 7.8%
	DK/NA	2 / 1.6%	0 / .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak					
	Very weak					
	None at all					
	DK/NA	a.				a.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 / 15.0%	27 / 14.9%	33 / 15.0%
	Somewhat strong	183 / 45.8%	88 / 48.5%	96 / 43.6%
	Somewhat weak	101 / 25.3%	41 / 22.9%	60 / 27.3%
	Very weak	29 / 7.2%	13 / 7.1%	16 / 7.4%
	None at all	20 / 4.9%	9 / 4.9%	11 / 5.0%
	DK/NA	7 / 1.7%	3 / 1.8%	4 / 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 / 15.0%	28 / 21.2%	32 / 12.0%
	Somewhat strong	183 / 45.8%	53 / 40.5%	131 / 48.4%
	Somewhat weak	101 / 25.3%	32 / 24.9%	69 / 25.5%
	Very weak	29 / 7.2%	6 / 4.9%	23 / 8.4%
	None at all	20 / 4.9%	9 / 7.0%	11 / 3.9%
	DK/NA	7 / 1.7%	2 / 1.3%	5 / 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	B	
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	60 15.0%	13 18.0%	12 14.6%	10 14.7%
	Somewhat strong	183 45.8%	29 39.5%	36 43.1%	32 46.0%
	Somewhat weak	101 25.3%	21 29.0%	22 26.7%	14 20.4%
	Very weak	29 7.2%	5 6.6%	7 8.9%	7 9.4%
	None at all	20 4.9%	4 5.5%	3 3.8%	7 9.4%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	1 1.4%	2 3.0%	0 .0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	8 10.0%	17 17.2%
	Somewhat strong	41 53.2%	46 47.0%
	Somewhat weak	17 22.2%	27 27.3%
	Very weak	7 9.2%	3 3.2%
	None at all	3 4.5%	3 2.6%
	DK/NA	1 1.0%	3 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6. Please think about the sense of community that you feel living in Cupertino. Would you say that you feel a very strong, a somewhat strong, a somewhat weak, a very weak, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak					
	Very weak					
	None at all					
	DK/NA			a.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	.07	.25
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	.30	-.18
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	.55	.24
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.79	.81
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.66	.66
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	.99	1.33

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community		
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	B	
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	B	
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community		
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved		
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age				
	Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	-.07	-.13	.39	.38	-.25
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.06	.65	.12	-.16	-.05	.00
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.39	.70	.71	.36	.25	.19
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.53	.78	.93	.86	.77
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.65	.73	.36	.57	.67	.85
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	.66	1.21	1.41	1.16	1.18

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Age				
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community			E		
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	C D				
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like					
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community					
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved					
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents			A	A	A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnicity				
	Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	-.26	.40	.48	.34
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.04	.21	.09	-.23	-.15
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.39	.29	.25	.78	.38
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.81	.52	.94	.95	1.19
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.63	.60	.72	.95
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	.97	1.15	1.45	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Ethnicity			
	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community		A	A	
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me				
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like				
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community		A	A	A
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved				
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents			A	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

　a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

　b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

Page 81

	Length of Residence			
	Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	-.05	.36	.01
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	.61	-.03	-.02
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	1.06	.37	.24
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.79	.85	.76
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.43	.63	.76
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.14	1.11	1.22

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Length of Residence		
	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community			
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	B C		
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	B C		
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community			
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved			
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

　a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

　b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Own	Rent
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.18	.22	.06
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.03	-.08	.37
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	.33	.65
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.81	.75
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.63	.78
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.20	1.02

	Members in the Household				
	Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	-.04	.03	.03	.29	.61
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	.23	.02	.31	-.13	-.19
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.39	.16	.45	.24	.45	.68
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.79	.49	.82	.83	.77	.96
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.86	.73	.59	.75	.27
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.01	1.09	.99	1.41	1.20

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Homeownership Status	
	Own	Rent
	(A)	(B)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community		
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me		A
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like		
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community		
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved		
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents		

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Members in the Household				
	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community					
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me					
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like					
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community					
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved					
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents				C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Children in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	.33	.02
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	-.22	.28
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	.42	.38
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.88	.74
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.62	.70
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.32	1.03

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Children in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	B	
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me		A
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like		
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community		
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved		
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Seniors in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	-.03	.25
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	-.03	.09
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	.35	.42
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.78	.81
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.73	.63
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.21	1.14

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Seniors in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community		
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me		
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like		
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community		
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved		
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Annual Household Income					
	Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community	.16	.13	.06	.14	.14	.31
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me	.05	.47	.01	-.15	.10	-.12
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like	.40	.49	.51	.23	.54	.23
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community	.80	.73	.67	.78	.85	.96
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	.66	.90	.97	.33	.47	.61
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents	1.16	1.03	1.14	1.33	1.15	1.16

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Annual Household Income				
	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7A. I can recognize most of the people who live in my community					
7B. Very few of my neighbors know me					
7C. I have almost no influence over what this community is like					
7D. My neighbors and I want the same things from this community					
7E. If there is a problem in this community, people who live here can get it solved	C	C			
7F. It is very important for me to feel a sense of community with other residents					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	245 61.2%	115 58.5%	130 63.9%
	No	131 32.6%	71 36.2%	59 29.2%
	DK/NA	25 6.1%	10 5.3%	14 7.0%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	178 44.4%	78 39.5%	100 49.1%
	No	208 51.9%	115 58.6%	92 45.4%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	4 1.9%	11 5.5%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	188 47.1%	79 39.9%	110 54.1%
	No	194 48.6%	110 56.0%	84 41.4%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	8 4.1%	9 4.6%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	59 14.6%	25 12.7%	34 16.5%
	No	316 79.1%	163 82.6%	154 75.7%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	9 4.7%	16 7.8%
8E. Block leader program	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	97 24.1%	38 19.1%	59 29.0%
	No	279 69.8%	154 78.4%	125 61.5%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	5 2.5%	19 9.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes		
	No	B	
	DK/NA		
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes		A
	No	B	
	DK/NA		
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		A
8E. Block leader program	Yes		A
	No	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Age					
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	234 60.5%	33 48.3%	20 62.3%	82 65.8%	63 64.0%	37 56.5%
	No	129 33.2%	31 45.8%	9 29.7%	34 27.4%	33 33.1%	22 33.1%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	4 5.9%	3 8.0%	9 6.8%	3 2.9%	7 10.3%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	169 43.7%	19 27.8%	13 40.5%	60 48.3%	50 51.3%	27 41.5%
	No	204 52.6%	46 68.1%	19 59.5%	60 47.6%	44 44.6%	36 54.8%
	DK/NA	14 3.7%	3 4.1%	0 .0%	5 4.1%	4 4.1%	2 3.7%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	179 46.1%	21 30.5%	11 36.3%	62 49.4%	50 50.5%	35 54.1%
	No	192 49.5%	44 65.0%	18 58.1%	60 47.6%	45 45.4%	26 39.4%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	3 4.5%	2 5.6%	4 3.0%	4 4.1%	4 6.5%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	53 13.7%	4 5.3%	4 14.2%	21 16.5%	11 10.9%	14 21.2%
	No	310 79.9%	63 92.9%	26 83.4%	93 73.9%	80 81.7%	48 73.8%
	DK/NA	25 6.4%	1 1.8%	1 2.4%	12 9.6%	7 7.5%	3 5.0%
8E. Block leader program	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	93 24.0%	9 13.2%	5 15.5%	33 26.0%	31 31.9%	15 23.5%
	No	273 70.4%	55 81.0%	26 84.5%	83 66.1%	64 64.8%	45 69.1%
	DK/NA	22 5.7%	4 5.8%	0 .0%	10 8.0%	3 3.2%	5 7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes					A
	No	D				
	DK/NA		.[a]			
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes					
	No	E				
	DK/NA					
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes					
	No	C E				
	DK/NA					
8E. Block leader program	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA		.[a]			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	239 / 61.2%	100 / 67.4%	60 / 48.8%	56 / 68.3%	22 / 62.4%
	No	128 / 32.7%	40 / 27.1%	57 / 46.4%	18 / 22.4%	11 / 31.8%
	DK/NA	24 / 6.1%	8 / 5.5%	6 / 4.8%	8 / 9.3%	2 / 5.8%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	173 / 44.4%	74 / 49.6%	44 / 35.3%	38 / 46.6%	18 / 49.0%
	No	203 / 52.0%	67 / 45.4%	79 / 63.7%	40 / 48.6%	17 / 46.6%
	DK/NA	14 / 3.6%	8 / 5.1%	1 / .9%	4 / 4.8%	2 / 4.4%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	184 / 47.1%	80 / 54.1%	41 / 32.8%	47 / 57.1%	16 / 44.9%
	No	190 / 48.7%	62 / 41.6%	77 / 62.2%	31 / 38.4%	20 / 55.1%
	DK/NA	16 / 4.2%	6 / 4.3%	6 / 5.0%	4 / 4.5%	0 / .0%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	59 / 15.0%	27 / 18.4%	12 / 9.6%	16 / 19.1%	4 / 10.2%
	No	307 / 78.5%	112 / 75.2%	108 / 87.5%	58 / 71.0%	28 / 78.4%
	DK/NA	25 / 6.5%	9 / 6.4%	3 / 2.8%	8 / 9.9%	4 / 11.4%
8E. Block leader program	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	94 / 24.0%	41 / 27.6%	24 / 19.5%	20 / 24.5%	9 / 23.8%
	No	274 / 70.1%	99 / 66.5%	97 / 78.0%	53 / 64.5%	26 / 71.0%
	DK/NA	23 / 5.8%	9 / 5.9%	3 / 2.5%	9 / 11.0%	2 / 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
			Ethnicity		
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes	B		B	
	No		A C		
	DK/NA				
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes				
	No		A		
	DK/NA				
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes	B		B	
	No		A C		
	DK/NA				.a
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes				
	No		C		
	DK/NA				
8E. Block leader program	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Length of Residence		
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	399	49	182	168
	Yes	244 61.1%	21 43.9%	114 62.4%	109 64.7%
	No	131 32.8%	22 45.6%	55 30.3%	53 31.7%
	DK/NA	25 6.2%	5 10.4%	13 7.3%	6 3.6%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	399	49	182	168
	Yes	177 44.3%	14 28.6%	78 42.7%	85 50.8%
	No	207 51.9%	33 67.4%	98 53.6%	77 45.6%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	2 4.0%	7 3.8%	6 3.6%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	399	49	182	168
	Yes	188 47.3%	15 30.1%	83 45.8%	90 53.9%
	No	193 48.4%	32 65.9%	92 50.4%	69 41.1%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	2 4.0%	7 3.8%	8 5.0%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	399	49	182	168
	Yes	59 14.7%	1 1.5%	30 16.4%	28 16.7%
	No	315 79.0%	46 93.5%	138 75.5%	132 78.6%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	2 5.0%	15 8.1%	8 4.7%
8E. Block leader program	Total	399	49	182	168
	Yes	96 24.0%	6 11.9%	39 21.4%	51 30.3%
	No	279 69.9%	39 80.2%	131 71.9%	109 64.7%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	4 7.9%	12 6.6%	8 5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes			A
	No			
	DK/NA			
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes			A
	No	C		
	DK/NA			
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes			A
	No	C		
	DK/NA			
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes		A	A
	No	B		
	DK/NA			
8E. Block leader program	Yes			A
	No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	243 62.2%	193 64.6%	50 54.4%
	No	124 31.7%	87 29.1%	37 40.0%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	19 6.3%	5 5.6%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	176 45.0%	154 51.6%	22 23.5%
	No	201 51.4%	133 44.4%	68 74.4%
	DK/NA	14 3.6%	12 4.1%	2 2.1%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	186 47.7%	150 50.3%	36 39.2%
	No	187 47.9%	133 44.4%	54 59.3%
	DK/NA	17 4.4%	16 5.3%	1 1.6%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	59 15.0%	43 14.5%	15 16.6%
	No	308 78.8%	232 77.7%	76 82.5%
	DK/NA	24 6.2%	23 7.8%	1 .9%
8E. Block leader program	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	97 24.7%	87 29.1%	9 10.3%
	No	272 69.6%	193 64.5%	79 86.2%
	DK/NA	22 5.7%	19 6.4%	3 3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes		
	No		A
	DK/NA		
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes		
	No	B	
	DK/NA	B	
8E. Block leader program	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	243 61.6%	25 66.5%	55 60.5%	54 51.8%	87 74.4%	21 48.6%
	No	128 32.4%	9 24.7%	29 32.1%	41 39.2%	26 22.0%	22 51.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.0%	3 8.8%	7 7.4%	9 9.0%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	175 44.4%	15 39.5%	46 50.0%	33 31.7%	68 57.6%	13 31.3%
	No	205 52.0%	20 53.0%	40 43.3%	68 65.1%	49 41.4%	29 66.8%
	DK/NA	14 3.6%	3 7.5%	6 6.6%	3 3.1%	1 1.0%	1 1.9%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	186 47.1%	18 46.6%	51 56.3%	41 38.7%	61 51.8%	15 35.4%
	No	192 48.5%	19 49.1%	34 37.0%	59 55.8%	53 45.0%	28 64.6%
	DK/NA	17 4.4%	2 4.4%	6 6.7%	6 5.5%	4 3.2%	0 .0%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	59 14.8%	6 15.3%	15 16.1%	16 15.5%	18 15.7%	3 8.0%
	No	311 78.8%	30 78.9%	67 73.2%	87 82.6%	89 75.9%	38 88.9%
	DK/NA	25 6.4%	2 5.8%	10 10.8%	2 1.9%	10 8.4%	1 3.0%
8E. Block leader program	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	95 24.1%	12 31.3%	22 23.7%	17 16.6%	35 29.4%	10 22.7%
	No	276 69.9%	24 63.0%	62 68.2%	81 77.3%	75 64.2%	33 77.3%
	DK/NA	23 6.0%	2 5.7%	7 8.1%	6 6.1%	8 6.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes				C E	
	No					D .a
	DK/NA					
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes				C E	
	No			B D		D
	DK/NA					
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes					
	No					B .a
	DK/NA					
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					
8E. Block leader program	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	245 61.2%	113 62.6%	132 60.0%
	No	131 32.6%	59 32.5%	72 32.8%
	DK/NA	25 6.1%	9 4.9%	16 7.2%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	178 44.4%	89 49.4%	88 40.2%
	No	208 51.9%	89 49.5%	118 53.9%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	2 1.1%	13 5.9%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	188 47.1%	81 45.1%	107 48.7%
	No	194 48.6%	94 51.8%	101 45.9%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	5 3.0%	12 5.4%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	59 14.6%	25 14.1%	33 15.1%
	No	316 79.1%	146 80.7%	171 77.7%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	9 5.2%	16 7.2%
8E. Block leader program	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	97 24.1%	49 27.1%	48 21.7%
	No	279 69.8%	125 69.2%	155 70.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	7 3.8%	17 8.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

	Children in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
8A. Neighborhood watch — Yes / No / DK/NA		
8B. Neighborhood block parties — Yes / No / DK/NA		A
8C. Earthquake preparedness — Yes / No / DK/NA		
8D. Electronic community alert program — Yes / No / DK/NA		
8E. Block leader program — Yes / No / DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes	245 61.2%	80 61.9%	165 60.9%
	No	131 32.6%	41 31.5%	90 33.2%
	DK/NA	25 6.1%	9 6.7%	16 5.9%
	Total	400	130	271
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes	178 44.4%	61 46.7%	117 43.3%
	No	208 51.9%	65 49.8%	143 52.9%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	5 3.5%	10 3.8%
	Total	400	130	271
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes	188 47.1%	71 54.5%	118 43.6%
	No	194 48.6%	54 41.9%	140 51.8%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	5 3.7%	13 4.6%
	Total	400	130	271
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes	59 14.6%	29 22.3%	30 11.0%
	No	316 79.1%	92 71.0%	224 82.9%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	9 6.7%	17 6.1%
	Total	400	130	271
8E. Block leader program	Yes	97 24.1%	36 27.9%	60 22.3%
	No	279 69.8%	86 66.6%	193 71.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	7 5.5%	17 6.3%

Left Page

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes	B	
	No		
	DK/NA		
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		
8E. Block leader program	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Right Page

		Annual Household Income					
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
8A. Neighborhood watch	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Yes	245 61.2%	37 51.7%	54 64.9%	47 66.6%	47 60.6%	61 61.7%
	No	131 32.6%	26 36.0%	23 28.4%	23 32.7%	26 33.5%	33 33.1%
	DK/NA	25 6.1%	9 12.3%	6 6.7%	1 .7%	5 5.9%	5 5.2%
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Yes	178 44.4%	24 33.8%	39 46.7%	38 53.9%	32 41.3%	45 45.8%
	No	208 51.9%	46 63.9%	38 46.0%	31 43.6%	41 53.3%	52 52.8%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	2 2.3%	6 7.3%	2 2.5%	4 5.4%	1 1.3%
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Yes	188 47.1%	27 37.0%	48 58.1%	35 49.7%	33 43.1%	46 46.5%
	No	194 48.6%	41 57.3%	32 38.7%	31 44.6%	40 52.3%	50 50.3%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	4 5.7%	3 3.1%	4 5.7%	4 4.6%	3 3.2%
8D. Electronic community alert program	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Yes	59 14.6%	12 16.5%	10 11.6%	8 10.7%	11 14.2%	19 19.0%
	No	316 79.1%	58 80.0%	67 81.4%	56 79.4%	60 77.6%	76 77.3%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	2 3.5%	6 7.0%	7 9.9%	6 8.1%	4 3.8%
8E. Block leader program	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Yes	97 24.1%	14 19.4%	20 24.1%	23 32.3%	17 22.7%	23 22.9%
	No	279 69.8%	56 77.6%	55 67.1%	45 64.4%	54 70.5%	69 69.7%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	2 3.0%	7 8.8%	2 3.3%	5 6.9%	7 7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8A. Neighborhood watch	Yes No DK/NA					
8B. Neighborhood block parties	Yes No DK/NA					
8C. Earthquake preparedness	Yes No DK/NA					
8D. Electronic community alert program	Yes No DK/NA					
8E. Block leader program	Yes No DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	.93	1.12
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	.97	1.25
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	.89	1.52
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	.97	1.43
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.07	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
9A. Neighborhood watch		
9B. Neighborhood block parties		
9C. Earthquake preparedness		A
9D. Electronic community alert program		
9E. Block leader program		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age					
	Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.02	.84	1.00	.93	1.20	1.14
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.09	.52	.76	1.35	1.05	1.14
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.24	.91	1.55	1.20	1.33	1.25
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.20	-1.56	1.00	1.62	1.42	1.44
9E. Block leader program	1.18	1.45	.32	1.17	1.15	1.27

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Age				
	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Neighborhood watch					
9B. Neighborhood block parties					
9C. Earthquake preparedness					
9D. Electronic community alert program		A	A	A	A
9E. Block leader program					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnicity				
	Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.02	.98	1.00	1.12	.97
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.13	1.21	.99	1.15	1.18
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.24	1.28	1.17	1.10	1.62
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	1.42	1.21	.86	1.07
9E. Block leader program	1.18	1.10	1.20	1.45	1.02

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Ethnicity			
	White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
9A. Neighborhood watch				
9B. Neighborhood block parties				
9C. Earthquake preparedness				
9D. Electronic community alert program				
9E. Block leader program				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Length of Residence			
	Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	1.25	.99	1.04
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	1.91	1.07	1.00
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	.78	1.30	1.30
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	2.00	1.37	1.03
9E. Block leader program	1.18	1.48	1.31	1.05

Comparisons of Column Means[b,c]

	Length of Residence		
	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)
9A. Neighborhood watch	C		
9B. Neighborhood block parties			
9C. Earthquake preparedness			
9D. Electronic community alert program	a.		
9E. Block leader program			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Own	Rent
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	.95	1.34
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	1.08	1.32
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	1.24	1.30
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	1.13	1.37
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.17	1.42

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Homeownership Status	
	Own (A)	Rent (B)
9A. Neighborhood watch		A
9B. Neighborhood block parties		
9C. Earthquake preparedness		
9D. Electronic community alert program		
9E. Block leader program		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Members in the Household					
	Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.02	.95	1.07	.88	1.08	1.07
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	1.27	1.15	.69	1.22	1.47
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	1.68	1.16	1.28	1.21	1.15
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	1.54	1.40	.85	1.27	1.50
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.32	1.25	.84	1.22	1.34

Comparisons of Column Means[b,c]

	Members in the Household				
	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Neighborhood watch					
9B. Neighborhood block parties					
9C. Earthquake preparedness					
9D. Electronic community alert program					a.
9E. Block leader program					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

	Children in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	1.04	1.02
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	1.17	1.05
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	1.25	1.26
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	1.48	1.05
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.21	1.16

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Children in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
9A. Neighborhood watch		
9B. Neighborhood block parties		
9C. Earthquake preparedness		
9D. Electronic community alert program		
9E. Block leader program		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

	Seniors in the Household		
	Total	Yes	No
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	1.12	.99
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	1.13	1.10
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	1.45	1.13
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	1.02	1.41
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.24	1.15

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Seniors in the Household	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
9A. Neighborhood watch		
9B. Neighborhood block parties		
9C. Earthquake preparedness	B	
9D. Electronic community alert program		
9E. Block leader program		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

	Annual Household Income					
	Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
9A. Neighborhood watch	1.03	.78	1.12	.93	.99	1.18
9B. Neighborhood block parties	1.11	.42	1.38	1.09	1.17	1.27
9C. Earthquake preparedness	1.25	1.39	1.17	1.21	1.14	1.36
9D. Electronic community alert program	1.21	.52	1.33	1.57	1.64	1.27
9E. Block leader program	1.19	1.53	1.14	1.23	.82	1.30

Comparisons of Column Means[a,b]

	Annual Household Income				
	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9A. Neighborhood watch					
9B. Neighborhood block parties		A			
9C. Earthquake preparedness					
9D. Electronic community alert program					
9E. Block leader program					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.*

Page 111

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	23 / 5.6%	11 / 5.6%	12 / 5.7%
	Yes, bike to work	26 / 6.5%	16 / 8.2%	10 / 4.9%
	Yes, walk to school	26 / 6.4%	11 / 5.7%	15 / 7.1%
	Yes, bike to school	15 / 3.7%	7 / 3.6%	8 / 3.8%
	No	274 / 68.4%	138 / 70.0%	136 / 66.8%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 / 12.6%	21 / 10.5%	30 / 14.6%
	DK/NA	1 / .1%	0 / .0%	1 / .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work		
	Yes, bike to work		
	Yes, walk to school		
	Yes, bike to school		
	No		
	Currently unemployed/ retired		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

Page 112

		Age					
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work**	22 5.7%	6 8.6%	1 2.4%	9 7.4%	4 4.1%	2 3.5%
	Yes, bike to work	26 6.7%	4 6.3%	4 12.0%	12 9.7%	5 5.2%	1 1.3%
	Yes, walk to school	26 6.7%	5 7.3%	3 9.5%	13 10.6%	5 4.8%	0 .0%
	Yes, bike to school	14 3.7%	4 6.1%	2 5.6%	7 5.6%	1 .7%	1 1.3%
	No	268 69.2%	47 70.3%	21 67.5%	94 74.8%	73 74.8%	32 49.3%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	44 11.5%	3 4.2%	1 3.0%	0 .0%	11 10.9%	30 46.0%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work**					
	Yes, bike to work					
	Yes, walk to school					
	Yes, bike to school					.[a]
	No			E .[a]	E	
	Currently unemployed/ retired					A B D
	DK/NA	.[a]	.[a]		.[a]	.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work**	20 5.2%	7 4.6%	7 5.8%	6 7.3%	0 1.2%
	Yes, bike to work	25 6.5%	13 8.9%	3 2.8%	7 8.5%	2 4.4%
	Yes, walk to school	24 6.1%	4 2.5%	11 9.3%	7 8.2%	2 5.3%
	Yes, bike to school	14 3.6%	6 3.8%	6 4.5%	3 3.6%	0 .0%
	No	269 69.0%	85 57.2%	95 77.0%	60 73.1%	29 80.8%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 12.8%	38 25.8%	6 4.8%	1 1.7%	4 12.0%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work**				
	Yes, bike to work				
	Yes, walk to school				
	Yes, bike to school				.[a]
	No		A		
	Currently unemployed/ retired	B C			
	DK/NA		.[a]	.[a]	.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Total**	399	49	182	168
	Yes, walk to work	23 5.7%	4 8.6%	7 4.0%	11 6.6%
	Yes, bike to work	26 6.6%	8 15.4%	8 4.2%	11 6.5%
	Yes, walk to school	25 6.3%	3 6.1%	17 9.4%	5 3.0%
	Yes, bike to school	15 3.7%	2 5.0%	9 4.8%	4 2.3%
	No	273 68.4%	27 55.8%	143 78.8%	102 60.9%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 12.7%	6 11.3%	5 2.5%	40 24.0%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work** **Yes, bike to work** **Yes, walk to school** **Yes, bike to school** **No** **Currently unemployed/ retired** **DK/NA**	B B .ᵃ	 C A C .ᵃ	 B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Total**	391	299	92
	Yes, walk to work	23 5.8%	15 5.0%	8 8.4%
	Yes, bike to work	26 6.7%	18 6.2%	8 8.4%
	Yes, walk to school	25 6.4%	17 5.6%	8 9.2%
	Yes, bike to school	15 3.8%	11 3.7%	4 4.2%
	No	265 67.9%	206 68.8%	60 65.3%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 12.7%	43 14.3%	7 7.8%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	1 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	**Yes, walk to work** **Yes, bike to work** **Yes, walk to school** **Yes, bike to school** **No** **Currently unemployed/ retired** **DK/NA**		 .ᵃ

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	23 / 5.7%	3 / 7.0%	6 / 7.0%	6 / 5.6%	5 / 4.3%	2 / 5.8%
	Yes, bike to work	26 / 6.6%	1 / 3.2%	7 / 8.0%	7 / 6.8%	5 / 4.3%	5 / 12.7%
	Yes, walk to school	25 / 6.4%	0 / .0%	1 / 1.4%	6 / 5.4%	14 / 11.8%	4 / 10.0%
	Yes, bike to school	15 / 3.8%	0 / .0%	2 / 2.0%	4 / 3.6%	7 / 5.8%	2 / 5.8%
	No	269 / 68.2%	18 / 47.8%	59 / 64.3%	75 / 71.3%	87 / 73.9%	31 / 71.8%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 / 12.8%	16 / 42.0%	18 / 19.9%	8 / 7.8%	5 / 4.6%	3 / 6.3%
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work					
	Yes, bike to work	.[a]			B	
	Yes, walk to school	.[a]				
	Yes, bike to school				A	
	No					
	Currently unemployed/ retired	C D E	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	23 / 5.6%	10 / 5.5%	13 / 5.8%
	Yes, bike to work	26 / 6.5%	14 / 7.5%	13 / 5.7%
	Yes, walk to school	26 / 6.4%	22 / 12.4%	3 / 1.5%
	Yes, bike to school	15 / 3.7%	10 / 5.4%	5 / 2.3%
	No	274 / 68.4%	131 / 72.6%	143 / 64.9%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 / 12.6%	4 / 2.3%	46 / 21.1%
	DK/NA	1 / .1%	1 / .3%	0 / .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work		
	Yes, bike to work		
	Yes, walk to school	B	
	Yes, bike to school		
	No		
	Currently unemployed/ retired		A
	DK/NA		.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Seniors in the Household	
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	23 5.6%	8 5.8%	15 5.6%
	Yes, bike to work	26 6.5%	6 4.4%	20 7.5%
	Yes, walk to school	26 6.4%	3 2.4%	23 8.4%
	Yes, bike to school	15 3.7%	3 2.5%	12 4.3%
	No	274 68.4%	76 58.9%	197 72.9%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 12.6%	40 30.7%	11 3.9%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work		
	Yes, bike to work		
	Yes, walk to school		A
	Yes, bike to school		
	No		A
	Currently unemployed/ retired	B	
	DK/NA	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income				
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	23 5.6%	2 2.2%	10 11.6%	2 2.5%	5 6.9%	4 4.5%
	Yes, bike to work	26 6.5%	0 .0%	3 4.1%	9 12.9%	10 13.3%	3 3.5%
	Yes, walk to school	26 6.4%	2 3.2%	10 12.6%	4 5.9%	7 9.4%	2 1.8%
	Yes, bike to school	15 3.7%	1 1.0%	1 1.0%	3 4.2%	3 4.3%	7 7.2%
	No	274 68.4%	43 59.4%	52 63.1%	50 72.0%	57 73.6%	72 72.7%
	Currently unemployed/ retired	50 12.6%	25 34.2%	9 11.1%	3 4.8%	2 2.7%	11 11.3%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. Do you walk or bike to work or school?	Yes, walk to work	.a				
	Yes, bike to work					
	Yes, walk to school		E			
	Yes, bike to school					
	No					
	Currently unemployed/ retired	B C D E				
	DK/NA	.a	.a	.a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**	243 60.6%	117 59.5%	125 61.7%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	47 24.1%	50 24.5%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	7 3.6%	7 3.5%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.5%	9 4.6%	9 4.5%
	DK/NA	28 7.0%	16 8.2%	12 5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**	239 61.7%	39 58.2%	14 46.1%	98 78.3%
	Somewhat support	91 23.6%	21 30.4%	11 34.4%	18 14.0%
	Somewhat oppose	12 3.1%	4 6.1%	0 1.5%	3 2.5%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	1 1.5%	2 6.2%	4 2.9%
	DK/NA	27 7.0%	3 3.8%	4 11.8%	3 2.4%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**	56 57.0%	31 48.0%
	Somewhat support	25 25.2%	18 27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	4 3.7%	1 1.1%
	Strongly oppose	7 7.1%	4 6.2%
	DK/NA	7 6.9%	11 17.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**			A B D E		
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**	237 60.7%	92 61.6%	65 52.5%	62 75.6%	18 51.3%
	Somewhat support	96 24.6%	37 24.6%	39 31.4%	11 12.9%	10 27.9%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.5%	4 2.7%	6 4.8%	2 2.1%	2 4.9%
	Strongly oppose	16 4.2%	5 3.6%	4 3.5%	5 6.4%	2 4.4%
	DK/NA	27 7.0%	11 7.5%	10 7.7%	2 3.0%	4 11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
	Strongly support			B	
	Somewhat support		C		
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
	Strongly support	242 60.6%	32 64.7%	126 68.9%	85 50.5%
	Somewhat support	96 24.2%	14 28.1%	36 19.8%	46 27.7%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	9 5.6%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	1 1.5%	6 3.5%	11 6.6%
	DK/NA	28 7.1%	3 5.7%	9 5.0%	16 9.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
	Strongly support		C	
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose	a.		
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
	Strongly support	237 60.5%	176 58.9%	60 66.0%
	Somewhat support	96 24.5%	72 24.1%	23 25.5%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	11 3.6%	3 3.7%
	Strongly oppose	17 4.5%	17 5.6%	1 .8%
	DK/NA	27 6.9%	23 7.8%	4 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
	Strongly support	241 61.0%	21 54.7%	46 50.6%	71 67.6%
	Somewhat support	96 24.2%	12 30.6%	26 28.3%	22 21.1%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	0 .0%	6 7.1%	1 1.2%
	Strongly oppose	17 4.3%	2 4.1%	7 8.2%	4 4.0%
	DK/NA	27 6.9%	4 10.5%	5 5.8%	6 6.1%

		Members in the
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	81 68.7%	22 51.2%
	Somewhat support	25 21.2%	11 25.5%
	Somewhat oppose	4 3.8%	2 4.7%
	Strongly oppose	2 1.5%	2 4.5%
	DK/NA	6 4.8%	6 14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	a.				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

 b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

 c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	243 60.6%	125 69.4%	117 53.4%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	32 17.8%	65 29.6%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	5 2.8%	9 4.2%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.5%	7 3.8%	11 5.2%
	DK/NA	28 7.0%	11 6.3%	17 7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

 b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	243 60.6%	67 51.9%	175 64.8%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	32 24.8%	65 24.0%
	Somewhat oppose	14 3.6%	4 3.0%	10 3.8%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.5%	10 7.9%	8 2.9%
	DK/NA	28 7.0%	16 12.4%	12 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

 b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

| | | **Annual Household Income** | | | |
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Strongly support	243 / 60.6%	46 / 63.2%	54 / 64.8%	47 / 67.3%
	Somewhat support	97 / 24.3%	19 / 26.9%	12 / 14.8%	14 / 19.9%
	Somewhat oppose	14 / 3.6%	0 / .7%	5 / 5.7%	2 / 3.0%
	Strongly oppose	18 / 4.5%	2 / 2.4%	6 / 7.3%	5 / 7.0%
	DK/NA	28 / 7.0%	5 / 6.8%	6 / 7.3%	2 / 2.9%

| | | **Annual Household** | |
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Total	77	98
	Strongly support	47 / 61.5%	49 / 49.7%
	Somewhat support	24 / 31.5%	27 / 27.7%
	Somewhat oppose	2 / 2.3%	5 / 5.2%
	Strongly oppose	0 / .0%	6 / 5.6%
	DK/NA	4 / 4.7%	12 / 11.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | **Annual Household Income** | | | | |
| | | Less than $80,000 | $80,000 to $119,999 | $120,000 to $179,999 | $180,000 or more | DK/NA |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose				a.	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | **Gender** | | |
		Total	Male	Female
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	400	197	203
	Strongly support	92 / 22.9%	51 / 25.9%	41 / 20.0%
	Somewhat support	76 / 18.9%	46 / 23.1%	30 / 14.9%
	Somewhat oppose	55 / 13.7%	34 / 17.3%	21 / 10.1%
	Strongly oppose	117 / 29.2%	46 / 23.2%	71 / 35.1%
	DK/NA	61 / 15.2%	21 / 10.4%	40 / 19.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support	B	
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	91 23.4%	20 30.1%	8 25.8%	28 22.0%
	Somewhat support	72 18.7%	18 26.4%	4 13.9%	19 15.6%
	Somewhat oppose	54 13.9%	7 9.7%	7 21.4%	17 13.7%
	Strongly oppose	111 28.8%	14 21.4%	6 19.7%	38 30.0%
	DK/NA	59 15.2%	8 12.4%	6 19.2%	23 18.7%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	24 24.5%	11 16.4%
	Somewhat support	17 17.8%	13 20.6%
	Somewhat oppose	12 12.5%	11 16.9%
	Strongly oppose	33 33.8%	20 30.9%
	DK/NA	11 11.4%	10 15.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	90 23.1%	49 33.0%	18 14.8%	14 17.3%	9 23.7%
	Somewhat support	75 19.2%	42 28.1%	19 15.2%	9 10.5%	6 16.4%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.5%	14 9.1%	21 17.0%	12 14.5%	6 17.3%
	Strongly oppose	112 28.7%	28 19.0%	42 34.2%	32 39.5%	9 25.1%
	DK/NA	60 15.5%	16 10.8%	23 18.8%	15 18.2%	6 17.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	B			
	Somewhat support	C			
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		A	A	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	92 23.0%	12 25.5%	42 23.1%	37 22.1%
	Somewhat support	74 18.6%	9 17.9%	27 15.0%	38 22.8%
	Somewhat oppose	55 13.7%	6 11.9%	30 16.2%	19 11.5%
	Strongly oppose	117 29.4%	15 31.0%	47 25.9%	55 32.6%
	DK/NA	61 15.3%	7 13.7%	36 19.8%	18 10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	91 23.3%	64 21.5%	27 29.1%
	Somewhat support	74 18.8%	58 19.5%	15 16.7%
	Somewhat oppose	54 13.9%	43 14.4%	11 12.0%
	Strongly oppose	114 29.2%	91 30.4%	23 25.2%
	DK/NA	58 14.8%	42 14.1%	16 17.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	91 23.0%	8 20.1%	17 19.1%	27 25.7%
	Somewhat support	75 19.0%	7 19.2%	22 23.8%	18 16.8%
	Somewhat oppose	54 13.7%	5 12.6%	12 13.0%	15 14.7%
	Strongly oppose	115 29.1%	11 29.3%	28 30.9%	25 24.2%
	DK/NA	60 15.3%	7 18.8%	12 13.3%	19 18.5%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	26 — 21.8%	13 — 30.2%
	Somewhat support	25 — 21.2%	4 — 8.3%
	Somewhat oppose	17 — 14.4%	5 — 11.5%
	Strongly oppose	32 — 27.0%	18 — 42.5%
	DK/NA	18 — 15.6%	3 — 7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	92 — 22.9%	44 — 24.6%	47 — 21.5%
	Somewhat support	76 — 18.9%	29 — 15.9%	47 — 21.5%
	Somewhat oppose	55 — 13.7%	26 — 14.6%	28 — 12.9%
	Strongly oppose	117 — 29.2%	47 — 26.0%	70 — 31.9%
	DK/NA	61 — 15.2%	34 — 19.0%	27 — 12.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	92 — 22.9%	26 — 20.3%	65 — 24.2%
	Somewhat support	76 — 18.9%	23 — 17.9%	53 — 19.4%
	Somewhat oppose	55 — 13.7%	19 — 14.5%	36 — 13.3%
	Strongly oppose	117 — 29.2%	42 — 32.4%	75 — 27.7%
	DK/NA	61 — 15.2%	19 — 14.8%	42 — 15.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Strongly support	92 22.9%	16 21.8%	26 31.3%	19 27.8%
	Somewhat support	76 18.9%	17 23.7%	15 18.5%	14 20.5%
	Somewhat oppose	55 13.7%	9 12.8%	8 9.2%	7 10.1%
	Strongly oppose	117 29.2%	21 29.2%	23 27.5%	19 27.6%
	DK/NA	61 15.2%	9 12.5%	11 13.5%	10 14.0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	**DK/NA**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	77	98
	Strongly support	18 23.2%	13 13.1%
	Somewhat support	12 15.5%	17 17.3%
	Somewhat oppose	12 16.0%	19 18.9%
	Strongly oppose	22 28.5%	32 32.5%
	DK/NA	13 16.8%	18 18.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		E			
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Dogs in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	397	86	311
	Strongly support	92 23.1%	42 49.4%	49 15.9%
	Somewhat support	76 19.1%	16 18.4%	60 19.3%
	Somewhat oppose	55 13.8%	8 9.4%	47 15.0%
	Strongly oppose	114 28.8%	10 11.3%	104 33.6%
	DK/NA	60 15.2%	10 11.6%	50 16.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Dogs in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	197	203
	Strongly support	118 29.5%	55 27.8%	64 31.3%
	Somewhat support	122 30.6%	58 29.5%	64 31.7%
	Somewhat oppose	38 9.5%	22 11.0%	16 8.0%
	Strongly oppose	97 24.2%	48 24.6%	48 23.8%
	DK/NA	25 6.2%	14 7.2%	11 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	387	67	31	125
	Strongly support	116 29.8%	32 47.4%	5 17.5%	33 26.0%
	Somewhat support	119 30.7%	21 30.7%	12 37.3%	43 34.6%
	Somewhat oppose	36 9.3%	4 5.2%	5 16.1%	12 9.4%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.9%	10 14.2%	7 23.6%	29 23.0%
	DK/NA	24 6.3%	2 2.5%	2 5.6%	9 7.0%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	98	65
	Strongly support	34 / 34.2%	12 / 18.4%
	Somewhat support	23 / 23.9%	20 / 30.4%
	Somewhat oppose	9 / 9.5%	6 / 9.5%
	Strongly oppose	28 / 28.4%	19 / 29.2%
	DK/NA	4 / 4.0%	8 / 12.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support	B C E				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Strongly support	114 / 29.2%	58 / 39.0%	28 / 23.0%	14 / 17.0%	14 / 37.6%
	Somewhat support	121 / 31.1%	41 / 27.8%	40 / 32.3%	34 / 40.9%	7 / 18.4%
	Somewhat oppose	38 / 9.6%	13 / 8.7%	18 / 14.7%	3 / 3.8%	3 / 8.8%
	Strongly oppose	94 / 24.0%	28 / 19.1%	32 / 25.6%	24 / 28.8%	10 / 28.3%
	DK/NA	24 / 6.0%	8 / 5.4%	5 / 4.4%	8 / 9.5%	2 / 6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support	B C			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Strongly support	118 / 29.5%	15 / 31.2%	56 / 30.5%	47 / 27.9%
	Somewhat support	122 / 30.5%	15 / 30.0%	63 / 34.3%	45 / 26.5%
	Somewhat oppose	38 / 9.5%	3 / 5.2%	16 / 9.0%	19 / 11.3%
	Strongly oppose	97 / 24.2%	11 / 21.7%	36 / 19.9%	50 / 29.7%
	DK/NA	25 / 6.2%	6 / 11.9%	11 / 6.3%	8 / 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	391	299	92
	Strongly support	115 / 29.5%	82 / 27.5%	33 / 36.0%
	Somewhat support	119 / 30.5%	96 / 32.1%	23 / 25.3%
	Somewhat oppose	38 / 9.6%	34 / 11.3%	4 / 4.0%
	Strongly oppose	95 / 24.4%	74 / 24.7%	21 / 23.3%
	DK/NA	24 / 6.1%	13 / 4.4%	10 / 11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	395	38	91	105
	Strongly support	117 / 29.6%	9 / 22.4%	23 / 25.6%	34 / 32.4%
	Somewhat support	121 / 30.7%	12 / 30.5%	29 / 31.5%	28 / 27.1%
	Somewhat oppose	38 / 9.6%	4 / 10.3%	15 / 16.0%	10 / 9.8%
	Strongly oppose	95 / 24.0%	10 / 27.0%	19 / 21.3%	24 / 23.0%
	DK/NA	24 / 6.1%	4 / 9.8%	5 / 5.6%	8 / 7.7%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	117	43
	Strongly support	31 / 26.6%	20 / 45.7%
	Somewhat support	45 / 38.7%	7 / 16.4%
	Somewhat oppose	6 / 5.4%	3 / 6.7%
	Strongly oppose	29 / 24.7%	12 / 27.1%
	DK/NA	5 / 4.6%	2 / 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	181	220
	Strongly support	118 / 29.5%	53 / 29.3%	65 / 29.7%
	Somewhat support	122 / 30.6%	60 / 33.1%	63 / 28.5%
	Somewhat oppose	38 / 9.5%	14 / 7.6%	24 / 11.1%
	Strongly oppose	97 / 24.2%	43 / 23.6%	54 / 24.6%
	DK/NA	25 / 6.2%	11 / 6.4%	13 / 6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	130	271
	Strongly support	118 29.5%	33 25.7%	85 31.4%
	Somewhat support	122 30.6%	36 27.5%	87 32.1%
	Somewhat oppose	38 9.5%	9 6.6%	29 10.9%
	Strongly oppose	97 24.2%	42 32.6%	54 20.1%
	DK/NA	25 6.2%	10 7.7%	15 5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Strongly support	118 29.5%	23 31.6%	29 35.1%	21 30.3%
	Somewhat support	122 30.6%	28 38.9%	24 29.3%	17 24.5%
	Somewhat oppose	38 9.5%	4 5.1%	5 6.4%	10 14.5%
	Strongly oppose	97 24.2%	12 16.2%	21 25.9%	15 21.6%
	DK/NA	25 6.2%	6 8.1%	3 3.4%	6 9.1%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	77	98
	Strongly support	23 29.8%	22 22.6%
	Somewhat support	23 29.6%	30 30.8%
	Somewhat oppose	9 12.0%	10 9.8%
	Strongly oppose	20 25.5%	29 29.3%
	DK/NA	2 3.2%	7 7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Dogs in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	397	86	311
	Strongly support	117 29.6%	44 50.9%	74 23.7%
	Somewhat support	121 30.4%	20 23.5%	100 32.3%
	Somewhat oppose	38 9.6%	9 10.5%	29 9.3%
	Strongly oppose	96 24.2%	12 13.8%	84 27.1%
	DK/NA	25 6.3%	1 1.4%	24 7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Dogs in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Total**	400	197	203
	Yes	17 4.3%	12 5.9%	6 2.7%
	No	349 87.3%	175 88.8%	175 85.8%
	DK/NA	34 8.5%	11 5.4%	23 11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Yes**		
	No		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Total**	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Yes	17 4.4%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	3 2.4%	6 6.3%	7 10.8%
	No	338 87.1%	57 84.8%	31 99.0%	111 88.9%	87 88.6%	51 78.3%
	DK/NA	33 8.4%	9 14.0%	0 1.0%	11 8.7%	5 5.1%	7 10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. Does your household currently have a PV solar system?	**Yes**		.a			
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Total**	390	149	124	82	36
	Yes	17 4.4%	10 6.7%	5 3.8%	1 1.4%	1 3.6%
	No	340 87.0%	127 85.3%	108 86.9%	72 87.9%	33 91.6%
	DK/NA	34 8.7%	12 7.9%	12 9.3%	9 10.7%	2 4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. Does your household currently have a PV solar system?	**Yes**				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Total**	399	49	182	168
	Yes	16 4.1%	0 .0%	6 3.5%	10 5.9%
	No	349 87.4%	48 98.5%	160 87.9%	140 83.7%
	DK/NA	34 8.5%	1 1.5%	16 8.6%	17 10.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. Does your household currently have a PV solar system?	**Yes**	.a		
	No	C		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	**Total**	391	299	92
	Yes	16 4.2%	16 5.5%	0 .0%
	No	341 87.4%	259 86.6%	82 90.0%
	DK/NA	33 8.4%	24 8.0%	9 10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
15. Does your household currently have a PV solar system?	**Yes**		.a
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Members in the Household

		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes	17 / 4.3%	3 / 7.5%	4 / 3.9%	2 / 2.4%	5 / 4.2%	3 / 7.3%
	No	346 / 87.5%	31 / 80.7%	81 / 89.1%	97 / 92.3%	104 / 88.9%	32 / 75.2%
	DK/NA	32 / 8.1%	4 / 11.8%	6 / 6.9%	6 / 5.4%	8 / 6.9%	8 / 17.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

Members in the Household

		One (A)	Two (B)	Three (C)	Four (D)	Five or more (E)
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes					
	No			E		
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Children in the Household

		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes	17 / 4.3%	7 / 3.7%	10 / 4.7%
	No	349 / 87.3%	158 / 87.8%	191 / 86.9%
	DK/NA	34 / 8.5%	15 / 8.5%	19 / 8.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

Children in the Household

		Yes (A)	No (B)
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Seniors in the Household

		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes	17 / 4.3%	10 / 7.4%	8 / 2.8%
	No	349 / 87.3%	104 / 79.8%	246 / 90.9%
	DK/NA	34 / 8.5%	17 / 12.9%	17 / 6.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

Seniors in the Household

		Yes (A)	No (B)
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Annual Household Income

		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes	17 / 4.3%	3 / 4.0%	2 / 2.8%	4 / 5.5%	1 / .7%	7 / 7.6%
	No	349 / 87.3%	62 / 85.9%	73 / 87.8%	62 / 88.8%	71 / 92.7%	81 / 82.5%
	DK/NA	34 / 8.5%	7 / 10.1%	8 / 9.4%	4 / 5.7%	5 / 6.6%	10 / 9.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

Annual Household Income

		Less than $80,000 (A)	$80,000 to $119,999 (B)	$120,000 to $179,999 (C)	$180,000 or more (D)	DK/NA (E)
15. Does your household currently have a photovoltaic or PV solar system?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Gender | | |
		Total	Male	Female
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	400	197	203
	Smith	225 56.2%	127 64.7%	98 48.0%
	Jones	131 32.7%	48 24.5%	82 40.6%
	Neither	22 5.6%	13 6.6%	9 4.6%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	8 4.2%	14 6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Gender | |
		Male (A)	Female (B)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith	B	
	Jones		A
	Neither		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Age | | | | | |
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
	Smith	218 56.3%	55 81.8%	15 46.6%	68 54.5%	45 46.0%	35 53.2%
	Jones	126 32.6%	12 17.6%	10 32.5%	45 36.3%	40 40.7%	19 29.0%
	Neither	21 5.5%	0 .6%	2 6.2%	8 6.3%	6 6.1%	5 7.5%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	0 .0%	5 14.6%	4 2.9%	7 7.1%	7 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | Age | | | | |
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith	B C D E				
	Jones				A	
	Neither					
	DK/NA	a.	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Ethnicity | | | | |
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Smith	222 56.8%	93 62.7%	63 51.0%	47 57.8%	18 49.9%
	Jones	126 32.2%	41 27.8%	44 35.4%	29 35.6%	12 32.2%
	Neither	21 5.4%	10 6.6%	6 5.0%	3 4.2%	2 4.4%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	4 3.0%	11 8.6%	2 2.4%	5 13.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Ethnicity | | | |
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith				
	Jones				
	Neither				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	399	49	182	168
	Smith	224 56.2%	33 67.5%	96 52.5%	96 57.0%
	Jones	130 32.6%	10 20.5%	64 35.3%	56 33.2%
	Neither	22 5.6%	2 4.6%	16 8.7%	4 2.4%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	4 7.3%	6 3.5%	12 7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith			
	Jones			
	Neither		C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	391	299	92
	Smith	219 56.1%	165 55.0%	55 59.6%
	Jones	129 33.0%	104 34.7%	25 27.5%
	Neither	20 5.2%	13 4.3%	7 8.1%
	DK/NA	22 5.7%	18 6.0%	4 4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith		
	Jones		
	Neither		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Smith	223 56.4%	20 53.5%	53 57.8%	61 58.4%	64 54.7%	24 55.6%
	Jones	128 32.5%	14 35.9%	26 29.0%	30 28.5%	41 35.1%	17 39.6%
	Neither	21 5.4%	3 7.6%	6 6.9%	4 3.8%	8 7.1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	22 5.7%	1 2.9%	6 6.3%	10 9.2%	4 3.1%	2 4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One (A)	Two (B)	Three (C)	Four (D)	Five or more (E)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith					
	Jones					
	Neither					a.
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	400	181	220
	Smith	225 56.2%	100 55.2%	125 57.0%
	Jones	131 32.7%	64 35.5%	67 30.4%
	Neither	22 5.6%	10 5.3%	13 5.7%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	7 4.0%	15 6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith		
	Jones		
	Neither		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	400	130	271
	Smith	225 56.2%	65 49.8%	160 59.3%
	Jones	131 32.7%	47 36.0%	84 31.0%
	Neither	22 5.6%	12 8.9%	11 4.0%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	7 5.3%	15 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith		
	Jones		
	Neither	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income				
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	Smith	225 56.2%	39 54.0%	50 61.0%	49 70.4%	47 61.6%	39 39.5%
	Jones	131 32.7%	23 31.8%	28 33.8%	19 27.1%	21 27.0%	40 40.7%
	Neither	22 5.6%	6 7.8%	1 1.4%	2 2.5%	6 7.7%	8 7.9%
	DK/NA	22 5.6%	5 6.4%	3 3.8%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	12 11.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000 (A)	$80,000 to $119,999 (B)	$120,000 to $179,999 (C)	$180,000 or more (D)	DK/NA (E)
16. Now, I'm going to read you the opinions of two Cupertino residents - Smith and Jones. Please tell me which one sounds most like your own opinion.	Smith		E	E	E	
	Jones					
	Neither					
	DK/NA			a.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	400	197	203
	Very satisfied	139 34.7%	74 37.8%	65 31.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	122 30.4%	54 27.3%	68 33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.7%	31 15.5%	32 15.8%
	Very dissatisfied	64 15.9%	30 15.1%	34 16.7%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	8 4.2%	5 2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	387	67	31	125
	Very satisfied	136 35.2%	12 17.4%	9 30.3%	54 43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	117 30.3%	15 22.7%	5 14.8%	45 36.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	60 15.5%	21 30.6%	3 9.5%	14 11.1%
	Very dissatisfied	61 15.7%	19 27.7%	12 39.9%	9 7.3%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	1 1.6%	2 5.6%	3 2.6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	98	65
	Very satisfied	38 38.3%	24 36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	31 31.9%	21 32.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14 14.7%	8 12.7%
	Very dissatisfied	12 12.5%	8 12.7%
	DK/NA	3 2.6%	4 6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age		
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			A
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C		
	Very dissatisfied	C	C D E	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age	
		50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	A	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity		
		Total	**White**	**Chinese**
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	**Total**	390	149	124
	Very satisfied	136 34.9%	41 27.6%	45 36.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	119 30.6%	46 31.0%	40 32.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	60 15.4%	27 18.2%	22 17.6%
	Very dissatisfied	62 16.0%	27 18.2%	11 9.3%
	DK/NA	12 3.2%	7 4.9%	5 4.1%

		Ethnicity	
		Indian	**Other**
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	**Total**	82	36
	Very satisfied	40 48.7%	10 27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	24 29.5%	9 24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 7.2%	5 15.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12 14.5%	12 32.8%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	**Chinese**	**Indian**	**Other**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	**Very satisfied**			A	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				B
	DK/NA			.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	**3 years or less**	**4 to 15 years**	**16 years or more**
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	**Total**	399	49	182	168
	Very satisfied	138 34.7%	13 26.3%	66 36.1%	60 35.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	121 30.3%	6 12.6%	63 34.5%	52 30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.7%	9 18.6%	27 14.7%	27 16.1%
	Very dissatisfied	64 16.0%	16 33.6%	22 12.0%	25 15.2%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	4 8.9%	5 2.7%	4 2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	B C		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
	Very satisfied	135 34.6%	104 34.9%	31 33.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	117 30.0%	94 31.3%	24 25.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 16.1%	48 16.0%	15 16.3%
	Very dissatisfied	64 16.3%	46 15.3%	18 19.8%
	DK/NA	12 3.0%	7 2.5%	4 4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Members in the Household			
	Total	One	Two	Three
Total	395	38	91	105
Very satisfied	137 34.7%	10 25.4%	30 32.3%	38 35.9%
Somewhat satisfied	119 30.2%	10 26.6%	35 38.0%	33 31.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.9%	9 23.6%	9 9.7%	19 18.1%
Very dissatisfied	63 16.0%	7 17.8%	15 16.9%	12 11.6%
DK/NA	12 3.1%	2 6.6%	3 3.1%	3 2.9%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	48 41.1%	12 27.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	31 26.1%	11 25.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 15.2%	8 18.8%
	Very dissatisfied	20 17.2%	9 20.0%
	DK/NA	1 .4%	4 8.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household		
		One	Two	Three
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
		(D)	(E)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	139 34.7%	68 37.5%	71 32.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	122 30.4%	54 30.1%	67 30.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.7%	25 13.6%	38 17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	64 15.9%	29 15.9%	35 16.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	5 2.9%	8 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	139 34.7%	47 35.9%	92 34.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	122 30.4%	40 30.6%	82 30.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.7%	14 11.0%	49 17.9%
	Very dissatisfied	64 15.9%	23 17.8%	41 15.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	6 4.7%	7 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	139 34.7%	21 29.0%	35 42.5%	21 30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	122 30.4%	18 24.6%	23 28.2%	21 30.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	63 15.7%	11 15.6%	8 10.2%	12 17.0%
	Very dissatisfied	64 15.9%	17 23.2%	16 19.1%	14 19.3%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	5 7.6%	0 .0%	2 3.2%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	28 36.8%	34 34.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	24 31.7%	35 35.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11 14.8%	20 20.1%
	Very dissatisfied	11 13.7%	7 7.3%
	DK/NA	2 3.1%	3 3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income		
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	E	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(D)	(E)
17. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Gender | | |
		Total	Male	Female
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	197	203
	Strongly support	211 52.8%	115 58.4%	96 47.3%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	44 22.5%	53 26.1%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.7%	9 4.4%	18 9.0%
	Strongly oppose	48 12.1%	24 12.4%	24 11.7%
	DK/NA	17 4.1%	5 2.3%	12 5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Gender | |
| | | Male | Female |
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Age | | | |
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	387	67	31	125
	Strongly support	205 53.0%	47 70.3%	15 46.8%	68 54.4%
	Somewhat support	95 24.4%	17 25.8%	6 20.6%	27 21.6%
	Somewhat oppose	26 6.7%	3 3.9%	6 18.1%	6 4.8%
	Strongly oppose	46 12.0%	0 .0%	5 14.5%	21 16.4%
	DK/NA	15 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.7%

| | | Age | |
		50 to 64	65 and older
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	98	65
	Strongly support	50 51.2%	25 38.3%
	Somewhat support	25 25.5%	19 28.6%
	Somewhat oppose	5 5.4%	6 9.8%
	Strongly oppose	10 10.2%	11 17.3%
	DK/NA	8 7.7%	4 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | Age | | | | |
| | | 18 to 29 | 30 to 39 | 40 to 49 | 50 to 64 | 65 and older |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	E				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose	a.				
	DK/NA	a.	a.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Ethnicity | | | | |
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Strongly support	208 53.2%	75 50.6%	66 53.1%	45 55.5%	22 59.9%
	Somewhat support	95 24.4%	46 30.8%	27 21.5%	16 19.3%	7 19.1%
	Somewhat oppose	26 6.6%	9 5.9%	9 7.6%	6 7.2%	2 4.4%
	Strongly oppose	47 12.0%	13 8.7%	16 13.0%	12 15.2%	5 15.1%
	DK/NA	15 3.8%	6 4.1%	6 4.9%	2 2.8%	1 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	211 53.0%	32 65.4%	100 54.7%	80 47.4%
	Somewhat support	97 24.2%	11 23.4%	41 22.3%	45 26.6%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	2 3.6%	14 7.9%	11 6.4%
	Strongly oppose	48 11.9%	3 5.3%	20 11.1%	25 14.8%
	DK/NA	17 4.1%	1 2.2%	7 4.1%	8 4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	209 53.6%	156 52.3%	53 57.7%
	Somewhat support	93 23.8%	70 23.4%	23 25.3%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.9%	24 7.9%	3 3.6%
	Strongly oppose	46 11.9%	36 12.2%	10 10.9%
	DK/NA	15 3.9%	13 4.3%	2 2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	211 53.5%	16 41.7%	40 43.9%	62 59.1%
	Somewhat support	96 24.2%	12 32.5%	22 24.1%	26 24.5%
	Somewhat oppose	26 6.7%	4 9.9%	9 9.4%	4 3.8%
	Strongly oppose	46 11.8%	4 10.3%	13 14.4%	8 7.7%
	DK/NA	15 3.8%	2 5.6%	7 8.2%	5 5.0%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	117	43
	Strongly support	69 58.4%	25 57.4%
	Somewhat support	24 20.6%	11 26.5%
	Somewhat oppose	8 6.7%	2 5.2%
	Strongly oppose	17 14.3%	5 10.5%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA				a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	181	220
	Strongly support	211 52.8%	98 54.3%	113 51.5%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	42 23.5%	55 25.0%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.7%	13 7.0%	14 6.5%
	Strongly oppose	48 12.1%	25 13.6%	24 10.8%
	DK/NA	17 4.1%	3 1.6%	14 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	130	271
	Strongly support	211 52.8%	60 46.6%	151 55.7%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	29 22.7%	68 25.1%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.7%	9 7.3%	18 6.5%
	Strongly oppose	48 12.1%	23 17.4%	26 9.5%
	DK/NA	17 4.1%	8 6.0%	9 3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income		
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	211 52.8%	36 50.2%	47 56.3%	42 60.3%
	Somewhat support	97 24.3%	19 26.6%	23 27.4%	16 22.8%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.7%	5 6.7%	3 3.6%	6 8.9%
	Strongly oppose	48 12.1%	7 10.3%	11 12.7%	4 5.2%
	DK/NA	17 4.1%	5 6.3%	0 .0%	2 2.7%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	42 54.4%	44 45.1%
	Somewhat support	18 23.0%	22 22.2%
	Somewhat oppose	4 4.9%	9 9.2%
	Strongly oppose	9 11.9%	18 17.8%
	DK/NA	4 5.8%	6 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA		.ª			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important	105 26.3%	55 28.1%	50 24.6%
	Very Important	140 35.0%	66 33.7%	74 36.4%
	Somewhat Important	119 29.7%	64 32.5%	55 27.0%
	Not at all Important	26 6.4%	11 5.4%	15 7.5%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	1 .4%	9 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important		
	Very Important		
	Somewhat Important		
	Not at all Important		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	387	67	31	125
	Extremely Important	102 26.5%	22 32.0%	10 32.9%	35 27.7%
	Very Important	134 34.7%	22 32.1%	6 19.6%	42 33.4%
	Somewhat Important	116 29.9%	21 31.8%	13 41.2%	35 28.0%
	Not at all Important	25 6.5%	2 2.8%	0 .0%	13 10.3%
	DK/NA	9 2.4%	1 1.2%	2 6.2%	1 .6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	98	65
	Extremely Important	18 18.8%	17 26.8%
	Very Important	38 38.5%	27 41.5%
	Somewhat Important	34 34.3%	13 19.3%
	Not at all Important	5 4.9%	6 8.8%
	DK/NA	3 3.6%	2 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important					
	Very Important					
	Somewhat Important					
	Not at all Important		a.			
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Extremely Important	105 27.0%	34 22.6%	21 17.0%	40 48.7%	11 30.0%
	Very Important	139 35.6%	55 36.7%	61 49.2%	13 15.7%	11 30.0%
	Somewhat Important	113 29.0%	46 30.7%	31 25.0%	24 29.0%	13 35.4%
	Not at all Important	24 6.2%	10 6.6%	7 6.0%	5 6.5%	2 4.5%
	DK/NA	8 2.2%	5 3.3%	4 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important			A B	
	Very Important	C	C		
	Somewhat Important				
	Not at all Important				
	DK/NA			a .	a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Extremely Important	105 26.4%	16 33.0%	53 28.9%	37 21.8%
	Very Important	140 35.2%	15 31.2%	58 31.7%	67 40.1%
	Somewhat Important	118 29.5%	15 31.3%	55 30.2%	47 28.2%
	Not at all Important	26 6.5%	1 2.8%	10 5.7%	14 8.3%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	1 1.7%	6 3.5%	3 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important			
	Very Important			
	Somewhat Important			
	Not at all Important			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important	105 26.8%	70 23.2%	35 38.2%
	Very Important	139 35.7%	118 39.4%	22 23.5%
	Somewhat Important	118 30.1%	89 29.8%	29 31.1%
	Not at all Important	22 5.7%	19 6.2%	4 4.1%
	DK/NA	7 1.8%	4 1.4%	3 3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important		A
	Very Important	B	
	Somewhat Important		
	Not at all Important		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important	105 26.7%	10 27.1%	22 24.3%	36 34.3%
	Very Important	140 35.5%	11 29.9%	36 39.1%	34 32.7%
	Somewhat Important	117 29.7%	12 32.7%	25 27.3%	25 24.3%
	Not at all Important	25 6.4%	2 6.3%	7 7.2%	9 8.7%
	DK/NA	7 1.7%	2 4.0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%

		Members in the	
		Four	Five or more
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Total**	117	43
	Extremely Important	29 25.1%	7 17.4%
	Very Important	39 33.6%	19 45.1%
	Somewhat Important	39 33.5%	15 34.8%
	Not at all Important	7 5.5%	1 1.4%
	DK/NA	3 2.4%	1 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	**Two**	**Three**	**Four**	**Five or more**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Extremely Important**					
	Very Important					
	Somewhat Important					
	Not at all Important					
	DK/NA			a.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Total**	400	181	220
	Extremely Important	105 26.3%	50 27.8%	55 25.1%
	Very Important	140 35.0%	55 30.6%	85 38.7%
	Somewhat Important	119 29.7%	58 32.1%	61 27.8%
	Not at all Important	26 6.4%	14 7.6%	12 5.5%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	3 1.8%	7 3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Extremely Important**		
	Very Important		
	Somewhat Important		
	Not at all Important		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Total**	400	130	271
	Extremely Important	105 26.3%	40 30.5%	66 24.3%
	Very Important	140 35.0%	46 35.4%	94 34.9%
	Somewhat Important	119 29.7%	30 22.8%	89 33.0%
	Not at all Important	26 6.4%	8 5.9%	18 6.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	7 5.5%	3 1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	**Extremely Important**		
	Very Important		
	Somewhat Important		A
	Not at all Important		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Extremely Important	105 26.3%	19 26.2%	28 34.1%	19 26.6%
	Very Important	140 35.0%	27 37.1%	24 28.7%	27 38.3%
	Somewhat Important	119 29.7%	20 27.8%	27 32.1%	23 33.4%
	Not at all Important	26 6.4%	6 8.1%	3 4.1%	1 1.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	1 .8%	1 1.0%	0 .0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Total	77	98
	Extremely Important	18 23.5%	21 21.8%
	Very Important	29 37.4%	34 34.7%
	Somewhat Important	23 29.7%	26 26.5%
	Not at all Important	6 7.5%	10 9.7%
	DK/NA	1 1.9%	7 7.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. In your opinion, how important are economic development efforts for business vitality in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are extremely important, very important, somewhat important or not at all important?	Extremely Important					
	Very Important					
	Somewhat Important					
	Not at all Important					
	DK/NA			a .		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	197	203
	Strongly support	69 17.2%	43 21.9%	26 12.7%
	Somewhat support	67 16.7%	37 18.6%	30 14.9%
	Somewhat oppose	59 14.8%	24 12.4%	35 17.1%
	Strongly oppose	184 46.0%	84 42.8%	100 49.1%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	8 4.2%	13 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
	Strongly support	67 17.3%	16 23.2%	6 19.6%	12 9.4%
	Somewhat support	66 17.0%	16 23.6%	5 14.5%	19 14.8%
	Somewhat oppose	56 14.4%	18 26.3%	6 19.0%	17 13.8%
	Strongly oppose	178 46.0%	15 22.7%	14 44.5%	72 57.3%
	DK/NA	20 5.3%	3 4.2%	1 2.4%	6 4.7%

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
	Strongly support	15 15.6%	18 27.7%
	Somewhat support	13 13.5%	14 20.9%
	Somewhat oppose	8 8.5%	7 10.1%
	Strongly oppose	55 56.0%	22 34.1%
	DK/NA	6 6.5%	5 7.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Strongly support					C
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose	D				
	Strongly oppose			A E	A	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Strongly support	67 17.1%	33 22.1%	16 12.7%	13 16.4%	5 13.2%
	Somewhat support	67 17.1%	34 22.5%	13 10.8%	14 17.7%	6 15.4%
	Somewhat oppose	58 15.0%	23 15.8%	18 14.4%	6 7.5%	11 30.6%
	Strongly oppose	180 46.0%	49 33.0%	71 57.5%	47 56.9%	13 35.5%
	DK/NA	19 4.8%	10 6.5%	6 4.6%	1 1.6%	2 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				C
	Strongly oppose		A	A	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Strongly support	69 17.3%	10 20.2%	30 16.7%	29 17.1%
	Somewhat support	66 16.6%	11 22.8%	24 13.0%	31 18.6%
	Somewhat oppose	59 14.8%	6 13.1%	34 18.6%	19 11.3%
	Strongly oppose	184 46.0%	19 38.6%	85 46.9%	79 47.3%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	3 5.3%	9 4.8%	10 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	391	299	92
	Strongly support	69 17.7%	44 14.8%	25 27.0%
	Somewhat support	64 16.4%	41 13.8%	23 25.2%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.9%	46 15.4%	12 13.6%
	Strongly oppose	181 46.4%	155 51.8%	26 28.7%
	DK/NA	18 4.6%	13 4.3%	5 5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	395	38	91	105
	Strongly support	68 17.3%	10 25.9%	21 23.0%	12 11.1%
	Somewhat support	67 17.0%	11 30.1%	12 13.6%	20 19.3%
	Somewhat oppose	59 15.0%	4 11.6%	10 11.4%	15 14.8%
	Strongly oppose	182 46.1%	9 23.9%	43 47.2%	51 48.6%
	DK/NA	19 4.7%	3 8.5%	4 4.8%	6 6.1%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	117	43
	Strongly support	15 13.0%	10 24.2%
	Somewhat support	18 15.5%	5 10.7%
	Somewhat oppose	25 21.6%	3 8.1%
	Strongly oppose	56 48.0%	22 51.6%
	DK/NA	2 1.9%	2 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	181	220
	Strongly support	69 17.2%	28 15.5%	41 18.7%
	Somewhat support	67 16.7%	21 11.7%	46 20.8%
	Somewhat oppose	59 14.8%	30 16.7%	29 13.2%
	Strongly oppose	184 46.0%	95 52.6%	89 40.6%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	6 3.4%	15 6.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	130	271
	Strongly support	69 17.2%	24 18.5%	45 16.6%
	Somewhat support	67 16.7%	23 17.8%	44 16.2%
	Somewhat oppose	59 14.8%	12 9.3%	47 17.4%
	Strongly oppose	184 46.0%	62 48.0%	122 45.1%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	8 6.4%	13 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		A
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Strongly support	69 17.2%	16 22.4%	16 19.8%	14 19.9%
	Somewhat support	67 16.7%	21 29.7%	12 14.1%	13 18.0%
	Somewhat oppose	59 14.8%	12 16.1%	11 13.8%	10 15.0%
	Strongly oppose	184 46.0%	16 22.7%	41 49.2%	31 44.3%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	7 9.1%	3 3.1%	2 2.9%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	77	98
	Strongly support	12 15.3%	11 11.0%
	Somewhat support	8 10.6%	13 13.3%
	Somewhat oppose	12 16.1%	13 13.5%
	Strongly oppose	44 57.2%	52 53.0%
	DK/NA	1 .7%	9 9.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support	D				
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose		A		A	A
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	83 20.6%	28 14.1%	55 27.0%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	9 4.3%	4 1.8%
	Stayed about same	267 66.8%	145 73.6%	122 60.2%
	DK/NA	38 9.5%	16 8.0%	22 11.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
	Increased		A
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
	Increased	80 20.7%	5 7.9%	7 21.1%	36 28.5%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	6 8.5%	0 1.0%	4 3.0%
	Stayed about same	261 67.4%	56 83.6%	20 63.2%	78 62.1%
	DK/NA	34 8.9%	0 .0%	5 14.6%	8 6.5%

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
	Increased	20 20.5%	13 19.3%
	Decreased	0 .3%	2 2.4%
	Stayed about same	67 68.3%	40 61.4%
	DK/NA	11 10.9%	11 16.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?		Age				
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
	Increased			A		
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same	C E a.				
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Increased	81 20.8%	17 11.7%	30 23.8%	26 31.2%	9 23.8%
	Decreased	12 3.1%	6 3.8%	1 1.1%	3 3.1%	3 7.1%
	Stayed about same	261 66.9%	103 69.5%	87 70.2%	50 61.2%	21 57.5%
	DK/NA	36 9.2%	22 14.9%	6 4.9%	4 4.4%	4 11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			A	
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA	B			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	83 20.7%	5 10.7%	41 22.4%	37 21.8%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	2 4.4%	5 2.6%	5 3.2%
	Stayed about same	266 66.7%	35 70.6%	124 68.0%	108 64.1%
	DK/NA	38 9.6%	7 14.4%	13 7.1%	18 10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	82 21.0%	65 21.8%	17 18.3%
	Decreased	12 3.1%	7 2.2%	6 6.1%
	Stayed about same	259 66.3%	200 66.8%	59 64.6%
	DK/NA	37 9.6%	27 9.1%	10 11.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	83 20.9%	5 12.6%	23 25.5%	19 17.9%
	Decreased	12 2.9%	2 6.1%	3 3.1%	4 3.9%
	Stayed about same	264 66.9%	22 58.0%	53 58.4%	71 67.6%
	DK/NA	37 9.3%	9 23.2%	12 13.0%	11 10.6%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	27 23.3%	8 19.4%
	Decreased	2 1.7%	0 .7%
	Stayed about same	84 71.3%	34 78.7%
	DK/NA	4 3.6%	1 1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA	D E				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	83 20.6%	38 21.0%	45 20.4%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	1 .8%	11 4.8%
	Stayed about same	267 66.8%	134 74.0%	134 60.9%
	DK/NA	38 9.5%	8 4.3%	30 13.9%

Page 195

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		
	Decreased		A
	Stayed about same	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	83 20.6%	31 23.6%	52 19.2%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	5 3.6%	8 2.8%
	Stayed about same	267 66.8%	78 59.8%	190 70.2%
	DK/NA	38 9.5%	17 13.1%	21 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Increased	83 20.6%	14 19.1%	17 20.2%	12 17.3%
	Decreased	12 3.0%	3 4.0%	6 7.0%	1 1.9%
	Stayed about same	267 66.8%	49 67.2%	53 64.3%	50 70.9%
	DK/NA	38 9.5%	7 9.8%	7 8.4%	7 9.9%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	77	98
	Increased	14 17.8%	26 26.7%
	Decreased	0 .0%	2 2.2%
	Stayed about same	56 73.4%	60 60.5%
	DK/NA	7 8.9%	10 10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased				a.	
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	400	197	203
	Excellent	81 20.3%	52 26.3%	29 14.5%
	Good	257 64.3%	120 61.1%	137 67.4%
	Poor	36 9.0%	14 7.0%	22 10.9%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	6 3.0%	5 2.6%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	5 2.6%	9 4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B	
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Age		
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	80 20.6%	15 22.2%	5 15.2%	25 20.0%
	Good	249 64.2%	43 63.6%	19 62.1%	84 67.5%
	Poor	35 9.0%	6 8.2%	4 14.0%	10 8.0%
	Very poor	11 2.7%	4 6.0%	1 2.4%	3 2.6%
	DK/NA	13 3.5%	0 .0%	2 6.2%	2 1.9%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	24 24.5%	11 16.7%
	Good	60 61.6%	41 63.5%
	Poor	9 9.1%	6 9.2%
	Very poor	2 1.8%	1 1.1%
	DK/NA	3 3.0%	6 9.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Poor					
	Very poor					
	DK/NA	.a				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	80 20.4%	25 16.8%	22 17.9%	29 35.0%	4 10.2%
	Good	251 64.4%	91 61.1%	93 75.0%	44 53.5%	24 66.1%
	Poor	35 9.0%	20 13.5%	7 5.8%	4 4.5%	4 12.0%
	Very poor	11 2.9%	6 3.9%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	3 7.9%
	DK/NA	13 3.3%	7 4.6%	2 1.3%	3 3.8%	1 3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			A B D	
	Good		C		
	Poor				
	Very poor		.a		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Excellent	81 20.4%	15 30.2%	38 21.1%	28 16.7%
	Good	256 64.2%	29 58.7%	120 65.8%	107 64.0%
	Poor	36 9.0%	3 5.2%	15 8.1%	19 11.1%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	3 5.9%	2 1.3%	6 3.6%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	0 .0%	7 3.8%	8 4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Poor			
	Very poor			
	DK/NA	.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	391	299	92
	Excellent	80 20.5%	58 19.5%	22 23.7%
	Good	252 64.5%	193 64.7%	59 63.9%
	Poor	36 9.2%	30 9.9%	6 7.0%
	Very poor	11 2.9%	8 2.7%	3 3.6%
	DK/NA	12 2.9%	10 3.3%	2 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	395	38	91	105
	Excellent	80 20.3%	5 13.7%	15 16.6%	29 27.4%
	Good	257 65.0%	23 60.5%	63 69.0%	69 65.4%
	Poor	35 9.0%	7 19.3%	5 5.9%	6 5.6%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	0 .0%	5 5.5%	1 .9%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	2 6.5%	3 3.0%	1 .8%

| | | Members in the Household | |
		Four	Five or more
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	117	43
	Excellent	24 20.5%	7 15.9%
	Good	76 64.6%	26 61.0%
	Poor	14 11.6%	3 7.5%
	Very poor	2 1.6%	3 7.8%
	DK/NA	2 1.7%	3 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | Members in the Household | | | | |
| | | One | Two | Three | Four | Five or more |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Excellent**	.[a]				
	Good					
	Poor					
	Very poor					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Children in the Household | | |
		Total	Yes	No
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Total**	400	181	220
	Excellent	81 20.3%	30 16.6%	51 23.3%
	Good	257 64.3%	127 70.2%	131 59.5%
	Poor	36 9.0%	15 8.5%	21 9.3%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	5 2.8%	6 2.8%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	3 1.9%	11 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Children in the Household | |
| | | Yes | No |
		(A)	(B)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Excellent**		
	Good	B	
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Seniors in the Household | | |
		Total	Yes	No
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Total**	400	130	271
	Excellent	81 20.3%	22 17.3%	59 21.7%
	Good	257 64.3%	80 61.6%	177 65.6%
	Poor	36 9.0%	12 9.5%	24 8.7%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	5 3.5%	7 2.5%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	11 8.2%	4 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Seniors in the Household | |
| | | Yes | No |
		(A)	(B)
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Excellent**		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Total**	400	72	83	70
	Excellent	81 20.3%	10 13.8%	26 31.5%	11 15.8%
	Good	257 64.3%	48 66.0%	48 58.3%	48 68.5%
	Poor	36 9.0%	11 15.5%	5 6.6%	6 9.1%
	Very poor	11 2.8%	1 2.0%	2 2.6%	3 4.8%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	2 2.7%	1 1.0%	1 1.8%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	**DK/NA**
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Total**	77	98
	Excellent	21 27.2%	13 13.3%
	Good	48 62.7%	65 66.4%
	Poor	5 7.0%	7 7.6%
	Very poor	2 3.1%	2 1.9%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	11 10.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
22. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	**Excellent**		E			
	Good					
	Poor					
	Very poor					
	DK/NA				.[a]	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	**Male**	**Female**
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	**Total**	400	197	203
	More resentful/closed	32 8.0%	9 4.6%	23 11.3%
	More sympathetic/open	153 38.1%	73 37.2%	79 39.0%
	No change in how I feel	203 50.8%	111 56.4%	92 45.3%
	DK/NA	13 3.1%	4 1.8%	9 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		A
	More sympathetic/open		
	No change in how I feel	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	387	67	31	125
	More resentful/closed	31 8.0%	1 1.2%	2 6.3%	11 8.8%
	More sympathetic/open	148 38.2%	23 34.8%	8 26.1%	56 44.9%
	No change in how I feel	198 51.1%	43 64.0%	19 61.4%	55 43.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.7%	0 .0%	2 6.2%	3 2.6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	98	65
	More resentful/closed	9 9.5%	8 11.8%
	More sympathetic/open	34 34.6%	26 40.5%
	No change in how I feel	52 53.1%	29 44.1%
	DK/NA	3 2.8%	2 3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age		
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed			
	More sympathetic/open			
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA	a .		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age	
		50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		
	More sympathetic/open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity		
		Total	White	Chinese
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	390	149	124
	More resentful/closed	32 8.2%	18 12.0%	9 7.1%
	More sympathetic/open	150 38.5%	49 33.0%	44 35.5%
	No change in how I feel	196 50.3%	75 50.3%	66 53.5%
	DK/NA	12 3.0%	7 4.7%	5 3.9%

		Ethnicity	
		Indian	Other
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	82	36
	More resentful/closed	1 1.6%	4 11.1%
	More sympathetic/open	41 50.2%	16 45.4%
	No change in how I feel	40 48.3%	16 43.5%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed	C			
	More sympathetic/open				
	No change in how I feel				
	DK/NA			a .	a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	399	49	182	168
	More resentful/closed	32 8.0%	2 3.2%	11 5.9%	20 11.7%
	More sympathetic/open	153 38.3%	17 34.2%	77 42.4%	59 34.9%
	No change in how I feel	202 50.6%	30 61.0%	88 48.4%	84 50.0%
	DK/NA	13 3.1%	1 1.5%	6 3.3%	6 3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed			
	More sympathetic/open			
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed	31 8.0%	28 9.5%	3 3.1%
	More sympathetic/open	151 38.7%	111 37.1%	40 43.7%
	No change in how I feel	198 50.7%	153 51.1%	45 49.7%
	DK/NA	10 2.6%	7 2.3%	3 3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed	B	
	More sympathetic/open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed	32 8.1%	7 18.2%	9 9.7%	7 6.5%
	More sympathetic/open	151 38.2%	13 33.9%	31 33.6%	35 33.0%
	No change in how I feel	202 51.1%	17 44.4%	48 52.0%	61 58.0%
	DK/NA	10 2.6%	1 3.4%	4 4.7%	3 2.5%

		Members in the	Household
		Four	Five or more
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	117	43
	More resentful/closed	8 / 7.2%	1 / 1.9%
	More sympathetic/open	53 / 45.1%	20 / 45.7%
	No change in how I feel	54 / 46.0%	23 / 52.4%
	DK/NA	2 / 1.7%	0 / .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household		
		One	Two	Three
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed			
	More sympathetic/open			
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the	Household
		Four	Five or more
		(D)	(E)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		
	More sympathetic/open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	400	181	220
	More resentful/closed	32 / 8.0%	12 / 6.8%	20 / 8.9%
	More sympathetic/open	153 / 38.1%	79 / 43.9%	73 / 33.4%
	No change in how I feel	203 / 50.8%	87 / 48.2%	116 / 52.9%
	DK/NA	13 / 3.1%	2 / 1.1%	11 / 4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		
	More sympathetic/open	B	
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	400	130	271
	More resentful/closed	32 / 8.0%	14 / 10.6%	18 / 6.7%
	More sympathetic/open	153 / 38.1%	40 / 31.1%	112 / 41.5%
	No change in how I feel	203 / 50.8%	68 / 52.1%	136 / 50.1%
	DK/NA	13 / 3.1%	8 / 6.2%	4 / 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		
	More sympathetic/open		A
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	Total	400	72	83	70
	More resentful/closed	32 8.0%	7 10.3%	7 8.4%	5 7.6%
	More sympathetic/open	153 38.1%	25 34.6%	38 46.2%	27 39.2%
	No change in how I feel	203 50.8%	38 53.2%	38 45.5%	37 52.5%
	DK/NA	13 3.1%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .7%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed	4 5.7%	8 7.9%
	More sympathetic/open	25 32.3%	37 37.7%
	No change in how I feel	45 58.7%	45 46.0%
	DK/NA	3 3.3%	8 8.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income		
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed			
	More sympathetic/open			
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA		a .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(D)	(E)
23. Approximately half of the Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?	More resentful/closed		
	More sympathetic/open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	35 8.9%	17 8.9%	18 8.8%
	About the right amount	237 59.3%	122 62.0%	115 56.8%
	Not enough	65 16.2%	29 14.7%	36 17.6%
	DK/NA	63 15.6%	28 14.4%	34 16.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportionsa,b

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	387	67	31	125
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	33 8.4%	5 6.8%	6 18.8%	5 4.1%
	About the right amount	230 59.5%	41 61.2%	13 40.4%	77 61.1%
	Not enough	64 16.6%	19 27.6%	8 24.7%	22 17.4%
	DK/NA	60 15.5%	3 4.4%	5 16.1%	22 17.4%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	9 9.5%	8 12.0%
	About the right amount	62 63.1%	38 58.4%
	Not enough	11 11.7%	5 7.0%
	DK/NA	15 15.8%	15 22.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportionsa,b

		Age				
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		C			
	About the right amount					
	Not enough	E				
	DK/NA					A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	32 8.3%	23 15.5%	4 3.1%	4 4.8%	2 4.3%
	About the right amount	233 59.8%	84 56.6%	80 64.6%	48 59.0%	21 57.9%
	Not enough	64 16.4%	22 14.6%	18 14.2%	18 22.0%	7 18.7%
	DK/NA	61 15.5%	20 13.3%	22 18.1%	12 14.2%	7 19.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	B			
	About the right amount				
	Not enough				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	399	49	182	168
	Too much	35 8.9%	8 16.4%	8 4.1%	20 11.9%
	About the right amount	236 59.2%	23 46.1%	112 61.3%	102 60.7%
	Not enough	65 16.2%	13 26.4%	28 15.5%	24 14.1%
	DK/NA	63 15.7%	5 11.1%	35 19.1%	22 13.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	B		B
	About the right amount			
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	391	299	92
	Too much	35 8.9%	24 8.1%	10 11.3%
	About the right amount	236 60.3%	178 59.4%	58 63.4%
	Not enough	64 16.4%	51 16.9%	13 14.5%
	DK/NA	56 14.4%	47 15.6%	10 10.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	395	38	91	105
	Too much	35 9.0%	4 11.5%	12 12.7%	7 6.6%
	About the right amount	235 59.5%	23 60.6%	48 52.4%	63 59.8%
	Not enough	65 16.4%	5 14.4%	11 12.3%	18 16.7%
	DK/NA	59 15.1%	5 13.6%	21 22.5%	18 16.9%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	9 7.9%	3 7.6%
	About the right amount	77 65.7%	24 56.3%
	Not enough	21 17.7%	10 22.4%
	DK/NA	10 8.7%	6 13.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much					
	About the right amount					
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	35 8.9%	14 7.5%	22 10.0%
	About the right amount	237 59.3%	111 61.7%	126 57.4%
	Not enough	65 16.2%	34 19.1%	30 13.8%
	DK/NA	63 15.6%	21 11.7%	41 18.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	35 8.9%	11 8.7%	24 8.9%
	About the right amount	237 59.3%	77 59.0%	161 59.5%
	Not enough	65 16.2%	19 14.4%	46 17.0%
	DK/NA	63 15.6%	23 17.8%	39 14.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	400	72	83	70
	Too much	35 8.9%	4 5.6%	4 4.7%	11 15.5%
	About the right amount	237 59.3%	40 55.8%	50 60.4%	48 68.1%
	Not enough	65 16.2%	14 19.6%	17 20.1%	6 8.9%
	DK/NA	63 15.6%	14 19.1%	12 14.7%	5 7.5%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	**DK/NA**
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	77	98
	Too much	6 8.3%	10 10.5%
	About the right amount	50 65.2%	49 50.2%
	Not enough	11 14.6%	17 16.8%
	DK/NA	9 11.9%	22 22.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
24. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much					
	About the right amount					
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	**Male**	**Female**
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	246 61.6%	138 69.9%	109 53.4%
	No	139 34.8%	54 27.4%	85 42.0%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	5 2.7%	9 4.6%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	284 71.0%	152 77.1%	132 65.2%
	No	105 26.1%	43 21.6%	62 30.5%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.8%	2 1.3%	9 4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	B	
	No		A
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Age		
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	387	67	31	125
	Yes	238 61.5%	42 62.3%	16 51.1%	80 64.3%
	No	136 35.1%	25 37.7%	15 48.9%	42 33.6%
	DK/NA	13 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	387	67	31	125
	Yes	275 70.9%	50 74.3%	18 57.7%	94 75.0%
	No	102 26.4%	17 25.7%	10 33.2%	31 24.4%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	10 2.6%	0 .0%	3 9.1%	1 .6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	98	65
	Yes	64 65.2%	36 55.1%
	No	31 32.1%	22 33.2%
	DK/NA	3 2.7%	8 11.8%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	98	65
	Yes	72 73.1%	41 62.4%
	No	24 24.0%	21 31.6%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	3 2.8%	4 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	.a	.a			C
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA	.a	C			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	242 62.0%	100 67.3%	72 57.9%	48 58.8%	22 61.4%
	No	135 34.5%	42 28.2%	47 37.9%	32 38.8%	14 38.6%
	DK/NA	14 3.5%	7 4.5%	5 4.2%	2 2.4%	0 .0%
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	280 71.7%	115 77.1%	90 72.8%	52 63.5%	23 63.8%
	No	101 25.8%	29 19.2%	32 25.9%	30 36.5%	10 28.3%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	10 2.5%	5 3.6%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	3 7.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA				.a
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA			A a .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	245 61.4%	28 57.4%	113 61.9%	104 62.1%
	No	139 34.9%	19 39.4%	65 35.6%	55 32.9%
	DK/NA	15 3.7%	2 3.2%	5 2.6%	8 5.0%
	Total	399	49	182	168
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	283 70.9%	32 65.8%	130 71.4%	121 71.9%
	No	105 26.2%	12 25.1%	51 28.2%	41 24.5%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.8%	4 9.0%	1 .4%	6 3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	243 62.1%	186 62.1%	57 62.0%
	No	135 34.6%	103 34.4%	32 34.9%
	DK/NA	13 3.4%	10 3.4%	3 3.0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	280 71.8%	214 71.7%	66 72.1%
	No	100 25.7%	79 26.2%	22 23.9%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	10 2.5%	6 2.1%	4 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	395	38	91	105
	Yes	245 62.1%	23 61.3%	51 56.3%	68 64.9%
	No	136 34.4%	11 27.8%	36 39.2%	35 33.0%
	DK/NA	14 3.5%	4 10.9%	4 4.5%	2 2.1%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	395	38	91	105
	Yes	283 71.7%	25 66.5%	62 67.8%	76 72.6%
	No	101 25.7%	11 29.8%	22 23.5%	28 26.9%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.7%	1 3.7%	8 8.7%	1 .5%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	117	43
	Yes	77 65.9%	25 57.6%
	No	38 32.0%	17 40.6%
	DK/NA	3 2.2%	1 1.7%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	117	43
	Yes	90 77.0%	29 67.6%
	No	27 23.0%	13 30.6%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	0 .0%	1 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes No DK/NA**					
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA**		C		a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	400	181	220
	Yes	246 61.6%	113 62.5%	134 60.8%
	No	139 34.8%	65 36.1%	74 33.7%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	3 1.4%	12 5.5%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	400	181	220
	Yes	284 71.0%	130 72.0%	154 70.3%
	No	105 26.1%	51 28.0%	54 24.6%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.8%	0 .0%	11 5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes No DK/NA**		A
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA**	a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	246 61.6%	73 56.4%	173 64.0%
	No	139 34.8%	47 36.1%	92 34.2%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	10 7.6%	5 1.8%
	Total	400	130	271
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	284 71.0%	85 65.5%	199 73.7%
	No	105 26.1%	40 30.7%	65 23.9%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.8%	5 3.8%	6 2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	B	
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	246 61.6%	46 63.9%	49 59.2%	50 72.1%
	No	139 34.8%	22 30.9%	33 40.0%	19 27.1%
	DK/NA	15 3.6%	4 5.2%	1 .7%	1 .7%
	Total	400	72	83	70
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	284 71.0%	48 66.5%	60 72.6%	56 80.5%
	No	105 26.1%	24 32.8%	20 24.0%	13 18.8%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	11 2.8%	0 .7%	3 3.4%	1 .7%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	56 72.3%	45 45.9%
	No	20 25.7%	45 45.8%
	DK/NA	2 2.0%	8 8.3%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	77	98
	Yes	62 80.1%	58 59.3%
	No	13 17.0%	35 35.4%
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA	2 2.9%	5 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes			E	E	
	No					
	DK/NA					
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes			E	E	
	No					
	(DON'T READ) DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	197	203
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	164 41.0%	71 35.8%	94 46.0%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.7%	15 7.8%	19 9.5%
	Cupertino Scene	45 11.2%	15 7.8%	29 14.5%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 20.6%	53 27.0%	29 14.3%
	City Website	53 13.1%	24 12.0%	29 14.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.4%	21 10.7%	25 12.1%
	KCIU	3 .7%	2 1.0%	1 .5%
	KTVU	2 .6%	1 .3%	2 .8%
	KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .4%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	1 .3%	3 1.6%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.0%	8 4.3%	7 3.6%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	3 1.8%	0 .0%
	Radio	4 1.1%	4 2.0%	1 .3%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 6.4%	13 6.7%	12 6.1%
	Television	36 9.1%	16 8.3%	20 9.8%
	Email	7 1.7%	2 1.3%	4 2.2%
	Word of mouth	15 3.7%	5 2.7%	9 4.6%
	None	18 4.5%	7 3.5%	11 5.4%
	Other	16 4.0%	8 4.1%	8 3.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	10 4.8%	2 .9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Gender	
	Male (A)	Female (B)
Cupertino Courier		A
Cupertino Daily News		
Cupertino Scene		A
Los Gatos Daily News	.[a]	
Internet [No specific site]	B	
City Website		
Flyers or posters around town		
KCIU		
KTVU		
KNTV	.[a]	
Local blogs		
Local cable station [No specific station]		
Non-English media		.[a]
Radio		
San Jose Mercury News		
Television		
Email		
Word of mouth		
None		
Other		
DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Age			
	Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
Total	387	67	31	125
Cupertino Courier	157 40.6%	22 32.0%	10 31.6%	41 32.7%
Cupertino Daily News	33 8.6%	0 .0%	4 12.0%	13 10.5%
Cupertino Scene	41 10.5%	1 1.5%	1 3.9%	8 6.8%
Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%
Internet [No specific site]	80 20.7%	26 38.3%	5 15.7%	20 15.8%
City Website	51 13.1%	7 9.9%	2 6.2%	29 23.1%
Flyers or posters around town	45 11.5%	5 7.5%	6 17.6%	22 17.5%
KCIU	3 .8%	1 1.1%	0 1.5%	1 .4%
KTVU	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Local blogs	4 .9%	3 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.1%	3 4.0%	0 .0%	2 2.0%
Non-English media	3 .9%	0 .0%	2 5.6%	2 1.4%
Radio	4 1.0%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	2 1.3%
San Jose Mercury News	24 6.1%	3 5.0%	0 .0%	8 6.0%
Television	35 9.0%	1 1.1%	6 19.9%	9 7.3%
Email	7 1.8%	0 .5%	1 2.7%	4 3.0%
Word of mouth	14 3.6%	3 4.5%	0 .0%	7 5.6%
None	18 4.6%	8 11.4%	2 7.3%	3 2.0%
Other	15 3.8%	4 6.6%	2 5.6%	3 2.5%
DK/NA	11 3.0%	2 2.8%	3 11.2%	5 4.3%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	98	65
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	53 53.7%	32 49.3%
	Cupertino Daily News	8 7.9%	8 13.0%
	Cupertino Scene	20 20.1%	10 15.8%
	Los Gatos Daily News	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	22 22.3%	8 12.0%
	City Website	9 8.9%	5 7.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	6 6.1%	6 9.5%
	KCIU	1 1.2%	0 .0%
	KTVU	1 .6%	2 2.6%
	KNTV	0 .0%	1 1.3%
	Local blogs	1 .5%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	5 5.0%	6 8.9%
	Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Radio	1 .5%	0 .0%
	San Jose Mercury News	7 7.4%	6 8.6%
	Television	12 11.8%	7 10.8%
	Email	1 1.3%	1 1.1%
	Word of mouth	1 1.4%	3 4.1%
	None	4 3.7%	2 2.6%
	Other	1 1.5%	4 6.1%
	DK/NA	1 .6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier				C	
	Cupertino Daily News					
	Cupertino Scene				A C	A
	Los Gatos Daily News	.a	.a		.a	.a
	Internet [No specific site]	C E				
	City Website					
	Flyers or posters around town					
	KCIU					.a
	KTVU	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	KNTV	.a	.a	.a		.a
	Local blogs		.a	.a		.a
	Local cable station [No specific station]		.a			
	Non-English media	.a			.a	.a
	Radio		.a			.a
	San Jose Mercury News		.a			
	Television		A			
	Email					
	Word of mouth		.a			
	None					
	Other					
	DK/NA		D			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	390	149	124	82	36
	Cupertino Courier	159 40.9%	64 43.3%	49 39.8%	24 28.8%	22 62.1%
	Cupertino Daily News	34 8.7%	12 8.0%	11 8.7%	10 11.8%	2 4.3%
	Cupertino Scene	44 11.2%	25 16.5%	9 7.5%	8 9.2%	2 6.9%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	79 20.4%	27 18.0%	28 22.6%	13 15.9%	12 32.6%
	City Website	51 13.2%	18 12.1%	16 13.2%	11 13.0%	6 17.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.7%	19 12.8%	11 9.2%	12 14.4%	3 9.5%
	KCIU	3 .7%	1 .9%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 1.3%
	KTVU	2 .6%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	KNTV	1 .2%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	1 .3%	3 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .9%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	15 3.8%	7 4.5%	7 5.6%	0 .0%	1 3.2%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	0 .0%	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Radio	4 1.1%	2 1.1%	2 1.4%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
	San Jose Mercury News	25 6.4%	11 7.6%	7 5.6%	3 4.3%	3 8.7%
	Television	36 9.3%	12 8.2%	12 9.9%	11 13.5%	1 2.3%
	Email	7 1.8%	2 1.1%	1 1.1%	4 4.5%	0 .9%
	Word of mouth	15 3.8%	3 1.9%	8 6.8%	3 3.8%	0 .9%
	None	16 4.2%	6 3.7%	5 4.2%	5 6.1%	1 1.8%
	Other	16 4.1%	8 5.6%	1 .9%	6 7.6%	0 .6%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	1 .9%	4 2.9%	6 7.8%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier				C
	Cupertino Daily News				
	Cupertino Scene				
	Los Gatos Daily News		.a	.a	.a
	Internet [No specific site]				
	City Website				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	KCIU			.a	
	KTVU		.a	.a	.a
	KNTV		.a	.a	.a
	Local blogs			.a	
	Local cable station [No specific station]			.a	
	Non-English media	.a		.a	.a
	Radio			.a	.a
	San Jose Mercury News				
	Television				
	Email				
	Word of mouth				
	None				
	Other				
	DK/NA			A	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	164 41.1%	10 21.2%	61 33.6%	93 55.1%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.7%	5 11.2%	12 6.8%	17 10.0%
	Cupertino Scene	44 11.0%	0 .0%	9 5.0%	35 20.9%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Internet [No specific site]	81 20.3%	8 16.4%	44 24.1%	29 17.2%
	City Website	53 13.2%	2 4.7%	32 17.5%	18 10.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.5%	12 25.3%	28 15.2%	6 3.4%
	KCIU	3 .7%	0 .0%	1 .4%	2 1.3%
	KTVU	2 .6%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	1 .9%
	KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	3 5.8%	1 .4%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.0%	1 1.0%	5 3.0%	10 5.9%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	0 .0%
	Radio	4 1.1%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	1 .6%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 6.4%	1 1.7%	10 5.6%	15 8.7%
	Television	36 9.1%	8 17.0%	14 7.5%	14 8.5%
	Email	7 1.7%	3 5.3%	4 2.0%	1 .4%
	Word of mouth	15 3.7%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	7 3.9%
	None	18 4.5%	5 9.7%	8 4.4%	5 3.0%
	Other	16 4.0%	1 2.2%	8 4.5%	7 3.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	4 7.5%	8 4.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier			A B
	Cupertino Daily News			
	Cupertino Scene	.[a]		B
	Los Gatos Daily News	.[a]	.[a]	
	Internet [No specific site]			
	City Website			
	Flyers or posters around town	C	C	
	KCIU	.[a]		
	KTVU		.[a]	
	KNTV	.[a]	.[a]	
	Local blogs	B		.[a]
	Local cable station [No specific station]			
	Non-English media	.[a]		.[a]
	Radio	.[a]		
	San Jose Mercury News			
	Television			
	Email	C .[a]		
	Word of mouth			
	None			
	Other			
	DK/NA			.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	391	299	92
	Cupertino Courier	162 41.6%	140 46.8%	22 24.5%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.9%	30 10.0%	5 5.1%
	Cupertino Scene	45 11.5%	40 13.4%	5 5.1%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	1 .2%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 20.9%	63 21.1%	18 20.1%
	City Website	53 13.4%	39 13.0%	14 14.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.7%	30 10.0%	16 17.4%
	KCIU	3 .7%	2 .6%	1 1.3%
	KTVU	2 .6%	1 .5%	1 .9%
	KNTV	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	4 1.2%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.1%	15 5.1%	1 .5%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	3 1.2%	0 .0%
	Radio	4 1.0%	3 .9%	1 1.3%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 6.6%	21 7.0%	5 5.2%
	Television	34 8.7%	27 9.0%	7 7.9%
	Email	7 1.8%	5 1.6%	2 2.5%
	Word of mouth	12 3.1%	11 3.6%	1 1.2%
	None	16 4.1%	8 2.6%	8 8.8%
	Other	15 3.9%	10 3.3%	5 5.7%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	7 2.4%	4 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	B	
	Cupertino Daily News		
	Cupertino Scene	B	
	Los Gatos Daily News		.a
	Internet [No specific site]		
	City Website		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	KCIU		
	KTVU		
	KNTV		.a
	Local blogs		.a
	Local cable station [No specific station]		
	Non-English media		.a
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		
	Television		
	Email		
	Word of mouth		
	None		A
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	395	38	91	105
	Cupertino Courier	163 41.4%	13 35.4%	46 50.5%	39 36.9%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.8%	4 9.2%	9 9.8%	12 11.7%
	Cupertino Scene	45 11.3%	7 17.4%	17 18.1%	6 5.3%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 20.7%	8 22.2%	15 16.5%	22 21.1%
	City Website	53 13.3%	3 9.0%	13 13.8%	18 17.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.6%	4 9.3%	13 13.9%	9 8.6%
	KCIU	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%
	KTVU	2 .6%	1 2.2%	1 .9%	0 .0%
	KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.0%	2 4.4%	4 4.5%	5 4.6%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.7%
	Radio	4 1.1%	1 1.5%	1 .5%	2 1.6%
	San Jose Mercury News	24 6.2%	4 9.3%	7 7.7%	6 5.6%
	Television	35 8.8%	5 14.3%	5 5.4%	13 12.0%
	Email	7 1.7%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 1.2%
	Word of mouth	15 3.7%	2 6.5%	0 .0%	7 6.3%
	None	17 4.3%	3 7.5%	1 1.2%	3 2.8%
	Other	16 4.0%	4 10.0%	2 1.8%	5 4.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	1 2.0%	2 1.9%	4 3.5%

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	43
	Cupertino Courier	43 36.7%	22 51.0%
	Cupertino Daily News	8 6.8%	2 4.6%
	Cupertino Scene	11 9.5%	5 11.5%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .5%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	25 21.3%	11 25.4%
	City Website	17 14.6%	1 2.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	17 14.1%	4 8.9%
	KCIU	1 1.0%	0 1.1%
	KTVU	1 .5%	0 .0%
	KNTV	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Local blogs	3 2.7%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	1 .9%	4 9.5%
	Non-English media	2 1.5%	0 .0%
	Radio	2 1.4%	0 .0%
	San Jose Mercury News	8 6.9%	0 .0%
	Television	7 6.1%	5 10.9%
	Email	5 3.9%	0 .7%
	Word of mouth	4 3.2%	2 4.4%
	None	7 5.6%	4 8.5%
	Other	4 3.4%	1 3.2%
	DK/NA	5 4.0%	1 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Daily News					
	Cupertino Scene	.a	C .a	.a		.a
	Los Gatos Daily News					
	Internet [No specific site]					
	City Website					
	Flyers or posters around town					
	KCIU	.a	.a	.a		.a
	KTVU			.a		.a
	KNTV	.a			.a	.a
	Local blogs	.a	.a			.a
	Local cable station [No specific station]					
	Non-English media	.a	.a			.a
	Radio					.a
	San Jose Mercury News					.a
	Television					
	Email	.a				
	Word of mouth		.a			
	None					
	Other					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	181	220
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	164 41.0%	67 37.0%	97 44.3%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.7%	17 9.4%	18 8.1%
	Cupertino Scene	45 11.2%	11 6.0%	34 15.4%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 20.6%	38 20.9%	44 20.2%
	City Website	53 13.1%	31 17.2%	22 9.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.4%	29 15.9%	17 7.8%
	KCIU	3 .7%	2 1.0%	1 .5%
	KTVU	2 .6%	1 .3%	2 .8%
	KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .4%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	4 2.0%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.0%	4 2.3%	12 5.3%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	3 1.9%	0 .0%
	Radio	4 1.1%	1 .6%	3 1.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 6.4%	5 2.8%	21 9.4%
	Television	36 9.1%	16 8.8%	20 9.3%
	Email	7 1.7%	5 2.7%	2 .9%
	Word of mouth	15 3.7%	8 4.5%	7 3.0%
	None	18 4.5%	7 4.1%	10 4.8%
	Other	16 4.0%	7 3.9%	9 4.0%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	6 3.2%	6 2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	**Cupertino Courier**		
	Cupertino Daily News		
	Cupertino Scene		A
	Los Gatos Daily News	.[a]	
	Internet [No specific site]		
	City Website	B	
	Flyers or posters around town	B	
	KCIU		
	KTVU		
	KNTV	.[a]	
	Local blogs		.[a]
	Local cable station [No specific station]		
	Non-English media		.[a]
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		A
	Television		
	Email		
	Word of mouth		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	130	271
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	**Cupertino Courier**	164 / 41.0%	64 / 49.2%	100 / 37.0%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 / 8.7%	15 / 11.9%	19 / 7.1%
	Cupertino Scene	45 / 11.2%	19 / 14.8%	26 / 9.5%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 / .1%	1 / .4%	0 / .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 / 20.6%	17 / 12.9%	65 / 24.2%
	City Website	53 / 13.1%	10 / 7.4%	43 / 15.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 / 11.4%	9 / 7.3%	36 / 13.4%
	KCIU	3 / .7%	0 / .0%	3 / 1.1%
	KTVU	2 / .6%	2 / 1.8%	0 / .0%
	KNTV	1 / .2%	1 / .6%	0 / .0%
	Local blogs	4 / .9%	0 / .0%	4 / 1.4%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 / 4.0%	12 / 9.4%	4 / 1.4%
	Non-English media	3 / .9%	0 / .0%	3 / 1.3%
	Radio	4 / 1.1%	3 / 2.1%	2 / .6%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 / 6.4%	11 / 8.3%	15 / 5.5%
	Television	36 / 9.1%	18 / 14.1%	18 / 6.7%
	Email	7 / 1.7%	1 / .6%	6 / 2.3%
	Word of mouth	15 / 3.7%	3 / 2.5%	11 / 4.2%
	None	18 / 4.5%	4 / 2.8%	14 / 5.3%
	Other	16 / 4.0%	8 / 6.4%	8 / 2.8%
	DK/NA	11 / 2.9%	2 / 1.3%	10 / 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	B	
	Cupertino Daily News		
	Cupertino Scene		
	Los Gatos Daily News		.a
	Internet [No specific site]		A
	City Website		A
	Flyers or posters around town		
	KCIU	.a	
	KTVU		.a
	KNTV		.a
	Local blogs	.a	
	Local cable station [No specific station]	B	
	Non-English media	.a	
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		
	Television	B	
	Email		
	Word of mouth		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	72	83	70
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	**Cupertino Courier**	164 41.0%	23 32.2%	36 43.3%	34 48.4%
	Cupertino Daily News	35 8.7%	3 4.0%	8 9.9%	7 10.4%
	Cupertino Scene	45 11.2%	6 8.6%	10 11.7%	9 12.2%
	Los Gatos Daily News	1 .1%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	82 20.6%	20 27.9%	21 25.3%	12 16.6%
	City Website	53 13.1%	13 17.8%	9 11.3%	7 9.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	46 11.4%	10 13.1%	9 11.3%	7 9.6%
	KCIU	3 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	KTVU	2 .6%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%
	KNTV	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Local blogs	4 .9%	3 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	16 4.0%	0 .0%	5 6.5%	4 5.6%
	Non-English media	3 .9%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	2 2.5%
	Radio	4 1.1%	1 .7%	3 3.5%	0 .0%
	San Jose Mercury News	26 6.4%	1 2.0%	8 9.6%	4 5.9%
	Television	36 9.1%	9 11.9%	5 5.5%	4 5.3%
	Email	7 1.7%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .4%
	Word of mouth	15 3.7%	6 8.0%	3 3.8%	1 1.5%
	None	18 4.5%	5 6.5%	1 .6%	4 6.4%
	Other	16 4.0%	2 2.3%	7 8.1%	2 2.6%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.5%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
	Total	77	98
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	35 45.1%	36 37.1%
	Cupertino Daily News	8 10.4%	8 8.5%
	Cupertino Scene	4 5.1%	16 16.6%
	Los Gatos Daily News	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Internet [No specific site]	20 26.4%	9 9.5%
	City Website	7 9.2%	17 16.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	14 18.8%	6 5.8%
	KCIU	2 2.5%	1 1.0%
	KTVU	0 .0%	2 1.7%
	KNTV	0 .0%	1 .8%
	Local blogs	1 .7%	0 .3%
	Local cable station [No specific station]	2 3.2%	4 4.1%
	Non-English media	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Radio	1 .7%	1 .6%
	San Jose Mercury News	4 5.0%	8 8.4%
	Television	10 12.5%	10 10.0%
	Email	1 1.7%	3 2.8%
	Word of mouth	3 4.1%	2 1.6%
	None	1 1.4%	7 7.2%
	Other	0 .0%	6 5.8%
	DK/NA	3 4.6%	6 6.3%

Page 255

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Daily News					
	Cupertino Scene					
	Los Gatos Daily News		.a	.a	.a	.a
	Internet [No specific site]	E	E		E	
	City Website					
	Flyers or posters around town					
	KCIU	.a	.a	.a		
	KTVU	.a		.a	.a	
	KNTV	.a	.a	.a	.a	
	Local blogs		.a	.a		
	Local cable station [No specific station]	.a				
	Non-English media		.a		.a	.a
	Radio			.a		
	San Jose Mercury News					
	Television					
	Email	.a				
	Word of mouth					
	None					
	Other				.a	
	DK/NA	.a	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Total	400	197	203
	Yes	264 66.1%	140 71.3%	124 61.0%
	No	131 32.7%	55 28.1%	76 37.2%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	1 .6%	4 1.8%

Page 256

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male (A)	Female (B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes	259 66.9%	36 53.4%	18 58.0%	103 82.6%	68 69.3%	33 51.0%
	No	124 31.9%	31 46.6%	13 42.0%	19 14.8%	29 29.0%	32 49.0%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	2 1.6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29 (A)	30 to 39 (B)	40 to 49 (C)	50 to 64 (D)	65 and older (E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes			A B E		
	No	C a .	C a .			C a .
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes	258 66.1%	90 60.8%	76 61.5%	66 80.5%	26 71.1%
	No	128 32.9%	58 39.2%	45 36.3%	15 17.9%	10 28.9%
	DK/NA	4 1.0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	1 1.6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes			A B	
	No	C	C		
	DK/NA	a .			a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes	263 65.9%	33 68.1%	130 71.1%	100 59.7%
	No	131 32.8%	16 31.9%	48 26.2%	68 40.3%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	0 .0%	5 2.6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes			
	No			B
	DK/NA	a .		a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Total	391	299	92
	Yes	260 66.5%	205 68.4%	55 60.2%
	No	128 32.8%	92 30.7%	36 39.8%
	DK/NA	2 .6%	2 .8%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Total	395	38	91	105	117	43
	Yes	263 66.5%	17 45.0%	56 61.5%	76 72.5%	83 70.5%	30 70.7%
	No	130 32.9%	21 55.0%	35 38.5%	28 26.2%	33 28.5%	13 29.3%
	DK/NA	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 1.0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes			A	A	
	No	C D				
	DK/NA	a .	a .			a .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Total	400	181	220
	Yes	264 66.1%	139 77.1%	125 57.0%
	No	131 32.7%	39 21.5%	92 41.9%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	2 1.4%	2 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Total	400	130	271
	Yes	264 66.1%	75 58.1%	189 69.9%
	No	131 32.7%	53 40.7%	78 28.9%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	2 1.2%	3 1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	Yes		A
	No	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income					
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	**Yes**	264 66.1%	40 55.0%	52 62.7%	46 65.8%	64 83.7%	62 63.5%
	No	131 32.7%	31 43.2%	31 37.3%	23 32.6%	13 16.3%	34 34.2%
	DK/NA	5 1.2%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	2 2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - www.cupertino.org?	**Yes**				A B E	
	No	D	D .a.		.a.	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	**Male**	**Female**
	Total	400	197	203
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse**	49 12.3%	23 11.8%	26 12.9%
	Comcast	257 64.2%	127 64.6%	130 63.8%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 9.7%	24 12.0%	15 7.5%
	Other	1 .3%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Don't have cable	11 2.7%	6 3.2%	5 2.2%
	DK/NA	43 10.8%	17 8.4%	26 13.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Gender	
		Male	**Female**
		(A)	**(B)**
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse** **Comcast** **Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)** **Other** **Don't have cable** **DK/NA**	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	**18 to 29**	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**	**50 to 64**	**65 and older**
	Total	387	67	31	125	98	65
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse**	48 12.5%	10 15.4%	2 6.2%	17 13.3%	12 12.4%	7 11.0%
	Comcast	249 64.2%	36 53.6%	19 62.1%	87 69.4%	62 63.1%	44 67.7%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	37 9.5%	10 14.3%	8 25.1%	6 4.5%	9 8.9%	5 7.5%
	Other	1 .3%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Don't have cable	10 2.7%	2 3.1%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	3 2.6%	2 3.7%
	DK/NA	42 10.8%	8 11.7%	2 6.6%	13 10.1%	13 13.0%	7 10.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**	**50 to 64**	**65 and older**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse** **Comcast** **Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)** **Other** **Don't have cable** **DK/NA**		C .a. .a.	.a.	.a.	.a.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
			Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	390	149	124	82	36
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse	48 / 12.3%	17 / 11.2%	20 / 16.2%	7 / 8.3%	5 / 13.2%
	Comcast	252 / 64.6%	98 / 65.9%	71 / 57.6%	59 / 71.5%	24 / 67.4%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	38 / 9.7%	13 / 8.6%	10 / 8.3%	11 / 13.4%	4 / 10.4%
	Other	1 / .3%	1 / .8%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%
	Don't have cable	10 / 2.6%	3 / 1.8%	4 / 3.5%	1 / 1.4%	2 / 5.6%
	DK/NA	41 / 10.5%	17 / 11.7%	18 / 14.5%	4 / 5.4%	1 / 3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse Comcast Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork) Other Don't have cable DK/NA		.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	399	49	182	168
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse	49 / 12.4%	4 / 8.5%	20 / 11.1%	25 / 14.9%
	Comcast	256 / 64.2%	38 / 77.8%	122 / 66.8%	96 / 57.5%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 / 9.7%	4 / 8.2%	17 / 9.6%	17 / 10.3%
	Other	1 / .3%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	1 / .7%
	Don't have cable	11 / 2.7%	0 / .0%	4 / 2.0%	7 / 4.3%
	DK/NA	42 / 10.6%	3 / 5.5%	19 / 10.5%	21 / 12.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse Comcast Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork) Other Don't have cable DK/NA	C .a .a	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	391	299	92
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse	47 / 12.2%	42 / 14.0%	6 / 6.0%
	Comcast	255 / 65.2%	189 / 63.1%	66 / 72.0%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	38 / 9.8%	31 / 10.3%	7 / 8.1%
	Other	1 / .3%	1 / .4%	0 / .0%
	Don't have cable	11 / 2.8%	7 / 2.4%	4 / 4.1%
	DK/NA	38 / 9.8%	29 / 9.7%	9 / 9.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse Comcast Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork) Other Don't have cable DK/NA	B	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**Total**	395	38	91	105	117	43
	AT&T U-verse	49 12.5%	4 10.7%	5 5.9%	14 13.4%	18 15.6%	8 17.7%
	Comcast	256 64.9%	26 69.0%	63 68.6%	68 65.0%	77 65.7%	22 51.3%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 9.8%	1 3.4%	13 13.8%	10 9.4%	10 8.3%	5 12.4%
	Other	1 .3%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Don't have cable	9 2.3%	1 3.7%	5 5.6%	1 .9%	2 1.4%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	40 10.2%	5 13.3%	4 4.8%	12 11.3%	11 9.1%	8 18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse** **Comcast** **Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)** **Other** **Don't have cable** **DK/NA**	.a		.a	.a	.a .a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**Total**	400	181	220
	AT&T U-verse	49 12.3%	24 13.3%	25 11.5%
	Comcast	257 64.2%	124 68.7%	133 60.4%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 9.7%	14 8.0%	24 11.1%
	Other	1 .3%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Don't have cable	11 2.7%	2 1.2%	9 4.0%
	DK/NA	43 10.8%	16 8.8%	27 12.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse** **Comcast** **Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)** **Other** **Don't have cable** **DK/NA**	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**Total**	400	130	271
	AT&T U-verse	49 12.3%	21 15.8%	29 10.7%
	Comcast	257 64.2%	75 58.1%	181 67.1%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 9.7%	17 12.8%	22 8.2%
	Other	1 .3%	0 .0%	1 .5%
	Don't have cable	11 2.7%	3 2.3%	8 2.9%
	DK/NA	43 10.8%	14 11.0%	29 10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	**AT&T U-verse** **Comcast** **Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)** **Other** **Don't have cable** **DK/NA**	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column

Page 267

		Annual Household Income					
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	Total	400	72	83	70	77	98
	AT&T U-verse	49 12.3%	11 15.0%	12 14.4%	9 12.6%	5 7.1%	12 12.5%
	Comcast	257 64.2%	45 61.6%	44 53.4%	48 69.1%	57 74.2%	63 63.8%
	Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork)	39 9.7%	7 9.4%	12 14.6%	3 3.9%	12 15.7%	5 5.2%
	Other	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Don't have cable	11 2.7%	2 3.3%	4 4.8%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	3 2.9%
	DK/NA	43 10.8%	8 10.6%	11 12.8%	7 10.3%	2 3.0%	15 15.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. Who is your local cable service provider?	AT&T U-verse Comcast Satellite (Direct TV/Dishnetwork) Other Don't have cable DK/NA	.a	.a		.a .a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- *a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*
- *b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*
- *c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	306	150	156
	Yes	148 48.3%	82 54.5%	66 42.4%
	No	153 49.9%	68 45.1%	85 54.5%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	1 .3%	5 3.1%

Page 268

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes	B	
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- *a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*
- *b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Age					
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	297	47	21	104	74	51
	Yes	140 47.1%	20 43.3%	4 17.4%	45 43.8%	43 57.5%	28 54.7%
	No	152 51.1%	26 54.9%	18 82.6%	55 53.2%	31 41.8%	22 43.7%
	DK/NA	5 1.8%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	1 .7%	1 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes				B	B
	No		D E			
	DK/NA		a .			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- *a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*
- *b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*
- *c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	300	115	91	65	29
	Yes	144 48.0%	61 53.4%	50 55.1%	21 32.4%	11 39.1%
	No	151 50.2%	51 44.2%	40 43.5%	44 67.6%	16 56.4%
	DK/NA	5 1.8%	3 2.4%	1 1.4%	0 .0%	1 4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes	C	C		
	No			A B	
	DK/NA			a .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	305	42	142	121
	Yes	147 48.2%	10 24.8%	62 43.6%	75 61.7%
	No	153 50.0%	31 73.8%	77 54.5%	44 36.5%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	1 1.3%	3 1.8%	2 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes			A B
	No	C	C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	302	231	71
	Yes	147 48.5%	126 54.8%	20 28.3%
	No	150 49.7%	100 43.2%	51 70.9%
	DK/NA	5 1.8%	5 2.1%	1 .8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household					
		Total	One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	306	30	68	82	95	30
	Yes	148 48.4%	14 46.2%	39 56.8%	32 39.3%	52 54.1%	11 38.7%
	No	152 49.8%	16 53.8%	29 42.0%	49 59.2%	41 43.4%	17 58.5%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 1.6%	2 2.5%	1 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA	a.				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	306	148	158
	Yes	148 48.3%	67 45.3%	81 51.2%
	No	153 49.9%	77 51.7%	76 48.3%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	4 3.0%	1 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	306	96	210
	Yes	148 48.3%	52 53.7%	96 45.9%
	No	153 49.9%	43 44.5%	110 52.4%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	2 1.7%	4 1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income					
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Total	306	55	56	57	63	75
	Yes	148 48.3%	25 45.4%	36 63.5%	25 43.7%	28 45.1%	34 45.5%
	No	153 49.9%	30 54.6%	20 36.5%	32 55.3%	31 49.9%	39 52.3%
	DK/NA	5 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	3 5.0%	2 2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
29. Have you or any members in your household ever watched the Cupertino Government Channel on Comcast channel 26 or on AT&T channel 99?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA	.a	.a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	148	82	66
	City Council Meetings	111 75.2%	63 76.9%	48 73.1%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.0%	20 23.8%	7 10.8%
	California Channel	12 8.4%	7 9.1%	5 7.5%
	None	0 .3%	0 .6%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.5%	5 6.0%	3 5.0%
	DK/NA	22 14.9%	12 14.4%	10 15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings		
	Commission Meetings	B	
	California Channel		
	None		.a
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	140	20	4	45
	City Council Meetings	105 75.2%	9 44.0%	3 79.8%	38 83.4%
	Commission Meetings	25 17.5%	4 17.5%	3 67.3%	6 12.3%
	California Channel	12 8.9%	5 26.7%	1 20.2%	2 5.3%
	None				
	Other	8 5.9%	3 12.6%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	21 14.7%	6 29.3%	1 20.2%	6 12.7%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	43	28
	City Council Meetings	32 75.4%	23 83.4%
	Commission Meetings	9 20.7%	4 14.6%
	California Channel	3 7.2%	1 3.0%
	None		
	Other	5 10.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	4 10.0%	4 13.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings			A		A
	Commission Meetings		C			
	California Channel					
	Other		.a			.a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	144	61	50	21	11
	City Council Meetings	108 75.0%	47 76.6%	36 71.0%	17 79.4%	9 75.5%
	Commission Meetings	26 17.8%	13 21.8%	4 8.6%	8 36.8%	0 1.9%
	California Channel	12 8.7%	3 5.6%	4 8.2%	5 23.0%	0 .0%
	None	0 .3%	0 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.7%	2 3.1%	4 7.6%	2 11.7%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	21 14.8%	9 14.4%	7 13.4%	3 14.4%	3 24.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings				
	Commission Meetings			B	
	California Channel				.a
	None		.a	.a	.a
	Other				.a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	147	10	62	75
	City Council Meetings	111 75.1%	3 25.6%	47 76.1%	61 81.1%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.1%	0 .0%	10 16.3%	17 22.2%
	California Channel	12 8.5%	3 31.9%	3 5.2%	6 7.9%
	None	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .7%
	Other	8 5.6%	0 .0%	5 8.0%	3 4.4%
	DK/NA	22 15.0%	4 42.6%	7 11.8%	10 13.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings		A	A
	Commission Meetings	.a		
	California Channel	B		
	None	.a	.a	
	Other	.a		
	DK/NA	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column*

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	147	126	20
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings	111 75.5%	100 78.8%	11 54.8%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.2%	26 20.6%	1 3.0%
	California Channel	12 8.5%	12 9.3%	1 3.7%
	None	0 .3%	0 .4%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.6%	5 3.6%	4 18.4%
	DK/NA	21 14.5%	16 12.7%	5 25.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings	B	
	Commission Meetings		
	California Channel		
	None		.a
	Other		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	148	14	39	32
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings	111 75.2%	11 75.5%	29 73.9%	28 86.8%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.0%	2 10.8%	9 24.5%	7 22.4%
	California Channel	12 8.4%	2 11.3%	1 3.5%	4 11.9%
	None	0 .3%	0 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.5%	0 .0%	5 13.3%	1 1.9%
	DK/NA	22 14.9%	1 10.6%	5 13.0%	2 6.6%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	52	11
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings	38 73.9%	6 52.1%
	Commission Meetings	8 15.3%	1 4.9%
	California Channel	6 11.0%	0 .0%
	None	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	2 4.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	8 16.4%	5 43.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings					
	Commission Meetings					
	California Channel					.a
	None		.a	.a	.a	.a
	Other	.a				.a
	DK/NA					. C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	148	67	81
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings	111 75.2%	47 70.6%	64 79.0%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.0%	10 14.5%	17 20.9%
	California Channel	12 8.4%	6 9.6%	6 7.5%
	None	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .6%
	Other	8 5.5%	2 3.7%	6 7.1%
	DK/NA	22 14.9%	13 20.0%	9 10.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	**City Council Meetings** **Commission Meetings** **California Channel** **None** **Other** **DK/NA**	.[a]	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
	Total	148	52	96
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	**City Council Meetings**	111 75.2%	44 84.5%	68 70.2%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.0%	12 23.3%	15 15.2%
	California Channel	12 8.4%	4 8.0%	8 8.6%
	None	0 .3%	0 1.0%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.5%	1 1.3%	8 7.8%
	DK/NA	22 14.9%	6 11.8%	16 16.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	**City Council Meetings** **Commission Meetings** **California Channel** **None** **Other** **DK/NA**		.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

   b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

   c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column*

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
	Total	148	25	36	25
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	**City Council Meetings**	111 75.2%	15 61.0%	16 45.6%	21 86.0%
	Commission Meetings	27 18.0%	6 25.2%	5 12.8%	5 20.7%
	California Channel	12 8.4%	7 27.1%	1 3.5%	1 4.7%
	None	0 .3%	0 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	8 5.5%	3 10.1%	2 5.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	22 14.9%	3 13.2%	14 40.0%	3 14.0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	Total	28	34
	City Council Meetings	24 85.5%	34 100.0%
	Commission Meetings	5 17.2%	6 16.9%
	California Channel	2 8.8%	1 2.2%
	None	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	2 6.8%	2 5.8%
	DK/NA	1 3.5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
30. Which programs on the Government Channel has your household watched?	City Council Meetings			B	B	.[a]
	Commission Meetings					
	California Channel	E				
	None		.[a]	.[a]	.[a]	.[a]
	Other			.[a]		
	DK/NA		D			.[a]

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Gender		
	Total	**Male**	**Female**
Total	400	198	202
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**	245 61.2%	122 61.5%	123 60.9%
Somewhat support	95 23.8%	46 23.2%	49 24.4%
Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	6 3.2%	8 4.1%
Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	8 4.3%	10 4.8%
DK/NA	27 6.8%	15 7.8%	12 5.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

	Gender	
	Male	**Female**
	(A)	**(B)**
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**		
Somewhat support		
Somewhat oppose		
Strongly oppose		
DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

	Age			
	Total	**18 to 29**	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**
Total	382	53	40	100
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**	240 62.6%	34 64.0%	23 55.8%	78 78.0%
Somewhat support	89 23.2%	14 26.4%	11 27.1%	13 13.0%
Somewhat oppose	11 3.0%	3 5.6%	1 3.1%	3 2.9%
Strongly oppose	17 4.6%	1 2.0%	2 5.5%	3 3.3%
DK/NA	25 6.7%	1 2.0%	3 8.5%	3 2.8%

	Age	
	50 to 64	**65 and older**
Total	123	65
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**	74 59.7%	31 47.6%
Somewhat support	31 25.1%	20 30.1%
Somewhat oppose	3 2.6%	1 1.5%
Strongly oppose	7 5.4%	4 6.5%
DK/NA	9 7.1%	9 14.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

	Age				
	18 to 29	**30 to 39**	**40 to 49**	**50 to 64**	**65 and older**
	(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**			D E		
Somewhat support					
Somewhat oppose					
Strongly oppose					
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

	Ethnicity				
	Total	**White**	**Chinese**	**Indian**	**Other**
Total	386	203	82	55	45
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal? **Strongly support**	236 61.3%	127 62.7%	41 49.3%	40 72.4%	28 62.7%
Somewhat support	93 24.1%	48 23.6%	26 31.9%	8 14.8%	11 23.9%
Somewhat oppose	13 3.5%	6 3.1%	4 5.2%	1 1.3%	2 4.8%
Strongly oppose	17 4.3%	8 3.9%	3 3.8%	4 8.0%	1 2.4%
DK/NA	26 6.9%	14 6.7%	8 9.8%	2 3.5%	3 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support			B	
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
	Total	398	48	162	187
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	244 61.2%	34 70.3%	111 68.7%	98 52.4%
	Somewhat support	94 23.7%	10 21.6%	33 20.3%	51 27.3%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	0 .0%	5 3.0%	10 5.2%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	1 2.2%	6 3.7%	11 5.9%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	3 5.9%	7 4.3%	17 9.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support		C	
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose	a .		
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	390	304	86
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	238 61.1%	180 59.3%	58 67.4%
	Somewhat support	94 24.1%	72 23.8%	22 25.3%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.8%	13 4.2%	2 2.0%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.5%	17 5.5%	1 1.2%
	DK/NA	26 6.6%	22 7.3%	3 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	394	40	104	91
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	243 61.5%	21 52.8%	58 55.3%	63 69.0%
	Somewhat support	94 23.8%	13 32.6%	26 25.0%	19 20.4%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	0 .0%	7 7.0%	1 1.2%
	Strongly oppose	17 4.2%	2 5.3%	7 6.9%	3 3.3%
	DK/NA	26 6.7%	4 9.4%	6 5.8%	6 6.1%

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	42
	Strongly support	78 66.7%	23 54.7%
	Somewhat support	27 22.7%	10 23.1%
	Somewhat oppose	4 3.4%	2 5.6%
	Strongly oppose	2 1.8%	2 5.3%
	DK/NA	6 5.4%	5 11.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose	.a				
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	167	232
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	111 66.6%	133 57.3%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	35 20.9%	60 25.9%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	4 2.3%	11 4.6%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	6 3.7%	12 5.1%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	11 6.5%	16 7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	129	271
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	65 50.8%	179 66.2%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	33 25.4%	62 23.0%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	4 3.5%	10 3.7%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	10 8.0%	8 2.9%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	16 12.4%	11 4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Page 7

			Annual Household Income		
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Total	400	68	75	76
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	41 59.9%	50 67.0%	51 66.9%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	19 27.4%	12 15.4%	16 21.0%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	1 1.2%	4 4.7%	2 2.8%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	3 4.1%	4 5.9%	4 5.4%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	5 7.5%	5 7.0%	3 4.0%

Page 8

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	**DK/NA**
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Total	73	107
	Strongly support	47 64.0%	56 52.1%
	Somewhat support	20 27.6%	29 26.9%
	Somewhat oppose	2 2.6%	6 5.8%
	Strongly oppose	0 .0%	7 6.4%
	DK/NA	4 5.8%	9 8.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose				a.	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Individual Party				
		Total	**Democrat**	**Republican**	**Other**	**DTS**
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Total	400	155	99	3	142
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	104 67.5%	45 45.0%	2 69.0%	93 65.5%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	30 19.3%	32 31.8%	1 31.0%	33 22.9%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	5 3.1%	7 7.5%	0 .0%	2 1.6%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	6 4.2%	6 6.5%	0 .0%	5 3.7%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	9 5.9%	9 9.2%	0 .0%	9 6.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Individual Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
	Strongly support	B			B
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose			a .	
	Strongly oppose			a .	
	DK/NA			a .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*
  b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*
  c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
	Total	400	65	49	35
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	41 62.3%	38 76.9%	16 46.9%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	15 22.8%	8 16.0%	11 31.0%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	2 3.0%	1 1.6%	1 2.8%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	1 .9%	2 3.7%	4 12.7%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	7 10.9%	1 1.8%	2 6.6%

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
	Total	32	114	103
	Strongly support	15 47.5%	79 69.4%	55 53.2%
	Somewhat support	8 23.7%	22 19.6%	31 30.3%
	Somewhat oppose	3 7.9%	2 2.0%	6 5.9%
	Strongly oppose	2 6.2%	3 2.9%	6 5.8%
	DK/NA	5 14.7%	7 6.1%	5 4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Household Party			
		Democrat (1) (A)	Democrat (2+) (B)	Republican (1) (C)	Republican (2+) (D)
	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?		Household Party	
		Other (E)	Mixed (F)
	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*
  b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Total**	400	151	108	141
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	95 62.9%	71 65.6%	79 56.0%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	36 24.1%	25 23.4%	33 23.8%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	5 3.4%	5 4.6%	5 3.2%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	8 5.2%	4 3.6%	7 4.6%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	7 4.5%	3 2.7%	17 12.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High (A)	Medium (B)	Low (C)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Total**	400	280	84	36
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	182 64.9%	44 53.0%	19 51.4%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	65 23.4%	22 25.9%	8 22.1%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	8 2.9%	5 5.4%	2 5.4%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	11 3.9%	4 4.7%	3 9.1%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	14 4.9%	9 11.0%	4 11.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High (A)	Medium (B)	Low (C)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	Before 1994	1994 to 1998
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	**Total**	400	107	32
	Strongly support	245 61.2%	52 49.3%	18 57.2%
	Somewhat support	95 23.8%	30 27.8%	7 22.3%
	Somewhat oppose	15 3.7%	5 5.0%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	18 4.6%	8 7.5%	1 3.4%
	DK/NA	27 6.8%	11 10.5%	5 17.1%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
	Total	87	174
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support	55 63.2%	118 68.3%
	Somewhat support	23 26.2%	35 20.4%
	Somewhat oppose	5 6.0%	4 2.3%
	Strongly oppose	2 2.5%	7 4.0%
	DK/NA	2 2.0%	9 5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994	1994 to 1998	1999 to 2003	2004 or later
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. One proposal for improving the City of Cupertino is to establish a network of paths and roads for people who are walking, biking, or roller-blading. Do you support or oppose this proposal?	Strongly support				A
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose		a.		
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA		C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	198	202
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	102 25.5%	54 27.5%	47 23.4%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	52 26.3%	37 18.3%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	30 15.1%	24 11.7%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	41 20.5%	61 30.4%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	21 10.6%	33 16.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	382	53	40	100
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	100 26.2%	19 35.1%	16 38.6%	25 24.5%
	Somewhat support	85 22.1%	14 26.0%	5 12.2%	21 20.9%
	Somewhat oppose	52 13.6%	6 11.8%	6 14.7%	14 13.8%
	Strongly oppose	95 24.9%	9 15.9%	9 21.8%	26 25.6%
	DK/NA	50 13.2%	6 11.2%	5 12.7%	15 15.3%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	123	65
	Strongly support	30 24.6%	11 16.8%
	Somewhat support	30 24.3%	15 22.9%
	Somewhat oppose	15 12.5%	11 16.5%
	Strongly oppose	34 27.2%	19 28.6%
	DK/NA	14 11.3%	10 15.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	386	203	82	55	45
	Strongly support	99 25.8%	67 32.8%	13 16.1%	10 17.8%	10 21.5%
	Somewhat support	88 22.8%	59 29.1%	11 13.9%	6 10.1%	12 26.2%
	Somewhat oppose	51 13.2%	19 9.4%	16 19.1%	8 15.2%	8 17.1%
	Strongly oppose	95 24.6%	36 17.8%	27 32.2%	22 39.4%	10 23.3%
	DK/NA	53 13.6%	22 10.9%	15 18.6%	10 17.5%	5 12.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	B			
	Somewhat support	B C			
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		A	A	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	398	48	162	187
	Strongly support	102 25.6%	15 30.1%	43 26.5%	44 23.6%
	Somewhat support	87 21.9%	9 18.7%	32 19.8%	46 24.6%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	6 11.7%	25 15.4%	23 12.2%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.6%	13 26.7%	37 22.5%	52 28.0%
	DK/NA	54 13.5%	6 12.8%	26 15.8%	22 11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	390	304	86
	Strongly support	101 25.9%	70 23.2%	30 35.3%
	Somewhat support	86 22.0%	73 24.0%	13 15.2%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.5%	42 14.0%	10 11.8%
	Strongly oppose	99 25.5%	82 26.9%	18 20.4%
	DK/NA	51 13.1%	36 11.9%	15 17.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	394	40	104	91
	Strongly support	101 25.6%	7 17.9%	24 23.0%	28 31.1%
	Somewhat support	88 22.3%	8 19.8%	29 27.6%	16 18.1%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	7 16.4%	12 11.9%	12 12.7%
	Strongly oppose	100 25.4%	11 28.0%	27 25.7%	20 21.6%
	DK/NA	53 13.4%	7 17.9%	12 11.8%	15 16.5%

		Members in the	
		Four	Five or more
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	117	42
	Strongly support	28 24.3%	13 30.6%
	Somewhat support	29 24.5%	6 14.7%
	Somewhat oppose	17 14.2%	6 13.7%
	Strongly oppose	29 25.2%	13 30.8%
	DK/NA	14 11.9%	4 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	400	167	232
	Strongly support	102 25.5%	47 27.9%	55 23.7%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	32 19.2%	57 24.4%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	23 13.9%	30 13.0%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	39 23.1%	63 27.2%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	27 15.9%	27 11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
	Total	400	129	271
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	102 25.5%	27 21.2%	74 27.5%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	28 21.5%	61 22.6%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	17 13.1%	37 13.5%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	39 29.9%	63 23.4%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	18 14.3%	35 13.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
	Total	400	68	75	76
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support	102 25.5%	17 25.3%	24 32.2%	22 28.6%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	18 26.3%	17 22.1%	22 28.6%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	8 11.8%	9 11.4%	6 8.4%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	17 25.6%	19 25.7%	18 23.0%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	7 11.1%	7 8.8%	9 11.4%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	73	107
	Strongly support	22 29.8%	17 15.7%
	Somewhat support	12 17.0%	20 18.9%
	Somewhat oppose	11 15.2%	19 18.1%
	Strongly oppose	16 22.6%	31 29.1%
	DK/NA	11 15.5%	20 18.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Dogs in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	395	98	297
	Strongly support	102 25.7%	49 50.2%	53 17.7%
	Somewhat support	89 22.5%	22 22.5%	67 22.5%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.5%	8 8.4%	45 15.2%
	Strongly oppose	98 24.9%	9 9.3%	89 30.0%
	DK/NA	53 13.3%	9 9.6%	43 14.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Dogs in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Individual Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Total**	400	155	99	3	142
	Strongly support	102 25.5%	50 32.6%	26 25.7%	1 37.3%	25 17.3%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	34 22.0%	27 27.5%	1 31.7%	27 18.7%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	21 13.7%	11 11.3%	0 .0%	21 14.8%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	35 22.6%	23 23.5%	1 31.0%	43 29.9%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	14 9.2%	12 12.1%	0 .0%	27 19.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Individual Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	D			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose			a .	
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA			a .	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	400	65	49	35
	Strongly support	102 25.5%	18 27.9%	19 39.2%	8 23.2%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	12 18.6%	11 21.8%	7 21.2%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	10 15.4%	5 9.7%	4 12.7%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	15 23.2%	12 23.8%	9 25.0%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	10 14.9%	3 5.5%	6 17.9%

		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	32	114	103
	Strongly support	10 32.3%	22 19.1%	24 23.1%
	Somewhat support	10 30.2%	20 17.9%	28 27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	2 5.4%	18 15.8%	14 13.9%
	Strongly oppose	7 20.3%	34 30.1%	25 24.4%
	DK/NA	4 11.8%	20 17.1%	12 11.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Household Party			
		Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)	Republican (2+)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Household Party	
		Other	Mixed
		(E)	(F)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	**High**	**Medium**	**Low**
	Total	400	151	108	141
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	102 25.5%	37 24.7%	32 29.2%	33 23.4%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	32 21.2%	28 25.6%	29 20.8%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	20 13.0%	12 11.3%	22 15.4%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	40 26.4%	23 21.3%	39 27.8%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	22 14.8%	14 12.6%	18 12.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High (A)	**Medium (B)**	**Low (C)**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	**High**	**Medium**	**Low**
	Total	400	280	84	36
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	102 25.5%	81 28.9%	14 16.3%	7 19.8%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	63 22.5%	23 27.6%	3 8.1%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	34 12.1%	12 14.0%	8 21.9%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	61 21.8%	26 30.5%	15 42.5%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	41 14.7%	10 11.5%	3 7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High (A)	**Medium (B)**	**Low (C)**
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			A
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	**Before 1994**	**1994 to 1998**
	Total	400	107	32
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	**Strongly support**	102 25.5%	21 19.5%	6 19.9%
	Somewhat support	89 22.3%	24 22.6%	6 20.0%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.4%	17 16.2%	5 15.6%
	Strongly oppose	102 25.5%	34 32.3%	5 14.3%
	DK/NA	54 13.4%	10 9.4%	10 30.1%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Total	87	174
	Strongly support	30 34.1%	45 25.8%
	Somewhat support	15 17.1%	43 25.0%
	Somewhat oppose	7 7.6%	25 14.2%
	Strongly oppose	22 25.4%	41 23.4%
	DK/NA	14 15.8%	20 11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994	1994 to 1998	1999 to 2003	2004 or later
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. The City of Cupertino is considering to build a new dog park, which is estimated to cost the City about $250,000 dollars. Do you support or oppose the construction of this dog park?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA		A D		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	198	202
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	57 28.6%	73 36.1%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	60 30.3%	57 28.3%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	23 11.7%	16 8.0%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	45 22.6%	49 24.1%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	14 6.9%	7 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	382	53	40	100
	Strongly support	125 32.8%	26 48.0%	13 31.7%	31 31.3%
	Somewhat support	112 29.2%	16 29.3%	12 28.9%	32 31.7%
	Somewhat oppose	36 9.5%	5 8.9%	5 12.3%	10 10.2%
	Strongly oppose	89 23.2%	6 11.8%	9 21.7%	23 22.4%
	DK/NA	20 5.3%	1 2.0%	2 5.5%	4 4.3%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	123	65
	Strongly support	43 — 35.3%	12 — 18.6%
	Somewhat support	33 — 26.6%	20 — 30.4%
	Somewhat oppose	11 — 8.6%	6 — 8.8%
	Strongly oppose	31 — 25.5%	20 — 30.7%
	DK/NA	5 — 4.0%	8 — 11.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**	E				
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	386	203	82	55	45
	Strongly support	124 — 32.1%	82 — 40.6%	18 — 21.2%	8 — 15.3%	15 — 34.3%
	Somewhat support	115 — 29.8%	56 — 27.6%	27 — 32.8%	24 — 43.4%	8 — 17.9%
	Somewhat oppose	38 — 9.9%	17 — 8.3%	13 — 16.0%	2 — 3.5%	6 — 14.3%
	Strongly oppose	89 — 23.1%	38 — 18.9%	22 — 27.2%	15 — 26.8%	13 — 30.0%
	DK/NA	19 — 5.0%	9 — 4.6%	2 — 2.7%	6 — 11.0%	2 — 3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**	B C			
	Somewhat support			D	
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	398	48	162	187
	Strongly support	128 — 32.2%	16 — 33.0%	54 — 33.4%	58 — 31.1%
	Somewhat support	116 — 29.2%	13 — 27.3%	55 — 33.6%	49 — 25.9%
	Somewhat oppose	39 — 9.8%	3 — 5.6%	16 — 9.6%	21 — 11.1%
	Strongly oppose	93 — 23.4%	11 — 23.6%	30 — 18.2%	52 — 27.9%
	DK/NA	21 — 5.3%	5 — 10.4%	8 — 5.2%	8 — 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	**Own**	**Rent**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	390	304	86
	Strongly support	126 32.3%	95 31.2%	31 36.0%
	Somewhat support	114 29.3%	92 30.3%	22 25.6%
	Somewhat oppose	38 9.8%	33 10.8%	6 6.5%
	Strongly oppose	92 23.6%	72 23.9%	20 22.7%
	DK/NA	20 5.1%	12 3.9%	8 9.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	**Rent**
		(A)	**(B)**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	**One**	**Two**	**Three**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	394	40	104	91
	Strongly support	128 32.4%	9 22.0%	29 28.2%	33 36.4%
	Somewhat support	116 29.4%	12 29.2%	30 29.1%	25 27.7%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.9%	5 12.2%	15 13.9%	10 10.8%
	Strongly oppose	91 23.1%	11 27.3%	24 23.5%	18 19.3%
	DK/NA	20 5.2%	4 9.4%	6 5.3%	5 5.9%

		Members in the	
		Four	**Five or more**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	117	42
	Strongly support	37 31.8%	19 46.2%
	Somewhat support	38 32.8%	10 24.2%
	Somewhat oppose	8 6.9%	2 4.7%
	Strongly oppose	28 24.2%	10 23.5%
	DK/NA	5 4.3%	1 1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	**Two**	**Three**	**Four**	**Five or more**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	**Total**	400	167	232
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	58 34.5%	72 30.9%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	52 30.8%	65 28.1%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	13 8.1%	26 11.1%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	37 22.1%	56 24.2%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	8 4.6%	13 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	129	271
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	36 28.3%	93 34.3%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	35 27.5%	81 30.1%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	9 6.9%	30 11.2%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	39 30.3%	54 20.0%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	9 7.1%	12 4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	68	75	76
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	22 32.0%	25 33.1%	26 34.6%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	25 36.3%	21 28.6%	20 26.7%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	5 6.8%	6 8.2%	11 13.9%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	12 17.3%	21 28.0%	14 18.4%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	5 7.6%	2 2.1%	5 6.4%

| | | Annual Household | |
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	73	107
	Strongly support	26 — 35.5%	30 — 28.4%
	Somewhat support	21 — 28.5%	30 — 27.7%
	Somewhat oppose	7 — 10.0%	11 — 9.8%
	Strongly oppose	18 — 24.2%	29 — 26.7%
	DK/NA	1 — 1.8%	8 — 7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Annual Household Income | | | | |
| | | Less than $80,000 | $80,000 to $119,999 | $120,000 to $179,999 | $180,000 or more | DK/NA |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Dogs in the Household | | |
		Total	Yes	No
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	395	98	297
	Strongly support	128 — 32.4%	55 — 56.2%	73 — 24.6%
	Somewhat support	115 — 29.0%	23 — 23.1%	92 — 31.0%
	Somewhat oppose	39 — 9.9%	8 — 8.1%	31 — 10.5%
	Strongly oppose	92 — 23.4%	12 — 11.8%	81 — 27.2%
	DK/NA	21 — 5.3%	1 — .9%	20 — 6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Dogs in the Household | |
| | | Yes | No |
		(A)	(B)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

| | | Individual Party | | | | |
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	155	99	3	142
	Strongly support	129 — 32.4%	63 — 40.9%	29 — 28.8%	2 — 62.7%	35 — 24.9%
	Somewhat support	117 — 29.3%	31 — 20.1%	32 — 32.6%	1 — 37.3%	52 — 36.7%
	Somewhat oppose	39 — 9.8%	22 — 13.9%	8 — 7.9%	0 — .0%	10 — 6.9%
	Strongly oppose	93 — 23.3%	31 — 19.8%	27 — 26.8%	0 — .0%	36 — 25.3%
	DK/NA	21 — 5.2%	8 — 5.4%	4 — 3.9%	0 — .0%	9 — 6.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | Individual Party | | | |
| | | Democrat | Republican | Other | DTS |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support	D			
	Somewhat support				A
	Somewhat oppose			a .	
	Strongly oppose			a .	
	DK/NA			a .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

proportions tests.

		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	65	49	35
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	20 30.9%	24 48.5%	9 27.0%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	16 24.0%	6 12.9%	12 33.9%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	10 15.4%	8 17.0%	1 3.3%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	13 19.9%	9 17.8%	12 33.4%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	6 9.8%	2 3.9%	1 2.5%

		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	32	114	103
	Strongly support	8 25.6%	26 23.0%	41 39.9%
	Somewhat support	11 33.1%	45 39.6%	27 26.1%
	Somewhat oppose	4 11.0%	7 6.0%	9 8.9%
	Strongly oppose	8 24.0%	30 26.3%	22 21.2%
	DK/NA	2 6.2%	6 5.1%	4 3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Household Party			
		Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)	Republican (2+)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		E		
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Household Party	
		Other	Mixed
		(E)	(F)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support	B	
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	151	108	141
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	50 33.0%	35 32.7%	44 31.4%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	46 30.7%	30 27.4%	41 29.1%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	13 8.8%	11 10.1%	15 10.7%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	34 22.6%	24 22.2%	35 25.0%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	7 4.8%	8 7.6%	5 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High	Medium	Low
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	280	84	36
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	98 35.1%	23 27.8%	8 21.7%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	79 28.3%	30 35.3%	8 22.5%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	23 8.4%	9 10.6%	7 19.2%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	65 23.3%	18 21.1%	10 28.7%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	14 4.9%	4 5.3%	3 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High	Medium	Low
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	Before 1994	1994 to 1998
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	400	107	32
	Strongly support	129 32.4%	32 30.2%	6 17.6%
	Somewhat support	117 29.3%	28 26.0%	15 47.5%
	Somewhat oppose	39 9.8%	10 9.1%	4 13.2%
	Strongly oppose	93 23.3%	30 28.3%	5 15.7%
	DK/NA	21 5.2%	7 6.4%	2 6.0%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Total	87	174
	Strongly support	29 / 33.7%	62 / 35.8%
	Somewhat support	26 / 29.6%	48 / 27.7%
	Somewhat oppose	10 / 10.9%	16 / 9.1%
	Strongly oppose	20 / 23.3%	38 / 21.7%
	DK/NA	2 / 2.6%	10 / 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994	1994 to 1998	1999 to 2003	2004 or later
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. In place of a new dog park, the City would consider to have designated areas in existing City parks for off-leash dogs during certain hours and days each week. Do you support or oppose having such areas in existing City parks?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	198	202
	Strongly support	209 / 52.4%	114 / 57.6%	95 / 47.3%
	Somewhat support	102 / 25.4%	48 / 24.2%	54 / 26.6%
	Somewhat oppose	27 / 6.8%	9 / 4.4%	19 / 9.3%
	Strongly oppose	44 / 11.0%	22 / 11.0%	22 / 11.0%
	DK/NA	17 / 4.3%	6 / 2.9%	12 / 5.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	B	
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	382	53	40	100
	Strongly support	201 / 52.6%	34 / 63.0%	20 / 49.2%	54 / 54.1%
	Somewhat support	98 / 25.6%	17 / 32.7%	9 / 22.1%	22 / 22.0%
	Somewhat oppose	26 / 6.8%	2 / 4.3%	6 / 14.0%	6 / 5.7%
	Strongly oppose	42 / 11.1%	0 / .0%	6 / 14.7%	15 / 14.9%
	DK/NA	15 / 4.0%	0 / .0%	0 / .0%	3 / 3.3%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	123	65
	Strongly support	68 55.2%	25 38.7%
	Somewhat support	30 24.1%	20 30.3%
	Somewhat oppose	6 4.8%	6 9.9%
	Strongly oppose	12 9.4%	10 15.1%
	DK/NA	8 6.5%	4 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose	.a	.a			
	DK/NA	.a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	386	203	82	55	45
	Strongly support	205 53.1%	109 53.9%	39 47.1%	29 52.1%	28 62.3%
	Somewhat support	98 25.4%	59 28.9%	21 25.5%	9 16.5%	9 19.9%
	Somewhat oppose	25 6.5%	11 5.4%	7 8.2%	5 9.9%	2 4.4%
	Strongly oppose	42 10.8%	16 8.1%	11 13.5%	10 17.6%	4 9.6%
	DK/NA	16 4.2%	8 3.8%	5 5.7%	2 3.8%	2 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	398	48	162	187
	Strongly support	209 52.6%	28 58.8%	86 53.2%	95 50.5%
	Somewhat support	101 25.4%	11 23.6%	40 24.8%	49 26.4%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.9%	3 5.8%	13 7.9%	12 6.3%
	Strongly oppose	43 10.8%	4 8.4%	16 10.0%	23 12.1%
	DK/NA	17 4.4%	2 3.3%	7 4.2%	9 4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.
  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
	Total	390	304	86
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	207 53.2%	161 52.9%	47 54.1%
	Somewhat support	98 25.2%	74 24.3%	24 28.3%
	Somewhat oppose	27 7.0%	23 7.7%	4 4.7%
	Strongly oppose	41 10.6%	32 10.7%	9 10.2%
	DK/NA	16 4.0%	13 4.4%	2 2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
	Total	394	40	104	91
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	209 53.1%	17 42.9%	48 45.9%	54 59.3%
	Somewhat support	100 25.5%	14 34.7%	25 24.4%	24 25.8%
	Somewhat oppose	26 6.7%	3 8.7%	9 9.0%	3 3.4%
	Strongly oppose	42 10.6%	4 9.1%	13 12.6%	6 6.4%
	DK/NA	16 4.1%	2 4.6%	9 8.2%	5 5.1%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
	Total	117	42
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	69 58.7%	22 52.1%
	Somewhat support	25 21.7%	12 29.3%
	Somewhat oppose	7 6.1%	3 7.9%
	Strongly oppose	16 13.5%	3 8.2%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	1 2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA				.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	167	232
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	209 52.4%	89 53.0%	121 51.9%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	41 24.8%	60 25.9%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	13 7.8%	14 6.2%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	20 12.1%	24 10.2%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	4 2.3%	14 5.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	129	271
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	57 44.3%	152 56.2%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	32 24.8%	70 25.8%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	10 7.8%	17 6.4%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	20 15.8%	23 8.7%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	9 7.3%	8 3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	68	75	76
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	33 49.5%	40 53.5%	45 59.5%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	18 26.5%	23 31.3%	18 23.8%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	5 6.8%	3 4.1%	6 7.4%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	7 10.8%	8 11.1%	4 5.5%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	4 6.4%	0 .0%	3 3.9%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	**Total**	73	107
	Strongly support	41 56.5%	49 45.6%
	Somewhat support	18 24.4%	24 22.6%
	Somewhat oppose	3 4.5%	11 9.9%
	Strongly oppose	7 9.7%	17 15.8%
	DK/NA	4 4.9%	6 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999	$180,000 or more	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA	a.				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Individual Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	**Total**	400	155	99	3	142
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	89 57.8%	46 46.8%	3 100.0%	70 49.3%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	37 23.6%	34 33.9%	0 .0%	31 22.1%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	7 4.4%	8 8.2%	0 .0%	12 8.7%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	14 9.3%	7 7.1%	0 .0%	22 15.8%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	8 4.9%	4 4.1%	0 .0%	6 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Individual Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	**Strongly support**			a.	
	Somewhat support			a.	
	Somewhat oppose			a.	
	Strongly oppose			a.	
	DK/NA			a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

    a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

    b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

    c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	**Total**	400	65	49	35
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	34 52.2%	34 69.3%	18 50.0%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	17 25.8%	8 17.1%	13 37.4%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	5 7.5%	0 .0%	2 6.3%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	6 8.9%	6 11.4%	1 3.0%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	4 5.6%	1 2.1%	1 3.3%

Page 51

		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	32	114	103
	Strongly support	14 42.8%	54 47.1%	56 54.1%
	Somewhat support	9 26.7%	27 23.2%	28 27.2%
	Somewhat oppose	4 12.2%	11 10.0%	5 4.7%
	Strongly oppose	3 9.3%	18 15.6%	11 10.2%
	DK/NA	3 9.0%	5 4.1%	4 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Household Party			
		Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)	Republican (2+)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose		a.		
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Household Party	
		Other	Mixed
		(E)	(F)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Page 52

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	151	108	141
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	81 53.6%	61 56.5%	67 47.8%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	43 28.3%	24 22.0%	35 25.0%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	10 6.7%	5 4.8%	12 8.6%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	13 8.8%	12 11.1%	19 13.3%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	4 2.6%	6 5.6%	7 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High	Medium	Low
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Total	400	280	84	36
	Strongly support	209 52.4%	148 52.9%	49 58.2%	12 34.5%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	69 24.6%	21 25.0%	12 32.6%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	18 6.4%	5 6.5%	4 11.2%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	31 10.9%	7 8.7%	6 16.8%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	14 5.1%	1 1.5%	2 5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High (A)	Medium (B)	Low (C)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	Before 1994	1994 to 1998
	Total	400	107	32
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	209 52.4%	52 49.1%	15 45.5%
	Somewhat support	102 25.4%	23 22.0%	10 31.4%
	Somewhat oppose	27 6.8%	9 8.8%	2 7.3%
	Strongly oppose	44 11.0%	16 14.9%	5 15.7%
	DK/NA	17 4.3%	6 5.2%	0 .0%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
	Total	87	174
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support	48 54.4%	95 54.7%
	Somewhat support	21 24.3%	47 27.0%
	Somewhat oppose	5 5.5%	11 6.2%
	Strongly oppose	9 10.7%	14 7.9%
	DK/NA	4 5.1%	7 4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994 (A)	1994 to 1998 (B)	1999 to 2003 (C)	2004 or later (D)
18. Do you support improving the Crossroads District with amenities that create more of a downtown feel, including benches, trees, and additional shopping opportunities?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA		.a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
	Total	400	198	202
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support	72 18.0%	43 21.7%	29 14.4%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	39 19.7%	32 15.8%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	24 12.1%	33 16.6%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	81 40.7%	94 46.6%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	11 5.7%	13 6.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
	Total	382	53	40	100
	Strongly support	69 18.2%	9 16.5%	10 24.4%	10 9.8%
	Somewhat support	69 18.1%	14 27.2%	5 13.5%	18 17.5%
	Somewhat oppose	53 13.9%	13 25.0%	7 16.2%	13 13.0%
	Strongly oppose	167 43.6%	13 25.1%	17 41.3%	55 54.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.2%	3 6.2%	2 4.5%	5 5.2%

Page 55

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
	Total	123	65
	Strongly support	24 19.4%	17 26.2%
	Somewhat support	17 14.2%	14 21.9%
	Somewhat oppose	12 9.7%	8 12.8%
	Strongly oppose	61 49.6%	21 32.1%
	DK/NA	9 7.1%	5 7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose			A E	A	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
	Total	386	203	82	55	45
	Strongly support	70 18.0%	45 22.2%	9 11.0%	9 15.4%	7 15.2%
	Somewhat support	71 18.4%	44 21.6%	8 10.0%	10 18.1%	9 19.6%
	Somewhat oppose	56 14.6%	32 16.0%	12 14.0%	4 7.9%	8 17.7%
	Strongly oppose	168 43.5%	68 33.3%	49 59.6%	32 57.3%	19 42.8%
	DK/NA	21 5.5%	14 6.9%	4 5.4%	1 1.3%	2 4.7%

Page 56

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Ethnicity			
		White (A)	Chinese (B)	Indian (C)	Other (D)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		A	A	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Length of Residence			
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	398	48	162	187
	Strongly support	72 / 18.1%	11 / 23.4%	27 / 16.4%	34 / 18.3%
	Somewhat support	70 / 17.7%	12 / 25.5%	25 / 15.5%	33 / 17.5%
	Somewhat oppose	58 / 14.5%	6 / 12.8%	28 / 17.0%	24 / 12.7%
	Strongly oppose	174 / 43.6%	16 / 32.6%	72 / 44.3%	86 / 45.8%
	DK/NA	24 / 6.2%	3 / 5.7%	11 / 6.7%	11 / 5.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less (A)	4 to 15 years (B)	16 years or more (C)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Own	Rent
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	390	304	86
	Strongly support	72 / 18.5%	47 / 15.6%	25 / 28.7%
	Somewhat support	69 / 17.6%	46 / 15.2%	23 / 26.2%
	Somewhat oppose	56 / 14.5%	47 / 15.6%	9 / 10.5%
	Strongly oppose	171 / 43.8%	147 / 48.4%	24 / 27.7%
	DK/NA	22 / 5.5%	16 / 5.1%	6 / 7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own (A)	Rent (B)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support		A
	Somewhat support		A
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Members in the Household			
		Total	One	Two	Three
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	394	40	104	91
	Strongly support	71 / 18.1%	10 / 26.0%	22 / 21.3%	14 / 15.4%
	Somewhat support	71 / 18.0%	14 / 34.7%	13 / 12.1%	17 / 19.0%
	Somewhat oppose	58 / 14.6%	6 / 14.1%	11 / 11.0%	12 / 12.6%
	Strongly oppose	172 / 43.5%	8 / 18.8%	50 / 47.7%	42 / 45.9%
	DK/NA	23 / 5.7%	3 / 6.5%	8 / 7.9%	6 / 7.1%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	**Five or more**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	117	42
	Strongly support	17 14.7%	8 18.3%
	Somewhat support	22 18.8%	5 12.4%
	Somewhat oppose	24 20.5%	5 11.9%
	Strongly oppose	52 44.4%	21 49.4%
	DK/NA	2 1.7%	3 8.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Members in the Household				
		One	**Two**	**Three**	**Four**	**Five or more**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support	B				
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose		A	A	A	A
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Children in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	400	167	232
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	30 17.7%	43 18.3%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	22 13.4%	49 20.9%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	28 16.8%	29 12.6%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	80 47.9%	94 40.6%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	7 4.2%	17 7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Strongly support**		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	**Yes**	**No**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	400	129	271
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	22 17.4%	50 18.4%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	22 16.9%	49 18.1%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	15 11.5%	43 15.7%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	60 46.7%	114 42.2%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	10 7.4%	15 5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	**No**
		(A)	**(B)**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Strongly support**		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	**Less than $80,000**	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	400	68	75	76
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	15 22.2%	16 20.8%	15 19.7%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	20 28.9%	11 15.2%	15 19.3%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	10 15.2%	10 13.9%	11 14.1%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	15 22.8%	35 46.3%	34 43.9%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	7 10.9%	3 3.8%	2 3.0%

		Annual Household	
		$180,000 or more	**DK/NA**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	73	107
	Strongly support	15 20.4%	12 10.8%
	Somewhat support	9 12.0%	16 15.3%
	Somewhat oppose	12 16.5%	14 13.0%
	Strongly oppose	36 49.6%	55 50.8%
	DK/NA	1 1.5%	11 10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Annual Household Income				
		Less than $80,000	**$80,000 to $119,999**	**$120,000 to $179,999**	**$180,000 or more**	**DK/NA**
		(A)	**(B)**	**(C)**	**(D)**	**(E)**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Strongly support**					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose		A		A	A
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Individual Party				
		Total	**Democrat**	**Republican**	**Other**	**DTS**
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	400	155	99	3	142
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	32 20.6%	15 15.5%	2 68.3%	23 15.9%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	30 19.1%	16 16.1%	1 31.7%	24 17.1%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	22 14.5%	17 17.5%	0 .0%	18 12.4%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	60 39.1%	47 47.0%	0 .0%	67 47.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	10 6.7%	4 3.9%	0 .0%	10 7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Individual Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose			a.	
	Strongly oppose			a.	
	DK/NA			a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

  a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

  b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

  c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
	Total	400	65	49	35
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	13 20.7%	13 25.7%	5 15.1%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	13 20.3%	6 12.3%	10 27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	11 17.2%	7 14.5%	6 16.0%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	23 34.9%	18 35.8%	15 41.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	4 6.9%	6 11.8%	0 .0%

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
	Total	32	114	103
	Strongly support	5 16.2%	19 16.2%	17 16.3%
	Somewhat support	4 11.1%	20 17.7%	18 17.6%
	Somewhat oppose	6 17.5%	13 11.1%	15 14.7%
	Strongly oppose	16 49.6%	55 47.8%	49 47.4%
	DK/NA	2 5.6%	8 7.2%	4 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?		Household Party			
		Democrat (1) (A)	Democrat (2+) (B)	Republican (1) (C)	Republican (2+) (D)
	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA			a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Household Party	
		Other	Mixed
		(E)	(F)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	151	108	141
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	23 15.3%	22 20.1%	27 19.4%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	29 19.4%	20 18.7%	21 15.2%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	20 13.2%	14 13.3%	23 16.5%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	69 45.5%	46 42.3%	60 42.8%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	10 6.5%	6 5.7%	9 6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High	Medium	Low
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	280	84	36
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	49 17.5%	16 19.3%	7 19.7%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	47 16.7%	17 20.2%	7 20.5%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	37 13.1%	16 18.9%	5 13.8%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	130 46.4%	29 35.1%	15 42.4%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	18 6.4%	5 6.5%	1 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High	Medium	Low
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	Before 1994	1994 to 1998
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	Total	400	107	32
	Strongly support	72 18.0%	24 22.7%	5 14.5%
	Somewhat support	71 17.7%	13 12.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat oppose	58 14.4%	14 13.2%	8 23.5%
	Strongly oppose	175 43.7%	52 49.0%	16 50.9%
	DK/NA	24 6.1%	3 2.9%	4 11.2%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Total**	87	174
	Strongly support	11 12.6%	32 18.6%
	Somewhat support	24 27.0%	34 19.8%
	Somewhat oppose	6 7.4%	29 17.0%
	Strongly oppose	38 43.2%	68 39.3%
	DK/NA	9 9.8%	9 5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994	1994 to 1998	1999 to 2003	2004 or later
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. Would you support or oppose building new workforce housing, such as condominiums, town homes, and apartments in areas along Stevens Creek Boulevard from Stelling Road to Wolfe Road in proportion to job growth to support major corporate tenants?	**Strongly support**				
	Somewhat support		a .	A	
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	400	198	202
	Yes	253 63.4%	138 69.9%	115 57.1%
	No	133 33.4%	53 26.8%	80 39.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	6 3.3%	6 3.1%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	400	198	202
	Yes	291 72.8%	155 78.1%	136 67.6%
	No	98 24.5%	40 20.1%	58 28.8%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	4 1.8%	7 3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes**	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes**	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Age			
		Total	18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	382	53	40	100
	Yes	242 63.4%	32 60.5%	24 58.2%	66 65.8%
	No	129 33.9%	21 39.5%	17 41.8%	33 32.6%
	DK/NA	11 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.6%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	382	53	40	100
	Yes	277 72.5%	38 72.1%	26 64.3%	77 76.3%
	No	95 25.0%	15 27.9%	13 32.6%	23 23.1%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	0 .0%	1 3.1%	1 .6%

		Age	
		50 to 64	65 and older
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	123	65
	Yes	84 68.0%	37 56.6%
	No	36 29.3%	23 34.8%
	DK/NA	3 2.7%	6 8.6%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	123	65
	Yes	95 77.2%	41 63.4%
	No	25 20.2%	19 29.8%
	DK/NA	3 2.6%	4 6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Age				
		18 to 29	30 to 39	40 to 49	50 to 64	65 and older
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	.a	.a			
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	.a				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnicity				
		Total	White	Chinese	Indian	Other
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	386	203	82	55	45
	Yes	247 64.0%	141 69.2%	47 57.0%	32 58.2%	27 60.4%
	No	127 32.9%	54 26.7%	33 39.7%	22 39.8%	18 39.6%
	DK/NA	12 3.1%	8 4.1%	3 3.3%	1 1.9%	0 .0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	386	203	82	55	45
	Yes	284 73.7%	161 79.3%	59 71.1%	34 61.7%	30 67.8%
	No	92 24.0%	35 17.4%	23 27.6%	21 38.3%	13 29.4%
	DK/NA	9 2.4%	7 3.3%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	1 2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Ethnicity			
		White	Chinese	Indian	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA				.a
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	C		A .	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Length of Residence		
		Total	3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	398	48	162	187
	Yes	252 63.3%	30 62.6%	103 63.6%	118 63.2%
	No	133 33.5%	16 34.0%	57 35.0%	60 32.1%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	2 3.4%	2 1.4%	9 4.7%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	398	48	162	187
	Yes	289 72.7%	35 71.4%	118 72.8%	137 72.9%
	No	98 24.6%	11 22.6%	44 26.9%	43 23.1%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	3 6.0%	1 .4%	7 3.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Length of Residence		
		3 years or less	4 to 15 years	16 years or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes** **No** **DK/NA**			
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes** **No** **DK/NA**	B		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Homeownership Status	
		Total	Own	Rent
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	390	304	86
	Yes	248 63.7%	196 64.4%	53 61.2%
	No	130 33.4%	98 32.4%	32 36.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.9%	10 3.2%	2 2.0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	390	304	86
	Yes	286 73.3%	224 73.9%	61 71.3%
	No	94 24.2%	72 23.6%	23 26.5%
	DK/NA	10 2.5%	8 2.5%	2 2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Homeownership Status	
		Own	Rent
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes** **No** **DK/NA**		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Yes** **No** **DK/NA**		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

			Members in the Household		
		Total	One	Two	Three
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	394	40	104	91
	Yes	252 63.9%	26 65.3%	62 59.0%	63 68.8%
	No	130 33.1%	11 28.5%	38 36.6%	26 28.9%
	DK/NA	12 3.1%	2 6.2%	5 4.5%	2 2.3%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	**Total**	394	40	104	91
	Yes	289 73.4%	29 72.3%	74 70.4%	68 74.8%
	No	95 24.0%	10 24.7%	24 22.5%	22 24.1%
	DK/NA	10 2.6%	1 3.0%	7 7.1%	1 1.2%

		Members in the Household	
		Four	Five or more
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	117	42
	Yes	79 67.2%	23 54.9%
	No	36 30.9%	18 43.6%
	DK/NA	2 1.9%	1 1.6%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	117	42
	Yes	90 77.3%	28 67.7%
	No	27 22.7%	13 30.7%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	1 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Members in the Household				
		One	Two	Three	Four	Five or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA					
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No (DON'T READ) DK/NA				a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

 b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

 c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

Page 73

		Children in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	167	232
	Yes	253 63.4%	105 63.0%	148 63.7%
	No	133 33.4%	60 35.6%	74 31.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	2 1.3%	10 4.5%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	167	232
	Yes	291 72.8%	122 72.7%	169 72.9%
	No	98 24.5%	46 27.3%	52 22.4%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	0 .0%	11 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Children in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	a.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

 a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

 b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

 c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

Page 74

		Seniors in the Household		
		Total	Yes	No
	Total	400	129	271
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	253 63.4%	75 58.0%	179 66.0%
	No	133 33.4%	45 35.1%	88 32.6%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	9 6.9%	4 1.4%
	Total	400	129	271
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	291 72.8%	87 67.6%	204 75.3%
	No	98 24.5%	36 27.9%	62 22.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	6 4.5%	5 1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Seniors in the Household	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA	B	
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Annual Household Income			
		Total	Less than $80,000	$80,000 to $119,999	$120,000 to $179,999
	Total	400	68	75	76
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	253 63.4%	48 70.9%	45 59.9%	53 69.1%
	No	133 33.4%	17 24.8%	29 38.5%	23 29.5%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	3 4.3%	1 1.5%	1 1.4%
	Total	400	68	75	76
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	291 72.8%	51 74.7%	55 73.0%	61 79.8%
	No	98 24.5%	16 24.1%	19 25.4%	14 18.8%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	1 1.2%	1 1.7%	1 1.4%

| | | Annual Household | |
		$180,000 or more	DK/NA
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	73	107
	Yes	52 / 71.8%	55 / 51.4%
	No	20 / 26.8%	46 / 42.6%
	DK/NA	1 / 1.5%	6 / 6.0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	73	107
	Yes	59 / 81.2%	65 / 60.8%
	No	12 / 15.9%	37 / 34.0%
	DK/NA	2 / 3.0%	6 / 5.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

| | | Annual Household Income | | | | |
| | | Less than $80,000 | $80,000 to $119,999 | $120,000 to $179,999 | $180,000 or more | DK/NA |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes				E	
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

b. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

| | | Individual Party | | | | |
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	155	99	3	142
	Yes	253 / 63.4%	103 / 66.4%	63 / 63.0%	3 / 100.0%	85 / 59.6%
	No	133 / 33.4%	47 / 30.7%	32 / 32.0%	0 / .0%	54 / 38.1%
	DK/NA	13 / 3.2%	5 / 2.9%	5 / 5.0%	0 / .0%	3 / 2.3%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	155	99	3	142
	Yes	291 / 72.8%	117 / 76.0%	76 / 76.8%	3 / 100.0%	94 / 65.9%
	No	98 / 24.5%	33 / 21.6%	19 / 19.3%	0 / .0%	45 / 31.8%
	DK/NA	11 / 2.7%	4 / 2.4%	4 / 3.9%	0 / .0%	3 / 2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

| | | Individual Party | | | |
| | | Democrat | Republican | Other | DTS |
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes			.a	
	No			.a	
	DK/NA			.a	
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes			.a	
	No			.a	
	DK/NA			.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. *This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.*

b. *Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.*

c. *Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.*

		Household Party			
		Total	Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	65	49	35
	Yes	253 63.4%	38 58.5%	35 71.7%	26 75.2%
	No	133 33.4%	23 35.8%	13 26.7%	8 21.5%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	4 5.7%	1 1.6%	1 3.3%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	65	49	35
	Yes	291 72.8%	45 68.5%	41 82.9%	31 87.3%
	No	98 24.5%	17 25.9%	8 17.1%	4 12.7%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	4 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Household Party		
		Republican (2+)	Other	Mixed
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	32	114	103
	Yes	15 44.8%	69 60.2%	70 68.0%
	No	15 46.4%	44 38.9%	30 29.0%
	DK/NA	3 8.7%	1 .9%	3 3.0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	32	114	103
	Yes	22 67.3%	78 67.9%	75 72.8%
	No	8 23.7%	35 31.0%	25 24.2%
	DK/NA	3 9.0%	1 1.0%	3 3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Household Party			
		Democrat (1)	Democrat (2+)	Republican (1)	Republican (2+)
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA				
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		.[a]	.[a]	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Household Party	
		Other	Mixed
		(E)	(F)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
	Total	400	151	108	141
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	253 63.4%	90 59.7%	68 62.8%	95 67.9%
	No	133 33.4%	55 36.6%	39 35.7%	40 28.2%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	6 3.7%	2 1.5%	6 3.9%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	151	108	141
	Yes	291 72.8%	103 68.4%	80 74.0%	108 76.6%
	No	98 24.5%	43 28.3%	25 23.5%	30 21.2%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	5 3.4%	3 2.5%	3 2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Voting Propensity		
		High (A)	Medium (B)	Low (C)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Absentee Propensity			
		Total	High	Medium	Low
	Total	400	280	84	36
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	253 63.4%	170 60.8%	61 73.2%	22 60.7%
	No	133 33.4%	101 36.2%	21 24.6%	12 32.5%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	8 3.0%	2 2.2%	2 6.9%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	280	84	36
	Yes	291 72.8%	199 71.1%	67 80.2%	25 68.6%
	No	98 24.5%	74 26.3%	15 17.7%	9 26.4%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	7 2.6%	2 2.2%	2 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[a,b]

		Absentee Propensity		
		High (A)	Medium (B)	Low (C)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date		
		Total	Before 1994	1994 to 1998
	Total	400	107	32
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes	253 63.4%	68 64.1%	22 68.2%
	No	133 33.4%	32 29.8%	10 29.8%
	DK/NA	13 3.2%	6 6.1%	1 2.0%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	400	107	32
	Yes	291 72.8%	77 72.2%	26 82.1%
	No	98 24.5%	24 23.0%	5 15.9%
	DK/NA	11 2.7%	5 4.8%	1 2.0%

		Registration Date	
		1999 to 2003	2004 or later
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	87	174
	Yes	55 63.2%	108 62.3%
	No	32 36.8%	60 34.6%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	6 3.2%
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Total	87	174
	Yes	61 69.9%	127 72.9%
	No	26 30.1%	42 24.2%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	5 2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions[b,c]

		Registration Date			
		Before 1994	1994 to 1998	1999 to 2003	2004 or later
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25A. An annual fee of $10 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			.[a]	
25B. An annual fee of $5 for issuing library cards to new and existing users	Yes No DK/NA			.[a]	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

   a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

   b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

   c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

GODBE RESEARCH

www.godberesearch.com

San Francisco Office/Northern California and
Corporate Offices
1660 South Amphlett Boulevard, Suite 205
San Mateo, CA 94402

Southern California Office/Southwest
4695 MacArthur Court, 11th Floor
Newport Beach, CA 92660

Seattle Office/Northwest
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004