

From: Liang-Fang Chao
To: [City of Cupertino Planning Dept.](#)
Subject: Parkland is parkland, not open space, town square or green roof
Date: Monday, July 09, 2018 2:19:47 PM

Parkland requirement is in place for "parkland".

No amount of open space, town square or green roof should be allowed to replace the parkland requirement.

Any project option that does not satisfy the parkland requirement under Municipal Code Chapter

14.05 and Title 18 is NOT a legal option under the Municipal Code.

The NOP did not mention any 30-acre roof park. There is no evaluation on the earthquake risk for the 30-acre roof park at all.

There is no estimation on the fiscal impact on the city for the roof park in maintenance.

Every acre of land at Vallco costs about \$5-6 million dollars.

Every acre of parkland requirement NOT provided onsite is a free giveaway to the developer.

For 800 housing units, that's 4.3 acres of parkland required.

For 2400 housing units, that's 14,3 acres of parkland required.

For 3000 housing units, that's 17,875 acres of parkland required.

For 4000 housing units, that's 23,8 acres of parkland required.

Ground-level parkland is worth a lot of money than rooftop, which is hard to access and harder to maintain.

Please clearly specify in the table of options the amount of required parkland under Municipal Code Chapter

14.05 and Title 18 i so that the reader has a clear picture for transparency.

Only listing the 30 acre roof park without listing the required parkland is misleading.

Thanks.

Liang Chao
Cupertino Resident

Total Control Panel

[Login](#)

To: planning@cupertino.org [Remove](#) this sender from my allow list

From: [REDACTED]

You received this message because the sender is on your allow list.