

REGNART CREEK TRAIL ONE-ON-ONE RESIDENT MEETINGS

DETAILS: March 18, 2019 through April 12, 2019

www.calendly.com/cityofcupertino/regnart-resident-meeting



#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█	3/19/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	Y	The resident is concerned about Redwood trees because roots are spread into the trail path. High fence option will be a problem, as it will obstruct the sunlight. As the trail will be very close to the backyard, the resident is also concerned about privacy, sounds, and vegetation. Why separated Class IV bike lanes are not considered on Rodrigues Avenue and Blaney Avenue. During heavy rains, the creek flows like a river which will be dangerous for the trail users. Also concerned about how midblock crossing will affect the Blaney traffic in peak hours and with the project, we will impact the existing greenery and scenic beauty.	No
█	3/20/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	Y	The proposed project is not a proper use of open space. Not interested in high fences and does not support the project. Love all the animals that live in the creek; the project will disturb the natural habitats and possibility of migration/shifting. De Palma Lane would be used by the trail users, which is a private street. Concerned about how midblock crossing will impact the Blaney traffic in peak hours. Considers the proposed project is an expensive project with bridges and fences. During the creek maintenance period all the fences and decks need to be removed and restored. Worried about the safety and security of the trail users, who will be monitoring the trail. It would be not good to complete the project up to Blaney Avenue; it should be continued to Creekside Park. Median island on the proposed midblock crossing is not advisable. Concerned about safety and privacy	No
█	3/19/19 @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about how midblock crossing will affect the Blaney traffic in the peak hours. Why alternative 4 was not considered. Don't like to share De Palma Ln with the trail users. █ is fine with the project if the neighbors are fine with the path. Will the project encourage the trail users to park their vehicles on De Palma Lane and walk on the trail.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/2/19 @ 3:30pm	---	---	---	Y	Combined meeting. Concerned about safety, privacy, kids walking on the top of the bank, Car/Ped/bike collisions, illegal activities, trash, trail users using private property and graffiti. Who will be responsible for the accidents/graffiti/damage to the resident's property? Can we have a gate, which will stop trail users entering into the private property? Alternative 4 is a good option. Property values will go down. Because of the slope, there is a possibility of bicycle collisions, which is very unsafe. Water flow during rains is high and fast. It would be good if there is a proper separation between trail and roadway. All these things should be considered in the design.	No
█	4/2/19 @ 3:30pm	---	---	---			
█	4/2/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█			

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
	4/2/19 @ 2:30pm			---	Y	Concerned about safety/privacy/ throwing garbage on the creek/noise/security. Will the emergency vehicles will have access to the trail. Why railing is not provided, it will be not safe if the fence is not provided. The path is narrow on specific locations. The second floor is clearly visible from the trail. Opposes the project. Reliability of city promises.	No
	4/3/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Concerned about safety, noise, security, kids throwing rocks and someone breaking the windows. The homes where the trail will be facing front yards, their property values will go down. On-street options can be considered. Alternative 4 looks like a good option. Bikers need to be educated to follow the rules.	Did not provide any direction
	4/1/19 @ 2:00pm				Y	Concerned about safety, noise and, security. Why other alternatives have not been considered. Does not support the trail. Will there be fencing on the creekside and how the creek will be monitored. Suggests considering for automatic closing gates from dusk to dawn and cameras facing trail.	Did not provide any direction
	3/19/19 @ 2:30pm				Y	Concerned about someone will jump over the fence, break the window, kids will throw the rocks, robbery e.t.c.Not interested in a tall fence. Cost of the project will be very high. Ground squirrels will be digging into the slopes, consider in the design. Some sections of the trail has a steep slope. During the rains, the flow of water in the creek is fast.	No
	3/28/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Concerned about safety, noise and, security. Planting trees along the trail will be helpful to mitigate the noise. Construction shouldn't happen during the breeding season. Concerned about the fire hazard, if someone accidentally throws cigarette buds into bushes. Interested in a higher fence.	Yes
	3/28/19 @ 2:30pm				Y	Asked about the justification of the project, why the trail is needed and what will city gain from this project. ■ is neutral about the project. Interested in having a higher fence. Proper measures should be taken so that the path does not attract crime and illegal activities.	Yes

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█	4/1/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety and security. There have been burglaries recently. The second floor is clearly visible from the trail. Worried about who will be liable, if someone breaks a window; if someone throws smoke buds in the bushes and it catches fire; if someone runs into the fences and if tree falls down. Concerned that the proposed trail width is not per standards. If fencing is not provided, there is a probability of kids falling into the creek. The second floor is visible to the path. The recommended shoulder width of 2 feet is not sufficient. Need enough time to review 65 percent plans (2 to 3 weeks). The proposed trail of 8 feet with 2 feet shoulders on both sides is as per minimum criteria of Caltrans HDM manual, but AASHTO guidelines differ. The proposed project is not a promoter of a safe route to school program as the creek is very close to the trail. Water levels fluctuate rapidly. Why alternative 4 is not considered, as this a good option. Does not support the proposed project. How many kids are expected	No
█	-	█	█	█	Y	█ expressed █ privacy concerns related to the project and would like a taller wood fence as part of the design. █ didn't think a 1-on-1 meeting was necessary since █ relayed this message at the event. █ gave █ business card in case █ changed her mind or had additional questions/concerns.	Yes
█							
█	█ @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety. Currently resident has a fence gate. Water flow during rains is high and fast. How can we prevent someone from falling into the creek/accident? It would be safe if we have a railing, as it will stop someone falling into the creek and drowning. Alternative 4 is a good option.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/4/19 @ 3:00pm	█	█	█	Y	█ feels we shouldn't shield kids from the reality of traffic and potential conflicts, and should teach kids how to ride safely on the street. Feels that the trail gives a false sense of security. Would prefer to have bike lanes along La Mar. Concerns include no access for immediate residents, cost, Blaney crossing, and fence maintenance. Has a recently new fence and would consider adding a lattice extension for a taller fence.	Yes
█	4/8/19 @ 3:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Avid bikers and advocates of trail although they may not use it. They have no problem riding onstreet. Thinks that security and privacy should not be a concern. Do have concerns about not providing a railing at the top of bank. Feels the trail is too narrow even without a railing and that people may fall into the creek.	No
█							
█							

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█	3/25/19 @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety and privacy. The trail will attract homeless people and illegal activities like smoking pot and burglary. The second floor is visible to the path. █ bought this home last year only if the trail was active █ would not have purchased this home. The resident is also concerned that if someone bumps into the fence and will file a lawsuit on the owner. Will there be 24/7 surveillance for security and maintenance, as the water level raises very fast when it rains. Considers trail without fencing will cause problems. The slope is steep. Will the path will be 14 feet wide? Does not support the proposed project.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/1/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	---	Y	Concerned about safety and, privacy. Coyote has been spotted on the creekside, there may be a possibility of two pups with a den. Fewer kids bike and walk through Lamar, trail won't be much useful. The path will attract homeless people, noise and illegal activities. The proposed midblock crossing at Blaney and E. Estates will create traffic problems. Interested in having a taller fence with a gate.	Yes
█	4/9/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety/security/privacy/survival. Blaney mid-block crossing will create more traffic problems, to the existing congestion. Residents think that there will be a wall built on their property side. What type of bridge/height/materials will be used to access Wilson park? The path will attract crime. Maintaining two-way traffic on the creek will be hard. Will the project increases our property tax. How high will be the proposed fencing?	Yes
█	3/26/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety and privacy. There used to be a walkway previously, and the city removed it. There were 3 to 4 robberies couple of years ago. Prefers to have a wall or higher fences. Worried that kids will create a mess like hanging out up to light night, smoking pot e.t.c which happens in the summer time. Currently, La Mar has cut-through traffic and speeding issues. Recommends bicycle safety measures at Blaney and E. Estates midblock crossings.	Yes
█	N/A	█		█	Y	Opt-out	
█							
█	3/27/19 @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about the proposed bridge alignment. Will it be straight/slant/angled? Won't prefer to be straight as it will disturb the resident's privacy. The existing creek path is narrow. Is the fence considered on the creekside? Why other Alternatives have not been studied. Midblock crossing at Blaney and E. Estates is not a good option. Interested in having a tall fence with a gate.	Yes
█	3/27/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	Y	Initially opted-out. No issues with privacy as the trail will not pass behind their property. Does not support the project. Midblock crossing at Blaney is not safe, and it will impact the traffic. Prefers to have a fence on the creekside. Is SCWD supporting the project/what is their stand?	No
█							

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█	3/26/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	█	Y	The existing concrete (slope) ramp falls behind the property. The resident is concerned about the loose soil around the fence. The ground soil is not stable; with the project it may become more unstable. Neighbors also have the same problem. Will the trail have patrolling in the night time. Interested in high fencing. The project will attract homeless people	Yes
█							
█							
█							
█	3/20/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	█	Y	Does not support the project at all. When the city council approves the project, will share the concerns about the design. The primary concerns are safety and privacy.	Did not provide any direction
█							
█	4/9/19 @ 3:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety/privacy/noise/strangers/narrow width of the trail and traffic issues. Alternative 4 should be a good option. Speeding is an issue on Lamar. Lamar will be used, even if the project is built; so we can improve Lamar instead of constructing the trail. Neighbors opinion should be valued. The path will not be much useful for school kids. Blaney Avenue already has traffic issues; proposed mid-block crossing will add more problems. Blaney is also used as a cut-through route.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/3/19 @ 2:00pm	█ █	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety/privacy/will attract crime/illegal activities/noise/kids misusing the trail/stone pelting. Is the trail project eligible for Measure B funding? Feels like the community was not informed at the starting phase of the project. Lazano Lane properties will be significantly impacted by the project. It will be unsafe if the trail is not physically locked during the night time, who will be liable if something wrong happens on the path? The community should have been informed when the project was introduced in the bicycle plan. Before awarding the project to the consultant, the city should have reached out to the community. Won't support the project.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/2/19 @ 3:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety, privacy, and security. The trail will not be a safe route to school project, as it does not meet AASHTO trail guidelines. Midblock crossing at Blaney Ave is not safe. The trail without fencing is not safe for kids. The water flow in the creek is fast, there is a possibility of kids drowning. Do not promote the project as a safe route to school project. It would be good if the 65 percent plans are provided couple weeks before May 21st council meeting for community review. It would be good if there is clear documentation on which guidelines to be followed (Caltrans HDM or AASHTO). The feasibility study is not documented correctly.	No
10302							

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█							
█							
█	4/8/19 @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about noise, safety, privacy. The trail will attract illegal activities. Does not support the project. Cost of the project will be very high. The money can be used on other projects like improving the sidewalks etc.	Did not provide any direction
█							
█	3/26/19 @ 3:30pm	█	█	█	N	█	
█							
█							
█							
█	3/21/19 @ 2:00pm	█	█	█	Y	Concerned about safety, privacy, someone breaking windows, garbage, fireworks, cigarettes , and kids noise. Not interested in having high fences	No
█							
█	3/25/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	█	Y	The resident is concerned about safety and privacy, as it was not a pleasant experience when the trail was open last time. If the project comes in, fencing is required as the slopes are steep. If someone falls, there will be a liability issue. Not much concerned as the trail is not so close to the property. Okay with the higher fence. Is the trail closed during nights?	Yes
█							
█	4/1/19 @ 3:00pm	█	█	█	N	Cancelled: █ Submitted the following email comments: I just want to state that I am against this creek trail as it violates the privacy of the adjoining houses, will lead to burglary, violence, and unsafe for them to walk along the creek. It is such a peaceful n'hood and I want to let it stay as it is. There are some houses in the path that are literally on the creek and folks can see through the windows. Moreover I am against disrupting the abundance birds, squirrels and other small water creatures like ducks and frogs. PLEASE LEAVE THIS AREA AS IT IS YOU WILL BE DOING MORE TO THE NATURE INSTEAD OF DOING ANY FAVOR TO A FEW CYCLISTS AND OUT OF AREA WALKERS AND JOGGERS WHO HAVE NO INTREST TO THE RESIDENTS WHO HAVE CALLED HOME FOR DECADES.	Did not provide any direction
█							

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
█	4/12/19 @ 8:10am	█	█	█@█.m	Y	Opposed to having a trail, but has had discussions with HOA on what screening treatments would be acceptable if a trail moves forward. No consensus could be achieved. Would be helpful if we provided some treatment options they can consider/decide on. Mentioned that existing drainage slopes towards homes -- can this be fixed with trail design? Could we possibly explore bridge to other side and provide a pedestrian path along the southern shoulder to bypass their frontage?	Yes, would like us to provide options of proposed treatments (mentioned "glass block" as an option)
█							
█	4/4/19 @ 2:30pm	█	---	---	Y	Combined meeting. Concerns about SCVWD/private property ownership (10' vs. 20') - mentioned █ had recently communicated with SCVWD staff confirming 10' - wants this settled before moving forward with design. Concerns about safety, noise, pollution, cost ineffectiveness. Feels that trail is being advertised as safe route to school when it is not safe for kids. Does not think this trail is a good selection.	Did not provide any direction
█	4/4/19 @ 2:30pm	█	█	█			

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
	3/18/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Existing fence height is approx. 5' on property and 6' on creekside, with gate to access creek which owner doesn't currently use. There is an approx 1' grade difference at fence. Trail grade appears to be approx 1' higher than property grade (2' from creekside fence). Owner prefers a tall, solid wooden fence with no gate access. Design may want to consider raising creekside grade at fence to match existing property grades and provide a 6'-7' tall fence with 1' solid lattice extension.	Yes
	3/27/19 @ 2:30pm				Y	Concerned about privacy, safety, and noise. Trail grade appears to be higher than the property grade (2'-3' from the creekside fence). Some kids try to use the trail path right now. If the project is built, interested in higher fence and door. Concerned about how the midblock crossing will affect the existing traffic on Blaney Drive.	Yes
	4/9/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Concerned about the impact of the trail crossing on ability to pull safely into and out of her driveway, and had made City Staff back into driveway to experience the issues firsthand. Congestion along Blaney is a potential safety issue for trail users. Concerned about personal safety, with the proximity of the trailhead to property and the potential for bad people to easily access, or vandalize. Concerned about the risk of a fire being started by mischievous trail users.	Did not Provide any direction
	3/25/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Strongly opposes the project. The project keeps on coming back, and it would be good if a make a final decision this time. Concerned about privacy and safety. The trail will attract crime. Is there enough width to construct a Class 1 bicycle path. If lighting is provided in the trail, it will be a disturbance for the neighbors. Concerned about how midblock crossing will affect the Blaney traffic in peak hours and also it will result in pedestrian and bicycles accidents. Does not support the proposed midblock crossing which is very close to the property. Suggests using the project funds for other uses like providing free wifi etc.	No
10301							

#	MEETING DATE/TIME	RESIDENT NAME	RESIDENT PHONE	RESIDENT EMAIL	COMPLETE (Y/N)	COMMENTS	INTERESTED IN TALLER FENCE?
							Yes - When Beth came to review the 65 percent plans
	4/11/19 @ 2:00pm				Y	Introduction of the project to the community was not good. When will be the Walkshop and Santa Clara Water District meeting minutes will be available. What type of bridge is considered and where will be it located. Don't cut the trees. Cost of the bridges will be high. What is the procedure for the Noise monitoring study and what will be the environmental clearance - MND/EIR? What will be the setback distance from the fences/property? Alternative 4 was not displayed on the boards in the Walkshop. The project will impact my neighbor's safety/privacy/security. The proposed mid-block on Blaney will create traffic issues and having a median island will be unsafe. How many kids are expected to use the trail? If someone gets injured, they will sue the City/Water District and Owners. Closing the path during the maintenance time will not be easy. The project will significantly impact Lozano Lane properties.	Did not Provide any direction
	4/11/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	Who proposed the project. What is the need/motivation of the project? The resident is concerned about noise and privacy. The resident feels that his quality of life will be affected and not happy about the project. The project funds can be used wisely for other top priority projects, like improving Miller/Calle De Barcelone and Calle De Barcelone/Finch Avenue intersections. Why Alternative 4 is not considered. Don't see a severe need for the path. Not interested in a higher fence.	No
	4/4/19 @ 3:30pm				Y	biggest concern is the safety of the kids. watches out for them on the street as they walk to/from school and has the greatest visibility of the street from her house. Concerned that if the kids use the trail, will not be able to help them if they become in danger. Thinks the trail is a waste of money and would prefer bike lanes on La Mar.	Did not provide any direction
	3/26/19 @ 2:00pm				Y	Concerned about the safety, noise and privacy, as the kitchen will be visible from the path. I am interested in having a higher fence. How long the project will take to finish. Where will be the bridge connecting to Wilson Park? Okay with the project, if taller fencing/vegetation is provided. Currently resident has a wooden gate to access the path and interested in having the gate in the future.	Yes